

PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Search for dark matter annihilation signals from the Galactic Center with the H.E.S.S. Inner Galaxy Survey

Alessandro Montanari,^{a,*} Emmanuel Moulin^a and Denys Malyshev^b on behalf of the H.E.S.S. Collaboration

(a complete list of authors can be found at the end of the proceedings)

^aIRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

^bInstitut für Astronomie und Astrophysik, Universität Tübingen, Sand 1, D 72076 Tübingen, Germany *E-mail:* alessandro.montanari@cea.fr, emmanuel.moulin@cea.fr, denys.malyshev@astro.uni-tuebingen.de

The presence of dark matter (DM) is suggested by a wealth of astrophysical and cosmological measurements. However, its underlying nature is yet unknown. Among the most promising candidates are weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs): particles with mass and coupling strength at the electroweak scale and thermally-produced in the early universe have a present relic density consistent with that observed today. WIMP self-annihilation would produce Standard Model particles including gamma-rays, which have been long-time recognized as a prime messenger to indirectly detect dark matter signals. The centre of the Milky Way is predicted as the brightest source of DM annihilations. The H.E.S.S. collaboration is currently performing a survey of the inner region of the Milky Way, the Inner Galaxy Survey (IGS), intended to achieve the best sensitivity to faint and diffuse emissions in a region of several degrees around the Galactic Centre. We analyzed 2014-2020 observations taken with the five-telescope array to search for a DM annihilation signal. With the current dataset of about 550 hours, we found no significant excess and therefore derived strong constraints on the velocity-weighted annihilation cross-section. TeV thermal WIMPs can be probed in different annihilation channels.

37th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2021) July 12th – 23rd, 2021 Online – Berlin, Germany

*Presenter

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Dark Matter search with gamma-ray observations

There are many astrophysical and cosmological measurements that sustain the hypothesis of 85% of the total matter content of the Universe being made of dark and non-baryonic particles [1]. Many beyond-the-Standard-Model theories have tried to explain the nature of this so-called dark matter (DM). Weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs), that have mass and coupling strength at the electroweak scale, constitute one of the most compelling class of stable DM candidates [2–4]. All the DM in the Universe can be assumed as made of WIMPs, if the latter are considered as thermally produced in the early Universe [5]. Many experiments have been motivated with the aim to search for WIMPs and probe their non-gravitational properties, such as the direct detection of the WIMP-nucleus scattering process [7], the production of WIMPs at particle colliders [6] and the detection of the products of WIMPs. From the annihilation [8]. Dense astrophysical environments could host self-annihilation of WIMPs. From the annihilation process, gamma-rays could be produced in the final state from hadronization, radiation and decay of standard model particles. When the WIMP mass is high enough, these gamma-rays could be detected from the H.E.S.S. array of five Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACTs). The differential flux of gamma-rays, from the self-annihilation of MiMPs, in a solid angle $\Delta\Omega$, is expressed as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma}}(E_{\gamma},\Delta\Omega) = \frac{\langle\sigma\nu\rangle}{8\pi m_{\mathrm{DM}}^{2}} \sum_{f} BR_{f} \frac{\mathrm{dN}^{f}}{\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma}}(E_{\gamma}) J(\Delta\Omega)$$

with $J(\Delta\Omega) = \int_{\Delta\Omega} \int_{\mathrm{los}} \rho^{2}(s(r,\theta)) ds \, d\Omega$. (1)

 $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ is the velocity-weighted annihilation cross section averaged over the velocity distribution. dN^{f}/dE_{γ} and BR_{f} are the differential gamma-ray yield per annihilation in the channel f and its branching ratio, respectively. the J-factor $J(\Delta\Omega)$ expresses the integral of the square of the DM density ρ over the line of sight (los) s and the solid angle $\Delta\Omega$. Since the DM density ρ is assumed spherically symmetric, it depends only on the radial coordinate r from the center of the DM halo. It writes as $r = (s^2 + r_{\odot}^2 - 2r_{\odot}s\cos\theta)^{1/2}$. The angle between the direction of observation and the Galactic Center (GC) is θ . r_{\odot} , the distance of the observer to the GC, is assumed to be r_{\odot} = 8.5 kpc [9]. Assuming a DM distribution to follow a cuspy DM profiles, conveniently described by the NFW [11] and Einasto [10] parametrizations, the centre of the Milky Way is predicted as the brightest source of DM annihilation. In the TeV mass range, the strongest constraints on WIMPs are given so far by 254 hours of observations of the GC region with H.E.S.S. [13]. Other compelling and complementary targets for the search of DM annihilation signals that have been recently observed by H.E.S.S. are unidentified *Fermi*-LAT objects (UFOs) [32] as source candidates for DM subhalos populating the main Galactic Halo, ultra-faint dwarf galaxy satellites of the Milky Way recently detected by the Dark Energy Survey (DES) [34], and the dwarf irregular galaxy WLM [33]. We report here on the first results of a new search for DM annihilation signals from the GC region. To perform this search, the unprecedented dataset of very-high-energy (VHE, $E \gtrsim 100$ GeV) observations of the GC under the Inner Galaxy Survey programme with the five-telescope H.E.S.S. array is used.

