Semi-compactness and multiple oscillating pulses in kink scattering

D. Bazeia¹, Adalto R. Gomes², Fabiano C. Simas^{2,3*}

 ¹ Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58051-970, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil
 ² Programa de Pós-Graduação em Física, Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA),

Campus Universitário do Bacanga, 65085-580, São Luís, Maranhão, Brazil

³ Centro de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais-CCAA, Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA), 65500-000, Chapadinha, Maranhão, Brazil

Abstract

In this work we consider model of asymmetric kinks, where the behavior of the solution in one side is different from the other side. Also, the models depend of an integer n and, with the increase of n, the constructed kink assumes a hybrid character: a compactlike profile on one side and a kinklike profile on the other side. We investigate numerically the kink-antikink and antikink-kink dynamics, with the aim to understand the effect of the transition of the usual kink to the semi-compacton structure. The kink-antikink process shows the formation of one-bounce windows for small values of n. The increase of n favors the breaking this structure and the appearance of oscillatory modes. For antikink-kink collisions we report the appearance of two-bounce windows for small values of the parameter. We also found an intricate structure of two-oscillation windows.

^{*}Electronic address: bazeia@fisica.ufpb.br, argomes.ufma@gmail.com, adalto.gomes@ufma.br, fc.simas@ufma.br

I. INTRODUCTION

Solitons are solutions of nonlinear theories with special properties, such as topological profile, concentrated energy density, and finite energy; also, they maintain their form even after scattering with another soliton solution. More generally, solitary waves have concentrated energy density and finite energy, but they may not have topological profile and may interact changing form. They appear in several contexts in nonlinear physics, including optics [1], condensed matter [2, 3] and high energy physics [4, 5]. As examples of solitary waves that may or may not be solitons, we have kinks, lumps, vortices, magnetic monopoles and skyrmions [4]. Kinks are perhaps the simplest of these solutions, and they in general are not solitons, although kinks in the sine-Gordon model are good and simple examples of solitons. In fundamental physics, localized solutions are nicely considered in braneworld cosmology [6-9]. Effects of small nonplanar fluctuations in the collision of planar domain walls in (3, 1)dimensions were investigated in the Ref. [10]. Planar approximation for bubble collision is justifiable for nucleated bubbles that has been expanded considerably before colliding [10]. Bubble collisions in first-order phase transitions in the ultrarelativistic limit were considered in the Ref. [11]. Furthermore, domain walls have been used as a possibility to generate the Big Bang conditions [12, 13].

Kinks (as well as the corresponding antikinks) in (1,1) dimensions are very interesting localized structures, and have been studied in several distinct cases, in particular the scattering of kinks and antikinks have been intensively investigated in the recent literature. In integrable models like sine-Gordon [14] and KdV [15] the kink-antikink pair collide elastically and acquire at most a phase shift. However, kink scattering in non-integrable system is rich and have several surprising effects. In particular, a well studied nonintegrable model is the ϕ^4 model [16–22]. The intricate kink-antikink ($K\bar{K}$) scattering process depends crucially on the initial velocity. In this sense, a critical value of velocity (v_c) separates two regions: i) inelastic scattering for $v > v_c$, ii) formation of a bion state, for $v < v_c$. There, a composited kink-antikink state radiates until the complete annihilation of the pair. An intriguing behavior is observed for some intervals of $v \leq v_c$. In these intermediate values of velocities there is the formation of two-bounce windows, where the kink-antikink pair collide twice before receding each other. The appearance of such resonance windows is related to the energy exchange mechanism between the translational and vibrational modes [19]. Kink scattering has been investigated in several models. One can cite the polynomials with one scalar field, for instance, modified ϕ^4 model [23–25], ϕ^6 model [26–28], ϕ^8 model [29–31], nonpolynomial [32–35], models which possess kinks with power-law tails [36–38] and models with two scalar fields [39–44]. Furthermore, there are studies of kink collision with a boundary [45–47], with impurities [48–52], multi-kink collision [53–56] and other models [57–61].

In general, the presence of internal (vibrational) mode is an essential ingredient to understand the presence of two-bounce windows. However, in the Refs. [26, 31], two-bounce windows were found despite the absence of an internal oscillatory mode. This can be understood if we consider the perturbation of the antikink-kink pair [26, 31, 62]. In [23], the deformed ϕ^4 model leads to appearance of more than one vibrational mode. There one can observe the total suppression of two-bounce windows even with the presence of internal mode. In the Refs. [63, 64], it was investigated the role of quasinormal modes in kink scattering. The Ref. [63] shows that the quasinormal modes can store energy during the collision. However, these modes decay exponentially which leads to energy leakage. A similar behavior is observed in Ref. [64], where the square well potential is modified allowing the appearance of quasinormal modes. The effect of internal modes was also studied in the Refs. [52, 65]. The Ref. [52] shows the importance of oscillatory modes in the formation of spectral walls. This phenomenon occurs after that the internal mode disappears into continuous spectrum. Moreover, in the Ref. [66] is analyzed the kink-antikink collision in a Lorentz-violating ϕ^4 model. In that work, the influence of the Lorentz term change the localization of the twobounce windows. Furthermore, the radiation emitted by the kink-antikink is asymmetric, related by the birefringence phenomenon.

