# SURVEY OF THE DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF PULMONARY LESIONS VIA CT AND X-RAY

Yixuan Sun School of Coumputer Science and Technology East China Normal University Shanghai, North Zhongshan Rd. 3663 10175102160@stu.ecnu.edu.cn

Qian Zhang

School of Coumputer Science and Technology East China Normal University Shanghai, North Zhongshan Rd. 3663 qzhang@cs.ecnu.edu.cn Chengyao Li School of Coumputer Science and Technology East China Normal University Shanghai, North Zhongshan Rd. 3663 10175102262@stu.ecnu.edu.cn

#### Aimin Zhou

School of Coumputer Science and Technology East China Normal University Shanghai, North Zhongshan Rd. 3663 amzhou@cs.ecnu.edu.cn

#### Guixu Zhang

School of Coumputer Science and Technology East China Normal University Shanghai, North Zhongshan Rd. 3663 gxzhang@cs.ecnu.edu.cn

January 1, 2021

#### Abstract

In recent years, the prevalence of several pulmonary diseases, especially the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, has attracted worldwide attention. These diseases can be effectively diagnosed and treated with the help of lung imaging. With the development of deep learning technology and the emergence of many public medical image datasets, the diagnosis of lung diseases via medical imaging has been further improved. This article reviews pulmonary CT and X-ray image detection and classification in the last decade. It also provides an overview of the detection of lung nodules, pneumonia, and other common lung lesions based on the imaging characteristics of various lesions. Furthermore, this review introduces 26 commonly used public medical image datasets, summarizes the latest technology, and discusses current challenges and future research directions.

#### 1 Introduction

Diseases are the leading cause of death among humans; among the lethal human diseases listed by the World Health Organization in 2016 [109], chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases and lower respiratory tract infections are the third- and fourth-most fatal ones, and their ranks are higher than those of other terrifying diseases, such as acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Several pandemics, such as severe acute respiratory syndrome in 2002, H1N1 influenza in 2009, Middle East respiratory syndrome in 2013, and Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 in 2019, have led to numerous infections and deaths. In dealing with these diseases, pulmonary images have an important role in fast diagnosis and evaluation. During the early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic in various countries, many patients with evident symptoms were not diagnosed in time because of the lack of detection kits, resulting in great losses. In medical institutions, many pulmonary images are analyzed and evaluated manually. However, massive images have brought exhausting work for doctors, especially during pandemics. In these cases, medical images cannot

be analyzed in time, leading to heavy losses and indirectly exacerbating the situation. At present, computed tomography (CT) and X-ray images are commonly used as effective techniques for detecting lung diseases.

#### 1.1 Previous Works

Many researchers contributed to studies on pulmonary lesion detection via lung medical imaging in the past few decades [39, 80, 92]. With the rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI), computer-aided diagnosis (CAD) has emerged as an effective method based on medical image analysis. AI has changed from traditional machine learning methods based on handcrafted feature descriptors to deep learning methods. In comparison with traditional machine learning methods, the feature description and extraction of deep learning methods are integrated into model structures, and more abundant and discriminative features can be learned automatically. These changes have been applied to the development of medical image processing [39, 80]. Hu et al. [39] summarized the applications of deep learning in cancer detection and diagnosis. Chunli et al. [80] conducted a survey of AI-based CAD with chest X-ray images. Feng et al. [92] reviewed the application of AI techniques in COVID-19 segmentation and diagnosis.

#### **1.2** Contributions of this Paper

With the rapid technological development and the current COVID-19 pandemic, recent studies should be reviewed and summarized for future treatments. As such, this review focuses on methods based on CT and X-ray images for the detection and classification of pulmonary lesions and summarizes the pulmonary CT and X-ray image datasets obtained in the last decade. The main contributions of this review are presented as follows.

- *Classify researches on the basis of different lesions*. Considering that the features of different lesions are distinct, this paper is organized in the order of cancer, pneumonia, tuberculosis (TB), and other diseases. This structure makes the paper comprehensive.
- *Summarize the researches by CT and X-ray.* CT and X-ray are commonly used radiological methods in lung disease diagnosis. Evaluation results are not comparable because of the different formats of images. As a result, the sections of different lesions are organized in the order of CT and X-ray.
- *Introduce the latest researches on COVID-19 in 2020.* The classification and detection of COVID-19 have become the hotspot of medical imaging research because of the serious COVID-19 situation worldwide. As such, some representative studies published until the first week of May are introduced.

This review is organized as follows. Section 2 covers the identification and localization techniques of lung nodules, tumors, cancer, pneumonia (including COVID-19), TB, and other common lung lesions. Section 3 introduces 26 commonly used public lung CT and X-ray datasets, including competition datasets, and lists several self-built datasets. Section 4 discusses the problems existing in current data resources, summarizes the challenges in current research, and proposes the expectations for the future architecture. Section 5 provides the conclusion of this article.

## 2 Technology and Application

According to the types of lung diseases and their imaging characteristics, these diseases are classified into three categories, namely, pulmonary nodules, pneumonia, and lung TB, and other lung diseases. In addition, CT and X-ray images have their unique characteristics, and their processing ideas and algorithm models vary to some extent. Therefore, this section reviews the development of detection and classification algorithms according to lung lesion types based on CT and X-ray, respectively.

Fig. 1 shows the statistical data of relevant literatures published in the past 10 years. The total number of literatures in this area has increased in the last decade, and the proportion of methods based on deep learning is rapidly growing yearly. Many studies on nodules have been performed (more than 50%), whereas few studies on other diseases have been conducted. The number of studies on nodules has also increased in recent years, whereas the number of studies on pneumonia and TB has slightly fluctuated. However, research on pneumonia has rapidly developed since the beginning of 2020. This development is related to the spread of COVID-19.

In addition, the commonly used experimental performance evaluation index and their meaning or calculation are listed below.

- True positive (TP) is the number of positive samples classified as positive by the model.
- False positive (FP) is the number of negative samples classified as positive by the model.



Figure 1: Number of papers devided by lesions and methods in the last decade (until May, 2020).

- True negative (TN) is the number of negative samples classified as negative by the model.
- False negative (FN) is the number of positive samples classified as negative by the model.
- AUC is the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (also called AUROC).
- Sensitivity(Sen)/Recall:  $Sen = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- Specificity(Spe):  $Spe = \frac{TN}{TN+FP}$
- Accuracy(Acc):  $Acc = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN}$
- Precision:  $Precision = \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$
- F1 Score:  $F1\_Score = 2 \frac{Precision \cdot Recall}{Precision + Recall}$
- Intersection over Union (IoU):  $IoU_{region}(B_{predicted}, B_{GT}) = \frac{B_{predicted} \cap B_{GT}}{B_{predicted} \cup B_{GT}}$

In the three subsections, the development of detection and classification algorithms based on CT and X-ray is discussed (Table 1). Some representative studies on COVID-19 (until the first week of May 2020) are summarized.

#### 2.1 Pulmonary Nodules

Tumor is a pathological description, whereas nodule is a radiological description. These terms are two different interpretations of the same phenomenon; malignant tumors are called cancer [26]. During diagnosis, the major features often used are lobules and glitches, density, bronchogram signs, vacuole signs, and cavities [26]. The images are easily labeled because of the clear and smooth contour of lung tumors and nodules. Thus, the complexity for training the model is low.

The number of papers on nodules in the last decade is shown in Fig. 1. In general, traditional machine and deep learning methods are widely used in studying nodules. Studies on traditional machine learning methods have started early and have gained remarkable achievements. However, the usage of deep learning has soared since 2015, and the number of studies by using deep learning has grown rapidly.

#### 2.1.1 Traditional Machine Learning on CT

In early 2010, traditional machine learning methods were used to classify and detect lesions. Lee et al. [59] compared three methods, namely, decision tree, support vector machine (SVM), and random forest model, for lung nodule classification. The random forest model has the best accuracy (96.09%), followed by decision tree (94.85%) and SVM (92.44%). Sivakumar and Chandrasekar [96] tested the effect of three different kernels of SVM on the accuracy of pulmonary nodule detection.

