

Torsional moduli of transition metal dichalcogenide nanotubes from first principles

Arpit Bhardwaj, Abhiraj Sharma, and Phanish Suryanarayana*

College of Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

Abstract

We calculate the torsional moduli of single-walled transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) nanotubes using *ab initio* density functional theory (DFT). Specifically, considering forty-five select TMD nanotubes, we perform symmetry-adapted DFT calculations to calculate the torsional moduli for the armchair and zigzag variants of these materials in the low-twist regime and at practically relevant diameters. We find that the torsional moduli follow the trend: $MS_2 > MSe_2 > MTe_2$. In addition, the moduli display a power law dependence on diameter, with the scaling generally close to cubic, as predicted by the isotropic elastic continuum model. In particular, the shear moduli so computed are in good agreement with those predicted by the isotropic relation in terms of the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio, both of which are also calculated using symmetry-adapted DFT. Finally, we develop a linear regression model for the torsional moduli of TMD nanotubes based on the nature/characteristics of the metal-chalcogen bond, and show that it is capable of making reasonably accurate predictions.

I. INTRODUCTION

The synthesis of carbon nanotubes around three decades ago¹ has revolutionized the fields of nanoscience and nanotechnology. Even in the specific instance of nanotubes — quasi one-dimensional hollow cylindrical structures with diameters in the nanometer range — nearly two dozen nanotubes have now been synthesized²⁻⁴, with the potential for thousands more given the large number of stable two-dimensional materials that have been predicted from first principles calculations^{5,6}. Nanotubes have been the subject of intensive research, inspired by the novel and enhanced mechanical, electronic, optical, and thermal properties relative to their bulk counterparts²⁻⁴.

Nanotubes can be categorized based on the classification adopted for the corresponding two-dimensional materials from which they can be thought to be constructed. Among the different groups, the transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) group — materials of the form MX_2 , where M and X represent a transition metal and chalcogen, respectively — is currently the most diverse, particularly given that they contain the largest number of distinct nanotubes synthesized to date²⁻⁴. TMD nanotubes have a number of interesting properties including high tensile strength⁷⁻¹⁰, mechanically tunable electronic properties¹¹⁻¹⁷, and low cytotoxicity¹⁸. These properties make TMD nanotubes suited to a number of applications, including reinforcement of composites¹⁹⁻²⁴, nanoelectromechanical (NEMS) devices^{17,25,26}, and medicine²⁷, where knowledge of their mechanical properties is important from the perspective of both design and performance.

In view of the above, there have been a number of efforts to characterize the elastic properties of TMD nanotubes, both experimentally^{9,28-30} and theoretically^{11,13,16,31-38}. However, these studies are limited to only a few TMDs, and that too only for the case of axial tension/compression. In particular, determining the torsional moduli for these systems — relevant for applications such as resonators in NEMS devices²⁶ — has been limited to very few experimental^{26,39} and theoretical^{40,41} research works, and that too only for a couple of materials. Indeed, the study of torsional deformations at practically relevant twists and nanotube diameters is intractable to ab initio methods like Kohn-Sham density functional theory (DFT)^{42,43} — expected to provide higher fidelity than tight binding and force field

calculations for nanoscale systems — given the large number of atoms that are required when employing the standard periodic boundary conditions⁴⁴. Therefore, accurate estimates for a fundamental mechanical property like torsional modulus is not available for TMD nanotubes, which provides the motivation for the current work.

In this work, we calculate the torsional moduli of forty-five select single-walled armchair and zigzag TMD nanotubes using Kohn-Sham DFT. Specifically, considering nanotubes that have been synthesized or are expected to be so in the future, we perform symmetry-adapted DFT calculations to calculate the torsional moduli of these materials at practically relevant twists and nanotube diameters. We find the following relation for the torsional moduli values: $MS_2 > MSe_2 > MTe_2$. In addition, we find that the moduli display a power law dependence on diameter, with a scaling that is generally close to cubic, as predicted by the isotropic elastic continuum model. In particular, the shear moduli so determined are in good agreement with that predicted by the isotropic relation in terms of the Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio, both of which are also calculated in this work using symmetry-adapted DFT. We also develop a linear regression model for the torsional moduli of TMD nanotubes based on the nature and characteristics of the metal-chalcogen bond, and show that it is capable of making reasonably accurate predictions.

The remainder of the manuscript is organized as follows. In Section II, we discuss the chosen TMD nanotubes and describe the symmetry-adapted DFT simulations for calculation of their torsional moduli. Next, we present and discuss the results obtained in Section III. Finally, we provide concluding remarks in Section IV

II. SYSTEMS AND METHODS

We consider the following single-walled TMD nanotubes with 2H-t symmetry^{45,46}: $M=\{V, Nb, Ta, Cr, Mo, W, Fe, Cu\}$ and $X=\{S, Se, Te\}$; and the following ones with 1T-o symmetry^{45,46}: $M=\{Ti, Zr, Hf, Mn, Ni, Pd, Pt\}$ and $X=\{S, Se, Te\}$. These materials have been selected among all the possible transition metal-chalcogen combinations as they have either been synthesized as single/multi-walled nanotubes^{45,47-54} or the corresponding two-dimensional atomic monolayers have been predicted to be stable from ab initio

calculations^{5,55,56}. The radii for these nanotubes have been chosen so as to be commensurate with those that have been experimentally synthesized, and in cases where such data is not available, we choose radii commensurate with synthesized nanotubes that are expected to have similar structure.