Compactons were proposed and studied in the Ref. [67] considering a Korteweg-de Vrieslike equation with nonlinear dispersion. The compacton has energy density that vanishes outside of compact space. On the other hand, the energy density of the kink vanishes asymptotically. The Ref. [68] analyzed the relevance of nonlinear stacking potential in models of double-stranded DNA. They showed that this interaction has a fundamental role in the location of energy along the biomolecule. Moreover, the choice of this potential provides the appearance of compactons. Compact baby skyrmions with nontrivial topological charge were obtained in the Ref. [69]. The Ref. [70] presented a route to transform kink solutions in compacton structures. Moreover, the authors observed the behavior of this process in a braneworld scenario, revealing a symmetric hybrid brane configuration. In the Ref. [71] an asymmetric compact structure was built in which the scalar field generates an asymmetric hybrid brane. Recently, have been discussed the scattering of generalized ϕ^4 model that support kinks with a compact profile [72]. In particular, the authors show the emergence of metastable structures with the formation of compact defects. Moreover, for small velocities, are formed long-lived oscillating structure, named compactlike oscillons. The scattering of generalized compact oscillons in the signum-Gordon model were studied in the Ref. [73], showing the generation of oscillons in the form of jet-like cascates.

Oscillons appear in primordial cosmology models, mostly related with bubble collisions [74] and the inflationary paradigm [75], but also with string cosmology [76]. It is of special interest to identify the conditions that allow the production of oscillons [77, 78]. Some works analyze the time evolution and decay of these solutions [79, 80]. Then it is of interest to consider other aspects of models that lead the production of oscillons, as noted in the kink-antikink scattering [34, 35] and multi-kink collisions [56].

In this work we consider the collision of defects that have a semi-compacton character. As far as we know, scattering of this type of defects has not been reported before. In the section II we consider the asymmetric compactlike structures proposed in the Ref. [71]. We analyze the spectrum of linear perturbations for isolated kink/antikink solutions and the collective kink-antikink and antikink-kink pair. In section III we present our results for kink-antikink and antikink-kink collisions. In both cases we report the appearance of oscillating pulses forming a structure of windows, whose richness can be related to the semi-compactness of the solutions. Finally, we conclude the present work in section IV.

II. THE MODEL

We consider the following action with standard dynamics

$$S = \int dt dx \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\phi - V(\phi)\right),\tag{1}$$

where the potential is given by [71]

$$V_n(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}n^2\phi^2[1 - (\phi^2)^{\frac{1}{n}}]^2,$$
(2)



FIG. 1: (a) Potential $V(\phi)$ and (b) field configurations $\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x)$ for n = 1 (red solid), n = 2 (green dash-dotted) n = 10 (blue dotted) and $n \to \infty$ (black dash).

and n is a positive integer. For n = 1 we recover the ϕ^6 model,

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 (1 - \phi^2)^2.$$
(3)

whereas for $n \to \infty$ we have

$$V_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^2 \ln^2(\phi^2).$$
 (4)

The Fig. 1a shows the plots of $V_n(\phi)$ for some values of n. Note that the potential is Z_2 symmetric and has three minima $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ and two local maxima that increase with n. Then we have two topological sectors, and without loosing generality we will consider only the sector $0 \le \phi \le 1$.

The equation of motion is given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + n^2 \phi [1 - (\phi^2)^{\frac{1}{n}}]^2 - 2n\phi [1 - (\phi^2)^{\frac{1}{n}}](\phi^2)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0.$$
(5)

and the static kink solution in the sector $0 \le \phi \le 1$ is given by [71]

$$\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x) = \left[\frac{1 + \tanh\left(x - a\right)}{2}\right]^{\frac{n}{2}},\tag{6}$$

where

$$a = \operatorname{arctanh}\left(\frac{2-n}{2+n}\right) \tag{7}$$

is a specific constant of integration chosen to guarantee that the local maximum of the

potential $V(\phi)$ occurs at $\phi = \phi_n^{(0,1)}(0)$ [71]. The antikink solutions are given by $\phi_n^{(1,0)}(x) = \phi_n^{(0,1)}(-x)$. The solution connecting the minima $\{-1,0\}$ can be found by taking $\phi_n^{(-1,0)}(x) = -\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x)$. The Fig. 1b shows the plots of the kink profile $\phi_n(0,1)$ for the same values of n considered in the Fig. 1a. Note that the increase of n gives solutions that approach faster the minimum $\phi = 0$. Indeed we have, for $x \leq -1.5$ and $1 \leq n \leq 6$,

$$\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x) \simeq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{n/2} e^{nx}.$$
(8)

For larger values of n, this approximation is good only for even lower values of x. On the other hand, the way the solution approach the minimum $\phi = 1$ is independent of n. There we have, for $x \gtrsim 1.5$,

$$\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x) \simeq 1 - e^{-2x}.$$
 (9)

An interesting limit is given for $n \to \infty$, where one has, for all values of x [71],

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n^{(0,1)}(x) = e^{-e^{-2x}}$$
(10)

That is, the increase of n tends to form a half-compacton. Note that for $n \to \infty$, the scalar field is already too close from the minimum $\phi = 0$ at a finite value of x < 0. In this case the solution is compact-like for x < 0 and kink-like for x > 0. Note also from the Fig. 1b that the behavior of the scalar field in the region x > 0 is almost independent of n for large x. That is, the main influence of n is in the region x < 0 and in the vicinity of x = 0 (up to x = 1.5 in the scale of Fig. 1b). Close to the vacuum $\phi = 1$, the solutions are roughly the same, independent of n.

