Photonic realization of the κ -deformed Dirac equation

P. Majari*

Instituto de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca 62210, México

E. Sadurní[†]

Instituto de Física, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Apartado Postal J-48, 72570 Puebla, México

M. R. Setare[‡]

Department of Science, Campus of Bijar, University of Kurdistan, Bijar, Iran

J. A. Franco-Villafañe[§]

CONACYT-Instituto de Física, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, 78290 San Luis Potosí, SLP., México

T. H. Seligman[¶]

Instituto de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca 62210, México, Centro Internacional de Ciencias, Cuernavaca-62210, México

(Dated: December 14, 2020)

We show an implementation of a κ -deformed Dirac equation in tight-binding arrays of photonic waveguides. This is done with a special configuration of couplings extending to second nearest neighbors. Geometric manipulations can control these evanescent couplings. A careful study of wave packet propagation is presented, including the effects of deformation parameters on Zitterbewegung or trembling motion. In this way, we demonstrate how to recreate the effects of a flat noncommutative spacetime –i.e., κ Minkowski spacetime– in simple experimental setups. We touch upon elastic realizations in the section of Conclusions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The field of quantum simulations has been of pivotal importance in the study of physical systems that are experimentally out of reach or beyond natural observation. Notable examples in cold matter can be found in [1, 2] and [3–5] using trapped ions. In this paper, we address the possibility of emulating the effects of Lorentz algebraic deformations on the motion of relativistic electrons [6, 7]. In theory, such deformations are associated with a noncommutative geometry of spacetime and a generalized uncertainty principle [8–11]. On physical grounds, the hypothetical corrections stem from a fundamental length scale, which is of a quantum mechanical nature.

Let us describe the general features of our emulations to put our work into context. Within the class of complex quantum simulations, there are simpler systems whose properties can be studied with single body dynamics. These systems, in turn, contain all mesoscopic realizations of quantum mechanical wave equations in microwaves [12-17] and photonics [18-25]. For optical fiber couplings see [26-29]. Such table-top experiments provide flexible configurations and easy tuning of parameters, including recent constructions of effective relativistic systems. Examples of condensed matter realizations and their emulations also abound [30-36]. The success of dynamical analogies between quantum mechanical equations and electromagnetic waves in various important subjects –quantum graphs, chaotic scattering and billiards, tight-binding arrays and crystals, including graphene and phosphorene– has led us to consider new experimental challenges towards high-energy extensions of the Dirac equation, ruling the motion of ultra relativistic fermions. Indeed, Dirac Hamiltonians in 1+1 and 2+1 dimensions have been produced effectively in a variety of tight-binding systems supported by honeycomb lattices, and in more general settings, by any bipartite (spinorial) lattice entailing conical energy relations, also dubbed Dirac points.

These precedents become important when we look at the so-called κ -deformed algebras at hand [6, 7, 37, 38] and even in the field of q deformations [39, 40]. Their effects on quantum field theory have been carefully studied [41–48]

^{*}Electronic address: majari@icf.unam.mx

[†]Electronic address: sadurni@ifuap.buap.mx

[‡]Electronic address: rezakord@ipm.ir

[§]Electronic address: jofravil@ifisica.uaslp.mx

[¶]Electronic address: seligman@icf.unam.mx

including particle statistics [49]. In this regard, it has been proved that quadratic corrections in the momentum of a particle will modify the usual Dirac Hamiltonian defined in empty space, even when it represents a physical situation free of interactions [50–52]. As previously mentioned, this is known to take place in the presence of a postulated minimal length, presumably in the order of $G\hbar/c^3 \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-33}$ cm, i.e., the Planck scale. The corresponding corrections would imply a modified energy-momentum relation and extraordinary dispersion relations of matter waves propagating under the effects of new physics. The idea is to emulate them.

In connection with fundamental aspects of physics, some words are in order. Presumably, the existence of a fundamental length is the outcome of a foamy space consistent with theories that deal with the very nature of spacetime or its emergent properties starting from string theory. However, it is still unknown whether such structures actually underlie our physical world, and it is even more uncertain whether we shall be able to observe the actual consequences of their existence in high energy experiments or cosmological observations. Important efforts in the phenomenology of deformations and minimal lengths can be found in [53–55], including a plausible stringy origin [56] and Planck scale phenomenology in [57, 58].

For this reason, we recreate here the conditions in which the aforementioned effects can be observed. Our aim is to engineer the corresponding dispersion relations with a tight-binding scheme consistent with previous successful emulations of relativistic wave equations. In what touches wave propagation, our emulations shall be able to produce two important outcomes effectively: i) A modified energy spectrum in accordance with predictions from potentially new physics and ii) a corrected evolution of wave packets with modified group velocities in empty space. The first result can be easily achieved by introducing second-neighbor interactions – or hopping amplitudes– in a crystal where Dirac points are initially ensured; this shall be done by simple geometric manipulations of resonators in various realizations, such as optical fibers and ceramic disks. The second result will be tested by a close inspection of a phenomenon known as Zitterbewegung [59], already produced artificially in Dirac lattices [1, 2, 4, 60] and calculated in previous treatments [61, 62], where some of them cover carefully the full energy band [63]. This reaches well beyond the conical region of the emulated spectrum. With our treatment, we shall be able to compare the oscillation frequency of a wavepacket's width – as well as its decay in amplitude – with the expected theoretical predictions, finding thereby new significant effects coming from a hypothetical minimal length, together with corrected trajectories of electrons obtained as average positions in the κ -deformed Heisenberg picture.