We utilize the Cyclix-DFT code⁴⁴ — adaptation of the state-of-the-art real-space DFT code SPARC⁵⁷⁻⁵⁹ to cylindrical and helical coordinate systems, with the ability to exploit cyclic and helical symmetry in one-dimensional nanostructures^{44,60,61} — to calculate the torsional moduli of the aforementioned TMD nanotubes in the low twist limit. Specifically, we consider three-atom unit cell/fundamental domains that has one metal atom and two chalcogen atoms, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Indeed, such calculations are impractical without the symmetry adaption, e.g., a (57,57) MoS₂ nanotube (diameter ~ 10 nm) with an external twist of 2×10^{-4} rad/Bohr has 234,783 atoms in the simulation domain when employing periodic boundary conditions, well beyond the reach of even state-of-the-art DFT codes on large-scale parallel machines^{57,62,63}. It is worth noting that the Cyclix-DFT code has already been successfully employed for the study of physical applications^{44,64-66}, which provides evidence of its accuracy.




Figure 1: Illustration showing the cyclic and helical symmetry present in a twisted (6,6)

TMD nanotube with 2H-t symmetry. In particular, all atoms in the nanotube can be considered to be cyclic and/or helical images of the metal and chalcogen atoms that have been colored red and blue, respectively. This symmetry is exploited while performing electronic structure simulations using the Cyclix-DFT code⁴⁴.

We employ optimized norm-conserving Vanderbilt (ONCV)⁶⁷ pseudopotentials from the SG15⁶⁸ collection and the semilocal Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE)⁶⁹ exchange–correlation functional. Apart from the tests by the developers⁶⁸, we have verified the transferability of the chosen pseudopotentials by comparisons with all-electron DFT code Elk⁷⁰ for select bulk systems. In addition, we have found that the equilibrium geometries of the nanotubes and their two-dimensional counterparts (Supplementary Material) are in very good agreement with previous DFT results^{5,6,13,31,35,56,71–73}. There is also very good agreement with experimental measurements^{45,47,49,74}, confirming the suitability of the chosen exchange–correlation functional. Since we are interested in torsional moduli for the low-twist regime — corresponds to small (linear) perturbations of electron density from the undeformed nanotube — the use of more sophisticated functionals and/or inclusion of relativistic effects through spin orbit coupling (SOC) are not expected to change the results noticeably, especially considering that significant error cancellations occur while taking differences in energy. This is evidenced by the small differences in the ground state electron density between PBE and more sophisticated hybrid functionals for the TMD monolayer systems, even in the presence of SOC⁶⁶.

We calculate the torsional modulus in the low-twist regime by first performing ground state DFT simulations for various twisted configurations of the nanotube, and then fitting the data to the following quadratic relation:

$$\mathcal{E}(d, \theta) = \mathcal{E}(d, 0) + \frac{1}{2}K(d)\theta^2, \quad (1)$$

where K is the torsional modulus, d is the diameter of the nanotube, and θ and \mathcal{E} are the twist and ground state energy densities, respectively, i.e., defined per unit length of the nanotube. Indeed, small enough twists are chosen so that linear response is observed, i.e., the torsional modulus is independent of the the twist (Supplementary Material). It is important to note that the resulting shear strains — quantity that better describes the behavior/response of nanotubes, by allowing systematic comparison between tubes with different diameters — are commensurate with those found in torsion experiments^{17,26,39}. All numerical parameters in Cyclix-DFT, including grid spacing, number of points for Brillouin zone integration, vacuum in the radial direction, and structural relaxation tolerances (both

cell and atom) are chosen such that the computed torsional moduli are numerically accurate to within 1% of their reported value. In terms of the energy, this translates to the value at the structural and electronic ground state being converged to within 10^{-5} Ha/atom, a relatively stringent criterion that is necessary to capture the extremely small energy differences that occur at low values of twist.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As described in the previous section, we utilize symmetry-adapted DFT simulations to calculate torsional moduli of the forty-five select armchair and zigzag TMD nanotubes. The simulation data for all the results presented here can be found in the Supplementary Material. Observing a power law dependence of the torsional modulus with nanotube diameter d , we fit the data to the following relation:

$$K(d) = kd^\alpha + K(0), \quad (2)$$

where k and α will be henceforth referred to as the torsional modulus coefficient and exponent, respectively. The values so obtained for the different materials are presented in Table I. Observing that the exponents are generally close to $\alpha = 3$, in order to enable comparison between the different materials that can have nanotubes with significantly different diameters, we also fit the data to the relation:

$$K(d) = \hat{k}d^3 + K(0), \quad (3)$$

where \hat{k} is referred to as the average torsional modulus coefficient. The results so obtained are presented through violin plots in Figure 2.