Perturbing linearly the scalar field around the static kink/antikink solution $\phi_s(x)$ as $\phi(x,t) = \phi_s(x) + \eta(x) \cos(\omega t)$, we arrive at a Schrödinger-like equation

$$-\frac{d^2\eta(x)}{dx^2} + V_{sch}(x)\eta(x) = \omega^2\eta(x), \qquad (11)$$

with $V_{sch}(x) = V_{\phi\phi}(\phi_s(x))$. The Schrödinger-like potentials for kink $(\phi_n^{(0,1)})$ and antikink $(\phi_n^{(1,0)})$ solutions are depicted in the Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b, respectively. We see that $V_{sch}(x \to -\infty)$ (for the kink) and $V_{sch}(x \to +\infty)$ (for the antikink), increases with *n*. Indeed, from Eq. (2) we have for the squared mass m_n^2 of the elementary excitations at the vacuum $\phi = 0$,



FIG. 2: The Schrödinger potential $V_{sch}(x)$ for (a) kink $\phi_n^{(0,1)}$ and (b) antikink $\phi_n^{(1,0)}$. In all figures we fixed n = 1 (red solid), n = 2 (blue solid) and $3 \le n \le 6$ (black line).



FIG. 3: The squared frequencies ω^2 of the vibrational states as a function of parameter n for an isolated kink or antikink.

 $m_n^2 = V_{\phi\phi}|_{\phi=0} = n^2$. The asymptotic values of the kink stability potential correspond to $V_{sch}(x) = n^2$ for $x \to -\infty$ and $V_{sch}(x) = 4$ for $x \to +\infty$. Note also that $V_{sch}(x)$ for the kink corresponds to $V_{sch}(-x)$ for the antikink.

We solved numerically the Eq. (11) for a single kink or antikink. For $n \ge 1$ there is always a zero-mode, responsible for the translational invariance of the solutions. For n = 1there is no vibrational mode, as already known for the ϕ^6 model [26]. For $n \ge 2$ there is always one vibrational mode. The Fig. 3 shows that the squared frequency of the vibrational mode increases monotonically with n.

We also analyzed numerically the occurrence of vibrational states considering kinkantikink and antikink-kink pairs.

The Fig. 4a shows the Schrödinger-like potential for the kink-antikink pair for some values of n. The pair is separated by the distance $2x_0$, with $x_0 = 8$. Note from the figure that the potential is characterized by two minima at the positions of the centers of the kink



FIG. 4: Schrödinger potential $V_{sch}(x)$ for (a) kink-antikink $\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x+x_0) + \phi_n^{(1,0)}(x-x_0) - 1$ and (b) antikink-kink $\phi_n^{(1,0)}(x+x_0) + \phi_n^{(0,1)}(x-x_0)$. In all figures $x_0 = 8$. We fixed n = 1 (red solid), n = 2 (blue solid), n = 3 (black line) and n = 4 (green line).



FIG. 5: Number of vibrational states for (a) kink-antikink and (b) antikink-kink, corresponding to the Schrödinger potentials of Figs 4a-b.

and antikink. The value of the minima decrease slightly with n. In between the minima, the potential acquires a plateau independent of n. The asymptotic values at $x \to \pm \infty$ increases with n. The number of vibrational modes for each value of n is depicted in the Fig. 5a. Note that for n = 1 there is no vibrational mode for the kink-antikink pair, as known for the ϕ^6 model. It has only one mode for n = 2 and increases significatively with n for $n \ge 3$. The growing of x_0 leads to the increasing in the number of vibrational states for $n \ge 3$, whereas the cases n = 1 and n = 2 is independent of x_0 , for the kink-antikink pair. The choice of x_0 must guarantee that there is not a sensible overlap between the kink and antikink solutions. This is a necessary condition for the linear stability analysis.

The Fig. 4b shows the Schrödinger-like potential for the antikink-kink pair for some values of n. We also considered $x_0 = 8$. The potential has two minima at the positions of the antikink and kink, similarly to the observed for the kink-antikink pair. The central

plateau between the minima increases with n, whereas the asymptotic value at $x \to \pm \infty$ is constant, independent of n. The number of vibrational modes for each value of n is depicted in the Fig. 5b. Note from the figure that for n = 1 there are four vibrational modes. These modes were considered in the Ref. [26] for explaining the occurrence of two-bounce windows in the ϕ^6 model. For each $n \ge 2$ there is only one vibrational mode. The growing of x_0 leads to the increasing in the number of vibrational states for n = 1, whereas the cases $n \ge 2$ is independent of x_0 , since we are now dealing with antikink-kink pair.

Note that, despite the Schrödinger-like potential for the kink and antikink are related by a $x \rightarrow -x$ transformation, there is no such symmetry for kink-antikink and antikink-kink pairs. This means that the scattering process for the pairs must be considered separately, as we will do in the following section.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Here we will discuss our main results of the kink-antikink and antikink-kink scattering in the sector $\{0, 1\}$. We solved the equation of motion with a 4th order finite-difference method with a spatial step $\delta x = 0.05$. We fixed $x_0 = 12$ for the initial symmetric position of the pair. For the time dependence we used a 6th order sympletic integrator method, with a time step $\delta t = 0.02$.

A. Kink-antikink scattering

For solving the equation for kink-antikink scattering we used the following initial conditions

$$\phi(x,0) = \phi_n^{(0,1)}(x+x_0,0) + \phi_n^{(1,0)}(x-x_0,0) - 1$$
(12)

$$\dot{\phi}(x,0) = \dot{\phi}_n^{(0,1)}(x+x_0,0) + \dot{\phi}_n^{(1,0)}(x-x_0,0),$$
(13)

where $\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x,t) \equiv \phi_n^{(0,1)}(\gamma(x-vt))$ means a boost of Lorentz for the static kink solution $\phi_n^{(0,1)}(x)$ and $\gamma = (1-v^2)^{-1/2}$.

