

ON THE SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN LEBESGUE-RAMANUJAN-NAGELL EQUATIONS

KALYAN CHAKRABORTY, AZIZUL HOQUE AND RICHA SHARMA

ABSTRACT. We completely solve the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = y^n$ in integers $x, y \geq 1$; $k, \ell, m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 3$ with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, except the case $2 \mid k, 2 \nmid \ell m$ and $5 \mid n$. We use this result to recover some earlier results in the same direction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Generalized Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equation

$$x^2 + C = \lambda y^n, \quad x, y, \lambda \geq 1, n \geq 3, \quad (1.1)$$

with C a fixed positive integer is being studied by many authors. The earliest result is due to Fermat who proved that $(x, y) = (5, 3)$ is the only solution of (1.1) when $(C, \lambda, n) = (2, 1, 3)$. Lebesgue [23] in 1850 proved that (1.1) has no solution when $(C, \lambda) = (1, 1)$. In 1993, Cohn [15] investigated (1.1) for $\lambda = 1$, and he solved the equation for 77 values of C in the range $1 \leq C \leq 100$. The remaining values of C in this range were covered in [9, 27] (when $\lambda = 1$). There are numerous results for $\lambda = 2, 4$, and interested readers can look into [7, 13, 24, 2] and references therein. In the recent years, several authors became interested in the case when $C = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$, where p_i 's are distinct primes and $k \geq 1$, $a_i \geq 0$ are integers. While $(\lambda, C) = (1, p_1^{a_1})$ the equation (1.1) is completely settled by the combined work of several authors (cf. [3, 5, 6, 14, 19, 22]). For $(\lambda, C) = (2, p_1^{a_1})$ with even a_1 , (1.1) is studied in [21, 29]. Recently, Hoque studied a more general Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equation in [20]. On the other hand, Chakraborty et al. [12] studied (1.1) when $(\lambda, C) = (4, p_1^{a_1})$, and completely solved it for some fixed values of p_1 . There are some results concerning the solution of (1.1) when $\lambda = 1$ and $k = 2$, i.e. when $C = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}$ for some primes $p_1, p_2 \leq 19$. For instance, all the solutions of (1.1) when $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ and $C = 2^a 5^b, 2^a 13^b, 5^a 13^b, 2^a 19^b$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11D41, 11D61, Secondary: 11R29.

Key words and phrases. Diophantine equation, Integer solution, S-integers, Lucas sequences, Primitive divisors.

were obtained in [1, 25, 26, 28]. A natural next step in this series of explorations is to consider (1.1) when C has three distinct prime factors. Recent progress in this case were made in [11, 16, 17, 18] for $C = 2^a 3^b 11^c, 2^a 3^b 13^c, 2^a 3^b 17^c, 2^a 13^b 17^c, 2^a 5^b 13^c$.

Here we completely solve,

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = y^n \quad (1.2)$$

in positive integers x, y with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ and in non-negative integers k, ℓ, m, n , except the case $2 \mid k, 2 \nmid \ell m$ and $5 \nmid n$. We use this result to deduce the results of Cangül et. al. [10], Soydan et. al. [28], and some new results.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

We begin with the case $k = \ell = m = 0$, that is,

$$x^2 + 1 = y^n.$$

It was proved in [23] that this equation has no solution in positive integers x, y, n , when $n \geq 3$. Clearly, it has no positive integer solution for $n = 2$. When $n = 1$, it has a family of solutions $(x, y) = (t, t^2 + 1)$. It is easy to see that (1.2) has no solution in positive integers x, y, k, ℓ, m when $n = 0$.

The first non-trivial case is when $n = 1$. In this case, (1.2) is parametrized simply by

$$(x, y) = (t, t^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m).$$

Moving to $n = 2$ and (1.2) becomes

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = y^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Let x be even. Then y is odd (since $\gcd(x, y) = 1$) and that implies $k = 0$. Thus (2.1) reduces to

$$(y + x)(y - x) = 11^\ell 19^m.$$

Now $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ would force $\gcd(y - x, y + x) = 1$. Using this fact, we obtain the following solutions of this equation:

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{11^{\ell-\ell_1} 19^{m-m_1} - 11^{\ell_1} 19^{m_1}}{2}, \frac{11^{\ell-\ell_1} 19^{m-m_1} + 11^{\ell_1} 19^{m_1}}{2} \right),$$

where $(\ell_1, m_1) \in \{0, \ell\} \times \{0, m\} \setminus \{(\ell, m)\}$ with $\ell \equiv m \pmod{2}$.

If x is considered odd then y is even and as before $k = 0$. Therefore the solutions are given as in the last case with the condition $\ell \not\equiv m \pmod{2}$.

