

Heat capacity and susceptibility of rare-earth magnetic superconductors

Preeti Suman Dash¹ and Salila Das¹ and Prabir K. Mukherjee²

¹*Department of Physics, Berhampur University, Berhampur 760007, Odisha, India**

²*Department of Physics, Government College of Engineering and Textile Technology, 12 William Carey Road, Serampore, Hooghly-712201, India[†]*

(Dated: September 30, 2021)

We calculate the specific heat and susceptibility of rare-earth magnetic superconductors in the context of the Ginzburg-Landau theory. The specific heat and susceptibility are calculated both at the coexistence phase of antiferromagnetism and superconductivity and normal phase. Theoretical results are compared with experimental results which agree excellently well.

Keywords: Superconductor; phase transition; magnetic properties

I. INTRODUCTION

Rare-earth nickel boro-carbide compounds for various R (rare earth) have been studied extensively for the last few years and have shown evidence of superconductivity and magnetism [1, 2], distinguishing characteristics of these compounds. Rare earth, Rhenium, Dysprosium, Holmium, Erbium, Thulium, Lutetium, and Ytterbium ($R = Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu, \text{ and } Y$) compounds have been some of the most thoroughly studied superconductors in the past decade. Physical phenomena like the coexistence of superconductivity and long-range magnetic order, the high transition temperature, and reenter superconductivity are all essential topics of interest [1–4] on rare earth compounds. The transition temperature of RNi_2B_2C ($R = Ho, Dy, Er, \text{ and } Tm$) compounds, with partially filled f-shells having a magnetic moment, is relatively low than non-magnetic borocarbides ($R = Y, Lu$) [4, 5]. This lower value in T_C points to an interaction between conduction electrons and rare-earth ions. These compounds have a large density of state (DOS) values at E_F , indicating that Ni states contribute significantly to the peak [6–8]. Many theoretical and experimental works like superconducting order parameters [9–11], thermal conductivity [12–14], specific heat [15, 16], and upper critical field [17–20] have been done in these compounds to show the coexisting property of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism. Magnetic susceptibility measurements on the rare earth $DyNi_2B_2C$ show magnetic order at a temperature of 10.5 K [1]. At this temperature, a change in slope followed by a sharp drop at lower temperatures is observed from resistivity measurement implying that this compound has a superconducting phase [19]. At temperatures $T_N = 5.2K$, $T_H = 5.6K$, and $T_M = 6.0K$ below $T = 8k$, $HoNi_2B_2C$ exhibited three distinct anomalies in its specific heat. Neutron data experiment [17, 20] confirms the transitions to the Néel structure at T_N below T_C , and the existence of antiferromagnetic order in $HoNi_2B_2C$ and $ErNi_2B_2C$ estab-

lishes its exact nature. Below 1.5 K, superconductivity coexists with antiferromagnetic order in $TmNi_2B_2C$. Thus, $TmNi_2B_2C$ has the most significant difference between T_C and T_N in the entire RNi_2B_2C series, indicating a relatively weak magnetism that does not destroy but weakens superconductivity on large Fermi surface sheets [4, 21, 22]. At very low temperatures, specific heat implies significant magnetic anisotropy, with ferromagnetic planes weakly coupled antiferromagnetically. A specific heat jump demonstrates superconductivity at the critical temperature T_C for a given field; numerous theoretical models based on the two-gap theory have been successfully used to calculate the different properties of these compounds [23]. Our phenomenological formulation used the Ginzburg–Landau model [18, 24, 25] to analyze the specific heat anomalies and susceptibility associated with the onset of superconductivity. Our analysis of the upper critical field using the Ginzburg–Landau model [18] agreed with experimental results, motivating us to use the same model to investigate the specific heat and susceptibility of the rare earth magnetic superconductor. We have presented our model for the specific heat and magnetic susceptibility expressions in Sec. II. The data and results of our investigation are described in section III, and our conclusions are given in section IV.

