

AX-SCHANUEL FOR VARIATIONS OF MIXED HODGE STRUCTURES

KENNETH CHUNG TAK CHIU

ABSTRACT. We give properties of the real-split retraction of the mixed weak Mumford-Tate domain and prove the Ax-Schanuel property of period mappings arising from variations of mixed Hodge structures. An ingredient in the proof is the definability of the mixed period mapping obtained by Bakker-Brunebarbe-Klingler-Tsimerman. In comparison with preceding results, in the point counting step, we count rational points on definable quotients instead.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** In 1971, Ax proved the function field analogue [2] of Schanuel's conjecture for exponentials. This result was extended to other functions in variational Hodge theory, e.g. the j -function by Pila-Tsimerman [42], uniformizations of Shimura varieties by Mok-Pila-Tsimerman [35], variations of Hodge structures by Bakker-Tsimerman [6], and mixed Shimura varieties of Kuga type by Gao [22]. In this paper, we extend these results to variations of mixed Hodge structures. This was conjectured by Klingler [28]. In another paper [15], the author used this result to prove the Ax-Schanuel theorem for derivatives of mixed period mappings. These generalizations of the Ax-Schanuel theorem are key results of functional transcendence, an area that has found fruitful applications in arithmetic geometry through the Pila-Zannier method [44]. For example, the recent work [41] of Pila, Shankar and Tsimerman on the André-Oort conjecture uses the Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass theorem (a specialization of the Ax-Schanuel theorem) for Shimura varieties established by Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev [29], while the mixed case of the conjecture uses the corresponding theorem for mixed Shimura varieties established by Gao [21]. Analogously, the Hodge theoretic generalizations of the Ax-Schanuel theorem mentioned above are used recently in several works [8][9][17][40] on the geometric aspects of the Zilber-Pink conjecture, which is a vast generalization of the André-Oort conjecture.

Functional transcendence results have also been used in many other Diophantine problems. For example, Lawrence-Venkatesh [31] and Lawrence-Sawin [30] used the Ax-Schanuel theorem for period mappings [6] to prove Shafarevich type conjectures for hypersurfaces. Gao used the Ax-Schanuel theorem for mixed Shimura varieties of Kuga type [22] to study the generic rank of Betti map [23], which was then used to prove a uniform bound for the number of rational points on curves by Dimitrov-Gao-Habegger [19]. Using the result in this paper, Hast develops a higher dimensional Chabauty-Kim method in [26].

1.2. Statement of results. Notations from this section will be used throughout the paper including the appendix. Let X be a smooth quasiprojective irreducible algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{Q})$ be an admissible graded-polarized variation of mixed \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structures (GPVMHS) on X , where \mathcal{W}_\bullet is the weight filtration, \mathcal{F}^\bullet is the Hodge filtration, and \mathcal{Q} is the graded polarization. Let η be a Hodge generic point of X . Let Γ be the image of the monodromy representation $\pi_1(X, \eta) \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}, \eta})$ associated to the local system \mathcal{H} . Let \mathbf{P} be the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of Γ in $\mathbf{GL}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}, \eta})$. Let \mathbf{U} be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P} . Let $\mathbf{S} := \text{Res}_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_m$ be the Deligne torus. The Deligne splitting of the graded-polarized mixed Hodge structure h_0 on the stalk \mathcal{H}_η defines a representation $\rho_0 : \mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}, \eta})$ [28, p. 7]. Let \mathcal{M} be the space [3, §3.5] parametrizing mixed \mathbb{R} -Hodge structures, with fixed graded-polarization and Hodge numbers which are the same as that of the mixed Hodge structures our GPVMHS is parametrizing. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the corresponding projective space parametrizing decreasing filtrations [3, §3.5]. Let D be the $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of h_0 in \mathcal{M} , where $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ is the identity component of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$. Let \check{D} be the $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of h_0 in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. First assume $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+ =: \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. This is assumed everywhere in the paper outside Theorem 1.4 and its proof. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash D$ be the period mapping¹. Let φ be the composition of ψ with $\Gamma \backslash D \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash D$. Consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & D \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash D. \end{array}$$

Definition 1.1. Let h be any mixed \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structure in D . Let \mathbf{M} be a normal algebraic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of the Mumford-Tate group \mathcal{MT}_h of h . Let \mathbf{M}_u be its unipotent radical. Let $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})^+$ be the identity component of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$. The $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{M}_u(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit $D(\mathbf{M})$ of h is called a **weak Mumford-Tate domain**. For any $D(\mathbf{M}) \subset D$, any irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1} \pi(D(\mathbf{M}))$ is called a **weakly special subvariety** of X .

Let $p_X : X \times \check{D} \rightarrow X$ and $p_D : X \times \check{D} \rightarrow \check{D}$ be the projections onto X and \check{D} respectively. Let U be an irreducible analytic subset of W , denote by U^{Zar} the Zariski closure of U in $X \times \check{D}$. Let $V := U^{\text{Zar}}$. The main goal of this paper is to prove the following statement:

Theorem 1.2. *If $\dim V - \dim U < \dim \check{D}$, then $p_X(U)$ is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety.*

Remark 1.3. This theorem is equivalent to the statement with φ replaced by ψ , cf. Lemma 2.1. By [3, Corollary 6.7], weakly special subvarieties are indeed algebraic.

¹We have *a priori* a period mapping $\check{X} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}}$, where \check{X} is the universal cover of X , and $D_{\mathcal{MT}}$ is the mixed Mumford-Tate domain, but it actually maps into D (see Lemma A.5).

Let $\psi' : X \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}$ be the period mapping. Let $p_X : X \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow X$ be the projection onto X . Consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ X & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}. \end{array}$$

Let U' be an irreducible analytic subset of W' . Let U'^{Zar} be the Zariski closure of U' in $X \times \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

Theorem 1.4. *If $\dim U'^{\text{Zar}} - \dim U' < \dim \check{D}$, then $p_X(U')$ is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety.*

1.3. Ideas of proof. The main theorems will be proved by induction on

$$(\dim X, \dim V - \dim U, \dim X - \dim U)$$

in lexicographical order. In Section 2, we will prove the base cases of induction. Let \mathbf{N} be the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of the $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ -stabilizer of V . In the proofs of the Ax-Schanuel results in preceding works [6][22][35], non-triviality of \mathbf{N} was first obtained by applying the Pila-Wilkie counting theorem [43] on certain definable set I and using the induction hypothesis. It was then used to construct a splitting of the period mappings. For this splitting to make sense, one has to prove that \mathbf{N} is normal in the generic Mumford-Tate group, which could be strictly bigger than \mathbf{P} . We use another approach instead: we apply the Pila-Wilkie theorem on the image \bar{I} of I under the map $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{R})$. The group \mathbf{N} is indeed normal in \mathbf{P} , a fact which will be proved in Section 4 using the Hilbert scheme argument of Mok-Pila-Tsimerman [35].

The definable set I will be constructed as in the preceding works. It is defined in a way to facilitate the use of the induction hypothesis after the application of Pila-Wilkie on \bar{I} . At the first attempt, one perturbs V and collects all $\gamma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\dim \gamma V \cap W \geq \dim U$. However, since I has to be definable, one modifies the attempt by further intersecting $W \cap \gamma V$ with $X \times \Phi$, where Φ is a definable fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on D . The definability of $W \cap (X \times \Phi)$ follows from the definability of the mixed period mappings obtained recently by Bakker-Brunebarbe-Klingler-Tsimerman [3]. The precise construction of I will be made in Section 5.2.

In order to use the counting theorem of Pila-Wilkie [43], we need \bar{I} to contain at least polynomially many rational points. We count rational points in \bar{I} using the mixed point counting method in [22]. This method leads us to a trichotomy (Section 6), roughly as follows:

- (1) The projection of U to the reductive part, modulo the stabilizing part (since we are counting modulo $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Q})$), has positive dimension, and the unipotent direction grows slower than the reductive direction. In this case, we apply the volume estimates of Griffith transverse subvarieties

of a pure weak Mumford-Tate domain established by Bakker-Tsimerman [6]. This will be done in Section 7.

- (2) The unipotent direction grows faster than the reductive direction. In this case, we prove and apply a height estimate on products of certain conjugates of upper unitriangular matrices (upper triangular matrix with 1's on the diagonal). This will be done in Section 8.
- (3) The point count, modulo $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Q})$, is finite and U lies in a unipotent fiber. This case uses the definable Chow theorem [39], see Section 9.

The trichotomy motivates the splitting of a domain, which parametrizes mixed Hodge structures, into three parts: the unipotent part, the stabilizing reductive part, and the non-stabilizing reductive part. More precisely, in Section 3, we first split the real-split retraction $r(D)$ of the mixed weak Mumford-Tate domain D into the unipotent part $D_{\mathbf{U},\mathbb{R}}$ and the reductive part $D_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ (Theorem 3.3). Then we split the pure domain $D_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ into two factors $D_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ and $D_{\mathbf{L}}$, where \mathbf{N}_r is a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{N} , while \mathbf{L} is defined in Section 5.1. The first splitting makes use of the fact that $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$ (where \mathbf{G} is a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P} containing \mathbf{N}_r) act transitively on $D_{\mathbf{U},\mathbb{R}}$ and $D_{\mathbf{G}_r}$ respectively. These transivities are proved in Lemma 3.2 using André's normality [1] of the connected algebraic monodromy group in the generic Mumford-Tate group, and results on the real-split retraction of the connected mixed Mumford-Tate domain $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ by Bakker-Brunebarbe-Klingler-Tsimerman [3, §6].

The definable fundamental domain Φ is built from the fundamental domains in each of $D_{\mathbf{U},\mathbb{R}}$, $D_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ and $D_{\mathbf{L}}$, see Section 5.1.

In order to make sense of the comparison between the growths of the unipotent and the reductive directions in the trichotomy, we define the height of a subset of $r(D)$ in Section 6.

Preliminaries on the weak Mumford-Tate domains are collected in Appendix A.

Gao and Klingler [24] prove the same results independently using a similar approach at the same time. The main difference is that we count points in the group $(\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{C})$ directly as explained earlier. The point count results in Sections 7 to 9 of our paper are applied in the proof of the geometric André-Grothendieck period conjecture by Bakker-Tsimerman [7] recently.

1.4. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my advisor Jacob Tsimerman for suggesting me to work on this problem, and for many insightful discussions and helpful comments.

2. BASE CASES OF INDUCTION

We prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 simultaneously by induction on $\dim X$. The case when $\dim X = 0$ is trivial. Suppose $\dim X > 0$. For each $\dim X$, we prove Theorem 1.2 by induction on $(\dim V - \dim U, \dim X - \dim U)$ in lexicographical order, and deduce Theorem 1.4 from Theorem 1.2.

