

# CENTER OF BANACH ALGEBRA VALUED BEURLING ALGEBRAS

BHARAT TALWAR AND RANJANA JAIN

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that for a Banach algebra  $A$  having a bounded  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ -approximate identity and for every  $[\mathbf{IN}]$  group  $G$  with weight  $w$  which is either constant on conjugacy classes or  $w \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G) \otimes^\gamma A) \cong \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A)$ . As an application, we discuss the conditions under which  $\mathcal{Z}(L_\omega^1(G, A))$  enjoys certain Banach algebraic properties, for example, weak amenability, semisimplicity etc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For two algebras  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}(A) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(B) = \mathcal{Z}(A \otimes B)$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}(C)$  denotes the center of algebra  $C$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are Banach algebras, then it is natural ask whether  $\mathcal{Z}(A \otimes^\gamma B)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Z}(A) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(B)$ ,  $\otimes^\gamma$  being the Banach space projective tensor product. It is known to be true if  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras (see [8, Theorem 5.1]) and if  $A = B = L^1(G)$  for any  $[\mathbf{FC}]^-$  group  $G$  (see [18, Lemma 2.1]). Note that [18] generalizes the results of [1, 2] and in these three articles the major focus was on studying the amenability and weak amenability properties. The idea behind the proofs given in [18, 2] is to use a projection from  $L^1(G)$  onto  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$ . Ingenious construction of one such projection is given in [18] which is somewhat different from the usual averaging technique used while working with  $[\mathbf{FIA}]^-$  groups. We used this technique in [7, Theorem 4.13] and provided an affirmative answer to this question if  $A$  is a unital Banach algebra and  $B = L^1(G)$ , for specific classes of groups. Analogues of a couple of results on  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$  by Mosak [12, 13] were also obtained in [7] for the center  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G, A))$  of generalized group algebras.

In this article we generalize all the results discussed in the preceding paragraph, giving relatively simpler proofs, by working in a more generalized setting of the  $A$ -valued Beurling algebras  $L_\omega^1(G, A)$ . In particular, we drop some restrictions on  $G$  and  $A$  as imposed in [7, Lemma 4.4, Theorem 4.7, Theorem 4.13] and obtain a similar desirable description for

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22D15, 43A20, 46M05.

*Key words and phrases.* vector valued Beurling algebras, Banach space projective tensor product, center,  $[\mathbf{IN}]$  group, weight, weak-amenability.

Bharat Talwar is supported by Senior Research Fellowship of CSIR (File number 09/045(1442)/2016-EMR-I).

$\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$ . After a series of technical and interesting results, we present Theorem 2.10 as main result of this paper which claims that  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G) \otimes^\gamma A) \cong \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A)$  when  $G$  is an [IN] group (a group having a neighbourhood of identity which is invariant under inner automorphisms of  $G$ ),  $A$  has a bounded  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ -approximate identity (see Definition 2.5) and weight  $w$  is either constant on conjugacy classes or greater than or equal to 1 throughout  $G$ . As an application, we discuss a few structure theoretic questions for  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$ .

## 2. DIFFERENT IDENTIFICATIONS OF CENTER

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with identity  $e$ . Then  $(G, \mathcal{B}, dx)$  is a measure space, where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $dx$  is the left Haar measure. Weight  $w$  on  $G$  is a measurable positive function such that  $w(xy) \leq w(x)w(y)$  for every  $x, y \in G$ . In view of [14, Theorem 3.7.5],  $w$  can be assumed to be continuous. For any Banach algebra  $A$ , consider the set

