Enhancement of giant refrigerant capacity in $Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB_2$ alloys $(0.1 \le x \le 0.4)$

Pedro Baptista de Castro^{1,2}*, Kensei Terashima¹*, Takafumi D Yamamoto¹, Suguru Iwasaki³, Akiko T. Saito¹, Ryo Matsumoto¹, Shintaro Adachi⁴, Yoshito Saito^{1,2}, Mohammed ElMassalami⁵, Hiroyuki Takeya¹, Yoshihiko Takano^{1,2}

¹National Institute for Materials Science, 1-2-1 Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0047, Japan

²University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

³Hokkaido University, Laboratory of Nanostructured Functional Materials, Research Institute for Electronic Science (RIES), N20 W10, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 001-0020, Japan

⁴Kyoto University of Advanced Science (KUAS), Ukyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8577, Japan

⁵Instituto de Fisica, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, CxP 68528, 21945-972 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

E-mail: CASTRO.Pedro@nims.go.jp, TERASHIMA.Kensei@nims.go.jp

Keywords: magnetic refrigeration, magnetocaloric effect, refrigerant capacity, ferromagnet

Abstract

Intending to optimize the giant magnetocaloric properties of HoB₂, we synthesized and magnetocalorically characterized Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂($0.1 \le x \le 0.4$) alloys. We found out that Gd enters stoichiometrically and randomly into the Ho site, leading to a Vegard-type structural change. The addition of spherical S^{7/2} Gd³⁺ moments prompts an enhancement in Curie temperature, a reduction in peak value of the magnetic entropy change while still being relatively high, and a broadening of the magnetic entropy change curves. The overall influence is a relatively high refrigerant capacity and relative cooling power, and an extension of the thermal working range to higher temperatures; thus, electing Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ as potential candidates for cryogenic refrigeration applications.

1. Introduction

The magnetocaloric effect (MCE) is often characterized by the magnitude of the induced change in magnetic entropy ($\Delta S_{\rm M}$) when a magnetic field is varied. It proves to be useful for wide and diverse technological applications in areas ranging from medicine[1,2] to solidstate magnetic refrigerators[3–5]. Magnetic refrigerators, in particular, manifest a potential not only for replacing the traditional gas-based refrigerators (due to its higher efficiency, compactness, environmental friendliness, and noiselessness[4,6-8] but also for cryogenic applications such as gas liquefaction. Particular interest has been focused on the applicability of MCE to hydrogen[9,10] liquefication/refrigeration since such technology is expected to play a key role in the so-called Hydrogen society. For that purpose, one requires materials with excellent MCE character, preferably allowing them to be operated within the range, say, flanked by hydrogen and nitrogen liquefaction points:[9] T_{LH} = 20.3 K ~ T_{LN} = 77 K. A common practice for securing such MCE materials is to optimize the MCE response of suitable giant MCE materials by an adequate alloying, x, which leads to (i) changing $T_{\rm C}(x)$ such that $T_{LH} < T_C(x) < T_{LN}$, (ii) expanding the useful thermal working range by broadening the $\Delta S_{\rm M}$ curves, (iii) maintaining a relatively high refrigerant capacity, and (iv) avoiding any hysteresis effects.

Recently, we have reported the remarkable MCE properties of the HoB₂ compound[11] which is characterized as having a high $|\Delta S_{\rm M}| = 40.1$ J kg K⁻¹ (0.35 J cm⁻³ K⁻¹) near its $T_{\rm C}$ of 15 K, for $\mu_0 \Delta H = 5$ T.

Below we briefly consider alloying-based optimization of MCE properties in this material. A partial substitution on the B sites of HoB₂ has already been attempted, and the investigation of Ho(B_{1-x}Si_x)₂ shown structural stability up to x = 0.2 and that HoB_{1.6}Si_{0.4} manifests AFM order with $T_N = 11$ K[12]. Regarding the *R*-site option, the *R*B₂ structure in the stoichiometric compound is limited to Tb-Lu[13–15] and recalling that for T_C of DyB₂ = 50-55 K[12,16] and TbB₂ = 151 K[14], the $T_C(x)$ in R-site of substituted alloys is expected to follow the effective de Gennes factor, as such scaling has been seen in other *R-R* alloys[17], intermetallics *R-M* [18], and *R*-nitrides[19] systems. The particular case of Ho_{1-x}Dy_xB₂ ($0 \le x \le 1$) is promising since both $T_C(x)$ and the broadness of the ΔS_M curves are reasonably increased[20] but it happened that weak hysteresis effects are manifested. Thus, we opted for alloying with Gd, as we expect that the substitution of the spherical S^{7/2} character of Gd³⁺

moments ($Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB_2$), to satisfy the conditions (i)-(iv).