Disease	Task	Model	Performance	Year	Reference
	C on CT*	random forest	Acc: 96.09%	2010	Lee et al. [59]
	C on CT	SVM with three different kernels	Acc: 80.36%(best)	2013	Sivakumar and Chandrasekar [96]
	C on CT	BPNN	Acc: 93.3%	2014	Kuruvilla and Gunavathi [56]
	C on CT	MCNN	Acc: 86.84%	2015	Sheng et al. [22]
	D on CT*	4-channel CNN	Sen: 94% at 15.1 FPs/scan	2017	Jiang et al. [48]
Nodules	C on CT	DCNN	Acc: 86.4%	2016	Li et al. [60]
	D on CT	DCNN	Sen: 78.9% at 20 FPs/scan	2016	Golan et al. [32]
	C on CT	ResNet101	Acc: 96.59%	2018	Liu et al. [66]
	D on CT	3D Mask R-CNN	Sen: 93.2% at 8 FPs/scan	2019	Kopelowitz and [52] Engelhard
	C on CT	RNN	AUC: 0.95	2017	Abbas et al. [8]
	D on CT	LSTM	Acc: 88.63%	2019	Gao et al. [31]
	D on CT	deep 3D CNN	Acc: 94 8%	2019	Mishra et al [70]
	D on CT	multi-level contextual 3D CNN	Sen: 92.28% at 8 FPs/scan	2019	Dou et al. [27]
	D on CT	volumetric one-stage end-to-end CNN	Acc: 81.42%	2019	Liao et al. [64]
	D on X-ray	multi- resolution CNN	AUC: 0.9823	2019	Li et al. [63]
	D on X-ray	DCNN	Sen: 79.6% at 5 FPs/scan	2019	Mendoza et al. [69]
	D on X-ray	probabilistic neural networks (PNN)	Acc: 92.56%	2019	Capizzi et al. [19]
	C on X-ray	CNN	Acc: 93.73%	2019	Okeke et al. [98]
Dnaumonia	D on X-ray	DenseNet-121	AUC: 0.609	2017	Antin et al. [12]
(non COVID 10)	D on X-ray	CheXNet	F1 score: 0.435	2017	Pranav et al. [81]
(11011-COVID-19)	C on X-ray	DCNN	Acc: 80.48%	2018	Gu et al. [35]
	C and D on X-ray	mask RCNN	IoU: 0.218	2019	Jaiswal et al. [47]
	C and D on CT	3D CNN	Acc: 86.7%	2020	Xu et al. [18]
	C on CT	DeepPneumonia framework	Acc: 86%	2020	Song et al. [97]
Pneumonia	C on CT	robust 2D and 3D deep learning models	AUC: 0.996	2020	Gozes et al. [34]
(COVID-19)	C on CT	DeCovNet	AUC: 0.959	2020	Zheng et al. [114]
	D on CT	weakly supervised deep learning network	Acc: 98.2%	2020	Hu et al. [38]
	D on CT	UNet++	Acc: 94.25%	2020	Chen et al. [21]
	D on CT	DenseNet	Acc: 84.7%	2020	Zhao et al. $[11\overline{3}]$
	C on X-ray	VGG-19	Acc: 98.75%	2020	Apostolopoulos et al. [13]
	C on X-ray	RenseNet-152	Acc: 97.7%	2020	Kumar et al. [55]
	C on X-ray	COVID-ResNet	Acc: 96.23%	2020	Faroog et al. [28]
		hybrid knowledge-	Sen: 82.35% at		
	C on X-ray	based Bayesian	0.237 FPs/image	2010	Rui et al. [90]
	C on X-ray	SVM	Acc: 82.8%	2011	Chen et al. [22]
Tuberculosis	C on X-ray	decition tree	Acc: 94.9%	2012	Tan et al. [103]
100010010010	C on CT	ResNet-50	Acc: 40.33%	2017	Sun et al. [99]
	D on X-ray	DCNN	AUC: 0.988	2019	HWang et al. [42]
	D on X-ray	TX-CNN	Acc: 85.68%	2017	Liu et al. [65]
* C mofore to -1	C on X-ray	GoogLeNet	AUC:0.99	2017	Lakhani et al.[57]
" C refers to classi	lication, D refers to d	election.			

# Table 1: Related Studies about Detection and Classification based on CT and X-ray in Last Decade

## 2.1.2 Primary Neural Networks on CT

Since 2014, deep learning has been introduced into CAD [56, 91]. Kuruvilla and Gunavathi [56] used a back propagation neural network to classify lung cancer, which has achieved an accuracy of 93.3% and a specificity of 100%. No FP detection is important as it indicates no misdiagnosed patient. Rahul et al. [77] integrated three parallel basic convolutional neural networks (CNNs) by using the radiomics method and then connected them to a pretrained VGG model for nodule classification.

#### 2.1.3 Improvements of Basic Neural Networks on CT

CNN has shown good performance in image segmentation, classification, and object detection [74], but its accuracy is not always satisfactory. Accuracy may be improved by increasing the number of columns [91], increasing the depth [87], and introducing scale and sequence information [10].

**Multi-column Models** Shen et al. [91] used a multiscale convolutional neural network (MCNN) for lung nodule classification. In their model, three columns are utilized to extract the feature of nodules in different scales. During their experiment, the MCNN has shown robustness against noisy inputs. Jiang et al. [48] proposed a four-channel CNN to detect a lung nodule.

**Deep CNN-based Models** Early deep CNNs still contain fewer layers than those in present deep CNNs. Li et al. [60] have introduced a seven-layer deep CNN in lung nodule classification tasks. Golan et al. [32] used a 12-layer deep CNN network to detect lung nodules. Liu et al. [66] compared seven present deep networks, namely, LeNet [58], AlexNet [53], VGG-16 [94], ResNet18, ResNet20, ResNet50, and ResNet101 [37], for lung nodule classification. These methods have achieved an accuracy of over 93%, but ResNet101 with the largest depth has yielded the highest accuracy of 96.59%.

**Scale and Sequence Aware Models** Considering that organs and bones can be location references for a target area, researchers introduced the multiscale information [104, 52] into lesion detection and classification. Kopelowitz and Engelhard [52] proposed a 3D Mask R-CNN (regions with CNN features) for the detection and segmentation of lung nodules. Apart from multiscale information, sequence information exists in serial images in a CT scan. Abbas [8] used a recurrent neural network to classify lung nodules. Gao et al. [31] utilized a distanced long–short-term memory (LSTM) network for CT sequence features to detect lung cancer.

A 3D CNN is an ideal network designed for learning a sequence feature. Moreover, the structure can be extended by increasing the depth and columns. Dou et al. [27] introduced multilevel contextual 3D CNNs (Fig. 2) for FP reduction in pulmonary nodule detection for false positive reduction in pulmonary nodule detection, and reached a sensitivity of 92.2% at 8 FPs/scan. For the first time, Liao et al. [64] proposed a volumetric one-stage end-to-end CNN for 3D nodule detection, which is based on an improved a 3D CNN, and introduced the Noisy-OR into neural networks to solve multiple instance learning tasks in CAD. Mishra et al. [70] merged the method of introducing sequence information and increasing the depth of a learning model by using a deep 3D CNN to detect lung cancer.

#### 2.1.4 Traditional Machine Learning on X-ray

Among various methods of detecting suspected lung cancer areas, X-ray has been used for a long time [102, 85, 108]. However, X-ray is usually utilized for the prior detection of nodules because of its low detection accuracy and lack of contextual information. Traditional machine learning methods in the field of lung nodules in X-ray images were seldom applied in 2010. Hardie et al. [36] used a Fisher linear discriminant to identify lung nodules in chest X-ray images. Sheng et al. [22] developed an SVM-based CAD scheme for nodules in X-ray images.

#### 2.1.5 Deep Learning on X-ray

In 2010, several researchers proposed different methods for segmenting the lung area and reducing the influence of ribs [33, 62, 30, 61]. Yu et al. [30] compared the performance of the CNN classifier between raw X-ray and segmented lung area images and showed a great improvement in accuracy.

Researchers have started to explore new theories and structures [63, 69, 19] to improve the accuracy of lung nodule diagnosis on X-ray images. Li et al. [63] used a multiresolution CNN to detect X-ray lung nodules. Mendoza et al.



Figure 2: Overview of Multi-level Contextual 3-D CNN by Dou, et al. [27]

[69] utilized a deep CNN for lung nodule detection and classification. Input X-ray images are first processed using a sliding band detector to find candidate locations. After the candidates outside the lungs are excluded, the probability that a suspicious area is a nodule is estimated using the CNN. Capizzi et al. [19] introduced bioinspired reinforcement learning to probabilistic neural networks to detect lung nodules on a radiology key dataset [3].

