

DravidianMultiModality: A Dataset for Multi-modal Sentiment Analysis in Tamil and Malayalam

Bharathi Raja Chakravarthi¹ · Jishnu Parameswaran P.K² · Premjith B² · K.P Soman² · Rahul Ponnusamy³ · Prasanna Kumar Kumaresan³ · Kingston Pal Thamburaj⁴ · John P. McCrae¹

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Human communication is inherently multimodal and asynchronous. Analyzing human emotions and sentiment is an emerging field of artificial intelligence. We are witnessing an increasing amount of multimodal content in local languages on social media about products and other topics. However, there are not many multimodal resources available for under-resourced Dravidian languages. Our study aims to create a multimodal sentiment analysis dataset for the under-resourced Tamil and Malayalam languages. First, we downloaded product or movies review videos from YouTube for Tamil and Malayalam. Next, we created captions for the videos with the help of annotators. Then we labelled the videos for sentiment, and verified the inter-annotator agreement using Fleiss's Kappa. This is the first multimodal sentiment analysis dataset for Tamil and Malayalam by volunteer annotators. This dataset is publicly available for future research in multimodal analysis in Tamil and Malayalam at Github¹ and Zenodo².

Keywords Sentiment Analysis · Multimodal · Dataset · Tamil · Malayalam

Bharathi Raja Chakravarthi
E-mail: bharathi.raja@insight-centre.org

Jishnu Parameswaran P.K
Premjith B
K.P Soman
Rahul Ponnusamy
Prasanna Kumar Kumaresan
Kingston Pal Thamburaj
John P. McCrae

¹Insight SFI Research Centre for Data Analytics, Data Science Institute, National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland

²Center for Computational Engineering and Networking (CEN), Amrita School of Engineering, Coimbatore, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, India

³Indian Institute of Information Technology and Management-Kerala, India

⁴Universiti Pendidikan Sultan Idris, Malaysia

¹ [githublink](#)

² <https://zenodo.org/>

1 Introduction

Computer Vision (CV) and Natural Language Processing (NLP), which offer computers the capability to grasp vision and language like humans, are recognised as necessary analysis fields of computer science (Jaimes and Sebe, 2007). As artificial intelligence becomes more integrated into everyday life throughout the world, intelligent beings capable of comprehending multimodal language in many cultures are in high demand. Many multimodal analysis tasks have recently gained much attention since they bridge the boundary between vision and language to achieve human-level capability (Poria et al., 2017a). Complementary evidence from these unimodal characteristics is also appealing to multimodal content interpretation (Zadeh et al., 2017). The abundance of behavioral clues is the fundamental benefit of studying videos over text analysis. In terms of voice and visual modalities, videos give multimodal data. Along with text data, voice modulations and facial expressions in visual data give key indicators to better detect real emotional states of the opinion bearer. As a result, combining text and video data aids in the development of a more accurate emotion and sentiment analysis model (Soleymani et al., 2017). However, they are not developed for under-resourced languages.

Sentiment analysis in machine learning is typically modelled as a supervised classification task where the learning algorithm is trained on datasets that comprise sentiments such as positive, negative, or neutral in text (Wilson et al., 2005; Pak and Paroubek, 2010; Agarwal et al., 2011; Clavel and Callejas, 2016). However, human acquisition of sentiment does not occur only based on language but also based on other modalities (Baltrusaitis et al., 2019). Multimodal sentiment analysis aims to learn a joint representation of multiple modalities such as text, audio, an image or video to predict the sentiment of the content (Poria et al., 2017a). This field aims to model real-world sentiment analysis from several distinct sensory inputs. Studies have shown that the use of vocabulary, vision and acoustic knowledge can boost the efficiency of Natural Language Processing (NLP) models. The primary value of studying videos over textual content is the abundance of behavioural signs for identifying thoughts and feelings from different modalities. Although textual sentiment analysis facilities only use sentences, phrases and relationships, as well as their dependencies, they are deemed inadequate to derive related full-fledged sentiment from textual opinions (Pérez-Rosas et al., 2013).

In the last decade, sentiment analysis has attracted the attention of many scholars due to the increasing amount of user-generated content on social media (Yue et al., 2019). Understanding users' preferences, habits, and contents by sentiment analysis and opinion mining opened up opportunities for many companies. Sentiments aid in decision-making, communication, and situation analysis (Taylor and Keselj, 2020). Recently, due to the popularity and availability of handheld devices with cameras, more videos express their sentiment and opinion about a product, people and places than text (Poria et al., 2017b; Gella et al., 2018). For example, users create videos by recording their opinions of products using mobile cameras or webcam and post them on social media such as YouTube, TikTok and Facebook (Castro et al., 2019; Qiyang and Jung, 2019). Therefore, analysis of multimodal content becomes vital to understand the opinions.

However, the majority of the work on sentiment analysis and opinion mining focused on a single modality such as text or audio. Although some progress has been made for multimodal sentiment analysis in the English language (Poria et al., 2018; Poria et al., 2019), this area still appears to be at the very early stages of research for other under-resourced languages including Dravidian languages. In this article, we are particularly interested in the Dravidian languages because of the importance and population of the native speaker of these languages. Our work is the first attempt to create a multimodal video dataset for Dravidian languages to the best of our knowledge. Furthermore, most of the existing corpora for the Dravidian language are not readily available for research.

This paper contributes to introducing a multimodal dataset of sentiment analysis for Tamil and Malayalam. Our dataset contains 134 videos, out of which 70 are Malayalam videos and 64 are Tamil videos. Each video segment includes manual transcription aligned along with sentiment annotation by volunteer annotators. The paper proceeds as follows: in Section 2 we give a brief overview of related work in multimodal sentiment analysis datasets; in Section 3 we provide more in-depth background to Dravidian languages; in Section 4 the process for the corpus collection is described, including the challenges and methods used to overcome it; in Section 5 we explain the postprocessing methods we used; in Section 6 we outline the annotation of the corpus; in Section 7 we briefly describe corpus statistics, and in Section 8 we conclude the paper and provide directions for future work.

2 Related Work

Sentiment analysis and opinion mining have become an immense opportunity to understand users' habits and contents. Multimodal sentiment analysis, which combines verbal and nonverbal behaviours, has become one of the favourite research subjects in natural language processing (Baltrušaitis et al., 2018; Zadeh et al., 2020). The abundance of behavioural cues is the primary benefit of multimodal analysis videos over just uni-modal text analysis for detecting feelings and sentiment from opinions. Many studies have focused on developing a new fusion network based on this structure to capture multimodal representation further (Cambria et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2018; Blanchard et al., 2018; Sahay et al., 2020).

To study multimodal sentiment analysis and emotion recognition, datasets were created by many research groups; notable works in this area are presented below. Interactive Emotional Dyadic Motion Capture Database (IEMOCAP) (Busso et al., 2008) was created to understand expressive human communication by joint analysis of speech and gestures. This dataset was collected by the Speech Analysis and Interpretation Laboratory (SAIL) at the University of Southern California (USC). The dataset consists of 10K videos with sentiment and emotion labels recorded by ten actors. To do multimodal research in a real-world setting, a novel corpus for studying sentiment and subjectivity in opinion from Youtube Videos were created by Morency et al. (2011) for the English language. This corpus was one of the earliest multimodal data created to show that it is feasible to benefit from tri-modal sentiment analysis,

exploiting visual, audio, and textual modality. It was annotated for sentiment polarity by three workers for 47 videos and manual transcriptions for the audio data.