2. H.E.S.S. observations and data analysis

Due to the location of the the H.E.S.S. instrument near the tropic of Capricorn, the central region of the Milky Way can be observed by H.E.S.S. under very good conditions. With the coverage of the inner several hundred parsecs around the GC region, the best sensitivity possible to DM annihilation signals and Galactic Center outflows is intended to be achieved with the IGS. The latter is the first-ever conducted VHE gamma-ray survey of the GC region. To cover regions not explored so far in VHE gamma rays, the telescope pointing positions of the IGS are chosen up to Galactic latitudes $b = +3.2^{\circ}$. The dataset used here amounts to a total of 546 hours (live time) of high-quality data selected with the standard procedure [14], composed by 28-min data taking runs observed between 2014 and 2020. The zenith angles of the observations are kept lower than 40° in order to minimize the systematic uncertainties that could arise in the reconstruction of the event property. The present dataset averaged zenith angle is 18°. At least then hours of acceptance-corrected time exposure are reached up to $b \approx +7^{\circ}$. To select gamma-ray like events, a semi-analytical shower model technique based on the comparison of gamma-ray images in the camera from real events and predicted one from the model [15] is performed. The achieved angular resolution and energy resolution above 200 GeV are 0.06° (68% containment radius) and 10%, respectively. The region of interest (ROI) for the search of the DM annihilation signals is defined as a set of 25 rings, hereafter referred as to the ON region, centered on the nominal GC position, with inner radii from 0.5° to 2.9° , and width of 0.1° each. Numerous VHE gamma-ray emitters populate the central region of the Milky Way [16–18] and can contaminate the signal in the ROI. To avoid this, a conservative set of masks is used. The latter is shown in Fig. 1. For the measurement of the residual gamma-ray background on a run-by-run basis, a region taken symmetrically to the ON region from the pointing position for each ROI is defined. This region is hereafter referred as to the OFF region. The masks are applied similarly in the ON and OFF regions, to keep the same solid angle and acceptance. ON and OFF regions are sufficiently far away one from the other such that a significant gradient in the expected DM signal is obtained. Two examples of the reflected OFF regions for two ROI are shown in Fig. 1. A gradient in the residual background rate is expected across the telescope field of view, due to the different zenith angle of the observations. A difference in the zenith angles of the events is obtained between the ON and the OFF regions. For the considered ROI and pointing positions of the IGS, the difference of the means of the distributions of the ON and OFF event zenith angles is up to 1°. A dependence of the gamma-ray-like background rate of 1%-per-degree of difference in the zenith angle of the events is expected. A systematic uncertainty of 1% in the normalisation of the measured event count distribution is therefore estimated and used in the analysis.

The statistical data analysis is based on a 2-dimensional log-likelihood ratio test statistic (TS). To exploit expected spectral and spatial DM signal features against background, the TS is built on 67 logarithmically-spaced energy bins and 25 spatial bins corresponding to the ROI. For a given

DM mass, the likelihood function writes:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij}(\mathbf{N}^{S}, \mathbf{N}^{B} | \mathbf{N}_{ON}, \mathbf{N}_{OFF}, \alpha) = \frac{\left[\beta_{ij}(N_{ij}^{S} + N_{ij}^{B})\right]^{N_{ON,ij}}}{N_{ON,ij}!} e^{-\beta_{ij}(N_{ij}^{S} + N_{ij}^{B})} \\ \frac{\left[\beta_{ij}(N_{ij}^{S'} + \alpha_{j}N_{ij}^{B})\right]^{N_{OFF,ij}}}{N_{OFF,ij}!} e^{-\beta_{ij}(N_{ij}^{S'} + \alpha_{j}N_{ij}^{B})} e^{-\frac{(1-\beta_{ij})^{2}}{2\sigma_{\beta_{ij}}^{2}}} .$$
(2)