Finally if both x and y are odd, then $\gcd(y - x, y + x) = 2$ and that gives $k \geq 2$. For $k = 2$, (2.1) can be written as

$$X^2 + X + 11^\ell 19^m = Y^2 + Y,$$

where $x = 2X + 1$ and $y = 2Y + 1$. The impossibility of this can be easily obtained by reading it modulo 2, and hence $k \geq 3$. Thus (2.1) can be written as

$$\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right)\left(\frac{y+x}{2}\right) = 2^{k-2} 11^\ell 19^m.$$

Since $\gcd\left(\frac{y-x}{2}, \frac{y+x}{2}\right) = 1$, so that we get

$$(x, y) = (2^{k-k_1-2} 11^{\ell-\ell_1} 19^{m-m_1} - 2^{k_1} 11^{\ell_1} 19^{m_1}, 2^{k-k_1-2} 11^{\ell-\ell_1} 19^{m-m_1} + 2^{k_1} 11^{\ell_1} 19^{m_1}),$$

where $(k_1, \ell_1, m_1) \in \{0, k-2\} \times \{0, \ell\} \times \{0, m\} \setminus \{(k-2, \ell, m)\}$.

In order to complete the study of (1.2), it remains to consider the following equation:

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = y^n, \quad x, y \geq 1, \quad \gcd(x, y) = 1, \quad k, \ell, m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 3. \quad (2.2)$$

The main result of this paper is:

Theorem 2.1. (2.2) has no solutions (x, y, k, ℓ, m, n) with $n \notin \{3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12\}$ except when $2 \mid k, 2 \nmid \ell m, 5 \mid n$. For $n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12\}$, all the solutions are given by Tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and $(x, y, k, \ell, m, n) = (241, 3, 3, 2, 0, 10)$.

As it is with other such equations, one needs to consider case by case to prove this theorem. While passing through various cases many a times we use MAGMA and in some cases PARI (v.2.9.1) to get to the desired results.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Assume that y is even then x is odd and thus (2.2) gives $k = 0$. Therefore it follows that $x^2 + 11^\ell 19^m \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{8}$. However $y^n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ since $n \geq 3$, and hence y is odd. We first consider $n = 3$, that is,

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = y^3, \quad x, y \geq 1, \quad \gcd(x, y) = 1, \quad k, \ell, m \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proposition 3.1. All the solutions of (3.1) are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Solutions of (2.2) for $n = 3$

x	y	k	ℓ	m	x	y	k	ℓ	m
18	7	0	0	1	5	9	6	1	0
4	3	0	1	0	6179	345	18	1	0
58	15	0	1	0	2	5	0	2	0
835	89	6	2	0	404003	5465	12	2	0
9324	443	0	3	0	5	3	1	0	0
2345	177	7	0	2	1265	123	1	0	4
65	23	1	1	2	11290511	50337	11	6	1
487	81	7	2	1	87	23	1	2	1
70953	1841	19	2	1	1509	137	7	2	1
315	47	1	2	1	195499	3369	13	2	1
12653	543	1	2	1	56879	1479	1	2	1
202323621	344639	1	8	1	9158107626651	437727497	19	2	7
159	119	1	2	3	250173	3971	1	2	4
3922311	26129	13	2	5	1861	159	1	4	1
79153	1863	1	4	3	5497	785	8	6	0
11	5	2	0	0	7	17	8	0	1
7	5	2	0	1	9	5	2	1	0
81711	1885	2	4	2	147743	3393	9	6	1
69	17	3	0	1	12419	537	15	0	1
293493	56813	3	6	3	4103	273	9	0	3
1217	201	3	2	3	1349867	15273	11	2	3
1006153	10041	3	2	3	117	25	4	2	0
9959	465	10	3	0	11	9	5	0	1
80363	3273	5	0	7	62129	1569	17	0	1
733326857	944241	23	4	5	45	17	3	0	2
1015	101	2	0	1	5805	323	1	2	0

Proof. Let us write $2^k 11^\ell 19^m = Dz^6$. Then D and z are of the form $2^a 11^b 19^c$ with $0 \leq a, b, c \leq 5$ and $2^\alpha 11^\beta 19^\gamma$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{k}{6}, 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{\ell}{6}, 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{m}{6}$ respectively. Thus (3.1) can be written as

$$X^2 = Y^3 - D \quad (3.2)$$

where $X = x/z^3$, $Y = y/z^2$ and D is sixth-power free. Assume that $S = \{2, 11, 19\}$. In order to find the integer solutions of (3.1), one needs to determine all S -integral points on 216 elliptic curves defined by (3.2). We use MAGMA to determine these S -integral points. We obtain 54 such S -integral points which provide desired solutions of (3.1) and all of them are listed in Table 1. Note that, we also obtain 7 (resp. 54) S -integral points with $x = 0$ (resp. $\gcd(x, y) > 1$), that are not mentioned here. \square

We now consider n 's which are multiple of 3. In this case, we derive the following result from Table 1.

Corollary 3.1. *If $n > 3$ is a multiple of 3 and (2.2) has an integer solution (x, y, k, ℓ, m, n) , then $n = 6, 12$. For these values, all the solutions of (2.2) are given in Table 2.*

x	y	k	ℓ	m	n	x	y	k	ℓ	m	n
5	3	6	1	0	6	487	9	7	2	1	6
117	5	4	2	0	6	487	3	7	2	1	12
11	3	5	0	1	6						

TABLE 2. Solutions of (2.2) when $n = 6, 12$.