#### 2.1.6 Research Analysis

In comparison with deep learning methods, traditional machine learning performs well in ideal scenes, such as low density, low noise, and medium-sized nodules, but it has poor tolerance to noise and unsatisfactory performance in detection tasks. When deep learning methods are used, multi-column models have a good performance because the scale of nodules varies remarkably. For the indistinct features, such as blurred borders and some small nodules, especially early nodules, deepening a model can allow the extraction of more detailed features to improve accuracy. For CT images, introducing a time series model can improve the accuracy of classification and detection by extracting the sequence in the formation of CT images. A 3D CNN and its related methods inherit the advantages of CNNs and can be used to easily extract the characteristics of images and the algorithms introduced by serial information. By contrast, research on X-ray images ocused on traditional machine learning instead of deep learning before 2017 [50, 86] because of the lack of sequence and 3D scale information. According to recent research [63, 69, 62, 30, 61], new methods, such as the segmentation of the lungs and the elimination of the influence of ribs, can improve the performance of deep learning algorithms on X-ray nodule detection and classification.

#### 2.2 Pneumonia

Pneumonia is usually diagnosed on the basis of the features of lung consolidation, blurry density-enhancing shadows at the edges, blurry lung texture weight gain, uneven patchy shadows, and central lobules [26]. The CAD of pneumonia is still waiting to be developed because the imaging characteristics of pneumonia are indistinct and difficult to annotate. Researchers proposed the detection algorithms of pneumonia with a good performance based on X-ray images.

In Fig. 1, the number of papers was low before 2018, but it increased after 2019. It has surpassed the number of studies on nodules in 2020. More approaches regarding pneumonia related to COVID-19 will be proposed in the future.

input	image		
measurement vector	4096		
convolutional layer	8×8×1024		
convolutional layer	16×16×512		
convolutional layer	32×32×256		
convolutional layer 32×32×128	convolutional layer 16×16×128		
convolutional layer 64×64×64	FC layer 2048		
	FC layer 1028		
output image	output label 2		

 Table 2: The structure of compressed sensing based CNN by Islam et al. [45]
 [45]

#### 2.2.1 Non-COVID-19 Pneumonia

Before the COVID-19 outbreak, various approaches were proposed in classification and detection tasks based on the radiology feature of pneumonia. Similar to lung nodules, pneumonia is classified and detected using a basic CNN and its improvements. This section introduces the methods of basic CNNs and their improvements.

**Basic CNN** Basic CNN stands for a CNN that contains simple elements and few layers instead of hundreds of layers like DensNet-121. Two studies utilizing basic CNNs are presented as examples. Okeke et al. [98] used a seven-layer CNN to classify pneumonia. Sharma et al. [89] also proposed a seven-layer CNN to extract features, classify pneumonia, and achieve an accuracy of 90.68%.

**Improvements on Basic CNN** Deepening the network is the most widely used method to improve the basic CNN. Pranav et al. [81] designed a 121-layer CNN named CheXNet for pneumonia detection and testing. The result is marked using a heat map, and the area with a color close to red indicates a high possibility of pneumonia. Zech et al. [111] tested the performance of ResNet-50 [37] and DenseNet-121 [41] by comparing the performance of training and testing. They concluded that cross-sectional training is an important method to improve the robustness of the model.

In addition to deepening the model, other methods are used to improve a basic CNN. Gu et al. [35] designed a deep CNN by using AlexNet as a backbone to classify pneumonia on X-ray images. In this model, pretrained AlexNet is utilized on the nonmedical dataset ImageNet for initializing and retraining images after the lungs are segmented by using fully convolutional networks. Gu et al. [35] showed that the segmentation of images and the introduction of features can improve the performance of the model. Islam et al. [45] applied a compressed sensing (CS)-based CNN for pneumonia detection by using X-ray (Table 2). The CS subchannel is used to help detect the region of interest. Jaiswal et al. [47] masked a region-based CNN with ResNet50 and ResNet101 [37] for pneumonia classification and detection.

### 2.2.2 COVID-19

By the end of 2019, a new kind of coronavirus pneumonia named COVID-19 emerged. Since then, it has spread across the world. A large number of patients and radiographs have been obtained within a short period and increased the burden on doctors. According to the analysis in Wuhan [40], 98% of the infected patients have bilateral lung opacities and lobular subsegmental areas of consolidation in their chest CT images. Furthermore, ground glass opacities and consolidation are observed [24]. Sometimes, rounded morphology and peripheral lung distribution are widely found in the CT scans of the infected patients. [67].

**CT Based Methods** Research on COVID-19 in China has focused on CT images, and further studies have explored algorithms to classify typical pneumonia and COVID-19. Xu et al. [18] used two 3D CNNs for the classification and detection of COVID-19 on a dataset with 618 CT samples. Song et al. [97] proposed a method named the DeepPneumonia framework, which is constructed on the pretrained ResNet-50 [37], and a feature pyramid network is added. The DeepPneumonia framework is trained and validated on a dataset with 1,990 CT images. Gozes et al. [34] proposed a system receiving chest CT images and annotations of objects with COVID-19 features. As the core of the system, robust 2D and 3D deep learning models are trained and tested on 157 patients from China and the US. The system has reached an AUC of 0.996 on the test set. Zheng et al. [114] developed a deep learning network named DeCovNet for COVID-19 classification. It is constructed on a pretrained 2D U-Net and has an AUC of 0.959.

Despite classification, the detection of COVID-19 is an important direction for some researchers. Zhao et al. [113] proposed a transfer learning method by using DenseNet [41]. Their model is pretrained on the NIH ChestX-ray14

dataset and fine tuned on their newly established dataset, namely, COVID-CT, reaching a precision of 97.0%. Hu et al. [38] tried a weakly supervised deep learning method on CT scans after lung segmentation for the detection of COVID-19 and community-acquired pneumonia infection; they achieved an accuracy of 98.2%. Chen et al. [21] used a U-Net++ model on 46,906 images for COVID-19 detection and obtained the highest accuracy of 98.85%.

**X-ray Based Methods** With the worldwide outbreak of COVID-19, studies on X-ray images have been conducted.

Apostolopoulos et al. [13] transferred some existing object classification models into the COVID-19 classification area. They compared five currently existing models, namely, VGG19 [94], MobileNet v2 [84], Inception [101], Xception [23], and Inception ResNet v2 [100]. VGG19 outperforms the other models and has an accuracy of 98.75% in the two-class classification scheme and 93.48% in the three-class classification scheme. Kumar et al. [55] used ResNet-152 to extract features with seven traditional machine learning classifiers, including logistic regression, nearest neighbors, decision tree, random forest, AdaBoost classifier, naive Bayes, and XGBoost classifier. This model has an accuracy of 97.7% on the XGBost classifier. Farooq et al. [28] developed COVID–ResNet, a deep learning framework that aims to classify COVID-19. This framework is highly sensitive to normal (96.58%) and COVID-19 (100%) classes.

#### 2.2.3 Research Analysis

X-ray images are widely used in the classification and detection of pneumonia because of processing speed and insufficient medical resources and CT datasets of pneumonia. However, CT images have the advantages of accuracy, resolution, and scale information. With the COVID-19 outbreak, considerable CT scans of pneumonia have been obtained, resulting in the increased usage of CT images in research.

In the summary of the COVID-19 studies, some of the proposed methods are used, and they have a good performance. On the basis of the radiological features of COVID-19, some other researchers have put forward new models to solve the problems existing in the diagnosis, such as the misclassification in mild cases and being confused with non-COVID-19 pneumonia.

#### 2.3 Lung Tuberculosis and Other Lung Diseases

#### 2.3.1 Lung Tuberculosis

Pulmonary TB is generally diagnosed by analyzing X-ray image characteristics that often reveal the features of cloudlike shadows, lung lobe or lung shadows on one side, nodular or spherical shadows, and hollow images. CT images are generally used to help identify TB [26]. Detecting pulmonary TB is difficult because its distribution is irregular, resulting in different characteristics that are easily confused with noise.

The number of studies on TB in the last decade is shown in Fig. 1. In general, research on lung TB imaging has attracted less attention than that on lung nodules. With the development of CAD, the number of studies on TB has increased since 2017.

**Traditional Machine Learning Methods of Tuberculosis** At the beginning of the second decade in the 21st century, traditional machine learning methods are utilized in the classification tasks of lung TB. Rui et al. [90] introduced a hybrid knowledge-based Bayesian approach to classify TB in X-ray images. For the same purpose, Tan et al. [103] utilized a decision tree on the self-built dataset provided by SATA CommHealth Singapore and obtained 94.9% accuracy.

