

Localized 4f-electrons in the quantum critical heavy fermion ferromagnet CeRh₆Ge₄

An Wang¹, Feng Du¹, Yongjun Zhang^{1,2}, David Graf³, Bin Shen¹, Ye Chen¹, Yang Liu^{1,4}, Michael Smidman^{1,4}, Chao Cao^{5,1}, Frank Steglich^{1,6}, and Huiqiu Yuan^{*1,4,7}

¹*Center for Correlated Matter and Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China*

²*Institute for Advanced Materials, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi 435002, China*

³*National High Magnetic Field Laboratory and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA*

⁴*Zhejiang Province Key Laboratory of Quantum Technology and Device, Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China*

⁵*Department of Physics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, China*

⁶*Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Dresden, Germany*

⁷*State Key Laboratory of Silicon Materials, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China*

Abstract

Ferromagnetic quantum critical points were predicted to be prohibited in clean itinerant ferromagnetic systems, yet such a phenomenon was recently revealed in CeRh₆Ge₄, where the Curie temperature can be continuously suppressed to zero under a moderate hydrostatic pressure. Here we report the observation of quantum oscillations in CeRh₆Ge₄ from measurements using the cantilever and tunnel-diode oscillator methods in fields up to 45 T, clearly demonstrating that the ferromagnetic quantum criticality occurs in a clean system. In order to map the Fermi surface of CeRh₆Ge₄, we performed angle-dependent measurements of quantum oscillations at ambient pressure, and compared the results to density functional theory calculations. The results are consistent with the Ce 4f electrons remaining localized, and not contributing to the Fermi surface, suggesting that localized ferromagnetism is a key factor for the occurrence of a ferromagnetic quantum critical point in CeRh₆Ge₄.

*Corresponding author: hqyuan@zju.edu.cn

Keywords

Heavy fermions, Ferromagnetism, Quantum phase transitions, Electronic structure

1. Introduction

The continuous suppression of a second-order phase transition to zero temperature at a quantum critical point (QCP) profoundly affects the finite temperature physical properties, leading to the breakdown of Fermi-liquid behavior, and the occurrence of novel ordered phases such as unconventional superconductivity [1–5]. Quantum criticality upon suppressing ferromagnetic (FM) order is however ordinarily not observed; upon tuning the system the ferromagnetism either abruptly disappears at a first-order transition, or there is a change of magnetic ground state [6–10]. These experimental observations are consistent with the theoretical prediction that soft fermionic modes prevent the occurrence of FM QCP’s in clean itinerant FM systems, which are instead driven first-order [11, 12]. Although FM quantum criticality has been reported to be induced by chemical substitution in some materials [13–16], the non-stoichiometric nature leaves open the predicted scenario of a disorder-driven continuous FM quantum phase transition.

Very recently stoichiometric CeRh₆Ge₄, a heavy fermion ferromagnet with $T_C = 2.5$ K [17], was found to exhibit a pressure-induced FM QCP at $p_c = 0.8$ GPa, which is accompanied by a strange metal phase exhibiting a remarkable resemblance to the high- T_C cuprate superconductors, with a linear in temperature resistivity and a logarithmic divergence of the specific heat coefficient [18]. These findings were reconciled with the predicted prohibition of FM QCP’s in clean *itinerant* systems by the proposal of *local* quantum criticality [18]. Here there is a critical destruction of the Kondo effect at zero-temperature (Kondo breakdown) and localization of the Ce 4*f*-electron moments at p_c , upon approaching from the heavy Fermi liquid region, leading to an abrupt decrease of the Fermi surface volume at the QCP [19, 20]. A similar scenario has been proposed to occur in a handful of AFM heavy fermion systems such as CeCu_{6-x}Au_x, YbRh₂Si₂ and CeRhIn₅ [21–24]. Alternatively, the FM QCP was attributed to ‘quenched disorder’, arising from strong antisymmetric spin-orbit coupling (ASOC) due to broken inversion symmetry, which leads to the soft modes, which would otherwise inhibit FM quantum criticality, acquiring mass [25].