II. MODEL

The Landau theory of phase transition is highly general and consequential, and it is used in many different treatments of superconductivity. For example, we know that depending on the independent thermodynamic variable, the Gibbs free energy or Helmholtz free energy density is used. Our starting free energy will be similar to the free energy of our previous work [18]. So to calculate the heat capacity of rare earth magnetic superconductor, the free energy density is [18]

$$\begin{aligned}
F = & \int d^3r [F_n + a_1 |\psi_a|^2 + a_2 |\psi_b|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_1 |\psi_a|^4 + \frac{1}{2} b_2 |\psi_b|^4 + d_1 |\psi_a|^6 + \alpha (M_a^2 + M_b^2) + \frac{1}{2} \beta (M_a^4 + M_b^4) \\
& + 2\delta M_a M_b + \gamma_1 |\psi_a|^2 (M_a^2 + M_b^2) + \gamma_2 |\psi_b|^2 (M_a^2 + M_b^2) + 2\eta \psi_a^2 \psi_b^2 - \kappa_1 \psi_a^* \psi_b \\
& + \frac{1}{2m_a} \left| (-i\hbar\nabla - \frac{2e\mathbf{A}}{c}) \psi_a \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2m_b} \left| (-i\hbar\nabla - \frac{2e\mathbf{A}}{c}) \psi_b \right|^2 \\
& + \kappa_2 (i\hbar\nabla - \frac{2e\mathbf{A}}{c}) \psi_a^* (-i\hbar\nabla - \frac{2e\mathbf{A}}{c}) \psi_b + \frac{H^2}{8\pi}] \quad (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here ψ_a and ψ_b are described as superconducting order parameters associated with Ni(3d). M_a and M_b are anti-ferromagnetic order parameter of rare earth magnetic superconductor. The free energy density of the normal phase is described by F_n . The material parameters b_1 , b_2 , d_1 , δ and β are assumed to be positive. The coupling constants are defined by γ_1 , γ_2 , η , κ_1 and κ_2 . For the stability of the superconducting state, we assume $\gamma_1 > 0$ and $\gamma_2 > 0$. e is the elementary electron charge. m_a and m_b are defined as elementary electron mass. As usual, we assume $a_1 = a_{01}(T - T_{C1})$, $a_2 = a_{02}(T - T_{C2})$, and $\alpha = \alpha_0(T - T_{af})$ with $a_{01} > 0$, $a_{02} > 0$ and $\alpha_0 > 0$. The term $d_1 |\psi_a|^6$ is the new addition in the free energy density (2.1) compared to the free energy density of our previous work [18].

After the minimization of Eq. (2.1) with respect to ψ_a , ψ_b , M_a and M_b for uniform system in zero field $H = 0$, one can obtain the normal to co-existence of antiferromagnetic and superconductivity (N-AFS) phase transition with other phase transitions [18].

We will now discuss only the N-AFS phase transition since other phase transitions were discussed in our previous work [18]. The spontaneous magnetization (M_{sa}) in the AFS phase ($M_a = -M_b$) can be calculated from Eq. (2.1). Now the minimization of Eq. (2.1) with respect to M_a , M_b and ψ_b and substitution of M_{sa} and ψ_b into Eq. (2.1), we get

$$F = F_n^* - \frac{a_2^*}{2b_2^*} + a_1^* |\psi_a|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_1^* |\psi_a|^4 + d_1 |\psi_a|^6 \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n^* &= F_n - \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} - \frac{\delta^2}{\beta} + \frac{\delta\alpha}{\beta}, \\
a_1^* &= a_1 + \frac{2\delta\gamma_1}{\beta} - \frac{2\gamma_1\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{2\eta^* a_2^*}{b_2^*},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1^* &= b_1 - \frac{2\gamma_1^2}{\beta} - \frac{2\eta^{*2}}{b_2^*}, \\
a_2^* &= a_2 + \frac{2\delta\gamma_2}{\beta} - \frac{2\gamma_2\alpha}{\beta}, \\
b_2^* &= b_2 - \frac{2\gamma_2^2}{\beta}, \\
\eta^* &= \eta - \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Minimization of Eq. (2.2) with respect to ψ_a , we get