We observe that the torsional modulus coefficients span around an order of magnitude between the different materials, with WS_2 and CuSe_2 having the largest and smallest values, respectively. Notably, even the largest value obtained here is nearly three times smaller than the carbon nanotube (733 eV/nm^2)⁴⁴, which can be attributed to the extremely strong covalent carbon-carbon bonds. In comparison with literature, where only the values for MoS_2 are available from force field (armchair: 249 eV/nm^2 and zigzag: 243 eV/nm^2)⁴¹

Table I: Torsional modulus coefficient (k) and exponent (α) for the forty-five select armchair and zigzag TMD nanotubes.

M	Diameter range (nm)	MS ₂		MSe ₂		MTe ₂	
		Torsional modulus		Torsional modulus		Torsional modulus	
		coefficient k (eV nm ^{1-α})		coefficient k (eV nm ^{1-α})		coefficient k (eV nm ^{1-α})	
		and exponent α		and exponent α		and exponent α	
		Armchair	Zigzag	Armchair	Zigzag	Armchair	Zigzag
W	2 - 10	267 (3.02)	256 (3.04)	226 (3.03)	230 (3.01)	179 (3.04)	158 (3.09)
Mo	2 - 10	232 (3.03)	213 (3.07)	197 (3.03)	175 (3.09)	150 (3.03)	143 (3.04)
Cr	6 - 10	194 (3.08)	222 (2.98)	171 (3.00)	178 (2.99)	135 (2.95)	191 (2.76)
V	6 - 10	161 (3.07)	170 (3.00)	149 (3.00)	133 (3.00)	98 (2.98)	92 (2.97)
Ta	14 - 40	158 (3.07)	205 (2.95)	176 (2.97)	160 (2.97)	165 (2.85)	155 (2.86)
Nb	2 - 14	133 (3.08)	181 (2.92)	140 (3.00)	162 (2.91)	90 (3.01)	66 (3.17)
Pt	6 - 10	154 (3.01)	156 (3.00)	127 (3.01)	129 (3.00)	133 (2.92)	259 (2.53)
Hf	6 - 30	162 (3.00)	165 (2.98)	136 (3.00)	135 (2.99)	93 (3.00)	85 (3.02)
Zr	6 - 30	149 (3.00)	160 (2.96)	125 (3.00)	127 (2.98)	98 (2.93)	84 (2.98)
Ti	2 - 10	140 (3.03)	153 (2.98)	106 (3.08)	127 (2.94)	75 (3.03)	83 (2.93)
Ni	6 - 10	147 (2.99)	147 (3.00)	127 (2.93)	120 (2.98)	136 (2.63)	156 (2.53)
Pd	6 - 10	114 (3.02)	119 (2.99)	94 (3.02)	100 (2.98)	107 (2.85)	223 (2.40)
Mn	6 - 10	108 (3.08)	122 (3.00)	39 (3.23)	29 (3.38)	27 (3.40)	52 (2.99)
Fe	6 - 10	60 (3.26)	49 (3.29)	102 (2.90)	157 (2.71)	76 (2.87)	109 (2.66)
Cu	6 - 10	30 (3.19)	32 (3.13)	27 (3.14)	49 (2.76)	35 (3.20)	102 (2.35)




Figure 2: Average torsional modulus coefficient (\hat{k}) for the forty-five select armchair and zigzag TMD nanotubes.

and tight binding (armchair: 265 eV/nm^2 and zigzag: 265 eV/nm^2)⁴⁰ simulations, there is good agreement with the average torsional modulus coefficients reported here (armchair: 244 eV/nm^2 and zigzag: 239 eV/nm^2). Overall, we observe that the torsional moduli values generally follow the trend $\text{MS}_2 > \text{MSe}_2 > \text{MTe}_2$. This can be explained by the metal-chalcogen bond length having the reverse trend, with shorter bonds generally expected to be stronger due to the increase in orbital overlap.

We also observe from the results in Table I that the torsional modulus exponents are in the neighborhood of $\alpha = 3$, in agreement with the isotropic elastic continuum model⁷⁵. In such an idealization, the shear modulus G can be calculated from the torsional modulus coefficient using the following relation derived from the continuum analysis of a homogeneous isotropic circular tube subject to torsional deformations:

$$G = \frac{\hat{k}}{2\pi}. \quad (4)$$

The results so obtained are presented in Figure 3. Note that since there are some noticeable deviations from $\alpha = 3$ (Table I) — suggests that the shear modulus changes with diameter — the results in Figure 3 correspond to the case when \hat{k} is determined from the single data point corresponding to the largest diameter nanotube studied for each material. To verify their isotropic nature, we also determine the shear moduli of the nanotubes as predicted by the isotropic relation in terms of the Young's modulus (E) and Poisson's ratio (ν): $G = E/2(1 +$

ν), both of which are also calculated using Cyclix-DFT, the results of which are summarized in Figure 3. It is clear that there is very good agreement between the computed and predicted shear moduli, suggesting that TMD nanotubes can be considered to be elastically isotropic.




