

# Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>/Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> superlattice for a model 2D quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet

Hoon Kim,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Joel Bertinshaw,<sup>3,\*</sup> J. Porras,<sup>3</sup> B. Keimer,<sup>3</sup> Jungho Kim,<sup>4</sup> J.-W. Kim,<sup>4</sup> Jimin Kim,<sup>1,2</sup> Jonghwan Kim,<sup>2,5</sup> Gahee Noh,<sup>5</sup> Gi-Yeop Kim,<sup>5</sup> Si-Young Choi,<sup>5</sup> and B. J. Kim<sup>1,2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Republic of Korea*

<sup>2</sup>*Center for Artificial Low Dimensional Electronic Systems,*

*Institute for Basic Science (IBS), 77 Cheongam-Ro, Pohang 37673, South Korea*

<sup>3</sup>*Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstraße 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany*

<sup>4</sup>*Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory 9700 Cass Ave, Lemont, IL 60439, USA*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Materials Science and Engineering,*

*Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 37673, South Korea*

(Dated: February 22, 2022)

Spin-orbit entangled pseudospins hold promise for a wide array of exotic magnetism ranging from a Heisenberg antiferromagnet to a Kitaev spin liquid depending on the lattice and bonding geometry, but many of the host materials suffer from lattice distortions and deviate from idealized models in part due to inherent strong pseudospin-lattice coupling. Here, we report on the synthesis of a magnetic superlattice comprising the single ( $n=1$ ) and the double ( $n=2$ ) layer members of the Ruddlesden-Popper series iridates Sr <sub>$n+1$</sub> Ir <sub>$n$</sub> O<sub>3 $n+1$</sub>  alternating along the  $c$ -axis, and provide a comprehensive study of its lattice and magnetic structures using scanning transmission electron microscopy, resonant elastic and inelastic x-ray scattering, third harmonic generation measurements and Raman spectroscopy. The superlattice is free of the structural distortions reported for the parent phases and has a higher point group symmetry, while preserving the magnetic orders and pseudospin dynamics inherited from the parent phases, featuring two magnetic transitions with two symmetry-distinct orders. We infer weaker pseudospin-lattice coupling from the analysis of Raman spectra and attribute it to frustrated magnetic-elastic couplings. Thus, the superlattice expresses a near ideal network of effective spin-one-half moments on a square lattice.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of  $S=1/2$  antiferromagnet (AF) on a two-dimensional (2D) square lattice has a long history of research as it is widely believed to hold the key for the mechanism of high temperature superconductivity in copper oxide compounds [1–5]. However, it is rarely realized outside of the Cu-based compounds, and as a result its generic features are difficult to isolate from material specifics. Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) series iridates Sr <sub>$n+1$</sub> Ir <sub>$n$</sub> O<sub>3 $n+1$</sub> , have recently emerged as a new material platform to study the same physics with spin-orbit entangled  $J_{\text{eff}}=1/2$  pseudospins replacing the  $S=1/2$  moments in the cuprates [6–10]. Indeed, the single layer Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> has reproduced much of the cuprate phenomenology: a pseudogapped metal [11–13], and a nodal metal with a  $d$ -wave symmetric gap indicative of possible unconventional superconductivity [14, 15] emerge upon electron doping from the parent phase that is approximately a Heisenberg AF [16, 17]. Further, experiments indicate existence of various symmetry-breaking orders: polarized neutron diffraction [18] detects time-reversal symmetry breaking above the Néel temperature ( $T_N$ ), magnetic torque [19] and second harmonic generation [20, 21] measurements indicate loss of  $C_4$  rotation symmetry, and resonant x-ray diffraction [22] observes splitting of a magnetic Bragg

peak suggesting formation of a nearly commensurate density wave.

However, iridates are different from the cuprates in several aspects, and to what extent they are relevant to the essential physics is an open important issue. First, the dominant orbital character of the pseudospins leading to strong pseudospin-lattice coupling (PLC) [23–25], which accounts largely for the spin-wave gap, questions the validity of spin-only models. Second, structural distortions of kinds not found in cuprates [26–28] add complexity to theory models by allowing additional interactions. For example, the staggered tetragonal distortion of IrO<sub>6</sub> octahedra in Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>, breaking the vertical glide planes and thus lowering the space group from  $I4_1/acd$  to  $I4_1/a$  [26, 27], leads to additional pseudospin exchange interactions, which provide a mechanism for locking of pseudospin canting angles and the octahedral rotation [29]. In the bilayer compound Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, the monoclinic distortion, lowering the space group from orthorhombic  $Bbca$  to monoclinic  $C2/c$  [28] results in bending of otherwise straight Ir-O-Ir  $c$ -axis bonds. This in turn leads to canting of the AF moments aligned along the  $c$ -axis, manifesting as small but clearly measurable net in-plane ferromagnetic moments [30, 31]. Such distortions lead to deviation from the ideal cubic-symmetric  $J_{\text{eff}}=1/2$  states on rectilinear superexchange pathways, which are assumed in theory models that predict, for example, realization of a Kitaev spin liquid in a honeycomb lattice [8, 32].

Here, we report on the synthesis of a Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>/Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> superlattice, and provide a comprehensive study of its

\* These authors contributed equally to this work.