We analyzed the collisions varying the initial velocity v and the parameter n. First of



FIG. 6: Kink-antikink collisions for n = 1 with (a) v = 0.28 - bion and (b) v = 0.30 - one-bounce.



FIG. 7: Kink-antikink collision. Critical velocity as a function of n.

all, we observe that when n = 1 we recover the same results achieved for the kink-antikink collision of the ϕ^6 model. In particular, for $v < v_c \approx 0.289$, the kink-antikink pair always become trapped in x = 0, as we can see in the Fig. 6a. On the other hand, with $v > v_c$, the $K\bar{K}$ pair escapes to the other vacuum after the first impact - see the Fig. 6b.

We illustrate in the Fig. 7 the dependence of critical velocity v_c versus n. The plot shows that v_c has a minimum at n = 2, where $v_c = 0.1516$. For $n \ge 3$ we observe the enlargement of the region of bion states.

Now we discuss our main results concerning the kink-antikink scattering for several values of $n \ge 2$. We report the production of oscillating pulses for some values of initial velocity and n. Three examples for n = 7 are shown in the Fig. 8. For instance, we observe in Fig. 8(a) the presence of bion state in the center of collision for n = 7 with v = 0.3896. On the other hand, for some ranges of initial velocity we can observe the formation of two and three oscillating pulses - see Fig. 8(b)-(c) for n = 7 with v = 0.445 and v = 0.4562, respectively. These pulses are almost harmonic oscillations of the scalar field around one vacuum. The



FIG. 8: Kink-antikink collisions and formation of oscillating pulses for n = 7 with (a) v = 0.3896 - bion, (b) v = 0.445 - two pulses and (c) v = 0.4562 - three pulses.



FIG. 9: Kink-antikink collisions: bion state (white), two oscillations (red), three oscillations (blue) and the $K\bar{K}$ escapes to the other vacuum (green) for (a) n = 3 and (b) n = 4.



FIG. 10: Kink-antikink collisions: bion state (white), two oscillations (red), three oscillations (blue) and the $K\bar{K}$ escapes to the other vacuum (green) for (a) n = 5, (b) n = 7 and (c) n = 9.

formation of these oscillating solutions resembles the behavior reported in Ref. [81], where the authors noted the presence of an oscillon in the collision of two identical wave trains. However, the oscillons could be identified when the leading frequency oscillates within the mass gap [81].

For small values of n, for example, n = 2 these oscillations are absent. There, our results show at most the formation of a central bion. The appearance of these oscillations is sensitive to the value of the initial velocity and the n parameter. The increase of n contributes to the appearance of an intricate structure with greater number of oscillating pulses. As we can see in Fig. 5, the number of vibrational modes increase with the parameter n. As a consequence, this new intricate structure may be connected with the extra internal states. In the Fig. 9 and 10 we summarize some results regarding the production of oscillations as a function of the initial velocity. In both figures, we can see that the green region corresponds to $v > v_c$,

FIG. 11: Kink-antikink collisions: scalar field at the center of mass $\phi(0, t)$ as a function of t for (a) v = 0.265, (b) v = 0.29 and (c) v = 0.299 with N = 3, N = 4 and N = 5 oscillations, respectively.

FIG. 12: Number of oscillations during an one-bounce collision as a function initial velocity for n = 3.

where there is the inelastic scattering of the kink-antikink pair, changing of topological sector after the collision, in a behavior analogous to the described in the Fig. 6b for n = 1. That is, we have the production of an antikink-kink pair: $\phi_n^{(0,1)} + \phi_n^{(1,0)} \rightarrow \phi_n^{(0,-1)} + \phi_n^{(-1,0)}$. The red and blue colors indicate the scattering of two and three pulses, respectively. The oscillations appear from $n \ge 3$. We can observe that the increase of n produces an increase in the number of pulses formed.

Another type of structure also appears for $v \leq v_c$: the presence of one-bounce windows. This specific type of one-bounce collision is exemplified for n = 3 in the Figs. 11a-c, which show the scalar field at the center of mass $\phi(0, t)$ as a function of t. There one can see that after the collision, the scalar field oscillates one (Fig. 11a), two (Fig. 11b) or three (Fig. 11c) times around the minimum $\phi = -1$, returning to the original minimum $\phi = 1$ after the bouncing. This pattern was already observed in hybrid models [28] and the modified sine-Gordon [32].

The number of oscillations during the bouncing has a structure, as shown in the Fig. 12. There one can see the number of oscillations in the one-bounce windows as a function

FIG. 13: Antikink-kink collisions for n = 1 with (a) v = 0.0228 - two-bounce and (b) v = 0.046 - one-bounce.

of initial velocity. Note from this figure that the thickness of resonant structure decreases with v, when the number of oscillations during the bouncing increases. In particular, the scattering described in the Figs. 11a-c corresponds, respectively, to the first three one-bounce windows from Fig. 12.