Structure of the paper: In section II we revisit the emergence of the κ deformed Dirac equation and obtain thereby the first corrections in the Dirac Hamiltonian due to a fundamental length a. In section III we present a careful construction of arrays made of coupled optical fibers disposed in a strip resembling a triangular lattice, fulfilling thus a Dirac-like dynamical equation with conical points. In section IV we study the effects of the deformation on the trembling motion of wave packets, including the corrections in the width coming from a. A detailed full-band computation of Zitterbewegung for tight-binding arrays with second neighbors is offered in IV A. We conclude in V.

II. THE κ -DEFORMED DIRAC EQUATION

Noncommutative (NC) geometry was envisaged by Gelfand when he showed that a space is determined by the algebra of the functions acting on it. The notion of space is then tied to the nature of its algebra; therefore a noncommutative spacetime (NCST) follows from a noncommutative algebra. This has been extensively studied in the framework of Hopf algebras and the so-called quantum groups [38, 41, 42]. In our case, the coordinate functions x_{μ} , satisfy the commutation relations of the form $[x_{\mu}, x_{\nu}] = i(\Theta_{\mu\nu} + \Theta^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}x_{\lambda} + ...) = i\Theta_{\mu\nu}(x)$, a relation that has been instrumental in the construction of a deformed quantum field theory [43]. Among all the possible ways of representing NCST, we are particularly interested in the κ -Minkowski spacetime employed in [43–46]. In other words, the κ -Minkowski spacetime is a Lie algebraic deformation of the usual Minkowski (flat) spacetime where the deformation parameter can be related to a length scale in which quantum gravity might take place. The corresponding κ -Poincaré-Hopf algebraic relations can be written in terms of a deformation parameter $\kappa = 1/|a|$, as $[\hat{x}_{\mu}, \hat{x}_{\nu}] = i(a_{\mu}\hat{x}_{\nu} - a_{\nu}\hat{x}_{\mu})$. Moreover, the existence of such a fundamental scale can be encoded in Dirac operators acting on spinor fields. The deformed Dirac equation obtained in the framework of the κ -Poincaré-Hopf algebra and its equivalent in periodic arrays of coupled waveguides will be studied in the following.

Let us start with the algebra related to NC spaces satisfying the following relations [50, 51]:

$$[M_{i0}, \hat{x}_0] = -\hat{x}_i + iaM_{i0},\tag{1}$$

$$[M_{i0}, \hat{x}_j] = -\delta_{ij}\hat{x}_0 + iaM_{ij},\tag{2}$$

where $M_{\mu\nu}$ contains the rotation and boost generators of the κ -Poincaré algebra and \hat{x}_{μ} denotes the NC coordinates. This algebraic structure also entails the following relations:

$$[\hat{x}_{\mu}, \hat{x}_{\nu}] = iC_{\mu\nu\lambda}\hat{x}^{\lambda} = i(a_{\mu}\hat{x}_{\nu} - a_{\nu}\hat{x}_{\mu}), \qquad (3)$$

where the structure constants are written in terms of a Minkowski vector a_{μ} and the flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$: $C_{\mu\nu\lambda} = a_{\mu}\eta_{\nu\lambda} - a_{\nu}\eta_{\mu\lambda}$. In some frame of reference $a_i = 0$, $a_0 = a$ and $\hat{x}_i = x_i\phi$ where ϕ is so far free. In the κ -Poincaré algebra, the modified derivative operators D_{μ} , the so-called Dirac derivatives, are given by [42, 47]:

$$D_0 = \partial_0 \left(\frac{\sinh(A)}{A}\right) + \frac{ia\nabla^2 e^{-A}}{2\phi^2},\tag{4}$$

and

$$D_i = \partial_i \left(\frac{e^{-A}}{\phi}\right),\tag{5}$$

with $A = -ia\partial_0$ and $a = \kappa^{-1}$. This leads to the following relations [49]:

$$[M_{\mu\nu}, D_{\lambda}] = \eta_{\nu\lambda} D_{\mu} - \eta_{\mu\lambda} D_{\nu}, \tag{6}$$

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] = 0, \tag{7}$$

where the metric's signature convention is fixed as $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. In such a way, the deformed Dirac equation is now postulated in terms of D_{μ} as

$$[i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu} + m]\psi = 0. \tag{8}$$