$$|\psi_a|^2 = R \left[\left(1 + \frac{T_C - T}{\Delta T} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right] \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= \frac{b_1^*}{6d_1}, \\
\Delta T &= \frac{b_1^{*2}}{12a_0^* d_1}, \\
T_C &= \frac{T_{C1} a_{01} - \frac{2\delta\gamma_1}{\beta} + \frac{4\delta\eta^*\gamma_2}{\beta b_2^*} - \frac{2\eta^* a_{02} T_{C2}}{b_2^*} - \frac{2\gamma_1 \alpha_0 T_{af}}{\beta} + \frac{4\eta^* \gamma_2 \alpha_0 T_{af}}{\beta b_2^*}}{a_0^*}, \\
a_0^* &= a_{01} - \frac{2\gamma_1 \alpha_0}{\beta} - \frac{2\eta^* a_{02}}{b_2^*} + \frac{4\eta^* \gamma_2 \alpha_0}{\beta b_2^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

After the substitution of Eq. (2.3) into Eq. (2.2), the heat capacity can be calculated from $C_P = -T \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial T^2}$ as

$$C_P = \begin{cases} C_0, & (T > T_C), \\ C_0 + \frac{3^{1/2} a_0^{*3/2}}{4d_1^{1/2}} T (\Delta T + T_C - T)^{-1/2}, & (T < T_C) \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where

$C_0 = B + D(T - T_C) + E(T - T_C)^2$ is the background heat capacity.

Here B, D and E are parameters.

A. Susceptibility

First we calculate the susceptibility in the AFS phase. When we apply a small magnetic field ΔM in the AFS phase, a small but finite magnetization $\Delta M = M_a + M_b$ is produced in the AFS phase. Then the free energy density (2.1) for uniform system can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
F = & F_n + a_1 |\psi_a|^2 + a_2 |\psi_b|^2 + \frac{1}{2} b_1 |\psi_a|^4 + \frac{1}{2} b_2 |\psi_b|^4 + \alpha (M_a^2 + M_b^2) + \frac{1}{2} \beta (M_a^4 + M_b^4) \\
& + 2\delta M_a M_b + \gamma_1 |\psi_a|^2 (M_a^2 + M_b^2) + \gamma_2 |\psi_b|^2 (M_a^2 + M_b^2) + 2\eta \psi_a^2 \psi_b^2 - \Delta H \Delta M + \frac{H^2}{8\pi} \quad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Then by taking $M_a \cong -M_b$, the minimization of free energy density (2.5) with respect to M_a and M_b , we obtain

$$(\alpha + \delta)\Delta M + 3\beta M_{sa}^2 \Delta M + \gamma_1 |\psi_a|^2 \Delta M + \gamma_2 |\psi_b|^2 \Delta M - \Delta H = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

Then the susceptibility in the AFS phase can be expressed as

$$\chi_{AFS} = \frac{\Delta M}{\Delta H} = \frac{1}{(\alpha + \delta) + 3\beta M_{sa}^2 + \gamma_1 |\psi_a|^2 + \gamma_2 |\psi_b|^2} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$|\psi_a|^2 = \frac{a_1^{**} b_2^* - 2\eta^* a_2^*}{4\eta^{*2} - b_1^{**} b_2^*} \quad (2.8)$$

$$|\psi_b|^2 = \frac{a_2^* b_1^{**} - 2\eta^* a_1^{**}}{4\eta^{*2} - b_1^{**} b_2^*} \quad (2.9)$$

