
VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS IN COMPLEX NETWORKS UNDER A FLOOD RISK REDUCTION POINT OF VIEW

Leonardo B. L. Santos ^{*,†} **Giovanni G. Soares** [‡] **Tanishq Garg** [§] **Aurelienne A. S. Jorge** [‡]
leonardo.santos@cemaden.gov.br

Luciana R. Londe^{*} **Regina T. Reani**^{*} **Roberta B. Bacelar**[¶] **Carlos E. S. Oliveira**^{||} **Vander L. S. Freitas**^{||}

Igor M. Sokolov[†]

February 28, 2023

ABSTRACT

The measurement and mapping of transportation network vulnerability to natural hazards constitute subjects of global interest for a sustainable development agenda and as means of adaptation to climate changes. During a flood, some elements of a transportation network can be affected, causing loss of lives. Furthermore, impacts include damage to vehicles, streets/roads, and other logistics services - sometimes with severe economic consequences. The Network Science approach may offer a valuable perspective considering one type of vulnerability related to network-type critical infrastructures: the topological vulnerability. The topological vulnerability index associated with an element is defined as the reduction on the network's average efficiency due to the removal of the set of edges related to that element. In this paper we present the results of a systematic literature overview and a case study applying the topological vulnerability index for the highways in the state of Santa Catarina (Brazil). We produce a map considering that index and the areas susceptible to urban floods and landslides. The risk knowledge, combining hazard and vulnerability, is the first pillar of an Early Warning System, and represent an important tool for stakeholders from the transportation sector in a disaster risk reduction agenda.

^{*}Centro Nacional de Monitoramento e Alertas de Desastres Naturais (Cemaden), Brazil

[†]Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany

[‡]Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), Brazil

[§]Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India

[¶]Anhanguera College, Brazil

^{||}Federal University of Ouro Preto, Brazil

1 Introduction

In a scenario of global change, some climatic and extreme weather events are expected to increase in frequency and intensity and cause more social and economic impacts in several sectors, such as transportation systems and urban mobility. As presented in several papers in literature, the cost for repairing transport assets after either an urban flood or landslide represents a significant percentage of the total damage cost of several recent disasters around the world (Pregolato et al. (2017); Koks et al. (2019); Randil et al. (2022); Marulanda et al. (2022)) and in Brazil (Santos et al. (2017); Parizzi (2022); Zákha et al. (2022)).

To mitigate those impacts, it is necessary to evaluate the risk associated with disasters and the best ways to deal with them. “Disaster risk reduction is aimed at preventing new and reducing existing disaster risk and managing residual risk, all of which contribute to strengthening resilience and therefore to the achievement of sustainable development” (UNI (2017)).

For disaster risk reduction, vulnerability is a key concept. There are several types and meanings for vulnerability. According to Wisner (1994), vulnerability represents “the characteristics of a person or group and their situation that influence their capacity to anticipate, cope with, resist and recover from the impact of a natural hazard (an extreme natural event or process)”. The UN Office for disaster risk reduction also includes assets and systems as subjects to vulnerability: “The conditions determined by physical, social, economic and environmental factors or processes which increase the susceptibility of an individual, a community, assets or systems to the impacts of hazards” (UNI (2017)).

In the transportation systems’ literature, there are also different meanings for vulnerability (Schlögl et al. (2019)). For example, Berdica (2002) suggested that network vulnerability should be understood as “susceptibility to incidents that can result in considerable reductions in road network serviceability”, and Taylor et al. (2006) understood network vulnerability as the extent of a failure to impact the original purpose of the system.

Vulnerability is a key idea in Network Science as well. Due to its generality for representing the system topology (relation among the elements on the system), Network Science approaches have been applied to a huge number of very different areas (Newman (2010)). Section 2.3 presents a Systematic Literature Mapping of papers related to road networks, natural disasters and network vulnerability. The listed works are predominantly from 2020, published in interdisciplinary and transport journals. The most frequent type of disaster and data origin are flooding/landsliding and USA/China.