In order to scrutinize the origin of the FM QCP in CeRh₆Ge₄ and understand the physical properties, it is vital to characterize the electronic structure of CeRh₆Ge₄. In this article we report angle dependent measurements of quantum oscillations in high quality single crystals of CeRh₆Ge₄ at ambient pressure, in order to map the Fermi surface and to compare the results to density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Our observation of quantum oscillations demonstrates that the previously observed QCP in CeRh₆Ge₄ occurs in a clean FM system [18], ruling out the scenario of a disorder-driven suppression of a first-order transition [26]. The comparison

of the results with DFT calculations provides evidence that the Ce-4*f* moments of CeRh₆Ge₄ are localized at ambient pressure. This is distinct from itinerant ferromagnets where FM QCP's are not observed [6], and is in accordance with the Kondo breakdown scenario.

2. Methods

Single crystals of CeRh₆Ge₄ were grown using a Bi flux [18, 27]. The hexagonal crystal structure (space group $P\bar{6}m2$) and diagram of the Brillouin zone are shown in Figs. 1(a) and (b), respectively. Measurements in high-magnetic fields up to 45 T were performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, using the torque-magnetometer method for fields rotated within the *ab*-plane (sample A), with a fixed polar angle $\theta = 90^\circ$, and the tunnel-diode oscillator method for fields applied between the *ab*-plane and *c*-axis (sample B) at a fixed azimuthal angle $\varphi = 30^\circ$. DFT calculations were implemented in the VASP software, including the effect of spin-orbit coupling using the second variational method. Typical quantum oscillations are displayed in Figs. 1(c) and (d) upon varying θ and φ , after subtracting the background, and the field-angle configuration is also illustrated. Clear oscillations can be observed above 15 T, showing the clean nature of the samples with minimal disorder. Details of Methods are displayed in Supplementary information.

3. Results and discussion

Fast-Fourier transforms (FFT) of the data at various temperatures for the two samples at two different field angles are shown in Figs. 2(a) and (b) (also see Supplementary information 3 and 4 for different field angles and FFT ranges). Both when the field is applied in the *ab*-plane at $\varphi = 39^\circ$, and rotated out of the *ab*-plane at $\theta = 19^\circ$ five oscillation frequencies are identified, which were assigned labels based on a comparison to DFT calculations for the paramagnetic state with the Ce-4*f* electrons being fully localized core electrons. Figure 2(c) displays the temperature dependence of the peak amplitude corresponding to the α' frequency for sample A and the γ_2 frequency for sample B. The dashed lines show the results from fitting the amplitudes using the temperature dependent part of the Lifshitz-Kosevich formula [28, 29], $A = A_0(14.69m^*T/B_m)/[\sinh(14.69m^*T/B_m)]$, where m^* is the effective carrier mass, and B_m is the average inverse-field of the FFT field window. The fitted m^* are $2.49m_e$ for the α' pocket and $3.9m_e$ for the γ_2 pocket, while the respective effective masses from the DFT calculations m_{calc} are $1.66m_e$ and $2.51m_e$. The moderate enhancement of the observed values ($m^*/m_{\text{calc}} \approx 1.5$) suggests the influence of electronic correlations. The mass enhancement is smaller than the enhancement of the Sommerfeld coefficient over the value calculated from the density of states $\gamma_{\text{calc}} = 11 \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$, where $\gamma/\gamma_{\text{calc}}$ is 14 or 34 using γ derived from the paramagnetic and FM states, respectively [18]. This suggests that other orbits such as those on the β branches may

have significantly larger m^* . From the Dingle analysis, mean free paths l_{tr} of 53 and 304 nm are obtained for the α' and γ_2 orbits, respectively, consistent with the estimates from the resistivity of $l_{\text{tr}} \approx 100$ nm (see Supplementary information 2). These respectively yield $k_{\text{F}}l_{\text{tr}}$ of 334 and 1916, well satisfying the clean limit criterion of $k_{\text{F}}l_{\text{tr}} \geq 300$ for FM quantum phase transitions [26].