If the monodromy group Γ is contained in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$, let $W_\Gamma := X \times_{\Gamma \backslash D} D$, and let S be the set of all distinct representatives of the cosets in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+/\Gamma$, we have $W = \bigcup_{g \in S} gW_\Gamma$. Then since U is irreducible, $g_0^{-1}U \subset W_\Gamma$ for some $g_0 \in S$. The following lemma then follows:

Lemma 2.1. *Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the following: Assume $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. Let $U_\Gamma := g_0^{-1}U$. Let $V_\Gamma := U_\Gamma^{\text{Zar}}$. If $\dim V_\Gamma - \dim U_\Gamma < \dim \check{D}$, then $p_X(U_\Gamma)$ is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let k be an integer. If Theorem 1.2 holds for $\dim X = k$, then Theorem 1.4 also holds for $\dim X = k$.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is of finite index in Γ , replacing X by a finite étale covering if necessary, we can assume that $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, we can further assume that $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$. Then since the image of ψ' is inside $\Gamma \backslash D$, $W_\Gamma = W'$, and so Theorem 1.4 follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

Lemma 2.3. *If there exists an algebraic subvariety Z of X such that $p_X(U) \subset Z \subsetneq X$, then Theorem 1.2 holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to prove the statement about U_Γ in the lemma. Let $\Gamma_{Z^{sm}}$ be the monodromy group of the GPVMHS restricted to the smooth locus Z^{sm} of Z . We have a mapping $Z^{sm} \rightarrow \Gamma_{Z^{sm}} \backslash \mathcal{M}$. Let

$$W_{Z^{sm}} := Z^{sm} \times_{\Gamma_{Z^{sm}} \backslash \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}.$$

Since $\dim Z^{sm} < \dim X$, by induction hypothesis, Theorem 1.4 holds for $Z^{sm} \rightarrow \Gamma_{Z^{sm}} \backslash \mathcal{M}$. Let $W'_{Z^{sm}} := Z^{sm} \times_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{M}$. Let S' be the set of all distinct representatives of the cosets in $\Gamma/\Gamma_{Z^{sm}}$. We have $W'_{Z^{sm}} = \bigcup_{g \in S'} gW_{Z^{sm}}$. For any irreducible analytic subset $U'_{Z^{sm}}$ of $W'_{Z^{sm}}$, we have $g^{-1}U'_{Z^{sm}} \subset W_{Z^{sm}}$ for some $g \in S'$. Hence, Theorem 1.4 holds for $Z^{sm} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}$. Since $U_\Gamma := g_0^{-1}U \subset W_\Gamma$, we know $U_\Gamma \cap p_X^{-1}(Z^{sm}) \subset W'_{Z^{sm}}$. We can assume $p_X(U) \cap Z^{sm}$ is non-empty. We also have $p_X(g_0^{-1}U) = p_X(U) \subset Z$. Then $p_X(U_\Gamma) \cap Z^{sm}$ is open and dense in $p_X(U)$, while $U_\Gamma \cap p_X^{-1}(Z^{sm})$ is open and dense in U_Γ . If the identity component $\bar{\Gamma}_{Z^{sm}}^\circ$ of the algebraic monodromy group of Z^{sm} is equal to \mathbf{P} , then $\dim \check{D}_{Z^{sm}} = \dim \check{D}$. Then since Theorem 1.4 holds for $Z^{sm} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}$, the set $p_X(U_\Gamma) \cap Z^{sm}$ is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety E_1 . If $\bar{\Gamma}_{Z^{sm}}^\circ \subsetneq \mathbf{P}$, then by Lemma A.8, Z^{sm} is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety E_2 . The Zariski closures of $p_X(U_\Gamma)$ and $p_X(U_\Gamma) \cap Z^{sm}$ in X are the same, and they are contained in E_1 or E_2 by Lemma A.6. Therefore, the statement about U_Γ in Lemma 2.1 holds. \square

Lemma 2.4. *If $p_X(U)$ is contained in an algebraic subvariety Z and contains an analytic open subset of Z , then Theorem 1.2 holds.*

Proof. Suppose $p_X(U)$ contains an analytic open subset of X . Then $p_X(g_0^{-1}U)$ contains an analytic open subset of X . Since $g_0^{-1}U \subset W_\Gamma$ and Γ acts discretely on D , we have $\dim g_0^{-1}U = \dim W_\Gamma$. Since W_Γ is irreducible, we then have $W_\Gamma^{\text{Zar}} = g_0^{-1}U^{\text{Zar}}$. Since Γ is \mathbb{Q} -Zariski dense in \mathbf{P} , we know Γ is \mathbb{C} -Zariski dense in $\mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}$ by

Lemma A.4. Then since W_Γ is invariant under Γ , we know U^{Zar} is invariant under $\mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, $p_X(U^{\text{Zar}})$ contains an analytic open subset of X . Then since $\mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}$ acts transitively on \check{D} , we know $V := U^{\text{Zar}}$ contains $X^\circ \times \check{D}$, where X° is an open subset of X . Then by assumption, $\dim U > \dim V - \dim \check{D} = \dim X = \dim W$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $p_X(U)$ does not contain any analytic open subset of X . If $p_X(U)$ is contained in an algebraic subvariety Z and contains an analytic open subset of Z , then $Z \subsetneq X$. By Lemma 2.3, Theorem 1.2 holds. \square

Since $U \subset W$, the fibers of $(p_X)|_U$ are discrete sets, so if $\dim V = \dim U$, then $\dim p_X(V) = \dim p_X(U)$, and thus $p_X(U)$ contains an analytic open subset of $p_X(V)$. Then since $p_X(V)$ is algebraic, Theorem 1.2 holds by Lemma 2.4.

Similarly, if $\dim X = \dim U$, then $\dim X = \dim U = \dim p_X(U)$, Theorem 1.2 thus holds by Lemma 2.4.

3. RETRACTION OF THE MIXED WEAK MUMFORD-TATE DOMAIN

The motivation of this section is explained in the idea of proof (Section 1.3). A subset of \mathbb{R}^n is said to be *definable* if it is definable in the o-minimal structure $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ [20]. We refer to [27, Section 2] for an introduction to o-minimality.

The Mumford-Tate groups of mixed Hodge structures in the variation attain maximal dimension and are isomorphic to each other for all x outside a countable union (called the Hodge locus) of proper irreducible analytic varieties of X [1, Lemma 4]. We say these Mumford-Tate groups are *Hodge generic*. If x is in the Hodge locus, then the Mumford-Tate group is strictly smaller than the generic one. Points outside the Hodge locus are also called *Hodge generic points*.

Let $\mathcal{S}(W_\bullet)$ be the variety of splittings of the weight filtration of h_0 [3][14], where h_0 is the mixed Hodge structure at the Hodge generic point η chosen in Section 1.2. Let \mathcal{MT}_u be the unipotent radical of the Mumford-Tate group $\mathcal{MT} := \mathcal{MT}_{h_0}$ of h_0 . Let $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ be the $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of h_0 in the space \mathcal{M} defined in Section 1.2. By taking $\mathbf{M} = \mathcal{MT}_u$ in Appendix A, we obtain a mixed Hodge structure $\overline{h_0}$ (cf. Lemma A.2) and a pure Mumford-Tate domain $D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathcal{MT}_u}$ with an $(\mathcal{MT}/\mathcal{MT}_u)(\mathbb{R})$ -action on it. We write $D_{\mathcal{MT},\text{Gr}} := D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathcal{MT}_u}$ and call it the Mumford-Tate domain for the associated graded $\overline{h_0}$. Let $D_{\mathcal{MT},\text{Gr}}^+$ be the connected component of $D_{\mathcal{MT},\text{Gr}}$ containing $\overline{h_0}$.

Let $D_{\mathcal{MT},\mathbb{R}}^+$ be the real semi-algebraic subset of $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ consisting of those Hodge filtrations for which the corresponding mixed Hodge structure is real-split. There is an $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -equivariant retraction $r : D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT},\mathbb{R}}^+$ ([3, Lemma 6.6]). Let $D_{\mathcal{MT}_u,\mathbb{R}}$ be the $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -orbit in $\mathcal{S}(W_\bullet)(\mathbb{R})$ of the splitting of $h_1 := r(h_0)$. The unipotent radical $\mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{R})$ acts simply transitively on $D_{\mathcal{MT}_u,\mathbb{R}}$ [14, Prop. 2.2], see also [3, p. 13] or [16, Lemma 3.11]. Let h_{Gr} denote the image of any $h \in D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ under the map $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT},\text{Gr}}^+$ (cf. Appendix A).

Notation 3.1. Recall \mathcal{M} in Section 1.2. Then $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ \subset \mathcal{M}$. Also, $D_{\mathcal{MT},\mathbb{R}}^+$ is a subset of the real-split locus $\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R}$ of \mathcal{M} . There is a product $\Omega = \prod \Omega_k$, where each Ω_k parametrizes pure Hodge structures having the k -th polarization and Hodge

numbers of weight k that we fixed. For each $h \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$, there exists a unique Deligne bigrading [18], which gives a splitting $h_s \in S(W_{\bullet})(\mathbb{R})$. Let h_{Gr} be the associated graded of h . Then we have a bijection $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \Omega \times S(W_{\bullet})(\mathbb{R})$ sending h to (h_{Gr}, h_s) . Let $i : D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \simeq D_{\mathcal{MT}, \text{Gr}}^+ \times D_{\mathcal{MT}_u, \mathbb{R}}$ be the restriction of this bijection to $D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+$. The map i is definable, and it is compatible with the $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -action, i.e. $i(mh) = (\overline{m}h_{\text{Gr}}, mh_s)$ for any $m \in \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $h \in D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+$, where \overline{m} is the image of m in $(\mathcal{MT} / \mathcal{MT}_u)(\mathbb{R})$. For any $h \in D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+$, we also denote by (h_{Gr}, h_s) the image of h under i . \square

Recall D and \mathbf{P} from Section 1.2. Let D_{Gr} be the image of $r(D)$ under the $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -equivariant map $D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}, \text{Gr}}^+$. By the equivariance of the map $D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}, \text{Gr}}^+$, the $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -action on $r(D)$ induces a $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -action on D_{Gr} . Let $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$ be the image of $r(D)$ under the projection $D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}_u, \mathbb{R}}$. Similarly, we have a $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -action on $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$.

Let \mathbf{N} be the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of

$$\text{Stab}(V) := \{\sigma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ : \sigma V = V\}$$

in \mathbf{P} , where $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ := \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$. Fix a Levi subgroup \mathbf{N}_r of \mathbf{N} . Let $\mathbf{G} := \mathbf{P}_r$ be a maximal connected reductive subgroup of \mathbf{P} containing \mathbf{N}_r . Then \mathbf{G} is a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P} . Similarly, we can choose Levi subgroup \mathcal{MT}_r of \mathcal{MT} containing \mathbf{G} . We have an isomorphism $\mathcal{MT}_r \simeq \mathcal{MT} / \mathcal{MT}_u$, given by inclusion $\mathcal{MT}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{MT}$ followed by the quotient map $\mathcal{MT} \rightarrow \mathcal{MT} / \mathcal{MT}_u$. Let \mathbf{P}_u be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P} .

Lemma 3.2. *The group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+ := \mathbf{P}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$ acts transitively on D_{Gr} . The group $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) := \mathbf{P}_u(\mathbb{R})$ acts simply transitively on $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. By André [1, Proof of Theorem 1], \mathbf{P} is normal in \mathcal{MT} . Taking $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P}$ in Appendix A, we obtain a quotient morphism

$$f : (\mathcal{MT}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}}, D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+) \rightarrow (\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}}, D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}}^+)$$

between connected mixed Hodge data. Let $D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}}^+$ be the real split locus of $D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}}^+$. By [3, Lemma 6.6], we have an $(\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P})(\mathbb{R})^+$ -equivariant retraction $r_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}} : D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}}^+$, satisfying $r_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}} \circ f = f_{\mathbb{R}} \circ r$, where $f_{\mathbb{R}} : D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}}^+$ is the morphism induced from f by restriction. The weak Mumford-Tate domain D is in the fiber $f^{-1}(x)$ of a point $x \in D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}}^+$. Then $f_{\mathbb{R}}(r(D)) = \{r_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}}(x)\}$.

By [10, Corollary 14.11], $\mathcal{MT}_u \mathbf{P} / \mathbf{P}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}$. By [21, Prop. 2.13], $\mathbf{P}_r = \mathbf{P} \cap \mathcal{MT}_r$. Then $\mathcal{MT}_r / \mathbf{P}_r$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{MT}_r \mathbf{P} / \mathbf{P}$, a Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}$.

Let $g_1 : D_{\mathcal{MT}, \text{Gr}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{P}, \text{Gr}}^+$ be the quotient morphism obtained by pushing forward representations of the Deligne torus via $\mathcal{MT}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{MT}_r / \mathbf{P}_r$, cf. Appendix A. By the previous paragraph about Levi subgroups, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
r(D) & \hookrightarrow & D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+ & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{R}}} & D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\text{Gr}} & \hookrightarrow & D_{\mathcal{MT}, \text{Gr}}^+ & \xrightarrow{g_1} & D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}, \text{Gr}}^+
\end{array}$$

The conclusion in the first paragraph that $f_{\mathbb{R}}(r(D)) = \{r_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}(x)\}$ then implies that g_1 maps D_{Gr} to a point y , which is the associated graded of $r_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}(x)$. By Lemma A.3, the group $\mathbf{P}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$ acts transitively on D_{Gr} .

