

Stochastic hydrodynamics and hydro-kinetics: Similarities and differences

Aritra De,¹ Chun Shen,^{2,3} and Joseph I. Kapusta¹

¹*School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48201, USA*

³*RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA*

(Dated: November 22, 2022)

The hydro-kinetic formalism has been used as a complementary approach to solving the Stochastic Differential Equations (SDE) corresponding to noisy hydrodynamics. The hydro-kinetic formalism consists of a deterministic set of relaxation type equations that tracks the evolution of 2-point correlation functions of stochastic hydrodynamic quantities. Hence they are comparatively easier to solve than the SDEs, which are computationally intensive and need to deal with arbitrarily large gradients. This work compares the two approaches for the propagation and diffusion of conserved charge fluctuations in the Bjorken hydrodynamic model. For white noise, the two approaches agree. For colored Catteneo noise, which is causal, the two approaches diverge. This is because white noise only induces two-point correlations, while Catteneo noise also induces higher-order correlations. This difference is quantified from the effects of causal evolution and influence from higher-order correlations induced by the Catteneo noise.

I. INTRODUCTION

Experimental facilities such as the Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collider (RHIC) and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) collide nuclei at high energies to study and quantify properties of the quark-gluon plasma. Relativistic hydrodynamics provides a macroscopic and quantitative description of the fireball evolution in heavy-ion collisions [1–3]. The applicability of hydrodynamics is justified if the mean free paths of the particles are small compared to the distances over which thermodynamic quantities vary. It has been successfully used to constrain various transport properties of the quark-gluon plasma such as specific shear and bulk viscosity and thermal conductivity [4–10]. Hybrid frameworks that combine hydrodynamics with a hadronic transport model can reproduce various flow measurements with successful predictive power [11–14].

Relativistic hydrodynamics involves differential equations for the energy-momentum tensor and the charge currents. Ripples caused by stochastic thermal fluctuations will give corrections to macroscopic variables of hydrodynamics [15]. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem [16] relates dissipative properties to the amplitude of hydrodynamic fluctuations. Hence, study of fluctuations can be leveraged to study quark-gluon plasma transport properties [17, 18]. Specifically, fluctuations are known to become important near critical points [19–22]. This has led to studies that investigate fluctuations in conserved quantities, such as electric charge, baryon number, and strangeness on an event-by-event basis [23–26]. Recently, there have also been studies of dynamic critical phenomena near a QCD critical point [22, 27–30]. Non-Gaussianities (higher-order cumulants) also become important near critical points as they are more sensitive to large correlation lengths [20].

It is important to systematically study fluctuations in heavy-ion collision systems. Adding noise to hydrodynamic equations transforms the hydrodynamical equa-

tions from partial differential equations to stochastic differential equations. Historically, stochastic differential equations have been notorious to deal with [15, 31–34]. Broadly, there have been two methods to study the effect of fluctuations. The first is the direct method of studying stochastic differential equations through analytical and numerical techniques [15, 23–26, 32, 33]. One can simulate stochastic differential equations like usual partial differential equations over millions of different realizations of the noise. Statistical properties of those solutions are studied to understand the underlying physical process. Alternatively, some authors have suggested the use of a set of deterministic kinetic equations to capture the behavior of time evolution of n -point correlations of hydrodynamic variables [35–41]. This approach has come to be known as the hydro-kinetic approach. The method relies on the truncation of higher moments from noisy hydrodynamic calculations and holds the advantage over direct numerical studies of SDEs by being computationally less intensive.

It seems imperative that a direct comparison between the hydro-kinetic approach and the stochastic hydro formalism needs to be made which is lacking in the current literature. In this paper, we compare the two approaches for a system with just one conserved charge in an expanding fluid background, namely the Bjorken system [42]. At the top RHIC and LHC energies, the charge current decouples from the energy-momentum conservation because the net charge is small and therefore can be studied separately. The system with a single conserved charge is simple enough to allow for analytical calculations. Additionally, the conserved baryon density is believed to be an order parameter for a possible critical point in the QCD phase diagram [20]. The usual diffusion equation has an infinite signal propagation speed. To make it finite, we also study the Catteneo equation and which introduces so-called colored noise in the hydrodynamical equations [43, 44]. In the presence of colored noise, the hydrodynamics become causal. We show the

comparison of hydro-kinetics to causal hydrodynamics as well.

The paper is organized as follows. We give a concise description of the dynamical evolution of one conserved charge in a 1+1D Bjorken system in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we derive the hydro-kinetic equations and compare them with the stochastic calculation in the white noise limit. We extend the comparison for the colored noise case to hydro-kinetics in Sec. IV. Sec. V summarizes our concluding remarks. Complementary calculations without transverse dynamics are provided in the Appendix A.

II. STOCHASTIC DIFFUSION IN BOOST-INVARIANT HYDRODYNAMICS

The assumption of longitudinal boost invariance implies that the initial conditions for local variables are functions of the proper time $\tau \equiv \sqrt{t^2 - z^2}$ and the transverse coordinates x and y . They do not depend on space-time rapidity $\xi \equiv \tanh^{-1}(z/t)$. We define fluid velocity u^μ to be the velocity of the energy-momentum current, known as the Landau-Lifshitz frame,

$$T^{\mu\nu}u_\nu = eu^\mu. \quad (1)$$

We consider a single species of conserved charge in the fluid. This charge current takes the form

$$J^\mu = n_Q u^\mu + \Delta J^\mu + I^\mu, \quad (2)$$

where n_Q is the charge density in the local rest frame of the fluid cell, ΔJ^μ is the diffusion current, and I^μ is the noise current. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem dictates that dissipation is always accompanied by stochastic noises for any equilibrium system. In the conventional, lowest order in derivatives diffusion equation, ΔJ^μ takes the form

$$\Delta J^\mu = D_Q \nabla^\mu n_Q = \sigma_Q T \nabla^\mu \left(\frac{\mu_Q}{T} \right), \quad (3)$$

where μ_Q is the charge chemical potential, σ_Q is the charge conductivity, and ∇^μ is the transverse derivative

$$\nabla^\mu \equiv \Delta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu = \partial^\mu - u^\mu (u \cdot \partial) \quad (4)$$

with the projection operator $\Delta^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu$. One can verify that the charge current conservation equation $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ in the local rest frame where $u^\mu = (1, \mathbf{0})$ follows the usual diffusion equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - D_Q \nabla^2 \right) n_Q = 0. \quad (5)$$