With the special choice $\phi = e^{-A}$, we eliminate the deformation in the spatial derivatives, leaving us only with a new (corrected) time component D_0 . We note here that this choice, together with the definition of A, turns ϕ into a nonlocal operator in time. By substituting (4), (5) in the above equation and after a few straightforward manipulations, the following κ -Dirac equation is deduced:

$$\left[i\gamma^0\left(\frac{i}{a}\sinh(A) + \frac{ia}{2}\nabla^2\right) + i\gamma^i\partial_i + m\right]\psi = 0$$
(9)

and this equation is, in fact, nonlocal due to the obvious relation $\phi\psi(t) = \psi(t + ia)$ for any wave function ψ . On physical grounds, we may take only the first corrections in *a* with the aim of describing a slightly perturbed Dirac operator (note however, that this concession is not made on mathematical grounds, because infinite order differential equations cannot be truncated without dire consequences on the oscillatory behavior of their solutions) leading to

$$\left[i\gamma^0\left(\partial_0 + \frac{ia}{2}\nabla^2\right) + i\gamma^i\partial_i + m\right]\psi = 0.$$
(10)

It follows from the above equation that the corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$H = c\alpha \cdot p + \frac{a}{2}\nabla^2 + m\beta.$$
⁽¹¹⁾

Here, the explicit representation of Dirac matrices in 1 + 1 dimensions given by $\alpha_1 = \sigma_1$ and $\beta = -\sigma_3$ is possible and it is consistent with our choice of metric signature. It is also obvious that the undeformed Dirac equation is obtained in the limit $a \to 0$. However, we underscore the fact that, experimentally, the deformation parameter a is greatly limited by an upper bound of the order of $a < 10^{-29}$ m [31].

Several effects can be investigated using this new Hamiltonian. It might well be that the presence of a modifies the spectrum of a relativistic particle, but it is not easy to gain access to such energy scales in accelerators. There are other effects that could be amplified in other settings, e.g. wave packet evolution. We shall explore this possibility in further sections.



Figure 1: Schematic view of a binary array made of two types of waveguides, A and B, arranged in a triangular lattice along a strip.

III. AN ARRAY OF PHOTONIC WAVEGUIDES

The tight-binding model of the κ -deformed Weyl equation can be implemented on a macroscopic experiment using an array of microwave resonators, as shown in figure 2. The resonators can be built as cylinders of the same size, but with two different dielectric constants, for example, Exxilia Temex Ceramics E2000 and E3000 with $\epsilon = 36$ and 34, respectively. An induced mass parameter α around 0.28 GHz is expected for cylinders of 8 mm diameter, and their length much larger than their diameter. The coupling parameter ξ between the cylinders can be set between 0.4 GHz and 1 GHz, depending on the separation between cylinders. With those experimental parameters, it is possible to estimate the Zitterbewegung characteristic frequencies ω' and ω . The corresponding Zitterbewegung oscillation lengths that we named here λ' and λ respectively will be of order $\lambda' \sim cm$ and $\lambda \sim m$. Those scales make the Zitterbewegung effect observable on a macroscopic scale, thanks to our tight-binding representation of the corresponding wave operator. In order to excite the resonators, we propose to use an array of antennas. Each antenna will be placed near the end of each fiber or cylinder. The antennas should all be parallel and oriented in such a way that they are capable of exciting an electric field perpendicular to the optical axis and to the horizontal axis shown in fig. 2. The array of antennas has to be excited by the same microwave frequency but, at the same time, allowing the control of the input power independently in each antenna. One possible and inexpensive way to feed the antennas is to use a direct digital synthesizer (DDS) that provides independent frequency, phase, and amplitude control on each channel. The propagation of an optical field of disordered waveguide arrays by using tight-binding approximation is given by:

$$i\frac{dE_n}{dz} + (-1)^n \alpha E_n + C_{n-2}E_{n-2} + C_n E_{n+2} + C'_n E_{n+1} + C'_{n-1}E_{n-1} = 0,$$
(12)

where $E_n \equiv E(n, z)$ is the electric field amplitude at the nth waveguide. Next, we let upper waveguide array have odd numbers. Then

$$i\frac{dE_{2n}}{dz} + \alpha E_{2n} + C_{2n-2}E_{2n-2} + C_{2n}E_{2n+2} + C'_{2n}E_{2n+1} + C'_{2n-1}E_{2n-1} = 0,$$
(13)

and let the lower array label by even ones:

$$i\frac{dE_{2n-1}}{dz} - \alpha E_{2n-1} + C_{2n-3}E_{2n-3} + C_{2n-1}E_{2n+1} + C'_{2n-1}E_{2n} + C'_{2n-2}E_{2n-2} = 0.$$
(14)

Now by setting $E_{2n} = (-1)^n \psi_1(n, z) \equiv (-1)^n \psi_1(n)$ and $E_{2n-1} = -i(-1)^n \psi_2(n, z) \equiv -i(-1)^n \psi_2(n)$, Eqs (13) and (14) can be written as:

$$i\frac{d\psi_1(n)}{dz} + \alpha\psi_1(n) - C_{2n-2}\psi_1(n-1) - C_{2n}\psi_1(n+1) + iC'_{2n}\psi_2(n+1) - iC'_{2n-1}\psi_2(n) = 0,$$
(15)

and

$$i\frac{d\psi_2(n)}{dz} - \alpha\psi_2(n) - C_{2n-3}\psi_2(n-1) - C_{2n-1}\psi_2(n+1) + iC'_{2n-1}\psi_1(n) - iC'_{2n-2}\psi_1(n-1) = 0,$$
(16)