By Notation 3.1, we have an isomorphism $D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \simeq D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}, \text{Gr}}^+ \times D_{(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u, \mathbb{R}}$, where $D_{(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u, \mathbb{R}}$ is the $(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit of the splitting of $f_{\mathbb{R}}(h_1)$. Write as (h_{Gr}, h_s) the image of any $h \in D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}}^+$ under this isomorphism. Define a map $g_2 : D_{\mathcal{MT}_u, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow D_{(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u, \mathbb{R}}$ by $g_2(u \cdot h_{1s}) = f_{\mathbb{R}}(u \cdot h_1)_s$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
r(D) & \hookrightarrow & D_{\mathcal{MT}, \mathbb{R}}^+ & \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbb{R}}} & D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}, \mathbb{R}}^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}} & \hookrightarrow & D_{\mathcal{MT}_u, \mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{g_2} & D_{(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u, \mathbb{R}}
\end{array}$$

Since $f_{\mathbb{R}}(r(D)) = \{r_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}(x)\}$, we know g_2 maps $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$ to a point. Then since $(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u(\mathbb{R})$ acts simply transitively on $D_{(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u, \mathbb{R}}$ [14, Prop. 2.2] (see also [3, p. 13] or [16, Lemma 3.11]) and since $\mathbf{P}_u = \mathbf{P} \cap \mathcal{MT}_u$ [21, Prop. 2.13], the group $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) := \mathbf{P}_u(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$. This action is simply transitive because $\mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{R})$ acts simply transitively on $D_{\mathcal{MT}_u, \mathbb{R}}$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *The group $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ acts transitively on $r(D)$. The isomorphism i restricts to a $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -equivariant definable isomorphism $j : r(D) \simeq D_{\text{Gr}} \times D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. It is clear that j is $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -equivariant. Let $(x, y) \in D_{\text{Gr}} \times D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$. By Lemma 3.2, $x = gh_{1\text{Gr}}$ and $y = uh_{1s}$ for some $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$. There exists $u' \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g^{-1}h_{1s} = u'h_{1s}$. Then $j(ugu'h_1) = (ugu'h_{1\text{Gr}}, ugu'h_{1s}) = (gh_{1\text{Gr}}, uh_{1s}) = (x, y)$ since the unipotent radical acts trivially on the associated graded. Therefore, j is surjective, and the group $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ acts transitively on $r(D)$. Since i and $r(D)$ are definable, j is definable. \square

4. NORMALITY OF ALGEBRAIC STABILIZER IN ALGEBRAIC MONODROMY GROUP

4.1. A temporary definable fundamental set Φ' for $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash D$. The definable fundamental set for $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash D$ in this section is temporary because later on when we define the definable set I in Section 5.2, we will switch to another fundamental set in Section 5.1 that depends on the normality we prove in this section.

Definition 4.1 ([3]). Let Y be a definable locally compact subset in \mathbb{R}^n and Γ a group acting on Y by definable homeomorphism. A subset F in Y is a

fundamental set for $\Gamma \backslash Y$ if $\Gamma \cdot F = Y$ and the set $\{g \in \Gamma : F \cap gF \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

The intersection $\Lambda := \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ is an arithmetic subgroup containing $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})^+ := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^+$. Let $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}$ be a definable open fundamental set for the action of Λ on D_{Gr} [5, Theorem 1.1]. Let $\Phi_{\mathbf{U}}$ be a bounded definable open fundamental set for the cocompact action of $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ on $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$ [45, Lemma 4.7 (1)].

Lemma 4.2. *The set $\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}} := j^{-1}(\Phi_{\mathbf{G}} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{U}})$ is a definable open fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on $r(D)$. Hence $\Phi' := r^{-1}(\Phi'_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a definable open fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on D .*

Proof. This follows from [45, Lemma 4.7 (2)]. \square

4.2. Normality of algebraic stabilizer in algebraic monodromy group.

Recall that \mathbf{N} is the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of $\text{Stab}(V) := \{\sigma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ : \sigma V = V\}$ in \mathbf{P} . We apply the Hilbert scheme argument to prove that \mathbf{N} is normal in \mathbf{P} . This argument was used in [6], [22], and [35].

Let $(X \times \check{D})'$ be a projective compactification of $X \times \check{D}$. Let M be the Hilbert scheme of all subvarieties of $(X \times \check{D})'$ with the same Hilbert polynomial as V' , where V' is the Zariski closure of V in $(X \times \check{D})'$. Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow M$ be the universal family over M , with a natural embedding $\mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow (X \times \check{D})' \times M$. Let $\mathcal{V}_W := \mathcal{V} \cap (W \times M)$. Each $m \in M$ corresponds to a subvariety called V_m . Write $m = [V_m]$.

Let T be the set of all pairs $(p, m) \in W \times M$, such that $V_m \cap W$ has dimension at least $\dim U$ around p . The set T is closed and analytic in \mathcal{V}_W , see proof of [38, Lemma 8.2]. Let T_0 be the irreducible component containing $(p, [V])$ for some and hence any $p \in U$.

The action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on $X \times D$, defined by $\gamma \cdot (x, h) = (x, \gamma \cdot h)$, lifts to \mathcal{V}_W . There is also an action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on T . Let $Y := \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash T_0$ be the image of T_0 in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash T$.

Lemma 4.3. *The period mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash D$ is definable.*

Proof. We follow Bakker-Brunenbarbe-Klingler-Tsimerman [3, §5] and make suitable modifications (recall that \mathbf{P} is the connected algebraic monodromy group). By [3, Lemma 4.1], by passing X to a finite étale covering if necessary, X is the union of finitely many punctured polydisks such that the GPVMHS has unipotent monodromy over each such polydisk. It suffices to prove that the period map $\varphi|_{(\Delta^*)^n}$ restricted to each such polydisk, say $(\Delta^*)^n$, is definable. By [3, Prop. 5.2], the restriction to any vertical strip E of the lifting $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\varphi|_{(\Delta^*)^n}$ is definable, so it suffices to prove that the image $\tilde{\varphi}(E)$ lies in a finite union of definable fundamental sets of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash D$. By [11, Cor. 2.34], the composition of $\tilde{\varphi}$ with $D \rightarrow D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$ is bounded on any vertical strip. It suffices to prove that the image of $\tilde{\varphi}(E)$ in D_{Gr} lies in a finite union of Siegel sets. By [1, Cor. 2], \mathbf{G} is semisimple. Then by [36, Theorem 1.2] and [4, Prop. 3.4], it suffices to prove that $\tilde{\varphi}(E)$ lies in a finite union of Siegel sets in $D_{\mathcal{MT}, \text{Gr}}^+$. This holds by [5, Theorem 1.5]. \square

Hence, the set

$$W \cap (X \times \Phi') = \{(x, F^\bullet) \in X \times \Phi' : \varphi(x) = \pi|_{\Phi'}(F^\bullet)\}$$

is definable.

Since the Hilbert scheme M is proper, the composition $T \hookrightarrow W \times M \rightarrow W$ is proper, so $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash T \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash W = X$ is proper, and thus the induced map $q : Y \rightarrow X$ is proper. The intersection $\mathcal{V} \cap ((W \cap (X \times \Phi')) \times M)$ is a definable fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on \mathcal{V}_W . By [3, Proposition 2.3], $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash \mathcal{V}_W$ and similarly Y have definable structures, so the projection q is definable. Then $q(Y)$ is closed, complex analytic and definable in X , and therefore algebraic by definable Chow [39].

Since $q(Y) \supset p_X(U)$, by Lemma 2.3, we can assume $q(Y) = X$. Let \mathcal{F} be the family of algebraic varieties parametrized by the projection of T_0 in M . The family \mathcal{F} is stable under the image Γ_Y of $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \Gamma_Y$ be the subgroup of elements γ such that every fiber in \mathcal{F} is invariant under γ . For any $\mu \in \Gamma_Y - \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, define E_μ to be the image in M of the union of all fibers which are invariant under μ . The algebraic subvariety E_μ is properly contained in M . Hence, the Γ_Y -stabilizer of a very general fiber in \mathcal{F} , i.e. a fiber outside a countable union \mathcal{C}_1 of proper subvarieties of \mathcal{F} , is $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$.

We first make some observations:

- There are at most countably many Mumford-Tate domains: we know from [3, Prop. 6.8] that any Mumford-Tate domain is a component of the Noether-Lefschetz locus $\{h' \in \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{MT}_{h'} \subset \mathcal{MT}_h\}$ of a mixed Hodge structure h . By [3, Cor. 6.9], Noether-Lefschetz loci are definable, so they have only finitely many components. By [1, Lemma 2.(a)], any Mumford-Tate group of a mixed Hodge structure H is the largest subgroup of $\mathbf{GL}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$ that fixes (and thus fixes finitely many) Hodge tensors of H . Recall that Hodge tensors of a mixed Hodge structure H are defined to be the type $(0, 0)$ elements of the Hodge structure $T^{m,n}(H_{\mathbb{Q}}) := H^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z})^{\otimes n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and they are exactly the elements of $F^0 T^{m,n}(H_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap T^{m,n}(H_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of weight 0, so there are at most countably many Hodge tensors.
- We have countably many families of weak Mumford-Tate domains described as follows: the monodromy group Γ is countable, so it has at most countably many finitely generated subgroups, and thus it has at most countably many subgroups, denoted by Γ_k , which are monodromy groups (which are finitely generated because fundamental groups are finitely generated) of smooth locus of closed subvarieties of X . Denote by \mathbf{P}_j ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) all the pairwise distinct \mathbb{Q} -groups which arises as the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closures of some Γ_k . We index in a way such that $\mathbf{P}_0 := \mathbf{P}$. Let E_j be the intersection of D with the union of all Mumford-Tate group orbits of mixed \mathbb{Z} -Hodge structures whose Mumford-Tate group contains \mathbf{P}_j as a normal subgroup. Since there are at most countably many Mumford-Tate domains, we can write E_j as a

countable union of intersections of D and some Mumford-Tate domain. Since D and Mumford-Tate domains are definable, each such intersection has at most finitely many irreducible components. Denote the countably many irreducible components arising in this way by C_i . Let \mathcal{D}_i be the family of $\mathbf{P}_j(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{U}_j(\mathbb{C})$ -orbits of elements in C_i , where \mathbf{U}_j is the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P}_j . Let $\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_i$.

- Suppose X is the smallest weakly special subvariety containing $p_X(U)$. Then X is the smallest weakly special subvariety containing $p_X(U)^{\text{Zar}}$. The image of the lifting of $p_X(U)^{\text{Zar}}$ is thus not contained in any weak Mumford-Tate domain in \mathcal{D} , otherwise $\mathbf{P}_j = \mathbf{P}_0$ for some $j > 0$ by Lemma A.7, which is a contradiction. Since $U \subset W$, it follows that the image $p_D(U)$ is not contained in any weak Mumford-Tate domain in \mathcal{D} .
- By Theorem A.8, if the Zariski closure of the projection to X of a component of $V_m \cap W$ has algebraic monodromy group \mathbf{P}' strictly smaller than \mathbf{P} , then it is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety. By Lemma A.5, the image of the lifting of it is contained in the weak Mumford-Tate domain $D(\mathbf{P}')$, which is strictly contained in D by Lemma A.6. All weak Mumford-Tate domains in \mathcal{D} are strictly contained in D .
- We will make use of the fact that $p_D(U)$ is not contained in any weak Mumford-Tate domain in \mathcal{D} , in particular \mathcal{D}_i . Firstly, for very general $(V_m, D_\alpha) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{D}_i$, there is a component of U_m of $V_m \cap W$ with dimension $\geq \dim U$ such that image $p_D(U_m)$ is not contained in D_α . Then for very general V_m in \mathcal{F} , there is a component U_m of $V_m \cap W$ with dimension $\geq \dim U$ such that image $p_D(U_m)$ is not contained in any domain belonging to \mathcal{D}_i . It follows that for very general V_m in \mathcal{F} , there is a component U_m of $V_m \cap W$ with dimension $\geq \dim U$ such that image $p_D(U_m)$ is not contained in any domain belonging to $\mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_i$, which implies that the image of the lifting of $p_X(U_m)^{\text{Zar}}$ is not contained in any domain in \mathcal{D} (using the fact that $U_m \subset W$).

Suppose X is the smallest weakly special subvariety containing $p_X(U)$. From above, we know that for any V_m outside a countable union \mathcal{C}_2 of proper closed subvarieties of \mathcal{F} , there is a component U_m of $V_m \cap W$ with dimension $\geq \dim U$ such that $p_X(U_m)^{\text{Zar}}$ has algebraic monodromy group \mathbf{P} . Combining with earlier discussion, for any V_m outside $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$, the Γ_Y -stabilizer of its fiber is $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$, and there is a component U_m of $V_m \cap W$ with dimension $\geq \dim U$ such that $p_X(U_m)^{\text{Zar}}$ has algebraic monodromy group \mathbf{P} . By Lemma A.6, X is the smallest weakly special subvariety containing the projection of this component. By definition of the Hilbert scheme, $\dim V = \dim V_m$. We have

$$\dim U_m^{\text{Zar}} - \dim U_m \leq \dim V_m - \dim U_m \leq \dim V - \dim U < \dim \check{D}.$$

It suffices to prove Ax-Schanuel for fibers outside \mathcal{C}_1 ; because once this is proved, then the projection of the aforementioned component is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety of X , which is a contradiction, and thus $p_X(U)$ is

contained in a proper weakly special subvariety of X . Therefore, we can assume V is very general outside \mathcal{C}_1 .