We now consider (2.2) when $n = 4$, that is,

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = y^4, \quad x, y \geq 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Proposition 3.2. *All the solutions of (3.3) are given in Table 3.*

x	y	k	ℓ	m	x	y	k	ℓ	m
7	3	5	0	0	193	15	6	1	1
27	7	3	1	1	487	27	7	2	1
1293	37	3	3	1					

TABLE 3. Solutions of (3.3).

Proof. Assume that $2^k 11^\ell 19^m = Dz^4$. Then D and z are of the form $2^a 11^b 19^c$ with $0 \leq a, b, c \leq 3$ and $2^\alpha 11^\beta 19^\gamma$ with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{k}{4}, 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{\ell}{4}, 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{m}{4}$ respectively. Substituting $X = x/z^2$ and $Y = y/z$ in (3.3), we get

$$X^2 = Y^4 - D, \quad (3.4)$$

where D is fourth power-free. Since all the prime factors of z are in $S = \{2, 11, 19\}$, so that in order to find the solutions of (3.3) one needs to determine all S -integral points on 64 elliptic curves defined by (3.4) and MAGMA helps to determine these points. We obtain 4 such S -integral points which provide desired solutions of (3.3) and they are listed in Table 3. Note that we also obtain 1 (resp. 4) S -integral points which give $x = 0$ (resp. $\gcd(x, y) > 1$). □

Remark 1. Note that if $n \geq 4$ is a multiple of 4 and (2.2) has an integer solution (x, y, k, ℓ, m, n) , then $n = 12$. This case is already considered in Corollary 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. Then all the solutions of*

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = y^p, \quad x, y \geq 1, \quad \gcd(x, y) = 1, \quad k, \ell, m \geq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

are given in Table 4, except for the case $2 \mid k, 2 \nmid \ell m$ with $p \neq 5$.

x	y	k	ℓ	m	p	x	y	k	ℓ	m	p
1	3	1	2	0	5	22434	55	0	0	1	5
41	5	2	0	2	5	241	9	3	2	0	5

TABLE 4. Solutions of (3.5).

We need few lemmas to prove Proposition 3.3. It is easy to observe from the equations (3.6), (3.8) and (3.8) that $1 \leq x \leq 18$, $0 \leq k \leq 7$, $0 \leq \ell \leq 2, 0 \leq m \leq 1$; $1 \leq x \leq 22434$, $0 \leq k \leq 28$, $0 \leq \ell \leq 8, 0 \leq m \leq 6$ and $1 \leq x \leq 279$, $0 \leq k \leq 16$, $0 \leq \ell \leq 4, 0 \leq m \leq 3$, respectively. Using these bounds, one can get the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *$(x, k, \ell, m) = (1, 1, 2, 0)$ is the only solution of*

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = 3^5. \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.2. *The equation*

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = 55^5 \quad (3.7)$$

has no solution, except $(x, k, \ell, m) = (22434, 0, 0, 1)$.

Lemma 3.3. *The equation*

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = 5^7 \quad (3.8)$$

has no solution.

We are now in a position to give the proof of Proposition 3.3.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let's recall that y is odd. The first step is to write (3.5) as

$$x^2 + dz^2 = y^p, \quad (3.9)$$

where $d \in \{1, 2, 11, 19, 22, 38, 209, 418\}$ depending on the exponents k, ℓ, m , and $z = 2^a 11^b 19^c$ for some non-negative integers a, b and c .

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ and \mathcal{O}_F its ring of integers. Next step is to factorize (3.9) in \mathcal{O}_F as:

$$(x + z\sqrt{-d})(x - z\sqrt{-d}) = y^p.$$

Let's conclude that the ideals $(x + z\sqrt{-d})$ and $(x - z\sqrt{-d})$ are coprime in \mathcal{O}_F . If a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$ is a common divisor of the ideals then $x \pm z\sqrt{-d} \in \mathfrak{p}$ and thus $y \in \mathfrak{p}$. Further $2x \in \mathfrak{p}$ implies either $2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore 1 lies in the ideals $\langle 2, y \rangle$ and $\langle x, y \rangle$

since $\gcd(2, y) = \gcd(x, y) = 1$. This shows that $1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ which is not possible. Therefore by the unique factorization of ideals, $(x + z\sqrt{-d})$ can be expressed as a p -th power of some ideal $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_F$. On the other hand, 2, 3 and 5 are the only prime divisors of the class number $h(d)$ of F . For the exceptional case $2 \mid k, 2 \nmid \ell m$, we have $d = 205$ and thus $h(-d) = 20$. However in this case we assume that $p \neq 5$. Therefore $\gcd(p, h(d)) = 1$ and so \mathfrak{J} is a principal ideal. Therefore

$$x + z\sqrt{-d} = \varepsilon\alpha^p,$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and ε is a unit in F . Further ε can be absorbed into α^p as the order of the unit group is coprime to p . Thus we have

$$x + z\sqrt{-d} = \alpha^p. \tag{3.10}$$

It is well known that

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{u+v\sqrt{-d}}{2}, & u \equiv v \equiv 1 \pmod{2} & \text{if } d = 11, 19, \\ u + v\sqrt{-d} & & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where both u and v are integers. Thus one gets

$$\frac{\alpha^p - \bar{\alpha}^p}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}} = \begin{cases} \frac{2z}{v} & \text{if } d = 11, 19, \\ \frac{z}{v} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