It is straightforward to show that by considering $C_{2n\pm i} = \eta$ and $C'_{2n-i} = \xi$ with $i = 0, 1, \dots$ these equations reduce to

$$i\frac{d}{dz}\begin{bmatrix}\psi_1(n)\\\psi_2(n)\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}-\alpha\psi_1(n) + \eta\psi_1(n-1) + \eta\psi_1(n+1) - i\xi\psi_2(n+1) + i\xi\psi_2(n)\\+\alpha\psi_2(n) + \eta\psi_2(n-1) + \eta\psi_2(n+1) - i\xi\psi_1(n) + i\xi\psi_1(n-1)\end{bmatrix} = H\begin{bmatrix}\psi_1(n)\\\psi_2(n)\end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

with a Hamiltonian operator defined as

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha + \eta T^{-1} + \eta T & -i\xi T + i\xi \\ -i\xi + i\xi T^{-1} & \alpha + \eta T^{-1} + \eta T \end{bmatrix},$$
(18)

where T is the translation operator in one unit of n. In order to reduce the system of equations to a 2×2 matrix, we must note that in case of Bloch wave transport inside the fibers, the wave function must be written in the form $(\psi_1(n,z),\psi_2(n,z))^{\dagger} = (A(z)e^{ikn},B(z)^{ikn})^{\dagger}$ which satisfies Bloch's theorem $Te^{ikn} = e^{ik(n+1)}$. Now, the Hamiltonian 18 is reduced to

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha + 2\eta \cos(k) & -i\xi e^{ik} + i\xi \\ -i\xi + i\xi e^{-ik} & \alpha + 2\eta \cos(k) \end{bmatrix} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha + 2\eta - \eta k^2 & \xi k \\ \xi k & \alpha + 2\eta - \eta k^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

Therefore, the energy eigenvalues are given by the formula

$$E = 2\eta \cos(k) + s\sqrt{4\xi^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) + \alpha^2}$$
(20)

where $s = \pm 1$. This holds even beyond the Dirac (conical) points. The eigenfunctions are two-component spinors of the form

$$\binom{u_1}{u_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(E - 2\eta\cos(k))}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{E - \alpha - 2\eta\cos(k)}}{e^{-ik/2}\sqrt{E + \alpha - 2\eta\cos(k)}} \right).$$
(21)

By setting $k \to p_x$, i.e. in units where $\hbar = 1$, we obtain:

$$H = -\eta p_x^2 I_2 + \xi p_x \sigma_x - \alpha \sigma_z + 2\eta I_2 = H_0 + V \tag{22}$$

where $V = 2\eta$ is a constant potential, therefore irrelevant in the dynamics. Finally, we note that after the formal change $\frac{a}{2} \rightarrow \eta$, $m \rightarrow \alpha$ and $1 \rightarrow \xi$, the expression for the Hamiltonian in (11) can be mapped to H_0 previously written in (22).

IV. EVOLUTION OF POSITION IN *k*-DEFORMED DIRAC THEORY

Now we would like to investigate one of the special features of the Dirac equation, the trembling motion known as Zitterbewegung. To clarify this effect in the κ -Dirac equation, we must calculate the time evolution of the position operator under the strict conditions $\eta \neq 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$. In the absence of rest mass (Weyl equation) we know that there is no visible effect, for the evolution of x would be trivial. The calculation of x(t) for the more general case $\alpha \neq 0$ is, however, straightforward and we shall proceed in this direction. In the Heisenberg picture, we have [31]:

$$x(t) = x(0) - \eta p_x t + \xi^2 p_x(H')^{-1} t + \frac{i\xi}{2} {H'}^{-1} \left[\sigma_x - \xi p_x(H')^{-1} \right] (e^{-2iH't} - 1),$$
(23)



Figure 2: Time development of (a) $\langle x^2 \rangle_{\psi}$ and (b) variance with $\xi = \alpha = 4$ and a few values of η .

where $H' = -\alpha \sigma_z + \xi \sigma_x p_x$. To see the dependence on the deformation parameter more clearly, we focus now on the width of wave packets $\langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = \langle x \rangle^2 - \langle x^2 \rangle$. Due to Ehrenfest's theorem, the time average $\langle x \rangle$ suffers the same modifications as the classical trajectory of a particle governed by a κ -deformed energy momentum relation. On the other hand, the second term $\langle x^2 \rangle_{\psi}$ provides an important modification to the wave-like behavior of the particle. Its explicit form is given by

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_{\psi} = \mathcal{F} t^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}} \left(\frac{2\eta \xi^2 \alpha \pi^2 \sin(\omega' t)}{{\omega'}^3} \right) \Gamma + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}} \left(\frac{\xi^2 \alpha^2 \sin(\omega' t)^2}{{\omega'}^4} \right) \Lambda_1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \alpha}{\xi^2}} \left(\frac{\xi^2 \alpha^2 \sin(\omega t)^2}{{\omega}^4} \right) \Lambda_2 \right]$$
(24)

as shall be derived later on.