In order to map the Fermi surface, the experimental setup was rotated with respect to the field direction, in 10° intervals. The results for the two samples are displayed in Figs. 3(a) and (b), where the experimental data is shown across the full angular range in accordance with the lattice symmetry. Figures 3(c) and (d) display the calculated angular dependence of the quantum oscillation (QO) frequencies derived from DFT calculations with localized $4f$ electrons, while Figs. 3(e) and (f) show those from calculations with itinerant $4f$ electrons (see Supplementary information 4 for the full frequency range). A moderately strong ASOC lifts the degeneracy of the bands (≈ 50 meV splitting at the K -point), splitting each Fermi surface into a pair of sheets, and Fig. 3(g) displays one of the ASOC-split Fermi surfaces for each of the four sets of surfaces in the case of localized $4f$ electrons (see Supplementary information 5 for all surfaces). Those labelled α correspond to a pair of ring-like surfaces close to the zone edge, while β is open with extended surfaces at the top and bottom of the zone, γ are dummy-shaped pockets separated at the zone center, and δ are very small pockets at the A point. For itinerant $4f$ -electrons [Fig. 3(h)], the α -surfaces are no longer found, and very small ϵ -surfaces appear. In addition, the β pocket splits into a number of small pockets together with a pair of ring-like pockets, and γ is now connected continuously along $\Gamma - A$.

In general, there is a good correspondence between the experimental data and the calculations assuming localized $4f$ electrons. Frequencies corresponding to orbits for three of these sets, α , γ and δ , can be assigned to the experimental observations, while the larger frequencies associated with the β pockets were not resolved. A small frequency corresponding to the δ pockets is observed, which changes little upon varying the field direction. For fields within the ab -plane, a pair of frequencies are observed along all directions with values of around 1000 T, labelled α and α' , which match the ASOC-split α pockets. These orbits show a moderate anisotropy within the ab -plane, but a large out-of-plane anisotropy, in agreement with the calculations. Experimentally α and α' are observed for all φ within the ab -plane, whereas from the calculations these pockets are absent at $\varphi = 30^\circ$ (and other positions related by six-fold symmetry). This difference may be explained by the volume of these pockets being slightly smaller than that found from calculations, which breaks α and α' into six isolated finite pockets, leading to closed orbits for fields along all in-plane directions.

When the $4f$ electrons are localized, one of the ASOC-split dummy-shaped γ surfaces does not extend down to the A point, but terminates above the zone edge, and there is a second

smaller pocket around the A point [as shown in Fig. 3(g)]. Consequently, for all field directions there are two frequencies associated with this surface, one for the smaller pocket ($\gamma_1 \approx 500$ T, $H \parallel ab$), and another for the larger one ($\gamma_2 \approx 1600$ T, $H \parallel ab$). For the other ASOC-split surface, the pocket remains fully connected down to the A point (see Supplementary information 5), and therefore for ab -plane fields there is only expected to be a single frequency $\gamma'_2 \approx 5000$ T, which is considerably larger than γ_2 . On the other hand, for fields close to the c -axis, an additional frequency γ'_1 is expected, slightly larger than γ_1 .

It can be seen from Figs 3(a)-(d), that there is reasonably good agreement between the observed and calculated angular dependences of the γ pockets. In Fig. 3(a), the two smaller frequencies associated with one surface, γ_1 and γ_2 can be detected, but the larger γ'_2 frequency is not. γ'_2 can however be seen in the TDO measurements in Figs. 3(b), and this difference is likely due to the greater sensitivity of the TDO method to higher oscillation frequencies. In addition, γ_2 could not be detected along a small angular range for fields in the ab -plane (around $\varphi = 60^\circ, 180^\circ, 300^\circ$). We note that the quantum oscillations were particularly weak for measurements with these field directions (see Supplementary information 4), which may be due to the Fermi surface geometry along certain directions [28]. Note that for fields close to the c -axis we observe a frequency just above γ_1 , which is consistent with γ'_1 . However, this frequency can still be detected for ab -plane fields, contrary to the calculations.

On the other hand, the angular dependence of the QO frequencies assuming the $4f$ electrons to be itinerant [Figs. 3(e) and (f)] are drastically different from those observed experimentally. In particular, since the α pockets are absent, and γ are continuously connected along $\Gamma - A$, for fields in the ab -plane, all the predicted QO frequencies are relatively small (< 800 T), besides two-large frequencies associated with the ASOC-split β surfaces (> 3500 T). This is in stark contrast to the data in Fig. 3(a), where three frequencies (γ_2 , α and α') are observed in the intermediate range 1000-2000 T. Furthermore, the calculations upon varying θ for the itinerant case are also very different from the data, largely as a consequence of the β and γ surfaces being open along significant angular regions, in contrast to observations.