The number of measured events in the ON and OFF regions are expressed by $N_{ON,ij}$ and $N_{OFF,ij}$. The spectral and spatial bins are remarked by the *i* and *j* indices, respectively. The expected total number of DM events for the (i, j) bin is N_{ij}^S . To obtain it, the expected DM flux given in Eq. (1) is folded by the energy-dependent acceptance and energy resolution. dN^f/dE_{γ} is computed with Pythia including final state radiative corrections [19]. The expected total number of events in the bin (i, j) is given by $N_{ij}^S + N_{ij}^B$. $\alpha_j = \Delta\Omega_{OFF}/\Delta\Omega_{ON}$ is the ratio between the angular size of the ON and OFF *j* regions. This ratio is fixed to $\alpha_j \equiv 1$ since each OFF region *j* is taken symmetrically to the ON region from the pointing position. To account for the systematic uncertainty on the normalisation of the measured energy count distribution, the likelihood function is completed with a Gaussian nuisance parameter. β_{ij} acts as a normalisation factor and $\sigma_{\beta_{ij}}$ is the width of the Gaussian function $d\mathcal{L}_{ij}/d\beta_{ij} \equiv 0$ is performed. In this analysis, $\sigma_{\beta_{ij}}$ is fixed to 1%. Constraints on $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ as a function of the DM mass m_{DM} are computed with the log-likelihood ratio TS as described in Ref. [23], when no significant excess is found and a positive searched signal $\langle \sigma v \rangle > 0$ is assumed. When the TS value is higher than 2.71, the corresponding $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ is excluded at the 95% confidence level (C.L.).

3. Results and Conclusion

Neither this analysis nor a cross-check one result in any significant gamma-ray excess in the ON with respect to the OFF regions. We derive 95% C.L. upper limits on $\langle \sigma v \rangle$, exploring the self-annihilation of WIMPs with masses from 200 GeV up to 70 TeV. Fig. 2 shows the 95% C.L. upper limits in the gauge boson W^+W^- channels for the Einasto profile with $\rho_s = 0.079$ GeVcm⁻³, $r_s = 20$ kpc and $\alpha_s = 0.17$. To obtain the expected limits, 300 Poisson realizations of the background OFF regions are produced. The mean expected upper limits and the 68% and 95% containment bands are extracted from the mean, the 1 and 2σ standard deviation of the distribution of log10($\langle \sigma v \rangle$) computed from the realizations. Mean expected limits and containment bands are plotted in Fig. 2. The 95% C.L. observed limits reach 3.7×10^{-26} cm³s⁻¹ for a DM particle mass of 1.5 TeV in the W^+W^- channel. The limits are close to the $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ values expected for DM particle annihilating with the thermal-relic cross section.Due to longer observational live time, the statistics of the observations taken for the IGS program is larger than the one used in the previous H.E.S.S. results [13]. In addition, the IGS observations provide higher sensitivity because were carried out with the full CT1-5 array of H.E.S.S.. At 1.5 TeV DM mass, an improvement factor of 1.6 with respect to the results shown in Ref. [13] is obtained.

In the right panel of Fig. 2, limits on $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ from different experiment are compiled. The current gamma-ray limits together with the previous H.E.S.S. limits from 254 hours of observation of the Galactic Center [13], the limits from the observation of 15 dwarf galaxy satellites of the



Figure 1: An example of the reflected background method for background determination. Two pointing position of the IGS program are shown as black crosses. J-factor values integrated for the Einasto profile in pixels of $0.02^{\circ} \times 0.02^{\circ}$ size are displayed for ROI 14 and 18 as well as those obtained in the corresponding OFF regions. The grey-shaded area represents the set of masks used in this analysis to avoid contamination in the ROI from VHE sources. To keep the same solid angle size and acceptance in the ON and OFF regions, a similar exclusion of the masked regions is performed in each. The position of the supermassive black hole Sagittarius A* is marked with the black star.