Pérez Rosas et al. (2013) created 105 Spanish videos annotated for sentiment polarity at utterance level. CMU-MOSI (Zadeh et al., 2016) dataset was created by CMU. It was annotated for sentiment in the range of [-3,3] for 2199 opinion video clips. AMMER (Cevher et al., 2019) is a German emotional recognition dataset about an in-car experiment that focuses on the drivers' interaction with both a virtual agent as well as a co-driver. CUM-MOSEI (Bagher Zadeh et al., 2018) is a multimodal opinion sentiment and emotion intensity dataset that contains more than 1000 online YouTube speakers and more than 23,500 sentences from various topics and monologues. It also contains SDK to load the datasets into TensorFlow and PyTorch formats. This dataset was released for Grand Challenges (Zadeh et al., 2018). This encouraged many researchers to do research on multimodal analysis. Yu et al. (2020) created a Chinese multimodal sentiment analysis dataset containing 2,281 refined video segments. For Spanish, Portuguese, German and French, Bagher Zadeh et al. (2020) created a large-scale multimodal language dataset covering diverse set topics and speakers with 40,000 labelled sentences.

While there are many datasets available for English and other languages, no multimodal video dataset annotated for sentiment analysis is available for the Tamil and Malayalam languages to the best of our knowledge. We created a meme dataset for the Tamil language (Suryawanshi et al., 2020); the dataset contains images along with text embedded on them, does not contain video. We also conducted a shared task to improve research on multimodal for Tamil (Suryawanshi and Chakravarthi, 2021). In this paper, we created a dataset contains 134 videos, out of which 70 are Malayalam videos, and 64 are Tamil videos. Wollmer et al. (2013) created a sentiment analysis of online videos containing movie reviews from YouTube. The videos are annotated at the video level for the sentiment. Our approach followed a similar approach and annotated sentiment at the video clip level. Finally, we note that our dataset is not extensive as some of the previous dataset for other languages, since volunteers annotated it, but it has its own merit. We hope that this work will help to inspire other researchers to do work in multimodal analysis in Tamil and Malayalam languages.

3 Dravidian Languages

Robert Caldwell was the first to use 'Dravidian' as the common term for the prominent language family spoken in South India (Krishnamurti, 2003; Steever, 2018). The new name was derived from the Sanskrit word *Dravida* or *Dramila*, which had previously been used to refer to the inhabitants of modern-day Tamil Nadu, Kerala, southern regions of Andhra Pradesh and Karnataka (Caldwell, 1875; Zvelebil, 1973). For this paper, we will consider the present-day classification of languages in the Dravidian language family, including Brahui, Kannada, Kurukh, Telugu, Tulu, Malto, and more includes not only the south Indian language but also northern Indian, Sri Lankan and Pakistan languages³. Dravidian languages are divided into four groups, South, South

³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dravidian_languages

Central, Central, and North Dravidian. Major literary languages of the Dravidian family are Tamil, Malayalam, Kannada and Telugu, all belonging to the South and South Central group. We have created a resource for Tamil (ISO 639-3: tam) and Malayalam (ISO 639-3: mal), which belong to the South Dravidian group.

The Tamil language is spoken in Tamil Nadu, India, Sri Lanka, Singapore, Malaysia, South Africa, and the Tamil diaspora (Thavareesan and Mahesan, 2019, 2020a,b). The Tamil language is one of the official languages of Tamil Nadu, Puducherry, India, Sri Lanka, and Singapore comprises more than 80 million (2011-2019) speakers ⁴. Malayalam is spoken by 33 million (2011-2019) ⁵ people in Kerala, Lakshadweep, Puducherry and other countries. The Tamil and Malayalam languages have their own script, and they are different in many ways even though they are very closely related languages. The Tamil script specified as Eluttu in most ancient grammar *Tolkappiyam* according to it 12 vowels (uyireluttu), 18 pure consonants (meyyeluttu) and one special character aytha eluttu, in total 31 letters in their independent form and an additional of 216 (12×18) combinant letters, for a total of 247 (12+18+216+1) combinations (uyirmeyyeluttu) (Sakuntharaj and Mahesan, 2016, 2017, 2018b,a).

Malayalam follows an abugida writing scheme (Mohanam, 1989). The writing system of the ancient Malayalam is known as Vatteluttu (round writing) because the characters are written circularly. The modern Malayalam script uses a transfigured version of the Pallava Grantha script. Malayalam now has 15 vowels and 36 consonants; there are five pure consonant characters. Malayalam supports the generation of other character forms by combining consonants with vowels ($14 \times 36 - 3 = 501$) ⁶, consonants with consonants (makes up around 57 characters Krishnamurti (2003)). Therefore, Malayalam has approximately 513 characters in it. The Pallava Grantha script was used to write Sanskrit in South India, and hence Malayalam has more letter than Tamil, which does not have voiced consonants and aspirates.

Tamil is diglossic, which means its written form and spoken form are different (Schiffman, 1978; Lokesh et al., 2019). The written form of present-day Tamil is standardized by the Government of Tamil Nadu, India and Sri Lanka (Schiffman, 1998). However, there are many spoken forms, including Central Tamil dialect, Kongu Tamil, Chennai dialect, Madurai Tamil, Nellai Tamil, Kumari Tamil, Palakkad Tamil in India and Batticaloa Tamil dialect, Jaffna Tamil dialect, Negombo Tamil dialect in Sri Lanka (Zvelebil, 1960; Annamalai and Steever, 2015). Kannada has heavily influenced the Sankethi Tamil dialect in Karnataka. Similarly, the Malayalam language also has many dialects. Malayalam dialects are mainly categorized based on geographical location and caste/religion. Dialects of Malayalam are: Malabar, Nagari-Malayalam, Malayalam, South Kerala, Central Kerala, North Kerala, Kayavar, Namboodiri, Mapilla, Pulaya, Nasrani, Nayar, and Kasargod. In addition to it, the people of Lakshadweep speak another dialect called Jeseri (Govindankutty, 1972). We collected videos from social media YouTube where people from every region uploaded the video, so there many dialects in the study.

⁴ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tamil_language

⁵ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Malayalam>

⁶ 14 → excluding the *a* sound, 3 → *l*, *r* and *l* cannot be combined with *ḥ*

4 Data collection

This section presents the dataset prepared for the Multimodal Sentiment Analysis (MSA) in Dravidian languages such as Malayalam and Tamil. All the video reviews were collected from YouTube. The dataset comprises of the following:

1. Movie review videos in Malayalam and Tamil which have visual gestures required for multimodal sentiment analysis.
2. Speech transcriptions of each video for extracting the textual features for the analysis.
3. Annotations for the data on a five-point scale - Highly Positive, Positive, Neutral, Negative and Highly Negative, which are the labels used for the classification.

The dataset contains 134 videos, out of which 70 are Malayalam videos and 64 are Tamil videos. We used various video downloading applications to download the videos from YouTube, and all of them have a fair resolution between 480 pixels and 720 pixels.

4.1 Acquisition of the videos for developing the dataset

The dataset acquisition mainly focussed on movie reviews posted on YouTube by different vloggers. This work emphasised the development of the MSA dataset in Malayalam and Tamil, and hence we considered videos posted in those two languages only. However, there were no constraints imposed on the language in which a movie is made, which means that the dataset also contains Malayalam/Tamil reviews of other language movies. Furthermore, a few more conditions were fixed to choose the videos, which are listed below,

- Length of the video

In this work, the length of all videos is fixed between one minute to three minutes. The videos with less than a minute length may not contain adequate visual features for identifying the sentiments. Similarly, lengthy videos may have more than one sentiment, which might make the task of sentiment analysis a difficult one.