The diffusion constant D_Q and charge conductivity are related by the Einstein relation $D_Q = \sigma_Q / \chi_Q$, where χ_Q is the electric charge susceptibility defined by

$$\chi_Q = \frac{\partial n_Q(T, \mu_Q)}{\partial \mu_Q}. \quad (6)$$

Following Refs. [23, 26], we assume $\chi_Q \propto T^2$. The amplitudes for dissipation and stochastic noise are related by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem which is justified because the fluid description assumes the system is close to local equilibrium. In other words, modes disturbed by I^μ are equilibrated by the viscous term ΔJ^μ . The 1-point function of the noise $\langle I^\mu \rangle$ vanishes while the 2-point functions are determined by the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. Assuming white noise

$$\langle I^\mu(x) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle I^\mu(x_1) I^\nu(x_2) \rangle = 2\sigma_Q T \Delta^{\mu\nu} \delta(x_1 - x_2). \quad (7)$$

Such a noise function whose 2-point correlation is proportional to the Dirac δ -function in both space and time is referred to as white noise.

We adopt Milne coordinates which are proper time and space-time rapidity,

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \tau \cosh \xi & z &= \tau \sinh \xi \\ \tau &= \sqrt{t^2 - z^2} & \xi &= \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{z}{t} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The transverse coordinates x and y are the same as in Cartesian coordinates. The non-zero components of the background flow velocity are

$$u^0 = \cosh \xi \quad u^z = \sinh \xi. \quad (9)$$

The transverse derivatives in the t and z directions are

$$\nabla^0 = -\frac{\sinh \xi}{\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \quad \nabla^3 = -\frac{\cosh \xi}{\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \quad (10)$$

with $u \cdot \partial = \partial / \partial \tau$. The entropy density s can be factored out from the noise current I^μ so as to capture the fluctuating part in the random dimensionless scalars f , g_1 and g_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} I^t &= s(\tau) f(\xi, \tau, x, y) \sinh \xi \\ I^z &= s(\tau) f(\xi, \tau, x, y) \cosh \xi \\ I^x &= s(\tau) g_1(\xi, \tau, x, y) \\ I^y &= s(\tau) g_2(\xi, \tau, x, y) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here f, g_1, g_2 are uncorrelated random scalar functions with the following 2-point correlation in Cartesian coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(x_1) f(x_2) \rangle &= \langle g_1(x_1) g_1(x_2) \rangle = \langle g_2(x_1) g_2(x_2) \rangle \\ &= \frac{2\sigma_Q T}{s^2} \delta^4(x_1 - x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\langle f(x_1) g_1(x_2) \rangle = \langle g_2(x_1) g_1(x_2) \rangle = \langle f(x_1) g_2(x_2) \rangle = 0. \quad (13)$$

The last equation denotes that the random functions f, g_1 and g_2 are uncorrelated with each other.

The background conservation equations for the charge density and entropy density are

$$\frac{ds}{d\tau} + \frac{s}{\tau} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad s(\tau) = \frac{s_i \tau_i}{\tau} \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{dn_Q}{d\tau} + \frac{n_Q}{\tau} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad n_Q(\tau) = \frac{n_i \tau_i}{\tau}. \quad (15)$$

The s_i and n_i are the entropy and charge densities at some initial time τ_i . We take the initial charge density n_i to be zero, hence the average charge density for subsequent times is zero as well. Please note that because the stochastic fluctuations are small perturbations compared to the background quantities, we neglect their feedback corrections to the background fluid velocity in this study.

We now have all the pieces of the charge current J^μ to write the charge current conservation equation $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$. It is convenient to define the variable $X = \tau \delta n_Q$. The charge conservation equation then becomes

$$\frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \tau} - \frac{D_Q}{\tau} \left[\nabla_\perp^2 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \right] X = -\frac{s}{\tau} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} - s \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x} - s \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial y} \quad (16)$$

where $\nabla_\perp^2 = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2$. We do a Fourier transform

$$X(\xi, x, y, \tau) = \int \frac{dk_\xi}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{2\pi} e^{i(k_\xi \xi + \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{x}_\perp)} \tilde{X}(k_\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \tau). \quad (17)$$

In Fourier space the charge conservation equation becomes

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau} + D_Q \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 \tilde{X} + \frac{D_Q k_\xi^2}{\tau^2} \tilde{X} = -is \left[k_\xi \tilde{f} + \tau k_x \tilde{g}_1 + \tau k_y \tilde{g}_2 \right]. \quad (18)$$

The Green's function of the homogeneous part of the above equation is

$$\tilde{G}(k_\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \tau_f, \tau_i) = e^{-D_Q \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 (\tau_f - \tau_i)} e^{-D_Q k_\xi^2 \left(\frac{1}{\tau_i} - \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)}. \quad (19)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X}(k_\xi, k_x, k_y, \tau_f) &= -i \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau s \left[k_\xi \tilde{f} + \tau k_x \tilde{g}_1 + \tau k_y \tilde{g}_2 \right] \\ &\times e^{-D_Q \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 (\tau_f - \tau_i)} e^{-D_Q k_\xi^2 \left(\frac{1}{\tau_i} - \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The 2-point correlation function can now be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k_\xi, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \tau_f) \delta \tilde{n}_Q(-k'_\xi, -\mathbf{k}'_\perp, \tau_f) \rangle \\ &= \frac{4\pi D_Q \chi_f T_f}{\tau_f} \int d\tau' \left[\frac{k_\xi^2}{\tau'^2} + k_x^2 + k_y^2 \right] \\ &\times e^{-2D_Q \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 (\tau_f - \tau')} e^{-2D_Q k_\xi^2 \left(\frac{1}{\tau'} - \frac{1}{\tau_f} \right)} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The above integral can be solved analytically only if $k_x = k_y = 0$, see Appendix A. We will perform a numerical computation of Eq. (21) in the following section.