Theorem 4.4. *The subgroup \mathbf{N} is normal in \mathbf{P} .*

Proof. Since q is definable, each fiber of q has only finitely many components. Then Γ_Y is of finite index in Γ . Since Γ is Zariski-dense in the connected group \mathbf{P} , it follows that Γ_Y is Zariski-dense in \mathbf{P} . Every element $\gamma \in \Gamma_Y$ sends a very general fiber of \mathcal{F} to a very general fiber, so $Stab(V) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}} = Stab(\gamma V) = \gamma Stab(V)\gamma^{-1}$. Since Γ_Y is Zariski-dense in \mathbf{P} , \mathbf{N} is then normal in \mathbf{P} . \square

5. DEFINABLE QUOTIENT \bar{I}

As explained in the idea of proof (Section 1.3), we have to look at the projection of $r(D)$ onto the reductive part modulo the stabilizer part, which will be defined and denoted by $D_{\mathbf{L}}$.

5.1. Definable fundamental set Φ for $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \backslash D$. Let \mathbf{N}_u be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{N} . Recall in Section 3 we fixed Levi subgroup \mathbf{N}_r in \mathbf{N} and Levi subgroup \mathbf{G} in \mathbf{P} such that $\mathbf{N}_r \subset \mathbf{G}$. By Theorem 4.4, \mathbf{N} is normal in \mathbf{P} , so $\mathbf{N}_u = \mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{N}_r = \mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{G}$ by [21, Prop. 2.13]. Thus the \mathbb{Q} -group \mathbf{N}_r is normal in \mathbf{G} . Similarly, \mathbf{G} is normal in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{T}_r$. By [1, Cor. 2], \mathbf{G} is semisimple, so there exists a connected normal subgroup \mathbf{L} of \mathbf{G} such that the map $\mathbf{N}_r \times \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ defined by $(g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 g_2$ is an isogeny [34, Theorem 21.51]. This induces an isogeny $\beta : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{N}_r$. Let $D_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ and $D_{\mathbf{L}}$ be respectively the $\mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$ -orbit and the $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})^+$ -orbit of the pure Hodge structure $h_{1\text{Gr}}$ in D_{Gr} , where $h_{1\text{Gr}}$ was defined in Section 3. By [25, II. B], we have an isomorphism $D_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times D_{\mathbf{L}} \simeq D_{\text{Gr}}$. Combining this with the isomorphism in Theorem 3.3, we have an isomorphism

$$j : r(D) \simeq D_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times D_{\mathbf{L}} \times D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}.$$

The unipotent radical acts trivially on the associated graded, so for any $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$, $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$, and $g_2 \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})^+$, we have

$$j(ug_1 g_2 h_1) = (g_1 h_{1\text{Gr}}, g_2 h_{1\text{Gr}}, u g_1 g_2 h_{1s}).$$

Let $\mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+ := \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+ := \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})^+$.

Lemma 5.1. *We have $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+ \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \rho_i$ for some $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$, where one of the ρ_i is the identity.*

Proof. By [45, p. 173, Cor. 2], $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$ is of finite index in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})$, so $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ is of finite index in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. Consider the isogeny $\mathbf{N}_r \times \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$. By [45, Theorem 4.1], $\mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z}) \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})$ is of finite index in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})$, so $\mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+ \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ is of finite index in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. \square

Recall the fundamental set $\Phi_{\mathbf{U}}$ for the $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$ and recall the arithmetic subgroup Λ of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})$ in Section 4.1. Let $\Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ be definable open fundamental sets for the actions of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ and $\mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on $D_{\mathbf{L}}$ and $D_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ respectively [5, Theorem 1.1]. We can assume that $\Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ contain $h_{1\text{Gr}}$.

Since $\mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+ \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ is of finite index in Λ [45, Theorem 4.1], the image of $\Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r}$ in D_{Gr} is a definable open fundamental set for the action of Λ on D_{Gr} . By Lemma 4.2 with $\Phi_{\mathbf{G}}$ replaced by $\Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$, the set $j^{-1}(\Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{U}})$ is a definable open fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on $r(D)$, so

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} := \bigcup_{i=1}^k \rho_i \cdot j^{-1}(\Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{U}})$$

is also a definable open fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on $r(D)$. Then $\Phi := r^{-1}(\Phi_{\mathbb{R}})$ is a definable open fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on D .

5.2. Definable subset I of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$I := \{\gamma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}) : \dim \gamma^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi) \geq \dim U\},$$

where γ^{-1} acts on V by acting on the \check{D} -coordinates. By [3, Proposition 2.3], $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \setminus D$ has a definable structure such that the canonical map $\Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \setminus D$ is definable. By Lemma 4.3, the set

$$W \cap (X \times \Phi) = \{(x, F^\bullet) \in X \times \Phi : \varphi(x) = \pi|_{\Phi}(F^\bullet)\}$$

is then definable. Then since V is algebraic and since $\dim U$ is a fixed number, I is definable.

5.3. Definable quotient \bar{I} . Recall that \mathbf{N} is the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of $Stab(V) := \{\sigma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ : \sigma V = V\}$ in \mathbf{P} , so $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the identity component of the \mathbb{C} -Zariski closure of $Stab(V)$ by Lemma A.4. Moreover, V is algebraic and invariant under $Stab(V)$, so V is invariant under $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let \bar{I} be the definable image of I under the map $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$$\bar{I} := \{[\gamma] : \gamma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \dim \gamma^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi) \geq \dim U\}.$$

Let \mathbf{W} be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N} . By [10, Corollary 14.11], $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{N}$. The group $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{G}\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{N}$ is a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N} . We have $\mathbf{H} \cong \mathbf{G}/(\mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{N})$. The image $I_{\mathbf{W}}$ of \bar{I} under the definable projection $\pi : (\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{R})$ is definable. We have

$$I_{\mathbf{W}} = \{[\gamma] : \gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \dim(\gamma\eta)^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi) \geq \dim U \\ \text{for some } \eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+ := \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$.

Lemma 5.2. *If γ is in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ such that $U \cap (X \times \gamma \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma\Phi) \neq \emptyset$, then $\dim \gamma^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi) \geq \dim U$.*

Proof. We have $\dim U \cap (X \times \gamma\sigma\Phi) = \dim U$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \gamma^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi) &= \dim \gamma^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}V) \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi) \\ &= \dim(\sigma^{-1}\gamma^{-1})V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi) \\ &= \dim V \cap W \cap (X \times \gamma\sigma\Phi) \\ &\geq \dim U \cap (X \times \gamma\sigma\Phi) \\ &= \dim U. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.3. *If the set \bar{I} contains a semialgebraic curve, then Theorem 1.2 holds. Similarly, if the set $I_{\mathbf{W}}$ contains a semialgebraic curve, then Theorem 1.2 holds.*

Proof. The group $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{R})$ is of finite index, say q , in the \mathbb{R} -Zariski closure of $\text{Stab}(V)$. Choose $p > q$. Let $C_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a semialgebraic curve in \bar{I} . It contains at least p points. Let C be a complex algebraic curve containing $C_{\mathbb{R}}$. By definition of \bar{I} , for each $[c] \in C_{\mathbb{R}}$, there exists an irreducible analytic component of $c^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi)$ of dimension at least $\dim U$. It follows that there exists $[c_0] \in C_{\mathbb{R}}$ and an irreducible analytic component U_0 of $c_0^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi)$ such that the dimension stays at least $\dim U$ as c_0 varies outside a countable subset of C . Let V' be the smallest algebraic variety containing $C^{-1}V$. Let U' be the irreducible analytic component of $V' \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi)$ containing U_0 .

Since the curve $C_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains at least p points, and since $p > q$, we have $C^{-1}V \neq V$. Hence, if $U_0 \subset c^{-1}V$ as c varies, then

$$\dim U \leq \dim U_0 \leq \dim U_0^{\text{Zar}} \leq \dim \bigcap_{c \in C} c^{-1}V < \dim V.$$

By induction hypothesis, Ax-Schanuel holds if we replace V and U by U_0^{Zar} and U_0 , so $p_X(U_0)$ is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety. Otherwise, $U_0 \not\subset c^{-1}V$ as c varies, then $c^{-1}V \cap W \neq c_0^{-1}V \cap W$ as c varies. Since C is semi-algebraic and since $C^{-1}V \neq V$, we have $\dim V' = \dim V + 1$. Moreover, $\dim U' \geq \dim U + 1$. By induction hypothesis, Ax-Schanuel holds if we replace V and U by U'^{Zar} and U' . Thus $p_X(U_0)$, which is a subset of $p_X(U')$, is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety. We conclude that for c outside a countable subset of C , there is an irreducible component U_c of $c^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi)$ with dimension $\geq \dim U$ such that $p_X(U_c)$ is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety.

By translating U by an element in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$, it suffices to prove Theorem 1.2 for the case where $U \cap (X \times \Phi) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $p_X(U)$ is not contained in any proper weakly special subvariety. By Theorem A.8, $p_X(U)^{\text{Zar}}$ has algebraic monodromy group \mathbf{P} . Then by the same argument as in the discussion before Theorem 4.4, for c outside a countable subset of C , there is an irreducible component U_c of $c^{-1}V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi)$ with dimension $\geq \dim U$ such that $p_X(U_c)^{\text{Zar}}$ has algebraic monodromy group \mathbf{P} , which implies that $p_X(U_c)$ is not contained in any proper weakly special subvariety by Theorem A.8. This leads to a contradiction, so $p_X(U)$ is contained in a proper weakly special subvariety, as desired.

Let C_W be the semialgebraic curve in I_W . It contains at least p points. The preimage of C_W under the definable projection $\pi : \bar{I} \rightarrow I_W$ is a semialgebraic set containing at least p points. By intersecting this semialgebraic set with other semialgebraic sets interpolating these points, \bar{I} contains a semialgebraic curve containing at least p points. The second statement then follows from the first. \square

6. HEIGHTS AND TRICHOTOMY

We will define the height of a subset of $r(D)$. After that, we can then apply Gao's mixed point counting method [22, Theorem 5.2] to get a trichotomy.

Fix an embedding $\bar{\phi} : \mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GL}_m$ for some m . By conjugation, we can assume \mathbf{W} is mapped by $\bar{\phi}$ into the \mathbb{Q} -group \mathbf{U}_m of upper unitriangular $m \times m$ matrices. Let $\phi : \mathbf{P} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},\eta}) \cong \mathbf{GL}_\ell$ be the inclusion followed by an isomorphism, where $\ell := \dim \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q},\eta}$.

Definition 6.1. For any rational square matrix A , define the **height** $\mathbf{ht} A$ of A to be the maximum of the naive heights of the entries. For any $[\gamma] \in (\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{Q})$, define the **height** $\mathbf{ht}[\gamma]$ of $[\gamma]$ to be $\mathbf{ht} \bar{\phi}([\gamma])$. For any $\gamma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Q})$, define the **height** $\mathbf{ht} \gamma$ of γ to be $\mathbf{ht} \phi(\gamma)$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let k be a positive integer. For any $k \times k$ integer invertible matrix A , we have $\mathbf{ht} A^{-1} \leq (k-1)!(\mathbf{ht} A)^{k-1}$.*

Proof. Denote the ij -minor by M_{ij} . Since $\det A = \pm 1$, $\mathbf{ht} A^{-1} = \mathbf{ht} \operatorname{adj} A = \max_{i,j} |\det M_{ij}| \leq (k-1)!(\mathbf{ht} A)^{k-1}$. \square

Recall the isogeny $\beta : \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{N}_r$ in Section 5.1. Recall that $\mathbf{N}_r = \mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{G}$. The map $\alpha : \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{N}_r \rightarrow \mathbf{G}\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{N} =: \mathbf{H}$ defined by $g\mathbf{N}_\mathbf{G} \mapsto g\mathbf{N}$ is an isomorphism. The map $\tau := \alpha \circ \beta$ is an isogeny.

Lemma 6.3. *There exist constants $k_1, k_2 > 0$ such that $\mathbf{ht} \tau(\gamma) \leq k_1(\mathbf{ht} \gamma)^{k_2}$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. The isogeny τ , and the embeddings $\bar{\phi}, \phi$ in the definitions of heights, are algebraic. \square

For any nonempty $E \subset r(D)$, define

$$\mathbf{ht}_\mathbf{W} E := \max\{\mathbf{ht}[\gamma] : \gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}), E \cap \gamma \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma\eta\Phi_\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset\},$$

which is allowed to be infinite.