- The face of the reviewer

Videos were collected in such a way that the face of a reviewer is clearly visible. It helps to extract the required facial features from the data effectively. Therefore, videos with unclear faces and cropped faces were discarded from the selection of the dataset.

- Background of the video

In this work, we decided to select videos with a plain background because the textured background may affect the extraction of visual features from the text.

The number of channels reviewing the movies in Malayalam and Tamil is less. In addition to that, these constraints set on selecting the preferred videos pose some challenges, which are listed below.

1. Some reviewers review movies without showing their face but by displaying the movie posters. These videos cannot be accepted for the dataset because they do not contain any facial expressions.

2. Some videos contain both reviewer's face and the movie poster. Reviewers use movie posters or images to explain some part of the story or events in a movie from time to time. Because of this reason, it becomes difficult to capture a video of length at least one minute, which do not contain anything other than the reviewers face.
3. Some reviews contain more than one reviewer. There are two such scenarios.
 - In one scenario, two or more persons will always be present in the video. These two persons may have two different facial expressions depending on their views towards a particular movie. Here, the machine has to choose one of the faces and make predictions based on it. How to select one face from multiple faces in a video is a question to be answered carefully.
 - In another scenario, two or more persons alternatively appears in the video, and the screen time each reviewer gets continuously is less than one minute. As a result of this, we were forced to exclude those videos from consideration.
4. Another type of reviews is the public review, where the reviewer asks the public about their opinion regarding the movies. We didn't consider those reviews for the stud, even though the number of such videos is high on YouTube.
5. Some videos satisfy all of our requirements but the background image. The videos with a plain background or minimum texture or graphics were considered because more textured background or graphics may prevent the algorithm from separating the face from the background.
6. Movie reviews shot outdoors also causes some issues with the background. In addition to the background, which contains various objects and colour schemes, such videos may comprise natural sounds. Such videos were also rejected from the selection.
7. Some reviewers do the vlogging while travelling, which causes a lot of background noise in the video. It also causes a shake in the video. These reasons prevented us from selecting such videos for preparing the dataset.
8. In some of the videos, the reviewers use more English words than Tamil or Malayalam words. The presence of more foreign language words affects the perception of speech sounds in Dravidian languages. Those videos were also not taken into account for dataset development.
9. A few videos were turned down because of the improper usage of microphones. It affected the audio quality of the review, and hence it became difficult to filter out the sound waves from the video.
10. Some reviewers use background music in their videos. It also caused difficulty in extracting the clean sound waves from the video. Since MSA also includes the analysis of speech signal, it is mandatory to have clean signals for extracting features for further analysis.

Finally, the videos which are devoid of all the problems mentioned above were considered for developing the dataset. Because of the underlying distribution complexity, diverse training samples are essential for thorough multimodal language investigations. The variety of intra-modal and cross-modal dynamics for language, vision, and auditory modalities lies at the basis of this complexity. To ensure diversity, we considered videos spreading across all these categories regarding channels, age and gender.

4.2 How did we overcome the challenges?

This section explains the challenges we faced while collecting the data in different stages and the measures we took to tackle those issues.

4.2.1 Selection of the videos

The first challenge comes from the selection of the videos. There were only a few vloggers doing videos of the type that we could accept. Using the limited channels available, we selected the videos with utmost care. After identifying the videos, the next challenge came from the quality of the videos. Some videos didn't have enough quality, which hindered the proper recognition of facial expressions. Those videos were downloaded with the highest possible quality and further scrutinised for selection. The sound quality of the videos was another hindrance to be solved. Some reviewers didn't use microphones, which resulted in below-par sound quality. Therefore, videos with above-average quality audio were considered for the selection. Another challenge we faced was the presence of background noise. Videos that contain background noise that affect the audio quality were discarded. The next challenge comes from the volatile and high-tempo nature of the opinion videos, where speakers will often switch between topics and opinions. While they talk about an actor, they may suddenly change to music or cinematography. This makes it challenging to identify and segment the different opinions expressed by the speaker. The range, subtlety or intensity of sentiments expressed in the opinion videos was another obstacle to be faced.

In some cases, the way the reviewer verbalised his/her opinion did not match the objective of this work. Those situations come when the reviewer starts the video with negative remarks and concludes with a positive note without giving sufficient positive reasoning. Continuity in the explanation was another problem we found in the reviews. Some reviewers often change topics and deviate from the point they were discussing.

4.2.2 Background of the video

Utmost care should be given to verify the background of the video. Reviewers may display movie posters on the screen for less than a second time, and it may not get noticed if we don't pay complete attention to the video. Some videos contain poster of movies or the channel logo, which also have to be removed. Further, instruments such as laptops, cameras, and microphones may also be there in the video. It is good to avoid such objects from a video to make it clean. Since the number of channels reviewing movies in Malayalam and Tamil is limited and the videos which satisfy all our constraints are much fewer, we cannot simply drop all the videos. Therefore, to make the videos with above-stated background issues acceptable for the dataset, we decided to crop the portions which contain movie posters, logo, and instruments.

4.2.3 Editing the video

In most of the videos, the length ranges from 3 minutes to 15 minutes. Reviewers may talk about paid promotions, the story of the movie, and other things. But we

are interested in the portion where the reviewer talks positively or negatively about the video. It is essential to locate the beginning and end of the sentence to do that. It brings another challenge to the length of the video. We decided to keep the minimum and maximum duration of the video to 1 minute and 3 minutes, respectively, for the dataset. Therefore, finding a video with relevant content whose length falls within the stipulated range is a strenuous task. In addition to that, reviewers sometimes stop mid-sentence and immediately start the following sentence. It was challenging to edit such portions because we have to find out the exact location (or time in minutes and seconds) where we have to cut. These processes are time-consuming as we have to spend time watching the videos several times to edit the desired portion.

4.2.4 Preparation of the transcripts

Preparation of the transcript was the most challenging task as it could only be done with human assistance. Initially, we used Google speech-to-text⁷ and IBM speech-to-text⁸ models for transcribing the videos. However, the results were not satisfactory due to the dialect, pronunciation, clarity in speech, and improper sentence construction. Therefore, we decided to prepare the transcripts manually. Following are the difficulties we faced while preparing the transcripts,

1. Reviewers may speak fast, which makes it difficult to perceive.
2. Reviewers stop sentences abruptly.
3. Obscured pronunciation due to various reasons such as a slip of the tongue, local slang, and ignorance of the proper pronunciation.
4. To transcribe a video most efficiently, we had to watch it repeatedly and listen to the words one by one. Writing transcriptions in Malayalam and Tamil using a QWERTY keyboard is a laborious job because of the difficulty of identifying the keyboard characters. Therefore, we utilised Google input tools⁹ to prepare the transcripts. The Malayalam and Tamil transcripts written using Google input tools were copied into a Notepad and saved to the local drive. Figures 1 illustrates this process.
5. Placing punctuation was another cumbersome task. Reviewers stop sentences without completing them and start the sentences without a proper beginning. In general, many sentences did not have a formal sentence structure. It leads to an ambiguous usage of punctuation marks in the transcripts. A decision on where to keep the punctuation and which punctuation to use was taken after watching the videos and listening to the audio several times.
6. Identification of the dialects of the reviewers was another difficult job. There are diverse dialects in Malayalam and Tamil, and it is a demanding task for a person to understand those dialects if (s)he is not familiar with them. Therefore, we had to seek help from others to understand the words, and thereby the spelling.
7. Problems with the dialects affected the annotation process also. Most of the Malayalam and Tamil words possess diverse senses in different contexts. In some

⁷ <https://cloud.google.com/speech-to-text/>

⁸ <https://www.ibm.com/cloud/watson-speech-to-text>

⁹ <https://www.google.com/inputtools>




Fig. 1: Screenshot of a video and preparing its transcription using Google input tools.

cases, the meanings are opposite also. Neologisms added complexity to this scenario. Nowadays, terms generally used for expressing negative senses are widely used for expressing positive feelings. It causes ambiguity while annotating the video if the annotator is unaware of such usages in a particular dialect.