III. THE HYDRO-KINETIC EQUATION FOR THE 2-POINT CORRELATION FUNCTION

In this section, we derive and solve the equation of motion for the 2-point function of stochastic fluctuations. This is referred to as the hydro-kinetic approach. Let us begin with the derivation of the hydro-kinetic equation

by ignoring the transverse directions x and y . The noisy charge conservation equation in the absence of transverse noise in k -space is

$$\frac{\partial \delta \tilde{n}_Q}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{\delta \tilde{n}_Q}{\tau} - \frac{D_Q k^2}{\tau^2} \delta \tilde{n}_Q - \frac{iks}{\tau} \tilde{f}. \quad (22)$$

The noise correlation can be calculated from Eq. (12) as

$$\langle \tilde{f}(k_1, \tau_1) \tilde{f}(k_2, \tau_2) \rangle = \frac{4\pi \sigma_Q T}{A \tau_1 s^2} \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \delta(k_1 + k_2). \quad (23)$$

where A is the transverse area. The evolution of the 2-point function satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{d\tau} \langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k) \delta \tilde{n}_Q(-k') \rangle \\ &= -\frac{2}{\tau} \langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k) \delta \tilde{n}_Q(-k') \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{D_Q}{\tau^2} (k^2 + k'^2) \langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k) \delta \tilde{n}_Q(-k') \rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{iks}{\tau} \langle \tilde{f}(k) \delta \tilde{n}_Q(-k') \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{ik's}{\tau} \langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k) \tilde{f}(-k') \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We can compute the $\langle \tilde{f} \tilde{n}_Q \rangle$ up to $\mathcal{O}(\delta \tilde{n}_Q^2)$ accuracy using the ideal part of the equation of motion and ignoring the dissipative part.

$$\delta \tilde{n}_Q = -\int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} (iks) \tilde{f} \Rightarrow \langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q \tilde{f} \rangle = -\int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} (iks) \langle \tilde{f} \tilde{f} \rangle$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ik's}{\tau} \langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k) \tilde{f}(-k') \rangle &= \frac{ik's}{\tau} \int \frac{d\tau}{\tau} (-iks) \langle \tilde{f}(k) \tilde{f}(-k') \rangle \\ &= \frac{kk' 4\pi \sigma_Q T}{A \tau^3} \delta(k - k') \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

We now define the charge correlation $N(\tau, k)$ as

$$N(\tau_1, k) \delta(k - k') \equiv \frac{\langle \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k, \tau_1) \delta \tilde{n}_Q(-k', \tau_1) \rangle}{2\pi}. \quad (26)$$

Using this result in Eq. (24), we get the following evolution equation for the 2-point correlation function

$$\frac{dN(\tau, k)}{d\tau} = -\frac{2D_Q k^2}{\tau^2} \left(N(\tau, k) - \frac{\chi_Q T}{A\tau} \right) - \frac{2}{\tau} N(\tau, k) \quad (27)$$

Equation (27) is the hydro-kinetic equation for this situation. The term $\chi_Q T/A\tau$ is the asymptotic high- k limit. In coordinate space, this term represents self-correlation.

We now generalize the hydro-kinetic equation to 3+1 D. The way we do it is by recognizing that when we include the transverse directions they have dimensions whereas the spacetime rapidity is dimensionless. Therefore the k will be replaced by $\mathbf{K} = (\frac{k_\xi}{\tau}, k_x, k_y)$. We obtain the evolution equation for the 2-point correlation function in 3D as

$$\frac{dN}{d\tau} = -2D_Q \mathbf{K}^2 \left(N - \frac{\chi_Q T}{A\tau} \right) - \frac{2N}{\tau}. \quad (28)$$

The form of this evolution equation matches that of Ref. [35, 41].

We solve the hydro-kinetic equation Eq. (28) numerically and compare it with the integral Eq. (21). Because Eq. (28) shows the two-point correlation function N only depends on the magnitude of K , it is sufficient for us to show the comparisons at two values of k_x and k_y in Fig. 1. The hydro-kinetic result matches very well




FIG. 1. (Color online) Comparison of momentum-space 2-point correlation functions for charge density fluctuations from the hydro-kinetics approach and stochastic hydrodynamics. (a) is for $k_x = k_y = 0.1 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ and (b) is for $k_x = k_y = 0.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$. Both plot are at final time $\tau_f = 6.3 \text{ fm}/c$ with $A = 1 \text{ fm}^2$.

with the stochastic solutions. This agreement between the two formalism is expected because the noise functions in Eqs. (12) and (13) only introduce 2-point correlations in the white noise limit. There is an emergent length scale at $k_\xi = k_*$ from the competition between longitudinal expansion and local equilibration [35]. We can equate the viscous dissipation rate to the expansion rate to get k_*

$$2D_Q \left(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + \frac{k_*^2}{\tau_f^2} \right) = \frac{2}{\tau_f}. \quad (29)$$

We set the diffusion constant D_Q to be 0.16 fm . For $k_x = k_y = 0.1 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ and for the parameters we chose, k_* turns out to be 6.21 . It is dimensionless because space-time rapidity is dimensionless. For $k_x = k_y = 0.5 \text{ fm}^{-1}$, k_* is 4.42 . One can see in Fig. 1 that the 2-point correlator has attained the equilibrium value of $\chi_Q T / \tau_f$ for momentum scales $k_\xi \gtrsim 0.5 k_*$. In the following section, we will extend our analysis to causal forms of noise, which are also called colored noise.