Here a_1^{**} and b_1^{**} are defined as

$$a_1^{**} = a_1 + \frac{2\delta\gamma_1}{\beta} - \frac{2\gamma_1\alpha}{\beta},$$

$$b_1^{**} = b_1 - \frac{2\gamma_1^2}{\beta}.$$

After substitution the values of M_{sa}^2 , $|\psi_a|^2$ and $|\psi_b|^2$ into Eq. (2.7), the susceptibility in the AFS phase is given by

$$\chi_{AFS} = \frac{(4\eta^{*2} - b_1^{**} b_2^*)}{G(T - T_{C3})} \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$T_{C3} = \frac{2\gamma_1(2\eta^* a_{02}^* T_{C2}^* - b_2^* a_{01}^* T_{C1}^*) + 2\gamma_2(2\eta^* a_{01}^* T_{C1}^* - b_1^{**} a_{02}^* T_{C2}^*) - (4\delta + 2\alpha_0 T_{af})(4\eta^{*2} - b_1^{**} b_2^*)}{G},$$

$$G = 2\gamma_1(2\eta^* a_{02}^* - b_2^* a_{01}^*) + 2\gamma_2(2\eta^* a_{01}^* - b_1^{**} a_{02}^*) - 2\alpha_0(4\eta^{*2} - b_1^{**} b_2^*),$$

$$T_{C1}^* = \frac{a_{01} T_{C1} - \frac{2\gamma_1\alpha_0 T_{af}}{\beta} - \frac{2\delta\gamma_1}{\beta}}{a_{01}^*},$$

$$T_{C2}^* = \frac{a_{02} T_{C2} - \frac{2\gamma_2\alpha_0 T_{af}}{\beta} - \frac{2\delta\gamma_2}{\beta}}{a_{02}^*},$$

$$a_{01}^* = a_{01} - \frac{2\gamma_1\alpha_0}{\beta},$$

$$a_{02}^* = a_{02} - \frac{2\gamma_2\alpha_0}{\beta}.$$

The susceptibility in the Normal phase can be expressed as

$$\chi_N = \frac{1}{2a_0^*(T - T_C)} \quad (2.11)$$

To calculate the specific heat and susceptibility of various rare-earth compounds, we have solved the equations (2.4), (2.10) and (2.11) with appropriate fitting parameters.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have extensively compared zero-field specific heat on five single crystals RNi_2B_2C (R= Er, Ho, Dy, Tm) in the range $0.1K < T < T_N$ with available experimental results. Figures 1-4 show the specific heat of rare earth compounds against temperature. A prominent peak at T_N in these compounds indicates a large heat capacity. This large heat capacity below T_C and small positive susceptibility show that antiferromagnetism coexists with superconductivity in these rare earth superconductors.

Figure 1 shows the variation of specific heat of $HoNi_2B_2C$ with temperature, and the inset shows susceptibility with temperature, which offers a similar trend

as that of the experimental one [20, 26]. From the graph, we have obtained a peak at T_N and specific heat anomaly below T_C . The significant susceptibility at T_N indicates the transition of the compound from diamagnetism to antiferromagnetism. The small positive value of susceptibility points towards the coexistence of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism below T_C .

In Fig.2, the specific heat of $ErNi_2B_2C$ shows an anomaly below T_C with a prominent peak at T_N . The pronounced rise in the susceptibility graph with the transition from negative to positive susceptibility below T_C points to the coexistence of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism in Er compounds. Our result shows a similar trend as that of the experiment [27].

Figure 3 shows the variation of specific heat for the compound of $TmNi_2B_2C$ with temperature and inset graph susceptibility with temperature. This compound forms a similar AF structure to that of $HoNi_2B_2C$ below T_N , with identically arranged moments. Moreover, superconductivity coexists with this AF order below T_C .

