



Rediscovery of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ at Belle II

F. Abudinén,⁴⁹ I. Adachi,^{25,22} R. Adak,¹⁹ K. Adamczyk,⁷⁵ P. Ahlburg,¹¹⁰ J. K. Ahn,⁵⁷
H. Aihara,¹²⁸ N. Akopov,¹³⁴ A. Aloisio,^{99,42} F. Ameli,⁴⁶ L. Andricek,⁶⁶ N. Anh Ky,^{39,14}
D. M. Asner,³ H. Atmacan,¹¹² V. Aulchenko,^{4,77} T. Aushev,²⁷ V. Aushev,⁹⁰
T. Aziz,⁹¹ V. Babu,¹² S. Bacher,⁷⁵ S. Baehr,⁵³ S. Bahinipati,²⁹ A. M. Bakich,¹²⁷
P. Bambade,¹⁰⁷ Sw. Banerjee,¹¹⁷ S. Bansal,⁸² M. Barrett,²⁵ G. Batignani,^{102,45}
J. Baudot,¹⁰⁸ A. Beaulieu,¹³⁰ J. Becker,⁵³ P. K. Behera,³² M. Bender,⁶² J. V. Bennett,¹²¹
E. Bernieri,⁴⁷ F. U. Bernlochner,¹¹⁰ M. Bertemes,³⁵ E. Bertholet,⁹³ M. Bessner,¹¹⁴
S. Bettarini,^{102,45} V. Bhardwaj,²⁸ B. Bhuyan,³⁰ F. Bianchi,^{104,48} T. Bilka,⁷ S. Bilokin,⁶²
D. Biswas,¹¹⁷ A. Bobrov,^{4,77} A. Bondar,^{4,77} G. Bonvicini,¹³² A. Bozek,⁷⁵ M. Bračko,^{119,89}
P. Branchini,⁴⁷ N. Braun,⁵³ R. A. Briere,⁵ T. E. Browder,¹¹⁴ D. N. Brown,¹¹⁷ A. Budano,⁴⁷
L. Burmistrov,¹⁰⁷ S. Bussino,^{103,47} M. Campajola,^{99,42} L. Cao,¹¹⁰ G. Caria,¹²⁰
G. Casarosa,^{102,45} C. Cecchi,^{101,44} D. Červenkov,⁷ M.-C. Chang,¹⁸ P. Chang,⁷³ R. Cheaib,¹²
V. Chekelian,⁶⁵ C. Chen,⁵⁴ Y. Q. Chen,¹²⁴ Y.-T. Chen,⁷³ B. G. Cheon,²⁴ K. Chilikin,⁶⁰
K. Chirapatpimol,⁸ H.-E. Cho,²⁴ K. Cho,⁵⁶ S.-J. Cho,¹³⁵ S.-K. Choi,²³ S. Choudhury,³¹
D. Cinabro,¹³² L. Corona,^{102,45} L. M. Cremaldi,¹²¹ D. Cuesta,¹⁰⁸ S. Cunliffe,¹²
T. Czank,¹²⁹ N. Dash,³² F. Dattola,¹² E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁶ G. de Marino,¹⁰⁷
G. De Nardo,^{99,42} M. De Nuccio,¹² G. De Pietro,⁴⁷ R. de Sangro,⁴¹ B. Deschamps,¹¹⁰
M. Destefanis,^{104,48} S. Dey,⁹³ A. De Yta-Hernandez,⁶ A. Di Canto,³ F. Di Capua,^{99,42}
S. Di Carlo,¹⁰⁷ J. Dingfelder,¹¹⁰ Z. Doležal,⁷ I. Domínguez Jiménez,⁹⁸ T. V. Dong,¹⁹
K. Dort,⁵² D. Dossett,¹²⁰ S. Dubey,¹¹⁴ S. Duell,¹¹⁰ G. Dujany,¹⁰⁸ S. Eidelman,^{4,60,77}
M. Eliachevitch,¹¹⁰ D. Epifanov,^{4,77} J. E. Fast,⁸¹ T. Ferber,¹² D. Ferlewicz,¹²⁰
T. Fillinger,¹⁰⁸ G. Finocchiaro,⁴¹ S. Fiore,⁴⁶ P. Fischer,¹¹⁵ A. Fodor,⁶⁷ F. Forti,^{102,45}
A. Frey,²⁰ M. Friedl,³⁵ B. G. Fulsom,⁸¹ M. Gabriel,⁶⁵ N. Gabyshev,^{4,77} E. Ganiev,^{105,49}
M. Garcia-Hernandez,⁶ R. Garg,⁸² A. Garmash,^{4,77} V. Gaur,¹³¹ A. Gaz,^{100,43} U. Gebauer,²⁰
M. Gelb,⁵³ A. Gellrich,¹² J. Gemmler,⁵³ T. Geßler,⁵² D. Getzkow,⁵² R. Giordano,^{99,42}
A. Giri,³¹ A. Glazov,¹² B. Gobbo,⁴⁹ R. Godang,¹²⁵ P. Goldenzweig,⁵³ B. Golob,^{116,89}
P. Gomis,⁴⁰ P. Grace,¹⁰⁹ W. Gradl,⁵¹ E. Graziani,⁴⁷ D. Greenwald,⁹² Y. Guan,¹¹²
K. Gudkova,^{4,77} C. Hadjivasiliou,⁸¹ S. Halder,⁹¹ K. Hara,^{25,22} T. Hara,^{25,22} O. Hartbrich,¹¹⁴
K. Hayasaka,⁷⁶ H. Hayashii,⁷² S. Hazra,⁹¹ C. Hearty,^{111,38} M. T. Hedges,¹¹⁴
I. Heredia de la Cruz,^{6,11} M. Hernández Villanueva,¹²¹ A. Hershenhorn,¹¹¹ T. Higuchi,¹²⁹
E. C. Hill,¹¹¹ H. Hirata,⁶⁹ M. Hoek,⁵¹ M. Hohmann,¹²⁰ S. Hollitt,¹⁰⁹ T. Hotta,⁸⁰
C.-L. Hsu,¹²⁷ Y. Hu,³⁷ K. Huang,⁷³ T. Humair,⁶⁵ T. Iijima,^{69,71} K. Inami,⁶⁹ G. Inguglia,³⁵
J. Irakkathil Jabbar,⁵³ A. Ishikawa,^{25,22} R. Itoh,^{25,22} M. Iwasaki,⁷⁹ Y. Iwasaki,²⁵ S. Iwata,⁹⁷