In this work, we report on our studies on the MCE of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ alloys ($0 \le x \le 0.4$): It is shown that incorporation of Gd³⁺ moments brings about all the beforementioned advantages: most prominently, it enhances T_C (doubled at x = 0.4) and broadens the peak of $|\Delta S_M|$ curve leading to an enhancement of the refrigerant capacity and relative cooling power.

2. Experimental Methods

Polycrystalline samples of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ were synthesized via conventional argon arcmelting procedures using stoichiometric amounts of Ho (99.9%), Gd (99.9%), and B (99.5%). Samples were subjected to repeated intermediate grinding, pelletizing, and arc-melting procedures. We managed to obtain single-phase for x = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 samples; however, despite the various attempts, no single-phase samples with $x \ge 0.5$ were obtained; rather multiple phases, namely Gd₂B₅ and RB_4 (R=Gd, Ho) were obtained, indicating that x = 0.4is close to the solubility limit. This is consistent with the phase diagram of Gd-B system which identifies the metastable character of the GdB₂ phase[21]. This phase diagram also explains the tendency of as-prepared Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ samples (x > 0.4) to decompose during post-arc-melting heat treatment.

For the crystal structure analysis, powder X-ray diffractograms (XRD) of the obtained samples were measured using a MiniFlex 600 Rigaku diffractometer with Cu K_{α} radiation.

The magnetization was measured under zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) protocol within an MPMS XL (Quantum Design) setup for the analysis of the magnetic properties of the samples. In the case of obtaining the magnetic entropy change, isofield (M-T) curves in a wide range of applied fields up to 5 T was taken using a ZFC protocol.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 (a) shows the diffractograms of the studied samples. Except for x = 0.1 (with weak contamination of R_2O_3 of less than 3%), all samples are single-phase AlB₂-type (*P6/mmm*) structure. This was confirmed by using Rietveld-refinement as implemented within the FULLPROF package[22]. The linear evolution of the lattice parameters, shown in Fig. 1 (b) and 1(c), follows Vegard's law confirming the successful substitutional incorporation of Gd up to x=0.4.



Figure 1. Powder XRD patterns and obtained lattice parameters. (a) Powder XRD patterns for $Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB_2$ alloys. The red lines show the calculated curves, (b) Evolution of the *a*-axis parameter, and (c) Evolution of the *c*-axis parameter as a function of Gd content. The red line is a linear fit emphasizing Vegard's law. The data for x = 0 was taken from Ref. [20]

Figure 2 (a) shows the *M*-*T* magnetization curves of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ under $\mu_0 H = 0.01$ T. The evolution of $M(x, T, \mu_0 H = 0.01$ T) can best be understood if we recall that HoB₂ is a ferromagnet with $T_C \approx 15$ K which, below $T^* \approx 11$ K, is possibly undergoing a spinreorientation process[11]. Accordingly, $M(x, T, \mu_0 H = 0.01$ T) of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ can be envisaged as paramagnetic (with reversible and hysteresis-less ZFC and FC curves) above $T_C(x)$, ferromagnetic below $T_C(x)$ with a possible spin-reorientation at $T^* \approx 11$ K. The evolution of each of $T_C(x)$ and $T^*(x)$ can be identified from the ZFC $\partial M/\partial T(x, T, \mu_0 H = 0.01$ T) curves shown in Figure 2(b). Evidently, $T_C(x)$ is appreciably enhanced on increasing x (15, 19, 22, 27, and 30 K, see Fig 4. (a)), most probably related to the increase in the effective de Gennes factor. A similar increase in $T_C(x)$ was reported in Ho_{1-x}Dy_xB₂[20]. In contrast $T^*(x) \approx 13$ K, is very weakly influenced by x, this might be understood in such a way that the incorporation of the spherically $S^{7/2}$ -state hardly influences the angular reorientation.