† To whom correspondence should be addressed.

bjkim6@postech.ac.kr




FIG. 1. (color online). Stacking pattern of the superlattice as imaged by STEM. (a) Wide field-of-view STEM image along [100] projection. The alternation between single-layers (blue) and double-layers (orange) is well maintained over the entire field of view. (b) Magnified HAADF-STEM image with single-layer (blue) and double-layer (orange) indicated. (c) A structural model for the superlattice. The single-layer and double-layer are shifted by a half unit cell on the SrO planes. IrO<sub>6</sub> octahedra are rotated about the *c*-axis as in the parent compounds. (d) [110]- and (e) [100]-projected HAADF (left)- and ABF (right)-STEM images overlaid with the atom positions (Sr, grey; Ir, blue, orange; O, red) from the model.

lattice and magnetic structures. The lattice structure is investigated by scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), resonant x-ray diffraction (RXD), and rotational anisotropy third harmonic generation measurements (RA-THG), and the magnetic structure by magnetometry, RXD, resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS), and Raman scattering. The superlattice is free of structural distortions reported for the parent phases while leaving their magnetic structures intact. The superlattice features two magnetic transitions with two different orders: canted *ab*-plane AF and *c*-axis collinear AF inherited from Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> and Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, respectively. Their contrasting pseudospin dynamics, of Heisenberg and Ising types, also remain unchanged within our experimental resolutions. However, *ab*-plane magnetic anisotropy of the Heisenberg pseudospins is significantly reduced indicating weaker PLC, possibly due to the Ising pseudospins aligned along the *c*-axis resisting the orthorhombic distortions. Our result shows that two distinct types of quasi-2D magnetism can be compounded in a superlattice to realize a pseudospin system closer to an ideal model.

## II. LATTICE STRUCTURE

The superlattice has the nominal chemical composition Sr<sub>5</sub>Ir<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub> and can be regarded as a *n*=1.5 member

of the RP series. Although this phase is not quite thermodynamically stable, it forms transiently during a flux growth before either *n*=1 or *n*=2 phase eventually stabilizes depending on the starting composition of Sr<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and IrO<sub>2</sub> molten in SrCl<sub>2</sub> flux and dwelling temperature. Thermal quenching at high temperature leads to intergrowth of both phases, and a highly ordered superlattice can be found by a careful control of the heating sequence. The resulting “crystals” have typically a few microns thickness, thicker than layer-by-layer grown thin films but thinner than typical bulk crystals. As the conventional structure refinement is limited by the small sample volume, we rely on STEM and RXD to verify the superlattice formation.

Figure 1(a) shows a wide field-of-view STEM image along [100] projection showing the stacking of single (SL) and double-layer (DL) units alternating over > 40 unit cells. The stacking sequence is indicated in Fig. 1(b) and the unit cell is depicted in Fig. 1(c), which is modeled from the known structures of Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> (Ref. 33) and Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (Ref. 34). Figures 1(d) and 1(e) show representative high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) and annular bright field (ABF) images along [110] projection and [100] projection, respectively. The images are overlaid with the atomic positions based on the unit cell in Fig. 1(c). In the [100] projection, the staggered rotation of IrO<sub>6</sub> octahedra about the *c*-axis as in the parent compounds is seen as diffuse rods (see also Figs. S1 and S2).

Overall, our data is in good agreement with the model.

The superlattice formation is confirmed to represent the bulk of the sample via RXD conducted at the Ir  $L_3$ -edge. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) plot scans along (00L) and (02L), respectively, which show reflections centered at integer-L values with  $\sim 0.01$  Å widths. Impurity peaks of intensities of the order of  $\lesssim 1\%$  of the main peaks are observed, which match the  $c$ -axis lattice parameters of either  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  or  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ . The sharp reflections and negligible impurity peaks indicate that the superlattice structure remains well correlated at a macroscopic level. Whereas the in-plane lattice parameters ( $\approx 5.502$  Å) match those of the parent systems, the  $c$ -axis is unique with a length of 16.93 Å. According to the study by Harlow *et al.* [35], however, diffraction patterns from a randomly-stacked intergrowth of  $n=1$  and  $n=2$  phases can misleadingly appear similar to those of an ordered phase. Such possibility is ruled out in our sample by selectively measuring the periodicity of DL, by exploiting the fact that only DLs are magnetically ordered in the temperature range between  $T=220$  K and 280 K (to be discussed in section III).

Next, we further refine the structure using RA-THG, a nonlinear optical process highly sensitive to the bulk crystal symmetry through nonlinear susceptibility tensors [36–38]. This technique has been used for  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  to detect subtle structure distortions [21, 27, 28]. Figure 3 shows the azimuth-angle dependence of the third harmonic signals as the scattering plane is ro-




FIG. 2. (color online). RXD on the superlattice at the Ir  $L_3$ -edge. Sharp (a) (00L) and (b) (02L) charge reflections are centered at integer-L values (dashed lines), indicating the superlattice is well ordered across the bulk of the sample. Minor impurity peaks that match  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  are marked by cyan and orange sticks, respectively. Miller indices are in the orthorhombic notation; i.e., reciprocal lattice vectors corresponding to the unit cell shown in Fig. 1(c).




FIG. 3. (color online). RA-THG patterns of the superlattice (open circles) taken under (a)  $PP$ , (b)  $PS$ , (c)  $SP$ , (d)  $SS$  geometries. Incident 1200 nm light was used at room temperature. The third harmonic 400 nm light was collected as a function of azimuth-angle while the scattering plane rotates about  $c$ -axis [36, 37]. The THG signals are normalized by the  $PP$  trace, overlaid with the best fits to bulk electric dipole induced THG tensor of  $4/mmm$  point group (navy lines).

tated about the  $c$ -axis, for the four different polarization configurations of the incident and reflected light, which can be either parallel ( $P$ ) or perpendicular ( $S$ ) to the scattering plane. The patterns are symmetric with respect to mirror reflections  $a \rightarrow -a$  and  $b \rightarrow -b$ , and four-fold rotations about the  $c$ -axis. The combination of both symmetries leads to eight-fold symmetric patterns for the  $PS$  and  $SP$ . To confirm, the patterns are overlaid with the best fit to electric-dipole induced THG tensor for  $4/mmm$  (navy), whose expression is given in Appendix A. We find an excellent agreement and conclude that the superlattice has a higher point group symmetry than  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  (Ref. 27) and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  (Ref. 28), in both of which the patterns manifestly lack the mirror symmetries.