P. Jackson,¹⁰⁹ W. W. Jacobs,³³ I. Jaegle,¹¹³ D. E. Jaffe,³ E.-J. Jang,²³ M. Jeandron,¹²¹
 H. B. Jeon,⁵⁹ S. Jia,¹⁹ Y. Jin,⁴⁹ C. Joo,¹²⁹ K. K. Joo,¹⁰ H. Junkerkalefeld,¹¹⁰ I. Kadenko,⁹⁰
 J. Kahn,⁵³ H. Kakuno,⁹⁷ A. B. Kaliyar,⁹¹ J. Kandra,⁷ K. H. Kang,⁵⁹ P. Kapusta,⁷⁵
 R. Karl,¹² G. Karyan,¹³⁴ Y. Kato,^{69,71} H. Kawai,⁹ T. Kawasaki,⁵⁵ T. Keck,⁵³ C. Ketter,¹¹⁴
 H. Kichimi,²⁵ C. Kiesling,⁶⁵ B. H. Kim,⁸⁵ C.-H. Kim,²⁴ D. Y. Kim,⁸⁸ H. J. Kim,⁵⁹
 K.-H. Kim,¹³⁵ K. Kim,⁵⁷ S.-H. Kim,⁸⁵ Y.-K. Kim,¹³⁵ Y. Kim,⁵⁷ T. D. Kimmel,¹³¹
 H. Kindo,^{25,22} K. Kinoshita,¹¹² B. Kirby,³ C. Kleinwort,¹² B. Knysh,¹⁰⁷ P. Kodyš,⁷
 T. Koga,²⁵ S. Kohani,¹¹⁴ I. Komarov,¹² T. Konno,⁵⁵ A. Korobov,^{4,77} S. Korpar,^{119,89}
 N. Kovalchuk,¹² E. Kovalenko,^{4,77} T. M. G. Kraetzschmar,⁶⁵ F. Krinner,⁶⁵
 P. Križan,^{116,89} R. Kroeger,¹²¹ J. F. Krohn,¹²⁰ P. Krokovny,^{4,77} H. Krüger,¹¹⁰
 W. Kuehn,⁵² T. Kuhr,⁶² J. Kumar,⁵ M. Kumar,⁶⁴ R. Kumar,⁸³ K. Kumara,¹³²
 T. Kumita,⁹⁷ T. Kunigo,²⁵ M. Künzel,^{12,62} S. Kurz,¹² A. Kuzmin,^{4,77} P. Kvasnička,⁷
 Y.-J. Kwon,¹³⁵ S. Lacaprara,⁴³ Y.-T. Lai,¹²⁹ C. La Licata,¹²⁹ K. Lalwani,⁶⁴ L. Lanceri,⁴⁹
 J. S. Lange,⁵² M. Laurenza,^{103,47} K. Lautenbach,⁵² P. J. Laycock,³ F. R. Le Diberder,¹⁰⁷
 I.-S. Lee,²⁴ S. C. Lee,⁵⁹ P. Leitl,⁶⁵ D. Levit,⁹² P. M. Lewis,¹¹⁰ C. Li,⁶¹ L. K. Li,¹¹²
 S. X. Li,¹⁹ Y. B. Li,¹⁹ J. Libby,³² K. Lieret,⁶² L. Li Gioi,⁶⁵ J. Lin,⁷³ Z. Liptak,³⁶
 Q. Y. Liu,¹² Z. A. Liu,³⁷ D. Liventsev,^{132,25} S. Longo,¹² A. Loos,¹²⁶ P. Lu,⁷³ M. Lubej,⁸⁹
 T. Lueck,⁶² F. Luetticke,¹¹⁰ T. Luo,¹⁹ C. Lyu,¹¹⁰ C. MacQueen,¹²⁰ Y. Maeda,^{69,71}
 M. Maggiora,^{104,48} S. Maity,²⁹ R. Manfredi,^{105,49} E. Manoni,⁴⁴ S. Marcello,^{104,48}
 C. Marinas,⁴⁰ A. Martini,^{103,47} M. Masuda,^{16,80} T. Matsuda,¹²² K. Matsuoka,²⁵
 D. Matvienko,^{4,60,77} J. McNeil,¹¹³ F. Meggendorfer,⁶⁵ J. C. Mei,¹⁹ F. Meier,¹³
 M. Merola,^{99,42} F. Metzner,⁵³ M. Milesi,¹²⁰ C. Miller,¹³⁰ K. Miyabayashi,⁷² H. Miyake,^{25,22}
 H. Miyata,⁷⁶ R. Mizuk,^{60,27} K. Azmi,¹¹⁸ G. B. Mohanty,⁹¹ H. Moon,⁵⁷ T. Moon,⁸⁵
 J. A. Mora Grimaldo,¹²⁸ T. Morii,¹²⁹ H.-G. Moser,⁶⁵ M. Mrvar,³⁵ F. Mueller,⁶⁵
 F. J. Müller,¹² Th. Muller,⁵³ G. Muroyama,⁶⁹ C. Murphy,¹²⁹ R. Mussa,⁴⁸ K. Nakagiri,²⁵
 I. Nakamura,^{25,22} K. R. Nakamura,^{25,22} E. Nakano,⁷⁹ M. Nakao,^{25,22} H. Nakayama,^{25,22}
 H. Nakazawa,⁷³ T. Nanut,⁸⁹ Z. Natkaniec,⁷⁵ A. Natochii,¹¹⁴ M. Nayak,⁹³ G. Nazaryan,¹³⁴
 D. Neverov,⁶⁹ C. Niebuhr,¹² M. Niiyama,⁵⁸ J. Ninkovic,⁶⁶ N. K. Nisar,³ S. Nishida,^{25,22}
 K. Nishimura,¹¹⁴ M. Nishimura,²⁵ M. H. A. Nouxman,¹¹⁸ B. Oberhof,⁴¹ K. Ogawa,⁷⁶
 S. Ogawa,⁹⁴ S. L. Olsen,²³ Y. Onishchuk,⁹⁰ H. Ono,⁷⁶ Y. Onuki,¹²⁸ P. Oskin,⁶⁰
 E. R. Oxford,⁵ H. Ozaki,^{25,22} P. Pakhlov,^{60,68} G. Pakhlova,^{27,60} A. Paladino,^{102,45}
 T. Pang,¹²³ A. Panta,¹²¹ E. Paoloni,^{102,45} S. Pardi,⁴² H. Park,⁵⁹ S.-H. Park,²⁵
 B. Paschen,¹¹⁰ A. Passeri,⁴⁷ A. Pathak,¹¹⁷ S. Patra,²⁸ S. Paul,⁹² T. K. Pedlar,⁶³
 I. Peruzzi,⁴¹ R. Peschke,¹¹⁴ R. Pestotnik,⁸⁹ M. Piccolo,⁴¹ L. E. Piilonen,¹³¹
 P. L. M. Podesta-Lerma,⁹⁸ G. Polat,¹ V. Popov,²⁷ C. Praz,¹² S. Prell,⁵⁴ E. Prencipe,¹⁷
 M. T. Prim,¹¹⁰ M. V. Purohit,⁷⁸ N. Rad,¹² P. Rados,¹² S. Raiz,^{105,49} R. Rasheed,¹⁰⁸
 M. Reif,⁶⁵ S. Reiter,⁵² M. Remnev,^{4,77} P. K. Resmi,³² I. Ripp-Baudot,¹⁰⁸ M. Ritter,⁶²
 M. Ritzert,¹¹⁵ G. Rizzo,^{102,45} L. B. Rizzuto,⁸⁹ S. H. Robertson,^{67,38} D. Rodríguez Pérez,⁹⁸
 J. M. Roney,^{130,38} C. Rosenfeld,¹²⁶ A. Rostomyan,¹² N. Rout,³² M. Rozanska,⁷⁵
 G. Russo,^{99,42} D. Sahoo,⁹¹ Y. Sakai,^{25,22} D. A. Sanders,¹²¹ S. Sandilya,³¹ A. Sangal,¹¹²