Figure 2. Isofield and isothermal magnetization of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ alloys. (a) ZFC and FC isofield $M(x, T, \mu_0 H = 0.01 \text{ T})$. The inset shows the isothermal $M(x, T = 5 \text{ K}, \mu_0 H)$. (b) The temperature-dependent derivatives of the ZFC curves. The ferromagnetic transitions and the lower temperature transition at T = 13 K, are marked by arrows. The data for x = 0 was taken from Ref. [20], and its derivative value is divided by a factor of 2 for clarity.

The isothermal $M(x, T = 5 \text{ K}, \mu_0 H)$ curves, shown in the inset of Figure 2(a), manifests an easy saturation, under relatively weak applied fields. Also, there is no strong hysteresis effects: a desirable feature when using Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ in cryogenic refrigeration applications.

The influence of Gd incorporation on the MCE of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ was evaluated based on extensive $M(x, T, \mu_0 H)$ curves, over a wide range of fields from 0.01 T to 5 T. These are shown in Figs. 3 (a)-(d). The corresponding $|\Delta S_M(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$ curves were evaluated via the Maxwell relation:

$$\Delta S_M = \mu_0 \int_0^H \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T}\right) dH.$$
 [1]

The obtained $|\Delta S_M(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$ curves are shown in Figs. 3 (e)-(h). Here, volumetric units (J cm⁻³K⁻¹) are mainly used, instead of the gravimetric units (J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹), since these are the most convenient unit for application purposes[23,24].



Figure 3 ZFC isofield magnetization, calculated $|\Delta S_M(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$, Arrott, and normalized entropy curves. (a)-(d) ZFC $M(x, T, \mu_0 H)$ curves measured under various ranges $0.1 \le x \le 0.4$, $0.01 \le \mu_0 H \le 5$ T, and $2 \le T \le 100$ K. (e-h) Calculated $|\Delta S_M(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$ curves using Eq.1 and the magnetization curves of panels (a)-(d). (i-l) Arrott plots derivated from the isofield magnetization curves of panels (a-d). (m-p) Normalized entropy *versus* normalized temperatures. These are constructed from the curves shown in panels (e-h).

Three features are worthy of highlighting: (i) The maximum in entropy change, $|\Delta S_M^{MAX}|$ for $\mu_0 \Delta H = 5T$ is monotonically decreased (0.3, 0.26, 0.21, 0.17 J cm⁻³ K⁻¹ for x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, respectively, see Fig 4(b) and Table 1). (ii) The point marking $|\Delta S_M^{MAX}(x)|$ coincides with $T_c(x)$: both are monotonically increased. (iii) The monotonic variations in $|\Delta S_M^{MAX}(x)|$ and $T_c(x)$ are accompanied by a broadening in the width of the MCE peak (see Figs. 2(b) and 4 (c)) which is usually defined as the temperature span $\delta T_{FWHM}(x, \mu_0 \Delta H) = T_{r_1} - T_{r_2}$. T_{r_1} and T_{r_2} are defined as the points at which $|\Delta S_M(x, T_{r_i}, \mu_0 \Delta H)| = 0.5 |\Delta S_M^{MAX}(x, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$. Part of the increase in δT_{FWHM} may be due to the presence of the second transition at T^* (which is

also evident as a low-temperature peak in the entropy curves). This increase has a significant impact on the refrigerant capacity (RC) and relative cooling power (RCP) defined as:[4,23–25]

$$RC(x,\Delta\mu_0H) = \int_{T_{r_1}}^{T_{r_2}} \Delta S_M(x,T,\mu_0\Delta H) dT$$
[2]

$$RCP(x,\mu_{o}\Delta H) = \Delta S_{M}^{MAX}(x,\mu_{o}\Delta H) * \delta T_{FWHM}(x,\mu_{o}\Delta H)$$
[3]

For $\mu_0 \Delta H = 5$ T, one obtains *RC* (*RCP*) = 5.61 (7.17), 6.07 (7.68), 5.64 (7.09) and 5.94 (7.48) J cm⁻³ for x = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 respectively (see Figs. 4 (d)-(e)). Evidently, as x is increased, the $|\Delta S_M(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$ curve approach the desirable tablelike MCE feature without a strong variation in the *RC* (*RCP*) parameter. We summarize the magnetocaloric properties of these alloys in Table 1, where we show both volumetric and gravimetric units.