### III. MAGNETIC STRUCTURE

Having established the lattice structure of the superlattice, we now turn to its magnetic structure. In short, the magnetic structure remains almost unchanged from the parent systems: SL has  $ab$ -plane canted AF structure while DL has  $c$ -axis collinear AF structure, as shown

in Fig. 4(a). The net ferromagnetic response to the dc field with the saturation moment close to one-third of that of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)] suggests that the SL (which makes up one-third of the superlattice in terms of the number of Ir ions) has in-plane canted AF structure, while DL has  $c$ -axis collinear AF structure. We note that the AF ordering in  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  is visible as a small jump in the magnetization due to its slight monoclinicity  $\beta \sim 90.05^\circ$  (and thereby canting of the moments [28, 30]), but no such anomaly indicative of DL magnetic ordering is seen in our tetragonal superlattice [Fig. 4(b)]. Unlike in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , we observe a ferromagnetic hysteresis loop in the M-H curve shown in Fig. 4(c), which implies ferromagnetic staking of SL net moments. Based on our M-H and M-T curves [Figs. 4(c) and 4(d)] measured for fields along [100] and [110] directions, we are not able to identify the magnetic easy axis in the  $ab$ -plane, which in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  is clearly along the  $a$ -axis [23, 24]. This signifies reduced magnetic anisotropy, which will be discussed in more detail later on.

We confirm the magnetic structure shown in Fig. 4(a) using RXD. As in the case of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , magnetic reflections are found along (10L) [Fig. 5(a)], but at every integer L, which has contributions from both SL and DL. However, they can be separated by exploit-




FIG. 4. (color online). Magnetometry of the superlattice. (a) The superlattice magnetic order consistent with our data. (b) Field-cooled M-T curves of the superlattice (navy),  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  (cyan) and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  (orange). (c) M-H hysteresis at 5 K comparing the superlattice (navy) and the bulk  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  (cyan). For a direct comparison,  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  curves are multiplied by the mass proportion ( $\approx 0.36$ ) of SL in the superlattice. (d) M-T curves measured with fields applied along [100] and [110].




FIG. 5. (color online). Magnetic RXD study on the superlattice. (a) Magnetic (10L) reflections appear at every integer L values, contributed by signals from both SL and DL. (b) (10L) scans measured at every 5 K upon heating from 200 K to 245 K. (1021) reflection dominated by SL disappears around  $T = 220$  K. (c) At 250 K, the intensity modulation along (10L) coincides with DL structure factor squared (dotted line), indicating that the magnetic intensities are dominated by DL. (d) Temperature dependence of (108) and (1010) reveal two magnetic transitions at  $T_N^A = 220$  K and  $T_N^B = 280$  K. (e) Polarization analysis to separate AF signals from in-plane (blue) and out-of-plane (orange) moments.

ing the DL structure factor. The ratio of Ir-O-Ir bond length along the  $c$ -axis to  $c$  lattice parameter returns oscillation period of  $\sim 4.15$ . For example, the DL contribution nearly vanishes for (108) and (1021). Indeed, L scans shown in Fig. 5(b) shows that (1021) peak disappears around  $T = 220$  K as temperature increases while (1022) peak is present up to  $T = 250$  K. At this temperature, the intensity modulation well agrees with the DL structure factor squared [Fig. 5(c)], implying that the peaks are due to reflections from DL only. This is unambiguous evidence for coherent superlattice formation over the probing depth of x-ray ( $290 \text{ nm} \sim 3.1 \mu\text{m}$  as calculated in Ref. 39). The SL transition temperature is measured to be  $T_N^A = 220$  K from the temperature dependence of (108) peak shown in Fig. 5(d). At (1010), two transitions are seen, the higher temperature one at  $T_N^B = 280$  K being the transition in DL.

Additional measurements were conducted using polar-




FIG. 6. (color online). Magnetic excitations in the superlattice. (a) RIXS map measured at  $T=10$  K along high symmetry directions indicate that the SL and DL modes follow the dispersions of the parent systems (plotted with markers). (b) Spectra at select  $\mathbf{q}$  points. The fitted peaks (solid lines) are compared with those of the parent systems (markers). (c) The superlattice spectrum at the zone corner  $(\pi, 0)$  (navy line) is well reproduced by a linear sum of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  spectra (black line). (d) The two-magnon Raman spectrum (navy dots), measured in the  $B_{2g}$  channel at  $T=15$  K, is also well approximated by summing the  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  spectral intensities (black line).

ization analysis in order to separate the  $ab$ -plane and  $c$ -axis components of the antiferromagnetic moments. By studying the magnetic  $(052)$  reflection in a horizontal scattering geometry [Fig. 5(e)], the  $\pi$ - $\sigma'$  channel mostly detects in-plane moments, whereas  $\pi$ - $\pi'$  is sensitive to out-of-plane moments. The temperature dependence of the integrated intensities in the two channels, shown in Fig. 5(e), reveals that the out-of-plane (in-plane) magnetic signal arises below  $T_N^B = 280$  K ( $T_N^A = 220$  K), thereby located at DL (SL), consistent with the magnetic structure in Fig. 4(a).