The time development of $\langle x^2 \rangle_{\psi}$, with x(0) = 1.5 and initial width 0.8 is shown in fig. (2). The interesting effect that has been obtained consists of a deformation-dependent evolution of a particular component in the width contributing to the usual ballistic expansion. In general, we can appreciate in fig.2(b) that wavepackets spread faster due to the deformation, as shown by \mathcal{F} . We also see in the results shown in fig. (2) (a) that the damping of the trembling part disappears with the envelope $1/\sqrt{t}$, but some of its oscillations are 'prolonged' by an increase of η , from 0 to 0.3. Indeed, the envelope \sqrt{t} is affected by η in the second term of (29), while the rest of the contributions remain unperturbed due to a phase cancellation of the η component of the energy. This direct proportionality in Γ amplifies the phenomenon in time, but in case of realistic values of η within experimental bounds, it would be too challenging to detect them in experiments with electrons. In optical realizations, such a parameter is at our disposal, with recommended values shown in the inset of fig. 3 and 2 (b), for a better appreciation.

A. Zitterbewegung in the photonic lattice: computations

We derive the time evolution of position operator for photonic waveguide arrays. It is important to do so without approximations in the tight-binding dispersion relations for an honest comparison with deformed theories. Since the stationary phase approximation will be required in the derivation of averages, it is important to analyze the energy landscape in (Bloch) momentum space or Brillouin zone in search for vanishing group velocities. A comparison of energy curves for some values of η is given in fig. 3.

The time average of the position using the Dirac theory (23) can be written as

$$\langle x_{ZB} \rangle_{\psi} = \left\langle \left\{ \frac{i\xi}{2} {H'}^{-1} [\sigma_x - \xi p_x (H')^{-1}] (e^{-2iH't} - 1) \right\} \right\rangle_{\psi}, \tag{25}$$

but using the wave packet decomposition with Fourier coefficients $\psi_{k,s}$, one also has



Figure 3: A comparison of dispersion relations for waves governed by our tight-binding array (solid lines) and the κ -deformed Dirac equation (dashed lines). Mass $\alpha = 0.5$, coupling $\xi = 1$. There is good agreement near the Dirac point at k = 0. Deformation parameters: $\eta = 0$ (black) 0.2 (blue) 0.4 (red). The tight-binding relation displays new points of vanishing group velocity in the upper band, marked by light blue vertical lines. The phase factors, however, cancel out in the computation of averages.

$$\langle x_{ZB} \rangle_{\psi} = \alpha^2 \xi^2 \sum_{s} \int_0^{\pi} dk \frac{k \sin(2E't)}{2E'^4} |\psi_{k,s}|^2 + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s,s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s',s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s',s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} \sin^2(E't)(u_1^*u_2 - u_2^*u_1) - (26) \frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \xi \sum_{s',s'} \int_0^{\pi} dk \left[\frac{i\xi\alpha}{E'^2} + \frac{i\xi\alpha$$

$$-\frac{\xi\alpha^2}{2E'^3}\sin(2E't)(u_1^*u_2+u_2^*u_1)\bigg](\psi_{k,s}\psi_{k,s'}^*)$$
(27)

where $E' = s\sqrt{4\xi^2 \sin^2(\frac{k}{2}) + \alpha^2}$. Now by using the stationary phase approximation for $k = 0, \pi$ we obtain

$$\langle x_{ZB} \rangle_{\psi} \simeq \left(\frac{2\pi}{t|\frac{\xi^2}{\omega'}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-it\omega'} F(\alpha,\xi) + \left(\frac{2\pi}{t|\frac{\xi^2}{\omega}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-it\omega} G(\alpha,\xi) + \left(\frac{\pi}{t|\frac{\xi^2}{\omega'}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{-it\omega'}{2}} L(\alpha,\xi) + \text{c.c.}$$
(28)

where $\omega' = s\sqrt{4\xi^2 + \alpha^2}$ and $\omega = s\alpha$. It is clear that the trembling motion vanishes with an envelope curve $1/\sqrt{t}$ [63]. We note that the amplitudes and frequencies of oscillation are independent of the deformation parameter. This result is valid only for the Dirac approximation and, in general, the corrections due to η have an impact on $\langle x_{ZB} \rangle$ for the tight-binding system with second neighbors. On the other hand, the width of the wave packet needs the average of the squared position operator $\langle x^2 \rangle_{\psi}$ which is given by

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_{\psi} = \mathcal{F} t^2 - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}} \left(\frac{2\eta \xi^2 \alpha \pi^2 \sin(\omega' t)}{\omega'^3} \right) \Gamma + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\tau}} \left(\frac{\xi^2 \alpha^2 \sin(\omega' t)^2}{\omega'^4} \right) \Lambda_1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \alpha}{\xi^2}} \left(\frac{\xi^2 \alpha^2 \sin(\omega t)^2}{\omega^4} \right) \Lambda_2 \right]$$
(29)

where the following shorthands are used: We introduce the variable $\tau = \frac{\xi^2}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \xi^2 \pi^2}} - \frac{\xi^4 \pi^2}{(\alpha^2 + \xi^2 \pi^2)^{3/2}}$. The amplitude proportional to t^2 is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{s} \int_{0}^{\pi} dk \left(\frac{k^{2} \alpha^{2} \xi^{4}}{E'^{4}} + \frac{k^{4} \xi^{6}}{E'^{4}} + k^{2} \eta^{2} \right) |\psi_{k,s}|^{2} + \sum_{s} \int_{0}^{\pi} dk \left(\frac{2k^{2} \eta \alpha \xi^{2}}{E'^{2}} \right) (u_{1}^{*} u_{1} - u_{2}^{*} u_{2}) |\psi_{k,s}|^{2} -$$
(30)