L. Santelj,^{116,89} P. Sartori,^{100,43} J. Sasaki,¹²⁸ Y. Sato,⁹⁵ V. Savinov,¹²³ B. Scavino,⁵¹
M. Schram,⁸¹ H. Schreeck,²⁰ J. Schueler,¹¹⁴ C. Schwanda,³⁵ A. J. Schwartz,¹¹²
B. Schwenker,²⁰ R. M. Seddon,⁶⁷ Y. Seino,⁷⁶ A. Selce,^{47,15} K. Senyo,¹³³ I. S. Seong,¹¹⁴
J. Serrano,¹ M. E. Sevier,¹²⁰ C. Sfienti,⁵¹ V. Shebalin,¹¹⁴ C. P. Shen,² H. Shibuya,⁹⁴
J.-G. Shiu,⁷³ B. Shwartz,^{4,77} A. Sibidanov,¹¹⁴ F. Simon,⁶⁵ J. B. Singh,⁸² S. Skambraks,⁶⁵
K. Smith,¹²⁰ R. J. Sobie,^{130,38} A. Soffer,⁹³ A. Sokolov,³⁴ Y. Soloviev,¹² E. Solovieva,⁶⁰
S. Spataro,^{104,48} B. Spruck,⁵¹ M. Starič,⁸⁹ S. Stefkova,¹² Z. S. Stottler,¹³¹ R. Stroili,^{100,43}
J. Strube,⁸¹ J. Stypula,⁷⁵ M. Sumihama,^{21,80} K. Sumisawa,^{25,22} T. Sumiyoshi,⁹⁷
D. J. Summers,¹²¹ W. Sutcliffe,¹¹⁰ K. Suzuki,⁶⁹ S. Y. Suzuki,^{25,22} H. Svidras,¹² M. Tabata,⁹
M. Takahashi,¹² M. Takizawa,^{84,26,86} U. Tamponi,⁴⁸ S. Tanaka,^{25,22} K. Tanida,⁵⁰
H. Tanigawa,¹²⁸ N. Taniguchi,²⁵ Y. Tao,¹¹³ P. Taras,¹⁰⁶ F. Tenchini,¹² D. Tonelli,⁴⁹
E. Torassa,⁴³ K. Trabelsi,¹⁰⁷ T. Tsuboyama,^{25,22} N. Tsuzuki,⁶⁹ M. Uchida,⁹⁶ I. Ueda,^{25,22}
S. Uehara,^{25,22} T. Ueno,⁹⁵ T. Uglov,^{60,27} K. Unger,⁵³ Y. Unno,²⁴ S. Uno,^{25,22} P. Urquijo,¹²⁰
Y. Ushiroda,^{25,22,128} Y. V. Usov,^{4,77} S. E. Vahsen,¹¹⁴ R. van Tonder,¹¹⁰ G. S. Varner,¹¹⁴
K. E. Varvell,¹²⁷ A. Vinokurova,^{4,77} L. Vitale,^{105,49} V. Vorobyev,^{4,60,77} A. Vossen,¹³
B. Wach,⁶⁵ E. Waheed,²⁵ H. M. Wakeling,⁶⁷ K. Wan,¹²⁸ W. Wan Abdullah,¹¹⁸ B. Wang,⁶⁵
C. H. Wang,⁷⁴ M.-Z. Wang,⁷³ X. L. Wang,¹⁹ A. Warburton,⁶⁷ M. Watanabe,⁷⁶
S. Watanuki,¹⁰⁷ J. Webb,¹²⁰ S. Wehle,¹² M. Welsch,¹¹⁰ C. Wessel,¹¹⁰ J. Wiechczynski,⁴⁵
P. Wieduwilt,²⁰ H. Windel,⁶⁵ E. Won,⁵⁷ L. J. Wu,³⁷ X. P. Xu,⁸⁷ B. D. Yabsley,¹²⁷
S. Yamada,²⁵ W. Yan,¹²⁴ S. B. Yang,⁵⁷ H. Ye,¹² J. Yelton,¹¹³ I. Yeo,⁵⁶ J. H. Yin,⁵⁷
M. Yonenaga,⁹⁷ Y. M. Yook,³⁷ K. Yoshihara,⁵⁴ T. Yoshinobu,⁷⁶ C. Z. Yuan,³⁷ G. Yuan,¹²⁴
Y. Yusa,⁷⁶ L. Zani,¹ J. Z. Zhang,³⁷ Y. Zhang,¹²⁴ Z. Zhang,¹²⁴ V. Zhilich,^{4,77} J. Zhou,¹⁹
Q. D. Zhou,^{69,70,71} X. Y. Zhou,⁶¹ V. I. Zhukova,⁶⁰ V. Zhulanov,^{4,77} and A. Zupanc⁸⁹

