

Partial Spectral Flow in the D1D5 CFT

Bin Guo¹ and Shaun Hampton²

Institut de Physique Théorique,
Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, CEA,
Orme des Merisiers,
Gif-sur-Yvette, 91191 CEDEX, France

Abstract

The two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra has a free field realization with four bosons and four fermions. There is an automorphism of the algebra called spectral flow. Under spectral flow, the four fermions are transformed together. In this paper, we study *partial spectral flow* where only two of the four fermions are transformed. Partial spectral flow is applied to the D1D5 CFT where a marginal deformation moves the CFT away from the free point. The partial spectral flow is broken by the deformation. We show that this effect can be studied due to a transformation of the deformation which is well-defined under partial spectral flow. As a result in the spectrum, we demonstrate how to compute the second-order energy lift of D1D5P states from their partial spectral flowed states. We find that D1D5P states related by partial spectral flow do not have the same lift in general.

¹bin.guo@ipht.fr

²shaun.hampton@ipht.fr

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra	3
2.1	Commutation relations	3
2.2	The free field realization	4
2.3	Spectral flow	5
3	Partial spectral flow	6
3.1	Decomposition of the generators	7
3.2	Partial spectral flow	9
4	Breaking of partial spectral flow	10
4.1	The D1D5 CFT and the twist operator	10
4.2	The deformation	11
5	Second-order energy lift	12
5.1	The Gava-Narain method	12
5.2	No lift from spectral flow	14
5.3	Lift from partial spectral flow	14
5.4	An example	15
5.5	Comparison with previous lifting results	17
6	Conclusion	19
7	Acknowledgements	21
A	Partial spectral flow of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra	21

1 Introduction

The D1D5 CFT is a $1 + 1$ dimensional theory with $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ superconformal symmetry. Using the AdS/CFT correspondence [1–3], it has proven extremely useful in describing many aspects of black hole physics [4–8]. There is a particular location in the moduli space of parameters called the ‘orbifold point’ in which the CFT is free [9–12]. At this point many interesting properties of black holes have been obtained. It has given a field theory derivation of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [13, 14]. The spectrum of low energy Hawking radiation was obtained in [5, 7]. Furthermore, the orbifold point has been used to obtain properties of black hole microstate geometries [15–31]. More recently progress has been made in deriving the tensionless string limit of the AdS/CFT correspondence using the orbifold theory [32–38].

The left (right) moving part of the $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ superconformal algebra (SCA) is the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA [39, 40]. It has a free field realization composed of four bosons and four fermions. The basic commutators of the fermionic modes are

$$\{d_r^{++}, d_s^{--}\} = -\delta_{r+s,0}, \quad \{d_r^{+-}, d_s^{-+}\} = \delta_{r+s,0} \quad (1.1)$$

A very important property of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA, which we shall investigate in this paper, is an automorphism called spectral flow [39]. It acts on the elements of an algebra transforming them in a way that keeps the algebra itself invariant. For some applications in microstate geometries, see [41–43]. In the free field realization, it can be simply understood as the following transformation

$$d_r^{\pm A} \rightarrow d_{r \mp \frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\pm A} \quad (1.2)$$

where $A = +, -$ and α is a real parameter. Bosonic fields are unaffected by this transformation. Spectral flow (1.2) keeps the basic commutators (1.1) invariant and thus keeps the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA invariant. When α is an odd integer, the spectral flow interpolates between the Neveu-Schwarz (NS) sector and the Ramond (R) sector of the fermionic degrees of freedom.

Within spectral flow, the four fermions transform together. However, in the free field realization (1.1) two of the four fermions are decoupled from the other two. Thus we can perform spectral flow for only two of the four fermions while still keeping the basic commutators (1.1) invariant therefore keeping the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA invariant. We will call it *partial spectral flow*. In this paper, we will study the structure of this partial spectral flow. We will show that it is related to the existence of two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA’s in the free field realization.

The orbifold (free) point of the D1D5 CFT can be obtained by orbifolding $N = n_1 n_5$ copies of the above free field realization with the permutation group S_N . In the orbifold theory, there exists a particular interesting class of operators called twist operators. A twist operator σ_k of rank k can link k copies of the free fields into a single copy of winding k . We will study the transformation of twist operators under partial spectral flow. We will show that they have well-defined transformations.

To move towards the supergravity point one must deform away from the orbifold point using a marginal deformation. See [8, 44–46] for more details. We will show that the marginal deformation, which contains the twist operator σ_2 of rank 2, is not invariant under partial spectral flow. Thus partial spectral flow is broken explicitly by the marginal deformation. We study the effect of this breaking on the spectrum of D1D5P states which are states with only left moving modes excited. We show that states related by partial spectral flow do not have the same energy lift in general. We will give an explicit example. This example also shows that partial spectral flow can be used to simplify lifting computations.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we write down the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA, its free field realization and spectral flow. We will use a formalism that includes the orbifold theory naturally. In section 3 we introduce partial spectral flow and analyze its structure. In section 4 we study the transformation of twist operators under partial spectral flow. We will also show that partial spectral flow is broken by the marginal deformation. In section 5 we study the effect of the breaking of partial spectral flow on the spectrum of D1D5P states. In section 6 we conclude.

2 Two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra

In this section we recall the two-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA and its realization by using four free bosons and four free fermions. In the following, we will use the formalism (see for example [47, 48]) that also includes the k -twisted sector of the orbifold theory. For readers who are only interested in partial spectral flow but not the orbifold theory, set $k = 1$.

2.1 Commutation relations

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA contains the Virasoro generators L_m which form a Virasoro algebra and $SU(2)$ current generators J_m^a with $a = 1, 2, 3$ which form an $SU(2)$ Kac-Moody algebra. There are also four supercharge generators $G_{\dot{A},r}^\alpha$ with $\dot{A} = +, -$ and $\alpha = +, -$,³ which form two $SU(2)$ doublets with charges $\alpha/2$.

The commutation relations are

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= \frac{c}{12}m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0} + (m - n)L_{m+n} \\ [J_m^a, J_n^b] &= \frac{m}{12}\delta^{ab}\delta_{m+n,0} + i\epsilon^ab{}_c J_{m+n}^c \\ [L_m, J_n^a] &= -nJ_{m+n}^a \\ [L_m, G_{\dot{A},r}^\alpha] &= \left(\frac{m}{2} - r\right)G_{\dot{A},m+r}^\alpha \\ [J_m^a, G_{\dot{A},r}^\alpha] &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{aT})^\alpha{}_\beta G_{\dot{A},m+r}^\beta \end{aligned}$$

³This α should not be confused with the spectral flow parameter α .

$$\{G_{\dot{A},r}^\alpha, G_{\dot{B},s}^\beta\} = \epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} \left[\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \frac{c}{6} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (\sigma^{aT})_{\alpha\gamma} \epsilon^{\gamma\beta} (r-s) J_{r+s}^a + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} L_{r+s} \right] \quad (2.1)$$

where $c = 6k$. Here k is a positive integer and is also the winding number of the k -twisted sector in the orbifold theory that will be introduced later. Let q be an integer. The mode numbers for the bosonic generators L and J are $n = q/k$. The mode numbers for the fermionic generators G are $r = q/k$ in the R sector and $r = (q + \frac{1}{2})/k$ in the NS sector.

It is convenient to use J_n^\pm defined as

$$J_n^\pm = J_n^1 \pm iJ_n^2 \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [J_m^3, J_n^+] &= J_{m+n}^+, & [J_m^3, J_n^-] &= -J_{m+n}^- \\ [J_m^+, J_n^-] &= \frac{c}{6} m \delta_{m+n,0} + 2J_{m+n}^3 \\ [J_m^3, G_{\dot{A},r}^\pm] &= \pm \frac{1}{2} G_{\dot{A},m+r}^\pm \\ [J_m^+, G_{\dot{A},r}^+] &= 0, & [J_m^-, G_{\dot{A},r}^+] &= G_{\dot{A},m+r}^- \\ [J_m^+, G_{\dot{A},r}^-] &= G_{\dot{A},m+r}^+, & [J_m^-, G_{\dot{A},r}^-] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

2.2 The free field realization

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra (2.1) can be realized by using four free bosons $\alpha_{\dot{A}\dot{A},n}$ with $\dot{A}, \dot{A} = +, -$ and four free fermions $d_r^{\alpha A}$ with $\alpha, A = +, -$. The basic commutation relations are

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_{\dot{A}\dot{A},m}, \alpha_{\dot{B}\dot{B},n}] &= -km \epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{A}} \epsilon_{\dot{B}\dot{B}} \delta_{m+n,0} \\ \{d_r^{\alpha A}, d_s^{\beta B}\} &= -k \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{AB} \delta_{r+s,0} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The mode numbers for the bosons α are $n = q/k$. The mode numbers for the fermions d are $r = q/k$ in the R sector and $r = (q + \frac{1}{2})/k$ in the NS sector. Notice that since the spacing of mode numbers is $1/k$ there are effectively $4k$ bosons and $4k$ fermions with total central charge $6k$ which is equal to the central charge c in the algebra (2.1).