The average time taken to complete the transcription for one video in Malayalam was 2 hours, whereas Tamil's case exceeds 3 hours, making the transcription time-consuming.

5 Post-processing of the selected videos

Post-processing is required to make the selected videos appropriate for the dataset for the MSA in Dravidian languages. Following are the two post-processing steps we followed for preparing the dataset to match the criteria set for the dataset.

5.1 Fixing the length of the video

The length of the videos in the dataset has to be between 1 minute and 3 minutes. Therefore, the video duration had to be shortened to bring the length within the above-stated range. While doing so, it has to be made clear that the video starts with a proper sentence (should not start at the middle of a sentence) and ends with another. Moreover, the selected portion should convey an opinion about the movie. It has to be done by giving maximum attention. We used the Windows Photos application to edit the videos.

Steps followed for editing the videos using the Photos application is given below,

1. Open the video using the Photos application.
2. Select the Edit & Create option.
3. Choose the Trim option from the drop-down menu and select the portion of the video to be extracted.




Fig. 2: Choose Edit & Create menu from the Windows Photos application




Fig. 3: Choose the Trim option to cut the required portion from a video

4. Save the extracted video in the drive.

Figures 2, 3 and 4 illustrates the steps we followed for editing the videos.

5.2 Cropping of video

This post-processing step was carried out to remove the advertisements, movie posters, electronic gadgets and other properties, logos and ratings for the movie. We employed




Fig. 4: Fix the portion of the video to be edited out

this process on the videos which are found to be appropriate for the dataset. It can be done with any video cropping application, and we used an Android application named Smart Video Crop¹⁰ to crop out the unnecessary portions from the video. We checked the quality of the videos after this process to make sure that both video and audio quality are not degraded. Steps involved in cropping the videos using Smart Video Crop are illustrated in Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8. Screenshots of the selected and cropped videos are shown in Figure 9.

6 Sentiment Annotation

The final step in the dataset development is annotation. It is also a complicated job as it requires the opinions of multiple annotators to finalise the labels for each data. In this work, we annotated the data with five sentiments - Positive, Highly Positive, Neutral, Negative, and Highly Negative.

6.1 Annotation Setup

We took the procedures put forward by Zadeh et al. (2016) and Mohammad (2016) into consideration for annotating the video clips. Three annotators annotated each video clip for each language. Unlike unimodal data, multimodal data needs a more detailed analysis of the data by considering the video, audio and text for annotation. The facial expression of the reviewer is substantial to determine the sentiment. We considered clues obtained from both facial expressions of the reviewer and his/her vocal modulations (and transcript) to annotate the video. Considering the facial expression,

¹⁰ <https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.clogica.videocrop&hl=en&gl=US>




Fig. 5: Selecting the video to be cropped.

the tone of the speech helps to distinguish the sentiments of the video clips, especially when the reviewer uses sarcastic comments. The annotation schema is given below.

- **Positive state:** A video clip is annotated as positive if the reviewer uses positive words with mild facial expression to review that video.
- **Highly positive state:** If the reviewer uses overstated words or expressions to describe a movie, we annotated it as a highly positive movie.
- **Negative state:** There is a usage of negative words and sarcastic comments with soft facial expressions in a video that helped to label it as negative.




Fig. 6: Select the cropping option from the list of options available.

- **Highly negative state:** Similar to the highly positive state, if the reviewer exaggeratedly uses negative words with a sullen face and taut voice, we consider those video clips as highly negative ones.
- **Neutral state:** There is no explicit or implicit indicator of the speaker's emotional state: Examples are asking for like or subscription or questions about the release date or movie dialogue. This state can be considered a neutral state.




Fig. 7: Select the format and quality of the video to be saved

6.2 Annotators

We shared separate Google sheets with each of the annotators to avoid copying the labels in case of any ambiguity in identifying the sentiment. The annotators include one female and two male for both languages. Except for one Tamil annotator, all other annotators were postgraduates. Malayalam annotators were proficient in Malayalam and English, whereas all the Tamil annotators were polyglots with competence in Tamil, Malayalam and English. Among the annotators, only two (in Tamil) did schooling in English medium and others in their native language. Table 1 shows the details of




Fig. 8: Select the location in the drive to save the file

the annotators. Annotators, except one, are students of Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, India, who volunteered to do the annotation. One Tamil annotator is a parent of the author who can read, write and speak Tamil.

Labelling was done manually by considering the following aspects after observing the video clips using VLC video player ¹¹,

¹¹ <https://www.videolan.org/vlc/>




Fig. 9: Screenshots of the selected after the post-processing

		Malayalam	Tamil
Gender	Male	2	2
	Female	1	1
Highest Education	Undgraduate	0	1
	Postgraduate	3	2
Medium of Schooling	English	0	2
	Native	3	1
Total		3	3

Table 1: Details of the annotators who did annotation of the video clips.

6.3 Facial expression and gestures

Humans use facial expressions as a primary mode of communicating their emotions and sentiments. In addition to that, people use gestures to support their way of expressing feelings. Therefore, one should observe the video clips to understand the exact sentiments expressed by the reviewer. Generally, people use facial expressions to magnify their highly positive or highly negative sentiments with some gestures. It helped us to identify these sentiments quickly by taking the vocal modulation and words into consideration. We focused on the eyebrows and pupils of the eyes to pin down the sentiment. The movement and the size of the pupils, and the shape of the eyebrows helped to recognise highly positive and highly negative sentiments. Besides, the wider hand movements of the reviewer also aided in understanding the highly

positive and negative sentiments. In addition to that, the amplitude of the vocal modulations was also considered. Even though the facial expressions and gestures are minimal in other cases, it was adequate to distinguish positive and negative sentiments from neutral sentiment. The connection between the facial expression, gestures, voice modulation and the words were examined for the annotation. In most of the videos, the hand gestures were not visible clearly since the video clips were cropped to remove unwanted portions. Figures 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16, show screenshots of highly positive, positive, neutral, negative, and highly negative videos in Malayalam. Similarly, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 show screenshots of highly positive, positive, neutral, negative, and highly negative videos in Tamil.

6.4 Words and sentences

The sentiment of a sentence is greatly affected by the words used in it. Here, we initially analysed the words used in videos to understand the sentiments. Words such as ‘good’, ‘bad’, ‘very nice’, ‘very bad’, ‘one time watchable’, ‘the film got awards’, ‘a family-watchable movie’, and ‘a super hit movie’ helped to identify the sentiments directly. Apart from that, the sentences/phrases such as “It was irritating” and “if you have a problem with someone, force him to watch this movie” also helped to recognise the sentiments. Below are examples of highly positive, positive, negative, and highly negative words in Malayalam and Tamil data.