(Belle II Collaboration)

¹*Aix Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, 13288 Marseille, France*

²*Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China*

³*Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, U.S.A.*

⁴*Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russian Federation*

⁵*Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, U.S.A.*

⁶*Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del
Instituto Politecnico Nacional, Mexico City 07360, Mexico*

⁷*Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, 121 16 Prague, Czech Republic*

⁸*Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50202, Thailand*

⁹*Chiba University, Chiba 263-8522, Japan*

¹⁰*Chonnam National University, Gwangju 61186, South Korea*

¹¹*Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico City 03940, Mexico*

¹²*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, 22607 Hamburg, Germany*

¹³*Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, U.S.A.*

¹⁴*Duy Tan University, Hanoi 100000, Vietnam*

¹⁵*ENEA Casaccia, I-00123 Roma, Italy*

¹⁶*Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0032, Japan*

- ¹⁷*Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany*
- ¹⁸*Department of Physics, Fu Jen Catholic University, Taipei 24205, Taiwan*
- ¹⁹*Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, China*
- ²⁰*II. Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, 37073 Göttingen, Germany*
- ²¹*Gifu University, Gifu 501-1193, Japan*
- ²²*The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Hayama 240-0193, Japan*
- ²³*Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, South Korea*
- ²⁴*Department of Physics and Institute of Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, South Korea*
- ²⁵*High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan*
- ²⁶*J-PARC Branch, KEK Theory Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan*
- ²⁷*Higher School of Economics (HSE), Moscow 101000, Russian Federation*
- ²⁸*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, SAS Nagar, 140306, India*
- ²⁹*Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, Satya Nagar 751007, India*
- ³⁰*Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam 781039, India*
- ³¹*Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Telangana 502285, India*
- ³²*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India*
- ³³*Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47408, U.S.A.*
- ³⁴*Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino 142281, Russian Federation*
- ³⁵*Institute of High Energy Physics, Vienna 1050, Austria*
- ³⁶*Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8530, Japan*
- ³⁷*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*
- ³⁸*Institute of Particle Physics (Canada), Victoria, British Columbia V8W 2Y2, Canada*
- ³⁹*Institute of Physics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST), Hanoi, Vietnam*
- ⁴⁰*Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular, Paterna 46980, Spain*
- ⁴¹*INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, I-00044 Frascati, Italy*
- ⁴²*INFN Sezione di Napoli, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*
- ⁴³*INFN Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy*
- ⁴⁴*INFN Sezione di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy*
- ⁴⁵*INFN Sezione di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy*
- ⁴⁶*INFN Sezione di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy*
- ⁴⁷*INFN Sezione di Roma Tre, I-00146 Roma, Italy*
- ⁴⁸*INFN Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy*
- ⁴⁹*INFN Sezione di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy*
- ⁵⁰*Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Naka 319-1195, Japan*
- ⁵¹*Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Institut für Kernphysik, D-55099 Mainz, Germany*
- ⁵²*Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, 35392 Gießen, Germany*