Consider the projection $p_\mathbf{L} : r(D) \rightarrow D_\mathbf{L}$. Let $Z := r(p_D(U))$. Since $\Phi_\mathbb{R}$ is a fundamental set for the action of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ on $r(D)$, by translating U by an element in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$, we can assume that $Z \cap \Phi_\mathbb{R} \neq \emptyset$. Fix a regular point h in $Z \cap \Phi_\mathbb{R}$. Denote the radius T ball in $D_\mathbf{L}$ centered at a point $p_\mathbf{L}(h)$ by $B_{p_\mathbf{L}(h)}(T)$. Let $Z(T)$ be the irreducible analytic component of $Z \cap p_\mathbf{L}^{-1}(B_{p_\mathbf{L}(h)}(T))$ which contains h .

Lemma 6.4. *For any irreducible component E of $p_{\mathbf{L}}(r(\pi^{-1}(\varphi(X))))$, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for any $T \gg 0$, if $\eta\Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \cap B_{p_{\mathbf{L}}(h)}(T) \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for some $\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$, then $\mathbf{ht} \eta \leq e^{c_1 T}$.*

Proof. This follows from [6, Theorem 4.2] (note that $\Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \cap E$ overlaps with only finitely many translates of the fundamental set in [6]) and Lemma 6.2. \square

Trichotomy. Fix a number $\lambda > 2k_2c_12^m m$. We are in one of the following three cases:

- (1) We have $\dim p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z) > 0$, and for some sequence $\{T_i \in \mathbb{R}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_i \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z(T_i) \leq e^{\lambda T_i}$ for all i .
- (2) We have $\mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z(T) > e^{\lambda T}$ for all $T \gg 0$. (This includes the case where $\dim p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z) = 0$ and $\mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z$ is infinite, because if $\dim p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z) = 0$, then $Z \subset p_{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}(B_{p_{\mathbf{L}}(h)}(T))$ for all T . Thus, for all T , $\mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z(T) = \mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z$, which is infinite.)
- (3) We have $\dim p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z) = 0$ and $\mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z$ is finite.

7. PROOF OF CASE (1)

The main idea, borrowed from Gao [22], of the proof of the following theorem is to use the volume estimates established by Bakker-Tsimerman [6] to produce enough reductive integer points, and attach to each of these points a unipotent integer point of comparable (or smaller) heights using the assumption of case (1).

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose we are in case (1), described in the previous section. There exist constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that for any $i \gg 0$, there exists at least $c_3 T_i^{c_4}$ rational points $[\rho] \in (\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{Q})$ of heights at most T_i such that $U \cap (X \times \rho'\Phi) \neq \emptyset$ for some $\rho' \in [\rho] \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$.*

Proof. Suppose we have an element η in $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ such that $p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z(T_i)) \cap \eta\Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \neq \emptyset$. Let $z_{\mathbf{L}} \in p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z(T_i)) \cap \eta\Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$. Write $p_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = z_{\mathbf{L}}$ for some $z \in Z(T_i)$. Let $(z_{\mathbf{N}_r}, z_{\mathbf{L}}, z_{\mathbf{U}})$ be the image of z under the isomorphism

$$j : r(D) \simeq D_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times D_{\mathbf{L}} \times D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}.$$

There exists $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+$ such that $z_{\mathbf{N}_r} \in \sigma\Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r}$. Recall that $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ acts on $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$ a priori. There exists $\gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $z_{\mathbf{U}} \in \gamma\sigma\eta\Phi_{\mathbf{U}}$. Recall that we fixed h in $Z \cap \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ in Section 6. For some $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$, $g_2 \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $g_1 h_{\text{Gr}} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r}$, $g_2 h_{\text{Gr}} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$, $u h_s \in \Phi_{\mathbf{U}}$, and

$$(z_{\mathbf{N}_r}, z_{\mathbf{L}}, z_{\mathbf{U}}) = (\sigma g_1 h_{\text{Gr}}, \eta g_2 h_{\text{Gr}}, \gamma \sigma \eta u h_s).$$

Let $g := g_1 g_2$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists $u' \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g^{-1} h_s = u' h_s$. Then since $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ acts trivially on the associated graded, we have

$$u g u' h = j^{-1}((g_1 h_{\text{Gr}}, g_2 h_{\text{Gr}}, u g u' h_s)) \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}},$$

and thus (recall that $\mathbf{N}_r \times \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ in Section 5.1 is an isogeny, and hence a homomorphism)

$$z = j^{-1}((z_{\mathbf{N}_r}, z_{\mathbf{L}}, z_{\mathbf{U}})) = \gamma \sigma \eta u g u' h \in \gamma \sigma \eta \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then $z \in Z(T_i) \cap \gamma\sigma\eta\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$. By Lemma 6.3 and Lemma 6.4,

$$\mathbf{ht}[\eta] = \mathbf{ht} \tau(\eta) \leq k_1(\mathbf{ht} \eta)^{k_2} \leq k_1 e^{k_2 c_1 T_i}.$$

By $\mathbf{N}_r \subset \mathbf{N}$ and assumption, $\mathbf{ht}[\gamma\sigma] = \mathbf{ht}[\gamma] \leq e^{\lambda T_i}$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{ht}[\gamma\sigma\eta] \leq 2^{m-1}(\mathbf{ht}[\gamma\sigma] \mathbf{ht}[\eta])^m = O(e^{m(\lambda+k_2 c_1)T_i}).$$

Since $\dim p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z) > 0$, by [6, Theorem 1.2] and [6, Proposition 3.2], there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $T > 0$, there exist at least e^{cT} integer points η in $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ of heights at most $e^{c_1 T}$ such that $p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z(T)) \cap \eta\Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \neq \emptyset$.

Combining with what we have proved above, taking into consideration that τ is an isogeny, we know there exist constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that, for any $i \geq 0$, there exist at least $c_3 T_i^{c_4}$ points $[\rho]$ in $(\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{Q})$ of heights at most T_i such that $Z(T_i) \cap \rho'\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\rho' \in [\rho] \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. Since $Z = r(p_D(U))$ and r is $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ -equivariant, the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 7.2. *Theorem 1.2 holds in case (1).*

Proof. By Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 5.2, for any $i \gg 0$, \bar{I} contains at least $c_3 T_i^{c_4}$ rational points $[\rho]$ of heights at most T_i , where $\rho \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. Since \bar{I} is definable, by the counting theorem of Pila-Wilkie [43], and the assumption that $T_i \rightarrow \infty$, for any positive integer p , \bar{I} contains a semialgebraic curve containing at least p points of the form $[\gamma]$, where $\gamma \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. By Lemma 5.3, the theorem follows. \square

8. PROOF OF CASE (2)

The idea of the proof of this case is to first define a family of connected graphs encoding how Z is intersecting the translates of the fundamental sets. Next we understand how walking along a path in the graph is the same as multiplying conjugates of unitriangular matrices. Then once we get an upper estimate of the height of the product of these conjugates, we can get enough points in the graphs. We then have enough points in the unipotent projection $I_{\mathbf{W}}$ of \bar{I} .

For any $T > 0$, let Q_T be a graph with vertex set and edge set as follows:

$$V(Q_T) := \{[\gamma] : \gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}), Z(T) \cap \gamma \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma\eta\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$E(Q_T) := \{([\gamma_1], [\gamma_2]) : \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

$$Z(T) \cap (\gamma_1 \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma\eta\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}) \cap (\gamma_2 \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma\eta\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Lemma 8.1. *The graph Q_T is connected.*

Proof. Pick any vertices $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2]$ of Q_T . For each $j = 1, 2$, choose

$$x_j \in Z(T) \cap \gamma_j \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma\eta\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 and the definition of $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$r(D) = \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+} \rho \cdot j^{-1}(\Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \times \Phi_{\mathbf{U}}) = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}), \eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \gamma \sigma \eta \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Since $Z(T)$ is path-connected, there exists a path in

$$Z(T) = \bigcup_{\{\gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) : [\gamma] \in V(Q_T)\}} \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} Z(T) \cap \gamma \sigma \eta \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$$

joining x_1 and x_2 . Since $\Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an open fundamental set, this induces a path in the graph Q_T joining $[\gamma_1]$ and $[\gamma_2]$. It follows that Q_T is connected. \square

Let $S := \{\delta \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ : \delta \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset\}$, which is a finite set by the definition of a fundamental set. For any $\delta \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$, write $[\delta] = [\delta]^{\mathbf{W}}[\delta]^{\mathbf{H}}$, where $[\delta]^{\mathbf{W}} \in \mathbf{W}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $[\delta]^{\mathbf{H}} \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 8.2. *When $T \gg 0$, the following holds: Suppose $[\gamma_1]$ and $[\gamma_2]$ are adjacent vertices in Q_T . Then $[\gamma_2] = [\gamma_1][\eta_1][\delta]^{\mathbf{W}}[\eta_1]^{-1}$ for some $\delta \in S$ and some $\eta_1 \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ satisfying $\mathbf{ht}[\eta_1] \leq e^{2k_2c_1T}$, where k_2 is in Lemma 6.3 and c_1 is in Lemma 6.4, both independent of T .*

Proof. There exist $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+$ and $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ such that

$$Z(T) \cap \gamma_1 \sigma_1 \eta_1 \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \gamma_2 \sigma_2 \eta_2 \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then

$$\Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \cap ((\gamma_1 \sigma_1 \eta_1)^{-1} \gamma_2 \sigma_2 \eta_2) \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset,$$

so $\gamma_2 \sigma_2 \eta_2 = \gamma_1 \sigma_1 \eta_1 \delta$ for some $\delta \in S$. We then have

$$[\gamma_2][\eta_2] = [\gamma_1][\eta_1][\delta] = [\gamma_1][\eta_1][\delta]^{\mathbf{W}}[\eta_1]^{-1}[\eta_1][\delta]^{\mathbf{H}}.$$

Since $\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{W} \rtimes \mathbf{H}$, we have $[\gamma_2] = [\gamma_1][\eta_1][\delta]^{\mathbf{W}}[\eta_1]^{-1}$.

Let $z \in Z(T) \cap \gamma_1 \sigma_1 \eta_1 \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$. Write $z = \gamma_1 \sigma_1 \eta_1 p h$ for some $p \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$. Write $p = u g_1 g_2$ for some $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$, $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $g_2 \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})^+$. Since the unipotent radical acts trivially on the associated graded, $g_2 h_{\text{Gr}} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$. Thus $p_{\mathbf{L}}(z) = \eta_1 g_2 h_{\text{Gr}} \in \eta_1 \Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$ (recall that $\mathbf{N}_r \times \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$ in Section 5.1 is an isogeny, and hence a homomorphism), so $\eta_1 \Phi_{\mathbf{L}} \cap p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z(T)) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 6.4, $\mathbf{ht} \eta_1 \leq e^{c_1 T}$. Hence by Lemma 6.3, $\mathbf{ht}[\eta_1] = \mathbf{ht} \tau(\eta_1) \leq k_1 (\mathbf{ht} \eta_1)^{k_2} \leq e^{2k_2 c_1 T}$ when $T \gg 0$. \square

Lemma 8.3. *Let \mathcal{Y} be a subset of the group $\mathbb{U}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ of upper unitriangular (upper triangular with 1's on the diagonal) $m \times m$ rational matrices such that the heights of matrices in \mathcal{Y} are bounded by a constant. Let $s_1 := \min\{d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : dY \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$. For any positive integer t , and any $Y_1, \dots, Y_t \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have $s_1^{m-1} Y_1 \cdots Y_t \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on m . By induction assumption, $s_1^{m-2} \cdot (Y_1 \cdots Y_t)_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $(i, j) \neq (1, m)$. Moreover,

$$s_1^{m-1} \cdot (Y_1 \cdots Y_t)_{1,m} = \sum_{i=1}^t s_1^{m-1} \cdot (Y_i)_{1,m} + \sum_{k=2}^t \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} s_1^{m-2} \cdot (Y_1 \cdots Y_{k-1})_{1,i} \cdot s_1 \cdot (Y_k)_{i,m}$$

is an integer. \square

Lemma 8.4. *Let \mathcal{S} be a finite subset of the group $\mathbb{U}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ of upper unitriangular $m \times m$ rational matrices such that the heights of matrices in \mathcal{S} are bounded by a constant s_0 . Let f be a positive integer. There exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on \mathcal{S}, m, f and s_0 , such that the following holds:*