- Highly positive words/phrases in Malayalam
Valare manoharamayi (very beautifully), anchil anch rating (five out of five ratings), kothippikkum (will be coveted)
- Positive words/phrases in Malayalam
ishtappedum (would like), rasamulla (interesting), gambheeram (awesome)
- Negative words/phrases in Malayalam
shokam (grief), veruppikkunna (disgusting), puthumayilla (nothing new)
- Highly negative words/phrases in Malayalam
valare mosham (very bad), valareyadhikam nirasha (very disappointed), ozhivakkenda cinema (avoidable cinema)

6.5 Direct rating

Some reviewers give ratings to movies on a scale of five. This aspect is considered for annotation if the video contains statements related to the rating. Table 2 shows the scheme followed for labelling the videos in such situations. Besides, definite reviews from the reviewers like "this movie is good" were also considered.

6.6 Attention

The word or phrase on which the reviewer gives attention plays a vital role in determining the sentiment of a movie. A reviewer may give a positive verdict about a movie

Rating	Label
≥ 4	Highly Positive
> 2.5 and < 4	Positive
$= 2.5$	Neutral
< 2.5 and > 1	Negative
≤ 1	Highly Negative

Table 2: Annotation scheme used for labelling the videos based on the ratings given by the reviewer.

despite giving a few negative opinions. In such cases, the annotator should listen to the words to which the reviewer pays more attention. In some cases, instead of giving a direct opinion, reviewers may talk about the awards and recognition the movie has received. The annotator has to decide the sentiment from the words. We labelled such reviews as highly positive because of the appraisal given by the reviewer. Therefore, such appraisals were taken into account for annotating a video as a positive one or a highly positive one. If the reviewer makes comments similar to "It is impossible to give any rating for this movie", we annotate those reviews as negative. If the opinion of the reviewer is "It is a good film, family-oriented subject, but the scenes are predictable, and these type of stories are repeated; but old people will love it, you can watch it one time", we labelled them as neutral. Similar sentence structures were analysed for annotating the videos into any one of the five categories.

6.7 Sarcasm in the speech

Sarcasm is a linguistic usage that has to be addressed carefully in applications like sentiment analysis. Generally, in reviews, people use sarcastic statements to express their negative sentiments. Sarcasm is negative sentiment in disguise. An example of such a statement is given below,

"Tears came out of my eyes by seeing such action scenes, the hero is fighting with 100 people, and the enemies are flying. Even Superman can not fight like this."

This sentence seems to be positive but conveys a negative sentiment. Sarcasm has to be identified from the tone of the speech and the facial expression.

6.8 Usage of words in the speech

Ambiguity in the senses of words is a universal problem in language. In regional languages such as Malayalam and Tamil, people use words with opposite meanings in different contexts. Dialects in these languages are one reason for such ambiguity. Apart from dialects, words used among a particular age group or locality also bring about such ambiguity. 'Bhayankaram' (fearful) and 'poli' (demolishing) are examples of such usage.

Language	Malayalam	Tamil
Number of tokens	10332	13066
Vocabulary size	3946	4445
Number of words occurs only once	2667	3059
Number of words appear more than 50 times	13	22
Number of words appear more than 30 times	21	19
Number of words appear more than 20 times	15	38
Number of words appear more than 10 times	98	105
Age group of the speakers	18 to 45	18 to 58
Number of distinct speakers	20	26
Male speakers	19	17
Female speakers	1	4
Video length	1 to 3 minutes	
Frame width of the video	342 to 1280 pixels	
Frame height of the video	360 to 720 pixels	
Data rate	408kps to 1152kps	
Bit rate	539 kbps to 1280kbps	
Size of the videos	3 MB to 55 MB	

Table 3: Statistics of Malayalam and Tamil data across different classes.



Fig. 12: Screenshots of Malayalam video clips with highly positive sentiment



Fig. 13: Screenshots of Malayalam video clips with positive sentiment



Fig. 14: Screenshots of Malayalam video clips with neutral sentiment



Fig. 15: Screenshots of Malayalam video clips with negative sentiment



Fig. 16: Screenshots of Malayalam video clips with highly negative sentiment



Fig. 17: Screenshots of Tamil video clips with highly positive sentiment



Fig. 18: Screenshots of Tamil video clips with positive sentiment

6.9 Inter-Annotator Agreement (IAA)

The inter-annotator agreement is a measure of agreement between annotators while annotating the data. It also tells the clarity in the guidelines used for the annotation. The annotators tend to disagree the annotation guidelines are not well defined, and it affects the decision to find the proper annotation for data. In addition to that, it also




Fig. 19: Screenshots of Tamil video clips with neutral sentiment



Fig. 20: Screenshots of Tamil video clips with negative sentiment



Fig. 21: Screenshots of Tamil video clips with highly negative sentiment

tells how reliable an annotation is. Here Fleiss's Kappa score is used to compute the IAA since there are three annotators.

$$\kappa = \frac{P(A) - P(E)}{1 - P(E)} \quad (1)$$

Where $P(A)$ is the fraction of times all the three annotators agreed upon the same score, and $P(E)$ is the probability of the expected agreement. We followed the definition given by (Landis and Koch, 1977) to interpret the Kappa scores, which is given in Table 4.

The number of times the annotators were ambiguous in identifying the proper label was less. Mainly, the ambiguity came in Positive-Highly Positive, Positive-Neutral, and Negative-Highly Negative pairs. In these cases, the labels with maximum votes were taken as the label of the data. Table 5 gives the Kappa score for IAA in Malayalam and Tamil data. The Kappa score for Malayalam and Tamil are 0.7307 and 0.7496, respectively. Therefore, according to the agreement interpretation rules, we

Kappa value	Interpretation
< 0.00	Poor agreement
0.00 – 0.20	Slight agreement
0.21 – 0.40	Fair agreement
0.41 – 0.60	Moderate agreement
0.61 – 0.80	Substantial agreement
0.81 – 1.00	Almost perfect or perfect agreement

Table 4: Interpretation of the agreement based on Kappa scores.

Language	Kappa score
Malayalam	0.7307
Tamil	0.7496

Table 5: Kappa score for Malayalam and Tamil data.

Class label	Malayalam	Tamil
Highly Positive	9 (12.85%)	8 (12.50%)
Positive	39 (55.71%)	38 (59.37%)
Neutral	8 (11.42%)	8 (12.50%)
Negative	12 (17.14%)	5 (7.81%)
Highly Negative	2 (2.85%)	5 (7.81%)
Total	70	64

Table 6: Distribution of Malayalam and Tamil data across different classes.

Sentiment	Malayalam	Tamil
Highly Positive	82	87
Positive	193	182
Negative	99	89
Highly Negative	27	15

Table 7: Statistics of the Malayalam and Tamil words/phrases with different sentiments.

can conclude that there is a substantial agreement between the annotators in labelling the video clips into five different sentiments.

7 Corpus Statistics

In Table 3, we provide an overview of specific statistics of our dataset such as number of tokens, vocabulary size, number of words appear at different times, details about the speakers, frame width, height of video, data rate, bit rate, and size of the video clips. From the Table 3, we can see that our dataset contains equal number of speakers in case of gender. Our video length is limited to 1 to 3 minutes because we post-processed to get the short clip of the whole as discussed in the previous sections. Figure 10 show the most frequent word in the corpus of our dataset in Malayalam languages as ‘oru’ meaning ‘one or a’ in English. Since most of the short speakers in the short clip talk about about one movie or starts a sentence with. In case of Tamil, multiple words comes a most frequent words including ‘oru, vanthu, intha’ meaning ‘one or a, came, this’ which shows diversity of word usage in Tamil.