$$-\sum_{s,s'}\int_0^s dk \left(\frac{2k^3\eta\xi^3}{E'^2}\right) (u_1^*u_2 + u_2^*u_1)\psi_{k,s}\psi_{k,s'},\tag{31}$$

while the coefficient Γ of the \sqrt{t} envelope is

$$\Gamma = e^{-\pi/10} \left[\sin(\omega' t + \frac{\pi\tau}{4}) + \frac{\alpha}{\omega'} \cos(\omega' t + \frac{\pi\tau}{4}) \right].$$
(32)

Finally, the coefficients $\Lambda_{1,2}$ in the brackets of (29) (ruled by the $1/\sqrt{t}$ envelope) are as follows:

$$\Lambda_1 = e^{-\pi/10} \left[\sin(\omega't) \sin(\omega't + \frac{\pi\tau}{4}) + \frac{\cos(\omega't + \frac{\pi\tau}{4}) \cos(\omega't)}{(\omega')^2} (\alpha^2 + \xi^2 \pi^2) \right]$$
(33)

and

$$\Lambda_2 = \sin(\omega t) \sin\left(\omega t + \frac{\pi\xi^2}{4\alpha}\right) + \alpha^2 \frac{\cos(\omega t + \frac{\pi\xi^2}{4\alpha})\cos(\omega t)}{\omega^2}.$$
(34)

These results support our previous discussion on wave packet expansion.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have succeeded in our emulation and theoretical study of deformed Dirac equations by means of photonic waveguide arrays. Once more, our tight-binding approach to coupling engineering has led to satisfactory results regarding spectrum and wavefunction simulation. We have shown how to surround subtle obstacles regarding the correspondence of photonic Zitterbewegung and relativistic trembling motion, as they differ by small but visible amounts when full frequency-band computations are employed. We were able to confirm the η corrections, due to algebraic deformations, in the evolution of localized wave packets. Interestingly, at the end of the day, it was the \sqrt{t} envelope what bore the κ deformation, leading to a persistent oscillatory effect in the width and prolonged by an increase of η as a direct proportionality. All other trembling components of the width remained untouched, including the rarely seen –i.e. short-lived but always present– envelope $1/\sqrt{t}$. We also found a strong dependence on η of the ballistic part t^2 , controlling the overall speed of expansion, but such an effect already appears in the evolution of scalar particles, as it has little to do with spin.

From a technological point of view, we have shown that photonic waveguides may enable experimentalists to study the effects of noncommutative spacetime in the lab. We should also comment on a renewed interest in elastic systems due to the flexibility of their experimental setups. The construction of elastic waveguides using Aluminum plates makes acoustic transport an attractive area in which emulations may play an interesting role, given the rich phenomenology of vibrational transport using various types of polarizations [64–67]. As we mention above, microwave experience may also be consider for the realization of κ -deformed Dirac equation.

Acknowledgments

PM gratefully acknowledges a fellowship from UNAM-DGAPA. JAFV acknowledge financial support from CONA-CYT project A1-S-18696. THS and PM acknowledge financial support from CONACYT project Fronteras 952, CONACYT project 254515 as well as UNAM-PAPIIT projects AG100891 and IN113620.

- [1] J. Y. Vaishnav, C. W. Clark, Observing Zitterbewegung with Ultracold Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 153002, (2008).
- J. Otterbach, R. G. Unanyan, M. Fleischhauer, Confining Stationary Light: Dirac Dynamics and Klein Tunneling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 063602, (2009).
- [3] L. Lamata, J. Leon, T. Schaetz, E. Solano, Dirac Equation and Quantum Relativistic Effects in a Single Trapped Ion, Phys. Rev.Lett. 98, 253005, (2007).
- [4] R. Gerritsma, G. Kirchmair, F. Z ähringer, E. Solano, R. Blatt, C. F. Roos, Quantum simulation of the Dirac equation, Nature 463, 68, (2010).
- [5] P. Majari, A. Luis, M. R. Setare, Mapping of the 2+ 1 q-deformed Dirac oscillator onto the q-deformed Jaynes-Cummings model, EPL, 120, 44002, (2017).
- [6] C. Quesne, V. M. Tkachuk, Generalized Deformed Commutation Relations with Nonzero Minimal Uncertainties in Position and/or Momentum and Applications to Quantum Mechanics, SIGMA 3, 016, (2007).
- [7] C. Quesne, V.M. Tkachuk, Lorentz-covariant deformed algebra with minimal length, Czech. J. Phys, 56, 1269, (2006).
- [8] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry, Academic Press, San Diego (1994).
- [9] I. Hinchliffe, N. Kersting, Y.L. Ma, Review of the Phenomenology of Noncommutative Geometry, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 179–204, (2004).
- [10] C. Blohmann, Free q-deformed relativistic wave equations by representation theory, Eur. Phys. J. C, 30, 435, (2003).