$$L_w^1(G, A) = \left\{ f : G \rightarrow A : f \text{ is } \mathcal{B}\text{-measurable and } \int_G \|f(x)\|w(x)dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Let  $f, g \in L_w^1(G, A)$ . Then  $(f * g)(x) = \int_G f(xy)g(y^{-1})dy$  and  $\|f\|_{w,A} = \int_G \|f(x)\|w(x)dx$  define a multiplication and a seminorm on  $L_w^1(G, A)$ , respectively. When  $A = \mathbb{C}$ , we write  $\|f\|_{1,w}$  for  $\|f\|_{w,A}$ . The set  $L_w^1(G, A)$  of all equivalence classes determined by this seminorm becomes a Banach algebra known as  $A$ -valued Beurling algebra. As is customary, we will treat the elements of  $L_w^1(G, A)$  as functions. For any  $a \in A$ ,  $x, y \in G$  and  $f \in L_w^1(G, A)$ , define  $(x \cdot f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ ,  $(f \cdot x)(y) = f(yx)$ ,  $(fa)(x) = f(x)a$  and  $(af)(x) = af(x)$ . It is easy to check that all these elements belong to  $L_w^1(G, A)$ .

A part of the following result is proved in [4, Lemma 2.7]. One can also have a look at [7, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3], where an analogous statement is proved for  $L^1(G, A)$ . The fact that  $w$  is locally bounded [9, Lemma 1.3.3] along with some necessary changes in that proof can be used to obtain the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f \in L_w^1(G, A)$  and  $y \in G$ .*

- (1) *The maps  $G \ni x \rightarrow x \cdot f, f \cdot x \in L_w^1(G, A)$  are continuous.*
- (2)  *$\|y \cdot f\|_{w,A} \leq w(y)\|f\|_{w,A}$  and  $\|f \cdot y\|_{w,A} \leq w(y^{-1})\Delta(y^{-1})\|f\|_{w,A}$ .*

We first provide an analogue of the characterization of center of convolution algebra as given in [13, Proposition 1.2]. If  $f$  is a function from  $G$  to  $A$ , we define  $\|f\|(x) := \|f(x)\|$  for every  $x \in G$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then,*

$$\mathcal{Z}(L_\omega^1(G, A)) = \{f \in L_\omega^1(G, A) : \Delta(s^{-1})(f \cdot s^{-1})a = a(s \cdot f), \forall s \in G, a \in A\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{Z}(L_\omega^1(G, A))$ . For any  $a \in A$ ,  $s \in G$  and any compact symmetric set  $U \in \mathcal{B}$ , write  $a_{sU} = a\chi_{sU}$ . It follows from local boundedness of  $w$  that  $a_{sU} \in L_\omega^1(G, A)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . It suffices to show that  $\|\Delta(s^{-1})(f \cdot s^{-1})a - a(s \cdot f)\|_{w,A} < \epsilon$ . Following the calculations in the proof of [7, Theorem 3.4], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|m(Us^{-1})(f \cdot s^{-1})a - f * a_{sU}\|_{w,A} &\leq \int_{Us^{-1}} \int_G \|f \cdot s^{-1}a - (f \cdot x)a\|(y)w(y)dydx \\ &\leq m(Us^{-1}) \sup_{x \in U} \|f \cdot s^{-1} - (f \cdot s^{-1}) \cdot x^{-1}\|_{w,A} \|a\|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|m(U)a(s \cdot f) - a_{sU} * f\|_{w,A} &\leq \Delta(s)\|a\| \int_U \int_G \|(s \cdot f)(y) - f(x^{-1}s^{-1}y)\|w(y)dydx \\ &\leq m(U)\|a\| \sup_{x \in U} \|s \cdot f - s \cdot (x \cdot f)\|_{w,A} \\ &\leq m(U)\|a\|w(s) \sup_{x \in U} \|f - (x \cdot f)\|_{w,A}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(s^{-1})(f \cdot s^{-1})a - a(s \cdot f) - \frac{1}{m(U)}(f * a_{sU} - a_{sU} * f)\|_{w,A} \\ \leq (\Delta(s^{-1}) \sup_{x \in U} \|f \cdot s^{-1} - (f \cdot s^{-1}) \cdot x^{-1}\|_{w,A} + w(s) \sup_{x \in U} \|f - x \cdot f\|_{w,A}) \|a\|. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.1.