The generators of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA can be realized as

$$\begin{aligned} J_m^a &= \frac{1}{4k} \sum_r \epsilon_{AB} d_r^{\gamma B} \epsilon_{\alpha\gamma} (\sigma^{aT})_{\beta}^{\alpha} d_{m-r}^{\beta A}, & a &= 1, 2, 3 \\ J_m^3 &= -\frac{1}{2k} \sum_r d_r^{++} d_{m-r}^{--} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_r d_r^{-+} d_{m-r}^{+-} \\ J_m^+ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_r d_r^{++} d_{m-r}^{+-}, & J_m^- &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_r d_r^{--} d_{m-r}^{-+} \\ G_{\dot{A},r}^\alpha &= -\frac{i}{k} \sum_n d_{r-n}^{\alpha A} \alpha_{\dot{A}\dot{A},n} \end{aligned}$$

$$L_m = -\frac{1}{2k} \sum_n \epsilon^{AB} \epsilon^{A\dot{B}} \alpha_{A\dot{A},n} \alpha_{B\dot{B},m-n} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_r (m-r + \frac{1}{2}) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{AB} d_r^{\alpha A} d_{m-r}^{\beta B} \quad (2.5)$$

There is an implicit normal ordering in the above definition. By using the basic commutators (2.4) one can check that the above generators satisfy the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA (2.1). We can also find the commutation relations between the free fields and the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal generators, which are

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, \alpha_{A\dot{A},n}] &= -n \alpha_{A\dot{A},m+n} \\ [L_m, d_r^{\alpha A}] &= -\left(\frac{m}{2} + r\right) d_{m+r}^{\alpha A} \\ \{G_{\dot{A},r}^\alpha, d_s^{\beta B}\} &= i \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{AB} \alpha_{A\dot{A},r+s} \\ [G_{\dot{A},r}^\alpha, \alpha_{B\dot{B},m}] &= -im \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{\dot{A}\dot{B}} d_{r+m}^{\alpha A} \\ [J_m^a, d_r^{\alpha A}] &= \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{Ta})_\beta^{\alpha A} d_{m+r}^{\beta A} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In terms of J^\pm and J^3 , the last one becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [J_m^3, d_r^{\pm A}] &= \pm \frac{1}{2} d_{m+r}^{\pm A} \\ [J_m^+, d_r^{+A}] &= 0, \quad [J_m^-, d_r^{+A}] = d_{m+r}^{-A} \\ [J_m^-, d_r^{+A}] &= d_{m+r}^{-A}, \quad [J_m^+, d_r^{+A}] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

One can also normalize the basic commutation relations (2.4) to remove the parameter k . In this way, there is no overall $1/k$ factor in the generators (2.5). All other commutation relations will be the same.

2.3 Spectral flow

Spectral flow is an automorphism of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA. It is an operation which changes the boundary conditions of the fermionic fields within the theory, interpolating between the NS and R sectors [39]. Under a spectral flow by α units, the transformations of the generators are

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &\rightarrow L_n - \alpha J_n^3 + \frac{\alpha^2 c}{24} \delta_{n,0} \\ J_n^3 &\rightarrow J_n^3 - \frac{\alpha c}{12} \delta_{n,0} \\ G_{\dot{A},r}^\pm &\rightarrow G_{\dot{A},r \mp \frac{\alpha}{2}}^\pm \\ J_n^\pm &\rightarrow J_{n \mp \alpha}^\pm \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

It can be checked that the above spectral flow preserves the algebra (2.1). This is why it is called an automorphism.

In the free field realization, the above spectral flow can be derived from the basic spectral flow of the free fermions

$$d_r^{\pm A} \rightarrow d_{r \mp \frac{\alpha}{2}}^{\pm A} \quad (2.9)$$

which preserves the basic commutation relations (2.4). Notice that some terms in the generators (2.5) will be reordered under the basic spectral flow (2.9) due to the normal ordering. This gives the terms containing the central charge in (2.8).

Another way to see that the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA (2.1) is invariant under spectral flow (2.8) is to use the free field realization. The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA can be derived from the basic commutation relations (2.4). The basic spectral flow which preserves the basic commutation relations should also preserve the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA. Thus spectral flow (2.8) which comes from the basic spectral flow also preserves the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA. For an operator $O(z)$ of charge j , the transformation under spectral flow by α units at $z = 0$ is

$$O(z) \rightarrow z^{-\alpha j} O(z) \quad (2.10)$$

In the following, we will also use the cylinder coordinate w defined through $z = e^w$. Spectral flow at $w = -\infty$ becomes

$$O(w) \rightarrow e^{-\alpha j w} O(w) \quad (2.11)$$

3 Partial spectral flow

The fermionic part of the basic commutators (2.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} \{d_r^{++}, d_s^{--}\} &= -k\delta_{r+s,0} \\ \{d_r^{+-}, d_s^{-+}\} &= k\delta_{r+s,0} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The four fermions can be separated into two types with charges $++$, $--$ and $+-$, $-+$. The two types decouple from each other. Thus instead of spectral flowing all the fermions together as in (2.9), we can spectral flow the two types separately. The transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} d_r^{++} &\rightarrow d_{r-\frac{\alpha_I}{2}}^{++} \\ d_r^{--} &\rightarrow d_{r+\frac{\alpha_I}{2}}^{--} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_r^{+-} &\rightarrow d_{r-\frac{\alpha_{II}}{2}}^{+-} \\ d_r^{-+} &\rightarrow d_{r+\frac{\alpha_{II}}{2}}^{-+} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where α_I and α_{II} are two independent free parameters. We will call this a *partial spectral flow*. The purpose of this paper is to investigate its structure and its application in the D1D5 CFT.

3.1 Decomposition of the generators

In this section we will decompose the generators in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA (2.1). Let us group the four bosons and four fermions into type I and type II as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type I: } & d_r^{++} \quad d_r^{--} \quad \alpha_{++,n} \quad \alpha_{--,n} \\ \text{Type II: } & d_r^{+-} \quad d_r^{-+} \quad \alpha_{+-,n} \quad \alpha_{-+,n} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Based on this grouping, let us decompose the generators in the free field realization of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA (2.5).

Let us begin with generators that can be decomposed. They are

$$L_m \quad J_m^3 \quad G_{+,r}^+ \quad G_{-,r}^- \quad (3.5)$$

For L_m we have

$$L_m \equiv L_m^{(I)} + L_m^{(II)} \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_m^{(I)} &= -\frac{1}{2k} \sum_r (m-r+\frac{1}{2}) (d_r^{++} d_{m-r}^{--} + d_r^{--} d_{m-r}^{++}) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2k} \sum_n (\alpha_{++,n} \alpha_{--,m-n} + \alpha_{--,n} \alpha_{++,m-n}) \\ L_m^{(II)} &= \frac{1}{2k} \sum_r (m-r+\frac{1}{2}) (d_r^{+-} d_{m-r}^{-+} + d_r^{-+} d_{m-r}^{+-}) \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2k} \sum_n (\alpha_{+-,n} \alpha_{-+,m-n} + \alpha_{-+,n} \alpha_{+-,m-n}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

For J_m^3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_m^3 &= -\frac{1}{2k} \sum_r d_r^{++} d_{m-r}^{--} - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_r d_r^{-+} d_{m-r}^{+-} \\ &\equiv J_m^{3(I)} + J_m^{3(II)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

For $G_{+,r}^+$ and $G_{-,r}^-$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{+,r}^+ &= -\frac{i}{k} \sum_n d_{r-n}^{++} \alpha_{++,n} - i \sum_n d_{r-n}^{+-} \alpha_{+-,n} \\ &\equiv G_{+,r}^{+(I)} + G_{+,r}^{+(II)} \\ G_{-,r}^- &= -\frac{i}{k} \sum_n d_{r-n}^{--} \alpha_{--,n} - i \sum_n d_{r-n}^{-+} \alpha_{-+,n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv G_{-,r}^{-(\text{I})} + G_{-,r}^{-(\text{II})} \quad (3.9)$$

There are generators that cannot be decomposed into type I and type II because they are composed of one member from each of the two types respectively. They are

$$J_m^+ \quad J_m^- \quad G_{-,r}^+ \quad G_{+,r}^- \quad (3.10)$$

In detail, they are

$$J_m^+ = \frac{1}{k} \sum_r d_r^{++} d_{m-r}^{+-} \quad J_m^- = \frac{1}{k} \sum_r d_r^{--} d_{m-r}^{-+} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{-,r}^+ &= -\frac{i}{k} \sum_n d_{r-n}^{++} \alpha_{+-,n} - \frac{i}{k} \sum_n d_{r-n}^{+-} \alpha_{--,n} \\ &\equiv G_{-,r}^{+(\text{I})} + G_{-,r}^{+(\text{II})} \\ G_{+,r}^- &= -\frac{i}{k} \sum_n d_{r-n}^{--} \alpha_{-+,n} - \frac{i}{k} \sum_n d_{r-n}^{-+} \alpha_{++,n} \\ &\equiv G_{+,r}^{-(\text{I})} + G_{+,r}^{-(\text{II})} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where we have assigned type I and type II for the terms in $G_{-,r}^+$ and $G_{+,r}^-$ based only on the fermions in (3.4) for later convenience even though they cannot be decomposed.