Figure 3: Young’s modulus (E), shear modulus (G), and Poisson’s ratio (ν) of the forty-five select armchair and zigzag TMD nanotubes. The predicted shear modulus refers to that obtained from the isotropic relation in terms of the Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio. The values of R^2 shown in the legend denotes the coefficient of determination for the linear regression. The results correspond to the largest diameter nanotube that has been studied for each material.

We observe from the results in Figure 3 that the Young’s moduli follow a similar trend as the torsional modulus coefficients and therefore the shear moduli, which can be again explained by the strength of the metal-chalcogen bond, consistent with results obtained for molybdenum and tungsten TMD monolayers^{76–78}. In regards to the Poisson’s ratio, we find that the MnS_2 , MnSe_2 , MnTe_2 , CrTe_2 , WTe_2 , MoTe_2 , TaTe_2 , and NiTe_2 nanotubes have a value near zero. In addition, the CuS_2 , CuSe_2 , CuTe_2 , VS_2 and FeS_2 nanotubes have ν greater

than the isotropic theoretical limit of 0.5, which can be justified by the anisotropic nature of these materials — evidenced by the relatively poor agreement between the predicted and computed shear moduli (Figure 3) — where this bound is not applicable⁷⁹. In regards to failure of these materials, it is possible to use Frantsevich’s rule⁸⁰ — materials with $\nu > 0.33$ and $\nu < 0.33$ are expected to be ductile and brittle, respectively — to predict that $M=\{\text{Cu, Nb, Fe, Ta and V}\}$ nanotubes are ductile and $M=\{\text{W, Mo, Cr, Pt, Hf, Zr, Ti, Ni, Pd, Mn}\}$ are brittle. In particular, there is a clear divide between the Poisson’s ratio of these two sets, as seen in Figure 3. Note that the computed Young’s moduli and Poisson’s ratio values are in good agreement with those available in literature^{11,16,31,32,36,40}, further confirming the fidelity of the simulations performed here.

The above results indicate that the torsional moduli of TMD nanotubes are dependent on the nature and strength of the metal-chalcogen bond, which can be expected to depend on the bond length, difference in electronegativity between the atoms, and sum of their ionization potential and electron affinity. The first feature mentioned above is used to mainly capture the strength of the bond, and the other two features are used to mainly capture the nature of the bonding⁸¹⁻⁸⁴. Using these three features, we perform a linear regression on the set of average torsional modulus coefficients, the results of which are presented in Figure 4. The fit is reasonably good, suggesting that the features chosen here play a significant role in determining the torsional moduli of TMD nanotubes. Note that inclusion of the bond angle as a feature did not improve the quality of the fit, and therefore has been neglected here. Also note that though the quality of the fit can be further increased by using higher order polynomial regression, it can possibly lead to overfitting, and is hence not adopted here.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have calculated the torsional moduli of forty-five select single-walled TMD nanotubes using *ab initio* DFT simulations. Specifically, we have computed torsional moduli for the armchair and zigzag variants of the chosen TMD nanotubes at practically relevant twists and nanotube diameters, while considering materials that have been synthesized or are likely to be synthesized. We have found that the variation of the torsional moduli values between




Figure 4: The set of computed average torsional modulus coefficients (\hat{k}) and its linear regression with the features being the metal-chalcogen bond length, difference in electronegativity between the metal and chalcogen atoms, and sum of the metal’s ionization potential and chalcogen’s electron affinity. The values of R^2 shown in the legend denotes the coefficient of determination for the linear regression.

the different nanotubes follows the trend: $MS_2 > MSe_2 > MTe_2$. In addition, we have found that the moduli display a power law dependence on the diameter, with the scaling generally close to cubic, as predicted by the isotropic elastic continuum model. In particular, the shear moduli so determined have been found to be in good agreement with that predicted by the isotropic relation in terms of the Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio, both of which have also been calculated in this work from DFT simulations. Finally, we have developed a linear regression model for the torsional moduli of TMD nanotubes that is based on the nature and characteristics of the metal-chalcogen bond, and have shown that it is capable of making reasonably accurate predictions.

In regards to future research, given their significant applications in semiconductor devices, the electromechanical response of TMD nanotubes to torsional deformations presents itself as an interesting topic worthy of pursuit. In addition, given the plethora of multi-walled TMD nanotubes that have been synthesized, studying the effect of torsional deformations on their mechanical and electronic response also presents itself as a worthy subject of investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the U.S. National Science Foundation (CAREER-1553212 and MRI-1828187). P.S. acknowledges discussions with Arash Yavari regarding anisotropic elasticity.