IV. STOCHASTIC NOISE IN CAUSAL 3+1D RELATIVISTIC HYDRODYNAMICS

The signal propagation speed in the conventional charge diffusion equation is infinite, which violates causality in the relativistic regime. In order to limit signal propagation speeds to less than the speed of light, we introduce a relaxation time τ_Q in the diffusion equation,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - D_Q \nabla^2 + \tau_Q \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) n_Q = 0 \quad (30)$$

This equation is called the Catteneo equation [45] and the noise associated with it is called the Catteneo noise. One can show that high frequency waves travel at a speed of $v_Q = \sqrt{D_Q / \tau_Q}$ [44]. The dissipative current is modified to

$$\Delta J^\mu = D_Q \Delta^\mu \left[\frac{1}{1 + \tau_Q (u \cdot \partial)} \right] n_Q. \quad (31)$$

The fluctuation-dissipation theorem dictates the amplitude of the stochastic noise part of the charge current,

$$\langle I^i(x_1) I^j(x_2) \rangle = \frac{\sigma_Q T}{\tau_Q} \delta(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) e^{-|t_1 - t_2| / \tau_Q} \delta_{ij}. \quad (32)$$

The Dirac δ -function in time is replaced by an exponential decay function. In the limit $\tau_Q \rightarrow 0$ this 2-point function becomes the Dirac δ -function for the white noise limit in Eq. (12). Such a noise function with an exponential function replacing the Dirac δ -function in the 2-point correlation is an example of colored noise. If we use the previous parametrization of I^μ , the auxiliary function f will have the property

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\tau_Q \partial_{\tau_1} + 1) \tilde{f}(k_1, \tau_1) (\tau_Q \partial_{\tau_2} + 1) \tilde{f}(k_2, \tau_2) \rangle \\ &= \frac{4\pi \sigma_Q(\tau_1) T(\tau_1)}{A \tau_1 s^2(\tau_1)} \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \delta(k_1 + k_2). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The same is the case for g_1 and g_2 . The source functions f, g_1 , and g_2 are still uncorrelated with each other as Eq. (13) still holds. Noise generated at the same rapidity but at different times is correlated. Figure 2 illustrates what fluctuation propagation looks like in the presence of the Catteneo noise [26]. Figure 2 also illustrates the correlation introduced in the system due to colored noise. For a white noise profile, the two star noise sources will not be correlated and hence the charge fluctuation that has traveled will not have any correlation. But if we switch on colored noise, there will be a correlation between the two bursts.

In the Catteneo equation of motion for the charge current, the viscous current is given by Eq. (31). The evolution equation for charge fluctuations represented by




FIG. 2. (Color online) Illustration of colored noise propagation. The stars denote a noise source and the bursts are the charge fluctuations resulting from noise. Reproduced from Ref. [26].

$X = \tau \delta n_Q$ in momentum space is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_Q \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau^2} + \left(1 + \frac{2\tau_Q}{\tau}\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{D_Q k_\xi^2}{\tau^2} \tilde{X} + (k_x^2 + k_y^2) D_Q \tilde{X} \\
& + \frac{2D_Q \tau_Q (k_x^2 + k_y^2)}{\tau} (1 + \tau_Q \partial_\tau)^{-1} \tilde{X} \\
& = -ik_\xi s \left[\tau_Q \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tau} + \left(1 + \frac{\tau_Q}{\tau}\right) \tilde{f} \right] \\
& - is \left[(k_x \tilde{g}_1 + k_y \tilde{g}_2) (\tau + 2\tau_Q) \right. \\
& \left. + \tau_Q \tau \left(k_x \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_1}{\partial \tau} + k_y \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_2}{\partial \tau} \right) \right]. \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

To avoid a third-order differential equation we make the following approximation

$$(1 + \tau_Q \partial_\tau)^{-1} \simeq 1 - \tau_Q \partial_\tau. \quad (35)$$

This is a good approximation when $\tau_Q < \tau$. The approximated charge conservation equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_Q \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau^2} + \left(1 + 2\frac{\tau_Q}{\tau}\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{D_Q k_\xi^2}{\tau^2} \tilde{X} + \frac{2D_Q \tau_Q (k_x^2 + k_y^2)}{\tau} \tilde{X} \\
& - \frac{2D_Q \tau_Q^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)}{\tau} \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau} + D_Q (k_x^2 + k_y^2) \tilde{X} \\
& = -ik_\xi s \left[\tau_Q \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tau} + \left(1 + \frac{\tau_Q}{\tau}\right) \tilde{f} \right] \\
& - is \left[(k_x \tilde{g}_1 + k_y \tilde{g}_2) (\tau + 2\tau_Q) \right. \\
& \left. + \tau_Q \tau \left(k_x \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_1}{\partial \tau} + k_y \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_2}{\partial \tau} \right) \right]. \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (36) is a complex SDE and is challenging to solve analytically. If we remove the dependence on the transverse coordinates it is possible to solve the SDE analytically, as was done in Ref. [23]. With the inclusion of transverse

coordinates, we will solve the SDE using direct numerical simulations on a discrete lattice. To ensure numerical convergence, we use $d\tau = 0.003$ fm/c. Detailed numerical schemes are explained in Appendix B.

To generate a 2-point correlation in the form of Eq. (32) for the noise term $f(\tau, \xi)$ we need to solve an additional Langevin equation

$$f + \tau_Q \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau} = \zeta \quad (37)$$

where ζ is a white noise such that

$$\langle \zeta(\tau) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \zeta(\tau_1) \zeta(\tau_2) \rangle = M(\tau_1) \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2). \quad (38)$$

Here $M(\tau)$ is the variance of the white noise $\zeta(\tau)$. The analytical solution of the Langevin equation is given by

$$\langle f(\tau_1) f(\tau_2) \rangle = \frac{M}{2\tau_Q} \left[e^{|\tau_1 - \tau_2|/\tau_Q} - e^{(2\tau_i - \tau_1 - \tau_2)/\tau_Q} \right]. \quad (39)$$

For illustration, Fig. 3 uses $M = 1$ fm/c and shows that the analytical solution and the numerical solution match each other. The noise that we need in this work is given by the 2-point correlation given in Eq. (33). Note that




FIG. 3. (Color online) The time evolution for the variance of fluctuations. Calculations are averaged over one million events with $\tau_f = 6.3$ fm/c, $\tau_i = 0.5$ fm/c, $\tau_Q = 0.4$ fm/c and $M = 1$ fm/c.

this is the same correlation we need for both g_1 and g_2 . Once we generate the noise terms we can simulate Eq. (36) for different values of τ_Q as shown in Fig. 4.