For the converse, it is sufficient to prove that  $f * g = g * f$  for every continuous function  $g$  with compact support. This can be proved exactly as in [7, Theorem 3.4], by proving that in every neighbourhood of  $f * g - g * f$  there is an element which belongs to the set  $\text{span}\{\Delta(s)^{-1}(f \cdot s^{-1})a - a(s \cdot f) : a \in A, s \in G\}$ .  $\square$

With this in hand, the following analogue of [7, Lemma 4.3] can be given with a few adjustments in its proof.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then,*

$$\mathcal{Z}(L_\omega^1(G, A)) \subseteq L_\omega^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A)).$$

*Proof.* Consider a non-zero element  $f$  in  $\mathcal{Z}(L_\omega^1(G, A))$ . Suppose there exists a Borel set  $E$  of positive and finite measure such that  $f(x) \notin \mathcal{Z}(A)$  for every  $x \in E$ .

By Lemma 2.2 we obtain  $fa = af$  for every  $a \in A$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_E$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of all Borel sets contained in  $E$ . Then, for any  $F \in \mathcal{B}_E$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_F f(x)w(x) dx \right) a &= \int_F f(x)w(x)a dx = \int_F ((fa)w)(x) dx \\ &= \int_F ((af)w)(x) dx = a \left( \int_F f(x)w(x) dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a \in A$ , i.e.,  $\int_F f(x)w(x)dx \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{B}_E$ . Define  $H : \mathcal{B}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A)$  by  $H(F) = \int_F f(x)w(x)dx$ . Then,  $H$  is an  $m$ -continuous (i.e.,  $\lim_{m(F) \rightarrow 0} H(F) = 0$ ) vector measure of bounded variation ([5, Theorem II.2.4]). Thus, by [5, Corollary III.2.5], there exists a  $g \in L^1(E, \mathcal{Z}(A))$  such that  $H(F) = \int_F g(x)dx$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{B}_E$ . This shows that  $\int_F (f(x)w(x) - g(x))dx = 0$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{B}_E$ ; so that  $fw = g$  a.e. on  $E$ , by [5, Corollary II.2.5]. Since  $g(E) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(A)$  and  $w(E) \subseteq (0, \infty)$ , this is a contradiction to the existence of  $E$ . Hence,  $f(x) \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$  for almost every  $x \in G$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** *Unlike in  $L^1(G)$  [12], it can be seen from Lemma 2.3 that for  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1_\omega(G, A))$  to be non-trivial it is not sufficient that  $G$  be an [IN] group. This is because  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  might be trivial. However, if  $G$  is an [IN] group and  $A$  is a Banach algebra with non-trivial center, then  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1_\omega(G, A)) \neq \{0\}$ . To prove this, choose a compact neighbourhood  $E$  of  $e$  which is invariant under inner automorphisms. Then  $\chi_E \in \mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$  [12]. Since  $w$  is locally bounded, we have  $\chi_E \in L^1_\omega(G)$ . Using the fact that  $G$  is unimodular, we obtain from Lemma 2.2 that  $\chi_E a \in \mathcal{Z}(L^1_\omega(G, A))$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ .*

We will now present some necessary conditions for  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1_\omega(G, A))$  to be non-trivial. Note that  $G$  being an [IN] group is not a necessity as can be demonstrated by taking  $A$  to be a Banach algebra with trivial multiplication, in which case  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1_\omega(G, A)) \neq \{0\}$  no matter which group  $G$  one takes. To get rid of such pathological examples, mathematicians generally work with Banach algebras having a bounded approximate identity. However, we only need the following relaxed condition.