* phanish.suryanarayana@ce.gatech.edu

- ¹ Sumio Iijima. Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. *Nature*, 354(6348):56–58, 1991.
- ² Reshef Tenne. Advances in the synthesis of inorganic nanotubes and fullerene-like nanoparticles. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 42(42):5124–5132, 2003.
- ³ Chintamani Nagesa Ramachandra Rao and Manashi Nath. Inorganic nanotubes. In *Advances In Chemistry: A Selection of CNR Rao’s Publications (1994–2003)*, pages 310–333. World Scientific, 2003.
- ⁴ Marco Serra, Raul Arenal, and Reshef Tenne. An overview of the recent advances in inorganic nanotubes. *Nanoscale*, 11(17):8073–8090, 2019.
- ⁵ Sten Haastrup, Mikkel Strange, Mohnish Pandey, Thorsten Deilmann, Per S Schmidt, Nicki F Hinsche, Morten N Gjerding, Daniele Torelli, Peter M Larsen, and Anders C et al Riis-Jensen. The Computational 2D Materials Database: high-throughput modeling and discovery of atomically thin crystals. *2D Materials*, 5(4):042002, 2018.
- ⁶ Jun Zhou, Lei Shen, Miguel Dias Costa, Kristin A Persson, Shyue Ping Ong, Patrick Huck, Yunhao Lu, Xiaoyang Ma, Yiming Chen, Hanmei Tang, et al. 2DMatPedia, an open computational database of two-dimensional materials from top-down and bottom-up approaches. *Scientific Data*, 6(1):1–10, 2019.
- ⁷ András Kis, Dragan Mihailovic, Maja Remskar, Ales Mrzel, Adolf Jesih, Ireneusz Piwonski, Andrzej J Kulik, Willy Benoît, and László Forró. Shear and Young’s moduli of MoS₂ nanotube ropes. *Advanced Materials*, 15(9):733–736, 2003.
- ⁸ Ifat Kaplan-Ashiri and Reshef Tenne. Mechanical properties of WS₂ nanotubes. *Journal of Cluster Science*, 18(3):549–563, 2007.

- ⁹ Ifat Kaplan-Ashiri, Sidney R Cohen, Konstantin Gartsman, Viktoria Ivanovskaya, Thomas Heine, Gotthard Seifert, Inna Wiesel, H Daniel Wagner, and Reshef Tenne. On the mechanical behavior of WS₂ nanotubes under axial tension and compression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(3):523–528, 2006.
- ¹⁰ Dai-Ming Tang, Xianlong Wei, Ming-Sheng Wang, Naoyuki Kawamoto, Yoshio Bando, Chunyi Zhi, Masanori Mitome, Alla Zak, Reshef Tenne, and Dmitri Golberg. Revealing the anomalous tensile properties of WS₂ nanotubes by in situ transmission electron microscopy. *Nano Letters*, 13(3):1034–1040, 2013.
- ¹¹ Nourdine Zibouche, Mahdi Ghorbani-Asl, Thomas Heine, and Agnieszka Kuc. Electromechanical properties of small transition-metal dichalcogenide nanotubes. *Inorganics*, 2(2):155–167, 2014.
- ¹² Shuntaro Oshima, Masayuki Toyoda, and Susumu Saito. Geometrical and electronic properties of unstrained and strained transition metal dichalcogenide nanotubes. *Physical Review Materials*, 4(2):026004, 2020.
- ¹³ Weifeng Li, Gang Zhang, Meng Guo, and Yong-Wei Zhang. Strain-tunable electronic and transport properties of MoS₂ nanotubes. *Nano Research*, 7(4):518–527, 2014.
- ¹⁴ Mahdi Ghorbani-Asl, Nourdine Zibouche, Mohammad Wahiduzzaman, Augusto F Oliveira, Agnieszka Kuc, and Thomas Heine. Electromechanics in MoS₂ and WS₂: nanotubes vs. monolayers. *Scientific Reports*, 3:2961, 2013.
- ¹⁵ Peng Lu, Xiaojun Wu, Wanlin Guo, and Xiao Cheng Zeng. Strain-dependent electronic and magnetic properties of MoS₂ monolayer, bilayer, nanoribbons and nanotubes. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 14(37):13035–13040, 2012.
- ¹⁶ R Ansari, S Malakpour, M Faghinasiri, and S Sahmani. An ab initio investigation into the elastic, structural and electronic properties of MoS₂ nanotubes. *Superlattices and Microstructures*, 82:188–200, 2015.
- ¹⁷ Roi Levi, Jonathan Garel, David Teich, Gotthard Seifert, Reshef Tenne, and Ernesto Joselevich. Nanotube electromechanics beyond carbon: the case of WS₂. *ACS Nano*, 9(12):12224–12232, 2015.
- ¹⁸ Michal Pardo, Timor Shuster-Meiseles, Smadar Levin-Zaidman, Assaf Rudich, and Yinon Rudich. Low cytotoxicity of inorganic nanotubes and fullerene-like nanostructures in human