In the case of the Cattaneo noise, the 2-point correlator shows noticeable differences from the results in the white noise limit. It is because the Cattaneo noise introduces higher-order correlations that are not tracked by the hydro-kinetic equation, as shown in Fig. 5¹. These higher-order correlations are generated from the Langevin equation in Eq. (37). The 4-point correlator of

¹ The actual values of the four-point function depends on the lattice spacing used in the simulation. With $\Delta x = 0.013$ fm, we expect $\langle \zeta \zeta \zeta \zeta \rangle \propto 1/(\Delta x)^2 \sim 6000$.




FIG. 4. (Color online) The 2-point correlation function for charge fluctuations with causal evolution. Results with different τ_Q values are compared with the white noise limit $\tau_Q = 0$ fm/c. The result is for 10^5 events and is at final time $\tau_f = 6.3$ fm/c with $A = 1$ fm².




FIG. 5. (Color online) The 4-point correlation functions for the Catteneo noise f and white noise ζ with $\tau_Q = 0.4$ fm/c.

white noise shown in the figure should be strictly zero except when $\tau = \tau_f$. The numerical simulations reproduce that mathematical statement. The same 4-point correlator for Catteneo noise need not be zero because of higher-order correlations not present in white noise. This particular correlator is sufficient to exemplify that fact. Cumulants could also be used to illustrate that, but calculating them takes about two orders of magnitude more computational time to obtain the same accuracy. One can see that for Catteneo noise there is no fixed equilibrium value for any finite value of k_ξ . For a lower signal propagation speed, meaning larger τ_Q , the magnitude of the 2-point correlation function N is smaller at larger values of k_ξ . That arises from the nature of the self-correlation term as described in Ref. [26].

The nature of the self-correlation is easiest to understand in the 1+1 D case. The mathematical expression for self-correlation is given by (Refs. [23, 26]).

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta n_Q(\xi_1, \tau_f) \delta n_Q(\xi_2, \tau_f) \rangle_{\text{self}} &= \frac{\tau_f}{D_Q} \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ik(\xi_1 - \xi_2)} \\ &\times \int \frac{d\tau''}{\tau''} \frac{\tilde{G}(-k, \tau_f, \tau'')}{ik} \langle f(\tau_f) f(\tau'') \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The \tilde{G} is a Green function, see Appendix A. Direct numerical simulations in Ref. [26] showed how the self-correlation looks for various values of τ_Q , as depicted in the Fig. 6. One can see that as $\tau_Q \rightarrow 0$, the self-




FIG. 6. (Color online) Numerical results for self-correlations for colored noise with different signal propagation speeds.

correlation looks more and more like a Dirac δ -function. The absence of the Dirac δ -function in the case of colored noise means that there is no fixed equilibrium value. The definition of self-correlation is straightforwardly extendable to the 3+1 D.

Self-correlation can be interpreted as the correlation of a charge fluctuation generated in ξ_1 at final time τ_f with another charge fluctuation generated in ξ_1 at a previous time but which has traveled to ξ_2 at τ_f . It is nontrivial for colored noise because colored noise fluctuations in the same ξ are correlated in time. Figure 7 gives an illustration of self-correlation.




FIG. 7. (Color online) Description of self-correlation. The stars denote a noise source and the bursts are the charge fluctuations resulting from the noise. Reproduced from Ref. [26].

Higher point correlations are also introduced because of causal diffusion. Specifically, the non-linear treatment

of the white noise introduces the higher-order correlations.

Given the behavior of the 2-point correlator in the presence of colored noise, with a peak around k_* and a decaying tail, it is interesting to disentangle the effects of causal diffusion and causal noise. Figure 8 shows the 2-point correlator as a function of k_ξ for the following three cases:

1. Causal diffusion and white noise.
2. Usual diffusion and causal noise.
3. Usual diffusion and white noise.




FIG. 8. (Color Online) The 2-point correlation functions of charge fluctuations in momentum space for three combinations of different types of diffusion equations and noises to disentangle the effects of causal diffusion and causal noise. The plot is computed at $\tau_f = 6.3$ fm/c.

First, consider case 1. Causal diffusion is an inefficient process compared to usual diffusion when it comes to distributing the charge buildup at a particular space point to neighboring points. In addition, white noise fluctuations at a particular point at a specific time are uncorrelated with whatever noise fluctuations that have originated at that point in previous times. This just means that there is continuous pumping of noise fluctuations at a space point through its temporal journey irrespective of the amount of charge buildup there. Causal diffusion does a limited redistribution of the charge buildup. Hence there is a peak in the 2-point correlation around the length scale k_* . This is further illustrated in Fig. 9 which is a plot of the 2-point correlator in coordinate space.

Now consider case 2. When we incorporate causal noise, the noise fluctuations that occur at a spatial point are correlated to the noise fluctuations that have already originated there in the previous moments. Hence a spatial point that has had a lot of charge buildup, because of recent large charge fluctuations, will not have large fluctuations at subsequent times because of the exponentially decaying correlation. In this case, the diffusion, either usual or causal, has enough time to distribute the charge buildup effectively. This is further illustrated in Fig. 10. Both curves in Fig. 10 use the same causal noise and hence the self-correlation part for both the curves are the same. The presence of causal diffusion narrows the inverted Gaussian (which signifies the diffusion). Case 3




FIG. 9. (Color online) The effects of causal diffusion evolution with white noise on 2-point charge density correlation in coordinate space with lattice spacing $d\xi = 0.1$.