**Deep Learning Methods of Tuberculosis** In the field of TB, CNN is still widely used in detection and classification tasks. In the Image CLEF 2017 competition [44], Sun et al. [99] used the ResNet-50 [37] and the LSTM Network to classify the type of TB on CT images. Their model has ranked first among all classification models in the competition. HWang et al. [42] developed a deep learning-based automatic detection (DLAD) algorithm on 54,221 normal and 6,768 TB X-ray images [46]. The core of the DLAD algorithm is a 27-layer CNN trained using a semisupervised localization approach, and it has an AUC of 0.988 on an internal test.

Many researchers choose a pretrained model in their architecture. For example, Liu et al. [65] utilized TX-CNN constructed using AlexNet and GoogLeNet [101] to detect TB. Nguyen et al. [71] detected TB on a dataset by using several pretrained models, such as Resnet-50 [37], VGG-16 [94], and Inception V2 [43], and obtained an AUC of about 0.9. Lakhani et al. [57] evaluated AlexNet and GoogLeNet by classifying TB and achieved a comprehensive AUC of 0.99.

## 2.3.2 Other Lung Diseases

Except for TB, lesion detection and classification technologies are usually utilized on other diseases, such as pulmonary edema, atelec tasis, and pneumoconiosis. These diseases, like pneumonia and TB, have disadvantages in CAD, such as shortage in data and implicit features. Furthermore, the disadvantages especially the lack of datasets lead to the insufficiency of these studies in these fields.

In addition to TB, other diseases, such as pulmonary edema, atelectasis, and pneumoconiosis, are examined with lesion detection and classification technologies. These diseases, similar to pneumonia and TB, have CAD-related disadvantages, such as shortage in data and implicit features. Furthermore, such disadvantages, especially the lack of datasets, lead to the insufficiency of these studies in these fields.

Traditional machine and deep learning methods have been successfully used to detect and classify lung lesions. Atul et al. [54] utilized SVM to detect pulmonary edema and achieved an accuracy of 97.62%. Eiichiro et al. [73] introduced a three-stage artificial neural network to classify pneumoconiosis and obtained an AUC of about 0.89. Jens et al. [75] applied a weakly supervised traditional machine learning method called multiple instance learning to predict scan- and region-level emphysema.

## 2.3.3 Research Analysis

Similar to lung nodules and pneumonia, TB and other lung diseases are classified and detected using CNN-based models [42, 65, 57, 71, 99]. They are also explored with traditional machine learning methods [54, 73, 75], but lung nodules and pneumonia have been rarely investigated using such methods. Therefore, the detection and classification of these lesions need further development.

## **3** Datasets

Dataset construction is an important step of medical image processing based on deep learning. Most lung nodules and early COVID-19 datasets are composed of CT images. Various datasets of lung diseases, such as pneumonia (including COVID-19), TB, and nodules, are composed of X-ray images. This section introduces the public datasets obtained using radiological methods and separately highlights COVID-19 datasets.

Table 3 lists 26 public datasets of common pulmonary diseases, including nodules, pneumonia, TB, and other diseases. The two basic sources of public datasets are those established by organizations and those released by competition datasets. Some images come from different datasets (Fig. 3), and the colored areas indicate the lesion locations.

#### 3.1 CT Datasets

CT datasets are mainly about nodules [76, 9, 14, 88, 29, 79, 78, 95, 49]. The Italian organization Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori di Milano has published a pulmonary nodule dataset named MILD [76]. In 2012, 490,320 lung CT images were included in this dataset, and the lung conditions of 4,099 participants were traced in 5 years. The LUNA16 Dataset [88] is a competition dataset that contains 888 lung CT samples from patients with nodules, which are selected from the larger LIDC–IDRI dataset [15] (Fig. 3 first row). Deleting images with a nodule diameter of less than 3 mm or a slice thickness of more than 3 mm in LIDC–IDRI is suitable for nodule detection. In the LUNA16 dataset, the position of nodules is annotated with squares. The LCTSC Dataset [79] is a competition dataset that includes 9,569 images of 60 samples from patients with tumor; it is used in lung tumor segmentation competition.

	Name	Ouantity	Tvne	Vear	Reference
		Zuanury	iype a	1001	
	V IA/I-ELCAP [1] MILD 1761	50 C1 scans 490 320 images	Cancer Nodule	2003	Ciomni et al
	Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer CT Scan (NSCLC-Radiomics-			7107	cionipi et ui.
	Genomics) [9]	1,019 individuals	Cancer	2014	
	SPIE-AAPM-NCI Lung Nodule Classification Challenge (SPIE-LUNGx) [14]	73 nodules	Nodule	2015	Armato et al.
ΗČ	LUng Nodule Analysis (LUNA16) [88]	888 individuals	Nodule	2016	Setio et al.
CI	Data Science Bowl 2017 [49]	2,101 axial CT scans	Cancer	2017	Kaggle
	Applied Proteogenomics Organizational Learning and Outcomes (APOLLO) Image Data [29]	7 individuals, 6,203 images	Tumor	2017	Fiore et al.
	Lung CT Segmentation Challenge 2017 (LCTSC) [79]	60 individuals, 9,569 images	Tumor	2017	Peng et al.
	ImageCLEF 2017 (The Tuberculosis Task) [44]	500 patients for training in TBT subtask*	Tuberculosis	2017	Ionescu et al.
	LNDb CT dataset [78]	294 individuals	Cancer	2019	Pedrosa et al.
	Medical Segmentation Decathlon Datasets [95]	96 individuals	Tumor	2019	Simpson et al.
	COVID-CT-Dataset [113]	470 individuals (195 without COVID-19, 275 with COVID-19)	COVID-19	2020	Zhao et al.
	2019nCoVR [112]	4,154 individuals	COVID-19	2020	Kang et al.
	COVID-19 CT segmentation dataset [6]	100 images	COVID-19	2020	Havard et al.
	Japanese Society of Radiological Technology (JSRT) datasets [93]	154 positive, 93 negative	Nodule	2000	Shiraishi et al.
	Montgomery County X-ray Set [46]	138 images	Tuberculosis	2014	Jaeger et al.
X-ray	Shenzhen Hospital X-ray Set [46]	340 positive images, 275 negative images	Tuberculosis	2014	Jaeger et al.
	NIH Chest X-ray Dataset of 14 Common Thorax Disease	112.120 images	Multiple diseases	2017	Wang et al.
	Categories (ChestX-ray14) [107]				
	Chest X-Ray Images (Pneumonia) [51]	5,863 images	Pneumonia	2018	Kermany Goldbaum
	COVID-19 Image Data Collection [25]	0/,000 individuals, 100,000 inlages	reases** COVID-19	2020	Bustos et al. Cohen et al
	NaCtional Lung Screening Trail (NLST) [105]	53.456 participants	Cancer	2011	NLST Team
i EC	LIDC-IDRI [15]	243,958 images of CT, 929 images	Nodule	2011	Armato et al.
CI and A-ray		of A-ray		00100	1. to a notice of 0.
		114 datasets		7117	Charoentong et al.
	DeepLesion [1.10] SIRM COVID-19 Database [7]	52,120 mages 115 individuals till now	Multiple diseases COVID-19	2020	ran et al. SIRM
* TBT subtask: ** including C0	Tuberculosis type classification subtask. OPD, pneumonia, heart insufficiency, pulmonary emphysema, lung inf	iltrates etc.			

#### 3.2 X-ray Datasets

X-ray datasets cover most of the common pulmonary diseases, such as pneumonia [51, 107, 17], TB [46, 17], and tumors [93, 107, 17]. The Montgomery County X-ray Set [46] is a small-scale dataset of TB with only 138 images and usually combined with the Shenzhen Hospital X-Ray Set [46] for research. In the Kaggle RSNA competition, the organizers released a dataset of 5,863 pneumonia images extracted from the NIH ChestX-ray14, named Chest X-ray Images (Pneumonia) [51] (Fig. 3 second row). The National Institutes of Health (NIH) ChestX-ray14 Dataset [107] contains 112,120 images of 14 different types of lesions. The PADCHEST\_SJ Dataset [17] is a large-scale X-ray dataset with 160,000 pictures from 67,000 samples that contain 19 different lesions, including pneumonia (Fig. 3 third row), TB, lung tumors, and pulmonary edema.