B. Antikink-kink scattering

For the antikink-kink scattering in the sector $\{0, 1\}$, the initial conditions are given by

$$\phi(x,0) = \phi_n^{(1,0)}(x+x_0,0) + \phi_n^{(0,1)}(x-x_0,0)$$
(14)

$$\dot{\phi}(x,0) = \dot{\phi}_n^{(1,0)}(x+x_0,0) + \dot{\phi}_n^{(0,1)}(x-x_0,0).$$
 (15)

For n = 1 we recover the known ϕ^6 results [26], with the formation of resonance structure even without the vibrational mode for a single kink or antikink for $v < v_c \approx 0.0457$ (see, for example, see Fig. 13a). The reason for this was presented in Ref. [26] in terms of the vibrational states of the antikink-kink pair. For $v > v_c$ there is an inelastic scattering of the antikink-kink, as described for instance in the Fig. 13b.

For n = 2, we also note the appearance of the resonant window structure. For $v > v_c \approx 0.2598$, the output is an inelastic scattering between the antikink-kink pair. On the other hand, when $v < v_c$ usually occurs the production of bion states, where the scalar field $\phi(0, t)$ oscillates erratically, decaying in the long run to the minimum $\phi = 1$. Furthermore, for

FIG. 14: Time to the first (black), second (red) and third (blue) antikink-kink collision as a function of initial velocity v for (a) n = 3 and (b) n = 5.

FIG. 15: Antikink-kink scattering: Scalar field $\phi(x = 0, t)$ at the collision center as a function of time for three consecutive two-bounce windows for n = 3 with (a) v = 0.41, (b) v = 0.423 and (c) v = 0.428.

some narrow intervals of velocity there is formation of two-bounce windows. This process is related to a resonant energy exchange between the translational and vibrational modes [19].

It is interesting to note that the critical velocity observed for n = 2 is very close to the critical velocity in collisions of kinks in the ϕ^4 model. Also the squared frequency $\omega^2 = 3$ from Fig. 3 is the same from the ϕ^4 model. Indeed, the transformation $\phi \to \phi - 1$ turns the model n = 2 to the ϕ^4 model. However, despite the symmetry of the kink, the order in which the kink-antikink or antikink-kink pair appears in the line shows the different structures in the critical velocity. Probably, the difference is due to the behavior of the potential beyond of the interval $0 < \phi < 1$, which contributes to the equation of motion.

An example of the structure of two-bounce windows is presented in the Fig. 14a, where we plot the behavior of the times of first, second and third antikink-kink collisions as a function of initial velocity of n = 3. Note that the thickness of each two-bounce windows decreases with the velocity. For $v < v_c \approx 0.4367$, bion states or two-bounce windows occur. At the edges of each two-bounce window one sees the presence of three and four-bounce windows.

FIG. 16: Antikink-kink scattering: Scalar field $\phi(x = 0, t)$ at the collision center as a function of time for n = 7 with v = 0.6.

Some examples of two-bounce collisions are depicted in the Figs. 15a-c. The two-bounce windows can be analyzed counting the number M of cycle oscillations of $\phi(x = 0, t)$ between each collision. Each window can then labeled by an integer m = M - 2. For instance, Fig. 15a shows the plot of $\phi(x = 0, t)$ oscillates M = 3, corresponding to the expected first (m = 1) two-bounce windows. In the Figs. 15b-c, the scalar field oscillates M = 4 and M = 5 times around the vacuum $\phi = 0$ during the bouncing, corresponding to the second (m = 2) and third (m = 3) two-bounce windows, respectively.

An example of two-bounce window for a larger value of n is depicted in Fig. 16. In this figure we describe the behavior of $\phi(x = 0, t)$ for the case n = 7 with v = 0.60. Note that the two bounces are characterized by two peaks. There is no oscillation between the bounces, that characterizes it as a zero-order window. The behavior is slightly different when compared to the Fig. 15.

As we saw in the previous section, for $n \ge 2$, an isolated antikink or kink has always one vibrational state (see the Fig. 3). The same applies for the antikink-kink pair (cf. Fig. 5b). Then, one would expect the presence of two-bounce windows. However, as the value of n increases, the higher order windows disappear, traded by false two-bounce windows or quasiresonances [33]; see, for instance, the above Fig. 14b for n = 5. The absence of the resonance windows is related to the approximation of the value of the energy of the vibrational mode with the mass threshold - see Fig. 3. As a result, the translational and vibrational modes are unable to perform the resonant energy exchange mechanism.

The antikink-kink scattering can also lead to the production of propagating oscillations. One example of this is presented in the Fig. 17 for n = 6. The structure of the antikink-kink scattering as a function of v and for several values of n is summarized in the Fig. 18. There one can see the suppression of most of the two-bounce widows (green regions in the Fig.

FIG. 17: Antikink-kink collisions and two pulses formation for n = 6 with v = 0.6267.

FIG. 18: Antikink-kink collisions: bion (white), two propagating oscillations (blue), two-bounce windows (green) and inelastic scattering with one-bounce (red) for (a)n = 4, (b) n = 6, (c) n = 8, and (d) n = 10.

FIG. 19: Antikink-kink collision: critical velocity as a function of n.

18) with the increase of n. Also, one can see that for $v > v_c$ there is inelastic scattering of the antikink-kink pair, and that v_c increases with n (shown explicitly in the Fig. 19). The process is characterized by the scalar field at the center of mass bouncing once (red region in the Fig. 18). The region of production of two propagating oscillations is identified in the diagrams in blue. The structure of the windows is intrincate, with no clear pattern for low n. For $n \ge 8$ one can see some similarity in the structure of the diagrams, with the number of windows being preserved. We note the windows being pushed to larger velocities for larger values of n (compare the Figs. 18c and 18d).