Figure 4 shows the variation of specific heat of $DyNi_2B_2C$ with temperature. The superconductivity emerges within a well-developed AF order ($T_C < T_N$). The graph shows the coexistence of Superconductivity

with AF order below T_C . Unlike other Ni-based AF superconducting borocarbides, $DyNi_2B_2C$ shows a prominent hysteresis in the range $1kOe \leq T \leq 10.5kOe$ [19]. In the specific heat calculation, we have neglected the fluctuation of the order parameters. Therefore, fluctuation-driven specific heat calculation is expected to give better results. The specific heat at the peak along with T_C and T_N for different compounds are given in the tabular form.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have calculated specific heat and susceptibility for the rare earth magnetic superconductors. The variation

of specific heat with temperature shows a similar trend as that of available experimental observations. The peak in characteristic heat curve at T_C and negative susceptibility in these compounds indicate the coexistence of superconductivity and antiferromagnetism. Our results show good qualitative agreement with experimental results. Our specific heat and susceptibility data will open up further empirical findings in these compounds.

-
- [1] Cava, R.J., Takagi, H., Zandbergen, H. W., Krajewski, J.J., Peck, W.F., Siegrist, T., Batlogg, B., Vandover, R.B., Felder, R.J., Mizuhashi, K., Lee, J.O., Eisaki, H., Uchida, S.: Nature (London) 367, 252 (1994).
- [2] Nagarajan,R.,Mazumdar,C.,Hossain,Z.,Dhar,S. K.,Gopalakrishnan, K. V.,Gupta,L.C.,Godart,C.,Padalia,B. D.,Vijayaraghavan,R.:Phys. Rev. Lett.72,274 (1994).
- [3] Canfield,P.C.,Cho,B. K., and Lee,S. I.: Phys. Rev. B 62,1291 (2000); Phys. Today 51, 40(1998).
- [4] Müller, K.-H., Narozhnyi,V.N.: Rep. Prog. Phys. 64, 943 (2001);Müller,K.-H.,Fuchs, G.,Drechsler,S.-L., and Narozhnyi,V. N. in:” Magnetic and Superconducting Properties of Rare Earth Borocarbides of the Type RNi₂B₂C”, : (Elsevier, North-Holland, 2002), Vol. 14, pp. 199-305.
- [5] Nohara, M., Isshiki,M., Sakai,F. and Takagi,H.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 1078 (1999); Nohara, M.,Suzuki,H.,Mangkorntong,N., and Takagi,H.: Physica C 341-348, 2177 (2000).
- [6] Mattheiss,L. F.: Phys.Rev. B 49,13279 (1994).
- [7] Pickett,W.E. and Singh,D.J.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 ,3702 (1994);Wang, G. and Maki,K.: Phys. Rev. B 58, 6493 (1998).
- [8] Coehoorn,R.: Physica C 228, 5671 (1994).
- [9] Rybaltchenko,L.F. et al., Europhys. Lett. 33 483 (1996).
- [10] Yanson, I.K.,Bobrov,N.L.,Tomy,C.V.,Paul,D.McK.:Physica C 334, 33 (2000).
- [11] Das Salila, Padhi PC. Internal Journal of Modern Physics B, Vol. 30, No.8, 1650044; 2016.
- [12] Izawa,K.,Shibata, A., Matsuda,Y., Kato,Y.,Takeya,H., Hirata,K., van der Beek,C. J. and Konczykowski,M.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 132 (2001); Izawa, K.,Kamata,K.,Nakajima,Y.,Matsuda,Y., Watanabe,T.,Nohara,Takagi,M. H.,Thalmeier,P. and Maki, K.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 137006 (2002).
- [13] E. Boaknin, R. W. Hill, C. Proust, C. Lupien, L. Taillefer, and P. C. Canfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 237001 (2001).
- [14] Y. Matsuda and K. Izawa, Physica C 388-389, 487 (2003).
- [15] Park,T., Chia,E. E. M.,Salamon,M. B.,Bauer,E., Vekhter,I.,Thompson,J. D., Choi, E. M., Kim, H. J.,Lee,S. I.,Canfield,P. C.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 237002 (2004);Park,T., Salamon,M.B.,Choi,E.M, Kim ,H.J. and Lee,S.I.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 177001 (2003).
- [16] Raychaudhuri,P., Jaiswal-Nagar,D.,Sheet,G.,Ramakrishnan, S. and Takeya,H.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 156802 (2004).
- [17] Naugle, D.G. Rathnayak, K.D.D., Clark, K. Canfield P.C: Int.J.Mod.Phys. B 13 ,3715 (1999).
- [18] Dash,P.S.,Das,S. and Mukherjee,P.K., Supercond.J.: Novel Magn. 34, 11011105 (2021).
- [19] Tomy, C.V, Lees. M.R., Afalfiz, Balakrishnan,G. and D, Paul,McK.: Phys. Rev. B52, 9186 (1995) ; K. J. Song, C. Park, S. S. Oh, Y. K. Kwon,Thompson,J. R., Mandrus,D. G.,Paul,D. McK. and Tomy,C. V.: Physica C 398, 107 (2003).
- [20] Allen, E.,Singh, S. , Dhar, S. K. and André,G. : New J. Phys. 12 043018, (2010).
- [21] Movshovich,R., Hundley,M.F.,Thompson,J.D., Canfield ,P.C.,Cho,B.K, Chubukov,A.V.: Physica C 227 381-386(1994) .
- [22] Gupta,L. C.: Adv. in Physics, 55, 691 (2006).
- [23] Jens Jensen and Per Hedegard, Phys. Rev. B 76, 094504 (2007).
- [24] Chela-Flores, J. Saif,A.G., Shehata, L.N.J.:Low temp. Phys. 71 (3-4), 295-310(1988).
- [25] Yeonjin,Doh,H, Sigrist,M.anfred,Cho,B.K.,Sung-IK, L.:Phy. Rev.Lett. 83(25),5350 (1999).
- [26] Dertinger,A., Kreyssig ,A., Ritter, C., Loewenhaupt , M. and Braun ,H.F.: arXiv:cond-mat/ 9906328 [cond-mat.supr-con] 22 july (1999).
- [27] Massalami,M. El, Rapp,R. E., Chaves,F. A. B.: arXiv:cond-mat/0302526 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 5 february (2003).
-