**Definition 2.5.** *A  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ -approximate identity of a Banach algebra  $A$  is a net  $\{a_\alpha\}$  in  $A$  such that  $a_\alpha a \rightarrow a$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ . If, in addition, the net  $\{a_\alpha\}$  is bounded, then we call it a bounded  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ -approximate identity.*

Note that a Banach algebra  $A$  has a bounded  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ -approximate identity if either  $A$  or  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  has a left or right bounded approximate identity. Now onward, the Banach algebra  $A$  will be assumed to have a bounded  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ -approximate identity  $\{a_\alpha\}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. If  $0 \neq f \in L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A))$ , then the net  $\{a_\alpha f\}$  in  $L_w^1(G, A)$  converges to  $f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_\alpha\}$  be bounded by  $M$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $L_w^1(G) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(A)$  is dense in  $L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A))$  [17, Theorem 2.2], there exists  $f' = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes a_i \in L_w^1(G) \otimes \mathcal{Z}(A)$  such that  $\|f - f'\|_{w,A} < \epsilon$ . As  $a_\alpha a_i \rightarrow a_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we can choose  $\alpha$  such that  $\|a_\beta a_i - a_i\| \leq \epsilon / (\sum_{i=1}^r \|f_i\|_{1,w})$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $\beta \geq \alpha$ . For every  $\beta \geq \alpha$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_\beta f' - f'\|_{w,A} &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes (a_\beta a_i - a_i) \right\|_{w,A} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \|f_i\|_{1,w} \|a_\beta a_i - a_i\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \|f_i\|_{1,w} (\epsilon / (\sum_{i=1}^r \|f_i\|_{1,w})) \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_\beta f - f\|_{w,A} &\leq \|a_\beta f - a_\beta f'\|_{w,A} + \|a_\beta f' - f'\|_{w,A} + \|f' - f\|_{w,A} \\ &\leq \|a_\beta\| \epsilon + \epsilon + \epsilon < (M + 2)\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result.  $\square$

Techniques of [12] are used to prove the latter half of following.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with weight  $w$  which is either constant on conjugacy classes or  $w \geq 1$  and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then  $G$  is an [IN] group whenever  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) \neq \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$ . For any  $x \in G$ ,  $x \cdot f, f \cdot x^{-1} \in L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A))$ , from Lemma 2.3. Thus, by Lemma 2.6,  $\Delta(x^{-1})(f \cdot x^{-1})a_\alpha \rightarrow \Delta(x^{-1})f \cdot x^{-1}$  and  $a_\alpha(x \cdot f) \rightarrow (x \cdot f)$ . Hence, in  $L_w^1(G)$ , we have

$$\|\Delta(x^{-1})(f \cdot x^{-1})a_\alpha\| \rightarrow \Delta(x^{-1})\|f \cdot x^{-1}\| = \Delta(x^{-1})\|f\| \cdot x^{-1}, \text{ and}$$

$$\|a_\alpha(x \cdot f)\| \rightarrow \|(x \cdot f)\| = x \cdot \|f\|.$$

Case(i)  $w \geq 1$ : It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $0 \neq \|f\| \in \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$ , which in turn implies that  $G$  is an [IN] group, as  $w \geq 1$  (see, [10]).

Case (ii)  $w$  is constant on conjugacy classes: The proof of [7, Lemma 4.4] works here except for the trivial modifications we now describe. Put  $h(x) = \|f(x)w(x)\|^{1/2}$ . Then using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain  $h(txt^{-1}) = \|f(txt^{-1})w(txt^{-1})\|^{1/2} = \|(t^{-1} \cdot f \cdot t^{-1})(x)w(x)\|^{1/2} = \|\Delta(t)^{1/2}f(x)w(x)\|^{1/2} = \Delta(t)^{1/2}h(x)$ . Now the continuous

function  $p(s) = \int_G h(sy)h(y)dy$  will give us a compact neighbourhood of  $e$  which is invariant under inner automorphisms.  $\square$

The restrictions on weight in the previous result are not artificial. In fact, if  $G$  is an abelian group with weight  $w$ , then there is an equivalent weight  $\tilde{w} \geq 1$  on  $G$  [4, Lemma 3.2] such that  $L_w^1(G)$  and  $L_{\tilde{w}}^1(G)$  are isomorphic as Banach algebras. Also, every weight on an abelian group is trivially constant on conjugacy classes. Moreover, if  $G$  is a compact group, then  $w \geq 1$  [9, Corollary 1.3.4].