Let $l_0 > 0$. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $fB \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{ht } B \leq l_0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{L}$. Let $A_1, \dots, A_r \in \mathcal{S}$ and $B_1, \dots, B_r \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfying $B_j A_j B_j^{-1} \in \mathbb{U}_m(\mathbb{Q})$ for all j . Then

$$\text{ht} \left(\prod_{j=1}^r B_j A_j B_j^{-1} \right) \leq C \cdot l_0^{2^m m} \cdot r^{m-1}.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on m . The case when $m = 1$ is trivial because $B_j A_j B_j^{-1} = (1)$ for all j . Let \mathcal{S}' (resp. \mathcal{S}'') be the set of all $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ upper unitriangular matrices obtained by deleting the last (resp. first) row and the last (resp. first) column of matrices in \mathcal{S} . By induction assumption, we have a constant $C' > 0$ (resp. $C'' > 0$) such that the lemma holds with m and \mathcal{S} being replaced by $m-1$ and \mathcal{S}' (resp. \mathcal{S}''). Let \mathcal{L}' (resp. \mathcal{L}'') be the set of all $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ matrices obtained by deleting the last (resp. first) row and the last (resp. first) column of matrices in \mathcal{L} . Write $Y_j := B_j A_j B_j^{-1} \in \mathbb{U}_m(\mathbb{Q})$. Define $s_1 := \min\{s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : sA \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z}) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{S}\}$. By Lemma 6.2, for all j ,

$$\text{ht } Y_j \leq s_1 \text{ht}(fB_j)(s_1 A_j)(fB_j)^{-1} \leq s_1 m^2 (m-1)! \text{ht}(s_1 A_j) (\text{ht}(fB_j))^m = O(l_0^m).$$

We know $s_1 Y_j \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, r$. By Lemma 8.3 with \mathcal{Y} being the set of matrices obtained by deleting the last row and column of some Y_j , by the formula in the proof of Lemma 8.3, and by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ht} \left(\prod_{j=1}^r Y_j \right)_{1,m} &\leq s_1^{m-1} \text{ht} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r s_1^{m-1} (Y_i)_{1,m} + \sum_{k=2}^r \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} s_1^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} Y_j \right)_{1,i} s_1 (Y_k)_{i,m} \right) \\ &\leq s_1^{m-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |s_1^{m-1} (Y_i)_{1,m}| + \sum_{k=2}^r \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \left| s_1^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} Y_j \right)_{1,i} \right| |s_1 (Y_k)_{i,m}| \right) \\ &\leq O(l_0^m \cdot r) + \sum_{k=2}^r \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} O(l_0^{2^{m-1}(m-1)} (k-1)^{m-2} \cdot l_0^m) \\ &\leq O(l_0^m \cdot r) + \sum_{k=2}^r O(l_0^{2^m m} (r-1)^{m-2}) \\ &\leq O(l_0^{2^m m} \cdot r^{m-1}) \end{aligned}$$

By induction assumption, the heights of the other entries are less than $\max\{C', C''\} \cdot l_0^{2^{m-1}(m-1)} \cdot r^{m-2}$. The lemma follows. \square

Theorem 8.5. *There are constants $c_7, c_8 > 0$ such that when $T \gg 0$, the graph $\mathcal{Q}_{\log T^{1/\lambda}}$ has at least $c_7 T^{c_8}$ vertices of heights at most T .*

Proof. The assumption in case (2) says $\mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z(T) > e^{\lambda T}$ for all $T \gg 0$. Fix such large T , such that $e^{\lambda T} > 1 = \mathbf{ht}[id]$. Since $h \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}$, the identity $[id]$ is in $V(Q_T)$ for all $T > 0$. Then by Lemma 8.1, there exist $[\gamma] \in V(Q_T)$ for which $\mathbf{ht}[\gamma] > e^{\lambda T}$ and a path in the graph Q_T joining $[id]$ and $[\gamma] =: [\gamma_r]$ with intermediate vertices $[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_{r-1}]$ of heights less than $e^{\lambda T}$, where $[\gamma_i]$ and $[\gamma_{i+1}]$ are adjacent. By Lemma 8.2, $[\gamma] = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} [\eta_j][\delta_j]^{\mathbf{W}}[\eta_j]^{-1}$ for some $\delta_j \in S$ and some $\eta_j \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ such that $\mathbf{ht}[\eta_j] \leq e^{2k_2c_1T}$. The quotient map $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{Q})$ is defined by finitely many rational polynomials. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $f[\eta] \in (\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{N})(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{[\delta]^{\mathbf{W}} : \delta \in S\}$, $\mathcal{L} = \{[\eta_0], \dots, [\eta_{r-1}]\}$, and $l_0 = e^{2k_2c_1T}$. By Lemma 8.4 with such $\mathcal{S}, f, \mathcal{L}$ and l_0 , we have

$$e^{\lambda T} < \mathbf{ht}[\gamma] = \mathbf{ht} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} [\eta_j][\delta_j]^{\mathbf{W}}[\eta_j]^{-1} = O(e^{2k_2c_1T2^m m r^{m-1}}).$$

Hence, there are constants $c_5, c_6 > 0$ ($c_6 > 0$ because $\lambda > 2k_2c_12^m m$ by assumption in Section 6) independent of T such that Q_T has at least $c_5e^{c_6T}$ vertices of heights at most $e^{\lambda T}$. The theorem follows. \square

Theorem 8.6. *Theorem 1.2 holds in case (2).*

Proof. By Theorem 8.5, when $T \gg 0$, there are at least $c_7T^{c_8}$ points $[\gamma]$ in $\mathbf{W}(\mathbb{Q})$ of heights at most T such that for some $\gamma' \in [\gamma] \cap \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$Z \cap \gamma' \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma \eta \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $Z = r(p_D(U))$ and r is $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ -equivariant, this condition implies that

$$U \cap (X \times \gamma' \bigcup_{\eta \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{Z})^+, \sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma \eta \Phi) \neq \emptyset.$$

By Lemma 5.2, when $T \gg 0$, $I_{\mathbf{W}}$ contains at least $c_7T^{c_8}$ points $[\gamma]$ in $\mathbf{W}(\mathbb{Q})$ of heights at most T such that $\gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$. By the counting theorem of Pila-Wilkie [43], for any positive integer p , the set $I_{\mathbf{W}}$ contains a semialgebraic curve $C_{\mathbb{R}}$ containing at least p points of the form $[\gamma]$, where $\gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma 5.3, the theorem follows. \square

9. PROOF OF CASE (3)

Since $\mathbf{ht}_{\mathbf{W}} Z$ is finite, the set

$$\mathcal{T} := \{[\gamma] : \gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}), Z \cap \gamma \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+} \sigma \Phi_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite. Write $\mathcal{T} = \{[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_n]\}$, where γ_i satisfy the conditions in \mathcal{T} . Also, Z is contained in a fiber of $p_{\mathbf{L}}$. We have $p_{\mathbf{L}}(Z) \in \Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$. Recall that we let $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+ := \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$.

Lemma 9.1. *We have*

$$Z \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+} \gamma_i \sigma \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $z \in Z$. Let $(z_{\mathbf{N}_r}, z_{\mathbf{L}}, z_{\mathbf{U}})$ be the image of z under the isomorphism

$$r(D) \simeq D_{\mathbf{N}_r} \times D_{\mathbf{L}} \times D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}.$$

There exists $\sigma \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{Z})^+$ such that $z_{\mathbf{N}_r} \in \sigma \Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r}$. Recall that $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ acts on $D_{\mathbf{U}, \mathbb{R}}$ *a priori*. There exists $\gamma \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $z_{\mathbf{U}} \in \gamma \sigma \Phi_{\mathbf{U}}$. For some $g_1 \in \mathbf{N}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$, $g_2 \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $g_1 h_{\text{Gr}} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{N}_r}$, $g_2 h_{\text{Gr}} \in \Phi_{\mathbf{L}}$, $u h_s \in \Phi_{\mathbf{U}}$, and

$$(z_{\mathbf{N}_r}, z_{\mathbf{L}}, z_{\mathbf{U}}) = (\sigma g_1 h_{\text{Gr}}, g_2 h_{\text{Gr}}, \gamma \sigma u h_s).$$

Let $g := g_1 g_2$. There exists $u' \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g^{-1} h_s = u' h_s$. Then since $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ acts trivially on the associated graded, we have

$$u g u' h = j^{-1}((g_1 h_{\text{Gr}}, g_2 h_{\text{Gr}}, u g u' h_s)) \in \Phi_{\mathbb{R}},$$

and thus

$$z = j^{-1}((z_{\mathbf{N}_r}, z_{\mathbf{L}}, z_{\mathbf{U}})) = \gamma \sigma u g u' h \in \gamma \sigma \Phi_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The inclusion follows from the definition of \mathcal{T} . \square

Theorem 9.2. *Theorem 1.2 holds in case (3).*

Proof. Firstly, note that to prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove it for irreducible components of $V \cap W$, so we can assume U is an irreducible component of $V \cap W$. By Lemma 9.1, by the definition of Z and that r is $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ -equivariant,

$$U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+} U \cap (X \times \gamma_i \sigma \Phi) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+} \gamma_i^{-1} \sigma^{-1} U \cap (X \times \Phi);$$

here σ^{-1} and γ_i^{-1} can be switched because $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z})^+ := \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+$ is normal in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. Since V is algebraic and invariant under $\text{Stab}(V)$, and since $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the identity component of the \mathbb{C} -Zariski closure of $\text{Stab}(V)$, V is invariant under $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbb{C}}$. We know $\gamma_i^{-1} \sigma^{-1} U \cap (X \times \Phi)$ is a finite union of components of

$$\gamma_i^{-1} \sigma^{-1} (V \cap W) \cap (X \times \Phi) = \gamma_i^{-1} V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi).$$

Since $\gamma_i^{-1} V \cap W \cap (X \times \Phi)$ has only finitely many components, $\gamma_i^{-1} \sigma^{-1} U \cap (X \times \Phi)$ are equal to finitely many possible sets as σ varies, each of which is definable. The sets U and $p_X(U)$ are then definable.

For any $(x, d) \in W \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X \times \gamma_i \Phi)$, we know $d \in \gamma_i \Phi$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\varphi(x) = \pi(d)$. It follows that for any fixed $x \in X$, if $(x, d) \in W$, then the possible values for d are $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+$ -translates of each other, thus d can take at most finitely many values (denoted by $d_{x,1}, \dots, d_{x,k(x)}$) because d is in finitely many translates of the fundamental domain Φ , which overlaps with finitely many translates of it. For each $x \in X$ and a choice of $d_{x,j}$ above for some $j = 1, \dots, k(x)$, we can choose a local lifting of the period mapping φ on some open subset $B_{x,j}$ of X containing x that maps x to $d_{x,j}$. We can choose $B_{x,j}$ small enough such that

the image $I_{x,j}$ of the local lifting $\varphi_{x,j}$ is bounded and contained in $\gamma_i\Phi$. Let B_x be the intersection of all the (finitely many) $B_{x,j}$. For any $x' \in B_x$, we know $d_{x',1}, \dots, d_{x',k(x')} \in I_{x,1} \cup \dots \cup I_{x,k(x)}$. Suppose K is a compact subset of X . Choose $x_1, \dots, x_\ell \in X$ such that $K \subset B_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{x_\ell}$. We have

$$p_X^{-1}(K) \cap W \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X \times \gamma_i\Phi) \subset K \times \bigcup_{d=1}^{\ell} \bigcup_{j=1}^{k(x_d)} I_{x_d,j}.$$

It follows that $p_X|_U$ is proper because we have proved earlier that

$$U \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (X \times \gamma_i\Phi).$$

The set $p_X(U)$ is then complex analytically constructible in X by Chevalley-Remmert Theorem [32, p. 291]. By definable Chow theorem [39] (see also [35]), $p_X(U)$ is algebraically constructible. By Lemma 2.4, Theorem 1.2 holds. \square

APPENDIX A. WEAK MUMFORD-TATE DOMAINS

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the projective space defined in [3, §3.5] parametrizing decreasing filtrations with fixed graded-polarization and Hodge numbers. The graded-polarization and Hodge numbers are chosen to be the same as that of the mixed Hodge structures our VMHS (in Section 1.2) is parametrizing. Let h be any mixed Hodge structure in \mathcal{M} . Let \mathbf{M} be a normal algebraic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of the Mumford-Tate group \mathcal{MT}_h of h . Let \mathbf{M}_u be its unipotent radical. Let $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})^+$ be the identity component of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $D(\mathbf{M})$ be the $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R})^+\mathbf{M}_u(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of h in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Let $\check{D}(\mathbf{M})$ be the $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of h in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. It is a complex algebraic set.