Milky Way [28] and from the observation of the GC with *Fermi*-LAT [31], the HAWC limits from the observation of the GC [26] as well as the combined analysis of the observation of 15 dwarf galaxies [29], the limits from 158 hours of observation of the dwarf galaxy Segue 1 with MAGIC [30] and the limits from the cosmic microwave background with PLANCK [1] are shown. For the W⁺W⁻ channel and particle masses above ~300 GeV, the present H.E.S.S. constraints surpass the *Fermi*-LAT limits. VHE observations of the central region of the Milky Way with H.E.S.S. contribute uniquely to the study of the WIMP paradigm for TeV DM. Multi-TeV DM models like the benchmark candidates Wino and Higgsino (see, for instance, Ref. [27] and references therein), which are predicted in simple extensions to the Standard Model, can be explored with the unprecedented dataset of the IGS observations. The IGS precedes the Southern-site sky observations with CTA [22] and is one of the important legacies of H.E.S.S..

4. Acknowledgements

Full H.E.S.S. acknowledgements can be found here.

References

[1] R. Adam *et al.* [Planck], *Planck 2015 results. I. Overview of products and scientific results*, Astron. Astrophys. **594** (2016)



Figure 2: *Left panel:* 95% C. L. upper limits on the velocity-weighted annihilation cross section $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ as a function of the DM mass m_{DM} for the W⁺W⁻ channel. The upper limits are derived from the H.E.S.S. observations taken from 2014 to 2020 and include the systematic uncertainty. The black solid line shows the observed limits. The black dashed line shows the mean expected limits. The green and yellow bands show the 68% and 95% C.L. statistical containment bands, respectively. The value of the natural scale expected for the thermal-relic WIMPs is shown as the horizontal grey long-dashed line. *Right panel:* Comparison of the current upper limits on the W⁺W⁻ channels with other experiments. The limits obtained with 254 hours of observations of the GC with H.E.S.S. [13] (orange line), the observation of the GC with HAWC [26] (purple line), the observations of 15 dwarf galaxy satellites of the Milky Way [28] (grey line) and the observation of the dwarf galaxy Segue 1 with MAGIC [30] (brown line), the combined analysis of observations of 15 dwarf galaxies by HAWC [29] (green line) and the cosmic wave background with PLANCK [1] (here $b\bar{b}$ channel, red line) are shown.

- [2] Bertone, G. (Ed.). (2010). Particle Dark Matter: Observations, Models and Searches. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [3] J. L. Feng, Dark Matter Candidates from Particle Physics and Methods of Detection, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 48 (2010)
- [4] L. Roszkowski, E. M. Sessolo and S. Trojanowski, WIMP dark matter candidates and searches—current status and future prospects, Rept. Prog. Phys. 81 (2018) no.6
- [5] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski and K. Griest, *Supersymmetric dark matter*, Phys. Rept. 267 (1996)
- [6] F. Kahlhoefer, Review of LHC Dark Matter Searches, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32 (2017) no.13,
- [7] M. Schumann, Direct Detection of WIMP Dark Matter: Concepts and Status, J. Phys. G 46 (2019) no.10

- [8] L. E. Strigari, Dark matter in dwarf spheroidal galaxies and indirect detection: a review, Rept. Prog. Phys. 81 (2018) no.5
- [9] A. M. Ghez, S. Salim, N. N. Weinberg, J. R. Lu, T. Do, J. K. Dunn, K. Matthews, M. Morris,
 S. Yelda and E. E. Becklin, *et al.*, *Measuring Distance and Properties of the Milky Way's Central Supermassive Black Hole with Stellar Orbits*, Astrophys. J. 689 (2008)
- [10] V. Springel, S. D. M. White, C. S. Frenk, J. F. Navarro, A. Jenkins, M. Vogelsberger, J. Wang, A. Ludlow and A. Helmi, A blueprint for detecting supersymmetric dark matter in the Galactic halo
- [11] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk and S. D. M. White, A Universal density profile from hierarchical clustering, Astrophys. J. 490 (1997)
- [12] H. Abdallah et al. [HESS], Search for γ-Ray Line Signals from Dark Matter Annihilations in the Inner Galactic Halo from 10 Years of Observations with H.E.S.S., Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) no.20
- [13] H. Abdallah et al. [H.E.S.S.], Search for dark matter annihilations towards the inner Galactic halo from 10 years of observations with H.E.S.S, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) no.11
- [14] F. Aharonian et al. [H.E.S.S.], Observations of the Crab Nebula with H.E.S.S, Astron. Astrophys. 457 (2006)
- [15] de Naurois, M. & Rolland, L., A high performance likelihood reconstruction of γ -rays for imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes, Astroparticle Physics, 32, 231.
- [16] Aharonian, F. et al. [H.E.S.S.], Spectrum and variability of the Galactic Center VHE gammaray source HESS J1745-290, A&A, 503, 817.
- [17] A. Abramowski et al. [H.E.S.S.], Acceleration of petaelectronvolt protons in the Galactic Centre, Nature 531 (2016)
- [18] H. Abdalla et al. [H.E.S.S.], The H.E.S.S. Galactic plane survey, Astron. Astrophys. 612 (2018), A1
- [19] M. Cirelli, G. Corcella, A. Hektor, G. Hutsi, M. Kadastik, P. Panci, M. Raidal, F. Sala and A. Strumia, PPPC 4 DM ID: A Poor Particle Physicist Cookbook for Dark Matter Indirect Detection, JCAP 03 (2011)
- [20] H. Silverwood, C. Weniger, P. Scott and G. Bertone, A realistic assessment of the CTA sensitivity to dark matter annihilation, JCAP 03 (2015)
- [21] V. Lefranc, E. Moulin, P. Panci and J. Silk, Prospects for Annihilating Dark Matter in the inner Galactic halo by the Cherenkov Telescope Array, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) no.12
- [22] E. Moulin, J. Carr, J. Gaskins, M. Doro, C. Farnier, M. Wood and H. Zechlin, *Science with the Cherenkov Telescope Array: Dark Matter Programme*, World Scientific,