Before presenting our main results, let us derive some consequences of what we have obtained so far. In the rest of the article,  $\text{Inn}(G)$  shall denote the group of all inner automorphisms ( $\text{Ad}_y(x) = y^{-1}xy$ ) of  $G$ . For any function  $f$  on  $G$ , define  $(\text{Ad}_y \cdot f)(x) = f(yxy^{-1})$  for every  $x, y \in G$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be an  $[\mathbf{IN}]$  group and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) = \{f \in L_w^1(G, A) : \text{Ad}_y \cdot f = f \text{ and } fa = af \forall a \in A, y \in G\}.$$

*Proof.* Note that for every  $f \in L_w^1(G, A)$  we have  $(\text{Ad}_y \cdot f)(x) = (y^{-1} \cdot f \cdot y^{-1})(x)$ . Using Lemma 2.2 and the fact that every  $[\mathbf{IN}]$  group is unimodular, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) = \{f \in L_w^1(G, A) : a(\text{Ad}_y \cdot f) = fa \forall a \in A, y \in G\}. \quad (2.1)$$

If  $f \in L_w^1(G, A)$  is such that  $fa = af$  and  $\text{Ad}_y \cdot f = f$  for every  $y \in G$  and  $a \in A$ , then it readily follows that  $a(\text{Ad}_y \cdot f) = af = fa$  for every  $y \in G$  and  $a \in A$ .

To prove the other containment, let  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$ . Taking  $y = e$  in Equation (2.1), we obtain  $fa = af$  for every  $a \in A$ .

From Lemma 2.3 it follows that  $f, \text{Ad}_y \cdot f \in L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A))$  for every  $y \in G$ . So, by Lemma 2.6 we obtain  $0 = (a_\alpha(\text{Ad}_y \cdot f) - fa_\alpha) \rightarrow (\text{Ad}_y \cdot f) - f$  for every  $y \in G$ . Hence,  $\text{Ad}_y \cdot f = f$  for every  $y \in G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be an  $[\mathbf{IN}]$  group and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. We have*

$$\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) = \{f \in L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A)) : \text{Ad}_y \cdot f = f \quad \forall y \in G\}. \quad (2.2)$$

*In particular, if  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  has a bounded approximate identity, then*

$$\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) = \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A))) \text{ and } \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G) \otimes^\gamma A) \cong \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A)).$$

*Proof.* First statement is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.8. The second statement follows from Lemma 2.3 and the fact that  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  has a bounded  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}(A))$ -approximate identity. The third statement is a consequence of the well-known fact that  $L_w^1(G) \otimes^\gamma A \cong L_w^1(G, A)$  [17, Theorem 2.2].  $\square$

Let  $G$  be an [IN] group and  $w$  be a weight which is constant on conjugacy classes. Just as in [7], we consider the  $\sigma$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : \text{Ad}_y(B) = B \text{ for all } y \in G\}$  and define the corresponding Banach algebra  $L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, A)$  arising from  $(G, \mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}, dx_{\text{inv}} = dx|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}})$ . If  $f \in L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, A)$ , then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}$ -measurable and hence  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable [7, Lemma 4.6]. Clearly,  $L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, A) \subseteq L_w^1(G, A)$ . If  $w$  is a weight such that  $w \geq 1$ , then we may define

$$L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, A) = \{f \in L_w^1(G, A) \mid f \text{ is } \mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}\text{-measurable}\}.$$

In both these cases, from [7, Lemma 4.6], we have

$$L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, A) = \{f \in L_w^1(G, A) : f \text{ is constant on the conjugacy classes of } G\}.$$

Note as in [17, Theorem 2.2] that  $L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, A) \cong L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G) \otimes^\gamma A$  for such weights.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $G$  be an [IN] group with a weight  $w$  which is either constant on conjugacy classes or  $w \geq 1$  and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) \cong \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A).$$

*Proof.* We first claim that  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) = L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G)$ .