This paper presents a formulation for a vulnerability index based on efficiencies of the system of networks. It aims to locate the most vulnerable links in a transportation network and to assess whether these links are susceptible to hazards and disruptions. The idea is presented as a case study on a set of highways, which are mapped based on vulnerability index and disaster susceptibility data.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study area

Brazil is among the ten countries most affected by weather-related disasters in the last 20 years (UNI (2017)). Santa Catarina state, located in the Brazilian Southern region, is particularly affected by disasters - there is an annual mean of 64 damage records triggered by hydrological processes, such as floods in Santa Catarina municipalities (Herrmann (2014)). The maximum value was achieved in 2008, when the material losses summed almost 1 billion US Dollar (Herrmann (2014)).

According to the last census track (2010), there are 295 municipalities and more than 6 million inhabitants in the state. The State’s HDI - Human Development Index - is 0.774 and it is the third in the Brazilian HDI ranking (IBG (2017)). Despite the high socio-economic indicators for municipalities from Santa Catarina state, there are many communities

at risk in those places due to characteristics of land occupation (Londe et al. (2014, 2015)). The mountainous relief in the east side determined the human settlement in the fluvial plains, which are areas naturally prone to floods. Moreover, industrialization and economic growth attracted many people to the regions and induced interventions in the environment, such as deforestation, landfill and irregular constructions (Londe et al. (2014, 2015)).

The susceptible flood areas used in this study were mapped by the Brazilian Geological Survey (CPRM), based on a database of previous occurrences and *in situ* evaluation of physical characteristics (CPR (2017)).

2.2 Topological vulnerability

Several topological measures can be extracted from a network and used to analyze the modeled phenomena or processes - see Costa et al. (2007). One of these simple and important indexes is the shortest path length d_{ij} between two nodes i and j , defined as the smallest number of links from i to j , among all the possible paths between i and j . On the other hand, the efficiency e_{ij} in the communication between nodes i and j can be defined as inversely proportional to shortest path length between them. The average efficiency E of the network G is defined as the average of all e_{ij} , considering all pairs of nodes. The topological vulnerability index of an element k in a network G , V_k , is thus given by

$$V_k = \frac{E - E_k^*}{E}, \quad (1)$$

where E_k^* is the efficiency of the network when the element k is inaccessible: all its edges are removed. The first paper considering the pointwise vulnerability index was that of Gol'dshtein et al. (2004), based on two relevant previous works: Latora and Marchiori (2001, 2004).

According to Pregolato et al. (2016), network models are typically aspatial: the emphasis has been on topological interactions, not on their geography. More details about space-related properties in Network Science can be found in Barthélemy (2011); Daqing et al. (2011).

Here, we use the concept and tools of a (geo)graph, a network in a geographical space. Recently, this approach was applied for a mobility network analysis (Lima Santos et al. (2019)) and for a rainfall network analysis (Ceron et al. (2019)). In this paper, we represent a set of highways as a network, calculate the topological vulnerability index of its elements and show them on a map. We highlight the spacial location of the most vulnerable element, in order to combine this information with the locations most susceptible to either floods or landslides.

2.3 Systematic Literature Mapping

In this section we present a systematic literature mapping of papers related to road networks, natural disasters and network vulnerability. We collected manuscripts from Google Scholar using the following search protocol: “(graph OR network) AND (transport OR roads OR highways OR streets) AND (disaster OR flood OR flooding OR landslide) AND (vulnerability)”. The aim is to identify gaps and trends in literature.

We select the first forty papers and, as a cut-off criterion, we discard those whose abstracts and title deviates from the subject. We end up with twenty three articles grouped according to Table 1, in chronological publication order.

Table 1: Results of the systematic literature mapping.