Consider the generators that can be decomposed given in (3.5). We note that the modes $L^{(\text{I})}, J^{3(\text{I})}, G_{+}^{+(\text{I})}, G_{-}^{-(\text{I})}$ close within themselves and form a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA with a $U(1)$ group and a central charge $c/2$. Similarly, $L^{(\text{II})}, J^{3(\text{II})}, G_{+}^{+(\text{II})}, G_{-}^{-(\text{II})}$ form another $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA with another $U(1)$ group and a central charge $c/2$. They satisfy the following two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA's

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m^{(i)}, L_n^{(j)}] &= \delta^{ij} \left[\frac{c}{24} m(m^2 - 1) \delta_{m+n,0} + (m-n) L_{m+n}^{(j)} \right] \\ [J_m^{3(i)}, J_n^{3(j)}] &= \delta^{ij} \frac{c}{24} m \delta_{m+n,0} \\ \{G_{+,r}^{+(i)}, G_{-,s}^{-(j)}\} &= -\delta^{ij} \left[\frac{c}{12} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s) J_{r+s}^{3(j)} + L_{r+s}^{(j)} \right] \\ [J_m^{3(i)}, G_{+,r}^{+(j)}] &= \delta^{ij} \frac{1}{2} G_{+,m+r}^{+(j)} \quad [J_m^{3(i)}, G_{-,r}^{-(j)}] = -\delta^{ij} \frac{1}{2} G_{-,m+r}^{-(j)} \\ [L_m^{(i)}, J_n^{3(j)}] &= -\delta^{ij} n J_{m+n}^{3(j)} \\ [L_m^{(i)}, G_{+,r}^{+(j)}] &= \delta^{ij} (\frac{m}{2} - r) G_{+,m+r}^{+(j)} \quad [L_m^{(i)}, G_{-,r}^{-(j)}] = \delta^{ij} (\frac{m}{2} - r) G_{-,m+r}^{-(j)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where $i, j = \text{I, II}$. Notice that these two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA's exist only in the free field realization where we can decompose the generators. The two partial spectral flows (3.2) and (3.3) of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA are indeed the spectral flow for the two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA's.

In this section we decomposed the generators based on the grouping (3.4). There is another way to group the bosonic fields. We can group $d_r^{++}, d_r^{--}, \alpha_{+-,n}, \alpha_{-+,n}$ into type I and $d_r^{+-}, d_r^{-+}, \alpha_{++n}, \alpha_{--n}$ into type II. In this way, similar results will follow. The two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA's are formed by $L^{(i)}, J^{3(i)}, G_-^{+(i)}, G_+^{-(i)}$ with $i = \text{I, II}$.

3.2 Partial spectral flow

Using the free field realization, one can derive the transformation of the generators under partial spectral flow. We list the results in the following:

Partial spectral flow by α_{I}

Partial spectral flow of type I for the free fields are

$$\begin{aligned} d_r^{++} &\rightarrow d_{r-\frac{\alpha_{\text{I}}}{2}}^{++} \\ d_r^{--} &\rightarrow d_{r+\frac{\alpha_{\text{I}}}{2}}^{--} \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

It gives

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\text{I})} &\rightarrow L_n^{(\text{I})} - \alpha_{\text{I}} J_n^{3(\text{I})} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{I}}^2 c}{48} \delta_{n,0} \\ J_n^{3(\text{I})} &\rightarrow J_n^{3(\text{I})} - \frac{\alpha_{\text{I}} c}{24} \delta_{n,0} \\ G_{A,r}^{\pm(\text{I})} &\rightarrow G_{A,r \mp \frac{\alpha_{\text{I}}}{2}}^{\pm(\text{I})} \\ J_n^{\pm} &\rightarrow J_{n \mp \frac{\alpha_{\text{I}}}{2}}^{\pm} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

with all other generators labelled by type II remaining unchanged. In the generator $J_n^+ = \frac{1}{k} \sum_r d_r^{++} d_{n-r}^{+-}$, we only spectral flow d_r^{++} . Then J_n^{\pm} partial spectral flows to $J_{n \mp \frac{\alpha_{\text{I}}}{2}}^{\pm}$ not $J_{n \mp \alpha_{\text{I}}}^{\pm}$ as in spectral flow (2.8). Another way to understand this fact is the following. The J_n^{\pm} has one unit of \pm charge where a $1/2$ unit comes from type I and the other $1/2$ unit comes from type II. If we only partial spectral flow type I by α_{I} , the mode number of J_n^{\pm} is shifted by $\alpha_{\text{I}}/2$ not α_{I} . Similar ideas will be used later to derive the transformation of twist operators in the orbifold theory. The transformations for the quantum numbers can be derived

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(\text{I})'} &= h^{(\text{I})} + \alpha_{\text{I}} j^{(\text{I})} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{I}}^2 c}{48} \\ j^{(\text{I})'} &= j^{(\text{I})} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{I}} c}{24} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Partial spectral flow by α_{II}

Partial spectral flow of type II for the free fields are

$$d_r^{+-} \rightarrow d_{r-\frac{\alpha_{\text{II}}}{2}}^{+-}$$

$$d_r^{-+} \rightarrow d_{r+\frac{\alpha_{\text{II}}}{2}}^{-+} \quad (3.17)$$

It gives

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^{(\text{II})} &\rightarrow L_n^{(\text{II})} - \alpha_{\text{II}} J_n^{3(\text{II})} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{II}}^2 c}{48} \delta_{n,0} \\ J_n^{3(\text{II})} &\rightarrow J_n^{3(\text{II})} - \frac{\alpha_{\text{II}} c}{24} \delta_{n,0} \\ G_{\dot{A},r}^{\pm(\text{II})} &\rightarrow G_{\dot{A},r \mp \frac{\alpha_{\text{II}}}{2}}^{\pm(\text{II})} \\ J_n^{\pm} &\rightarrow J_{n \mp \frac{\alpha_{\text{II}}}{2}}^{\pm} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

with all other operators labelled by type I remaining unchanged. The transformations for the quantum numbers are

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(\text{II})'} &= h^{(\text{II})} + \alpha_{\text{II}} j^{(\text{II})} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{II}}^2 c}{48} \\ j^{(\text{II})'} &= j^{(\text{II})} + \frac{\alpha_{\text{II}} c}{24} \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Notice that the transformation of quantum numbers (3.16) and (3.19) are indeed the ones for the spectral flow of the two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCA's with central charge $c/2$.

As explained, the transformation rules (3.15) and (3.18) should preserve the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA since they preserve the basic commutation relations (2.4). In the appendix, we give some explicit examples.

4 Breaking of partial spectral flow

4.1 The D1D5 CFT and the twist operator

Consider Type IIB string theory on the space $M_{4,1} \times S^1 \times T^4$ with n_1 D1 branes wrapping S^1 and n_5 D5 branes wrapping $S^1 \times T^4$. In the near horizon limit the spacetime becomes $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ where the S^1 is part of AdS_3 . The D1D5 CFT is defined on the boundary of this AdS_3 region in the decoupling limit.

There is a point in the moduli space of couplings called the ‘orbifold point’. To build the theory at this point, let us first make a tensor product of $N = n_1 n_5$ copies of the above free field realization of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA with $k = 1$. Then we take the orbifold by the permutation group S_N , which permutes the N copies. In this S_N orbifold theory, there exist k -twisted sectors where k copies are joined together. They can be viewed as ‘component strings’ with winding k . The free bosons and fermions living in these k -twisted sectors have basic commutators (2.4) with parameter k and mode spacing $1/k$.

There exist the following chiral primaries in these k -twisted sectors. For more details about their construction, see [49–53]. For related works involving the twist operator,

see [54–60]. Their dimensions and charges are

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_k^- &: h = j = \frac{k-1}{2}, & j^{(\text{I})} &= \frac{k-1}{4}, & j^{(\text{II})} &= \frac{k-1}{4} \\
d_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{++}\sigma_k^- &: h = j = \frac{k}{2}, & j^{(\text{I})} &= \frac{k+1}{4}, & j^{(\text{II})} &= \frac{k-1}{4} \\
d_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+-}\sigma_k^- &: h = j = \frac{k}{2}, & j^{(\text{I})} &= \frac{k-1}{4}, & j^{(\text{II})} &= \frac{k+1}{4} \\
\sigma_k^+ = d_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{++}d_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+-}\sigma_k^- &: h = j = \frac{k+1}{2}, & j^{(\text{I})} &= \frac{k+1}{4}, & j^{(\text{II})} &= \frac{k+1}{4}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We have also written down the type I and type II charges of each chiral primary. From the construction in [50], the charges of σ_k^\pm comes equally from the two types. The operator $d_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{++}\sigma_k^-$ carries an extra 1/2 charge of type I. The operator $d_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{+-}\sigma_k^-$ carries an extra 1/2 charge of type II. Under the partial spectral flow by α_{I} units for type I and α_{II} units for type II at $z = 0$, an operator with charges $j^{(\text{I})}$ and $j^{(\text{II})}$ transforms as

$$O(z) \rightarrow z^{-\alpha_{\text{I}}j^{(\text{I})} - \alpha_{\text{II}}j^{(\text{II})}} O(z) \tag{4.2}$$

In the cylinder coordinate w , the partial spectral flow at $w = -\infty$ becomes

$$O(w) \rightarrow e^{(-\alpha_{\text{I}}j^{(\text{I})} - \alpha_{\text{II}}j^{(\text{II})})w} O(w) \tag{4.3}$$

By inserting the type I and type II charges of the chiral primaries in (4.1), the transformations of these chiral primaries can be found correspondingly.

4.2 The deformation

Everything we have looked at so far applies only at the ‘orbifold’ point of the CFT. If we eventually want to investigate the dual gravity theory we must include a deformation operator which moves us toward the supergravity point in the CFT. For some related works about the deformation see [61–72]. In this section we show that partial spectral flow is broken by the deformation operator.