IV. CONCLUSION

In this work we considered a class of models that depends on a single parameter n, which engenders an interesting property: for n = 1, it is the well-known ϕ^6 model, and as n changes to higher integers, it supports kinklike configurations that tend to acquire a semi-compact profile when n is very large. Due to the richness of the solutions, the effects of n was investigated both in the kink-antikink and in the antikink-kink collisions.

As we have shown, the kink-antikink scattering is characterized by the production of bion states and propagating oscillations. The propagating oscillations are produced in windows of velocities that increase in number and thickness with the increase of n. This effect was also observed, but in lower extension, for antikink-kink scattering. There, beside bion states and propagating oscillations (in an almost constant number for $n \ge 8$), one can have two-bounce windows in a reduced number for large n. The pattern of the diagrams that describe the scattering (Figs. 9, 10 and 18) is intrincate, but the richness of the diagrams for large n that describe oscillations for kink-antikink scattering (Figs. 9 and 10) can be related to the presence of more vibrational states for the kink-antikink pair (as seen in the Fig. 5a). Also, the similarity for large n of the structure of the diagrams for antikink-kink scattering (see the Fig. 18) can be related to the almost constant squared frequencies of the vibrational states (cf. Fig. 3). That is, the linear stability analysis corroborates the observation that a defect with a semi-compacton character present a rich pattern of scattering.

A result of particular interest is that the semi-compactness character of the kinklike configuration for larger values of n is important for the production of multiple oscillations, as it is evident from the richer structure of the kink-antikink scattering in comparison with the antikink-kink collision. Indeed, as shown in the Sect. II, each solution is asymmetric (except for the n = 2 case), with a kink profile on one side and an (almost) compact profile one on the other side, as we increase n to larger and larger values. For this reason, in the antikink-kink collision the left and right tails of the composed structure have the standard kinklike profile. This does not occur for the kink-antikink collision, since for larger values of n, the left and right tails of the composed structure engender the compact profile. As we have shown, the difference appears as very distinct outcomes of the two collisions, and the results may be of current physical interest. As we have shown in Eq. (6), the tail of the compact portion of the solution has a very short double exponential range, which acts to modify the results of the collision. This is somehow similar to the recent studies described in [36, 37], which also found differences between kink and antikink scatterings, although there the authors considered kinklike configurations with long range interactions. In this sense, our results seems to close a gap, showing that asymmetric kinks produce distinct outcomes when they collide: there are different collisions in the case of asymmetric kinks with standard exponential interaction as in the ϕ^6 model [26], with the long range polynomial interactions considered in [37] for higher power in the scalar field, and also with very short double exponential tails which we investigated in the present work. The collisions described in [26], [37] and in the present work differ from one another, and can be used to describe different situations of current physical interest.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

D.B. acknowledges CNPq (Grants No. 303469/2019-6 and No. 404913/2018-0) and Paraiba State Research Foundation (Grant 0015/2019) for financial support. A.R.G thanks CNPq (brazilian agency) through grants 437923/2018-5 and 311501/2018-4 for financial support. This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. F.C.S. and A.R.G thank FAPEMA - Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e ao Desenvolvimento do Maranhão through grants PRONEM 01852/14, Universal 00920/19, 01191/16 and 01441/18.

- F. Abdullaev, S. Darmanyan, P. Khabibullaev, J. Engelbrecht, Optical Solitons, Springer, New Yorl, 2014.
- [2] T. Dauxois, M. Peyrard, Physics of Solitons, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [3] A. R. Bishop e T. Schenneider, Solitons and Condensed Matter Physics, (Springer-Verlag, Berlim, 1978).
- [4] N. Manton, P. Sutcliffe, Topological Solitons, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2004.
- [5] T. Vachaspati, Kinks and Domain Walls: An Introduction to Classical and Quantum Solitons, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2006.
- [6] M. Bucher, A brane world universe from colliding bubbles, Phys. Lett. B 530 (2002) 1.
- [7] D. Langlois, Brane cosmology: An introduction, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 148 (2003) 181.
- [8] Damien P. George, Mark Trodden, Raymond R. Volkas, Extra-dimensional cosmology with domain-wall branes, JHEP 2, 035 (2009).
- [9] Y. Takamizu, K. Maeda, Collision of domain walls and reheating of the brane universe, Phys. Rev. D 70, 123514 (2004).
- [10] Jonathan Braden, J. Richard Bond and Laura Mersini-Houghton, Cosmic bubble and domain wall instabilities II: fracturing of colliding walls, JCAP08(2015)048.
- [11] Ryusuke Jinno, Thomas Konstandin and Masahiro Takimoto, Relativistic bubble collisions a closer look, JCAP 09 (2019) 035.
- [12] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, The Ekpyrotic universe: Colliding

branes and the origin of the hot big bang, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 123522.