Let us define the Lucas sequence $(\mathcal{L}_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of integers by

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \bar{\alpha}^n}{\alpha - \bar{\alpha}}, \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

Let $P(t)$ (for a non-zero integer t) be the largest prime factor of t with the convention that $P(\pm 1) = 1$. Then by (3.11) $P(\mathcal{L}_p) \leq 19$. Let us recall that a prime divisor of \mathcal{L}_n is called primitive if it does not divide $(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2 \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_2 \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$. One of the most important property of a primitive divisor q of \mathcal{L}_n is that $q \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. The primitive divisor theorem of \mathcal{L}_n ensures that for all primes $n \geq 5$, \mathcal{L}_n has a primitive divisor except for finitely many pairs $(\alpha, \bar{\alpha})$ all of which are given in [8, Table 1]. Since $d \in \{1, 2, 11, 19, 22, 38, 209, 418\}$, using this table one sees that \mathcal{L}_p has a primitive divisor except for $p = 5, 7$. On the other hand, \mathcal{L}_5 has a primitive divisor except for $\alpha \in \{\frac{1+\sqrt{-11}}{2}, 6 + \sqrt{-19}\}$ and \mathcal{L}_7 has a primitive divisor except for $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$. These exceptions give solutions to (3.5).

We first consider $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{-11}}{2}$ for $p = 5$. This gives $y = 3$ and thus (3.5) reduces to (3.6). By Lemma 3.1, the corresponding solution of (3.5) is $(x, y, k, \ell, m, p) = (1, 3, 1, 2, 0, 5)$. Similarly for $\alpha =$

$6 + \sqrt{-19}$ one gets $y = 55$ and hence (3.5) reduces to (3.7). Therefore using Lemma 3.2 the corresponding solution is $(x, y, k, \ell, m, p) = (22434, 55, 0, 0, 1, 5)$. Analogously for $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$; (3.5) coincides with (3.8) and thus by Lemma 3.3 we conclude that it does not give any solution to (3.5).

We now assume that \mathcal{L}_p has a primitive prime divisor q . Then $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$. Applying this fact in (3.11), we get $q = 11, 19$. Since $p \geq 5$ is a prime such that $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$, the only choice is $p = 5$. Again the sign in $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ coincides with $\left(\frac{-d}{q}\right)$ and thus we have,

$$d = \begin{cases} 1, 11 & \text{if } q = 19, \\ 2, 19 & \text{if } q = 11. \end{cases}$$

We divide the remaining part of the proof in four cases.

Case I: $(d, q) = (1, 19)$. In this case (3.10) becomes

$$x + z\sqrt{-1} = (u + v\sqrt{-1})^5$$

and equating the imaginary parts gives

$$v(5u^4 - 10u^2v^2 + v^4) = 2^a 11^b 19^c. \quad (3.12)$$

Thus $v \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2^{a_0}, \pm 11^{b_0}, \pm 2^{a_1} 11^{b_1}\}$ for some integers $1 \leq a_0, a_1 \leq a$ and $1 \leq b_0, b_1 \leq b$ (since $\gcd(q, (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2) = \gcd(19, -4v^2) = 1$). We note that $y = u^2 + dv^2 = u^2 + v^2$ is odd.

Let $v = \pm 1$ and this forces $a = 0$ (follows from (3.12)) and then (3.12) becomes

$$5u^4 - 10u^2 + 1 = \pm 11^b 19^c.$$

As y is odd, u must be even and thus reading this equation modulo 8 the sign on the right hand side is positive and both a and b are either odd or even. Thereafter we write the above equation as,

$$5u^4 - 10u^2 + 1 = \begin{cases} w^2 & \text{if } b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 209w^2 & \text{if } b \equiv c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

where $w = \begin{cases} 11^{b/2} 19^{c/2} & \text{if } b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ 11^{(b-1)/2} 19^{(c-1)/2} & \text{if } b \equiv c \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$

Using MAGMA, we see that $(u, w) = (0, 1)$ is the only integer solution of $5u^4 - 10u^2 + 1 = w^2$ which gives $x = 0$.

Again reading $5u^4 - 10u^2 + 1 = 209w^2$ modulo 5, one gets $4w^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ which shows that $w \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$. This is a contradiction to $w \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$.