The deformed action is

$$S = S_0 + \lambda \int d^2w D \tag{4.4}$$

where S_0 is the free field action and the deformation operator is given by

$$D = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{A\dot{B}} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} G_{A,-\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha \bar{G}_{\dot{B},-\frac{1}{2}}^{\bar{\alpha}} \sigma_2^{\beta\bar{\beta}}(w, \bar{w}) = \epsilon^{A\dot{B}} G_{A,-\frac{1}{2}}^- \bar{G}_{\dot{B},-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma_2^{++}(w, \bar{w}) \tag{4.5}$$

where $\epsilon_{+-} = 1$ and $\epsilon^{+-} = -1$. The cylinder coordinate w is defined through $z = e^w$. The twist operator, σ_2^{++} factorizes into left and right moving components

$$\sigma_2^{++}(w, \bar{w}) = \sigma_2^+(w) \sigma_2^+(\bar{w}) \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore we only consider the left moving part as the right moving part will be similar. The dimension and charge of the σ_2^+ is $h = j = 1/2$ and $j^{(\text{I})} = j^{(\text{II})} = 1/4$.⁴

To find the transformation of the deformation under partial spectral flow, let us first consider the operator $G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma_2^+(w)$

$$G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma_2^+(w) = (G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})} + G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})}) \sigma_2^+(w) \quad (4.7)$$

where we have split the supercharges into type I and type II depending on whether it contains type I fermions or type II fermions as in (3.9) and (3.12). The $G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})}$ has $-1/2$ unit of type I charge and $G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})}$ has $-1/2$ unit of type II charge. Thus we have the charges

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})} \sigma_2^+(w) : \quad & j = 0, \quad j^{(\text{I})} = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad j^{(\text{II})} = \frac{1}{4} \\ G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})} \sigma_2^+(w) : \quad & j = 0, \quad j^{(\text{I})} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad j^{(\text{II})} = -\frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

The transformation of partial spectral flow (4.3) gives

$$G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma_2^+(w) \rightarrow (e^{\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_{\text{I}} - \alpha_{\text{II}})w} G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})} + e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_{\text{I}} - \alpha_{\text{II}})w} G_{\dot{A}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})}) \sigma_2^+(w) \quad (4.9)$$

As we can see, the deformation operator is not generally invariant under partial spectral flow. Only when $\alpha_{\text{I}} = \alpha_{\text{II}}$, which corresponds to the original spectral flow or at $w = 0$, is it invariant. Therefore, partial spectral flow is broken as one perturbs away from the orbifold point by adding the deformation (4.5). In the next section, we will study its effect on the spectrum of D1D5P states.

5 Second-order energy lift

5.1 The Gava-Narain method

In this subsection we briefly review the method of computing the second-order energy lift of D1D5P states. It was proposed by Gava and Narain [45] and further investigated in [73]. For other works about lifting of states, see [47, 48, 74–81]. We will also show that states related by spectral flow have the same amount of lift. In the next subsection we will show that it is not true for partial spectral flow.

We will work in the Ramond sector. At the orbifold point, there are Ramond ground states with dimensions $h = \bar{h} = \frac{c}{24}$, which are called D1D5 states. If we only excite the left movers, the states obtained are called D1D5P states. They have dimensions

$$(h, \bar{h}) = (n + \frac{c}{24}, \frac{c}{24}) \quad (5.1)$$

⁴The σ_2^+ we use in the deformation is actually σ_2^- in the first line of (4.1). The reason we use that notation is to clearly indicate that the charge is $j = 1/2$.

where n is an integer. This n is also called the ‘level’ of states. As we move away from the orbifold point, the dimensions of the D1D5P states can change

$$(h, \bar{h}) \rightarrow (h + \delta h, \bar{h} + \delta \bar{h}) \quad (5.2)$$

The spin $h - \bar{h}$ must be an integer which implies that the small change in the left and right moving dimensions must be the same

$$\delta h = \delta \bar{h} \quad (5.3)$$

Furthermore, because Ramond ground states have the lowest possible dimension, the change in dimension for the right mover must be non-negative, $\delta \bar{h} \geq 0$. Therefore the energy lift must be non-negative

$$E^{(2)} = 2\delta h \geq 0 \quad (5.4)$$

This non-negative result implies that the first nontrivial contribution starts at second order in the perturbation λ , where $\delta h \sim O(\lambda^2)$.

Gava and Narain proposed a method to compute this energy lift for D1D5P states. Consider the subspace formed by unperturbed states $O_a^{(0)}$ at level- n , which have dimension (5.1). In this subspace, define the lifting matrix

$$E_{ba}^{(2)} = 2\lambda^2 \langle O_b^{(0)} | \{ \bar{G}_{+,0}^{+(P)\dagger}, \bar{G}_{+,0}^{+(P)} \} | O_a^{(0)} \rangle = 2\lambda^2 \langle O_b^{(0)} | \{ \bar{G}_{-,0}^{+(P)\dagger}, \bar{G}_{-,0}^{+(P)} \} | O_a^{(0)} \rangle \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$\bar{G}_{A,0}^{\bar{\alpha}(P)} = \pi \mathcal{P} G_{A,-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \sigma^{-\bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{P} = -\pi \mathcal{P} G_{A,-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^{+\bar{\alpha}} \mathcal{P} \quad (5.6)$$

where the operator of the form $G\sigma$ is sitting at $w = 0$ and the projection operator \mathcal{P} projects any state to the level- n subspace. It has been shown that $\bar{G}_{A,0}^{\bar{\alpha}(P)}$ is related to the first order correction to the supercharge $\bar{G}_{A,0}^{\bar{\alpha}}$. We note that there is some redundancy in the projection operator when we insert (5.6) into (5.5). The Hermitian conjugation relations are

$$\bar{G}_{+,0}^{+(P)\dagger} = -\bar{G}_{-,0}^{-(P)}, \quad \bar{G}_{-,0}^{+(P)\dagger} = \bar{G}_{+,0}^{-(P)} \quad (5.7)$$

The eigenvalue of the lifting matrix $E^{(2)}$ is the value of the lift. The corresponding eigenstate is the zeroth order state having this lift.

In the following, we will be interested in the average lift for a state Φ at level- n

$$E^{(2)}(\Phi) = 2\lambda^2 \pi^2 \langle \Phi | \left[(G_{-, -\frac{1}{2}}^+ \sigma^{--}) P_{h(\Phi)} (G_{+, -\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^{++}) + (G_{+, -\frac{1}{2}}^+ \sigma^{-+}) P_{h(\Phi)} (G_{-, -\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^{+-}) \right] | \Phi \rangle \quad (5.8)$$

where the projection operator $P_{h(\Phi)}$ projects states onto the subspace of states having the same dimension as the state Φ . Because eigenvalues of $E^{(2)}$ are non-negative, if the above average is positive, the state Φ must contain a lifted state.

5.2 No lift from spectral flow

Spectral flow on the left mover will map a D1D5P state to another D1D5P state. In this section we will show that the second-order energy lift of D1D5P states is invariant under spectral flow on the left mover. Under spectral flow, we have

$$G_{\dot{A},-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^+ \rightarrow G_{\dot{A},-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^+ \quad (5.9)$$

since the operator has zero charge. Let us take the spectral flow of (5.8). We will use ' to label the state after spectral flow. We obtain

$$E^{(2)}(\Phi) = 2\lambda^2 \pi^2 \langle \Phi' | \left[(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \sigma^{--}) P'_{h(\Phi)} (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^{++}) + (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \sigma^{-+}) P'_{h(\Phi)} (G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^{+-}) \right] | \Phi' \rangle \quad (5.10)$$

Before spectral flow the intermediate state obtained from the projection operator $P_{h(\Phi)}$ has the same charge and dimension as the initial state Φ . After spectral flow the intermediate state and the initial state Φ' will also have the same charge and dimension. Thus

$$P'_{h(\Phi)} = P_{h(\Phi')} \quad (5.11)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)}(\Phi) &= 2\lambda^2 \pi^2 \langle \Phi' | \left[(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \sigma^{--}) P_{h(\Phi')} (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^{++}) + (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \sigma^{-+}) P_{h(\Phi')} (G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^{+-}) \right] | \Phi' \rangle \\ &= E^{(2)}(\Phi') \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

The states Φ and Φ' have the same lift. Spectral flow does not change the value of the lift.

5.3 Lift from partial spectral flow

In this subsection, we will show that the lift will change in general under partial spectral flow. For partial spectral flow, let us separate the operator based on its type I and type II charges as in (4.7)

$$G_{\dot{A},-\frac{1}{2}}^- \sigma^+ = G_{\dot{A},-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})} \sigma^+ + G_{\dot{A},-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})} \sigma^+ \quad (5.13)$$

From (4.8), the charges are

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\dot{A},-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})} \sigma^+ &: \quad j^{(\text{I})} = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad j^{(\text{II})} = \frac{1}{4} \\ G_{\dot{A},-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})} \sigma^+ &: \quad j^{(\text{I})} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad j^{(\text{II})} = -\frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

The lift (5.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)}(\Phi) &= 2\lambda^2 \pi^2 \langle \Phi | \left[(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(\text{I})} \sigma^{--}) P_{h(\Phi), j^{(\text{I})}(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}, j^{(\text{II})}(\Phi) + \frac{1}{4}} (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})} \sigma^{++}) \right. \\ &\quad + (G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(\text{II})} \sigma^{--}) P_{h(\Phi), j^{(\text{I})}(\Phi) + \frac{1}{4}, j^{(\text{II})}(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}} (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})} \sigma^{++}) \\ &\quad + (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(\text{I})} \sigma^{-+}) P_{h(\Phi), j^{(\text{I})}(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}, j^{(\text{II})}(\Phi) + \frac{1}{4}} (G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{I})} \sigma^{+-}) \\ &\quad \left. + (G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(\text{II})} \sigma^{-+}) P_{h(\Phi), j^{(\text{I})}(\Phi) + \frac{1}{4}, j^{(\text{II})}(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}} (G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(\text{II})} \sigma^{+-}) \right] | \Phi \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where we have written type I and type II charges of the intermediate states explicitly. Because type I and type II charges are conserved there is no cross term between these two types.