Alloy	ΔS		RC		RCP	
	J cm ⁻³ K ⁻¹	J kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	J cm ⁻³	J kg ⁻¹	J cm ⁻³	J kg ⁻¹
$Ho_{0.9}Gd_{0.1}B_2$	0.3	34.6	5.61	651	7.17	833
$Ho_{0.8}Gd_{0.2}B_2$	0.26	30.6	6.07	711	7.68	899
Ho _{0.7} Gd _{0.3} B ₂	0.21	25.2	5.64	665	7.09	836
Ho _{0.6} Gd _{0.4} B ₂	0.17	20.2	5.94	707	7.48	889

Table 1 The magnetocaloric and figure of merit properties of the obtained Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ alloys for $\mu_0 \Delta H = 5$ T.

On comparing Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ with the end material HoB₂, one expects the magnetic phase transitions at $T_{\rm C}$ in Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ alloys to be second-order. Indeed, this is confirmed from the Arrott plots of Fig. 2 (i)-(l) (taken within the $10 \le T \le 40$ K range at steps of 1 K): the slope of each curve is positive emphasizing, based on Banerjee criterion[26], the second-order character. An alternative demonstration of the second-order character is usually given in terms of the universal scaling curve[27–29] depicting the normalized entropy change, $|\Delta S_{\rm M}(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H)| /|\Delta S_{\rm M}^{\rm MAX}(x, T = T_C, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$, as a function of the normalized temperature $\theta(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H)|$, defined as:

$$\theta(x, T, \mu_0 \Delta H) = \begin{cases} -(T - T_c) / (T_{r_1} - T_c), & T \le T_c \\ (T - T_c) / (T_{r_2} - T_c), & T > T_c \end{cases}$$

where T_{r_1} and T_{r_2} are defined above. Recall that T_c , T_{r_1} and T_{r_2} are a function of x and $\mu_0 \Delta H$. As evident, the normalized curves, Figure 3 (m)-(p), collapse on each other as expected for a second-order phase transition.



Figure 4. Evolution of the ferromagnetic transition $T_{\rm C}$, maximum entropy change $|\Delta S_{\rm M}{}^{\rm MAX}|$, $\delta T_{\rm FWHM}$, RC and RCP for $\mu_0 \Delta H = 5$ T. (a) $T_{\rm C}$ (b) $|\Delta S_{\rm M}{}^{\rm MAX}|$, (c) $\delta T_{\rm FWHM}$, (d) RC, and (e) RCP versus x. The dashed lines are guides for the eyes. The data for x = 0 and Dy-substituted samples were taken from Ref. [20]. Comparison of $|\Delta S_{\rm M}|$ (f) between Ho_{0.8}Gd_{0.2}B₂ and Ho_{0.7}Dy_{0.3}B₂, and (g) between Ho_{0.6}Gd_{0.4}B₂ and Ho_{0.5}Dy_{0.5}B₂. The painted areas show graphically the refrigerant capacity of each sample. The brown region indicates the overlapping region between the samples.