Paper	Citations	Journal type	Country of the data	Context of “vulnerability”	Network type	Disaster type	Metric “vulnerability”
<i>Balijepalli and Oppong (2014)</i>	119	Geography	England	graph	road network	natural disaster	vulnerability
<i>Mattsson and Jenelius (2015)</i>	605	Transport	No Country	graph	road system	natural disaster	vulnerability
<i>Lu et al. (2016)</i>	12	interdisciplinary	China	transport	urban road network	natural disaster	vulnerability
?	21	interdisciplinary	Swedish	disaster	streets	flooding	closeness
<i>Postance et al. (2017)</i>	45	interdisciplinary	United Kingdom	transport	road network	landslide	vulnerability
<i>Helderop and Grubestic (2019)</i>	20	Transport	United States	transport	road network	storm surge flooding	susceptibility
<i>Koks et al. (2019)</i>	159	interdisciplinary	No Country	transport	road/railway	multi-hazard	vulnerability
<i>Lu and Lin (2019)</i>	17	interdisciplinary	China	graph	urban rail transit	vehicle breakdown	vulnerability
<i>Pérez-Morales et al. (2019)</i>	9	interdisciplinary	Spain	transport	road network	flooding	vulnerability
?	25	interdisciplinary	Austrian	graph	road network	landslide	susceptibility
<i>Abdulla et al. (2020)</i>	119	Transport Geography	England	graph	road network	flooding	vulnerability
<i>Fan et al. (2020)</i>	18	interdisciplinary	United States	transport	road networks	flooding	susceptibility
<i>Hearn and Pongpanya (2020)</i>	1	Geology	Laos	disaster	road network	landslide	vulnerability
<i>Jamshed et al. (2020)</i>	28	interdisciplinary	No Country	disaster	rural vulnerability	flooding	vulnerability
<i>Mera and Balijepalli (2020)</i>	7	Transport	United Kingdom	transport	streets	natural disaster	vulnerability
?	4	Transport	Poland	transport	transport network urban	flooding	vulnerability
<i>Wang et al. (2020)</i>	9	interdisciplinary	China	transport	highway	flooding	vulnerability
<i>Yin et al. (2020)</i>	8	interdisciplinary	China	disaster	highway	landslide	susceptibility
<i>Zhang et al. (2020)</i>	14	Transport	United States	graph	transportation network	landslide	vulnerability
<i>Alabbad et al. (2021)</i>	14	Environmental Science	United States	graph	road network	flooding	vulnerability
<i>Furno et al. (2021)</i>	1	interdisciplinary	France	graph	transportation network	traffic Jam	vulnerability
<i>Morelli and Cunha (2021)</i>	13	Transport	Brazil	disaster	road network	flooding	vulnerability
<i>Shahdani et al. (2022)</i>	0	interdisciplinary	Portugal	transport	road transport network	flooding	vulnerability

Regarding networks, Roads (highways) are the most frequent, followed by streets (urban networks). Nearly all papers quantify vulnerability with the network metric of the same name. The context of the word “vulnerability” relates more often to transport and graphs, with only a few associated to disasters.

In particular, we mention the top three cited papers: Mattsson and Jenelius (2015) provide a review of problems in transport systems around the globe; Koks et al. (2019) bring a global view of multiple natural disasters; and Abdulla et al. (2020) investigate vulnerability of transport networks from British data. They all explore the problem under different scales: global and country-level.

Some future research directions: mapping of critical segments (roads) and the creation of susceptibility indexes to build catastrophe models in response to extreme events such as flooding and severe wind storms; mapping landslides incidence and; how severe and frequent the phenomena is becoming (Postance et al., 2017). The literature also lacks a detailed assessment of how climate transformations impact transport systems (Pérez-Morales et al., 2019).

Here we quantify links’ vulnerability in a transportation network and their susceptibility to hazards and disruptions. We showed that the most well-cited works deal with a similar problem but at a country and global level, whereas we address it from a state-level perspective.

3 Results and Discussion

Using the (geo)graph approach, we represent the set of highways as a network. For the Santa Catarina State case study area, the road network presents 1536 nodes/road segments and 2101 directed edges/connections between road segments.

Figure 1 shows the topological vulnerability index map for all highways in the study area. There are 4 classes (colors in Figure 1), that corresponds to Low Vulnerability, Moderate Vulnerability, High Vulnerability, and Extremely High Vulnerability.

The distribution of topological vulnerability index is highly inhomogeneous - see Figure 2. In Figure 2, on double logarithmic scale, we show this distribution and a power law fitting to it with an exponent as obtained by the Clauset’s method (Clauset et al. (2009)). It means that most highways present low to moderate vulnerability, whereas a small subset is highly vulnerable.