FIG. 10. (Color online) The effects of causal diffusion evolution with causal noise on 2-point charge density correlation in coordinate space with lattice spacing $d\xi = 0.1$.

is the standard white noise result from Fig. 10 of Ref. [26], specifically, the Dirac δ -function minus a Gaussian. Here, the noise fluctuations pumped in by white noise is effectively dispersed away with infinite signal propagation speed by the usual diffusion process.

This brings us to our final point. The fluctuation-dissipation theorem tells us that causal noise and causal diffusion are inextricably present. Hence we expect both a peak in the two point correlator and a decaying tail at large k_ξ . Both may have phenomenological consequences.

V. CONCLUSION

Hydrodynamics is an effective theory that describes the behavior of quark-gluon plasma in a heavy-ion collision. With viscous hydrodynamics comes thermal noise that affect the macroscopic fluid variables. In this paper, we compared the two prevalent ways to study the evolution and effects of thermal fluctuations in relativistic hydrodynamics. The direct stochastic calculation is compared with the deterministic way of tracking the 2-point functions of hydrodynamic variables which are called hydro-kinetics in the literature. Here we considered the relatively simple case of a Bjorken evolution of a single conserved charge, including transverse fluctuations,

and focus on the comparison of the 2-point charge correlation functions. We first perform comparisons using white noise and find exact agreements between the two approaches. This agreement is expected because white noise only introduces 2-point correlations. We then extend the stochastic hydrodynamics approach to study the evolution of colored (Catteneo) noise with the causal diffusion equation. The colored noise together with causal evolution results in significant deviations from the results at the white noise limit.

The main result of our work is that the colored noise evolved with the causal diffusion equation generates a peak structure around $k_\xi \sim k_*$ and decaying tail at large k_ξ in the 2-point correlation function in the Fourier space as shown in Fig. 4. These features can be better understood in coordinate space. The peak around k_* is a consequence of the correlation function being confined inside the light cone. The 2-point charge correlation function at large k_ξ is no longer a constant in the causal case because the self-correlation has a finite extension in the Catteneo noise case. Even if the system was non-expanding, the Catteneo noise would have induced this feature. These features in the causal charge correlation functions could lead to phenomenological consequences in final-state particle correlations. Specifically, the enhancement and narrowing of the charge balance functions in the presence of colored noise has been discussed in Ref. [26].

The quantity k_* is an important emergent length scale in the dynamically evolving system. Modes with $k_\xi \gtrsim 0.5k_*$ remain in equilibrium whereas those with $k_\xi \lesssim 0.5k_*$ are pulled out of equilibrium because of the background expansion of the system. The value of k_* should not change significantly in the Catteneo noise with causal diffusion. This is because the competition between the quenching rate for the fluctuations and the medium expansion rate is not affected a lot by the non-linearity introduced by the colored noise and causal equation of motion. The k_* for the causal case will be a little less than that of the usual diffusion case. Hence, a possible future direction would be to study observables associated with k_* . Another interesting avenue would be to explore the emergent scales when a critical point is introduced into the equation of state. This question was investigated in Ref. [37].

It has been shown in this work that the hydro-kinetic approach is equivalent to solving the white noise SDE. It remains an open question whether the causal evolution and correlated color noise can be implemented in the hydro-kinetic approach. As shown in Fig. 5, the correlated Catteneo noise introduces higher-order correlations. We also find that the causal diffusion evolution introduces higher-order correlations because of its non-linear treatment of noise. It would be interesting to compare the higher-point correlation functions with the results from the hydro-kinetic approach in Ref. [46].

Finally, it is clear from the discussion at the end of Sec. IV and Fig. 9 that considering causal diffusion without colored noise leads to a buildup of charge correlation

in small k_ξ . The location of this buildup depends on grid size, which is unphysical. Causal diffusion and colored noise need to be considered as a package whenever we are considering the effects of stochastic noise on hydrodynamic variables. In the full-fledged causal Israel-Stewart hydrodynamics [15, 31–33], stochastic fluctuations are sourced as white noises in the relaxation-type of equation of motion for shear stress tensor to generate the finite time correlations. Such treatment is consistent with the Cattaneo noise generation in our case. One can go further and consider the Gurtin-Pipkin [47] noise which introduces a noise correlation in the spatial direction in addition to the correlation in time. Gurtin-Pipkin noise is in some sense more ‘physical’ and has been dealt with analytically in Ref. [43]. Exploration with a noise profile with a spatial correlation is left to future work.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Derek Teaney and Mayank Singh for their helpful discussions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under DOE Contract Nos. DE-FG02-87ER40328 and DE-SC0021969. C.S. acknowledges a DOE Office of Science Early Career Award. We acknowledge the Minnesota Supercomputing Institute (MSI) at the University of Minnesota for providing resources that contributed to the research results reported within this paper.

Appendix A: Calculations in 1+1 D

It is instructive to understand noise propagation in 1+1 D dynamics. In this simplified case, start with the white noise diffusion equation given by

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial \tau} - \frac{D_Q}{\tau^2} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial \xi^2} + s \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} = 0. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The Fourier transform of X is

$$X(\xi, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{ik\xi} \tilde{X}(k, \tau), \quad (\text{A2})$$

and similarly for f . Then the SDE for white noise is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \tilde{X} + \frac{D_Q k^2}{\tau^2} \tilde{X} = -iks \tilde{f}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Given a SDE of the form of Eq. (A3) one can write

$$\tau \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k, \tau) = - \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau' s(\tau') \tilde{G}(k; \tau, \tau') \tilde{f}(k, \tau'). \quad (\text{A4})$$

Then the 2-point correlation function can be written as

$$\langle \tau_1 \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k_1, \tau_1) \tau_2 \delta \tilde{n}_Q(k_2, \tau_2) \rangle = \int d\tau'_1 s(\tau'_1) \int d\tau'_2 s(\tau'_2) \times \tilde{G}(k_1; \tau_1, \tau'_1) \tilde{G}(k_2; \tau_2, \tau'_2) \langle \tilde{f}(k_1, \tau'_1) \tilde{f}(k_2, \tau'_2) \rangle. \quad (\text{A5})$$