In Table 6, we provide class-wise distribution of the sentiment annotations. Our dataset is more skewed towards positive nearly more than half are positive out of five classes. This might be due to fact the reviewer take movie to review based on high ratings or highest suggestion so the reviewers are biased towards selecting popular movie to review so that they can get subscriptions. The extreme case of highly negative is very low (2.85%) for Malayalam. However, for Tamil we have same as of negative. Our dataset contains similar percentage of distribution of classes for both languages. Percentage wise distribution are shown in the the Table 6. The number of words or phrases with different sentiment senses is given in Table 7. Positive and negative words account for 4.89% and 2.51% in Malayalam, and 4.09% and 2%. Highly positive and highly negative sentiments were identified using either words or noun phrases. In this scenario, a noun phrase consists of an adjective and a noun.

As the interest towards automatic identification sentiment and opinion in video is increasing, the videos in the presented dataset are intended to train and benchmark techniques for multimodal sentiment analysis in under-resourced Tamil and Malayalam languages.

8 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented the new dataset for multimodal sentiment analysis called DravidianMultiModality consists of 134 videos annotated by volunteer anaotators from online speakers out of which 70 are Malayalam videos and 64 are Tamil videos. The dataset is the first multimodal sentiment analysis dataset with sentiment polarity for Dravidian languages. We believe that the presented dataset establishes a valuable contribution to the Dravidian language research community and hopes that this dataset also opens the door to more studies on creating a multimodal dataset for under-resourced languages. In future work, we plan to expand the dataset to other Dravidian languages, and we believe this will be a stepping stone for the researchers in the multimodal domain for Dravidian languages.

Acknowledgements If you'd like to thank anyone, place your comments here and remove the percent signs.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Agarwal A, Xie B, Vovsha I, Rambow O, Passonneau R (2011) Sentiment analysis of Twitter data. In: Proceedings of the Workshop on Language in Social Media (LSM 2011), Association for Computational Linguistics, Portland, Oregon, pp 30–38, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W11-0705>

File name	YouTube link
MAL_MSA_01	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0EdXwkY9Hy8
MAL_MSA_02	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HBN1T1HrNFM
MAL_MSA_03	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BgWuMofZavg
MAL_MSA_04	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LpX_zadXyK8
MAL_MSA_05	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KW-pS5uZOXI
MAL_MSA_06	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3LhK-Ir0VIs
MAL_MSA_07	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8NLvtcJ-v9k
MAL_MSA_08	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m1FMnc7BAw4&t=127s
MAL_MSA_09	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RpeD_y0Z8dA&t=75s
MAL_MSA_10	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y1Kc8Nn7DLY&t=63s
MAL_MSA_11	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=II2zwF0m2c0&t=76s
MAL_MSA_12	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6qCwVpU6sk&t=13s
MAL_MSA_13	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=keyu_Dbyj50
MAL_MSA_14	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-zcjhnptFzY
MAL_MSA_15	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dSLAWrZ50Ws
MAL_MSA_16	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iUR206f1js8&t=41s
MAL_MSA_17	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dN6Nd4u2JSG&t=30s
MAL_MSA_18	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D-Kn0k9LWaq&t=50s
MAL_MSA_19	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pSU_KFOdEeQ&t=36s
MAL_MSA_20	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4oNHvAff72g
MAL_MSA_21	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1h5m6bSXjJE
MAL_MSA_22	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zqgaz5EvZb8
MAL_MSA_23	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SY4Ma2MiLbU
MAL_MSA_24	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8rGtIvqC130&t=60s
MAL_MSA_25	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sUgEIVVSgRg&t=42s
MAL_MSA_26	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w5y_cnkUuTo
MAL_MSA_27	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QTGwrkCPb6I&t=37s
MAL_MSA_28	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IYvwvts6ylc
MAL_MSA_29	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z8vN-1ICQpY&t=95s
MAL_MSA_30	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5BhbStbRbiY
MAL_MSA_31	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KxsRPYzfGL8
MAL_MSA_32	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PB1rYVRPpIc
MAL_MSA_33	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kZDVEB5vbs&t=1s
MAL_MSA_34	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-TYug7DWrUU
MAL_MSA_35	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QldKGlhssNg
MAL_MSA_36	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0UqLkIyBCAc
MAL_MSA_37	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HMmf-qz5E3A
MAL_MSA_38	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8xsQlhPTkz&t=17s
MAL_MSA_39	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oyiwDzn3wXc&t=42s
MAL_MSA_40	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=51_8LymZjYo&t=15s
MAL_MSA_41	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pvSG2Ys_bOU&t=5s
MAL_MSA_42	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I-_S5wvkPlU
MAL_MSA_43	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C2cbwzsf1Iw
MAL_MSA_44	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NMwEnE5QkHI&t=49s
MAL_MSA_45	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_lmUmLLkYsM
MAL_MSA_46	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L-r10YFSSQU
MAL_MSA_47	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cjGais3y8Ts
MAL_MSA_48	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LwPcT_UGSwE
MAL_MSA_49	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=54G_1ErD6Vk
MAL_MSA_50	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K8X0QT4d1ME&t=55s
MAL_MSA_51	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v36d1Q9eUko
MAL_MSA_52	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hLmidefhmew
MAL_MSA_53	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MJBXH4PxZYM
MAL_MSA_54	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v2ckh3CkYJg
MAL_MSA_55	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TwCPlpP7CBQ&t=28s
MAL_MSA_56	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QiM5UPUgk2M&t=4s
MAL_MSA_57	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e40JlY-rKDo&t=12s
MAL_MSA_58	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n7brqi13nTY
MAL_MSA_59	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1V0_2Huy_Xs
MAL_MSA_60	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1tL2vxMeX20
MAL_MSA_61	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fpUH2r85dWo
MAL_MSA_62	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dciM83P43SE&t=26s
MAL_MSA_63	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZVfSoYxf41Q&t=45s
MAL_MSA_64	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vvVA9rfr920&t=37s
MAL_MSA_65	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=72Wud10Vv2E
MAL_MSA_66	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IwnYM_Bf9vI&t=24s
MAL_MSA_67	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o5ndBF-yU4c&t=117s
MAL_MSA_68	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0ZfWu0iY6LI&t=198s
MAL_MSA_69	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UP5AM0a1Iq0&t=18s
MAL_MSA_70	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jGHkorv8eU