- [13] Y.-i. Takamizu and K.-i. Maeda, Collision of domain walls and reheating of the brane universe, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 123514.
- [14] J. Cuevas-Maraver, P.G. Kevrekidis, F. Williams, The sine-Gordon Model and Its Applications, Springer, Heidelberg, 2014.
- [15] E. Fermi, J. Pasta, S. Ulam, Studies of the Nonlinear Problems, I, Los Alamos Report LA-1940, 1955.
- [16] T. Sugiyama, Kink-antikink collisions in the two-dimensional ϕ^4 model, Prog. Theor. Phys. 61 (1979) 1550.
- [17] M. Moshir, Soliton-anti-soliton scattering and capture in $\lambda \phi^4$ theory, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) 318.
- [18] C. A. Wingate, Numerical search for a ϕ^4 breather mode, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 43 (1983) 120.
- [19] D.K. Campbell, J.F. Schonfeld and C.A. Wingate, Resonance structure in kink-antikink interactions in ϕ^4 theory, Physica D 9 (1983) 1.
- [20] T. Belova and A. Kudryavtsev, Quasi-periodic orbits in the scalar classical $\lambda \phi^4$ field theory, Physica D 32 (1988) 18.
- [21] P. Anninos, S. Oliveira and R.A. Matzner, Fractal structure in the scalar $\lambda(\phi^2 1)^2$ theory, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 1147.
- [22] R.H. Goodman and R. Haberman, Kink-antikink collisions in the ϕ^4 equation: the n-bounce resonance and the separatrix map, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst. 4 (2005) 1195.
- [23] F. C. de Simas, A. R. Gomes, K. Z. Nobrega, J. C. R. E. Oliveira, Suppression of two-bounce windows in kink-antikink collisions. J. High Energy Phys. 2016(9), 104 (2016).
- [24] A. R. Gomes, F. C. Simas, K. Z. Nobrega, P. P. Avelino, False vacuum decay in kink scattering.J. High Energy Phys. 2018(10), 192 (2018).
- [25] M. Mohammadi, R. Dehghani, Kink-antikink collisions in the periodic φ^4 model, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 94, 105575 (2021).
- [26] P. Dorey, K. Mersh, T. Romanczukiewicz and Y. Shnir, Kink-antikink collisions in the ϕ^6 model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 091602.
- [27] V. A. Gani, A. E. Kudryavtsev and M. A. Lizunova, Kink interactions in the (1 + 1)dimensional ϕ^6 model, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 125009.

- [28] D. Bazeia, A. R. Gomes, K. Z. Nobrega, F. C. Simas, Kink scattering in a hybrid model. Phys. Lett. B 793, 26-32 (2019).
- [29] V. A. Gani, V. Lensky and M.A. Lizunova, Kink excitation spectra in the (1 + 1)-dimensional ϕ^8 model, JHEP 08 (2015) 147.
- [30] N. S. Manton, Forces between kinks and antikinks with long-range tails, J. Phys. A 52 (2019) 065401.
- [31] E. Belendryasova and V. A. Gani, Scattering of the ϕ^8 kinks with power-law asymptotics, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 67 (2019) 414.
- [32] M. Peyrard, D.K. Campbell, Kink-antikink interactions in a modified sine-Gordon model, Physica D 9 (1983) 33-51.
- [33] V.A. Gani, A.E. Kudryavtsev, Kink-antikink interactions in the double sine-Gordon equation and the problem of resonance frequencies, Phys. Rev. E 60 (1999) 3305.
- [34] V.A. Gani, A.M. Marjaneh, A. Askari, E. Belendryasova, D. Saadatmand, Scattering of the double sine-Gordon kinks, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 345.
- [35] D. Bazeia, E. Belendryasova, Vakhid A. Gani, Scattering of kinks of the sinh-deformed ϕ^4 model, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 (2018) 340.
- [36] I.C. Christov, R.J. Decker, A. Demirkaya, V.A. Gani, P.G. Kevrekidis and R.V. Radomskiy, Long-range interactions of kinks, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2019) 016010.
- [37] I.C. Christov et al., Kink-kink and kink-antikink interactions with long-range tails, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 171601.
- [38] I.C. Christov, R.J. Decker, A. Demirkaya, V.A. Gani, P.G. Kevrekidis, A. Saxena, Kinkantikink collisions and multi-bounce resonance windows in higher-order field theories, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 97 (2021) 105748.
- [39] A. Halavanau, T. Romanczukiewicz, Ya. Shnir, Resonance structures in coupled two- component φ⁴ model, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 085027.
- [40] A. Alonso-Izquierdo, Reflection, transmutation, annihilation and resonance in two-component kink collisions, Phys. Rev. D 97 (4) (2018) 045016.
- [41] A. Alonso-Izquierdo, Kink dynamics in a system of two coupled scalar fields in two space-time dimensions, Physica 365 (2018) 12-26.
- [42] A. Alonso-Izquierdo, Asymmetric kink scattering in a two- component scalar field theory model, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 75 (2019) 200-219.