Let us now consider the transformation of the projection operators in (5.15)

$$P_{h(\Phi),j^{(I)}(\Phi)-\frac{1}{4},j^{(II)}(\Phi)+\frac{1}{4}}, \quad P_{h(\Phi),j^{(I)}(\Phi)+\frac{1}{4},j^{(II)}(\Phi)-\frac{1}{4}} \quad (5.16)$$

For an intermediate state with dimension and charges required by the first one, it transforms to a state with dimension

$$\begin{aligned} h' &= h(\Phi) + \alpha_I(j^{(I)}(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}) + \alpha_{II}(j^{(II)}(\Phi) + \frac{1}{4}) + \frac{c}{48}(\alpha_I^2 + \alpha_{II}^2) \\ &= h(\Phi') - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I - \alpha_{II}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Thus we have

$$P_{h(\Phi),j^{(I)}(\Phi)-\frac{1}{4},j^{(II)}(\Phi)+\frac{1}{4}} \rightarrow P_{h(\Phi')-\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I-\alpha_{II})} \quad (5.18)$$

where we do not write charges of the resulting projection explicitly because it will be satisfied automatically. Similarly, we have

$$P_{h(\Phi),j^{(I)}(\Phi)+\frac{1}{4},j^{(II)}(\Phi)-\frac{1}{4}} \rightarrow P_{h(\Phi')+\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I-\alpha_{II})} \quad (5.19)$$

Notice that since operators of the form $G\sigma$ in (5.15) is sitting at $w = 0$ they are unchanged under partial spectral flow. Taking partial spectral flow of (5.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)}(\Phi) &= 2\lambda^2\pi^2\langle\Phi'|\left[\left(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(I)}\sigma^{--}\right)P_{h(\Phi')-\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I-\alpha_{II})}\left(G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(I)}\sigma^{++}\right)\right. \\ &\quad +\left(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(II)}\sigma^{--}\right)P_{h(\Phi')+\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I-\alpha_{II})}\left(G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(II)}\sigma^{++}\right) \\ &\quad +\left(G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(I)}\sigma^{-+}\right)P_{h(\Phi')-\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I-\alpha_{II})}\left(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(I)}\sigma^{+-}\right) \\ &\quad \left.+\left(G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(II)}\sigma^{-+}\right)P_{h(\Phi')+\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I-\alpha_{II})}\left(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(II)}\sigma^{+-}\right)\right]|\Phi'\rangle \\ &\neq E^{(2)}(\Phi') \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

where $E^{(2)}(\Phi')$ is given by (5.15) with Φ replaced by Φ' . There is only the projection operator $P_{h(\Phi')}$ but not $P_{h(\Phi')\pm\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_I-\alpha_{II})}$. This difference in projection operators makes the lift of Φ and Φ' unequal. This is due to the fact that the operators of the form $G\sigma$ in (5.14) have nonzero type I and type II charges even though the total charge is zero. In the next section, we will give an explicit example.

5.4 An example

In this subsection, we will give an explicit example to show that partial spectral flow changes the lift of states. We will consider a state Φ of two singly wound strings in the R sector

$$|\Phi\rangle = |\Phi_L\rangle|\Phi_R\rangle \quad (5.21)$$

where the right mover is

$$|\Phi_R\rangle = |\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.22)$$

where we use the notation 0_R^- ($\bar{0}_R^-$) to denote the Ramond ground state of a singly wound string with charge $-\frac{1}{2}$ for left (right) movers respectively. Here the two singly wound strings are labelled by copy 1 and copy 2. For the sector of two singly wound strings, it has been shown in [48, 75] that only states with a symmetric right mover can have nonzero lift. Thus we take the right mover (5.22) which is symmetric under the replacement of the copy labels $(1) \leftrightarrow (2)$. As required by the orbifold theory, the state (5.21) with both left and right movers must be symmetric between copy 1 and copy 2. Since the right mover (5.22) is symmetric the left mover must be symmetric. Thus we take the following symmetric left mover

$$|\Phi_L\rangle = d_{-(2n-1)}^{++(1)}d_{-(2n-1)}^{++(2)} \cdots d_{-1}^{++(1)}d_{-1}^{++(2)}d_0^{++(1)}d_0^{++(2)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.23)$$

where n is a positive integer. This left mover is symmetric because there are an even number of fermions on each copy. This state (5.23) fills the fermi sea of the type I fermion d^{++} but it is empty for the type II fermion d^{+-} . Let us take a partial spectral flow with $\alpha_I = -4n$. The state Φ becomes

$$|\Phi\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi'\rangle = |0_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(2)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.24)$$

Since Φ' is a Ramond ground state, it is unlifted. In the following, we will compute the lift of Φ . We will show that the lift is nonzero. Thus states related by partial spectral flow do not have the same lift in general.

First we use the following relations, which have been shown in [48, 75], to simplify the right movers of (5.20)

$${}^{(2)}\langle\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}\langle\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)}|\bar{\sigma}^-P\bar{\sigma}^+|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} = 1 \quad (5.25)$$

and

$${}^{(2)}\langle\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}\langle\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)}|\bar{\sigma}^+P\bar{\sigma}^-|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} = 0 \quad (5.26)$$

where P projects onto the right moving Ramond ground states. Thus (5.20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)}(\Phi) = & -2\lambda^2\pi^2\langle\Phi'_L|[(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(I)}\sigma^-)P_{h(\Phi')+n}(G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(I)}\sigma^+) \\ & +(G_{-,-\frac{1}{2}}^{+(II)}\sigma^-)P_{h(\Phi')-n}(G_{+,-\frac{1}{2}}^{-(II)}\sigma^+)]|\Phi'_L\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

where we have included an extra minus sign due to the fact that when we combine the left and right movers there is a minus sign from the Hermitian conjugation relation $(\sigma^{++})^\dagger = -\sigma^{--}$. The left mover, Φ'_L , is given by

$$|\Phi'_L\rangle = |0_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.28)$$

Because Φ' is a Ramond ground state, it has the lowest possible dimension. In the second term, there is no state with dimension $h(\Phi') - n$ for $n > 0$ as required by the projection operator. Thus only the first term is nonzero. We have

$$E^{(2)}(\Phi) = -2\lambda^2\pi^2 \left[{}^{(2)}\langle 0_R^- | {}^{(1)}\langle 0_R^- | (G_{-, -\frac{1}{2}}^{+(1)} \sigma^-) P_{h(\Phi') + n} (G_{+, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(1)} \sigma^+) | 0_R^- \rangle^{(1)} | 0_R^- \rangle^{(2)} \right] \quad (5.29)$$

To compute this value of the lift, let us first look at the following amplitude derived in [47]

$${}^{(2)}\langle 0_R^- | {}^{(1)}\langle 0_R^- | (G_{-, -\frac{1}{2}}^{+} \sigma^-(w_2)) (G_{+, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \sigma^+(w_1)) | 0_R^- \rangle^{(1)} | 0_R^- \rangle^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{4 \sinh^2(\frac{\Delta w}{2})} \quad (5.30)$$

where $\Delta w = w_2 - w_1$. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(2)}\langle 0_R^- | {}^{(1)}\langle 0_R^- | (G_{-, -\frac{1}{2}}^{+(1)} \sigma^-(w_2)) (G_{+, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(1)} \sigma^+(w_1)) | 0_R^- \rangle^{(1)} | 0_R^- \rangle^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{8 \sinh^2(\frac{\Delta w}{2})} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k>0} k e^{-k\Delta w} \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

Here since there is only a type I supercharge, we obtain half of the contribution compared to (5.30).

The projection $P_{h(\Phi') + n}$ in (5.29) requires us to pick the term $e^{-n\Delta w}$, where n is a positive integer. This gives

$$k = n \quad (5.32)$$

In (5.31), the corresponding term has the coefficient

$${}^{(2)}\langle 0_R^- | {}^{(1)}\langle 0_R^- | (G_{-, -\frac{1}{2}}^{+(1)} \sigma^-) P_{h(\Phi') + n} (G_{+, -\frac{1}{2}}^{-(1)} \sigma^+) | 0_R^- \rangle^{(1)} | 0_R^- \rangle^{(2)} = -\frac{n}{2} \quad (5.33)$$

Thus from (5.29) the lift of the state Φ is

$$E^{(2)}(\Phi) = \lambda^2\pi^2 n \quad (5.34)$$

where n is a positive integer. Thus we have shown that the state Φ and Φ' which are related by partial spectral flow do not have the same lift.

5.5 Comparison with previous lifting results

In this subsection we will compare the result of lifting obtained in the previous subsection with some results in [47, 73, 75].

Let us first compare to [73, 75]. In these works, the lifting of D1D5P states for two singly wound strings has been studied up to level-4. There are three primaries at level-1. They can be organized into a triplet of charge A , which is carried by the A indices in modes $d_{-n}^{\alpha A}$ and $\alpha_{AA, -n}$. They have the same lift

$$E_{\text{level}-1}^{(2)} = \lambda^2\pi^2 \quad (5.35)$$




Figure 1: Various fillings of the fermi sea and their lifts.