Figure 3: Images in some datasets (first row, LUNA16 [106]; second row, ChestX-ray [4]; third row, PADCHEST\_SJ [5]; and last row, DeepLesion [72])

#### 3.3 General Datasets

Except the specific datasets of CT or X-ray, the general datasets contain many types of images and organs, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) images. For example, DeepLesion [110]

is a generic dataset with 32,120 images of many organs, including the lungs, liver, bone, and kidneys, and most of them are annotated by radiologists (Fig. 3 last row). In the DeepLesion dataset, many different annotation tools, such as RECIST diameters, line, ellipse, arrow, and text, are used. LIDC–IDRI [15] is a lung cancer dataset published by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) and composed of 243,958 CT and 929 X-ray images from 1,010 patients, and these images are point annotated by radiologists. Apart from datasets, a large cancer database named The Cancer Imaging Archive [20] was built by the NCI in 2010. Images from different sources (such as CT, X-ray, MRI, and PET) and different body parts (such as the brain, lung, and abdomen) are uploaded in this database. Currently, 114 datasets are available in the database, which is updated continuously.

#### 3.4 COVID-19 Datasets

Since the COVID-19 outbreak, its public datasets have been constructed swiftly and have facilitated studies on this field. In the COVID-CT-Dataset [113], images from studies published between January 19 and March 25 were summarized, and 275 COVID-19 CT images were acquired. The SIRM COVID-19 Database [7], which was built by the Italian organization Societ'a Italiana di Radiologia Medica e Interventistica, contains 71 cases, including CT and X-ray images, and it is still being updated. The 2019nCoVR dataset, which was published by the China National Center for Bioinformation and National Genomics Data Center [112], is the largest COVID-19 dataset that comprises CT images from 4,154 patients in China.

#### 3.5 Self-Built Datasets

Public datasets still have difficulty in meeting the personalized needs of all researchers. Therefore, another main source of dataset is self-building. For example, Hwang et al. [42] used a TB X-ray dataset, which contains more than 60,000 images obtained from SNUH as a training dataset. Liu et al. [65] used 4,701 TB X-ray images from their Peruvian partners.

At the start of the COVID-19 epidemic in 2020, its public datasets were insufficient. Most studies on the classification and detection of COVID-19 images have been organized via self-built datasets. For example, Chen et al. [21] collected CT scans from 51 patients with COVID-19 and 55 control patients from the Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University as a retrospective set. They also collected CT scans from 27 patients as a perspective set. Zheng et al. [114] collected 630 CT scans from 540 patients in Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, and Huazhong University of Science and Technology ethics committee.

# 4 Challenges and Improvements

In our opinion, challenges come from data sources and algorithms.

#### 4.1 Challenges of Datasets

Current data sources have three main challenges. First, datasets are not evenly distributed. The datasets of some diseases, such as lung nodules, are abundant, whereas the datasets of other diseases are few. The number of public datasets between CT and X-ray images is different in some diseases, such as pneumonia. Second, different datasets are constructed through various institutions, leading to different annotations between datasets or even in the same dataset (Fig. 4).



Figure 4: Some different annotations in datasets. From left to right: An annotated image in DeepLesion; an annotated image in LUNA16; another annotated image in DeepLesion.

Third, the quality of the images varies considerably. For example, some images have problems, such as incompleteness, blur, deviation, and occlusion by zippers, buttons, and pacemakers (Fig. 5). Different kinds of lesions may occur at the same region in one image. Moreover, the features of mild pneumonia images are not evident, often leading to missed annotations and detection.



Figure 5: X-ray images with occlusion and vague problems (from PADCHEST\_SJ)

Despite these challenges, as a newly exposed region, COVID-19 studies are especially hindered by the following drawbacks in datasets. The shortage of COVID-19 datasets restricts the usage of deep learning methods.

## 4.2 Challenges of Technologies

Algorithms pose four main challenges. First, considering the current problems that exist in datasets, preprocessing needs to be improved. Second, the low robustness of models in complex scenes restricts their transfer ability. Some approaches can improve the robustness of the models, but satisfying the application is difficult [111, 91]. This problem should be considered in designing algorithms in the future. Third, deep learning models are too heavy to maintain a real-time performance. As a result, a lightweight architecture should be used in real-time tasks. Lastly, data annotation becomes a serious problem for supervised learning because thousands of images are needed to train a model [42, 65, 59, 111, 21]. Thus, the usage of weakly supervised, semisupervised, or even unsupervised models [107, 42, 75] can reduce the burden on data annotation and will become an important aspect of research in the future. This idea is effective for specifically handling the shortage of datasets related to COVID-19.

# 5 Conclusion

This article presented an overview of studies on pulmonary lesion detection and classification via CT and X-ray images. It also summarized some studies on pulmonary CAD in last decade, and:

- Overall, studies on detection and classification mainly focus on nodules. However, since the outbreak of COVID-19, research on pneumonia has also temporarily attracted attention.
- Deep learning approaches probably overtake the place of traditional machine learning methods, especially after the problem of interpretation ability has been solved.
- In the last three months, studies on COVID-19 have increased consistently. Hence, further surveys on COVID-19 studies should be proposed.

And this review introduced 26 public datasets, including organization and competition datasets, which could be divided into CT, X-ray, and general datasets. COVID-19 and self-made datasets were also introduced individually. This review generalized the challenges encountered in current datasets and technologies, and we presented our suggestions.

## 6 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 61731009) and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (No. 19511120600). Qian Zhang from East China Normal University is the corresponding author of this paper. Yixuan Sun and Chengyao Li from East China Normal University are the co-first authors of this work.

## References

- [1] Elcap public lung image database. http://www.via.cornell.edu/databases/lungdb.html, 2003.
- [2] Tcia. https://www.cancerimagingarchive.net/collections/, 2012.
- [3] Radiologykey dataset. https://radiologykey.com/solitary-and-multiple-pulmonary-nodules/, 2016.
- [4] Chestx-ray dataset. https://www.kaggle.com/paultimothymooney/chest-xray-pneumonia/data, 2018.
- [5] Padchest\_sj dataset. https://bimcv.cipf.es/bimcv-projects/padchest/, 2019.
- [6] Covid-19 ct segmentation dataset. https://medicalsegmentation.com/covid19/, 2020.
- [7] Sirm covid-19 database. https://www.sirm.org/category/senza-categoria/covid-19/, 2020.
- [8] Qaisar Abbas. Nodular-deep: Classification of pulmonary nodules using deep neural network. *International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences*, 6(8):111–118, 2017.
- [9] Hugo JWL Aerts, Emmanuel Rios Velazquez, Ralph TH Leijenaar, Chintan Parmar, Patrick Grossmann, Sara Carvalho, Johan Bussink, René Monshouwer, Benjamin Haibe-Kains, Derek Rietveld, et al. Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach. *Nature communications*, 5(1):1–9, 2014.
- [10] Rushil Anirudh, Jayaraman J Thiagarajan, Timo Bremer, and Hyojin Kim. Lung nodule detection using 3d convolutional neural networks trained on weakly labeled data. In *Medical Imaging 2016: Computer-Aided Diagnosis*, volume 9785, page 978532. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2016.
- [11] Marios Anthimopoulos, Stergios Christodoulidis, Lukas Ebner, Andreas Christe, and Stavroula Mougiakakou. Lung pattern classification for interstitial lung diseases using a deep convolutional neural network. *IEEE transactions* on medical imaging, 35(5):1207–1216, 2016.
- [12] Benjamin Antin, Joshua Kravitz, and Emil Martayan. Detecting pneumonia in chest x-rays with supervised learning. Semanticscholar. org, 2017.
- [13] Ioannis D Apostolopoulos and Tzani A Mpesiana. Covid-19: automatic detection from x-ray images utilizing transfer learning with convolutional neural networks. *Physical and Engineering Sciences in Medicine*, page 1, 2020.
- [14] Samuel G Armato III, Lubomir Hadjiiski, Georgia D Tourassi, Karen Drukker, Maryellen L Giger, Feng Li, George Redmond, Keyvan Farahani, Justin S Kirby, and Laurence P Clarke. Lungx challenge for computerized lung nodule classification: reflections and lessons learned. *Journal of Medical Imaging*, 2(2), 2015.
- [15] Samuel G Armato III, Geoffrey McLennan, Luc Bidaut, Michael F McNitt-Gray, Charles R Meyer, Anthony P Reeves, Binsheng Zhao, Denise R Aberle, Claudia I Henschke, Eric A Hoffman, et al. The lung image database consortium (lidc) and image database resource initiative (idri): a completed reference database of lung nodules on ct scans. *Medical physics*, 38(2):915–931, 2011.
- [16] Yaniv Bar, Idit Diamant, Lior Wolf, Sivan Lieberman, Eli Konen, and Hayit Greenspan. Chest pathology detection using deep learning with non-medical training. In 2015 IEEE 12th international symposium on biomedical imaging (ISBI), pages 294–297. IEEE, 2015.
- [17] Aurelia Bustos, Antonio Pertusa, Jose-Maria Salinas, and Maria de la Iglesia-Vayá. Padchest: A large chest x-ray image dataset with multi-label annotated reports. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.07441*, 2019.
- [18] Charmaine Butt, Jagpal Gill, David Chun, and Benson A Babu. Deep learning system to screen coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia. *Applied Intelligence*, page 1, 2020.
- [19] Giacomo Capizzi, Grazia Lo Sciuto, Christian Napoli, Dawid Polap, and Marcin Woźniak. Small lung nodules detection based on fuzzy-logic and probabilistic neural network with bio-inspired reinforcement learning. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 2019.
- [20] Pornpimol Charoentong, Francesca Finotello, Mihaela Angelova, Clemens Mayer, Mirjana Efremova, Dietmar Rieder, Hubert Hackl, and Zlatko Trajanoski. Pan-cancer immunogenomic analyses reveal genotypeimmunophenotype relationships and predictors of response to checkpoint blockade. *Cell reports*, 18(1):248–262, 2017.
- [21] Jun Chen, Lianlian Wu, Jun Zhang, Liang Zhang, Dexin Gong, Yilin Zhao, Shan Hu, Yonggui Wang, Xiao Hu, Biqing Zheng, et al. Deep learning-based model for detecting 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia on high-resolution computed tomography: a prospective study. *medRxiv*, 2020.