Another important question is about where the most vulnerable elements are, in particular, whether they are close to the areas most susceptible to floods. The four most vulnerable segments are all on the SC-108 highway, including parts without pavement (in the rural area) and parts that cross areas susceptible to floods, in the urban area of Anitápolis/SC. In this city, there are several areas susceptible to floods and flash floods.

Figure 3 shows the vulnerability index map for a subset of the highways in the study area, and, also, the areas most susceptible to hazards such as floods and landslides. In this subset, it is possible to see that there are some elements with high topological vulnerability index close to urban areas susceptible to flood. In this area, in the cities Rio Negrinho and Mafra, the BR-280 highway crosses the Negrinho River. This area is marked by several records of floods in the rainy season (susceptibility component), which makes traffic in the region unfeasible (impact) (UFSC, 2016).

The highway BR-280 is one of the most important in Santa Catarina state, playing an important role in the transportation of goods to the ports of São Francisco do Sul, Itajaí and Paranaguá. It also promotes the interconnection link between important cities in the region, such as Joinville and Jaraguá do Sul. Thus, there is a large flow of people and goods on this highway.

This representation, considering both vulnerability (as a topological index) and susceptible areas, is an important tool for stakeholders from the transportation sector, considering climate change, disaster risk reduction and sustainable development agenda. The Risk Knowledge, combining hazard and vulnerability, is the first pillar of an Early Warning System (EWS) UNI (2017). Also, the transportation sector represents direct and indirect economic losses: the first

being the destruction of physical assets and the second being a decline in economic value. In this work, the suggested representation adds knowledge about the losses to the disaster risk assessment.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we represented the set of highways from our study area as a network and calculated the topological vulnerability index. Using the (geo) approach (Lima Santos et al. (2019); Ceron et al. (2019)), it was possible to represent the results in a Geographical Information System.

In our case study, in the south region of Brazil, there are some elements with vulnerability index of approximately 5%, therefore a flood impairing the traffic on this highway's element can reduce the efficiency of this transportation network by approximately 5%. Also, there are elements high topological vulnerability index close to urban flood areas, for example, in the cities of Mafra and Rio Negrinho, where the BR-280 highway crosses the Negrinho River. This area is marked by several records of floods in the rainy season (susceptibility component), which makes traffic unfeasible in the region (impact). In the study area, the State of Santa Catarina, in Brazil, there is a heavy flow of people and goods, with some important national and international ports and airports.

The topological vulnerability index associated with an element of a network (in our case, a highway segment) is a measure quantifying the way the system reacts to damage on this element. Although it is a measure associated with the element, the topological vulnerability index contains information about the dynamics throughout the whole network (Santos et al. (2019)). The disaster trigger is local but its impacts can be extended to a wider region. The topological vulnerability index captures this relation and it is possibly the most important feature of this index.

Accordingly to the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030, one of the most important documents in the Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) guidelines, there is one global target related to "Substantially reduce disaster damage to critical infrastructure and disruption of basic services" (Aitsi-Selmi et al. (2015)). Many critical infrastructures (such as roads) are of network type, and can be modeled using the Network Science approach (Newman (2010)). The topological vulnerability index is a network measure particularly interesting in the context of critical infrastructures. The development of a vulnerability map to disasters and their impacts on infrastructures is aligned with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development as well, particularly with the Sustainable Development Goals number 9, 11 and 13, related to Infrastructures, Intelligent Cities and Climate (SDG (2019)).

To make better urban planning and to lessen the risk of disasters, mapping risk areas is an indispensable step. This mapping can be used to create a risk reduction plan, to define priority areas for attention in the municipalities, to make recommendations for works on infrastructure and to prepare municipal master plans. The mapping of risk areas for Santa Catarina follows the guidelines established from GIDES Project (GID (2017)), which is a partnership between Brazil and Japan to strengthen the National Strategy for integrated Management of Risks and Disasters. The project's goal is to reduce risks of disasters through non-structural preventive actions. The main results are the improvement of assessment systems and risk mapping, warnings and urban planning for disaster prevention.