- [23] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross and O. Vitells, Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics, Eur. Phys. J. C 71 (2011)
- [24] R. D. Parsons and J. A. Hinton, A Monte Carlo Template based analysis for Air-Cherenkov Arrays, Astropart. Phys. 56 (2014)
- [25] G. Bertone, D. Hooper and J. Silk, Particle dark matter: Evidence, candidates and constraints, Phys. Rept. 405 (2005)
- [26] Abeysekara, A. U., Albert, A., Alfaro, R., et al., A Search for Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo with HAWC, 2018, JCAP, 2018, 049.
- [27] L. Rinchiuso, O. Macias, E. Moulin, N. L. Rodd and T. R. Slatyer, *Prospects for detecting heavy WIMP dark matter with the Cherenkov Telescope Array: The Wino and Higgsino*, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) no.2
- [28] M. Ackermann et al. [Fermi-LAT], Searching for Dark Matter Annihilation from Milky Way Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies with Six Years of Fermi Large Area Telescope Data, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) no.23
- [29] Albert, A., Alfaro, R., Alvarez, C., et al. 2018, Astrophys. J., 853, 154.
- [30] M. L. Ahnen et al. [MAGIC and Fermi-LAT], Limits to Dark Matter Annihilation Cross-Section from a Combined Analysis of MAGIC and Fermi-LAT Observations of Dwarf Satellite Galaxies, JCAP 02 (2016)
- [31] M. Ackermann et al. [Fermi-LAT], The Fermi Galactic Center GeV Excess and Implications for Dark Matter, Astrophys. J. 840 (2017) no.1
- [32] H. Abdalla et al. [H.E.S.S.], Search for dark matter annihilation signals from unidentified Fermi-LAT objects with H.E.S.S., [arXiv:2106.00551 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [33] H. Abdallah et al. [H.E.S.S.], Search for dark matter annihilation in the Wolf-Lundmark-Melotte dwarf irregular galaxy with H.E.S.S., Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) no.10
- [34] H. Abdallah et al. [H.E.S.S.], Search for dark matter signals towards a selection of recently detected DES dwarf galaxy satellites of the Milky Way with H.E.S.S., Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) no.6

5. Full author list

H. Abdalla¹, F. Aharonian^{2,3,4}, F. Ait Benkhali³, E.O. Angüner⁵, C. Arcaro⁶, C. Armand⁷,
T. Armstrong⁸, H. Ashkar⁹, M. Backes^{1,6}, V. Baghmanyan¹⁰, V. Barbosa Martins¹¹, A. Barnacka¹²,
M. Barnard⁶, R. Batzofin¹³, Y. Becherini¹⁴, D. Berge¹¹, K. Bernlöhr³, B. Bi¹⁵, M. Böttcher⁶,
C. Boisson¹⁶, J. Bolmont¹⁷, M. de Bony de Lavergne⁷, M. Breuhaus³, R. Brose², F. Brun⁹,
T. Bulik¹⁸, T. Bylund¹⁴, F. Cangemi¹⁷, S. Caroff¹⁷, S. Casanova¹⁰, J. Catalano¹⁹, P. Chambery²⁰,
T. Chand⁶, A. Chen¹³, G. Cotter⁸, M. Curyło¹⁸, J. Damascene Mbarubucyeye¹¹, I.D. Davids¹,