The Green’s function for the homogeneous part of Eq. (A3) is

$$\tilde{G}(k, \tau, \tau') = ike^{D_Q k^2 (\frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau'})}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

This Green’s function is for acausal white noise. After evaluating all the integrals one gets

$$N(\tau_f, k) = \frac{\chi_Q T_f}{A \tau_f} \left[1 - e^{-2D_Q k^2 (\frac{1}{\tau_i} - \frac{1}{\tau_f})} \right]. \quad (\text{A7})$$

The large k limit is $\chi_Q T_f / A \tau_f$. We compare this to the results of the SDE in Fig. 11. One can see from the




FIG. 11. (Color online) Comparison of the 2-point charge correlation function in momentum space from the hydro-kinetics and stochastic hydrodynamics with white noise in the 1+1D case and with $A = 1 \text{ fm}^2$.

figure that the agreement is excellent. This is expected because white noise only contains 2-point functions and the hydro-kinetic equation just tracks the effect of it.

Balancing the rate of dissipation to the rate of Bjorken expansion leads to

$$D_Q k_*^2 = \tau_f. \quad (\text{A8})$$

For the parameter values used in the body of the paper, $k_* \approx 6.26$. We can see from the plot that beyond k_* the k -modes asymptote to the value of $\chi_Q T / A \tau$.

The charge conservation equation to be solved for Cattaneo noise is [23]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tau_Q \tau}{D_Q \chi_Q T} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau^2} + \left[\frac{\tau}{D_Q \chi_Q T} + \tau_Q \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\frac{\tau}{D_Q \chi_Q T} \right) \right] \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial \tau} \\ + \frac{k^2}{\tau \chi_Q T} \tilde{X} = -i \frac{k \tau_Q \tau s}{D_Q \chi_Q T} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tau} \\ - ik \left[\frac{\tau s}{D_Q \chi_Q T} + \tau_Q \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\frac{\tau s}{D_Q \chi_Q T} \right) \right] \tilde{f}. \quad (\text{A9}) \end{aligned}$$

In Ref. [23] it was assumed that τ_Q and D_Q were constant while χ_Q was proportional to T^2 and s was proportional to T^3 . That allowed for the Green’s function to be calculated and expressed in terms of special functions. One can use Eq. (A5) to compute the 2-point correlator in k -space. Alternatively, one can simulate the SDE




FIG. 12. (Color online) The 2-point charge correlation function in momentum space with several values of τ_Q in the (1+1)D system. The plot is at final time $\tau_f = 6.3$ fm/c with $A = 1$ fm².




FIG. 13. (Color online) Effects of transverse fluctuations in the presence of causal Catteneo noise on the 2-point charge correlation functions. (a) is for $\tau_Q = 0.1$ fm/c and (b) is for $\tau_Q = 0.4$ fm/c. Both sets are computed with 10^5 events.

Eq. (A9) numerically and obtain the 2-point correlator that way. The procedure for simulating such stochastic differential equations was given in Ref. [26]. A brief summary of the numerical stochastic machinery is provided in Appendix B.

A plot of N for Catteneo noise for various values of τ_Q is shown in Fig. 12. Additionally, we see that in the presence of transverse fluctuations, even though the 2-point correlator for the small k values differs, the large k values for the 2-point correlation for charge fluctuation are the same with or without transverse diffusion. This is illustrated in Fig. 13.

Appendix B: Stochastic calculus on a discrete lattice

The 2-point correlation of a function is given by

$$\langle f(x)f(x') \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\text{all random events}} f(x)f(x')}{\text{number of random events}}. \quad (\text{B1})$$

In the continuum limit a white noise random function is defined as

$$\langle f(x)f(x') \rangle = M(x)\delta(x-x') \text{ and } \langle f(x) \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{B2})$$

where $M(x)$ is a normalization factor and all higher-order cumulants are required to vanish. The event-by-event distribution of f 's fluctuations is therefore a normal distribution with finite variance and zero mean. One can infer that, after discretization, we will have

$$\langle f(x_i)f(x_{i'}) \rangle = \frac{\delta_{ii'}}{\Delta x}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

The normalization factor in the above equation has been set to unity. The $\delta_{ii'}/\Delta x$ becomes a Dirac delta function in the continuum limit $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, we sample the white noise function f from a normal distribution of mean 0 and standard deviation $1/\sqrt{\Delta x}$. We use a random number generator for a large number of instances (e.g. 10^7) to minimize statistical noise. Subsequently, one finds the following relations for correlation functions on a discrete lattice

$$\langle f(x_i)f'(x_{i'}) \rangle = \frac{\delta_{i+1,i'} - \delta_{i,i'}}{\Delta x^2} \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\langle f'(x_i)f'(x_{i'}) \rangle = -\frac{\delta_{i,i'+1} + \delta_{i,i'-1} - 2\delta_{i,i'}}{\Delta x^3} \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\left\langle \int_{x_i}^{x_1} f(x')dx' \int_{x_i}^{x_2} f(x')dx' \right\rangle = \min(x_1, x_2) - x_i \quad (\text{B6})$$

[1] Ulrich Heinz and Raimond Snellings, ‘‘Collective flow and viscosity in relativistic heavy-ion collisions,’’ *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63**, 123–151 (2013), arXiv:1301.2826 [nucl-th].

[2] Charles Gale, Sangyong Jeon, and Bjoern Schenke, ‘‘Hydrodynamic Modeling of Heavy-Ion Collisions,’’ *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1340011 (2013), arXiv:1301.5893 [nucl-th].