- bronchial epithelial cells: relation to inflammatory gene induction and antioxidant response. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 48(6):3457–3466, 2014.
- ¹⁹ Michael Shtein, Roey Nadiv, Noa Lachman, H Daniel Wagner, and Oren Regev. Fracture behavior of nanotube–polymer composites: Insights on surface roughness and failure mechanism. *Composites Science and Technology*, 87:157–163, 2013.
- ²⁰ Gilad Otorogust, Hanna Dodiuk, Shmuel Kenig, and Reshef Tenne. Important insights into polyurethane nanocomposite-adhesives; a comparative study between INT-WS₂ and CNT. *European Polymer Journal*, 89:281–300, 2017.
- ²¹ Danica M Simić, Dušica B Stojanović, Mirjana Dimić, Katarina Mišković, Milica Marjanović, Zijah Burzić, Petar S Uskoković, Alla Zak, and Reshef Tenne. Impact resistant hybrid composites reinforced with inorganic nanoparticles and nanotubes of WS₂. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 176:107222, 2019.
- ²² Roey Nadiv, Michael Shtein, Maor Refaeli, Alva Peled, and Oren Regev. The critical role of nanotube shape in cement composites. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 71:166–174, 2016.
- ²³ Song-Jeng Huang, Chia-Han Ho, Yishay Feldman, and Reshef Tenne. Advanced AZ31 Mg alloy composites reinforced by WS₂ nanotubes. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 654:15–22, 2016.
- ²⁴ Mohammed Naffakh, Ana M Diez-Pascual, and Carlos Marco. Polymer blend nanocomposites based on poly (l-lactic acid), polypropylene and WS₂ inorganic nanotubes. *RSC Advances*, 6(46):40033–40044, 2016.
- ²⁵ Dan Yudilevich, Roi Levi, Iftach Nevo, Reshef Tenne, Assaf Ya’akovovitz, and Ernesto Joselevich. Self-sensing torsional resonators based on inorganic nanotubes. *ICME*, 2018.
- ²⁶ Yiftach Divon, Roi Levi, Jonathan Garel, Dmitri Golberg, Reshef Tenne, Assaf Ya’akovovitz, and Ernesto Joselevich. Torsional resonators based on inorganic nanotubes. *Nano Letters*, 17(1):28–35, 2017.
- ²⁷ Gaurav Lalwani, Allan M Henslee, Behzad Farshid, Priyanka Parmar, Liangjun Lin, Yi-Xian Qin, F Kurtis Kasper, Antonios G Mikos, and Balaji Sitharaman. Tungsten disulfide nanotubes reinforced biodegradable polymers for bone tissue engineering. *Acta Biomaterialia*, 9(9):8365–8373, 2013.

- ²⁸ I Kaplan-Ashiri, SR Cohen, K Gartsman, R Rosentsveig, G Seifert, and R Tenne. Mechanical behavior of individual WS₂ nanotubes. *Journal of Materials Research*, 19(2):454–459, 2004.
- ²⁹ Ming Sheng Wang, Ifat Kaplan-Ashiri, Xian Long Wei, Rita Rosentsveig, Hanoch Daniel Wagner, Reshef Tenne, and Lian Mao Peng. In situ TEM measurements of the mechanical properties and behavior of WS₂ nanotubes. *Nano Research*, 1(1):22, 2008.
- ³⁰ Alessandro Grillo, Maurizio Passacantando, Alla Zak, Aniello Pelella, and Antonio Di Bartolomeo. WS₂ Nanotubes: Electrical conduction and field emission under electron irradiation and mechanical stress. *Small*, 16(35):2002880, 2020.
- ³¹ YZ Wang, R Huang, XQ Wang, QF Zhang, BL GAOa, L Zhou, and G HUA. STRAIN-TUNABLE ELECTRONIC PROPERTIES OF CrS₂ NANOTUBES. *Chalcogenide Letters*, 13(7):301–307, 2016.
- ³² AV Bandura, SI Lukyanov, RA Evarestov, and DD Kuruch. Calculation of Young’s Modulus of MoS₂-Based Single-Wall Nanotubes Using Force-Field and Hybrid Density Functional Theory. *Physics of the Solid State*, 60(12):2551–2558, 2018.
- ³³ Qi-lin Xiong, Jin Zhang, Chu Xiao, and Zhen-huan Li. Effects of atomic vacancies and temperature on the tensile properties of single-walled MoS₂ nanotubes. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 19(30):19948–19958, 2017.
- ³⁴ Penghua Ying, Jin Zhang, Jianli Zhou, Qixin Liang, and Zheng Zhong. Mechanical behaviors of MoS nanowires under tension from molecular dynamics simulations. *Computational Materials Science*, 179:109691, 2020.
- ³⁵ Jin Xiao, Mengqiu Long, Xinmei Li, Hui Xu, Han Huang, and Yongli Gao. Theoretical prediction of electronic structure and carrier mobility in single-walled MoS₂ nanotubes. *Scientific Reports*, 4(1):1–7, 2014.
- ³⁶ Tommy Lorenz, David Teich, Jan-Ole Joswig, and Gotthard Seifert. Theoretical study of the mechanical behavior of individual TiS₂ and MoS₂ nanotubes. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 116(21):11714–11721, 2012.
- ³⁷ V Sorokin, H Pan, H Shi, SY Quek, and YW Zhang. Nanoscale transition metal dichalcogenides: structures, properties, and applications. *Critical Reviews in Solid State and Materials Sciences*, 39(5):319–367, 2014.