- [43] A. Alonso-Izquierdo, Kink dynamics in the MSTB model, Phys. Scripta 94 (8) (2019) 085302.
- [44] A. Alonso-Izquierdo, Non-topological kink scattering in a two-component scalar field theory model, Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat 85 (2020) 105251.
- [45] P. Dorey, A. Halavanau, J. Mercer, T. Romanczukiewicz, Y. Shnir, Boundary scattering in the φ⁴ model, J. High Energy Phys. 1705 (2017) 107.
- [46] R. Arthur, P. Dorey, R. Parini, Breaking integrability at the boundary: the sine-gordon model with robin boundary conditions, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor. 49 (2016) 165205.
- [47] Fred C. Lima, Fabiano C. Simas, K. Z. Nobrega, Adalto R. Gomes, Boundary scattering in the ϕ^6 model, J. High Energy Phys. 10 (2019) 147.
- [48] R.H. Goodman, R. Haberman, Interaction of sine-gordon kinks with defects: the two-bounce resonance, Physica D 195 (3) (2004) 303.
- [49] Z. Fei, Y.S. Kivshar, L. Vazquez, Resonant kink-impurity interactions in the sine-gordon model, Phys. Rev. A 45 (1992) 6019-6030.
- [50] M.I.W. Roy, H. Goodman, Philip J. Holmes, Interaction of sine-Gordon kinks with defects: phase space transport in a two-mode model, Physica D 161 (2002) 21.
- [51] João G. F. Campos, Azadeh Mohammadi, Fermion transfer in the ϕ^4 model with a half-BPS preserving impurity, Phys. Rev. D 102, 045003 (2020).
- [52] C. Adam, K. Oles, T. Romanczukiewicz, A. Wereszczynski, Spectral Walls in Soliton Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (24) (2019) 241601.
- [53] A. M. Marjaneh, V. A. Gani, D. Saadatmand, S. V. Dmitriev, K. Javidan, Multi-kink collisions in the φ⁶ model. J. High Energy Phys. 2017(7), 28 (2017).
- [54] A. M. Marjaneh, D. Saadatmand, K. Zhou, S. V. Dmitriev, M. E. Zomorrodian, High energy density in the collision of n kinks in the φ⁴ model. Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 49, 30-38 (2017).
- [55] A.M. Marjaneh, A. Askari, D. Saadatmand, S.V. Dmitriev, Extreme values of elastic strain and energy in sine-gordon multi-kink collisions. Eur. Phys. J. B 91(1), 22 (2018).
- [56] V. A. Gani, A. M. Marjaneh, D. Saadatmand, Multi-kink scattering in the double sine-Gordon model, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (7) (2019) 620.
- [57] A.R. Gomes, R. Menezes, K.Z. Nobrega, F.C. Simas, Kink-antikink collisions for twin models, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) 065022.
- [58] F. C. de Simas, A. R. Gomes, K. Z. Nobrega, Degenerate vacua to vacuumless model and

kink-antikink collisions. Phys. Lett. B 775, 290-296 (2017).

- [59] T. S. Mendonça, H. P. de Oliveira, The collision of two-kinks defects, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2015) 120.
- [60] T. S. Mendonça, H. P. de Oliveira, A note about a new class of two-kinks, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2015) 133.
- [61] D. Bazeia, A. R. Gomes, K. Z. Nobrega, F. C. Simas, Kink scattering in hyperbolic models, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 34 (2019) 1950200.
- [62] V.A. Gani, Vibrations of thick domain walls: How to avoid no-go theorem, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1690 (2020) 012095.
- [63] Patrick Dorey, Tomasz Romanczukiewicz, Resonant kink-antikink scattering through quasinormal modes, Phys. Lett. B 779 (2018) 117-123.
- [64] João G. F. Campos, Azadeh Mohammadi, Quasinormal modes in kink excitations and kinkantikink interactions: a toy model, Eur. Phys. J. C (2020) 80:352.
- [65] C. Adam, K. Oles, T. Romanczukiewicz, A. Wereszczynski, Kink-antikink scattering in the ϕ^4 model without static intersoliton forces, Phys. Rev. D 101, 105021 (2020).
- [66] Haobo Yan, Yuan Zhong, Yu-Xiao Liu, Kei-ichi Maeda, Kink-antikink collision in a Lorentzviolating ϕ^4 model, Phys. Lett. B 807 (2020) 135542.
- [67] P. Rosenau, J. M. Hyman, Compactons: Solitons with Finite Wavelength, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 564
- [68] G. Saccomandi, I. Sgura, The relevance of nonlinear stacking interactions in simple models of double-stranded DNA, J. R. Soc. Interface 3 655-67 (2006).
- [69] C. Adam, P. Klimas, J. Sánchez-Guillén and A. Wereszczyński, Compact baby Skyrmions, Phys. Rev. D 80, 105013 (2009).
- [70] D. Bazeia, L. Losano, M.A. Marques, R. Menezes, From kinks to compactons, Phys. Lett. B 736 (2014) 515-521.
- [71] D. Bazeia, M. A. Marques, R. Menezes, Models for asymmetric hybrid brane, Phys. Rev. D 92, 084058 (2015).
- [72] D. Bazeia, T. S. Mendonça, R. Menezes, H. P. de Oliveira, Scattering of compactlike structures, Eur. Phys. J. C (2019) 79:1000.
- [73] F. M. Hahne, P. Klimas, J. S. Streibela and W. J. Zakrzewskib, Scattering of compact oscillons, JHEP 01 (2020) 006.

- [74] Edmund J. Copeland, M. Gleiser, H.-R. Muller, Oscillons: Resonant configurations during bubble collapse, Phys.Rev. D 52, 1920 (1995).
- [75] Mustafa A. Amin, Richard Easther, Hal Finkel, Raphael Flauger, Mark P. Hertzberg, Oscillons After Inflation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 (2012) 241302.
- [76] Stefan Antusch, Francesco Cefala, Sven Krippendorf, Francesco Muia, Stefano Orani, Oscillons from String Moduli, JHEP 01 (2018) 083.
- [77] Marcelo Gleiser, David Sicilia, A General Theory of Oscillon Dynamics, Phys.Rev.D 80 (2009) 125037.
- [78] Gyula Fodor, Peter Forgacs, Zalan Horvath, Arpad Lukacs, Small amplitude quasi-breathers and oscillons, Phys.Rev.D 78 (2008) 025003.
- [79] Paul M. Saffin, Anders Tranberg, Oscillons and quasi-breathers in D+1 dimensions, JHEP 01 (2007) 030.
- [80] Petja Salmi, Mark Hindmarsh, Radiation and Relaxation of Oscillons, Phys.Rev.D 85 (2012) 085033.
- [81] T. Romanczukiewicz and Y. Shnir, Oscillon resonances and creation of kinks in particle collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 081601.