A possible extension for this investigation is to draft risk scenarios considering other components, such as the dynamic exposure (daily traffic on each highway) and other kinds of vulnerability, for example, one based on traffic engineering parameters, or on the coverage of meteorological sensors (Carvalho et al. (2018)).

Author Contributions

LBLS - Conceptualization, Methodology, Project Administration, Writing GGS - Methodology, Writing TG - Methodology, Writing AASJ - Methodology, Writing LRL - Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing RTR - Methodology, Writing RBB - Methodology, Writing CESO - Methodology, Writing VLSF - Methodology, Writing IMS - Conceptualization, Review Editing

Acknowledgments

Funding: São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), Grant Number 2015/50122-0 and DFG-IRTG Grant Number 1740/2; FAPESP Grant Number 2018/06205-7; CNPq Grant Number 420338/2018-7

Data Availability Statement

The datasets generated and analyzed for this study can be found in <https://github.com/gioguarnieri/highways-vulnerability>

References

- (2017). Cprm - geological survey of brazil. [Online; accessed September 18, 2019].
- (2017). Gides - gides project. [Online; accessed June 22, 2019].
- (2017). Ibge – instituto brasileiro de geografia e estatística. [Online; accessed October 11, 2019].
- (2017). Unisdr - global assessment report on disaster risk reduction atlas: unveiling global disaster risk.
- (2019). Sdg - sustainable development goals. [Online; accessed September 23, 2019].
- Abdulla, B., Kiaghadi, A., Rifai, H. S., and Birgisson, B. (2020). Characterization of vulnerability of road networks to fluvial flooding using sis network diffusion model. *Journal of Infrastructure Preservation and Resilience*, 1.
- Aitsi-Selmi, A., Egawa, S., Sasaki, H., Wannous, C., and Murray, V. (2015). The sendai framework for disaster risk reduction: Renewing the global commitment to people’s resilience, health, and well-being. *International journal of disaster risk science*, 6(2):164–176.
- Alabbad, Y., Mount, J., Campbell, A. M., and Demir, I. (2021). Assessment of transportation system disruption and accessibility to critical amenities during flooding: Iowa case study. *Science of the Total Environment*, 793.
- Andersson-Sköld, Y. and Nyberg, L. (2016). Effective and sustainable flood and landslide risk reduction measures: An investigation of two assessment frameworks. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 7:374–392.
- Balijepalli, C. and Oppong, O. (2014). Measuring vulnerability of road network considering the extent of serviceability of critical road links in urban areas. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 39:145–155.
- Barthélemy, M. (2011). Spatial networks. *Physics reports*, 499(1-3):1–101.
- Berdica, K. (2002). An introduction to road vulnerability: what has been done, is done and should be done. *Transport policy*, 9(2):117–127.
- Carvalho, T., Jorge, A. A. S., Bernardes, T., Londe, L. R., Soriano, E., and Santos, L. B. (2018). A computational geographical index on road networks for disaster risk reduction. *IEEE LATIN AMERICA TRANSACTIONS*, 16(5):1454–1459.
- Ceron, W., Santos, L. B., Neto, G. D., Quiles, M. G., and Candido, O. A. (2019). Community detection in very high-resolution meteorological networks. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 17(11):2007–2010.
- Clauset, A., Shalizi, C. R., and Newman, M. E. (2009). Power-law distributions in empirical data. *SIAM review*, 51(4):661–703.
- Costa, L. d. F., Rodrigues, F. A., Traverso, G., and Villas Boas, P. R. (2007). Characterization of complex networks: A survey of measurements. *Advances in physics*, 56(1):167–242.
- Daqing, L., Kosmidis, K., Bunde, A., and Havlin, S. (2011). Dimension of spatially embedded networks. *Nature Physics*, 7(6):481–484.