We now consider $v = 2^{a_0}$. Then (3.12) becomes

$$5u^4 - 10u^2v^2 + v^4 = \pm 2^{a-a_0} 11^b 19^c.$$

Now u is odd as $y = u^2 + v^2$ is odd. Reading the last equation modulo 8, we see that $a - a_0 = 0$, $b + c$ is odd and the sign on the right hand side is negative. Thus it becomes

$$5u^4 - 10u^2v^2 + v^4 = -11^b 19^c. \quad (3.13)$$

If $b = 2b_2 + 1$ for some non-negative integer b_2 then $c = 2c_2$ for some positive integer c_2 and thus (3.13) can be written as

$$-11V^2 = 5U^4 - 10U^2 + 1.$$

Here

$$(U, V) = \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b_2} 19^{c_2}}{v^2} \right)$$

and (U, V) is a $\{2\}$ -integral point on the above quartic curve and using MAGMA one can show that there is no such point.

On the other hand, if $b = 2b_3$ for some positive integer b_3 then $c = 2c_3 + 1$ for some positive integer c_3 and thus (3.13) can be written as

$$-19V^2 = 5U^4 - 10U^2 + 1,$$

where $(U, V) = \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b_3} 19^{c_3}}{v^2} \right)$ is $\{2\}$ -integral point on this quartic curve.

Using MAGMA, we obtain $(U, V) = \left(\pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{4} \right)$ which gives a previously obtained solution.

Next let $v = \pm 11^b$. In this case, 3.12 becomes

$$5u^4 - 10u^2v^2 + v^4 = \pm 2^a 11^{b-b_0} 19^c.$$

Since $y = u^2 + v^2$ is odd, u is even. Reading this equation modulo 8, we arrive at $1 \equiv \pm 2^a 3^{b-b_0+c} \pmod{8}$ which is not possible as $a \geq 1$.

We now consider the remaining case $v = \pm 2^{a_0} 11^{b_0}$. In this situation 3.12 becomes

$$5u^4 - 10u^2v^2 + v^4 = \pm 2^{a-a_0} 11^{b-b_0} 19^c.$$

Here u is odd and thus reading this equation modulo 8, we see that $a = a_0$, $b - b_0 + c$ is odd and the sign on the right hand side is negative. Thus

$$5u^4 - 10u^2v^2 + v^4 = -11^{b-b_0} 19^c. \quad (3.14)$$

If $b - b_0 = 2b_4 + 1$ for some positive integer b_4 then $c = 2c_4$ for some positive integer c_4 and thus (3.14) can be rewritten as

$$-11V^2 = 5U^4 - 10U^2 + 1,$$

where

$$(U, V) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b_4+b_0/2} 19^{c_2}}{v^2} \right) & \text{if } 2 \mid b_0, \\ \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b/2+b_4} 19^{c_2}}{v^2} \right) & \text{if } 2 \nmid b_0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly (U, V) is $\{2, 11\}$ -integral points on the above quartic curve and using MAGMA, we see that there is no such point.

On the other hand, if $c = 2c_5 + 1$ for some positive integer c_5 then $b - b_0 = 2b_5$ for some positive integer b_5 and thus (3.14) can be rewritten as

$$-19V^2 = 5U^4 - 10U^2 + 1,$$

where $(U, V) = \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b_5} 19^{c_5}}{v^2} \right)$ is $\{2, 3\}$ -integral point on this quartic curve and MAGMA gives $(U, V) = \left(\pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{4} \right)$. These lead to $(u, v) = (\pm 1, \pm 2)$ and $a = 1, b = 0, c = 1$. All these together show that $(x, y, k, \ell, m, p) = (41, 5, 2, 0, 2, 5)$ is a solution of (3.5).

Case II: $(d, q) = (11, 19)$. In this case, (3.10) becomes

$$x + z\sqrt{-11} = (u + v\sqrt{-11})^5.$$

Now equating the imaginary parts, it gives,

$$v(5u^4 - 110u^2v^2 + 121v^4) = 2^a 11^b 19^c.$$

This shows that $v = \pm 2^{a_0} 11^{b_0}$ for some integers $0 \leq a_0 \leq a$ and $0 \leq b_0 \leq b$ as $\gcd(q, (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2) = \gcd(19, -4v^2) = 1$. Thus the above equation becomes

$$5u^4 - 110u^2v^2 + 121v^4 = \pm 2^{a-a_0} 11^{b-b_0} 19^c. \quad (3.15)$$

We first assume that v is even, then u is odd as $y = u^2 + 11v^2$ is odd. Therefore reading (3.15) modulo 8 one sees that $a = a_0, b - b_0 + c$ is odd and the sign on the right hand side is negative. Thus

$$5u^4 - 110u^2v^2 + 121v^4 = -11^{b-b_0} 19^c. \quad (3.16)$$

If $b - b_0 = 2B_0 + 1$ for some non-negative integer B_0 then $c = 2C_0$ for some positive integer C_0 and thus (3.16) can be read as

$$-11V^2 = 5U^4 - 110U^2 + 121,$$

where

$$(U, V) = \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{B_0} 19^{C_0}}{v^2} \right)$$

is a $\{2, 11\}$ -integral point on the above quartic curve. Using MAGMA, we conclude that there do not exist such points.