Theorem A.1. *The weak Mumford-Tate domain $D(\mathbf{M})$ is open in $\check{D}(\mathbf{M})$ in the Archimedean topology, so it inherits a complex analytic structure from $\check{D}(\mathbf{M})$.*

Proof. Let $P^\mathcal{M}$ be the real algebraic group called \mathbf{G} in [3, §3.5], whose complex points acts transitively on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Since \mathbf{M} is normal in \mathcal{MT}_h , the adjoint action of \mathcal{MT}_h stabilizes $\mathfrak{m} := \text{Lie } \mathbf{M}$. Hence, \mathfrak{m} is equipped with a mixed Hodge structure. Let $\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{r,s} \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{M},r,s}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{r,s} \mathfrak{m}^{r,s}$ be the Deligne bigradings [18] of the mixed Hodge structures on $\mathfrak{p}^\mathcal{M} := \text{Lie } P^\mathcal{M}$ and \mathfrak{m} respectively. By functoriality, $\mathfrak{m}^{r,s} = \mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{M},r,s}$. Let $\mathfrak{b}^\mathcal{M}$ be the Lie algebra of the $P^\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ -stabilizer $B^\mathcal{M}$ of h . By (21) of [37],

$$\mathfrak{b}^\mathcal{M} = F^0 \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0; s} \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{M},r,s}.$$

Let \mathfrak{b} be the Lie algebra of the $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C})$ -stabilizer B of h . Then

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{b}^\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C} \cap \bigoplus_{r \geq 0; s} \mathfrak{p}^{\mathcal{M},r,s} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0; s} \mathfrak{m}^{r,s}.$$

Since $\bigoplus_{r+s \leq -1} \mathfrak{m}^{r,s}$ is a nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}$, we know $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C},u} + F^0 \mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C} + \overline{F^0 \mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C},u}$ is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbb{C})$. For any $X \in$

$\overline{F^0 \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}}$, we have $X = (X + \overline{X}) - \overline{X} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}} + F^0 \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C},u} + F^0 \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, the canonical map

$$(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C},u}) / ((\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C},u}) \cap \mathfrak{b}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}} / \mathfrak{b}$$

is surjective. The canonical map $D(\mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \check{D}(\mathbf{M})$ is then a submersion, and thus it is an open embedding. \square

For simplicity, write $\mathcal{MT} := \mathcal{MT}_h$. The mixed Hodge structure h defines a representation $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C},\eta})$ [28, p. 7]. Let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}}$ be the $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R}) \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy class of $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ be the connected mixed Mumford-Tate domain, i.e. the $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of h . The tuple $(\mathcal{MT}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}}, D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+)$ is a connected mixed Hodge datum [28, p. 10].

Let \mathbf{M} be a normal algebraic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup of \mathcal{MT} . Composing $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the quotient map $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})(\mathbb{C})$ gives a representation

$$\overline{\rho}_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})(\mathbb{C}).$$

Let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{M}}$ be the $(\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})(\mathbb{R})(\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})_u(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy class of $\overline{\rho}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the set $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{C}}, (\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})(\mathbb{C}))$. Fix an embedding of $\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M}$ into the automorphism group of some vector space.

Lemma A.2. *The representation $\overline{\rho}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies (1), (2), and (3) of [28, Prop. 2.3].*

Proof. By [28, Prop. 2.3], $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies (1), (2), and (3). The representation $\overline{\rho}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies (1) and (2) because we have the \mathbb{Q} -morphism $\mathcal{MT}_u \rightarrow (\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})_u$. Let $\text{Ad}_1 : \mathcal{MT} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathfrak{mt})$ and $\text{Ad}_2 : \mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{m})$ be the adjoint representations. By the functoriality of the adjoint representation, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbb{C}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})(\mathbb{C}) \\ & & \downarrow \text{Ad}_1 & & \downarrow \text{Ad}_2 \\ & & \text{Ad}(\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{C})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ad}((\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})(\mathbb{C})). \end{array}$$

Hence, the differential $\mathfrak{mt} \rightarrow \mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{m}$ preserves the gradings, so $\overline{\rho}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies (3). \square

Fix Levi subgroups \mathbf{M}_r and \mathcal{MT}_r for \mathbf{M} and \mathcal{MT} respectively such that $\mathbf{M}_r \subset \mathcal{MT}_r$. By [10, Corollary 14.11], $\mathcal{MT}_u \mathbf{M} / \mathbf{M}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M}$. Let $(\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})_r := \mathcal{MT}_r \mathbf{M} / \mathbf{M}$, which is a Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M}$. Since \mathbf{M} is normal in \mathcal{MT} , we have $\mathbf{M}_r = \mathbf{M} \cap \mathcal{MT}_r$ and $\mathbf{M}_u = \mathbf{M} \cap \mathcal{MT}_u$ by [21, Prop. 2.13]. Hence, $(\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})_u \simeq \mathcal{MT}_u / \mathbf{M}_u$ and $(\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M})_r \simeq \mathcal{MT}_r / \mathbf{M}_r$.

By Lemma A.2 and [28, Prop. 3.1], there is a complex manifold $D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{M}}$ attached to $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{M}}$. We also have a connected mixed Hodge data morphism

$$(\mathcal{MT}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}}, D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+) \rightarrow (\mathcal{MT} / \mathbf{M}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{M}}, D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{M}}^+),$$

where the map $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{M}}^+$ is given by $\gamma_r \gamma_u \cdot h \mapsto (\gamma_r \mathbf{M}_r(\mathbb{R})^+)(\gamma_u \mathbf{M}_u(\mathbb{C})) \cdot \bar{h}$ for any $\gamma_r \in \mathcal{MT}_r(\mathbb{R})^+$ and $\gamma_u \in \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C})$, and \bar{h} is the mixed Hodge structure attached to $\bar{\rho}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $h_0, \rho_0, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{U}$ and D be defined as in Section 1.2. Let h be any point in $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$. By André [1, Proof of Theorem 1], \mathbf{P} is normal in \mathcal{MT} . Let f be the morphism from the connected mixed Hodge datum $(\mathcal{MT}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}}, D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+)$ to the connected mixed Hodge datum $(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}, D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}^+)$ as above. Let $\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p,q} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q}$ be the Deligne bigrading [18] of the mixed Hodge structure on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{mt} of \mathcal{MT} . Let \mathfrak{b} be the Lie algebra of the stabilizer B in $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{C})$ of h . By Remark 2.4 and (21) of [37], \mathfrak{b} can be identified with $\bigoplus_{p \geq 0; q} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q}$. Let \mathfrak{v} be the Lie algebra of $V = \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C}) \cap B$. By the definition of mixed Hodge datum, $\mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q \leq -1} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{v} &= (\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}}) \cap \mathfrak{b} \\ &= \left(\left(\bigoplus_{p+q=0} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p+q \leq -1} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q} \right) \right) \cap \bigoplus_{p \geq 0; q} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q} \\ &= \mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,0} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p+q \leq -1; p \geq 0} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have $p + q \leq 0$ in the above calculation because the Lie algebra action preserves weight filtration. From this expression of \mathfrak{v} , we have an identification

$$\alpha : T_h D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{p \neq 0} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,-p} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p+q \leq -1; p < 0} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q} \right) =: (\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}})^-.$$

Let β be the projection

$$\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}} = \left(\bigoplus_{p+q=0} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{p+q \leq -1} \mathfrak{mt}^{p,q} \right) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}})^-.$$

Denote the kernel of β by $(\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}})^+$. Let \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{u} be the Lie algebras of \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{U} respectively. Replacing \mathfrak{mt} by $\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p}$, we also have maps γ and δ , akin to α and β respectively.

Lemma A.3. *Suppose D_0 is an irreducible subvariety of $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ invariant under $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ and suppose its image under f is a point. Then $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on D_0 , and $D_0 \subset D$. In particular, if D_0 is the connected component of $f^{-1}(f(h_0))$ that contains some $h_0 \in D$, then $D_0 = D$.*

Proof. Let $h \in D_0$. Define a map $g_1 : \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ by $m \mapsto m \cdot h$ for any $m \in \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, we have a map $g_2 : \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow D_0$, and also a map

$$g_3 : (\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})(\mathbb{R})^+ (\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_u(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}^+.$$

The differentials dg_1 and dg_3 of g_1 and g_3 are $\alpha^{-1} \circ \beta$ and $\gamma^{-1} \circ \delta$ respectively. Let \mathbf{P}_r be a fixed Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P} that is contained in \mathcal{MT}_r . Let $(\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P})_r := \mathcal{MT}_r \mathbf{P} / \mathbf{P}$, which is a Levi subgroup of \mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P} . Note that $\mathfrak{p}_{r,\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{mt}_{r,\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{r,\mathbb{R}} \oplus (\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{u,\mathbb{C}} = (\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathbb{R}} + (\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{u,\mathbb{C}}$. We have the following commutative diagram of differentials

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{h_0} D_0 & \hookrightarrow & T_{h_0} D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ & \longrightarrow & T_{f(h_0)} D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}^+ \\ dg_2 \uparrow & & dg_1 \uparrow & & dg_3 \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{p}_{r,\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{mt}_{r,\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}} & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{r,\mathbb{R}} \oplus (\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{u,\mathbb{C}}, \end{array}$$

where the composition of the upper horizontal maps is zero, and where q is the componentwise quotient by $\mathfrak{p}_{r,\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\mathbb{C}}$. Let $v \in T_{h_0} D_0$. Since $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathcal{MT}_u(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$, there exists $w \in \mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}}$ such that $(dg_1)(w) = v$. Write $w = w^- + w^+$, where $w^- \in (\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}})^-$ and $w^+ \in (\mathfrak{mt}_{\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{mt}_{u,\mathbb{C}})^+$. Then $(dg_1)(w^-) = (dg_1)(w) - (dg_1)(w^+) = v$. By commutativity, we then know $q(w^-)$ is in the kernel of $dg_3 = \gamma^{-1} \circ \delta$, so $q(w^-) \in ((\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathbb{R}} + (\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{u,\mathbb{C}})^+$. Moreover, the quotient morphism f of connected mixed Hodge data induces a morphism $df : \mathfrak{mt} \rightarrow \mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p}$ of mixed Hodge structures, so $q(w^-) \in ((\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathbb{R}} + (\mathfrak{mt}/\mathfrak{p})_{u,\mathbb{C}})^-$. Hence, $q(w^-) = 0$. Since q is the componentwise quotient by $\mathfrak{p}_{r,\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\mathbb{C}}$, and since $\mathfrak{p}_{u,\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we thus have $w^- \in \mathfrak{p}_{r,\mathbb{R}} + \mathfrak{u}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then since dg_2 is the restriction of dg_1 , the differential dg_2 is surjective. Since $h \in D_0$ is arbitrary, we know g_2 is a submersion, and thus an open map. Again since $h \in D_0$ is arbitrary, every $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit in D_0 is open. By the connectedness of D_0 , the group $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ acts transitively on D_0 . Therefore, $D_0 \subset D$. Since $f(D) = \{f(h_0)\}$, if D_0 is the connected component of $f^{-1}(f(h_0))$ that contains h_0 , we then have $D \subset D_0$, so $D = D_0$. \square

Lemma A.4. *Let K be a subfield of \mathbb{C} . Let E be a subset of the set $\mathbb{A}_K^n(K)$ of K -points of the n -dimensional affine space. Let M be the smallest closed algebraic K -subvariety of \mathbb{A}_K^n such that $E \subset M(K)$. The complex variety $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the smallest closed algebraic \mathbb{C} -subvariety of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ such that $E \subset M(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Suppose $M'_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a closed algebraic \mathbb{C} -subvariety of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ such that $E \subset M'_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C})$. The complex algebraic variety $\bigcap_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/K)} \sigma M'_{\mathbb{C}}$ is stable under the Galois action by $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/K)$. Since K is perfect, the variety $\bigcap_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/K)} \sigma M'_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a closed affine model L over K by Galois descent [33, Prop. 16.1, 16.8]. Since $E \subset \mathbb{A}_K^n(K)$, we know $\sigma E = E$ for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/K)$. Hence, $E \subset L(K)$, and thus $M \subset L$. Therefore, $M_{\mathbb{C}} \subset L_{\mathbb{C}} \subset M'_{\mathbb{C}}$, as desired. \square

Recall X and D in Section 1.2. Let \tilde{X} be the universal cover of X .