- Fan, C., Jiang, X., and Mostafavi, A. (2020). A network percolation-based contagion model of flood propagation and recession in urban road networks. *Scientific Reports*, 10.
- Furno, A., Faouzi, N. E. E., Sharma, R., and Zimeo, E. (2021). Graph-based ahead monitoring of vulnerabilities in large dynamic transportation networks. *PLoS ONE*, 16.
- Gol'dshtein, V., Koganov, G., and Surdutovich, G. I. (2004). Vulnerability and hierarchy of complex networks. *arXiv preprint cond-mat/0409298*.
- Hearn, G. J. and Pongpanya, P. (2020). Developing a landslide vulnerability assessment for the national road network in laos. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, 54.
- Helderop, E. and Grubestic, T. H. (2019). Streets, storm surge, and the frailty of urban transport systems: A grid-based approach for identifying informal street network connections to facilitate mobility. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 77:337–351.
- Herrmann, M. L. P. (2014). Atlas de desastres naturais do estado de santa catarina: período de 1980 a 2010. *IHGSC/Cadernos Geográficos*, 2.
- Jamshed, A., Birkmann, J., Feldmeyer, D., and Rana, I. A. (2020). A conceptual framework to understand the dynamics of rural-urban linkages for rural flood vulnerability. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12.
- Koks, E. E., Rozenberg, J., Zorn, C., Tariverdi, M., Voutsoukas, M., Fraser, S. A., Hall, J. W., and Hallegatte, S. (2019). A global multi-hazard risk analysis of road and railway infrastructure assets. *Nature Communications*, 10.
- Latora, V. and Marchiori, M. (2001). Efficient behavior of small-world networks. *Physical review letters*, 87(19):198701.
- Latora, V. and Marchiori, M. (2004). Vulnerability and protection of infrastructure networks. *Physical Review E*, 71(1):015103.
- Lima Santos, L. B., Carvalho, L. M., Ceron, W., Coelho, F. C., Macau, E. E., Quiles, M. G., and V Monteiro, A. M. (2019). How do urban mobility (geo) graph's topological properties fill a map? *Applied Network Science*, 4(1):1–14.
- Londe, L. d. R., Coutinho, M. P., Gregório, D., Torres, L., Santos, L. B. L., and Soriano, É. (2014). Water-related disasters in brazil: perspectives and recommendations. *Ambiente & Sociedade*, 17:133–152.
- Londe, L. d. R., Marchezini, V., Conceição, R. S. d., Bortoletto, K. C., Silva, A. E. P., Santos, E. V. d., and Reani, R. T. (2015). Impactos de desastres socioambientais em saúde pública: estudos dos casos dos estados de santa catarina em 2008 e pernambuco em 2010. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População*, 32:537–562.
- Lu, G., Xiong, Y., Ding, C., and Wang, Y. (2016). An optimal schedule for urban road network repair based on the greedy algorithm. *PLoS ONE*, 11.
- Lu, Q. C. and Lin, S. (2019). Vulnerability analysis of urban rail transit network within multi-modal public transport networks. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11.
- Marulanda, M., de la Llera, J., and Cardona, O. (2022). A macroeconomic disaster risk analysis: The case study of chile. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 74:102875.
- Mattsson, L. G. and Jenelius, E. (2015). Vulnerability and resilience of transport systems - a discussion of recent research. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 81:16–34.
- Mera, A. P. and Balijepalli, C. (2020). Towards improving resilience of cities: an optimisation approach to minimising vulnerability to disruption due to natural disasters under budgetary constraints. *Transportation*, 47:1809–1842.
- Morelli, A. B. and Cunha, A. L. (2021). Measuring urban road network vulnerability to extreme events: An application for urban floods. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 93.
- Newman, M. E. J. (2010). *Networks: An Introduction*. Oxford University Press.
- Parizzi, M. G. (2022). Panorama dos desastres climatológicos, hidrológicos, meteorológicos e geológicos durante o período de 2010–2019. *Derbyana*, 43.