- [22] Sheng Chen, Kenji Suzuki, and Heber MacMahon. Development and evaluation of a computer-aided diagnostic scheme for lung nodule detection in chest radiographs by means of two-stage nodule enhancement with support vector classification. *Medical physics*, 38(4):1844–1858, 2011.
- [23] François Chollet. Xception: Deep learning with depthwise separable convolutions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1251–1258, 2017.
- [24] Michael Chung, Adam Bernheim, Xueyan Mei, Ning Zhang, Mingqian Huang, Xianjun Zeng, Jiufa Cui, Wenjian Xu, Yang Yang, Zahi A Fayad, et al. Ct imaging features of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-ncov). *Radiology*, 295(1):202–207, 2020.
- [25] Joseph Paul Cohen, Paul Morrison, and Lan Dao. Covid-19 image data collection. *arXiv preprint* arXiv:2003.11597, 2020.
- [26] Wolfgang F Dahnert. Radiology review manual. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2017.
- [27] Qi Dou, Hao Chen, Lequan Yu, Jing Qin, and Pheng-Ann Heng. Multilevel contextual 3-d cnns for false positive reduction in pulmonary nodule detection. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 64(7):1558–1567, 2016.
- [28] Muhammad Farooq and Abdul Hafeez. Covid-resnet: A deep learning framework for screening of covid19 from radiographs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.14395*, 2020.
- [29] LD Fiore, H Rodriguez, and CD Shriver. Collaboration to accelerate proteogenomics cancer care: the department of veterans affairs, department of defense, and the national cancer institute's applied proteogenomics organizational learning and outcomes (apollo) network. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 101(5):619–621, 2017.
- [30] Peng Gang, Wang Zhen, Wei Zeng, Yuri Gordienko, Yuriy Kochura, Oleg Alienin, Oleksandr Rokovyi, and Sergii Stirenko. Dimensionality reduction in deep learning for chest x-ray analysis of lung cancer. In 2018 tenth international conference on advanced computational intelligence (ICACI), pages 878–883. IEEE, 2018.
- [31] Riqiang Gao, Yuankai Huo, Shunxing Bao, Yucheng Tang, Sanja L Antic, Emily S Epstein, Aneri B Balar, Steve Deppen, Alexis B Paulson, Kim L Sandler, et al. Distanced lstm: Time-distanced gates in long short-term memory models for lung cancer detection. In *International Workshop on Machine Learning in Medical Imaging*, pages 310–318. Springer, 2019.
- [32] Rotem Golan, Christian Jacob, and Jörg Denzinger. Lung nodule detection in ct images using deep convolutional neural networks. In 2016 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), pages 243–250. IEEE, 2016.
- [33] Yu Gordienko, Peng Gang, Jiang Hui, Wei Zeng, Yu Kochura, Oleg Alienin, Oleksandr Rokovyi, and Sergii Stirenko. Deep learning with lung segmentation and bone shadow exclusion techniques for chest x-ray analysis of lung cancer. In *International Conference on Computer Science, Engineering and Education Applications*, pages 638–647. Springer, 2018.
- [34] Ophir Gozes, Maayan Frid-Adar, Hayit Greenspan, Patrick D Browning, Huangqi Zhang, Wenbin Ji, Adam Bernheim, and Eliot Siegel. Rapid ai development cycle for the coronavirus (covid-19) pandemic: Initial results for automated detection & patient monitoring using deep learning ct image analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.05037, 2020.
- [35] Xianghong Gu, Liyan Pan, Huiying Liang, and Ran Yang. Classification of bacterial and viral childhood pneumonia using deep learning in chest radiography. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Multimedia and Image Processing*, pages 88–93, 2018.
- [36] Russell C Hardie, Steven K Rogers, Terry Wilson, and Adam Rogers. Performance analysis of a new computer aided detection system for identifying lung nodules on chest radiographs. *Medical Image Analysis*, 12(3):240–258, 2008.
- [37] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016.
- [38] Shaoping Hu, Yuan Gao, Zhangming Niu, Yinghui Jiang, Lao Li, Xianglu Xiao, Minhao Wang, Evandro Fei Fang, Wade Menpes-Smith, Jun Xia, et al. Weakly supervised deep learning for covid-19 infection detection and classification from ct images. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.06689, 2020.
- [39] Zilong Hu, Jinshan Tang, Ziming Wang, Kai Zhang, Ling Zhang, and Qingling Sun. Deep learning for image-based cancer detection and diagnosis- a survey. *Pattern Recognition*, 83:134–149, 2018.
- [40] Chaolin Huang, Yeming Wang, Xingwang Li, Lili Ren, Jianping Zhao, Yi Hu, Li Zhang, Guohui Fan, Jiuyang Xu, Xiaoying Gu, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in wuhan, china. *The lancet*, 395(10223):497–506, 2020.