The top member of the triplet is

$$\frac{1}{2}(d_{-1}^{-+(1)} - d_{-1}^{-+(2)})(d_0^{++(1)} - d_0^{++(2)})|0_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(2)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.36)$$

By applying $(d_{-1}^{++(1)} + d_{-1}^{++(2)})(d_0^{++(1)} + d_0^{++(2)})J_0^+$ to the above state and normalizing the resulting state, we obtain the state (5.21) with $n = 1$

$$d_{-1}^{++(1)}d_{-1}^{++(2)}d_0^{++(1)}d_0^{++(2)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(2)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.37)$$

Thus the above state, which is at level-2, is a descendent of the level-1 primary (5.36). Therefore, the above state is an eigenstate of the lifting matrix and has the lift (5.35). As we can see it is consistent with the lift (5.34) of the $n = 1$ state derived by partial spectral flow.

Then let us compare to [47], where the relation between lifting and spectral flow has been studied. If we spectral flow both singly wound strings, we obtain the following state

$$d_{-k}^{++(1)}d_{-k}^{+-(-1)}d_{-k}^{++(2)}d_{-k}^{+-(-2)} \dots d_0^{++(1)}d_0^{+-(-1)}d_0^{++(2)}d_0^{+-(-2)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(2)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.38)$$

The fermi sea is filled for both type I and type II fermions and for both strings, which is depicted in fig.1(a). It has zero lift. Now let us spectral flow only one of the two singly wound strings. In this way, we obtain the following state

$$d_{-k}^{++(1)}d_{-k}^{+-(-1)} \dots d_0^{++(1)}d_0^{+-(-1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|0_R^-\rangle^{(2)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)}|\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.39)$$

The fermi sea is filled for both type I and type II fermions but only for the first string, which is depicted in fig.1(b). The lift has been found in [47], which is

$$E^{(2)} = \lambda^2 \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2} \frac{\Gamma[k^2 + k - \frac{1}{2}]}{\Gamma[k^2 + k - 1]} \approx \lambda^2 \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{2} k \quad (5.40)$$

where in the last step we have taken the large k limit. To make the comparison with the result in the previous subsection, let us define $k = 2n - 1$. The state (5.21) becomes

$$|\Phi\rangle = d_{-k}^{++(1)} d_{-k}^{++(2)} \dots d_0^{++(1)} d_0^{++(2)} |0_R^-\rangle^{(1)} |0_R^-\rangle^{(2)} |\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(1)} |\bar{0}_R^-\rangle^{(2)} \quad (5.41)$$

where k is an odd number. The fermi sea is filled for only type I fermions and for both strings, which is depicted in fig.1(c). The lift (5.34) becomes

$$E^{(2)}(\Phi) = \lambda^2 \frac{\pi^2}{2} (k + 1) \approx \lambda^2 \frac{\pi^2}{2} k \quad (5.42)$$

where in the last step we have taken the large k limit. Thus we can see that when the fermi sea is filled completely, the lift is zero. When the fermi sea is filled halfway either in (5.39) or (5.41), the lift is proportional to k in the large k limit, where k is the energy of the fermi surface.

In the following, we give an interpretation of why states related by spectral flow have the same lift while states related by partial spectral flow do not. Consider the state depicted in fig.1(a). Applying the Gava-Narain method directly, the number of possible intermediate states in (5.8) grows with the energy of the fermi surface. Thus the zero lift of the state is not caused simply by the naive idea that because the fermi sea is filled, there are no possible intermediate states which contribute. This suggests that the zero lift of this state is actually caused by a cancellation between intermediate states coming from initial modes living on both copies and consisting of both types. However for the state depicted in fig.1(b), the cancellation between modes living on copy 1 and copy 2 is missing. While for the state given in fig.1(c), the cancellation between modes consisting of type I and type II is missing. Thus the states depicted in fig.1(b) and fig.1(c) are lifted.

6 Conclusion

In this work we have studied an enhanced automorphism for the orbifold (free) point of the D1D5 CFT. We call it partial spectral flow. At the orbifold point, the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra (SCA) is realized by using four free fermions and four free bosons. The four fermions are grouped into two types

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Type I: } & d_r^{++}, \quad d_r^{--} \\ \text{Type II: } & d_r^{+-}, \quad d_r^{-+} \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

which decouple from one another. Partial spectral flow flows the two types of fermions separately. We showed that partial spectral flow keeps the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA invariant and is thus a valid automorphism at the orbifold point. The grouping allowed some generators of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ algebra namely $L_n, J_n^3, G_{+,r}^+, G_{-,r}^-$ ⁵ to also be decomposed into two types. These two types of generators close within each type and form two decoupled $\mathcal{N} = 2$ algebras. We have shown that partial spectral flow is indeed the two spectral flows of these two $\mathcal{N} = 2$ algebras.

In the orbifold theory, there exist twist operators that can link several copies into a single multi-wound copy. We showed that these twist operators have well-defined transformation properties under partial spectral flow. To move away from the orbifold point, we need to include the deformation operator. Using the transformation property of the twist operator we also found the transformation of the deformation operator. Partial spectral flow does not leave the deformation operator invariant generically. It is broken by the deformation operator.

We studied the effect of this breaking on the spectrum of D1D5P states. When the theory is deformed away from the orbifold point, the energy lift of the D1D5P states begin at second order in perturbation theory. We have shown that D1D5P states related by partial spectral flow do not have the same energy lift in general. The lift of a state can be computed by its partial spectral flowed state through (5.20). Based on this result, we computed the lift for a class of states in the two singly wound sector. This state is obtained by applying partial spectral flow to a Ramond ground state. Only half of the fermi sea is filled as depicted in fig.1(c). The lift is proportional to k in the large k limit, where k is the energy of the fermi surface.

In the following let us give a list of comments and future directions:

(i) At the orbifold point, the symmetry is actually much larger than the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal symmetry. It contains higher spin symmetry which comes from the tensionless string in AdS [82–85]. In section 3.1, we obtained two types of generators from decomposing the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ generators. These new generators, which are bilinear in the free fields, are indeed the generators of the higher spin symmetry [74]. The higher spin symmetry comes from the basic commutators (2.4). Thus partial spectral flow, which preserves the basic commutators, is also an automorphism of the higher spin symmetry.

(ii) At the orbifold point, there exist even more general spectral flows than partial spectral flow. For example, one can spectral flow even modes of the type I fermions d_n^{++} and d_n^{--} by $\alpha_{\text{I,even}} = 4m$, where m is an integer. In this way, only even modes of the type I fermions are flowed into each other to keep the basic commutator (2.4). This is an automorphism at the orbifold point. However, the twist operators do not have well-defined transformation properties under these flows since they mix the even and odd modes. As a result, the deformation operator, which contains a twist operator, does not have a well-defined transformation. Thus away from the orbifold point, the effect of breaking of these

⁵Or generators $L_n, J_n^3, G_{-,r}^+, G_{+,r}^-$. See subsection 3.1 for more details.

more general spectral flows can not be studied easily compared to partial spectral flow. It would be interesting to find a way to further generalize partial spectral flow while still having a relatively simply transformation of the deformation operator.

(iii) Even though partial spectral flow is broken away from the orbifold point, the effect of the breaking can still be studied due to the well-defined transformation property of the deformation operator. The orbifold point corresponds to tensionless strings in AdS, while adding a deformation corresponds to adding tension to the strings. It would be interesting to see if partial spectral flow could be a useful probe for exploring this physics.

(iv) The example given in subsection 5.4 shows that states related by partial spectral flow do not have the same lift. It is also an example of how a complicated twist operator computation can be simplified by using partial spectral flow. It would be interesting to see if partial spectral flow could be used to simplify other computations involving the twist operator.

7 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Zaq Carson and Samir D. Mathur for their early collaboration on this project. We would also like to thank Samir D. Mathur for helpful discussions. The work of B.G. is supported by the DOE grant DE-SC0011726 and the ERC Grant 787320 - QBH Structure. The work of S.H. is supported by the ERC Grant 787320 - QBH Structure.

A Partial spectral flow of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal algebra

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SCA is invariant under partial spectral flow (3.2) and (3.3). In this appendix, we will give some examples. We will mainly focus on type I partial spectral flow (3.2) for commutators with terms containing the central charge.

(i) Consider the commutator

$$[J_m^+, J_n^-] = \frac{c}{6}m\delta_{m+n,0} + 2J_{m+n}^3 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Partial spectral flowing the left-hand side (LHS) of (A.1) by α_1 yields

$$\text{LHS} \rightarrow [J_{m+\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}^+, J_{n-\frac{\alpha_1}{2}}^-] = \frac{c}{6}(m + \frac{\alpha_1}{2})\delta_{m+n} + 2J_{m+n}^3 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The right-hand side (RHS) partial spectral flows to

$$\text{RHS} \rightarrow \frac{c}{6}m\delta_{m+n,0} + 2(J_{m+n}^3 + \frac{\alpha_1 c}{24}\delta_{m+n,0}) = \frac{c}{6}(m + \frac{\alpha_1}{2})\delta_{m+n} + 2J_{m+n}^3 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We can see that (A.2) and (A.3) are equal.