Again if $c = 2C_1 + 1$ for some non-negative integer C_1 then $b - b_0 = 2B_1$ for some positive integer B_1 and thus (3.16) can be read as

$$-19V^2 = 5U^4 - 10U^2 + 1,$$

where $(U, V) = \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{B_1} 19^{C_1}}{v^2}\right)$ is $\{2, 11\}$ -integral point on this quartic curve. As before using MAGMA one concludes it does not give any solution.

When v is odd, that is $v = \pm 11^{b_0}$ then u is even and $a_0 = 0$. Therefore reading (3.15) modulo 8 pertains to $1 \equiv \pm 2^a 3^{b-b_0+c} \pmod{8}$. This further implies that $a = 0$, $b - b_0 + c$ is odd and sign is positive. These data reduces (3.15) to

$$5U^2 - 110U^2 + 121 = AV^2,$$

where

$$(U, V, A) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{\frac{b-b_0}{2}} 19^{\frac{c}{2}}, 1\right)} & \text{if } 2 \mid b - b_0, 2 \mid c, \\ \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{\frac{b-b_0}{2}-1} 19^{\frac{c}{2}-1}, 209\right)} & \text{if } 2 \nmid b - b_0, 2 \nmid c. \end{cases}$$

We see that $(U, V) \in \{(0, \pm 11), (\pm 1, \pm 4)\}$ only when $A = 1$ (using MAGMA). These values do not add to any further solution to (3.5).

Case III: $(d, q) = (2, 11)$. In this case, (3.10) becomes

$$x + z\sqrt{-2} = (u + v\sqrt{-2})^5$$

and equating the imaginary parts gives,

$$v(5u^4 - 20u^2v^2 + 4v^4) = 2^a 11^b 19^c.$$

This shows that $v = \pm 2^{a_0} 19^{c_0}$ for some integers $0 \leq a_0 \leq a$ and $0 \leq c_0 \leq c$ as $\gcd(q, (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2) = \gcd(11, -4v^2) = 1$. Thus the above equation reduces to

$$5u^4 - 20u^2v^2 + 4v^4 = \pm 2^{a-a_0} 11^b 19^{c-c_0}.$$

Since $y = u^2 + 2v^2$ is odd, u is also odd. Thus reading the above relation modulo 4, we see that $a = a_0$ and it becomes,

$$5u^4 - 20u^2v^2 + 4v^4 = \pm 11^b 19^{c-c_0}.$$

Let us assume that $a_0 \geq 1$. Then v is even and thus reading this equation modulo 8 one gets $5 \equiv \pm 3^{b+c-c_0} \pmod{8}$. Similarly if $a_0 = 0$ then $5 \equiv \pm 3^{b+c-c_0} \pmod{8}$. This shows that $b + c - c_0$ is odd and sign is negative. Hence we have

$$5u^4 - 20u^2v^2 + 4v^4 = -11^b 19^{c-c_0}. \quad (3.17)$$

If $b = 2b_1 + 1$ for some non-negative integer b_1 then $c - c_0 = 2c_1$ for some positive integer c_1 and thus (3.17) can be read as

$$-11V^2 = 5U^4 - 20U^2 + 4,$$

where

$$(U, V) = \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b_1} 19^{c_1}}{v^2} \right)$$

is a $\{2, 19\}$ -integral points on the above quartic curve. As before using MAGMA, we obtain $(U, V) \in \{(\pm 15/8, \pm 41/64), (\pm 1, \pm 1), (\pm 1/2, \pm 1/4)\}$ and thus $(u, v) \in \{(\pm 1, \pm 1), (\pm 1, \pm 2)\}$ with $b_1 = c_1 = 0$. These further imply $(y, a, b, c) \in \{(3, 0, 1, 0), (9, 1, 1, 0)\}$ and thus the corresponding solutions of (3.5) are $(x, y, k, \ell, m, p) \in \{(1, 3, 1, 2, 0, 5), (241, 9, 3, 2, 0, 5)\}$.

Now if $c - c_0 = 2c_2 + 1$ for some non-negative integer c_2 then $b = 2b_2$ for some positive integer b_2 and thus (3.17) takes the shape

$$-19V^2 = 5U^4 - 20U^2 + 4,$$

where $(U, V) = \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b_2} 19^{c_2}}{v^2} \right)$ is $\{2, 19\}$ -integral point on this quartic curve. One can easily conclude by using MAGMA that there do not exist no such points.