Lemma A.5. *The domain D contains the image Π of the period mapping $\tilde{X} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$. Let Π^{Zar} be the Zariski closure of Π in the $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit \tilde{D} of h . The domain D contains an open subset of Π^{Zar} . Moreover, Π^{Zar} contains D .*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \Gamma$ is of finite index in Γ , the composition $\tilde{X} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}^+$ descends to a period mapping on X whose associated GPVMHS has finite monodromy. Replacing X by a finite étale covering if necessary, we have a GPVMHS with trivial monodromy. By rigidity [12, Theorem 7.12], this GPVMHS and its associated period mapping are constant. Hence, the lifting $\tilde{X} \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+ \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}}^+$ is constant, with value $f(h_0)$. Hence, the image Π of \tilde{X} in $D_{\mathcal{MT}}^+$ lies in the connected component of $f^{-1}(f(h_0))$ that contains h_0 , and thus lies in D by Lemma A.3. By Lemma A.1, the domain D is open in \check{D} . Since \check{D} is algebraic, it contains Π^{Zar} . Thus, $D \cap \Pi^{\text{Zar}}$ is open in Π^{Zar} . The image Π is invariant under the monodromy group of the variation. Since \mathbf{P} is defined as the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of this monodromy group, Π^{Zar} is invariant under \mathbf{P} , so Π^{Zar} contains D . \square

For an irreducible analytic set $E \subset X \times \check{D}$, let \mathbf{P}_E be the connected algebraic monodromy group of the GPVMHS restricted to the smooth locus of $p_X(E)^{\text{Zar}}$. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \setminus D$ be the period mapping. Let $\pi : D \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbb{Z})^+ \setminus D$ be the projection. Let E^{ws} be the irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}\pi(D(\mathbf{P}_E))$ containing $p_X(E)$.

Lemma A.6. *The set E^{ws} is the smallest weakly special subvariety containing $p_X(E)$.*

Proof. Suppose E_1 is a weakly special subvariety containing $p_X(E)$. Then E_1 is an irreducible component of $\varphi^{-1}\pi(D_1)$, where D_1 is the $\mathbf{M}_1(\mathbb{R})^+ \mathbf{M}_{1,u}(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of some mixed Hodge structure h_1 in D , for some normal algebraic \mathbb{Q} -subgroup \mathbf{M}_1 of \mathcal{MT}_{h_1} . Since E_1 is algebraic [3, Cor. 6.7], E_1 contains $p_X(E)^{\text{Zar}}$, so $\varphi(p_X(E)^{\text{Zar}})$ is contained in $\pi(D_1)$. Hence, the image Π_E of the lifting of the period mapping to the universal cover of the smooth locus $(p_X(E)^{\text{Zar}})^{sm}$ of $p_X(E)^{\text{Zar}}$ is contained in $\Gamma \cdot D_1$. Since this universal cover is irreducible, this image is contained in D_1 , so $\Pi_E^{\text{Zar}} \subset \check{D}_1$. Hence, by Lemma A.5, $D(\mathbf{P}_E) \subset \check{D}_1$. By Lemma A.1, D_1 is open in \check{D}_1 . The domain D_1 contains $D_1 \cap D(\mathbf{P}_E)$, which is now open in $D(\mathbf{P}_E)$. Therefore, E^{ws} is contained in E_1 . \square

For every closed algebraic variety Z of X , we denote its algebraic monodromy group (i.e. the identity component of the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of the monodromy group of the GPVMHS restricted to the smooth locus Z^{sm} of Z) by \mathbf{P}_Z .

Lemma A.7. *Let Z be a closed algebraic subvariety of X . If $X = \varphi^{-1}\pi(D(\mathbf{P}_Z))$, then $\mathbf{P} := \mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_Z$.*

Proof. If $X = \varphi^{-1}\pi(D(\mathbf{P}_Z))$, then $D(\mathbf{P}_Z)$ contains the image of the lifted period mapping on the universal cover of X (as in the proof of Lemma A.5). In particular, $\Gamma \cdot h \subset D(\mathbf{P}_Z)$ for some $h \in D(\mathbf{P}_Z)$.

Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus D_{\mathcal{MT}}$ be the period mapping. Let $D_{\mathcal{MT}} \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus D_{\mathcal{MT}}$ be the projection. The generic Mumford-Tate group $\mathcal{MT}_{Z^{sm}}$ of Z^{sm} is \mathcal{MT} . Otherwise, $\varphi^{-1}\pi_{\mathcal{MT}}(D_{\mathcal{MT}_{Z^{sm}}}) \subsetneq X$. This contradicts that $X = \varphi^{-1}\pi(D(\mathbf{P}_Z))$ because

$\varphi^{-1}\pi(D(\mathbf{P}_Z)) \subset \varphi_{\mathcal{MT}}^{-1}\pi_{\mathcal{MT}}(D_{\mathcal{MT}_{Z^{sm}}})$. By André [1, Proof of Theorem 1], \mathbf{P}_Z is normal in $\mathcal{MT} := \mathcal{MT}_{Z^{sm}}$. The quotient map $\mathcal{MT} \rightarrow \mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}_Z$ induces a morphism

$$(\mathcal{MT}, D_{\mathcal{MT}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}_Z, D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}_Z})$$

of mixed Hodge data. We then know that the image of Γ in $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{Q})$ is contained in the stabilizer of a point in $D_{\mathcal{MT}/\mathbf{P}_Z}$. Since this stabilizer is compact, the image of Γ in $\mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite. Now $\Gamma \cap \mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{Q})$ is of finite index in Γ , so the \mathbb{Q} -Zariski closure of Γ is contained in $\mathbf{P}_Z(\mathbb{Q})$, and thus $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_Z$. \square

Theorem A.8. *Let Z be a closed algebraic subvariety of X . The algebraic monodromy group \mathbf{P}_Z is equal to \mathbf{P}_X if and only if Z is not contained in any proper weakly special subvariety of X .*

Proof. If $\mathbf{P}_Z = \mathbf{P}$, then X is the smallest weakly special subvariety containing Z by Lemma A.6. On the other hand, suppose Z is not contained in a proper weakly special subvariety of X . By Lemma A.6, $X = \varphi^{-1}\pi(D(\mathbf{P}_Z))$, so $\mathbf{P}_X = \mathbf{P}_Z$ by Lemma A.7. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. André, *Mumford-Tate groups of mixed Hodge structures and the theorem of the fixed part*, Compositio Math. **82(1)** (1992), 1–24.
- [2] J. Ax., *On Schanuel’s conjectures*, Ann. of Math. **93(2)** (1971), 252–268.
- [3] B. Bakker, Y. Brunebarbe, B. Klingler, and J. Tsimerman, *Definability of mixed period maps*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (2023).
- [4] B. Bakker, T. W. Grimm, C. Schnell, and J. Tsimerman, *Finiteness for self-dual classes in integral variations of Hodge structure*, Épijournal de Géométrie Algébrique **1** (2023).
- [5] B. Bakker, B. Klingler, and J. Tsimerman, *Tame topology of arithmetic quotients and algebraicity of Hodge loci*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **33(4)** (2020), 917–939.
- [6] B. Bakker and J. Tsimerman, *The Ax-Schanuel conjecture for variations of Hodge structures*, Invent. Math. **217(1)** (2019), 77–94.
- [7] B. Bakker and J. Tsimerman, *Functional Transcendence of Periods and the Geometric André–Grothendieck Period Conjecture*, arXiv:2208.05182v1.
- [8] G. Baldi, B. Klingler, and E. Ullmo, *On the distribution of the Hodge locus*, Invent. Math. **235** (2024), 441–487.
- [9] F. Barroero and G. A. Dill, *Distinguished categories and the Zilber-Pink conjecture*, arXiv:2103.07422v3.
- [10] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer, 1991.
- [11] P. Brosnan and G. Pearlstein, *On the algebraicity of the zero locus of an admissible normal function*, Compositio Math. **149(11)** (2013), 1913–1962.
- [12] J.-L. Brylinski and S. Zucker, *An overview of recent advances in Hodge theory*, in Complex Manifolds, Springer, 1998.
- [13] J. Carlson, S. Müller-Stach, and C. Peters, *Period mappings and period domains*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [14] E. Cattani, A. Kaplan, and W. Schmid, *Degeneration of Hodge structures*, Ann. of Math. **123(3)** (1986), 457–535.
- [15] K. C. T. Chiu, *Ax-Schanuel with derivatives for mixed period mappings*, arXiv:2110.03489v1.

- [16] K. C. T. Chiu, *Functional Transcendence in Mixed Hodge Theory*, University of Toronto, PhD Thesis, 2022.
- [17] C. Daw and J. Ren, *Applications of the hyperbolic Ax-Schanuel conjecture*, *Compositio Math.* **154(9)** (2018), 1843–1888.
- [18] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge: II*, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **40** (1971), 5–57.
- [19] V. Dimitrov, Z. Gao, and P. Habegger, *Uniformity in Mordell-Lang for curves*, *Ann. of Math.* **194(1)** (2021), 237–298.
- [20] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, *On the real exponential field with restricted analytic functions*, *Israel J. Math.* **85** (1994), 19–56.
- [21] Z. Gao, *Towards the André-Oort conjecture for mixed Shimura varieties: The Ax-Lindemann theorem and lower bounds for Galois orbits of special points*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **732** (2017), 85–146.
- [22] Z. Gao, *Mixed Ax-Schanuel for the universal abelian varieties and some applications*, *Compositio Math.* **156(11)** (2020), 2263–2297.
- [23] Z. Gao, *Generic rank of Betti map and unlikely intersections*, *Compositio Math.* **156(12)** (2020), 2469–2509.
- [24] Z. Gao and B. Klingler, *The Ax-Schanuel conjecture for variations of mixed Hodge structures*, *Math. Ann.* (2023).
- [25] M. Green, P. Griffiths, and M. Kerr, *Mumford-Tate domains*, <https://www.math.wustl.edu/~matkerr/MTD.pdf>.
- [26] D. R. Hast, *Functional transcendence for the unipotent Albanese map*, *Algebra Number Theory* **15(6)** (2021), 1565–1580.
- [27] G. O. Jones and A. J. Wilkie, *O-Minimality and Diophantine Geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [28] B. Klingler, *Hodge loci and atypical intersections: conjectures*, arXiv:1711.09387v1.
- [29] B. Klingler, E. Ullmo, and A. Yafaev, *The hyperbolic Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass conjecture*, *Publ. Math. IHÉS* **123** (2016), 333–360.
- [30] B. Lawrence and W. Sawin, *The Shafarevich conjecture for hypersurfaces in abelian varieties*, arXiv:2004.09046v2.
- [31] B. Lawrence and A. Venkatesh, *Diophantine problems and p -adic period mappings*, *Invent. Math.* **221(3)** (2020), 893–999.
- [32] S. Łojasiewicz, *Introduction to Complex Analytic Geometry*, Springer Basel AG, 1991.
- [33] J. S. Milne, *Descent Theory* (2015), <https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/AG16.pdf>.
- [34] J. S. Milne, *Algebraic Groups: The Theory of Group Schemes of Finite Type Over a Field*, Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [35] N. Mok, J. Pila, and J. Tsimerman, *Ax-Schanuel for Shimura varieties*, *Ann. of Math.* **189(3)** (2019), 945–978.
- [36] M. Orr, *Height bounds and the Siegel property*, *Algebra Number Theory* **12(2)** (2018), 455–478.
- [37] G. Pearlstein and C. Peters, *Differential geometry of the mixed Hodge metric*, *Communications in Analysis and Geometry* **27(3)** (2019), 671–742.
- [38] Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko, *Complex analytic geometry in a nonstandard setting*, *Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis*, Z. Chatzidakis, A. Pillay, and A. Wilkie, editors, LMS Lecture Note Series 349, CUP, 2008.
- [39] Y. Peterzil and S. Starchenko, *Tame complex analysis and o -minimality*, *Proceedings of the ICM, Hyderabad*, 2010.
- [40] J. Pila and T. Scanlon, *Effective transcendental Zilber-Pink for variations of Hodge structures*, arXiv:2105.05845v1.
- [41] J. Pila, A. Shankar, J. Tsimerman, H. Esnault, and M. Groechenig, *Canonical Heights on Shimura Varieties and the André-Oort Conjecture*, 2109.08788v2.

- [42] J. Pila and J. Tsimerman, *Ax-Schanuel for the j -function*, Duke Math. J. **165**(13) (2016), 2587–2605.
- [43] J. Pila and J. Wilkie, *The rational points of a definable set*, Duke Math. J. **133**(3) (2006), 591–616.
- [44] J. Pila and U. Zannier, *Rational points in periodic analytic sets and the Manin-Mumford conjecture*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **19** (2008), 149–162.
- [45] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, *Algebraic groups and number theory*, Academic Press, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, CANADA.
Email address: `kennethct.chiu@mail.utoronto.ca`