- ³⁸ Estelle Kalfon-Cohen, David Barlam, Ofer Tevet, and Sidney R Cohen. Insights on uniaxial compression of WS₂ inorganic fullerenes: A finite element study. *Journal of Materials Research*, 27(1):161, 2012.
- ³⁹ KS Nagapriya, Ohad Goldbart, Ifat Kaplan-Ashiri, Gotthard Seifert, Reshef Tenne, and Ernesto Joselevich. Torsional stick-slip behavior in WS₂ nanotubes. *Physical Review Letters*, 101(19):195501, 2008.
- ⁴⁰ D-B Zhang, T Dumitrică, and G Seifert. Helical nanotube structures of MoS₂ with intrinsic twisting: an objective molecular dynamics study. *Physical Review Letters*, 104(6):065502, 2010.
- ⁴¹ Eric W Bucholz and Susan B Sinnott. Mechanical behavior of MoS₂ nanotubes under compression, tension, and torsion from molecular dynamics simulations. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 112(12):123510, 2012.
- ⁴² Pierre Hohenberg and Walter Kohn. Inhomogeneous electron gas. *Physical Review*, 136(3B):B864, 1964.
- ⁴³ Walter Kohn and Lu Jeu Sham. Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. *Physical Review*, 140(4A):A1133, 1965.
- ⁴⁴ Abhiraj Sharma and Phanish Suryanarayana. Real-space density functional theory adapted to cyclic and helical symmetry: Application to torsional deformation of carbon nanotubes. *Physical Review B*, 103(3):035101, 2021.
- ⁴⁵ Manashi Nath and Chintamani Nagesa Ramachandra Rao. Nanotubes of group 4 metal disulfides. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 41(18):3451–3454, 2002.
- ⁴⁶ Andrei V Bandura and Robert A Evarestov. TiS₂ and ZrS₂ single-and double-wall nanotubes: First-principles study. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 35(5):395–405, 2014.
- ⁴⁷ Manashi Nath and Chintamani Nagesa Ramachandra Rao. MoSe₂ and WSe₂ nanotubes and related structures. *Chemical Communications*, 1(21):2236–2237, 2001.
- ⁴⁸ Manashi Nath, Achutharao Govindaraj, and Chintamani Nagesa Ramachandra Rao. Simple synthesis of MoS₂ and WS₂ nanotubes. *Advanced Materials*, 13(4):283–286, 2001.
- ⁴⁹ Jun Chen, Suo-Long Li, Zhan-Liang Tao, Yu-Tian Shen, and Chun-Xiang Cui. Titanium disulfide nanotubes as hydrogen-storage materials. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 125(18):5284–5285, 2003.

- ⁵⁰ Manashi Nath and Chintamani Nagesa Ramachandra Rao. New metal disulfide nanotubes. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 123(20):4841–4842, 2001.
- ⁵¹ A R Tenne, L Margulis, M EA Genut, and G Hodes. Polyhedral and cylindrical structures of tungsten disulphide. *Nature*, 360(6403):444–446, 1992.
- ⁵² Jeffrey M Gordon, Eugene A Katz, Daniel Feuermann, Ana Albu-Yaron, Moshe Levy, and Reshef Tenne. Singular MoS₂, SiO₂ and Si nanostructures—synthesis by solar ablation. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 18(4):458–462, 2008.
- ⁵³ Volker Brüser, Ronit Popovitz-Biro, Ana Albu-Yaron, Tommy Lorenz, Gotthard Seifert, Reshef Tenne, and Alla Zak. Single-to triple-wall WS₂ nanotubes obtained by high-power plasma ablation of WS₂ multiwall nanotubes. *Inorganics*, 2(2):177–190, 2014.
- ⁵⁴ Maja Remskar, Ales Mrzel, Zora Skraba, Adolf Jesih, Miran Ceh, Jure Demšar, Pierre Stadelmann, Francis Lévy, and Dragan Mihailovic. Self-assembly of subnanometer-diameter single-wall MoS₂ nanotubes. *Science*, 292(5516):479–481, 2001.
- ⁵⁵ Thomas Heine. Transition metal chalcogenides: ultrathin inorganic materials with tunable electronic properties. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 48(1):65–72, 2015.
- ⁵⁶ Hongyan Guo, Ning Lu, Lu Wang, Xiaojun Wu, and Xiao Cheng Zeng. Tuning electronic and magnetic properties of early transition-metal dichalcogenides via tensile strain. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 118(13):7242–7249, 2014.
- ⁵⁷ Qimen Xu, Abhiraj Sharma, Benjamin Comer, Hua Huang, Edmond Chow, Andrew J Medford, John E Pask, and Phanish Suryanarayana. SPARC: Simulation Package for Ab-initio Real-space Calculations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.10431*, 2020.
- ⁵⁸ Swarnava Ghosh and Phanish Suryanarayana. SPARC: Accurate and efficient finite-difference formulation and parallel implementation of density functional theory: Isolated clusters. *Computer Physics Communications*, 212:189–204, 2017.
- ⁵⁹ Swarnava Ghosh and Phanish Suryanarayana. SPARC: Accurate and efficient finite-difference formulation and parallel implementation of Density Functional Theory: Extended systems. *Computer Physics Communications*, 216:109–125, 2017.
- ⁶⁰ Swarnava Ghosh, Amartya S Banerjee, and Phanish Suryanarayana. Symmetry-adapted real-space density functional theory for cylindrical geometries: Application to large group-IV nan-