Table 8: YouTube URLs for Malayalam videos

File name	YouTube link
TAM_MSA_01	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A7hmsD10ho
TAM_MSA_02	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CWz6srpNvR4
TAM_MSA_03	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y1gKRoXhxA
TAM_MSA_04	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P_YHnQMHj_Q&t=20s
TAM_MSA_05	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vkqX7RmPZc
TAM_MSA_06	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VDm0j4vM688
TAM_MSA_07	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UDt2U2VzroI
TAM_MSA_08	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oVZ0_lrbIuI
TAM_MSA_09	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T7JEKAl-iZc
TAM_MSA_10	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eob7AKG0_v8
TAM_MSA_11	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bvc9PuJzjCk
TAM_MSA_12	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0_I_P2FnBDO
TAM_MSA_13	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hIC1EzwfDLM
TAM_MSA_14	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g5z7QKQXmk
TAM_MSA_15	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QhA8Mg1PaFs
TAM_MSA_16	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RvGiRU_DzZU
TAM_MSA_17	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MyZMaB7cw7M
TAM_MSA_18	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IkjBtk4ATb8&t=75s
TAM_MSA_19	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qqDViMLwD4c
TAM_MSA_20	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g0pCn-xVtvw
TAM_MSA_21	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NYlyhtYoxXc
TAM_MSA_22	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x3RIKrr--GU
TAM_MSA_23	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PcYSjfw00Iw&t=241s
TAM_MSA_24	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g7WVskrCdd0&t=54s
TAM_MSA_25	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IDnHYEuocn4&t=32s
TAM_MSA_26	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bqK2w2QXm1A&t=34s
TAM_MSA_27	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FOXZIGTdZpM
TAM_MSA_28	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NzsTFyYTO4g&t=15s
TAM_MSA_29	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cAyJAbD4nuA&t=6s
TAM_MSA_30	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5gGbW8Fr-wU&t=47s
TAM_MSA_31	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W3ezQDkCjw&t=28s
TAM_MSA_32	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zgouiaiTHhk
TAM_MSA_33	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GVx-xBpylU0&t=86s
TAM_MSA_34	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hp0FS6sJq10&t=14s
TAM_MSA_35	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qbXcQxKTuYM&t=24s
TAM_MSA_36	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0daf2xJ0Vuw&t=38s
TAM_MSA_37	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v2KI51rCoAA&t=124s
TAM_MSA_38	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=snwGKQiz40E&t=53s
TAM_MSA_39	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ehJaI104yZY&t=48s
TAM_MSA_40	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lzhT1cTg2VM&t=20s
TAM_MSA_41	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=00abZA6vN90&t=32s
TAM_MSA_42	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HJMwQAK_XlI&t=142s
TAM_MSA_43	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uJJDY_hcv0g4&t=74s
TAM_MSA_44	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZUjRXXE_Xmc&t=113s
TAM_MSA_45	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1CU700qNPHU&t=102s
TAM_MSA_46	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JP7s0ekmORM
TAM_MSA_47	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Mn1Pele8c8&t=39s
TAM_MSA_48	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wyFBw2X2vjc&t=3s
TAM_MSA_49	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=up93B_dbahQ
TAM_MSA_50	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PAAFa798fCw&t=12s
TAM_MSA_51	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EHQvQeaC7YA
TAM_MSA_52	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XL8YC8vS800&t=33s
TAM_MSA_53	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0STYwk1SwAU&t=2s
TAM_MSA_54	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kn5S0ngitXY&t=6s
TAM_MSA_55	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=emXaS1UaIYw
TAM_MSA_56	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ApC1YEKw1hk
TAM_MSA_57	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=L0Q0dei1RzE
TAM_MSA_58	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=idYvwQIILw0&t=1s
TAM_MSA_59	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jxuL0StIa00
TAM_MSA_60	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KdpdYUHFbPU
TAM_MSA_61	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hb21F1EynNQ
TAM_MSA_62	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fT6fZzva2WY
TAM_MSA_63	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MiaCCNudy1g&t=23s
TAM_MSA_64	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pTx5De-dWFE&t=79s

Table 9: YouTube URLs for Tamil videos

- Annamalai E, Steever SB (2015) Modern tamil. In: *The Dravidian Languages*, Routledge, pp 118–175
- Bagher Zadeh A, Liang PP, Poria S, Cambria E, Morency LP (2018) Multimodal language analysis in the wild: CMU-MOSEI dataset and interpretable dynamic fusion graph. In: *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, pp 2236–2246, DOI 10.18653/v1/P18-1208, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P18-1208>
- Bagher Zadeh A, Cao Y, Hessner S, Liang PP, Poria S, Morency LP (2020) CMU-MOSEAS: A multimodal language dataset for Spanish, Portuguese, German and French. In: *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, pp 1801–1812, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.emnlp-main.141>
- Baltrušaitis T, Ahuja C, Morency LP (2018) Multimodal machine learning: A survey and taxonomy. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 41(2):423–443
- Baltrušaitis T, Ahuja C, Morency LP (2019) Multimodal machine learning: A survey and taxonomy. *IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell* 41(2):423–443, DOI 10.1109/TPAMI.2018.2798607, URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2018.2798607>
- Blanchard N, Moreira D, Bharati A, Scheirer W (2018) Getting the subtext without the text: Scalable multimodal sentiment classification from visual and acoustic modalities. In: *Proceedings of Grand Challenge and Workshop on Human Multimodal Language (Challenge-HML)*, Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, pp 1–10, DOI 10.18653/v1/W18-3301, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W18-3301>
- Busso C, Bulut M, Lee CC, Kazemzadeh A, Mower E, Kim S, Chang JN, Lee S, Narayanan SS (2008) Iemocap: Interactive emotional dyadic motion capture database. *Language resources and evaluation* 42(4):335–359
- Caldwell R (1875) *A comparative grammar of the Dravidian or South-Indian family of languages*. Trübner
- Cambria E, Hazarika D, Poria S, Hussain A, Subramanyam R (2017) Benchmarking multimodal sentiment analysis. In: *International Conference on Computational Linguistics and Intelligent Text Processing*, Springer, pp 166–179
- Castro S, Hazarika D, Pérez-Rosas V, Zimmermann R, Mihalcea R, Poria S (2019) Towards multimodal sarcasm detection (an *_Obviously_* perfect paper). In: *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Association for Computational Linguistics, Florence, Italy, pp 4619–4629, DOI 10.18653/v1/P19-1455, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P19-1455>
- Cevher D, Zepf S, Klinger R (2019) Towards multimodal emotion recognition in german speech events in cars using transfer learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:190902764*
- Clavel C, Callejas Z (2016) Sentiment analysis: From opinion mining to human-agent interaction. *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing* 7(1):74–93, DOI 10.1109/TAFFC.2015.2444846

- Gella S, Lewis M, Rohrbach M (2018) A dataset for telling the stories of social media videos. In: Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Association for Computational Linguistics, Brussels, Belgium, pp 968–974, DOI 10.18653/v1/D18-1117, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D18-1117>
- Govindankutty A (1972) From proto-tamil-malayalam to west coast dialects. *Indo-Iranian Journal* 14(1-2):52–60
- Jaimes A, Sebe N (2007) Multimodal human–computer interaction: A survey. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 108(1):116–134, DOI <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cviu.2006.10.019>, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1077314206002335>, special Issue on Vision for Human-Computer Interaction
- Krishnamurti B (2003) *The Dravidian languages*. Cambridge University Press
- Landis JR, Koch GG (1977) The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *biometrics* pp 159–174
- Lokesh S, Kumar PM, Devi MR, Parthasarathy P, Gokulnath C (2019) An automatic Tamil speech recognition system by using bidirectional recurrent neural network with self-organizing map. *Neural Computing and Applications* 31(5):1521–1531
- Mohammad S (2016) A practical guide to sentiment annotation: Challenges and solutions. In: Proceedings of the 7th Workshop on Computational Approaches to Subjectivity, Sentiment and Social Media Analysis, Association for Computational Linguistics, San Diego, California, pp 174–179, DOI 10.18653/v1/W16-0429, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W16-0429>
- Mohanan T (1989) Syllable structure in malayalam. *Linguistic Inquiry* pp 589–625
- Morency LP, Mihalcea R, Doshi P (2011) Towards multimodal sentiment analysis: Harvesting opinions from the web. In: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Multimodal Interfaces, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, ICMI '11, p 169–176, DOI 10.1145/2070481.2070509, URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/2070481.2070509>
- Pak A, Paroubek P (2010) Twitter as a corpus for sentiment analysis and opinion mining. In: *LREc*, vol 10, pp 1320–1326
- Pérez-Rosas V, Mihalcea R, Morency LP (2013) Utterance-level multimodal sentiment analysis. In: Proceedings of the 51st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), Association for Computational Linguistics, Sofia, Bulgaria, pp 973–982, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P13-1096>
- Poria S, Cambria E, Bajpai R, Hussain A (2017a) A review of affective computing: From unimodal analysis to multimodal fusion. *Information Fusion* 37:98–125
- Poria S, Cambria E, Hazarika D, Majumder N, Zadeh A, Morency LP (2017b) Context-dependent sentiment analysis in user-generated videos. In: Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers), Association for Computational Linguistics, Vancouver, Canada, pp 873–883, DOI 10.18653/v1/P17-1081, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P17-1081>
- Poria S, Majumder N, Hazarika D, Cambria E, Gelbukh A, Hussain A (2018) Multimodal sentiment analysis: Addressing key issues and setting up the baselines. *IEEE*