(ii) Consider the anticommutator

$$\{G_{+,r}^+, G_{-,s}^-\} = - \left[\frac{c}{6} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s) J_{r+s}^3 + L_{r+s} \right] \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Partial spectral flowing the LHS of (A.4) by α_I yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &\rightarrow \{G_{+,r+\alpha_I/2}^{+(I)} + G_{+,r}^{+(II)}, G_{-,s-\alpha_I/2}^{-(I)} + G_{-,s}^{-(II)}\} \\ &= \{G_{+,r+\alpha_I/2}^{+(I)}, G_{-,s-\alpha_I/2}^{-(I)}\} + \{G_{+,r}^{+(II)}, G_{-,s}^{-(II)}\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \{G_{+,r+\alpha_I/2}^{+(I)}, G_{-,s-\alpha_I/2}^{-(I)}\} &= - \left[\frac{c/2}{6} ((r+\alpha_I/2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s+\alpha_I) J_{r+s}^{3(I)} + L_{r+s}^{(I)} \right] \\ &= - \left[\frac{c/2}{6} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s) (J_{r+s}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I c}{24} \delta_{r+s,0}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + L_{r+s}^{(I)} + \alpha_I J_{r+s}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I^2 c}{48} \delta_{r+s,0} \right] \\ \{G_{+,r}^{+(II)}, G_{-,s}^{-(II)}\} &= - \left[\frac{c/2}{6} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s) J_{r+s}^{3(II)} + L_{r+s}^{(II)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &\rightarrow - \left[\frac{c}{6} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s) (J_{r+s}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I c}{24} \delta_{r+s,0} + J_{r+s}^{3(II)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + L_{r+s}^{(I)} + \alpha_I J_{r+s}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I^2 c}{48} \delta_{r+s,0} + L_{r+s}^{(II)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The RHS of (A.4) partial spectral flows to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &\rightarrow - \left[\frac{c}{6} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s) (J_{r+s}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I c}{24} \delta_{r+s,0} + J_{r+s}^{3(II)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + L_{r+s}^{(I)} + \alpha_I J_{r+s}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I^2 c}{48} \delta_{r+s,0} + L_{r+s}^{(II)} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

which equals partial spectral flow of the LHS (A.7).

Similarly the anticommutator

$$\{G_{-,r}^+, G_{+,s}^-\} = \left[\frac{c}{6} (r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \delta_{r+s,0} + (r-s) J_{r+s}^3 + L_{r+s} \right] \quad (\text{A.9})$$

is invariant under partial spectral flow.

(iii) Consider the anticommutator

$$\{G_{+,r}^+, G_{-,s}^+\} = (r-s)J_{r+s}^+ \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The LHS can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \{G_{+,r}^{+(I)} + G_{+,r}^{+(II)}, G_{-,s}^{+(I)} + G_{-,s}^{+(II)}\} \\ &= \{G_{+,r}^{+(I)}, G_{-,s}^{+(II)}\} + \{G_{+,r}^{+(II)}, G_{-,s}^{+(I)}\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Partial spectral flowing the LHS by α_I yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &\rightarrow \{G_{+,r+\alpha_I/2}^{+(I)} + G_{+,r}^{+(II)}, G_{-,s+\alpha_I/2}^{+(I)} + G_{-,s}^{+(II)}\} \\ &= (r-s+\alpha_I/2)J_{r+s+\alpha_I/2}^+ + (r-s-\alpha_I/2)J_{r+s+\alpha_I/2}^+ \\ &= (r-s)J_{r+s+\alpha_I/2}^+ \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

The RHS partial spectral flows to

$$\text{RHS} \rightarrow (r-s)J_{r+s+\alpha_I/2}^+ \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Thus partial spectral flow of the LHS and the RHS are equal.

Similarly the anticommutator

$$\{G_{+,r}^-, G_{-,s}^-\} = -(r-s)J_{r+s}^- \quad (\text{A.14})$$

is invariant under partial spectral flow.

(iv) Consider the commutator

$$[L_m, L_n] = \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0} + (m-n)L_{m+n} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

The LHS can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= [L_m^{(I)} + L_m^{(II)}, L_n^{(I)} + L_n^{(II)}] \\ &= [L_m^{(I)}, L_n^{(I)}] + [L_m^{(II)}, L_n^{(II)}] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Under partial spectral flow by α_I

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m^{(I)}, L_n^{(I)}] &\rightarrow \frac{c/2}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0} + (m-n)(L_{m+n}^{(I)} + \alpha_I J_{m+n}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I^2 c}{48}\delta_{m+n,0}) \\ [L_m^{(II)}, L_n^{(II)}] &\rightarrow \frac{c/2}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0} + (m-n)L_{m+n}^{(II)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Putting these results together, partial spectral flow of the LHS is

$$\text{LHS} \rightarrow \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0} + (m-n)(L_{m+n}^{(I)} + \alpha_I J_{m+n}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I^2 c}{48}\delta_{m+n,0} + L_{m+n}^{(II)}) \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Partial spectral flow of the RHS is

$$\text{RHS} \rightarrow \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0} + (m-n)(L_{m+n}^{(I)} + \alpha_I J_{m+n}^{3(I)} + \frac{\alpha_I^2 c}{48}\delta_{m+n,0} + L_{m+n}^{(II)}) \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Thus partial spectral flow of the LHS and the RHS are equal.

References

- [1] J.M. Maldacena, *The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [4] C.G. Callan and J.M. Maldacena, *D-brane approach to black hole quantum mechanics*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **472** (1996) 591 [[hep-th/9602043](#)].
- [5] S.R. Das and S.D. Mathur, *Comparing decay rates for black holes and D-branes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **478** (1996) 561 [[hep-th/9606185](#)].
- [6] S.R. Das and S.D. Mathur, *Excitations of D strings, entropy and duality*, *Phys. Lett. B* **375** (1996) 103 [[hep-th/9601152](#)].
- [7] J.M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, *Black hole grey body factors and d-brane spectroscopy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997) 861 [[hep-th/9609026](#)].
- [8] J.R. David, G. Mandal and S.R. Wadia, *D1 / D5 moduli in SCFT and gauge theory, and Hawking radiation*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **564** (2000) 103 [[hep-th/9907075](#)].
- [9] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *The D1 / D5 system and singular CFT*, *JHEP* **04** (1999) 017 [[hep-th/9903224](#)].
- [10] R. Dijkgraaf, *Instanton strings and hyperKahler geometry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **543** (1999) 545 [[hep-th/9810210](#)].
- [11] F. Larsen and E.J. Martinec, *$U(1)$ charges and moduli in the D1 - D5 system*, *JHEP* **06** (1999) 019 [[hep-th/9905064](#)].
- [12] A. Jevicki, M. Mihailescu and S. Ramgoolam, *Gravity from CFT on $S^{*}N(X)$: Symmetries and interactions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **577** (2000) 47 [[hep-th/9907144](#)].
- [13] A. Strominger and C. Vafa, *Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **379** (1996) 99 [[hep-th/9601029](#)].
- [14] J.M. Maldacena, G.W. Moore and A. Strominger, *Counting BPS black holes in toroidal Type II string theory*, [hep-th/9903163](#).
- [15] O. Lunin and S.D. Mathur, *Metric of the multiply wound rotating string*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **610** (2001) 49 [[hep-th/0105136](#)].

- [16] O. Lunin and S.D. Mathur, *AdS / CFT duality and the black hole information paradox*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **623** (2002) 342 [[hep-th/0109154](#)].
- [17] S.D. Mathur, *The Fuzzball proposal for black holes: An Elementary review*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **53** (2005) 793 [[hep-th/0502050](#)].
- [18] I. Bena, S. Giusto, R. Russo, M. Shigemori and N.P. Warner, *Habemus Superstratum! A constructive proof of the existence of superstrata*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 110 [[1503.01463](#)].
- [19] I. Bena, E. Martinec, D. Turton and N.P. Warner, *Momentum Fractionation on Superstrata*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 064 [[1601.05805](#)].
- [20] I. Bena, S. Giusto, E.J. Martinec, R. Russo, M. Shigemori, D. Turton et al., *Smooth horizonless geometries deep inside the black-hole regime*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 201601 [[1607.03908](#)].
- [21] I. Bena, S. Giusto, E.J. Martinec, R. Russo, M. Shigemori, D. Turton et al., *Asymptotically-flat supergravity solutions deep inside the black-hole regime*, *JHEP* **02** (2018) 014 [[1711.10474](#)].
- [22] N. Čeplak, R. Russo and M. Shigemori, *Supercharging Superstrata*, *JHEP* **03** (2019) 095 [[1812.08761](#)].
- [23] P. Heidmann and N.P. Warner, *Superstratum Symbiosis*, *JHEP* **09** (2019) 059 [[1903.07631](#)].
- [24] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, *Holographic anatomy of fuzzballs*, *JHEP* **04** (2007) 023 [[hep-th/0611171](#)].
- [25] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, *Fuzzballs with internal excitations*, *JHEP* **06** (2007) 056 [[0704.0690](#)].
- [26] M. Taylor, *Matching of correlators in AdS(3) / CFT(2)*, *JHEP* **06** (2008) 010 [[0709.1838](#)].
- [27] S. Giusto, E. Moscato and R. Russo, *AdS₃ holography for 1/4 and 1/8 BPS geometries*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 004 [[1507.00945](#)].
- [28] J. Garcia i Tormo and M. Taylor, *One point functions for black hole microstates*, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **51** (2019) 89 [[1904.10200](#)].
- [29] S. Giusto, S. Rawash and D. Turton, *AdS₃ holography at dimension two*, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 171 [[1904.12880](#)].
- [30] S. Rawash and D. Turton, *Supercharged AdS₃ Holography*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 178 [[2105.13046](#)].