Our findings that the electronic structure of CeRh_6Ge_4 is largely consistent with the scenario that the Ce- $4f$ electrons remain localized strongly resembles the case of CeRhIn_5 , where quantum oscillation studies at ambient pressure reveal that the Ce- $4f$ electrons do not contribute to the Fermi surface, in stark contrast to isostructural CeCoIn_5 and CeIrIn_5 where the $4f$ electrons have an itinerant nature [30–32]. Furthermore, it was found that the Fermi surface of CeRhIn_5 undergoes a reconstruction upon crossing the pressure-induced QCP, together with divergent cyclotron masses [24]. These observations are ascribed to the delocalization of the $4f$ electrons at a local-type QCP [33]. In CeRhIn_5 , the identification of local quantum criticality is corroborated by strange metallic behavior in the resistivity, and a divergent specific heat coefficient reaching

a very large low temperature value ($> 1 \text{ J Mol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-2}$) [34, 35]. These are strikingly similar to the resistivity and specific heat of CeRh_6Ge_4 at the FM QCP [18], but final confirmation of local quantum criticality requires probing the electronic structure of CeRh_6Ge_4 under pressure.

We note that there are some minor differences between the experimental results and calculations with localized $4f$ -electrons, namely that the hole pockets α are found to be enclosed experimentally, while they are open in calculations, and the observation of the γ'_1 frequency in the ab -plane. In a real material, some hybridization between Ce- $4f$ electrons and conduction electrons is inevitable, which is not taken into account by calculations where all the f electrons are fully localized as core electrons. Should the hybridization correctly account for the size of the hole pockets, this could resolve this discrepancy. Note that a moderate influence of hybridization between the $4f$ and conduction electrons on the band structure of CeRh_6Ge_4 and CeRhIn_5 was also inferred from angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy measurements [36]. Moreover, in CeRhIn_5 there are also some discrepancies between the observed QO frequencies and DFT calculations with localized $4f$ electrons [32]. The origin of the discrepancies remains an open question, and further studies are necessary to determine whether this may be accounted for by hybridization effects. On the other hand, DFT calculations with itinerant $4f$ electrons are vastly different to the quantum oscillation results, supporting the localized nature of the $4f$ -shell. Moreover, this conclusion is corroborated by calculations using the LDA+U method (see Supplementary information 5), which are very close to those with fully localized $4f$ electrons.

4. Conclusion

In summary, our observation of the quantum oscillation in CeRh_6Ge_4 shows that the FM QCP occurs in a clean system. The similarity between the quantum oscillation frequencies and calculations with the $4f$ electrons as core electrons provides evidence for the Ce- $4f$ electrons remaining localized at ambient pressure, and not contributing to the Fermi surface. These findings indicate that the electronic structure of CeRh_6Ge_4 is distinct from itinerant ferromagnets where quantum criticality is avoided [6], and suggests that localized magnetism is crucial for the appearance of a FM QCP. On the other hand, in order to determine the nature of the QCP, it is highly demanded to probe the evolution of the electronic structure of CeRh_6Ge_4 under pressure, by measurements such as the quantum oscillation or Hall resistivity, so as to look for the predicted jump of the Fermi surface volume upon crossing the QCP [18].

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge valuable discussions with John Singleton. This work was supported by

the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2017YFA0303100, No. 2016YFA0300202), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12034017, No. U1632275 and No. 11974306), and the Science Challenge Project of China (No. TZ2016004). A portion of this work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, which is supported by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1644779 and the State of Florida.

Author contributions The project was conceived by Huiqiu Yuan. The crystals were grown by Yongjun Zhang; quantum oscillation measurements including cantilever and TDO were performed by An Wang and David Graf and analyzed by An Wang, Bin Shen, Ye Chen, Feng Du, Yang Liu, Michael Smidman and Huiqiu Yuan; resistivity and heat capacity measurements were performed by Yongjun Zhang and Bin Shen; band structure calculation was performed by Feng Du and Chao Cao; An Wang, Feng Du, Chao Cao, Michael Smidman, Frank Steglich and Huiqiu Yuan wrote the manuscript with inputs and from all authors.