#### IV. PSEUDOSPIN DYNAMICS

Having established the static magnetic structure, we now turn to the pseudospin dynamics. Figure 6(a) plots the pseudospin excitation spectrum along high symmetry directions. Select  $\mathbf{q}$ -points are plotted in Fig. 6(b). The spectra are fitted with two peaks and their energy positions are compared with the spin-wave dispersions for the parent systems. Overall, the spectra are well described as having two peaks corresponding to spin waves in SL and DL. It is known that  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  is almost gapless at the magnetic zone center reflecting the quasi-continuous symmetry in the  $ab$ -plane [16], whereas  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  has an exceptionally large gap of  $\approx 90$  meV (Ref. 40). Except in the immediate vicinity of the magnetic zone center,

we find a good agreement with the parent systems. In particular, the spectra at the zone corner  $(\pi, 0)$  is well reproduced by a linear sum of the spectra of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  at the same momenta, as shown in Fig. 6(c). Further, the two-magnon spectrum measured by Raman scattering [Fig. 6(d)], which is dominated by zone-boundary modes, is in perfect agreement with a linear sum of those in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ . Together, these data indicate that the nearest-neighbor spin exchange couplings remain unaltered with respect to the parent systems.

It is perhaps not surprising that there is no significant change in the pseudospin dynamics across the majority of the Brillouin zone for both SL and DL, considering that these are quasi-2D systems and only the stacking sequence is altered. However, it is rather unusual that the two magnetic subsystems behave almost independently of each other. In particular, magnetic ordering in DL occurs across SL that remains paramagnetic down to  $T_N^A$ . That no other static order exists in SL above  $T_N^A$  is confirmed from its almost identical RIXS spectra measured across  $T_N^A$  (Fig. S4). Our representation analysis (Appendix B) shows that the SL and the DL magnetic orders belong to different irreducible representations, which guarantees that they are not coupled in the linear order (this, however, does not rule out interactions between SL and DL). Further, we note that both  $T_N^A$  and  $T_N^B$  are reduced only by few degrees from their bulk counterparts, which con-




FIG. 7. (color online). Pseudospin-lattice coupling estimated by Raman spectroscopy. (a) The magnetic mode at the zone center is observed in  $B_{2g}$  scattering geometry (superlattice, navy;  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , blue gray). (b) The temperature dependent component of the spin-wave gap  $\Delta(T)$  extracted from Raman spectra in (a). The trend of the superlattice and  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  share the same functional form  $A\sqrt{1 - T/T_N} + B$ , where  $A$  is the offset in the log-log plot and proportional to the strength of PLC. Temperature dependence of  $A_{1g}$  phonons in (c)  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  and (d) the superlattice. (e) Integrated intensity of the lower energy  $A_{1g}$  phonon. The spin-dependent component scales with the ordered moment squared  $M_{AF}^2$ , and dashed lines are fits to functional form of  $1 - (T/T_N)$ , whose slopes are proportional to the PLC strength  $\Lambda$ . All Raman spectra are corrected for laser heating and Bose factors.

firms that interlayer couplings play a minor role in the critical phenomena [8, 41, 42].

While the magnetic properties of the superlattice mostly inherit from the parent  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , some differences are also found. Unlike in the case of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , the in-plane magnetic anisotropy of SL is hardly visible in the magnetometry data [Fig. 4(c)]. In  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , the magnetic anisotropy is known to arise mostly from PLC [23, 24] stabilizing the magnetic ordering along the  $a$ - or  $b$ -axis. The PLC dominates over the nearest-neighbor pseudospin exchange anisotropies favoring alignment along the bond directions (i.e. along [110]), and also accounts for a dominant part of the in-plane magnon gap [24]. To compare the strength of PLC, we analyze the temperature dependence of Raman spectra in  $B_{2g}$  symmetry channel, which measures the magnon gap at the zone center [see Fig. 7(a)]. It is seen in Fig. 7(b) that the magnon gap of both  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  and the superlattice follow the same mean-field-like functional form of  $A\sqrt{1 - T/T_N} + B$ , where the temperature-independent constant  $B$  arises from anisotropic magnetic interactions, and  $A$  measures the strength of PLC that scales with the size of the ordered moment [23–25]. From the intercept at  $T=0$  in the log-log plot shown in Fig. 7(b), we find that the PLC is drastically reduced by a factor of two. The reduced PLC in SL can be understood in terms of the structural rigidity provided by DL resisting orthorhombic distortions, which would be energetically unfavorable for the  $c$ -axis collinear AF structure.

An indication of suppressed PLC can also be found from a phonon mode strongly coupled to the AF or-

der in DL. In  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , a recent ultrafast optical spectroscopy study has shown that strong PLC manifests as an abrupt large enhancement across  $T_N$  of the amplitude of the coherent oscillation of the impulsive 4.4 THz ( $\approx 18$  meV)  $A_{1g}$  phonon mode [25]. The intensity follows the phenomenological relation  $\sqrt{I(T)} \propto Z - (\Lambda/4\mu_B^2)M_{AF}^2$ , where  $\Lambda$  and  $Z$  are the PLC strength and temperature-independent spectral weight, respectively [43]. The strength of PLC in  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  is estimated to be two orders of magnitude stronger than in cubic perovskite manganites with strong spin-lattice couplings [44]. Since the oscillation amplitude is linearly dependent on Raman tensor  $\partial\alpha/\partial Q$  [45], where  $\alpha$  is the polarizability and  $Q$  is the normal coordinate of the phonon mode, the enhancement should be also visible in Raman spectra. Indeed, we observe a strong enhancement upon cooling of the 18 meV  $A_{1g}$  mode intensity as shown in Fig. 7(c). However, the corresponding mode in the superlattice at  $\approx 15$  meV shows only a modest increase comparable to that of the 23 meV mode [Fig. 7(e)]. Taken as a whole, the absence of discernible anisotropy in the magnetization data, the reduced magnon gap, and the insensitivity of the  $A_{1g}$  mode to the magnetic order all consistently point to significant reduction of PLC in the superlattice.

## V. SUMMARY

Recent advances in the control and understanding of 2D materials has recently expanded into the research of magnetism in the extreme quantum 2D limit and in ar-

tificial heterostructures. In this work, we have demonstrated a successful growth of a  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4/\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  magnetic superlattice, whose constituent bulk phases exhibit novel quantum magnetism in the limit of strong spin-orbit coupling. While intergrowth of different phases in a RP series is frequently found, a natural formation of an ordered superlattice is extremely rare. The superlattice has a lattice symmetry higher than those of the bulk phases and realize an undistorted square lattice geometry. Thus, the superlattice offers a unique opportunity to study the pseudospin physics in an ideal setting.