Let us first assume that  $w$  is constant on conjugacy classes. We know that  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$  has a uniformly bounded approximate identity -see proof of [11, Corollary 1.6]. As convolution of an  $L^1$  function with an  $L^\infty$  function gives a continuous function,  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G)) \cap C(G)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$ . For a fixed  $f \in \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$ ,  $fw \in \mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$  [13], so,  $fw$  is approximated in  $L^1(G)$  by a sequence  $\{g_n\} \in \mathcal{Z}(L^1(G)) \cap C(G)$ . Since both  $g_n$  and  $w$  are constant on conjugacy classes, we obtain that  $f$  is constant on conjugacy classes. So,  $f \in L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G)$ , proving that  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \subseteq L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G)$ . It now follows from Corollary 2.9 that  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) = L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G)$ .

If  $w \geq 1$ , then  $L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G) \subseteq L_w^1(G) \subseteq L^1(G)$ . Thus, being constant on conjugacy classes, every element of  $L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$ . This further implies that  $L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$ . Conversely, if  $f \in \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$ , then  $f \in L_w^1(G)$  and  $f \cdot x = x^{-1} \cdot f$  for every  $x \in G$  since  $G$  is unimodular. This proves that  $f \in \mathcal{Z}(L^1(G))$  and hence  $f$  is constant on conjugacy classes, proving the claim.

Thus, in both the cases,

$$L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A)) \cong L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A) \cong \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A).$$

From Corollary 2.9,  $L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$ , so we only need to check the reverse containment. Further, since an arbitrary  $f \in \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$  is a member of  $L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A))$ , it is sufficient to show that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}$ -measurable.

As  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -essentially separable valued [16, Proposition 2.15], there exists  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  with zero measure such that  $f(E^c)$  is contained in a separable space. We can redefine  $f$  to be zero on  $E$  and hence  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}$ -essentially separable valued. In view of Corollary 2.9, for every  $\phi \in A^*$  and  $y \in G$  we have  $Ad_y \cdot (\phi \circ f)(x) = (\phi \circ f)(yxy^{-1}) = \phi(f(yxy^{-1})) = \phi(f(x))$  for almost every  $x \in G$ . Thus,  $\phi \circ f \in \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) = L_{w,\text{inv}}^1(G)$  and hence  $f$  is weakly  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}$ -measurable. It now follows from [16, Proposition 2.15] that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{inv}}$ -measurable.  $\square$

The following result generalizes [7, Theorem 4.13] (by taking  $w \equiv 1$ ). It also generalizes [18, Lemma 2.1] fully and [18, Proposition 2.2] to some extent.

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $G$  be an [IN] group and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then,*

$$\mathcal{Z}(L^1(G, A)) \cong \mathcal{Z}(L^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A).$$

We note the following interesting consequences of Theorem 2.10 without giving the definitions of the concepts discussed as they are not being used rigorously. We would also like to point out that (2) and (3) below generalize [18, Proposition 2.3].

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $G$  be an [IN] group with weight  $w \geq 1$  and  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then,*

- (1)  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$  is semisimple if and only if  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  is semisimple.
- (2) If  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  are weakly amenable, then so is  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$ .
- (3) If  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$  is weakly amenable and semisimple, then both  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  are weakly amenable.
- (4) If  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$  is Tauberian and semisimple, then both  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  are Tauberian.
- (5) If both  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  are Tauberian then so is  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$ .
- (6)  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A))$  is regular if and only if both  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  are regular.
- (7)  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) = \{f \in L_w^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A)) : f \text{ is constant on conjugacy classes}\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) We know that  $L^1(G)$  has approximation property [9, page no. 325]. So,  $L_w^1(G)$  has approximation property because the map  $f \in L_w^1(G) \rightarrow fw \in L^1(G)$  is a Banach



space isomorphism. Hence, the natural map from  $L_w^1(G) \otimes^\gamma A$  to  $L_w^1(G) \otimes^\lambda A$ , the Banach space injective tensor product, is injective [9, Theorem A.2.12]. Thus, its restriction from  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G) \otimes^\gamma A) = \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A)$  to  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\lambda \mathcal{Z}(A) \subseteq L_w^1(G) \otimes^\lambda A$  is also injective from the injectivity of  $\otimes^\lambda$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  is a semisimple and commutative Banach algebra [15, Corollary 2.3.7], the result now follows from [9, Theorem 2.11.6].