- Postance, B., Hillier, J., Dijkstra, T., and Dixon, N. (2017). Extending natural hazard impacts: An assessment of landslide disruptions on a national road transportation network. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12.
- Pregolato, M., Ford, A., Robson, C., Glenis, V., Barr, S., and Dawson, R. (2016). Assessing urban strategies for reducing the impacts of extreme weather on infrastructure networks. *Royal Society open science*, 3(5):160023.
- Pregolato, M., Ford, A., Wilkinson, S. M., and Dawson, R. J. (2017). The impact of flooding on road transport: A depth-disruption function. *Transportation research part D: transport and environment*, 55:67–81.
- Pérez-Morales, A., Gomariz-Castillo, F., and Pardo-Zaragoza, P. (2019). Vulnerability of transport networks to multi-scenario flooding and optimum location of emergency management centers. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11.
- Randil, C., Siriwardana, C., and Rathnayaka, B. S. (2022). A statistical method for pre-estimating impacts from a disaster: A case study of floods in kaduwela, sri lanka. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 76:103010.
- Santos, L. B., Carvalho, T., Anderson, L. O., Rudorff, C. M., Marchezini, V., Londe, L. R., and Saito, S. M. (2017). An rs-gis-based comprehensive impact assessment of floods—a case study in madeira river, western brazilian amazon. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, 14(9):1614–1617.
- Santos, L. B. L., Londe, L. R., Carvalho, T. J. d., Menasché, D. S., and Vega-Oliveros, D. A. (2019). About interfaces between machine learning, complex networks, survivability analysis, and disaster risk reduction. In *Towards mathematics, computers and environment: a disasters perspective*, pages 185–215. Springer.
- Schlögl, M., Richter, G., Avian, M., Thaler, T., Heiss, G., Lenz, G., and Fuchs, S. (2019). On the nexus between landslide susceptibility and transport infrastructure—an agent-based approach. *Natural hazards and earth system sciences*, 19(1):201–219.
- Schlögl, M., Richter, G., Avian, M., Thaler, T., Heiss, G., Lenz, G., and Fuchs, S. (2019). On the nexus between landslide susceptibility and transport infrastructure - an agent-based approach. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 19:201–219.
- Shahdani, F. J., Santamaria-Ariza, M., Sousa, H. S., Coelho, M., and Matos, J. C. (2022). Assessing flood indirect impacts on road transport networks applying mesoscopic traffic modelling: The case study of santarém, portugal. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 12.
- Taylor, M. A., Sekhar, S. V., and D’Este, G. M. (2006). Application of accessibility based methods for vulnerability analysis of strategic road networks. *Networks and Spatial Economics*, 6(3):267–291.
- Wang, W., Yang, S., Gao, J., Hu, F., Zhao, W., and Stanley, H. E. (2020). An integrated approach for assessing the impact of large-scale future floods on a highway transport system. *Risk Analysis*, 40:1780–1794.
- Wisner, B. (1994). At risk. *At risk: natural hazards, people’s vulnerability and disasters.*, 23.
- Wiśniewski, S., Borowska-Stefańska, M., Kowalski, M., and Sapińska, P. (2020). Vulnerability of the accessibility to grocery shopping in the event of flooding. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 87.
- Yin, C., Li, H., Che, F., Li, Y., Hu, Z., and Liu, D. (2020). Susceptibility mapping and zoning of highway landslide disasters in china. *PLoS ONE*, 15.
- Zákhia, E. M. S., Alvarenga, L. A., Tomasella, J., Martins, M. A., Santos, A. C. N., and Melo, P. A. (2022). Impactos das mudanças climáticas em uma bacia hidrográfica no sul do estado de minas gerais. *Revista Brasileira de Meteorologia*, 36:667–681.
- Zhang, Q., Yu, H., Li, Z., Zhang, G., and Ma, D. T. (2020). Assessing potential likelihood and impacts of landslides on transportation network vulnerability. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 82.




Figure 1: Vulnerability index map for all highways in the study area. The green color is associated with the least vulnerable segments and the red color with the most vulnerable ones, following a quantile-scale color legend. Bounding-box: -53.73,-29.31,-48.54,-25.98 (geographical coordinates).




Figure 2: Distribution of values for the topological vulnerability index (black squares) and a auxiliary line (red segment) for a power law with an exponent of -2.475 .




Figure 3: Vulnerability index map for a subset of highways in the study area. The red color with the most vulnerable segments. The areas susceptible to flood are shown in blue.