The superlattice preserves the bulk magnetic orders and interleaves *ab*-plane canted AF and *c*-axis collinear AF alternately stacked along the *c*-axis. The two mutually orthogonal orders, however, behave independently of each order reflecting weak interlayer couplings expected for quasi-2D systems. In particular, there is a temperature range where only one magnetic subsystem develops an order across the other that remains paramagnetic. Further, the pseudospin dynamics remains unchanged from the parent systems for the most part of the Brillouin zone.

Instead, the incompatible nature of the magnetic orders manifests as a strong suppression of the PLC, which is expected to be generally strong for iridates. The reduced PLC in SL is inferred from the smaller zone-center magnon gap, which in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  is largely accounted for by the PLC through a pseudo-Jahn-Teller effect that results in an orthorhombic distortion as the *ab*-plane magnetic order breaks the tetragonal symmetry of the lattice. This effect, however, is counteracted in the superlattice by DL. The magnetic order in DL is collinear along the straight *c*-axis bond, which in  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  is bent due to a slight monoclinicity.

The origin of the distortions in the parent compounds and their absence in the superlattice is a subject of future research. To the best of our knowledge, the breaking of glide symmetries as seen in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ , attributed to staggered tetragonal distortions, is not found in any other transition-metal compounds of the RP type, which suggests that the distortion is not due to an instability of the lattice, but rather its interactions with pseudospins whose magnetic moment size strongly depends on lattice distortions. Similarly, it remains to be understood if PLC plays any role in stabilizing the monoclinic structure in  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ . At any rate, many iridates in bulk form exhibit lattice distortions of some sort and deviate from idealized models, and in this regard the superlattice stands out as a realization of pseudospin one-half physics on an undistorted square lattice.

The persistent magnetic orders in the superlattice also allows investigation of their evolution upon carrier doping. It is known that  $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  is on the verge of a metal-insulator transition, and therefore it may well be that DL turns into a Fermi liquid metal while SL remains a correlated fluid, a situation akin to the case of electron-doped  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  via surface alkali metal dosing, where Fermi arcs

and a *d*-wave gap are observed, which possibly involve screening effects from the surface metallic layer. Our results presented here provide a solid foundation for these future investigations.

## VI. METHODS

STEM measurements were conducted using JEM-MARM200F, JEOL at 200 kV with 5<sup>th</sup>-order probe-corrector (ASCOR, CEOS GmbH, Germany). The specimens were prepared by dual-beam focused ion beam (FIB) with Ga ion beams of 1~5 kV (Nanolab G3 CX, FEI), and further milled by Ar ion beams of 100 meV (PIPS II, Gatan) to minimize the surface damage. RXD and RIXS measurements were carried out at Beamline 6-ID-B and Beamline 27-ID, respectively, at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. For RA-THG measurements, we adapted the scheme reported in [37] by replacing the phase mask by a pair of wedge prisms to accommodate a wider wavelength range of incident light (from 800 nm to 1200 nm). The incident 1200 nm light was provided by an optical parametric amplifier (Orpheus-F, Light Conversion) powered by a femtosecond laser of 100 kHz repetition rate (Pharos, Light Conversion). Raman spectroscopy is measured with 633 nm He-Ne laser, which beam of 0.8 mW is focused on  $\sim 2 \mu\text{m}$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project is supported by IBS-R014-A2 and National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea through the SRC (no. 2018R1A5A6075964). We acknowledge financial support by the European Research Council under Advanced Grant No. 669550 (Com4Com). The use of the Advanced Photon Source at the Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the U. S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF, for the provision of experimental facilities. J. B. was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. G. Noh, G.-Y. Kim, and S.-Y. Choi acknowledge the support of the Global Frontier Hybrid Interface Materials by the NRF (Grant No. 2013M3A6B1078872).

## APPENDIX A: THIRD HARMONIC GENERATION TENSOR

We obtain the general form of the bulk electric dipole induced THG tensor for the  $4/m\bar{m}m$  point group by starting from the most general form of a fourth-rank Cartesian tensor and requiring it to be invariant under all of the symmetry operations contained in the group as:

$$\chi_{ijkl}^{ED} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} xxxx & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & xxyy & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & xxzz \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & xxyy & 0 \\ xxyy & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & xxzz \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ xxzz & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & xxyy & 0 \\ xxyy & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} xxyy & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & xxxx & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & xxzz \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & xxzz \\ 0 & xxzz & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & zxxz \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ zxxz & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & zxxz \\ 0 & zxxz & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} zxxz & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & zxxz & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & zzzz \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $ijkl(i)$  stands for incident(scattered) light polarizations. In our experiment, the light is incident on the sample surface normal to the  $c$ -axis with the incidence angle  $\theta$  and azimuth angle  $\psi$ , which is defined to be zero when the scattering plane contains the  $a$  and  $c$  axes). Then, the polarization vectors are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\epsilon}_s &= (\sin \psi, -\cos \psi, 0), \\ \vec{\epsilon}_{in,p} &= (-\cos \theta \cos \psi, -\cos \theta \sin \psi, \sin \theta), \\ \vec{\epsilon}_{out,p} &= (\cos \theta \cos \psi, \cos \theta \sin \psi, \sin \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

Multiplying the tensor with the polarization vectors, the expressions for the THG intensity simplify as

$$\begin{aligned} I^{SS}(3\omega) &\sim |A + B \cos(4\psi)|^2, \\ I^{PS}(3\omega) &\sim |B \sin(4\psi)|^2, \\ I^{SP}(3\omega) &\sim |B \sin(4\psi)|^2, \\ I^{PP}(3\omega) &\sim |A' + B \cos(4\psi)|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

where  $A$ ,  $A'$  and  $B$  are adjustable parameters. The formulae are consistent with the  $4/mmm$  point group symmetry, invariant under both mirror reflections ( $\psi \rightarrow \pi - \psi$  and  $\psi \rightarrow -\psi$ ) and  $C_4$  rotation about the  $c$ -axis ( $\psi \rightarrow \pi/2 + \psi$ ). The full expressions and those for lower symmetry point groups are given in the supplemental materials.