- otubes. *Physical Review B*, 100(12):125143, 2019.
- ⁶¹ Amartya S Banerjee and Phanish Suryanarayana. Cyclic density functional theory: A route to the first principles simulation of bending in nanostructures. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 96:605–631, 2016.
- ⁶² Amartya S Banerjee, Lin Lin, Phanish Suryanarayana, Chao Yang, and John E Pask. Two-level Chebyshev filter based complementary subspace method: pushing the envelope of large-scale electronic structure calculations. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, 14(6):2930–2946, 2018.
- ⁶³ Phani Motamarri, Sambit Das, Shiva Rudraraju, Krishnendu Ghosh, Denis Davydov, and Vikram Gavini. DFT-FE-A massively parallel adaptive finite-element code for large-scale density functional theory calculations. *Computer Physics Communications*, 246:106853, 2020.
- ⁶⁴ David Codony, Irene Arias, and Phanish Suryanarayana. Transversal flexoelectric coefficient for nanostructures at finite deformations from first principles. *Physical Review Materials*, 5(6):L030801, 2021.
- ⁶⁵ Shashikant Kumar, David Codony, Irene Arias, and Phanish Suryanarayana. Flexoelectricity in atomic monolayers from first principles. *Nanoscale*, 13(3):1600–1607, 2021.
- ⁶⁶ Shashikant Kumar and Phanish Suryanarayana. Bending moduli for forty-four select atomic monolayers from first principles. *Nanotechnology*, 31(43):43LT01, 2020.
- ⁶⁷ DR Hamann. Optimized norm-conserving Vanderbilt pseudopotentials. *Physical Review B*, 88(8):085117, 2013.
- ⁶⁸ Martin Schlipf and François Gygi. Optimization algorithm for the generation of ONCV pseudopotentials. *Computer Physics Communications*, 196:36–44, 2015.
- ⁶⁹ John P Perdew and Wang Yue. Accurate and simple density functional for the electronic exchange energy: Generalized gradient approximation. *Physical Review B*, 33(12):8800, 1986.
- ⁷⁰ The Elk Code. <http://elk.sourceforge.net/>.
- ⁷¹ Can Ataca, Hasan Sahin, and Salim Ciraci. Stable, single-layer MX₂ transition-metal oxides and dichalcogenides in a honeycomb-like structure. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 116(16):8983–8999, 2012.

- ⁷² Chung-Huai Chang, Xiaofeng Fan, Shi-Hsin Lin, and Jer-Lai Kuo. Orbital analysis of electronic structure and phonon dispersion in MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂, and WSe₂ monolayers under strain. *Physical Review B*, 88(19):195420, 2013.
- ⁷³ Bin Amin, Thaneshwor P Kaloni, and Udo Schwingenschlögl. Strain engineering of WS₂, WSe₂, and WTe₂. *RSC Advances*, 4(65):34561–34565, 2014.
- ⁷⁴ Manashi Nath, Swastik Kar, Arup K Raychaudhuri, and Chintamani Nagesa Ramachandra Rao. Superconducting NbSe₂ nanostructures. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 368(5-6):690–695, 2003.
- ⁷⁵ Ansel C Ugural and Saul K Fenster. *Advanced strength and applied elasticity*. Pearson education, 2003.
- ⁷⁶ Zhang, Rui and Cheung, Rebecca. *Mechanical Properties and Applications of Two-Dimensional Materials*, chapter 10, pages 219–246. IntechOpen, 2016.
- ⁷⁷ Zeng Fan, Zhang Wei-Bing, and Tang Bi-Yu. Electronic structures and elastic properties of monolayer and bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides MX₂ (M= Mo, W; X= O, S, Se, Te): a comparative first-principles study. *Chinese Physics B*, 24(9):097103, 2015.
- ⁷⁸ Nicholas A Pike, Antoine Dewandre, Benoit Van Troeye, Xavier Gonze, and Matthieu J Verstraete. Vibrational and dielectric properties of monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides. *Physical Review Materials*, 3(7):074009, 2019.
- ⁷⁹ TCT Ting and Tungyang Chen. Poisson’s ratio for anisotropic elastic materials can have no bounds. *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, 58(1):73–82, 2005.
- ⁸⁰ IN Frantsevich, FF Voronov, and SA Bokuta Elastic Constants. *Elastic Moduli of Metals and Insulators Handbook* (Kiev), 1983.
- ⁸¹ Nina Glebko, Irina Aleksandrova, Girish C Tewari, Tripurari S Tripathi, Maarit Karppinen, and Antti J Karttunen. Electronic and vibrational properties of TiS₂, ZrS₂, and HfS₂: Periodic trends studied by dispersion-corrected hybrid density functional methods. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 122(47):26835–26844, 2018.
- ⁸² RT Sanderson. Electronegativity and bonding of transitional elements. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 25(19):3518–3522, 1986.
- ⁸³ M Winter. WebElements [[http://www. webelements. com/](http://www.webelements.com/)], University of Sheffield. UK. (Reference Date: 01/02/2000), 2000.

⁸⁴ DFC Morris and LH Ahrens. Ionization potentials and the chemical binding and structure of simple inorganic crystalline compounds—I: Chemical binding. *Journal of Inorganic and Nuclear Chemistry*, 3(5):263–269, 1956.