- B. J. Schroers, M. Wilhelm, Towards Non-Commutative Deformations of Relativistic Wave Equations in 2+1 Dimensions, SIGMA 10, 053, (2014).
- [12] J. A. Franco-Villafañe, E. Sadurní, S. Barkhofen, U. Kuhl, F. Mortessagne, T. H. Seligman, First Experimental Realization of the Dirac Oscillator, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 170405 (2013).
- [13] E. Sadurní, T. Seligman, F. Mortessagne, Playing relativistic billiards beyond graphene, New J. Phys. 12, 053014, (2010).
- [14] S. Bittner, B. Dietz, M. Miski-Oglu, P. Oria Iriarte, A. Richter, F. Schaefer, Observation of a Dirac point in microwave experiments with a photonic crystal modeling graphene, Phys. Rev. B 82, 014301, (2010).
- [15] U. Kuhl, S. Barkhofen, T. Tudorovskiy, H.-J. Stoeckmann, T. Hossain, L. de Forges de Parny, F. Mortessagne, Dirac point and edge states in a microwave realization of tight-binding graphene-like structures, Phys. Rev. B 82, 094308, (2010).
- [16] B. Dietz, A. Richter, Quantum and wave dynamical chaos in superconducting microwave billiards, Chaos 25, 097601, (2015).
- [17] B. Dietz, A. Richter, From graphene to fullerene: experiments with microwave photonic crystals, Physica Scripta, 94 ,014002, (2018).
- [18] S. Dehdashti, R. Li, J. Liu, F. Yu, H. Chen, Realization of non-linear coherent states by photonic lattices, AIP Advances 5, 067165, (2015).
- [19] A. Rai, C. Lee, C. Noh, D. G. Angelakis, Photonic lattice simulation of dissipation-induced correlations in bosonic systems, Scientific Reports 5, 8438 (2015).
- [20] R. A. Sepkhanov, Ya. B. Bazaliy, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Extremal transmission at the Dirac point of a photonic band structure, Phys. Rev. A, 75, 063813, (2007).
- [21] S. Longhi, Photonic realization of the relativistic Dirac oscillator, Opt. Lett. 35, 1302, (2010).
- [22] A. Slobozhanyuk, S. H. Mousavi, X. Ni, D. Smirnova, Y. S. Kivshar, A. B. Khanikaev, Three-dimensional all-dielectric photonic topological insulator, Nature Photonics, 11, 130, (2017).
- [23] M. R. Setare, P. Majari, C. Noh, Sh. Dehdashti, Photonic realization of the deformed Dirac equation via the segmented graphene nanoribbons under inhomogeneous strain, Journal of Modern Optics, 66, 16, (2019).
- [24] S. Longhi, Classical simulation of relativistic quantum mechanics in periodic optical structures, Appl. Phys. B 104, 453, (2011)
- [25] E. Rivera-Mocinos, E. Sadurní, Inverse lattice design an its application to bent waveguides, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 49, 175302, (2016).
- [26] P. Russell, Science 229, 358 (2003).
- [27] S. Somekh, E. Garmire, A. Yariv, H. L. Garvin and R. G. Hunsperger, Channel optical waveguide directional couplers, Appl. Phys. Lett. 22, 46, (1973).
- [28] A. L. Jones, Coupling of Optical Fibers and Scattering in Fibers, Journal of the Optical Society of America 55, 261, (1965).
- [29] L. Villanueva Vergara, B. M. Rodriguez-Lara, Gilmore-Perelomov symmetry based approach to photonic lattices, Opt. Express. 23, 22836, (2015).
- [30] G. Dresselhaus, Spin-Orbit Coupling Effects in Zinc Blende Structures, Phys. Rev 100, 580, (1955).
- [31] K. Flouris, S. Succi, H. J. Herrmann, Quantized Alternate Current on Curved Graphene, Condens. Matter, 4(2), 39, (2019).
- [32] G. W. Semenoff, Condensed-Matter Simulation of a Three-Dimensional Anomaly, Phys. Rev. Lett., 53, 2449–2452 (1984).
- [33] C. W. J. Beenakker, Andreev reflection and Klein tunneling in graphene, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1337, (2008).
- [34] M. Ramezani Masir, P. Vasilopoulos, A. Matulis, and F. M. Peeters, Direction-dependent tunneling through nanostructured magnetic barriers in graphene, Phys. Rev. B 77, 235443, (2008).
- [35] L. Dell Anna, P. Majari, M. R. Setare, From Klein to anti-Klein tunneling in graphene tuning the Rashba spin-orbit interaction or the bilayer coupling, J. Phys, Condens. Matter 30, 415301, (2018).
- [36] Y. Betancur Ocampo, F. Leyvraz, T. Stegmann, Electron Optics in Phosphorene pn Junctions: Negative Reflection and Anti-Super-Klein Tunneling, Nano Letters 19 (11), 7760, (2019).
- [37] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg and W. J. Zakrzewski, Classical and Quantum Mechanics of Free κ-Relativistic Systems, Ann. Phys. 243, 90, (1995).
- [38] S. Meljanac and M. Stojic, New realizations of Lie algebra kappa-deformed Euclidean space, Eur. Phys. J. C, 47, 531 (2006).
- [39] A. Kempf, Quantum group-symmetric fock spaces with bargmann-fock representation, Lett. Math. Phys. 26, 1, (1992).
- [40] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V. N. Tolstoy, q-deformation of Poincaré algebra, Phys. Lett. B 264, 331, (1991).
- [41] M. Daszkiewicz, J. Lukierski and M. Woronowicz, Towards quantum noncommutative κ -deformed field theory, Phys. Rev. D, 77 (2008).
- [42] C. A. S. Young and R. Zegers, Covariant particle statistics and intertwiners of the κ -deformed Poincaré algebra, Nucl. Phys. B, 797, 537, (2008).
- [43] M. Dimitrijevic, L. Jonke, L. Möller, E. Tsouchnika, J. Wess, M. Wohlgenannt, Deformed field theory on κ -spacetime, Eur. Phys. J. C, 31, 129, (2003).
- [44] S. Majid, H.Ruegg, Bicrossproduct structure of κ -Poincare group and non-commutative geometry, Phys. Lett. B, 334, 348, (1994).
- [45] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, New quantum Poincaré algebra and κ -deformed field theory, Phys. Lett. B 293, 344, (1992).
- [46] J. Lukierski and H. Ruegg, Quantum κ -Poincaré in any dimension, Phys. Lett. B, 329, 189, (1994).
- [47] S. Meljanac, M. Stojic, New realizations of Lie algebra kappa-deformed Euclidean space, Eur. Phys. J. C, 47, 531, (2006).
- [48] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum

fields, Comm. Math. Phys, 172, 1, 187, (1995).

- [49] T. R. Govindarajan, Kumar S. Gupta, E. Harikumar, S. Meljanac, D. Meljanac, Deformed oscillator algebras and QFT in κ-Minkowski spacetime, Phys. Rev. D, 80, 025014, (2009).
- [50] A. Nowicki, E. Sorace and M. Tarlini, The quantum deformed Dirac equation from the κ Poincaré algebra, Phys. Lett. B, 302, 419, (1993).
- [51] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, W. Rühl, From κ -Poincaré algebra to κ -Lorentz quasigroup. A deformation of relativistic symmetry, Phys. Lett. B, 313, 357, (1993).
- [52] E. Harikumar, M. Sivakumar, N. Srinivas, κ deformed Dirac equation, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, 26, 1103, (2011).
- [53] G. Amelino-Camelia, L. Smolin and A. Starodubtsev, Quantum symmetry, the cosmological constant and Planck-scale phenomenology, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 3095, (2004).
- [54] G. Amelino-Camelia, Testable scenario for relativity with minimum length, Phys.Lett. B, 510, 255, (2001).
- [55] G. Amelino-Camelia, Gravity-wave interferometers as quantum-gravity detectors, Nature 398, 216, (1999).
- [56] D. J. Gross, P. F. Mende, String theory beyond the Planck scale, Phys. B, 303, 407, (1988).
- [57] G. Amelino Camelia, C. Laemmerzahl, F. Mercati, G. M. Tino, Constraining the Energy-Momentum Dispersion Relation with Planck-Scale Sensitivity Using Cold Atoms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 171302, (2009).
- [58] G. Gubitosi, L. Pagano, G. Amelino Camelia, A. Melchiorri, A. Cooray, A constraint on Planck-scale modifications to electrodynamics with CMB polarization data, JCAP 08, 021, (2009).
- [59] E. Schrödinger, Über die kräftefreie Bewegung in der relativistischen Quantenmechanik, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Kl. 24, 418, (1930).
- [60] X. Zhang, Observing Zitterbewegung for Photons near the Dirac Point of a Two-Dimensional Photonic Crystal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 113903 (2008).
- [61] K. Huang, On the Zitterbewegung of the Dirac Electron, Am. Phys. J, 20, 479, (1952).
- [62] A. Bermudez, M. A. Martin-Delgado, A. Luis, Nonrelativistic limit in the 2+1 Dirac oscillator: A Ramsey-interferometry effect, Phys. Rev. A 77, 033832, (2008).
- [63] A. S. Rosado, J. A. Franco-Villafañe, C. Pineda, E. Sadurní, Phys. Rev. B 94, 045129 (2016).
- [64] K. Hyde, J.Y. Chang, C. Bacca, J.A. Wickert, Parameter studies for plane stress in-plane vibration of rectangular plates, Journal of Sound and Vibration, 247, 471–487 (2001).
- [65] A. Arreola-Lucas, J. A. Franco-Villafañe, G. Baez, R. A. Méndez-Sánchez, In-plane vibrations of a rectangular plate: Plane wave expansion modelling and experiment, Journal of Sound and Vibration 342, 168, (2015).
- [66] F. Ramírez-Ramírez, E. Flores-Olmedo, G. Báez, E. Sadurní and R. A. Méndez-Sánchez. Emulating tightly bound electrons in crystalline solids using mechanical waves. Sci Rep 10, 10229 (2020).
- [67] E. Flores-Olmedo, A. M. Martinez-Arguello, M. Martinez-Mares, G. Baez, J. A. Franco-Villafañe, R. A. Méndez-Sánchez, Experimental evidence of coherent transport, Sci. Rep. 6, 25157, (2016).