- ⁵³*Institut für Experimentelle Teilchenphysik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany*
- ⁵⁴*Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, U.S.A.*
- ⁵⁵*Kitasato University, Sagamihara 252-0373, Japan*
- ⁵⁶*Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 34141, South Korea*
- ⁵⁷*Korea University, Seoul 02841, South Korea*
- ⁵⁸*Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan*
- ⁵⁹*Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, South Korea*
- ⁶⁰*P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 119991, Russian Federation*
- ⁶¹*Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, China*
- ⁶²*Ludwig Maximilians University, 80539 Munich, Germany*
- ⁶³*Luther College, Decorah, Iowa 52101, U.S.A.*
- ⁶⁴*Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur, Jaipur 302017, India*
- ⁶⁵*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, 80805 München, Germany*
- ⁶⁶*Semiconductor Laboratory of the Max Planck Society, 81739 München, Germany*
- ⁶⁷*McGill University, Montréal, Québec, H3A 2T8, Canada*
- ⁶⁸*Moscow Physical Engineering Institute, Moscow 115409, Russian Federation*
- ⁶⁹*Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
- ⁷⁰*Institute for Advanced Research, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
- ⁷¹*Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
- ⁷²*Nara Women's University, Nara 630-8506, Japan*
- ⁷³*Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan*
- ⁷⁴*National United University, Miao Li 36003, Taiwan*
- ⁷⁵*H. Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow 31-342, Poland*
- ⁷⁶*Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan*
- ⁷⁷*Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russian Federation*
- ⁷⁸*Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan*
- ⁷⁹*Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan*
- ⁸⁰*Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Osaka 567-0047, Japan*
- ⁸¹*Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352, U.S.A.*
- ⁸²*Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014, India*
- ⁸³*Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana 141004, India*
- ⁸⁴*Meson Science Laboratory, Cluster for Pioneering Research, RIKEN, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*
- ⁸⁵*Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, South Korea*
- ⁸⁶*Showa Pharmaceutical University, Tokyo 194-8543, Japan*
- ⁸⁷*Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China*
- ⁸⁸*Soongsil University, Seoul 06978, South Korea*
- ⁸⁹*J. Stefan Institute, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*
- ⁹⁰*Taras Shevchenko National Univ. of Kiev, Kiev, Ukraine*
- ⁹¹*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India*
- ⁹²*Department of Physics, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany*

- ⁹³ *Tel Aviv University, School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv, 69978, Israel*
- ⁹⁴ *Toho University, Funabashi 274-8510, Japan*
- ⁹⁵ *Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan*
- ⁹⁶ *Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan*
- ⁹⁷ *Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan*
- ⁹⁸ *Universidad Autonoma de Sinaloa, Sinaloa 80000, Mexico*
- ⁹⁹ *Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli Federico II, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*
- ¹⁰⁰ *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy*
- ¹⁰¹ *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy*
- ¹⁰² *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy*
- ¹⁰³ *Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università di Roma Tre, I-00146 Roma, Italy*
- ¹⁰⁴ *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy*
- ¹⁰⁵ *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy*
- ¹⁰⁶ *Université de Montréal, Physique des Particules, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada*
- ¹⁰⁷ *Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France*
- ¹⁰⁸ *Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC, UMR 7178, 67037 Strasbourg, France*
- ¹⁰⁹ *Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia*
- ¹¹⁰ *University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany*
- ¹¹¹ *University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1Z1, Canada*
- ¹¹² *University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, U.S.A.*
- ¹¹³ *University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, U.S.A.*
- ¹¹⁴ *University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, U.S.A.*
- ¹¹⁵ *University of Heidelberg, 68131 Mannheim, Germany*
- ¹¹⁶ *Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*
- ¹¹⁷ *University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40292, U.S.A.*
- ¹¹⁸ *National Centre for Particle Physics, University Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
- ¹¹⁹ *University of Maribor, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia*
- ¹²⁰ *School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia*
- ¹²¹ *University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, U.S.A.*
- ¹²² *University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki 889-2192, Japan*
- ¹²³ *University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, U.S.A.*
- ¹²⁴ *University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*
- ¹²⁵ *University of South Alabama, Mobile, Alabama 36688, U.S.A.*
- ¹²⁶ *University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, U.S.A.*
- ¹²⁷ *School of Physics, University of Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia*
- ¹²⁸ *Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*
- ¹²⁹ *Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8583, Japan*
- ¹³⁰ *University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, V8W 3P6, Canada*
- ¹³¹ *Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, U.S.A.*
- ¹³² *Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202, U.S.A.*
- ¹³³ *Yamagata University, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan*
- ¹³⁴ *Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory, Yerevan 0036, Armenia*

Abstract

We present preliminary results on the reconstruction of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ decay, where $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ or e^+e^- . Using a dataset corresponding to a luminosity of $62.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ collected by the Belle II experiment at the SuperKEKB asymmetric energy e^+e^- collider, we measure a total of 267 ± 21 candidates with $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and 226 ± 20 with $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$. The quoted errors are statistical only.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction and Motivation	8
II. The Belle II detector	8
III. Data and Monte Carlo samples	9
IV. Event selection	9
A. J/ψ selection	9
B. K_L^0 selection	9
V. ΔE fits	11
A. PDF model for signal	11
B. Background description and parameterization	11
VI. Results	12
VII. Conclusions	13
Acknowledgements	13
References	14

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The Standard Model (SM) of electroweak interactions describes Charge-Parity (CP) violation as a consequence of an irreducible phase in the three-generation Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) quark-mixing matrix. In this framework, measurements of CP asymmetries in the proper-time distribution of neutral B decays to CP eigenstates containing a charmonium and K^0 meson provide a direct measurement of $\sin(2\phi_1)$, where the angle ϕ_1 of the Unitarity Triangle is given by $\arg(-V_{cd}V_{cb}^*/V_{td}V_{tb}^*)$ and V_{ij} are elements of the CKM matrix.