---

## APPENDIX B: REPRESENTATION ANALYSIS OF THE MAGNETIC STRUCTURE

We present a representation analysis based on the lattice structure shown in Fig. 1(c), assuming  $\mathbf{q}=0$  propagation vector based on the fact that all observed magnetic reflections have integer Miller indices. Its space group is  $P4b2$ , which lacks inversion symmetry and thus its point group ( $\bar{4}m2$ ) is of lower symmetry than  $4/mmm$ . RA-THG is, however, insensitive to the inversion symmetry and has the same tensor structure for the two point groups. The inversion symmetry is broken by the way in which octahedra are rotated in DL in the current model. An inversion symmetric structure model can be made by doubling the unit cell in such a way that the octahedral rotation is opposite on two neighboring DLs. Thus, the determination of the presence of inversion symmetry requires full structure refinement including the octahedral rotation on each layer, which is beyond the scope of this work. However, our result that the magnetic orders in SL and DL belong to different irreducible representations (IR) is not affected by these details.

In the superlattice, iridium ions in SL and DL are not symmetry related and thus their magnetic structures are analyzed separately. The result of the analysis is shown in Table I. In both SL and DL, the  $ab$ -plane and  $c$ -axis components belong to different irreducible representations. This can easily be seen from the transformation property under the two-fold rotation about  $c$ -axis; the  $ab$ -plane moments are flipped, whereas the  $c$ -axis moments remain invariant. As long as the  $ab$ -plane and  $c$ -axis components are not mixed by any of the symmetry operations contained in the space group, their different characters for the two-fold rotation guarantee that they belong to different IRs. A more detailed version of the analysis is presented in the supplemental material.

- 
- [1] P. W. Anderson, *The Resonating Valence Bond State in La<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> and Superconductivity*, Science **235**, 1196–1198 (1987).
  - [2] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, *Doping a Mott insulator: Physics of high-temperature superconductivity*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 17–85 (2006).
  - [3] B. Keimer, S. A. Kivelson, M. R. Norman, S. Uchida,

and J. Zaanen, *From quantum matter to high-temperature superconductivity in copper oxides*, Nature **518**, 179–186 (2015).

- [4] N. Plakida, *High-Temperature Cuprate Superconductors* (Springer, 2010).
- [5] A. Orendáčová, R. Tarasenko, V. Tkáč, E. Čížmár, M. Orendáč, and A. Feher, *Interplay of Spin and Spatial*



Irrep.	Single layer		Double layer			
	a1	a2	b1	b2	b3	b4
$\Gamma_1$	$(m_1, m_2, 0)$ $(-m_2, m_1, 0)$	$(-m_1, m_2, 0)$ $(-m_2, -m_1, 0)$	$(m_3, m_4, 0)$ $(-m_6, -m_5, 0)$	$(m_5, m_6, 0)$ $(-m_4, -m_3, 0)$	$(-m_3, m_4, 0)$ $(-m_6, m_5, 0)$	$(-m_5, m_6, 0)$ $(-m_4, m_3, 0)$
$\Gamma_2$			$(0, 0, m_1)$	$(0, 0, -m_1)$	$(0, 0, m_1)$	$(0, 0, -m_1)$
$\Gamma_3$			$(0, 0, m_1)$	$(0, 0, m_1)$	$(0, 0, -m_1)$	$(0, 0, -m_1)$
$\Gamma_4$	$(0, 0, m_1)$	$(0, 0, m_1)$	$(0, 0, m_2)$	$(0, 0, m_2)$	$(0, 0, m_2)$	$(0, 0, m_2)$
$\Gamma_5$	$(0, 0, m_1)$	$(0, 0, -m_1)$	$(0, 0, -m_2)$	$(0, 0, m_2)$	$(0, 0, m_2)$	$(0, 0, -m_2)$

TABLE I. Irreducible representations and basis vectors for the magnetic structures allowed in the superlattice.  $m_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, 6$ ) are independent parameters for the magnetic moments. Iridium ions are located at a1:(0,0,0), a2:(1/2,1/2,0), b1:(0,1/2, $\delta$ ), b2:(0,1/2,1- $\delta$ ), b3:(1/2,0, $\delta$ ), b4:(1/2,0,1- $\delta$ ) in the unit cell Fig. 1(c), where  $\delta=0.3791$ . The magnetic structure in Fig. 4(a) comprises canted  $ab$ -plane AF of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $c$ -axis collinear AF of  $\Gamma_5$ .