Finally, let us compare the magnetic and MCE properties of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ and Ho_{1-x}Dy_xB₂[20] (the only Ho_{1-x}R_xB₂ alloys with reported MCE properties). Three main differences can be identified: (i) In contrast to Dy ($0 \le x \le 1$), the solubility of Gd is limited to below *x*=0.4; (ii) the anisotropic character of Dy³⁺ is relatively stronger than that of Gd³⁺; (iii) The effective de Gennes factor of Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ is higher than that of Ho_{1-x}Dy_xB₂. Let us also compare, the MCE properties for samples with similar *T*_C in both series, namely Ho_{0.8}Gd_{0.2}B₂ with Ho_{0.7}Dy_{0.3}B₂ (both *T*_{CS} ~ 22 K, Fig.4 (f)) and Ho_{0.6}Gd_{0.4}B₂ with Ho_{0.5}Dy_{0.5}B₂ (both *T*_{CS} ~ 30 K, Fig.4 (g)). For both pairs, Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ samples tend to exhibit almost comparable but a slightly lower $|\Delta S_M^{MAX}| (T = T_C, \mu_0 \Delta H = 5 T)|$ than the Ho_{1-x}Dy_xB₂ samples are higher by a factor of 7-10% for RC and 7-15% for RCP compared to the Dy case, due to the higher broadness of the ΔS_M curves (compare the painted areas of Figs. 4 (f)-(g)). Given these facts, combined with the non-inducing hysteresis characteristic of Gd alloying, makes Gd alloying also a promising candidate for a temperature working range of *T* < 30 K.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized and systematically studied the magnetocaloric properties of $Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB_2$ alloys ($0.1 \le x \le 0.4$). We find out that incorporation of Gd leads to a Vegard-type structural change, an enhancement in T_C , a reduction in the maximum entropy change $|\Delta S_M^{MAX}|$, and a broadening of δT_{FWHM} . For all studied compositions, we observed a second-order magnetic phase transition at T_C [evidence was obtained from Arrotts plots, universal scaling curves, and lack of appreciable hysteresis effects in $M(x, T = 5 \text{ K}, \mu_0 H)$]. It is concluded that, within the $15 \le T \le 30$ K range, these MCE features (namely the large volumetric entropy change, the broadened entropy curves, and the high RC/RCP) elect Ho_{1-x}Gd_xB₂ as potential candidates for magnetic-based hydrogen liquefaction/refrigeration applications. In fact, their MCE properties are comparable or even better than those reported for previous candidates such as ErAl₂ ($T_C = 14$ K)[30], HoN ($T_C = 18$ K[31]), DyNi₂ ($T_C = 21$ K)[30] and HoAl₂ ($T_C = 31.5$ K)[32] (For a detailed comparison with other MCE candidates, see Ref. 11).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the JST-Mirai Program (Grant No. JPMJMI18A3), JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Nos. 19H02177, 20K05070), JST CREST (Grant No. JPMJCR20Q4) P.B. Castro acknowledges the scholarship support from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan.

References

- [1] Tishin AM, Spichkin YI, Zverev VI, et al. A review and new perspectives for the magnetocaloric effect: New materials and local heating and cooling inside the human body. Int. J. Refrig. 2016;68:177–186.
- [2] Zverev VI, Pyatakov AP, Shtil AA, et al. Novel applications of magnetic materials and technologies for medicine. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2018;459:182–186.
- [3] Silva DJ, Bordalo BD, Pereira AM, et al. Solid state magnetic refrigerator. Appl. Energy. 2012;93:570–574.
- [4] Franco V, Blázquez JS, Ipus JJ, et al. Magnetocaloric effect: From materials research to refrigeration devices. Prog. Mater. Sci. 2018;93:112–232.
- [5] Kitanovski A. Energy Applications of Magnetocaloric Materials. Adv. Energy Mater. 2020;1903741.
- [6] Kral SF, Barclay JA. Magnetic Refrigeration: A Large Cooling Power Cryogenic Refrigeration Technology. Appl. Cryog. Technol. Boston, MA: Springer US; 1991. p. 27–41.
- [7] Gutfleisch O, Willard MA, Brück E, et al. Magnetic Materials and Devices for the 21st Century: Stronger, Lighter, and More Energy Efficient. Adv. Mater. 2011;23:821–842.
- [8] Zimm C, Jastrab A, Sternberg A, et al. Description and Performance of a Near-Room Temperature Magnetic Refrigerator. Adv. Cryog. Eng. Boston, MA: Springer US; 1998. p. 1759–1766.
- [9] Zhang H, Gimaev R, Kovalev B, et al. Review on the materials and devices for magnetic refrigeration in the temperature range of nitrogen and hydrogen liquefaction. Phys. B Condens. Matter. 2019;558:65–73.
- [10] Numazawa T, Kamiya K, Utaki T, et al. Magnetic refrigerator for hydrogen liquefaction. Cryogenics (Guildf). 2014;62:185–192.