(2) It follows from the fact that projective tensor product of weakly amenable commutative Banach algebras is weakly amenable (see [3, Proposition 2.8.71]).

(3) Since  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  are semisimple, there exists multiplicative linear functionals  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  on  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ , respectively, see [9, Definition 2.1.9]. Then  $\phi_1 \otimes^\gamma 1_{\mathcal{Z}(A)} : \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A)$  and  $1_{\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))} \otimes \phi_2 : \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G)) \otimes^\gamma \mathcal{Z}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  are surjective homomorphism and [3, Proposition 2.8.64] gives the result.

(4) This follows from (1) and [19, Lemma 2.1].

(5) Since both  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  are commutative, the result follows from [6, Theorem 1. P<sub>2</sub>].

(6) It follows from Theorem 2.10 and [20, Theorem 3].

(7) This is same as saying that  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G, A)) = L_{w, \text{inv}}^1(G, \mathcal{Z}(A))$ , which is proved in Theorem 2.10. □

**Remark 2.13.** *Note that the hypothesis  $w \geq 1$  is merely used to obtain semisimplicity of  $\mathcal{Z}(L_w^1(G))$ . The results (2), (5), (6) and (7) are true if  $w$  is constant on conjugacy classes.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alaghmandan, Y. Choi and E. Samei, ZL-amenability and characters for the restricted direct products of finite groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **411** (2014), no. 1, 314–328.
- [2] A. Azimifard, E. Samei and N. Spronk, Amenability properties of the centres of group algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256** (2009), no. 5, 1544–1564.
- [3] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 24, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [4] H. V. Dedania and M. K. Kansagara, Gelfand Theory for Vector-valued Beurling algebras, *Mathematics Today* 29.2 (2013), 12–24.
- [5] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [6] B. R. Gelbaum, Tensor products and related questions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 103 (1962), 525–548

- [7] V. P. Gupta, R. Jain and B. Talwar, On closed Lie ideals and center of generalized group algebras. To appear in J. Math. Anal. Appl. arXiv:2008.06031 [math.FA]
- [8] V. P. Gupta and R. Jain, On Banach space projective tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras, Banach J. Math. Anal. (2020), **14**, 524–538.
- [9] E. Kaniuth, A course in commutative Banach algebras, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **246**, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [10] J. Liukkonen and R. Mosak, Harmonic analysis and centers of Beurling algebras, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), no. 3, 297–315.
- [11] . . . . ., Harmonic analysis and centers of group algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **195** (1974), 147–163.
- [12] R. D. Mosak, Central functions in group algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 613–616.
- [13] . . . . ., The  $L^1$ - and  $C^*$ -algebras of  $[FIA]_B^-$  groups, and their representations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **163** (1972), 277–310.
- [14] H. Reiter and J. D. Stegeman, Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups, second edition, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 22, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [15] C. E. Rickart, General theory of Banach algebras, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, NJ, 1960.
- [16] R. A. Ryan, Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, London, 2002.
- [17] E. Samei, Weak amenability and 2-weak amenability of Beurling algebras, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **346** (2008), no. 2, 451–467.
- [18] V. Shepelska and Y. Zhang, Weak amenability of the central Beurling algebras on  $[FC]^-$  groups, Michigan Math. J. **66** (2017), no. 2, 433–446.
- [19] U. B. Tewari, M. Dutta and S. Madan, Tensor products of commutative Banach algebras, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **5** (1982), no. 3, 503–512.
- [20] J. Tomiyama, Tensor products of commutative Banach algebras, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **12** (1960), 147–154.

BHARAT TALWAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI

*Email address:* btalwar.math@gmail.com

RANJANA JAIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI

*Email address:* rjain@maths.du.ac.in