J. Davies⁸, J. Devin²⁰, A. Djannati-Ataï²¹, A. Dmytriiev¹⁶, A. Donath³, V. Doroshenko¹⁵, L. Dreyer⁶, L. Du Plessis⁶, C. Duffy²², K. Egberts²³, S. Einecke²⁴, J.-P. Ernenwein⁵, S. Fegan²⁵, K. Feijen²⁴, A. Fiasson⁷, G. Fichet de Clairfontaine¹⁶, G. Fontaine²⁵, F. Lott¹, M. Füßling¹¹, S. Funk¹⁹, S. Gabici²¹, Y.A. Gallant²⁶, G. Giavitto¹¹, L. Giunti^{21,9}, D. Glawion¹⁹, J.F. Glicenstein⁹, M.-H. Grondin²⁰, S. Hattingh⁶, M. Haupt¹¹, G. Hermann³, J.A. Hinton³, W. Hofmann³, C. Hoischen²³, T. L. Holch¹¹, M. Holler²⁷, D. Horns²⁸, Zhiqiu Huang³, D. Huber²⁷, M. Hörbe⁸, M. Jamrozy¹², F. Jankowsky²⁹, V. Joshi¹⁹, I. Jung-Richardt¹⁹, E. Kasai¹, K. Katarzyński³⁰, U. Katz¹⁹, D. Khangulyan³¹, B. Khélifi²¹, S. Klepser¹¹, W. Kluźniak³², Nu. Komin¹³, R. Konno¹¹, K. Kosack⁹, D. Kostunin¹¹, M. Kreter⁶, G. Kukec Mezek¹⁴, A. Kundu⁶, G. Lamanna⁷, S. Le Stum⁵, A. Lemière²¹, M. Lemoine-Goumard²⁰, J.-P. Lenain¹⁷, F. Leuschner¹⁵, C. Levy¹⁷, T. Lohse³³, A. Luashvili¹⁶, I. Lypova²⁹, J. Mackey², J. Majumdar¹¹, D. Malyshev¹⁵, D. Malyshev¹⁹, V. Marandon³, P. Marchegiani¹³, A. Marcowith²⁶, A. Mares²⁰, G. Martí-Devesa²⁷, R. Marx²⁹, G. Maurin⁷, P.J. Meintjes³⁴, M. Meyer¹⁹, A. Mitchell³, R. Moderski³², L. Mohrmann¹⁹, A. Montanari⁹, C. Moore²², P. Morris⁸, E. Moulin⁹, J. Muller²⁵, T. Murach¹¹, K. Nakashima¹⁹, M. de Naurois²⁵, A. Nayerhoda¹⁰, H. Ndiyavala⁶, J. Niemiec¹⁰, A. Priyana Noel¹², P. O'Brien²², L. Oberholzer⁶, S. Ohm¹¹, L. Olivera-Nieto³, E. de Ona Wilhelmi¹¹, M. Ostrowski¹², S. Panny²⁷, M. Panter³, R.D. Parsons³³, G. Peron³, S. Pita²¹, V. Poireau⁷, D.A. Prokhorov³⁵, H. Prokoph¹¹, G. Pühlhofer¹⁵, M. Punch^{21,14}, A. Quirrenbach²⁹, P. Reichherzer⁹, A. Reimer²⁷, O. Reimer²⁷, Q. Remy³, M. Renaud²⁶, B. Reville³, F. Rieger³, C. Romoli³, G. Rowell²⁴, B. Rudak³², H. Rueda Ricarte⁹, E. Ruiz-Velasco³, V. Sahakian³⁶, S. Sailer³, H. Salzmann¹⁵, D.A. Sanchez⁷, A. Santangelo¹⁵, M. Sasaki¹⁹, J. Schäfer¹⁹, H.M. Schutte⁶, U. Schwanke³³, F. Schüssler⁹, M. Senniappan¹⁴, A.S. Seyffert⁶, J.N.S. Shapopi¹, K. Shiningayamwe¹, R. Simoni³⁵, A. Sinha²⁶, H. Sol¹⁶, H. Spackman⁸, A. Specovius¹⁹, S. Spencer⁸, M. Spir-Jacob²¹, Ł. Stawarz¹², R. Steenkamp¹, C. Stegmann^{23,11}, S. Steinmassl³, C. Steppa²³, L. Sun³⁵, T. Takahashi³¹, T. Tanaka³¹, T. Tavernier⁹, A.M. Taylor¹¹, R. Terrier²¹, J. H.E. Thiersen⁶, C. Thorpe-Morgan¹⁵, M. Tluczykont²⁸, L. Tomankova¹⁹, M. Tsirou³, N. Tsuji³¹, R. Tuffs³, Y. Uchiyama³¹, D.J. van der Walt⁶, C. van Eldik¹⁹, C. van Rensburg¹, B. van Soelen³⁴, G. Vasileiadis²⁶, J. Veh¹⁹, C. Venter⁶, P. Vincent¹⁷, J. Vink³⁵, H.J. Völk³, S.J. Wagner²⁹, J. Watson⁸, F. Werner³, R. White³, A. Wierzcholska¹⁰, Yu Wun Wong¹⁹, H. Yassin⁶, A. Yusafzai¹⁹, M. Zacharias¹⁶, R. Zanin³, D. Zargaryan^{2,4}, A.A. Zdziarski³², A. Zech¹⁶, S.J. Zhu¹¹, A. Zmija¹⁹, S. Zouari²¹ and N. Żywucka⁶.