References

- [1] Stewart GR Non-fermi-liquid behavior in d- and f-electron metals. *Rev Mod Phys* 2001;73:797.
- [2] Gegenwart P, Si Q, and Steglich F Quantum criticality in heavy-fermion metals. *Nat Phys* 2008;4:186.
- [3] Weng ZF, Smidman M, Lin J, et al. Multiple quantum phase transitions and superconductivity in Ce-based heavy fermions. *Rep Prog Phys* 2016;79:094503.
- [4] Smidman M, Shen B, Guo CY, et al. Heavy fermions in high magnetic fields. *Chin. Phys. B* 2019;28(1):017106 .
- [5] Chen Y, Weng ZF, Michael S, et al. High-pressure studies on heavy fermion systems. *Chin. Phys. B* 2016;25(7):077401.
- [6] Brando M, Belita D, Grosche FM, et al. Metallic quantum ferromagnets *Rev Mod Phys* 2016;88:025006.
- [7] Pfleiderer C, McMullan GJ, Julian SR, et al. Magnetic quantum phase transition in MnSi under hydrostatic pressure *Phys Rev B* 1997;55:8330.
- [8] Süllow S, Aronson MC, Rainford BD, et al. Doniach phase diagram, revisited: From ferromagnet to Fermi liquid in pressurized CeRu₂Ge₂. *Phys Rev Lett* 1999;82:2963.
- [9] Brando M, Duncan WJ, Moroni-Klementowicz D, et al. Logarithmic Fermi-liquid breakdown in NbFe₂ *Phys Rev Lett* 2008;101:026401.

- [10] Peiderer C and Huxley AD. Pressure dependence of the magnetization in the ferromagnetic superconductor UGe₂. *Phys Rev Lett* 2002;89:147005.
- [11] Belitz D, Kirkpatrick TR, and Vojta T. First order transitions and multicritical points in weak itinerant ferromagnets. *Phys Rev Lett* 1999;82:4707.
- [12] Chubukov AV, Pépin C, and Rech J. Instability of the quantum-critical point of itinerant ferromagnets. *Phys Rev Lett* 2004;92:147003.
- [13] Steppke A, KÜchler R, Lausberg S, et al. Ferromagnetic quantum critical point in the heavy-fermion metal YbNi₄(P_{1-x}As_x)₂. *Science* 2013;339:933.
- [14] Sokolov DA, Aronson MC, Gannon W, et al. Critical phenomena and the quantum critical point of ferromagnetic Zr_{1-x}Nb_xZn₂. *Phys Rev Lett* 2006;96:116404.
- [15] Jia S, Jiramongkolchai P, Suchomel MR, et al. Ferromagnetic quantum critical point induced by dimer-breaking in SrCo₂(Ge_{1-x}P_x)₂. *Nat Phys* 2011;7:207.
- [16] Huang CL, Hallas AM, Grube K, et al. Quantum critical point in the itinerant ferromagnet Ni_{1-x}Rh_x. *Phys Rev Lett* 2020;124:117203.
- [17] Matsuoka E, Hondo C, Fujii T, et al. Ferromagnetic transition at 2.5K in the hexagonal Kondo-lattice compound CeRh₆Ge₄. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 2015;84:073704.
- [18] Shen B, Zhang YJ, Komijani Y, et al. Strange metal behavior in a pure ferromagnetic Kondo lattice. *Nature* 2020;579:51.
- [19] Si Q, Rabello S, Ingersent K, et al. Locally critical quantum phase transitions in strongly correlated metals. *Nature* 2001;413:804.
- [20] Coleman P, Pépin C, Si Q, et al. How do Fermi liquids get heavy and die?. *J Phys Condens Matter* 2001;13:R723.
- [21] Schröder A, Aeppli G, Coldea R, et al. Onset of antiferromagnetism in heavyfermion metals. *Nature* 2000;407:351.
- [22] Custers J, Gegenwart P, Wilhelm H, et al. The break-up of heavy electrons at a quantum critical point. *Nature* 2003;424:524.
- [23] Paschen S, Lühmann T, Wirth S, et al. Hall-effect evolution across a heavy-fermion quantum critical point. *Nature* 2004;432:881.
- [24] Shishido H, Settai R, Harima H, et al. A drastic change of the Fermi surface at a critical pressure in CeRhIn₅: dHvA study under pressure. *J Phys Soc Jpn* 2005;74:1103.