Case IV: $(d, q) = (19, 11)$. In this case, (3.10) becomes

$$x + z\sqrt{-19} = (u + v\sqrt{-19})^5$$

and equating the imaginary parts gives,

$$v(5u^4 - 190u^2v^2 + 361v^4) = 2^a 11^b 19^c.$$

This shows that $v = \pm 2^{a_0} 19^{c_0}$ for some integers $0 \leq a_0 \leq a$ and $0 \leq c_0 \leq c$ as $\gcd(q, (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2) = \gcd(11, -4v^2) = 1$. Thus the above equation reduces to

$$5u^4 - 190u^2v^2 + 361v^4 = \pm 2^{a-a_0} 11^b 19^{c-c_0}. \quad (3.18)$$

We first assume that $a_0 = 0$. Then $v = 19^{c_0}$ is odd and thus u is even since $y = u^2 + 19v^2$ is odd. Therefore (3.18) becomes

$$5u^4 - 190u^2v^2 + 361v^4 = \pm 2^a 11^b 19^{c-c_0}.$$

Reading this modulo 8 one gets $a = 0$, $b + c - c_0$ is even and the sign is positive. Thus it reduces to

$$5u^4 - 190u^2v^2 + 361v^4 = 11^b 19^{c-c_0}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$5U^4 - 190U^2 + 361 = BV^2,$$

where

$$(U, V, B) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b/2} 19^{(c-c_0)/2}}{v^2}, 1 \right) & \text{if } b \equiv c - c_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b/2-1} 19^{(c-c_0)/2-1}}{v^2}, 209 \right) & \text{if } b \equiv c - c_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Using MAGMA, we get $(U, V) \in \{(0, \pm 19), (\pm 6, \pm 1)\}$ along with $b = 0, c = c_0$ only when $B = 1$. However $(U, V) = (\pm 6, \pm 1)$ is possible and it gives $(u, v) = (\pm 6, \pm 1)$. This further shows that $c = 0$ and $y = 55$ and correspondingly the solution to (3.5) is $(x, y, k, \ell, m, n) = (22434, 55, 0, 0, 1, 5)$.

The remaining case is when $a_0 \geq 1$. In this case, u is odd and hence reading (3.18) modulo 8 gives $a = a_0, b + c - c_0$ is odd and the sign is negative. Thus (3.18) reduces to

$$5u^4 - 190u^2v^2 + 361v^4 = -11^b 19^{c-c_0}.$$

This can be written as

$$5U^4 - 190U^2 + 361 = CV^2,$$

where

$$(U, V, C) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{(b-1)/2} 19^{(c-c_0)/2}}{v^2}, -11 \right) & \text{if } 2 \nmid b, \\ \left(\frac{u}{v}, \frac{11^{b/2} 19^{(c-c_0-1)/2}}{v^2}, -19 \right) & \text{if } 2 \mid b. \end{cases}$$

Here (U, V) is $\{2, 19\}$ -integral points. But using MAGMA we see that there are no such points on this curve. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof follows from Proposition 3.1, Corollary 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 except when n is a multiple of any prime $p \geq 5$.

Let $n = pt$ for a prime $p \geq 5$ and an integer $t \geq 2$. Then (2.2) can be read as

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell 19^m = Y^p, \quad x, Y \geq 1, \gcd(x, Y) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0, p \geq 5, \tag{3.19}$$

where $y^t = Y$. Further applying Proposition 3.3 one can conclude that $Y = 3, 5, 9, 55$. This forces $y = 3$ as $y^t = Y$ with $t \geq 2$ and the corresponding solution is $(x, y, k, \ell, m, n) = (241, 3, 3, 2, 0, 10)$ (see, Table 4). \square

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Here we discuss some special cases of Theorem 2.1 which help to deduce some known results and also give some new ones.

We first deduce the result of Cangül et al. [10], where they considered the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + 2^k 11^\ell = y^n; \quad x, y \geq 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell \geq 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Substituting $m = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we get their result [10, Theorem 1]. Similarly, one can deduce [10, Corollary 2] which gives the solutions of $x^2 + 11^\ell = y^n$, from Theorem 2.1 by putting $k = m = 0$. We note that the solutions of (4.1) can also be obtained from [11, Theorem 1.1] by taking $b = 0$.

Soydan et al. [28] determined all the solution of

$$x^2 + 2^a 19^b = y^n, \quad x, y \geq 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, n \geq 3.$$

As a particular case of Theorem 2.1 by putting $\ell = 0$ in (2.2), we recover their main result. We can also deduce [28, Corollary 2.1] from Theorem 2.1 by taking $k = \ell = 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A part of this manuscript was completed while second author was visiting Professor Kotyada Srinivas at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai. He is grateful to Professor Srinivas for his valuable comments and for stimulating environment at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai. The authors are grateful to Harish-Chandra Research Institute (HRI) for providing facilities to carry out this work. The third author is thankful to Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur for providing facilities/financial help to carry out this work. The authors are grateful to Professor Paul Voutier and Dr. Nguyen Xuan Tho for pointing out a couple of misprints in the previous version. The authors are thankful to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions which have helped improving the presentation immensely.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. S. Abu Muriefah, F. Luca and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 5^a 13^b = y^n$* , *Glasg. Math. J.* **50** (2008), 175–181.
- [2] F. S. A. Muriefah, F. Luca, S. Siksek and Sz. Tengely, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + C = 2y^n$* , *Int. J. Number Theory* **5** (2009), no. 6, 1117–1128.
- [3] S. A. Arif and F. S. A. Muriefah, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + q^{2k+1} = y^n$* , *J. Number Theory* **95** (2002), no. 1, 95–100.
- [4] M. A. Bennett and C. M. Skinner, *Ternary Diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms*, *Canad. J. Math.* **56** (2004), no. 1, 23–54.
- [5] A. Bérczes and I. Pink, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + p^{2k} = y^n$* , *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **91** (2008), no. 6, 505–517.
- [6] A. Bérczes and I. Pink, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + d^{2\ell+1} = y^n$* , *Glasg. Math. J.* **54** (2012), no. 2, 415–428.
- [7] S. Bhattar, A. Hoque and R. Sharma, *On the solutions of a Lebesgue-Nagell type equation*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **158** (2019), no. 3, 17–26.