CP violation in the B meson system has been established by the *BABAR* [1] and Belle [2] collaborations using a variety of such decays, among which the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ one stands out for its clear experimental signatures and clean theoretical interpretation, directly linking the measurement of the time-dependent CP asymmetry to the angle ϕ_1 .

The value of $\sin(2\phi_1)$ measured with $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^0$ is basically free of hadronic uncertainties and almost completely insensitive to the effects of new physics, so it constitutes a reference point for the SM [3]. Improving the precision of the measurement of $\sin(2\phi_1)$ is one of the goals of the Belle II experimental program to verify the SM prediction that $\sin(2\phi_1)$ be equal in the tree-level $J/\psi K^0$ decays and in other penguin-dominated decays described by the same CKM elements, with a discrepancy being indicative of New Physics.

The $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ decay provides an independent measurement of $\sin(2\phi_1)$ from $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$. In addition, the former decay having opposite CP eigenvalue of the latter, the decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ has a time-dependent CP asymmetry identical but opposite in sign of that of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$, providing an important check of systematics effects on these measurements.

In this paper, we present preliminary results leading to the rediscovery of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ decay at SuperKEKB using, for the first time in Belle II, K_L^0 mesons reconstructed from hadronic neutral clusters in the K_L^0 and muons (KLM) subdetector. We identify signal events based on the variable ΔE , representing the difference between the reconstructed B meson and the beam energy in the center of mass. In this preliminary result, the systematics uncertainties have not been evaluated yet.

II. THE BELLE II DETECTOR

The Belle II detector is described in detail in Ref. [4]. The detector has a cylindrical structure around the beam pipe, placed partially inside a solenoidal superconducting magnet providing a 1.5T magnetic field. The innermost sub-detector is the vertex detector (VXD), formed by two layers of silicon pixel sensors and four layers of silicon strips, devoted to tracking and vertexing. It is surrounded by a large central drift chamber (CDC), with small cells and filled with helium ethane mixture, which provide precise measurement of momenta of charged tracks as well as particle identification via energy loss measurement (dE/dx). Two Cherenkov detectors provide additional particle identification: the Time of Propagation (TOP) counter in the barrel region, and the Aerogel Ring Imaging Cherenkov (ARICH) in the forward region. The final detector inside the solenoid is the electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL), comprised of CsI(Tl) crystals, dedicated to photon and electron identification and

measurement. The return yoke of the magnet is instrumented with scintillator strips and resistive plate chambers, to provide identification of K_L^0 mesons and muons (KLM). The coordinate system's axis coincides with the solenoid axis, and is roughly oriented with the electron beam. The polar angle ϑ is defined with respect to the z axis, and the azimuthal angle ϕ is measured in the transverse plane from the horizontal x axis.

III. DATA AND MONTE CARLO SAMPLES

The dataset used for this analysis has been collected by Belle II in 2019 and 2020 at the SuperKEKB asymmetric energy e^+e^- collider [5]. The integrated luminosity collected at a centre-of-mass (CM) energy corresponding to the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance is $62.8 \pm 0.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$, with an additional 9.2 fb^{-1} collected about 60 MeV below the resonance (off-resonance dataset). This corresponds to $(68.21 \times 10^6) \pm 0.09\%(\text{stat}) \pm 1.3\%(\text{syst})$ $B\bar{B}$ pairs. [6].

To study the selection efficiency, we used a sample of 40000 signal $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi[\mu^+\mu^-]K_L^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi[e^-e^-]K_L^0$ Monte Carlo (MC) events, corresponding to 2.88 ab^{-1} for each mode. In addition, we used 40 fb^{-1} of generic $(B^0\bar{B}^0 + B^+B^- + c\bar{c})$ events for background characterization. In the following, we refer to these samples as “signal MC” and “generic MC”, respectively.

IV. EVENT SELECTION

A. J/ψ selection

Signal candidate events are selected first by reconstructing $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$, decays requiring the invariant mass of the J/ψ candidate to be consistent with its PDG value.

We correct for final state radiation effects in $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ decays. Due to the post-correction residual radiative tail, we also apply different mass selection cuts ($m_{\mu\mu} \in [3.06, 3.12] \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $m_{ee} \in [3.04, 3.12] \text{ GeV}/c^2$ respectively) to the two J/ψ decay channels. A tight particle identification requirement from the combined information of all detectors is then applied to one of the J/ψ tracks, and a looser requirement is applied to the second track. Typical efficiencies of the requirements for muons are around 90 % and 95 % for tight and loose selection, respectively. The corresponding values for electrons are 95 % and 97 %.

B. K_L^0 selection

The K_L^0 mesons leave measurable signals in the ECL and KLM detectors. In the present analysis, we only make use of neutral clusters in the KLM.