- [41] Gao Huang, Zhuang Liu, Laurens Van Der Maaten, and Kilian Q Weinberger. Densely connected convolutional networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4700–4708, 2017.
- [42] Eui Jin Hwang, Sunggyun Park, Kwang-Nam Jin, Jung Im Kim, So Young Choi, Jong Hyuk Lee, Jin Mo Goo, Jaehong Aum, Jae-Joon Yim, Chang Min Park, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning–based automatic detection algorithm for active pulmonary tuberculosis on chest radiographs. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 69(5):739–747, 2019.
- [43] Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1502.03167*, 2015.
- [44] Bogdan Ionescu, Henning Müller, Mauricio Villegas, Helbert Arenas, Giulia Boato, Duc-Tien Dang-Nguyen, Yashin Dicente Cid, Carsten Eickhoff, Alba G Seco de Herrera, Cathal Gurrin, et al. Overview of imageclef 2017: Information extraction from images. In *International Conference of the Cross-Language Evaluation Forum for European Languages*, pages 315–337. Springer, 2017.
- [45] Sheikh Rafiul Islam, Santi P Maity, Ajoy Kumar Ray, and Mrinal Mandal. Automatic detection of pneumonia on compressed sensing images using deep learning. In 2019 IEEE Canadian Conference of Electrical and Computer Engineering (CCECE), pages 1–4. IEEE, 2019.
- [46] Stefan Jaeger, Sema Candemir, Sameer Antani, Yì-Xiáng J Wáng, Pu-Xuan Lu, and George Thoma. Two public chest x-ray datasets for computer-aided screening of pulmonary diseases. *Quantitative imaging in medicine and* surgery, 4(6):475, 2014.
- [47] Amit Kumar Jaiswal, Prayag Tiwari, Sachin Kumar, Deepak Gupta, Ashish Khanna, and Joel JPC Rodrigues. Identifying pneumonia in chest x-rays: A deep learning approach. *Measurement*, 145:511–518, 2019.
- [48] Hongyang Jiang, He Ma, Wei Qian, Mengdi Gao, and Yan Li. An automatic detection system of lung nodule based on multigroup patch-based deep learning network. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 22(4):1227–1237, 2017.
- [49] BAHI Kaggle. Kaggle data science bowl 2017, 2017.
- [50] Alexandros Karargyris, Jenifer Siegelman, Dimitris Tzortzis, Stefan Jaeger, Sema Candemir, Zhiyun Xue, KC Santosh, Szilárd Vajda, Sameer Antani, Les Folio, et al. Combination of texture and shape features to detect pulmonary abnormalities in digital chest x-rays. *International journal of computer assisted radiology and surgery*, 11(1):99–106, 2016.
- [51] Daniel Kermany, Kang Zhang, and Michael Goldbaum. Labeled optical coherence tomography (oct) and chest x-ray images for classification. *Mendeley data*, 2, 2018.
- [52] Evi Kopelowitz and Guy Engelhard. Lung nodules detection and segmentation using 3d mask-rcnn. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.07676*, 2019.
- [53] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 1097–1105, 2012.
- [54] Atul Kumar, Yen-Yu Wang, Kai-Che Liu, I-Chen Tsai, Ching-Chun Huang, and Nguyen Hung. Distinguishing normal and pulmonary edema chest x-ray using gabor filter and svm. In 2014 IEEE International Symposium on Bioelectronics and Bioinformatics (IEEE ISBB 2014), pages 1–4. IEEE, 2014.
- [55] Rahul Kumar, Ridhi Arora, Vipul Bansal, Vinodh J Sahayasheela, Himanshu Buckchash, Javed Imran, Narayanan Narayanan, Ganesh N Pandian, and Balasubramanian Raman. Accurate prediction of covid-19 using chest x-ray images through deep feature learning model with smote and machine learning classifiers. *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [56] Jinsa Kuruvilla and K Gunavathi. Lung cancer classification using neural networks for ct images. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, 113(1):202–209, 2014.
- [57] Paras Lakhani and Baskaran Sundaram. Deep learning at chest radiography: automated classification of pulmonary tuberculosis by using convolutional neural networks. *Radiology*, 284(2):574–582, 2017.
- [58] Yann LeCun, Léon Bottou, Yoshua Bengio, and Patrick Haffner. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 86(11):2278–2324, 1998.
- [59] Shu Ling Alycia Lee, Abbas Z Kouzani, and Eric J Hu. Random forest based lung nodule classification aided by clustering. *Computerized medical imaging and graphics*, 34(7):535–542, 2010.
- [60] Wei Li, Peng Cao, Dazhe Zhao, and Junbo Wang. Pulmonary nodule classification with deep convolutional neural networks on computed tomography images. *Computational and mathematical methods in medicine*, 2016, 2016.

- [61] Xuechen Li, Suhuai Luo, Qingmao Hu, Jiaming Li, and Dadong Wang. Rib suppression in chest radiographs for lung nodule enhancement. In 2015 IEEE International Conference on Information and Automation, pages 50–55. IEEE, 2015.
- [62] Xuechen Li, Suhuai Luo, Qingmao Hu, Jiaming Li, Dadong Wang, and Fabian Chiong. Automatic lung field segmentation in x-ray radiographs using statistical shape and appearance models. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics*, 6(2):338–348, 2016.
- [63] Xuechen Li, Linlin Shen, Xinpeng Xie, Shiyun Huang, Zhien Xie, Xian Hong, and Juan Yu. Multi-resolution convolutional networks for chest x-ray radiograph based lung nodule detection. *Artificial intelligence in medicine*, page 101744, 2019.
- [64] Fangzhou Liao, Ming Liang, Zhe Li, Xiaolin Hu, and Sen Song. Evaluate the malignancy of pulmonary nodules using the 3-d deep leaky noisy-or network. *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, 30(11):3484–3495, 2019.
- [65] Chang Liu, Yu Cao, Marlon Alcantara, Benyuan Liu, Maria Brunette, Jesus Peinado, and Walter Curioso. Tx-cnn: Detecting tuberculosis in chest x-ray images using convolutional neural network. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), pages 2314–2318. IEEE, 2017.
- [66] Menglu Liu, Junyu Dong, Xinghui Dong, Hui Yu, and Lin Qi. Segmentation of lung nodule in ct images based on mask r-cnn. In 2018 9th International Conference on Awareness Science and Technology (iCAST), pages 1–6. IEEE, 2018.
- [67] Lu Ma, Shi and Shi. Research progress on clinical and imaging study of novel coronavirus pneumonia. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 27(1):23–26, 2020.
- [68] Michael F McNitt-Gray, Samuel G Armato III, Charles R Meyer, Anthony P Reeves, Geoffrey McLennan, Richie C Pais, John Freymann, Matthew S Brown, Roger M Engelmann, Peyton H Bland, et al. The lung image database consortium (lidc) data collection process for nodule detection and annotation. *Academic radiology*, 14(12):1464–1474, 2007.
- [69] Julio Mendoza and Helio Pedrini. Detection and classification of lung nodules in chest x-ray images using deep convolutional neural networks. *Computational Intelligence*, 2019.
- [70] Sumita Mishra, Naresh Kumar Chaudhary, Pallavi Asthana, and Anil Kumar. Deep 3d convolutional neural network for automated lung cancer diagnosis. In *Computing and Network Sustainability*, pages 157–165. Springer, 2019.
- [71] Quang H Nguyen, Binh P Nguyen, Son D Dao, Balagopal Unnikrishnan, Rajan Dhingra, Savitha Rani Ravichandran, Sravani Satpathy, Palaparthi Nirmal Raja, and Matthew CH Chua. Deep learning models for tuberculosis detection from chest x-ray images. In 2019 26th International Conference on Telecommunications (ICT), pages 381–385. IEEE, 2019.
- [72] NIH. Deeplesion dataset. https://nihcc.app.box.com/v/DeepLesion/folder/50715173939, 2018.
- [73] Eiichiro Okumura, Ikuo Kawashita, and Takayuki Ishida. Computerized classification of pneumoconiosis on digital chest radiography artificial neural network with three stages. *Journal of digital imaging*, 30(4):413–426, 2017.
- [74] Maxime Oquab, Leon Bottou, Ivan Laptev, and Josef Sivic. Learning and transferring mid-level image representations using convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1717–1724, 2014.
- [75] Silas Nyboe Ørting, Jens Petersen, Laura H Thomsen, Mathilde MW Wille, and Marleen De Bruijne. Detecting emphysema with multiple instance learning. In 2018 IEEE 15th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2018), pages 510–513. IEEE, 2018.
- [76] Ugo Pastorino, Marta Rossi, Valentina Rosato, Alfonso Marchianò, Nicola Sverzellati, Carlo Morosi, Alessandra Fabbri, Carlotta Galeone, Eva Negri, Gabriella Sozzi, et al. Annual or biennial ct screening versus observation in heavy smokers: 5-year results of the mild trial. *European Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 21(3):308–315, 2012.
- [77] Rahul Paul, Lawrence Hall, Dmitry Goldgof, Matthew Schabath, and Robert Gillies. Predicting nodule malignancy using a cnn ensemble approach. In 2018 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), pages 1–8. IEEE, 2018.
- [78] João Pedrosa, Guilherme Aresta, Carlos Ferreira, Márcio Rodrigues, Patrícia Leitão, André Silva Carvalho, João Rebelo, Eduardo Negrão, Isabel Ramos, António Cunha, et al. Lndb: A lung nodule database on computed tomography. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.08434, 2019.