- [8] Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot and P. M. Voutier, *Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers (with an appendix by M. Mignotte)*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **539** (2001), 75–122.
- [9] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte and S. Siksek, *Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations. II. The Lebesgue - Nagell equation*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), 31–62.
- [10] I. N. Cangül, M. Demirci, F. Luca, A. Pintér and G. Soydan, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^a 11^b = y^n$* , Fibonacci Quart. **48** (2010), no. 1, 39–46.
- [11] I. N. Cangül, M. Demirci, I. Inam, F. Luca and G. Soydan, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^a 3^b 11^c = y^n$* , Math. Slovaca **63** (2013), 647–659.
- [12] K. Chakraborty, A. Hoque and R. Sharma, *Complete solutions of certain Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell type equations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **97** (2020), no. 3-4, 339–352.
- [13] K. Chakraborty, A. Hoque and K. Srinivas, *On the Diophantine equation $cx^2 + p^{2m} = 4y^n$* , Results Math. **76** (2021), no. 2, Paper No. 57, pp. 12.
- [14] J. H. E. Cohn, *The Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^k = y^n$* , Arch. Math. (Basel) **59** (1992), 341–344.
- [15] J. H. E. Cohn, *The Diophantine equation $x^2 + C = y^n$* , Acta Arith. **55** (1993), 367–381.
- [16] A. Ghadermarzi, *On the Diophantine equations $x^2 + 2^\alpha 3^\beta 19^\gamma = y^n$ and $x^2 + 2^\alpha 3^\beta 13^\gamma = y^n$* , Math. Slovaca **69** (2019), no. 3, 507–520.
- [17] H. Godinho, M. Diego and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + C = y^n$, for $C = 2^a 3^b 17^c$ and $C = 2^a 13^b 17^c$* , Math. Slovaca **66** (2016), no. 3, 565–574.
- [18] E. Goins, F. Luca and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^\alpha 5^\beta 13^\gamma = y^n$* , Algorithmic number theory, 430–442, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 5011, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [19] A. Hoque and H. K. Saikia, *On the divisibility of class numbers of quadratic fields and the solvability of diophantine equations*, SeMA J. **73** (2016), no. 3, 213–217.
- [20] A. Hoque, *On a class of Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equations*, submitted for publication. [arXiv:2005.05214](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05214).
- [21] A. Hoque and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation $dx^2 + p^{2m} = 2y^n$* , submitted for publication.
- [22] M. Le, *On Cohn's conjecture concerning the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^m = y^n$* , Arch. Math. (Basel) **78** (2002), 26–35.
- [23] V. A. Lebesgue, *Sur l'impossibilité en nombres entiers de l'équation $x^m = y^2 + 1$* , Nouvelles Annales des Math. **9** (1850), p. 178.
- [24] F. Luca, Sz. Tengely and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + C = 4y^n$* , Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **33** (2009), no. 2, 171–184.
- [25] F. Luca and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^a 5^b = y^n$* , Int. J. Number Theory **4** (2008), no. 6, 973–979.
- [26] F. Luca and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^\alpha 13^\beta = y^n$* , Colloq. Math. **116** (2009), no. 1, 139–146.
- [27] M. Mignotte and B. M. M. de Weger, *it on the equations $x^2 + 74 = y^5$ and $x^2 + 86 = y^5$* , Glasgow Math. J. **38** (1996), no. 1, 77–85.
- [28] G. Soydan, M. Ulas and H. Zhu, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 2^a 19^b = y^n$* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **43** (2012), no. 3, 251–261.

- [29] Sz. Tengely, *On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + q^{2m} = 2y^p$* , Acta Arith. **127** (2007), no. 1, 71–86.

KALYAN CHAKRABORTY @KERALA SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOZHIKODE
673571, KERALA, INDIA.

Email address: `kalychak@ksom.res.in`

AZIZUL HOQUE @DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RANGAPARA COLLEGE,
RANGAPARA, SONITPUR 784505, ASSAM, INDIA.

Email address: `ahoque.ms@gmail.com`

RICHA SHARMA @MALAVIYA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAIPUR,
JAIPUR 302017, INDIA.

Email address: `richasharma582@gmail.com`