- Intelligent Systems 33(6):17–25, DOI 10.1109/MIS.2018.2882362
- Poria S, Hazarika D, Majumder N, Naik G, Cambria E, Mihalcea R (2019) MELD: A multimodal multi-party dataset for emotion recognition in conversations. In: Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Association for Computational Linguistics, Florence, Italy, pp 527–536, DOI 10.18653/v1/P19-1050, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P19-1050>
- Pérez Rosas V, Mihalcea R, Morency LP (2013) Multimodal sentiment analysis of spanish online videos. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 28(3):38–45, DOI 10.1109/MIS.2013.9
- Qiyang Z, Jung H (2019) Learning and sharing creative skills with short videos: A case study of user behavior in tiktok and bilibili. *International Association of Societies of Design Research (IASDR), Design Revolution*
- Sahay S, Okur E, H Kumar S, Nachman L (2020) Low rank fusion based transformers for multimodal sequences. In: *Second Grand-Challenge and Workshop on Multimodal Language (Challenge-HML)*, Association for Computational Linguistics, Seattle, USA, pp 29–34, DOI 10.18653/v1/2020.challengehml-1.4, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.challengehml-1.4>
- Sakuntharaj R, Mahesan S (2016) A novel hybrid approach to detect and correct spelling in Tamil text. In: *2016 IEEE International Conference on Information and Automation for Sustainability (ICIAfS)*, IEEE, pp 1–6
- Sakuntharaj R, Mahesan S (2017) Use of a novel hash-table for speeding-up suggestions for misspelt Tamil words. In: *2017 IEEE International Conference on Industrial and Information Systems (ICIIS)*, IEEE, pp 1–5
- Sakuntharaj R, Mahesan S (2018a) Detecting and correcting real-word errors in Tamil sentences. *Ruhuna Journal of Science* 9(2)
- Sakuntharaj R, Mahesan S (2018b) A refined pos tag sequence finder for Tamil sentences. In: *2018 IEEE International Conference on Information and Automation for Sustainability (ICIAfS)*, IEEE, pp 1–6
- Schiffman HF (1978) Diglossia and purity/pollution in tamil. *Contributions to Asian studies* 2:98–110
- Schiffman HF (1998) Standardization or restandardization: the case for" standard" spoken tamil. *Language in Society* pp 359–385
- Soleymani M, Garcia D, Jou B, Schuller B, Chang SF, Pantic M (2017) A survey of multimodal sentiment analysis. *Image and Vision Computing* 65:3–14
- Steever SB (2018) Tamil and the Dravidian languages. In: *The world’s major languages*, Routledge, pp 653–671
- Suryawanshi S, Chakravarthi BR (2021) Findings of the shared task on troll meme classification in Tamil. In: *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Speech and Language Technologies for Dravidian Languages*, Association for Computational Linguistics, Kyiv, pp 126–132, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2021.dravidianlangtech-1.16>
- Suryawanshi S, Chakravarthi BR, Verma P, Arcan M, McCrae JP, Buitelaar P (2020) A dataset for troll classification of Tamil Memes. In: *Proceedings of the WILDRE5–5th Workshop on Indian Language Data: Resources and Evaluation*, European Language Resources Association (ELRA), Marseille, France, pp 7–13, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.wildre5-1.1>

- [//www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.wildre-1.2](https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.wildre-1.2)
- Taylor S, Keselj V (2020) e-commerce and sentiment analysis: Predicting outcomes of class action lawsuits. In: Proceedings of The 3rd Workshop on e-Commerce and NLP, Association for Computational Linguistics, Seattle, WA, USA, pp 77–85, DOI 10.18653/v1/2020.ecnlp-1.12, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.ecnlp-1.12>
- Thavareesan S, Mahesan S (2019) Sentiment Analysis in Tamil Texts: A Study on Machine Learning Techniques and Feature Representation. In: 2019 14th Conference on Industrial and Information Systems (ICIIS), pp 320–325, DOI 10.1109/ICIIS47346.2019.9063341
- Thavareesan S, Mahesan S (2020a) Sentiment Lexicon Expansion using Word2vec and fastText for Sentiment Prediction in Tamil texts. In: 2020 Moratuwa Engineering Research Conference (MERCon), pp 272–276, DOI 10.1109/MERCon50084.2020.9185369
- Thavareesan S, Mahesan S (2020b) Word embedding-based Part of Speech tagging in Tamil texts. In: 2020 IEEE 15th International Conference on Industrial and Information Systems (ICIIS), pp 478–482, DOI 10.1109/ICIIS51140.2020.9342640
- Williams J, Comanescu R, Radu O, Tian L (2018) DNN multimodal fusion techniques for predicting video sentiment. In: Proceedings of Grand Challenge and Workshop on Human Multimodal Language (Challenge-HML), Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, pp 64–72, DOI 10.18653/v1/W18-3309, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W18-3309>
- Wilson T, Wiebe J, Hoffmann P (2005) Recognizing contextual polarity in phrase-level sentiment analysis. In: Proceedings of Human Language Technology Conference and Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Association for Computational Linguistics, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, pp 347–354, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/H05-1044>
- Wollmer M, Weninger F, Knaup T, Schuller B, Sun C, Sagae K, Morency LP (2013) Youtube movie reviews: Sentiment analysis in an audio-visual context. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 28(3):46–53, DOI 10.1109/MIS.2013.34, URL <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2013.34>
- Yu W, Xu H, Meng F, Zhu Y, Ma Y, Wu J, Zou J, Yang K (2020) CH-SIMS: A Chinese multimodal sentiment analysis dataset with fine-grained annotation of modality. In: Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, pp 3718–3727, DOI 10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.343, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.acl-main.343>
- Yue L, Chen W, Li X, Zuo W, Yin M (2019) A survey of sentiment analysis in social media. *Knowledge and Information Systems* 60(2):617–663
- Zadeh A, Zellers R, Pincus E, Morency LP (2016) Multimodal sentiment intensity analysis in videos: Facial gestures and verbal messages. *IEEE Intelligent Systems* 31(6):82–88, DOI 10.1109/MIS.2016.94
- Zadeh A, Chen M, Poria S, Cambria E, Morency LP (2017) Tensor fusion network for multimodal sentiment analysis. In: Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Association for Computational Linguistics, Copenhagen, Denmark, pp 1103–1114, DOI 10.18653/v1/D17-1115,

- URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/D17-1115>
- Zadeh A, Liang PP, Morency LP, Poria S, Cambria E, Scherer S (eds) (2018) Proceedings of Grand Challenge and Workshop on Human Multimodal Language (Challenge-HML), Association for Computational Linguistics, Melbourne, Australia, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W18-3300>
- Zadeh A, Morency LP, Liang PP, Poria S (eds) (2020) Second Grand-Challenge and Workshop on Multimodal Language (Challenge-HML), Association for Computational Linguistics, Seattle, USA, URL <https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.challengehml-1.0>
- Zvelebil K (1960) Dialects of Tamil III. *Archiv Orientalni* 28(3):414–456
- Zvelebil K (1973) *The Smile of Murugan: On Tamil Literature of South India*. Brill