- [25] Kirkpatrick TR and Belitz D. Ferromagnetic quantum critical point in noncentrosymmetric systems. *Phys Rev Lett* 2020;124:147201.
- [26] Sang Y, Belitz D, and Kirkpatrick TR. Disorder dependence of the ferromagnetic quantum phase transition. *Phys Rev Lett* 2014;113:207201.
- [27] Vosswinkel D, Niehaus O, Rodewald UC, et al. Bismuth ux growth of CeRh₆Ge₄ and CeRh₂Ge₂ single crystals. *Z Naturforsch B* 2012;67:1241.
- [28] Shoenberg D. *Magnetic oscillations in metals*. Cambridge University Press 2009.
- [29] Rebar DJ, Birnbaum SM, Singleton J, et al. Fermi surface, possible unconventional fermions, and unusually robust resistive critical fields in the chiral-structured superconductor AuBe. *Phys Rev B* 2019;99:094517.
- [30] Shishido H, Settai R, Aoki D, et al. Fermi surface, magnetic and superconducting properties of LaRhIn₅ and CeTIn₅ (T: Co, Rh and Ir). *J Phys Soc Jpn* 2002;71:162.
- [31] Harrison N, Alver U, Goodrich RG, et al. 4f-electron localization in Ce_xLa_{1-x}MIn₅ with M = Co, Rh, or Ir. *Phys Rev Lett* 2004;93:186405.
- [32] Jiao L, Chen Y, Kohama Y, et al. Fermi surface reconstruction and multiple quantum phase transitions in the antiferromagnet CeRnIn₅. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2015;112:673.
- [33] Si Q and Steglich F. Heavy fermions and quantum phase transitions. *Science* 2010;329:1161.
- [34] Park T, Ronning F, Yuan HQ, et al. Hidden magnetism and quantum criticality in the heavy fermion superconductor CeRhIn₅. *Nature* 2006;440:65.
- [35] Park T and Thompson JD. Magnetism and superconductivity in strongly correlated CeRhIn₅. *New J Phys* 2009;11:055062.
- [36] Chen QY, Xu DF, Niu XH, et al. Band dependent interlayer f-electron hybridization in CeRhIn₅. *Phys Rev Lett* 2018;120:066403.




Figure 1: (Color online) (a) Crystal structure, and (b) Brillouin zone of CeRh₆Ge₄. Red, blue and yellow atoms correspond to Ce, Rh and Ge respectively. Quantum oscillations at 0.4 K after subtracting the background are displayed for (c) sample A for different field-angles φ within the ab -plane, and (d) sample B for different field-angles θ to the c -axis.




Figure 2: (Color online) FFT of the quantum oscillations at various temperatures are displayed for (a) sample A with an FFT field window of 25 – 45 T, and (b) sample B with a field window of 15 – 35 T. The inset shows the low frequency region, where the δ peak is labelled, while the nearly temperature independent peak at lower frequencies is likely due to vibrations of the magnet. (c) Temperature dependence of the FFT amplitudes of the frequencies corresponding to α' in (a) (for 35 – 40 T), and γ_2 in (b) (for 25 – 30 T). The dashed lines show the results from fitting using the Lifshitz-Kosevich formula.




Figure 3: (Color online) Experimental angle dependence of the quantum frequencies for (a) sample A using the torque method at different field angles φ in the ab -plane, and (b) sample B using the tunnel-diode oscillator method at different field angles θ from the c -axis. γ_1 , γ_2 , γ'_1 and γ'_2 are shown by the solid dark-orange, solid light-orange, open dark-orange, and open light-orange triangles, respectively. The solid and empty blue circles correspond to α and α' , respectively, while the δ frequencies are solid cyan triangles. The corresponding angular dependences of the quantum frequencies from calculations where the $4f$ electrons are fully localized are displayed in (c) and (d), while the case for itinerant $4f$ -electrons is shown in (e) and (f). For the latter, the solid and empty magenta symbols correspond to β pockets, while the small frequencies in dark-green are from the new ϵ surfaces. Four Fermi surfaces are displayed in (g) for the localized case, and (h) for the itinerant case. Here one of the pair of ASOC-split pairs of Fermi surfaces are displayed, where the colors match the symbols of the corresponding orbits in panels (a)-(f).