¹University of Namibia, Department of Physics, Private Bag 13301, Windhoek 10005, Namibia ²Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 31 Fitzwilliam Place, Dublin 2, Ireland

³Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, P.O. Box 103980, D 69029 Heidelberg, Germany

⁴High Energy Astrophysics Laboratory, RAU, 123 Hovsep Emin St Yerevan 0051, Armenia

⁵Aix Marseille Université, CNRS/IN2P3, CPPM, Marseille, France

⁶Centre for Space Research, North-West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

⁷Laboratoire d'Annecy de Physique des Particules, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Univ. Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, LAPP, 74000 Annecy, France

⁸University of Oxford, Department of Physics, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK

⁹IRFU, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

¹⁰Instytut Fizyki Jądrowej PAN, ul. Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland

¹¹DESY, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

¹²Obserwatorium Astronomiczne, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, ul. Orla 171, 30-244 Kraków, Poland ¹³School of Physics, University of the Witwatersrand, 1 Jan Smuts Avenue, Braamfontein, Johannesburg, 2050 South Africa

¹⁴Department of Physics and Electrical Engineering, Linnaeus University, 351 95 Växjö, Sweden
¹⁵Institut für Astronomie und Astrophysik, Universität Tübingen, Sand 1, D 72076 Tübingen, Germany

¹⁶Laboratoire Univers et Théories, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, Université de Paris, 92190 Meudon, France

¹⁷Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, CNRS/IN2P3, Laboratoire de Physique Nucléaire et de Hautes Energies, LPNHE, 4 Place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris, France

¹⁸Astronomical Observatory, The University of Warsaw, Al. Ujazdowskie 4, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland

¹⁹Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Erwin-Rommel-Str. 1, D 91058 Erlangen, Germany

²⁰Université Bordeaux, CNRS/IN2P3, Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Bordeaux Gradignan, 33175 Gradignan, France

²¹Université de Paris, CNRS, Astroparticule et Cosmologie, F-75013 Paris, France

²²Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, United Kingdom

²³Institut für Physik und Astronomie, Universität Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Strasse 24/25, D 14476 Potsdam, Germany

²⁴School of Physical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, Australia

²⁵Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, École Polytechnique, CNRS, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, F-91128 Palaiseau, France

²⁶Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, Université Montpellier, CNRS/IN2P3, CC 72, Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

²⁷Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

²⁸Universität Hamburg, Institut für Experimentalphysik, Luruper Chaussee 149, D 22761 Hamburg, Germany

²⁹Landessternwarte, Universität Heidelberg, Königstuhl, D 69117 Heidelberg, Germany

³⁰Institute of Astronomy, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Grudziadzka 5, 87-100 Torun, Poland

³¹Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, 3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan

³²Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland

³³Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Newtonstr. 15, D 12489 Berlin, Germany

³⁴Department of Physics, University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa

³⁵GRAPPA, Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³⁶Yerevan Physics Institute, 2 Alikhanian Brothers St., 375036 Yerevan, Armenia