Figure captions:




FIG. 1: Specific heat as a function of temperature for the Ho compound along with experimental results [20] for $0 \leq T \leq 10 \text{ K}$. Inset: Variation of susceptibility with temperature. The peak at T_N corresponds to the antiferromagnetic ordering of the Ho compounds.




FIG. 2: Variation of specific heat with temperature for $\text{ErNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ along with the experimental graph [27]. The Inset graph shows the temperature variation of susceptibility χ . In both the graphs the peak is observed at T_N indicating the presence of antiferromagnetic ordering in Er compound.




FIG. 3: The specific heat as a function of temperature for $TmNi_2B_2C$ along with the experimental graph [21]. The inset graph shows the variation of susceptibility with temperature. The peak at T_N offers antiferromagnetic ordering of the Tm compound,




FIG. 4: specific heat as a function of temperature for $DyNi_2B_2C$ along with the experimental graph [19]. The inset graph shows the variation of susceptibility with temperature. The peak at 10.2k corresponds to the long-range antiferromagnetic ordering of the Tm compound.

TABLE I: Values of different specific heat for different compounds

Compounds	T_C in (K)	T_N in (K)	C_P (Theory) in J/mol K	C_P (Experiment) in J/mol K
$HoNi_2B_2C$	8.5	5.2	45.13	57.7
$ErNi_2B_2C$	11.5	6.0	11.51	12.16
$TmNi_2B_2C$	11.0	1.5	8.62	6.8
$DyNi_2B_2C$	6.5	10.5	1.84	0.9