The energy deposition mechanism of K_L^0 in the KLM does not allow a usable measurement of the particle energy, but only of its direction relative to the assumed origin at the interaction point. We calculate the momentum of the K_L^0 candidate from its direction and the J/ψ reconstructed momentum, by requiring momentum conservation and using the B^0 mass




FIG. 1. Difference between calculated K_L^0 momentum and true K_L^0 for truth-matched events in signal MC.

constraint in the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ decay. The procedure correctly reconstructs the generated K_L^0 momentum, with a typical resolution of about 15 MeV/c, albeit with some non-gaussian tails, as shown in Figure 1. The K_L^0 is reconstructed with an angular resolution of about 100 mrad.

We enhance the purity of the KLM cluster using a multivariate boosted decision tree (BDT) based on a number of KLM cluster shape and topological variables and trained on signal $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ and generic background simulated events. The BDT output variable, `klongID`, is shown in Figure 2 for all reconstructed K_L^0 candidates and for those truth-matched to a true K_L^0 , respectively. We use the requirement $\text{klongID} \geq 0.25$ in the following. Further optimization of the BDT is in progress.




FIG. 2. `klongID` distribution of all K_L^0 candidates (black curve) and truth-matched candidates (red curve) in the generic MC.

Although the number of layers in the KLM cluster is among the input BDT variables, we

also explicitly apply the requirement $N_{\text{layers}} \geq 2$, which helps eliminate spurious clusters.

V. ΔE FITS

Once a signal candidate has been selected we calculate the difference between the B^0 candidate energy and the beam energy in the CM frame, i.e., $\Delta E \equiv E_B^* - E_{\text{beam}}^*$, which for genuine $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ is expected to be close to zero.

In a small number of cases (about 2%), there are two $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ candidates after the selection; in these cases, we choose the K_L^0 with the largest `klongID`. An alternative choice we have considered is to select the candidate with the smallest $|\Delta E|$. In most cases the, two criteria select the same candidate, which in the MC is also correctly matched to the true $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ decay. We conclude that the choice of the best candidate does not induce a bias in the selection.

A. PDF model for signal

We model the signal ΔE distribution with a Crystal Ball (CB) probability density function (PDF) [7, 8]. The signal PDF coefficients are determined by an unbinned maximum likelihood (ML) fit to the ΔE distribution in a high-statistics MC sample of $J/\psi K_L^0$ events. An example of such fits, after a selection requiring `klongID` ≥ 0.25 , is shown in Figure 3. Here and in the following, the fits are performed in the range $\Delta E \in [-20, 80]$ MeV.




FIG. 3. Signal ΔE distribution of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ candidate events for $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ final states (left) and $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ final states (right) from the signal MC sample.

B. Background description and parameterization

Background to $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ is essentially due to $B^0\bar{B}^0$ and B^+B^- decays, which according to MC simulation roughly contribute equally. Thanks to the strong J/ψ signature, no events

from the $e^+e^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ generic sample nor the off-resonance data survive the analysis selection cuts.

We parameterize the background with an Argus PDF [9] for the combinatorial part and a CB PDF to describe a possible peaking component, which according to the simulation could be expected mainly from $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}$ and $B \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*+}$ decays.

The fraction of the peaking component in the background is determined from fits to the ΔE distributions of generic MC events (in which $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ events are excluded from the $B^0\bar{B}^0$ sample). We find the peaking background fraction $f_{\text{peak}} = (0.4 \pm 3.1)\%$ and $f_{\text{peak}} = (0.0 \pm 3.1)\%$ in the $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ final states, respectively.

In order to rely on MC simulations as little as possible, we estimate the fake J/ψ and fake K_L^0 backgrounds directly from data. Wrongly reconstructed J/ψ candidates can be estimated using the J/ψ mass sidebands, while fake K_L^0 mesons are estimated using an “*anti-selection*” of K_L^0 clusters, with the requirements $N_{\text{layers}} = 1$, $\mathbf{klongID} < 0.05$.

VI. RESULTS

We determine the number of signal and background $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ events with an unbinned ML fit to our 62.8fb^{-1} dataset in the ΔE interval $[-20, +80]\text{MeV}$, as shown in Figure 4. The background shape parameters determined in the background control sample are fixed in the fit, as well as the peaking background fraction estimated in the fit of the simulated background events. The signal shape parameters are used as starting values in the fit, but to minimize the dependence on MC the sigma and mean of the CB are left free in the fit. Finally, to extract the number of signal and background events, we also float the relative normalization of the signal and background distributions. The results are




FIG. 4. ΔE distribution of $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ candidate events for $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ final states (left) and $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ final states (right) for a dataset corresponding to a luminosity of $62.8 \pm 0.6\text{fb}^{-1}$. The results of the unbinned ML fit are superimposed.

$$N_{\text{sig}}(\mu^+\mu^-) = 267 \pm 21, N_{\text{sig}}(e^+e^-) = 226 \pm 20.$$

A thorough evaluation of the systematics uncertainties has not been performed yet. However, since we expect the one related to the peaking background to be relatively large, we

have done a conservative estimate of this uncertainty by varying in the final fit the fraction of peaking background (Sec.VB) by twice (95% confidence interval) its statistical error. This procedure yields $\Delta N_{\text{peaking}}(\mu^+\mu^-) = 28$, $\Delta N_{\text{peaking}}(e^+e^-) = 31$.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper, we have shown preliminary results for the reconstruction of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_L^0$ decay in the first 62.8 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity collected by Belle II, which constitutes a rediscovery of this decay. The signal yields are:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\text{sig}}(\mu^+\mu^-) &= 267 \pm 21(\text{stat}) \pm 28(\text{peaking}) \\ N_{\text{sig}}(e^+e^-) &= 226 \pm 20(\text{stat}) \pm 31(\text{peaking}). \end{aligned}$$

The overall signal yield obtained with this selection is consistent with that observed by the Belle Collaboration, with similar purity.