- Anisotropy in Low-Dimensional Quantum Magnets with Spin 1/2*, Crystals **9**, 6 (2019).
- [6] J. Bertinshaw, Y. K. Kim, G. Khaliullin, and B. J. Kim, *Square Lattice Iridates*, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **10**, 315–336 (2019).
- [7] B. J. Kim, H. Jin, S. J. Moon, J.-Y. Kim, B.-G. Park, C. S. Leem, J. Yu, T. W. Noh, C. Kim, S.-J. Oh, J.-H. Park, V. Durairaj, G. Cao, and E. Rotenberg, *Novel  $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$  Mott State Induced by Relativistic Spin-Orbit Coupling in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 076402 (2008).
- [8] G. Jackeli and G. Khaliullin, *Mott Insulators in the Strong Spin-Orbit Coupling Limit: From Heisenberg to a Quantum Compass and Kitaev Models*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 017205 (2009).
- [9] B. J. Kim, H. Ohsumi, T. Komesu, S. Sakai, T. Morita, H. Takagi, and T. Arima, *Phase-Sensitive Observation of a Spin-Orbital Mott State in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Science **323**, 1329–1332 (2009).
- [10] F. Wang and T. Senthil, *Twisted Hubbard Model for  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ : Magnetism and Possible High Temperature Superconductivity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 136402 (2011).
- [11] Y. K. Kim, O. Krupin, J. D. Denlinger, A. Bostwick, E. Rotenberg, Q. Zhao, J. F. Mitchell, J. W. Allen, and B. J. Kim, *Fermi arcs in a doped pseudospin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnet*, Science **345**, 187–190 (2014).
- [12] Y. J. Yan, M. Q. Ren, H. C. Xu, B. P. Xie, R. Tao, H. Y. Choi, N. Lee, Y. J. Choi, T. Zhang, and D. L. Feng, *Electron-Doped  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$ : An Analogue of Hole-Doped Cuprate Superconductors Demonstrated by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy*, Phys. Rev. X **5**, 041018 (2015).
- [13] Y. Cao, Q. Wang, J. A. Waugh, T. J. Reber, H. Li, X. Zhou, S. Parham, S. R. Park, N. C. Plumb, E. Rotenberg, A. Bostwick, J. D. Denlinger, T. Qi, M. A. Hermele, G. Cao, and D. S. Dessau, *Hallmarks of the Mott-metal crossover in the hole-doped pseudospin-1/2 Mott insulator  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Nat. Commun. **7**, 11367 (2016).
- [14] Y. K. Kim, N. H. Sung, J. D. Denlinger, and B. J. Kim, *Observation of a  $d$ -wave gap in electron-doped  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Nat. Phys. **12**, 37–41 (2016).
- [15] S. Sumita, T. Nomoto, and Y. Yanase, *Multipole Superconductivity in Nonsymmorphic  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 027001 (2017).
- [16] J. Kim, D. Casa, M. H. Upton, T. Gog, Y.-J. Kim, J. F. Mitchell, M. van Veenendaal, M. Daghofer, J. van den Brink, G. Khaliullin, and B. J. Kim, *Magnetic Excitation Spectra of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$  Probed by Resonant Inelastic X-Ray Scattering: Establishing Links to Cuprate Superconductors*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 177003 (2012).
- [17] S. Fujiiyama, H. Ohsumi, T. Komesu, J. Matsuno, B. J. Kim, M. Takata, T. Arima, and H. Takagi, *Two-Dimensional Heisenberg Behavior of the Spin-Orbital Mott Insulator  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 247212 (2012).
- [18] J. Jeong, Y. Sidis, A. Louat, V. Brouet, and P. Bourges, *Time-reversal symmetry breaking hidden order in  $\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ir}, \text{Rh})\text{O}_4$* , Nat. Commun. **8**, 15119 (2017).
- [19] H. Murayama, K. Ishida, R. Kurihara, T. Ono, Y. Sato, Y. Kasahara, H. Watanabe, Y. Yanase, G. Cao, Y. Mizukami, T. Shibauchi, Y. Matsuda, and S. Kasahara, *Bond Directional Anapole Order in a Spin-Orbit Coupled Mott Insulator  $\text{Sr}_2(\text{Ir}_{1-x}\text{Rh}_x)\text{O}_4$* , Phys. Rev. X **11**, 011021 (2021).
- [20] L. Zhao, D. H. Torchinsky, H. Chu, V. Ivanov, R. Lifshitz, R. Flint, T. Qi, G. Cao, and D. Hsieh, *Evidence of an odd-parity hidden order in a spin-orbit coupled correlated iridate*, Nat. Phys. **12**, 32–36 (2016).
- [21] K. L. Seyler, A. de la Torre, Z. Porter, E. Zoghlin, R. Polski, M. Nguyen, S. Nadj-Perge, S. D. Wilson, and D. Hsieh, *Spin-orbit-enhanced magnetic surface second-harmonic generation in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Phys. Rev. B **102**, 201113(R) (2020).
- [22] X. Chen, J. L. Schmeier, Z. Islam, Z. Porter, E. Zoghlin, K. Finkelstein, J. P. C. Ruff, and S. D. Wilson, *Unidirectional spin density wave state in metallic  $(\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{La}_x)_2\text{IrO}_4$* , Nat. Commun. **9**, 103 (2018).
- [23] H. Liu and G. Khaliullin, *Pseudo-Jahn-Teller Effect and Magnetoelastic Coupling in Spin-Orbit Mott Insulators*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 057203 (2019).