- [31] B. Ganchev, S. Giusto, A. Houppe and R. Russo, *AdS₃ holography for non-BPS geometries*, [2112.03287](#).
- [32] L. Eberhardt, M.R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *The Worldsheet Dual of the Symmetric Product CFT*, *JHEP* **04** (2019) 103 [[1812.01007](#)].
- [33] L. Eberhardt, M.R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *Deriving the AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence*, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 136 [[1911.00378](#)].
- [34] L. Eberhardt, *AdS₃/CFT₂ at higher genus*, *JHEP* **05** (2020) 150 [[2002.11729](#)].
- [35] A. Dei, M.R. Gaberdiel, R. Gopakumar and B. Knighton, *Free field world-sheet correlators for AdS₃*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 081 [[2009.11306](#)].
- [36] B. Knighton, *Higher genus correlators for tensionless AdS₃ strings*, *JHEP* **04** (2021) 211 [[2012.01445](#)].
- [37] M.R. Gaberdiel, B. Knighton and J. Vošmera, *D-branes in AdS₃ × S³ × T⁴ at k = 1 and their holographic duals*, [2110.05509](#).
- [38] L. Eberhardt, *A perturbative CFT dual for pure NS-NS AdS₃ strings*, [2110.07535](#).
- [39] A. Schwimmer and N. Seiberg, *Comments on the N=2, N=3, N=4 Superconformal Algebras in Two-Dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **184** (1987) 191.
- [40] A. Sevrin, W. Troost and A. Van Proeyen, *Superconformal Algebras in Two-Dimensions with N=4*, *Phys. Lett. B* **208** (1988) 447.
- [41] V. Jejjala, O. Madden, S.F. Ross and G. Titchener, *Non-supersymmetric smooth geometries and D1-D5-P bound states*, *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 124030 [[hep-th/0504181](#)].
- [42] S. Giusto, O. Lunin, S.D. Mathur and D. Turton, *D1-D5-P microstates at the cap*, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 050 [[1211.0306](#)].
- [43] B. Chakrabarty, D. Turton and A. Virmani, *Holographic description of non-supersymmetric orbifolded D1-D5-P solutions*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 063 [[1508.01231](#)].
- [44] J. Gomis, L. Motl and A. Strominger, *PP wave / CFT(2) duality*, *JHEP* **11** (2002) 016 [[hep-th/0206166](#)].
- [45] E. Gava and K.S. Narain, *Proving the PP wave / CFT(2) duality*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 023 [[hep-th/0208081](#)].
- [46] S.G. Avery, *Using the D1D5 CFT to Understand Black Holes*, other thesis, 12, 2010, [[1012.0072](#)].

- [47] S. Hampton, S.D. Mathur and I.G. Zadeh, *Lifting of D1-D5-P states*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 075 [[1804.10097](#)].
- [48] B. Guo and S.D. Mathur, *Lifting at higher levels in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **11** (2020) 145 [[2008.01274](#)].
- [49] O. Lunin and S.D. Mathur, *Correlation functions for $M^{**N} / S(N)$ orbifolds*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001) 399 [[hep-th/0006196](#)].
- [50] O. Lunin and S.D. Mathur, *Three point functions for $M(N) / S(N)$ orbifolds with $N=4$ supersymmetry*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **227** (2002) 385 [[hep-th/0103169](#)].
- [51] A. Pakman, L. Rastelli and S.S. Razamat, *Diagrams for Symmetric Product Orbifolds*, *JHEP* **10** (2009) 034 [[0905.3448](#)].
- [52] A. Pakman, L. Rastelli and S.S. Razamat, *Extremal Correlators and Hurwitz Numbers in Symmetric Product Orbifolds*, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 086009 [[0905.3451](#)].
- [53] A. Pakman, L. Rastelli and S.S. Razamat, *A Spin Chain for the Symmetric Product CFT(2)*, *JHEP* **05** (2010) 099 [[0912.0959](#)].
- [54] B.A. Burrington, A.W. Peet and I.G. Zadeh, *Operator mixing for string states in the D1-D5 CFT near the orbifold point*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 106001 [[1211.6699](#)].
- [55] B.A. Burrington, A.W. Peet and I.G. Zadeh, *Twist-nontwist correlators in M^N / S_N orbifold CFTs*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 106008 [[1211.6689](#)].
- [56] Z. Carson, I.T. Jardine and A.W. Peet, *Component twist method for higher twists in D1-D5 CFT*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 026006 [[1704.03401](#)].
- [57] B.A. Burrington, I.T. Jardine and A.W. Peet, *Operator mixing in deformed D1D5 CFT and the OPE on the cover*, *JHEP* **06** (2017) 149 [[1703.04744](#)].
- [58] B.A. Burrington, I.T. Jardine and A.W. Peet, *The OPE of bare twist operators in bosonic S_N orbifold CFTs at large N* , *JHEP* **08** (2018) 202 [[1804.01562](#)].
- [59] C.A. Keller and I.G. Zadeh, *Conformal Perturbation Theory for Twisted Fields*, *J. Phys. A* **53** (2020) 095401 [[1907.08207](#)].
- [60] A. Dei and L. Eberhardt, *Correlators of the symmetric product orbifold*, *JHEP* **01** (2020) 108 [[1911.08485](#)].
- [61] S.G. Avery, B.D. Chowdhury and S.D. Mathur, *Deforming the D1D5 CFT away from the orbifold point*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 031 [[1002.3132](#)].

- [62] S.G. Avery, B.D. Chowdhury and S.D. Mathur, *Excitations in the deformed D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **06** (2010) 032 [[1003.2746](#)].
- [63] B.A. Burrington, S.D. Mathur, A.W. Peet and I.G. Zadeh, *Analyzing the squeezed state generated by a twist deformation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 124072 [[1410.5790](#)].
- [64] Z. Carson, S. Hampton, S.D. Mathur and D. Turton, *Effect of the twist operator in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **08** (2014) 064 [[1405.0259](#)].
- [65] Z. Carson, S.D. Mathur and D. Turton, *Bogoliubov coefficients for the twist operator in the D1D5 CFT*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **889** (2014) 443 [[1406.6977](#)].
- [66] Z. Carson, S. Hampton, S.D. Mathur and D. Turton, *Effect of the deformation operator in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 071 [[1410.4543](#)].
- [67] Z. Carson, S. Hampton and S.D. Mathur, *One-Loop Transition Amplitudes in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 006 [[1606.06212](#)].
- [68] Z. Carson, S. Hampton and S.D. Mathur, *Full action of two deformation operators in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 096 [[1612.03886](#)].
- [69] Z. Carson, S. Hampton and S.D. Mathur, *Second order effect of twist deformations in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 115 [[1511.04046](#)].
- [70] S. Hampton and S.D. Mathur, *Thermalization in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **06** (2020) 004 [[1910.01690](#)].
- [71] B. Guo and S. Hampton, *A freely falling graviton in the D1D5 CFT*, [2107.11883](#).
- [72] B. Guo and S. Hampton, *The Dual of a Tidal Force in the D1D5 CFT*, [2108.00068](#).
- [73] B. Guo and S.D. Mathur, *Lifting of states in 2-dimensional $N = 4$ supersymmetric CFTs*, *JHEP* **10** (2019) 155 [[1905.11923](#)].
- [74] M.R. Gaberdiel, C. Peng and I.G. Zadeh, *Higgsing the stringy higher spin symmetry*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 101 [[1506.02045](#)].
- [75] B. Guo and S.D. Mathur, *Lifting of level-1 states in the D1D5 CFT*, *JHEP* **03** (2020) 028 [[1912.05567](#)].
- [76] A.A. Lima, G.M. Sotkov and M. Stanishkov, *Microstate Renormalization in Deformed D1-D5 SCFT*, *Phys. Lett. B* **808** (2020) 135630 [[2005.06702](#)].
- [77] A.A. Lima, G.M. Sotkov and M. Stanishkov, *Renormalization of twisted Ramond fields in D1-D5 SCFT₂*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 202 [[2010.00172](#)].

- [78] A.A. Lima, G.M. Sotkov and M. Stanishkov, *Correlation functions of composite Ramond fields in deformed D1-D5 orbifold SCFT₂*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 106004 [[2006.16303](#)].
- [79] A.A. Lima, G.M. Sotkov and M. Stanishkov, *Dynamics of R-neutral Ramond fields in the D1-D5 SCFT*, [2012.08021](#).
- [80] A.A. Lima, G.M. Sotkov and M. Stanishkov, *On the Dynamics of Protected Ramond Ground States in the D1-D5 CFT*, [2103.04459](#).
- [81] N. Benjamin, C.A. Keller and I.G. Zadeh, *Lifting 1/4-BPS states in $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$* , *JHEP* **10** (2021) 089 [[2107.00655](#)].
- [82] S.F. Prokushkin and M.A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge interactions for massive matter fields in 3-D AdS space-time*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **545** (1999) 385 [[hep-th/9806236](#)].
- [83] M.A. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in (A)dS(d)*, *Phys. Lett. B* **567** (2003) 139 [[hep-th/0304049](#)].
- [84] M.R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *Higher Spins & Strings*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 044 [[1406.6103](#)].
- [85] M.R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, *Stringy Symmetries and the Higher Spin Square*, *J. Phys. A* **48** (2015) 185402 [[1501.07236](#)].