- [79] Zhao Peng, Xi Fang, Pingkun Yan, Hongming Shan, Tianyu Liu, Xi Pei, Ge Wang, Bob Liu, Mannu Kalra, and X George Xu. A method of rapid quantification of patient-specific organ dose for ct using coupled deep multi-organ segmentation algorithms and gpu-accelerated monte carlo dose computing code. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.00360, 2019.
- [80] Chunli Qin, Demin Yao, Yonghong Shi, and Zhijian Song. Computer-aided detection in chest radiography based on artificial intelligence: a survey. *Biomedical engineering online*, 17(1):113, 2018.
- [81] Pranav Rajpurkar, Jeremy Irvin, Kaylie Zhu, Brandon Yang, Hershel Mehta, Tony Duan, Daisy Ding, Aarti Bagul, Curtis Langlotz, Katie Shpanskaya, et al. Chexnet: Radiologist-level pneumonia detection on chest x-rays with deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05225, 2017.
- [82] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *International Conference on Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention*, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- [83] David Rossouw, Pierre Burdet, Francisco de la Pena, Caterina Ducati, Benjamin R Knappett, Andrew EH Wheatley, and Paul A Midgley. Multicomponent signal unmixing from nanoheterostructures: Overcoming the traditional challenges of nanoscale x-ray analysis via machine learning. *Nano letters*, 15(4):2716–2720, 2015.
- [84] Mark Sandler, Andrew Howard, Menglong Zhu, Andrey Zhmoginov, and Liang-Chieh Chen. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4510–4520, 2018.
- [85] Pathamadi V Sankar and Jack Sklansky. A gestalt-guided heuristic boundary follower for x-ray images of lung nodules. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, (3):326–331, 1982.
- [86] KC Santosh, Szilárd Vajda, Sameer Antani, and George R Thoma. Edge map analysis in chest x-rays for automatic pulmonary abnormality screening. *International journal of computer assisted radiology and surgery*, 11(9):1637–1646, 2016.
- [87] Arnaud Arindra Adiyoso Setio, Francesco Ciompi, Geert Litjens, Paul Gerke, Colin Jacobs, Sarah J Van Riel, Mathilde Marie Winkler Wille, Matiullah Naqibullah, Clara I Sánchez, and Bram van Ginneken. Pulmonary nodule detection in ct images: false positive reduction using multi-view convolutional networks. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 35(5):1160–1169, 2016.
- [88] Arnaud Arindra Adiyoso Setio, Alberto Traverso, Thomas De Bel, Moira SN Berens, Cas van den Bogaard, Piergiorgio Cerello, Hao Chen, Qi Dou, Maria Evelina Fantacci, Bram Geurts, et al. Validation, comparison, and combination of algorithms for automatic detection of pulmonary nodules in computed tomography images: the luna16 challenge. *Medical image analysis*, 42:1–13, 2017.
- [89] Harsh Sharma, Jai Sethia Jain, Priti Bansal, and Sumit Gupta. Feature extraction and classification of chest x-ray images using cnn to detect pneumonia. In 2020 10th International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & Engineering (Confluence), pages 227–231. IEEE, 2020.
- [90] Rui Shen, Irene Cheng, and Anup Basu. A hybrid knowledge-guided detection technique for screening of infectious pulmonary tuberculosis from chest radiographs. *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, 57(11):2646–2656, 2010.
- [91] Wei Shen, Mu Zhou, Feng Yang, Caiyun Yang, and Jie Tian. Multi-scale convolutional neural networks for lung nodule classification. In *International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging*, pages 588–599. Springer, 2015.
- [92] Feng Shi, Jun Wang, Jun Shi, Ziyan Wu, Qian Wang, Zhenyu Tang, Kelei He, Yinghuan Shi, and Dinggang Shen. Review of artificial intelligence techniques in imaging data acquisition, segmentation and diagnosis for covid-19. *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, 2020.
- [93] Junji Shiraishi, Shigehiko Katsuragawa, Junpei Ikezoe, Tsuneo Matsumoto, Takeshi Kobayashi, Ken-ichi Komatsu, Mitate Matsui, Hiroshi Fujita, Yoshie Kodera, and Kunio Doi. Development of a digital image database for chest radiographs with and without a lung nodule: receiver operating characteristic analysis of radiologists' detection of pulmonary nodules. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 174(1):71–74, 2000.
- [94] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*, 2014.
- [95] Amber L Simpson, Michela Antonelli, Spyridon Bakas, Michel Bilello, Keyvan Farahani, Bram Van Ginneken, Annette Kopp-Schneider, Bennett A Landman, Geert Litjens, Bjoern Menze, et al. A large annotated medical image dataset for the development and evaluation of segmentation algorithms. arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.09063, 2019.
- [96] S Sivakumar and C Chandrasekar. Lung nodule detection using fuzzy clustering and support vector machines. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 5(1):179–185, 2013.

- [97] Ying Song, Shuangjia Zheng, Liang Li, Xiang Zhang, Xiaodong Zhang, Ziwang Huang, Jianwen Chen, Huiying Zhao, Yusheng Jie, Ruixuan Wang, et al. Deep learning enables accurate diagnosis of novel coronavirus (covid-19) with ct images. *medRxiv*, 2020.
- [98] Okeke Stephen, Mangal Sain, Uchenna Joseph Maduh, and Do-Un Jeong. An efficient deep learning approach to pneumonia classification in healthcare. *Journal of healthcare engineering*, 2019, 2019.
- [99] Jiamei Sun, Penny Chong, Yi Xiang Marcus Tan, and Alexander Binder. Imageclef 2017: Imageclef tuberculosis task-the sgeast submission. In *CLEF (Working Notes)*, 2017.
- [100] Christian Szegedy, Sergey Ioffe, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Alexander A Alemi. Inception-v4, inception-resnet and the impact of residual connections on learning. In *Thirty-first AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2017.
- [101] Christian Szegedy, Wei Liu, Yangqing Jia, Pierre Sermanet, Scott Reed, Dragomir Anguelov, Dumitru Erhan, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Andrew Rabinovich. Going deeper with convolutions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE* conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pages 1–9, 2015.
- [102] Hotaka Takizawa and Shinji Yamamoto. Recognition of lung nodules from x-ray ct images using 3d markov random field models. In *Object recognition supported by user interaction for service robots*, volume 1, pages 99–102. IEEE, 2002.
- [103] Jen Hong Tan, U Rajendra Acharya, Collin Tan, K Thomas Abraham, and Choo Min Lim. Computer-assisted diagnosis of tuberculosis: a first order statistical approach to chest radiograph. *Journal of medical systems*, 36(5):2751–2759, 2012.
- [104] Hao Tang, Daniel R Kim, and Xiaohui Xie. Automated pulmonary nodule detection using 3d deep convolutional neural networks. In 2018 IEEE 15th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2018), pages 523–526. IEEE, 2018.
- [105] National Lung Screening Trial Research Team. The national lung screening trial: overview and study design. *Radiology*, 258(1):243–253, 2011.
- [106] Bram van Ginneken and Colin Jacobs. Luna16 part 1/2, March 2019.
- [107] Xiaosong Wang, Yifan Peng, Le Lu, Zhiyong Lu, Mohammadhadi Bagheri, and Ronald M Summers. Chestx-ray8: Hospital-scale chest x-ray database and benchmarks on weakly-supervised classification and localization of common thorax diseases. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2097–2106, 2017.
- [108] Jun Wei, Yoshihiro Hagihara, Akinobu Shimizu, and Hidefumi Kobatake. Optimal image feature set for detecting lung nodules on chest x-ray images. In CARS 2002 Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery, pages 706–711. Springer, 2002.
- [109] WHO. The top 10 causes of death. https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ the-top-10-causes-of-death, 2018.
- [110] Ke Yan, Xiaosong Wang, Le Lu, and Ronald M Summers. Deeplesion: automated mining of large-scale lesion annotations and universal lesion detection with deep learning. *Journal of medical imaging*, 5(3):036501, 2018.
- [111] John R Zech, Marcus A Badgeley, Manway Liu, Anthony B Costa, Joseph J Titano, and Eric Karl Oermann. Variable generalization performance of a deep learning model to detect pneumonia in chest radiographs: a crosssectional study. *PLoS medicine*, 15(11), 2018.
- [112] Kang Zhang, Xiaohong Liu, Jun Shen, Zhihuan Li, Ye Sang, Xingwang Wu, Yunfei Cha, Wenhua Liang, Chengdi Wang, Ke Wang, et al. Clinically applicable ai system for accurate diagnosis, quantitative measurements and prognosis of covid-19 pneumonia using computed tomography. *Cell*, 2020.
- [113] Jinyu Zhao, Yichen Zhang, Xuehai He, and Pengtao Xie. Covid-ct-dataset: a ct scan dataset about covid-19. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.13865*, 2020.
- [114] Chuansheng Zheng, Xianbo Deng, Qing Fu, Qiang Zhou, Jiapei Feng, Hui Ma, Wenyu Liu, and Xinggang Wang. Deep learning-based detection for covid-19 from chest ct using weak label. *medRxiv*, 2020.