- [24] J. Porras, J. Bertinshaw, H. Liu, G. Khaliullin, N. H. Sung, J.-W. Kim, S. Francoual, P. Steffens, G. Deng, M. M. Sala, A. Efimenko, A. Said, D. Casa, X. Huang, T. Gog, J. Kim, B. Keimer, and B. J. Kim, *Pseudospin-lattice coupling in the spin-orbit Mott insulator Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>*, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 085125 (2019).
- [25] L. L. Hu, M. Yang, Y. L. Wu, Q. Wu, H. Zhao, F. Sun, W. Wang, R. He, S. L. He, H. Zhang, R. J. Huang, L. F. Li, Y. G. Shi, and J. Zhao, *Strong pseudospin-lattice coupling in Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: Coherent phonon anomaly and negative thermal expansion*, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 094307 (2019).
- [26] F. Ye, S. Chi, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, T. Qi, and G. Cao, *Magnetic and crystal structures of Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>: A neutron diffraction study*, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 140406(R) (2013).
- [27] D. H. Torchinsky, H. Chu, L. Zhao, N. B. Perkins, Y. Sizyuk, T. Qi, G. Cao, and D. Hsieh, *Structural Distortion-Induced Magnetoelastic Locking in Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> Revealed through Nonlinear Optical Harmonic Generation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 096404 (2015).
- [28] T. Hogan, L. Bjaalie, L. Zhao, C. Belvin, X. Wang, C. G. Van de Walle, D. Hsieh, and S. D. Wilson, *Structural investigation of the bilayer iridate Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>*, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 134110 (2016).
- [29] S. Boseggia, H. C. Walker, J. Vale, R. Springell, Z. Feng, R. S. Perry, M. M. Sala, H. M. Rønnow, S. P. Collins, and D. F. McMorrow, *Locking of iridium magnetic moments to the correlated rotation of oxygen octahedra in Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> revealed by x-ray resonant scattering*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **25**, 422202 (2013).
- [30] G. Cao, Y. Xin, C. S. Alexander, J. E. Crow, P. Schlottmann, M. K. Crawford, R. L. Harlow, and W. Marshall, *Anomalous magnetic and transport behavior in the magnetic insulator Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>*, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 214412 (2002).
- [31] I. Nagai, Y. Yoshida, S. I. Ikeda, H. Matsuhata, H. Kito, and M. Kosaka, *Canted antiferromagnetic ground state in Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 136214 (2007).
- [32] J. Chaloupka, G. Jackeli, and G. Khaliullin, *Kitaev-Heisenberg Model on a Honeycomb Lattice: Possible Exotic Phases in Iridium Oxides A<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>3</sub>*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 027204 (2010).
- [33] M. K. Crawford, M. A. Subramanian, R. L. Harlow, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, Z. R. Wang, and D. C. Johnston, *Structural and Magnetic Studies of Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub>*, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 9198–9201 (1994).
- [34] H. Matsuhata, I. Nagai, Y. Yoshida, S. Hara, S.-I. Ikeda, and N. Shirakawa, *Crystal structure of sr<sub>3</sub>ir<sub>2</sub>o<sub>7</sub> investigated by transmission electron microscopy*, J. Solid State Chem. **177**, 3776–3783 (2004).
- [35] R. L. Harlow, Z. G. Li, W. J. Marshall, M. K. Crawford, and M. A. Subramanian, *Effects of stacking faults on refinement of single crystal X-ray diffraction data for Sr<sub>5</sub>Ir<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub>*, Materials research bulletin **30**, 217–223 (1995).
- [36] D. H. Torchinsky, H. Chu, T. Qi, G. Cao, and D. Hsieh, *A low temperature nonlinear optical rotational anisotropy spectrometer for the determination of crystallographic and electronic symmetries*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85**, 083102 (2014).
- [37] J. W. Harter, L. Niu, A. J. Woss, and D. Hsieh, *High-speed measurement of rotational anisotropy nonlinear optical harmonic generation using position-sensitive detection*, Opt. Lett. **40**, 4671–4674 (2015).
- [38] J. E. Sipe, D. J. Moss, and H. M. van Driel, *Phenomenological theory of optical second- and third-harmonic generation from cubic centrosymmetric crystals*, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 1129–1141 (1987).
- [39] D. G. Mazzone, D. Meyers, Y. Cao, J. G. Vale, C. D. Dashwood, Y. Shi, A. J. A. James, N. J. Robinson, J. Lin, V. Thampy, Y. Tanaka, A. S. Johnson, H. Miao, R. Wang, T. A. Assefa, J. Kim, D. Casa, R. Mankowsky, D. Zhu, R. Alonso-Mori, S. Song, H. Yavas, T. Katayama, M. Yabashi, Y. Kubota, S. Owada, J. Liu, J. Yang, R. M. Konik, I. K. Robinson, J. P. Hill, D. F. McMorrow, M. Först, S. Wall, X. Liu, and M. P. M. Dean, *Laser-induced transient magnons in Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> throughout the Brillouin zone*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **118**, e2103696118 (2021).
- [40] J. Kim, A. H. Said, D. Casa, M. H. Upton, T. Gog, M. Daghofer, G. Jackeli, J. van den Brink, G. Khaliullin, and B. J. Kim, *Large Spin-Wave Energy Gap in the Bilayer Iridate Sr<sub>3</sub>Ir<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: Evidence for Enhanced Dipolar Interactions Near the Mott Metal-Insulator Transition*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 157402 (2012).
- [41] J. G. Vale, S. Boseggia, H. C. Walker, R. Springell, Z. Feng, E. C. Hunter, R. S. Perry, D. Prabhakaran, A. T. Boothroyd, S. P. Collins, H. M. Rønnow, and D. F. McMorrow, *Importance of XY anisotropy in Sr<sub>2</sub>IrO<sub>4</sub> revealed by magnetic critical scattering experiments*, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 020406(R) (2015).
- [42] V. Y. Irkhin and A. A. Katanin, *Kosterlitz-Thouless and magnetic transition temperatures in layered magnets with a weak easy-plane anisotropy*, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 2990–2993 (1999).
- [43] N. Suzuki and H. Kamimura, *Theory of Spin-Dependent Phonon Raman Scattering in Magnetic Crystals*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **35**, 985–995 (1973).
- [44] E. Granado, A. García, J. A. Sanjurjo, C. Rettori, I. Torriani, F. Prado, R. D. Sánchez, A. Caneiro, and S. B. Oseroff, *Magnetic ordering effects in the Raman spectra of La<sub>1-x</sub>Mn<sub>1-x</sub>O<sub>3</sub>*, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 11879–11882 (1999).
- [45] H. J. Zeiger, J. Vidal, T. K. Cheng, E. P. Ippen, G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus, *Theory for dispersive excitation of coherent phonons*, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 768–778 (1992).