- [11] Castro PB de, Terashima K, Yamamoto TD, et al. Machine-learning-guided discovery of the gigantic magnetocaloric effect in HoB₂ near the hydrogen liquefaction temperature. NPG Asia Mater. 2020;12:35.
- [12] Roger J, Babizhetskyy V, Guizouarn T, et al. The ternary RE-Si-B systems (RE = Dy, Ho, Er and Y) at 1270 K: Solid state phase equilibria and magnetic properties of the solid solution REB_{2-x}Si_x (RE = Dy and Ho). J. Alloys Compd. 2006;417:72–84.
- [13] Mori T, Takimoto T, Leithe-Jasper A, et al. Ferromagnetism and electronic structure of TmB2. Phys. Rev. B. 2009;79:104418.
- [14] Novikov V V., Matovnikov A V. Low-temperature heat capacity and magnetic phase transition of TbB2. Inorg. Mater. 2008;44:134–138.
- [15] Novikov V V., Chukina TA, Verevkin AA. Anomalies in thermal expansion of rareearth diborides in the temperature range of magnetic phase transformations. Phys. Solid State. 2010;52:364–369.
- [16] Meng H, Li B, Han Z, et al. Reversible magnetocaloric effect and refrigeration capacity enhanced by two successive magnetic transitions in DyB₂. Sci. China Technol. Sci. 2012;55:501–504.
- [17] Bozorth RM. Magnetic Properties of Compounds and Solid Solutions of Rare-Earth Metals. J. Appl. Phys. 1967;38:1366–1371.
- [18] Wang JL, Marquina C, Ibarra MR, et al. Structure and magnetic properties of RNi₂Mn compounds (R =Tb, Dy, Ho and Er). Phys. Rev. B. 2006;73:094436.
- [19] Hirayama Y, Nakagawa T, Yamamoto TA. Curie temperatures and modified de Gennes factors of rare earth nitrides. Solid State Commun. 2011;151:1602–1604.
- [20] de Castro PB, Terashima K, Yamamoto TD, et al. Effect of Dy substitution in the giant magnetocaloric properties of HoB₂. arXiv Prepr. arXiv2005.12000. 2020;
- [21] Liao PK, Spear KE, Schlesinger ME. The B-Gd (boron-gadolinium) system. J. Phase Equilibria. 1996;17:330–334.
- [22] Carvajal J. FULLPROF: A Program for Rietveld Refinement and Pattern Matching Analysis. Abstr. Satell. Meet. Powder Diffr. XV Congr. IUCr. 1990. p. 127.
- [23] Gschneidner KA, Pecharsky VK. Magnetocaloric Materials. Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 2000;30:387–429.
- [24] Gschneidner A, Pecharsky VK, Tsokol AO. Recent developments in magnetocaloric

materials. Reports Prog. Phys. 2005;68:1479-1539.

- [25] Niknia I, Trevizoli P V., Christiaanse T V., et al. Material screening metrics and optimal performance of an active magnetic regenerator. J. Appl. Phys. 2017;121:064902.
- [26] Banerjee BK. On a generalised approach to first and second order magnetic transitions. Phys. Lett. 1964;12:16–17.
- [27] Franco V, Conde A, Romero-Enrique JM, et al. A universal curve for the magnetocaloric effect: an analysis based on scaling relations. J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 2008;20:285207.
- [28] Franco V, Conde A. Scaling laws for the magnetocaloric effect in second order phase transitions: From physics to applications for the characterization of materials. Int. J. Refrig. 2010;33:465–473.
- [29] Bonilla CM, Herrero-Albillos J, Bartolomé F, et al. Universal behavior for magnetic entropy change in magnetocaloric materials: An analysis on the nature of phase transitions. Phys. Rev. B. 2010;81:224424.
- [30] von Ranke PJ, Pecharsky VK, Gschneidner KA. Influence of the crystalline electrical field on the magnetocaloric effect of DyAl₂, ErAl₂ and DyNi₂. Phys. Rev. B. 1998;58:12110–12116.
- [31] Yamamoto TA, Nakagawa T, Sako K, et al. Magnetocaloric effect of rare earth mononitrides, TbN and HoN. J. Alloys Compd. 2004;376:17–22.
- [32] Gil LA, Campoy JCP, Plaza EJR, et al. Conventional and anisotropic magnetic entropy change in HoAl₂ ferromagnetic compound. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2016;409:45–49.