Work is in progress to extend this study to include neutral clusters reconstructed in the ECL, which will significantly increase the signal sample.

Flavour tagging and tag and decay vertex time reconstruction will also be added to allow the study of the time-dependent CP violation and the precise measurement of $\sin(2\phi_1)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the SuperKEKB group for the excellent operation of the accelerator; the KEK cryogenics group for the efficient operation of the solenoid; the KEK computer group for on-site computing support; and the raw-data centers at BNL, DESY, GridKa, IN2P3, and INFN for off-site computing support. This work was supported by the following funding sources: Science Committee of the Republic of Armenia Grant No. 20TTCG-1C010; Australian Research Council and research grant Nos. DP180102629, DP170102389, DP170102204, DP150103061, FT130100303, FT130100018, and FT120100745; Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Research, Austrian Science Fund No. P 31361-N36, and Horizon 2020 ERC Starting Grant no. 947006 ‘‘InterLeptons’’; Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Compute Canada and CANARIE; Chinese Academy of Sciences and research grant No. QYZDJ-SSW-SLH011, National Natural Science Foundation of China and research grant Nos. 11521505, 11575017, 11675166, 11761141009, 11705209, and 11975076, LiaoNing Revitalization Talents Program under contract No. XLYC1807135, Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Committee under contract No. 19ZR1403000, Shanghai Pujiang Program under Grant No. 18PJ1401000, and the CAS Center for Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic under Contract No. LTT17020 and Charles University grants SVV 260448 and GAUK 404316; European Research Council, 7th Framework PIEF-GA-2013-622527, Horizon 2020 ERC-Advanced Grants No. 267104 and 884719, Horizon 2020 ERC-Consolidator Grant No. 819127, Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 700525 ‘‘NIOBE,’’

and Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie RISE project JENNIFER2 grant agreement No. 822070 (European grants); L’Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3) du CNRS (France); BMBF, DFG, HGF, MPG, and AvH Foundation (Germany); Department of Atomic Energy under Project Identification No. RTI 4002 and Department of Science and Technology (India); Israel Science Foundation grant No. 2476/17, United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation grant No. 2016113, and Israel Ministry of Science grant No. 3-16543; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare and the research grants BELLE2; Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research grant Nos. 16H03968, 16H03993, 16H06492, 16K05323, 17H01133, 17H05405, 18K03621, 18H03710, 18H05226, 19H00682, 26220706, and 26400255, the National Institute of Informatics, and Science Information NETwork 5 (SINET5), and the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan; National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea Grant Nos. 2016R1D1A1B01010135, 2016R1D1A1B02012900, 2018R1A2B-3003643, 2018R1A6A1A06024970, 2018R1D1A1B07047294, 2019K1A3A7A09033840, and 2019R1I1A3A01058933, Radiation Science Research Institute, Foreign Large-size Research Facility Application Supporting project, the Global Science Experimental Data Hub Center of the Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information and KREONET/GLORIAD; Universiti Malaya RU grant, Akademi Sains Malaysia and Ministry of Education Malaysia; Frontiers of Science Program contracts FOINS-296, CB-221329, CB-236394, CB-254409, and CB-180023, and SEP-CINVESTAV research grant 237 (Mexico); the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education and the National Science Center; the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, Agreement 14.W03.31.0026, and the HSE University Basic Research Program, Moscow; University of Tabuk research grants S-0256-1438 and S-0280-1439 (Saudi Arabia); Slovenian Research Agency and research grant Nos. J1-9124 and P1-0135; Agencia Estatal de Investigacion, Spain grant Nos. FPA2014-55613-P and FPA2017-84445-P, and CIDEAGENT/2018/020 of Generalitat Valenciana; Ministry of Science and Technology and research grant Nos. MOST106-2112-M-002-005-MY3 and MOST107-2119-M-002-035-MY3, and the Ministry of Education (Taiwan); Thailand Center of Excellence in Physics; TUBITAK ULAKBIM (Turkey); Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine; the US National Science Foundation and research grant Nos. PHY-1807007 and PHY-1913789, and the US Department of Energy and research grant Nos. DE-AC06-76RLO1830, DE-SC0007983, DE-SC0009824, DE-SC0009973, DE-SC0010073, DE-SC0010118, DE-SC0010504, DE-SC0011784, DE-SC0012704, DE-SC0021274; and the Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST) under grant DL0000.05/21-23.

-
- [1] B. Aubert et al. (*BABAR* Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2515 (2001).
[2] A. Abashian et al. (Belle Collaboration), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2509 (2001).
[3] E. Kou et al., *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* **2019** (2019), 10.1093/ptep/ptz106.
[4] T. Abe et al. (Belle II collaboration), (2010), arXiv:1011.0352 [physics.ins-det].
[5] K. Akai, K. Furukawa, and H. Koiso (SuperKEKB), *Nucl. Instrum. Meth.* **A907**, 188 (2018).

- [6] Belle II Collaboration, BELLE2-NOTE-PL-2020-006 (2020).
- [7] M. Oreglia, PhD thesis, SLAC, 1980.
- [8] T. Skwarnicki, PhD thesis, Cracow, INP, 1986.
- [9] H. Albrecht et al. (Argus Collaboration), Physics Letters B 340, 217 (1994) (1994).