- [3] Chun Shen and Li Yan, “Recent development of hydrodynamic modeling in heavy-ion collisions,” *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* **31**, 122 (2020), arXiv:2010.12377 [nucl-th].
- [4] Scott Pratt, Evan Sangaline, Paul Sorensen, and Hui Wang, “Constraining the Eq. of State of Super-Hadronic Matter from Heavy-Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 202301 (2015), arXiv:1501.04042 [nucl-th].
- [5] Jonah E. Bernhard, J. Scott Moreland, Steffen A. Bass, Jia Liu, and Ulrich Heinz, “Applying Bayesian parameter estimation to relativistic heavy-ion collisions: simultaneous characterization of the initial state and quark-gluon plasma medium,” *Phys. Rev. C* **94**, 024907 (2016), arXiv:1605.03954 [nucl-th].
- [6] Jonah E. Bernhard, J. Scott Moreland, and Steffen A. Bass, “Bayesian estimation of the specific shear and bulk viscosity of quark-gluon plasma,” *Nature Phys.* **15**, 1113–1117 (2019).
- [7] D. Everett *et al.* (JETSCAPE), “Phenomenological constraints on the transport properties of QCD matter with data-driven model averaging,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 242301 (2021), arXiv:2010.03928 [hep-ph].
- [8] D. Everett *et al.* (JETSCAPE), “Multisystem Bayesian constraints on the transport coefficients of QCD matter,” *Phys. Rev. C* **103**, 054904 (2021), arXiv:2011.01430 [hep-ph].
- [9] Govert Nijs, Wilke van der Schee, Umut Gürsoy, and Raimond Snellings, “Bayesian analysis of heavy ion collisions with the heavy ion computational framework Trajectum,” *Phys. Rev. C* **103**, 054909 (2021), arXiv:2010.15134 [nucl-th].
- [10] Govert Nijs, Wilke van der Schee, Umut Gürsoy, and Raimond Snellings, “Transverse Momentum Differential Global Analysis of Heavy-Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 202301 (2021), arXiv:2010.15130 [nucl-th].
- [11] Huichao Song, Steffen A. Bass, Ulrich Heinz, Tetsufumi Hirano, and Chun Shen, “200 A GeV Au+Au collisions serve a nearly perfect quark-gluon liquid,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 192301 (2011), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 109, 139904 (2012)], arXiv:1011.2783 [nucl-th].
- [12] Chun Shen, Zhi Qiu, Huichao Song, Jonah Bernhard, Steffen Bass, and Ulrich Heinz, “The iEBE-VISHNU code package for relativistic heavy-ion collisions,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **199**, 61–85 (2016), arXiv:1409.8164 [nucl-th].
- [13] J. H. Putschke *et al.*, “The JETSCAPE framework,” (2019), arXiv:1903.07706 [nucl-th].
- [14] Bjoern Schenke, Chun Shen, and Prithwish Tribedy, “Running the gamut of high energy nuclear collisions,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 044905 (2020), arXiv:2005.14682 [nucl-th].
- [15] C. Young, J. I. Kapusta, C. Gale, S. Jeon, and B. Schenke, “Thermally Fluctuating Second-Order Viscous Hydrodynamics and Heavy-Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. C* **91**, 044901 (2015), arXiv:1407.1077 [nucl-th].
- [16] J. I. Kapusta, B. Muller, and M. Stephanov, “Relativistic Theory of Hydrodynamic Fluctuations with Applications to Heavy Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. C* **85**, 054906 (2012), arXiv:1112.6405 [nucl-th].
- [17] Scott Pratt and Clint Young, “Relating Measurable Correlations in Heavy Ion Collisions to Bulk Properties of Equilibrated QCD Matter,” *Phys. Rev. C* **95**, 054901 (2017), arXiv:1612.08983 [nucl-th].
- [18] Scott Pratt and Christopher Plumberg, “Evolving Charge Correlations in a Hybrid Model with both Hydrodynamics and Hadronic Boltzmann Descriptions,” *Phys. Rev. C* **99**, 044916 (2019), arXiv:1812.05649 [nucl-th].
- [19] Christopher Plumberg and Joseph I. Kapusta, “Hydrodynamic fluctuations near a critical endpoint and Hanbury-Brown-Twiss interferometry,” *Phys. Rev. C* **95**, 044910 (2017), arXiv:1702.01368 [nucl-th].
- [20] Adam Bzdak, Shinichi Esumi, Volker Koch, Jinfeng Liao, Mikhail Stephanov, and Nu Xu, “Mapping the Phases of Quantum Chromodynamics with Beam Energy Scan,” *Phys. Rept.* **853**, 1–87 (2020), arXiv:1906.00936 [nucl-th].
- [21] Marcus Bluhm *et al.*, “Dynamics of critical fluctuations: Theory – phenomenology – heavy-ion collisions,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **1003**, 122016 (2020), arXiv:2001.08831 [nucl-th].
- [22] Xin An *et al.*, “The BEST framework for the search for the QCD critical point and the chiral magnetic effect,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **1017**, 122343 (2022), arXiv:2108.13867 [nucl-th].
- [23] Joseph I. Kapusta and Christopher Plumberg, “Causal Electric Charge Diffusion and Balance Functions in Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 014906 (2018), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.C* 102, 019901 (2020)], arXiv:1710.03329 [nucl-th].
- [24] Scott Pratt, Jane Kim, and Christopher Plumberg, “Evolution of Charge Fluctuations and Correlations in the Hydrodynamic Stage of Heavy Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, 014904 (2018), arXiv:1712.09298 [nucl-th].
- [25] Scott Pratt and Christopher Plumberg, “Determining the Diffusivity for Light Quarks from Experiment,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 044909 (2020), arXiv:1904.11459 [nucl-th].
- [26] Aritra De, Christopher Plumberg, and Joseph I. Kapusta, “Calculating Fluctuations and Self-Correlations Numerically for Causal Charge Diffusion in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 024905 (2020), arXiv:2003.04878 [nucl-th].
- [27] Marlene Nahrgang and Marcus Bluhm, “Modeling the diffusive dynamics of critical fluctuations near the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 094017 (2020), arXiv:2007.10371 [nucl-th].
- [28] Krishna Rajagopal, Gregory Ridgway, Ryan Weller, and Yi Yin, “Understanding the out-of-equilibrium dynamics near a critical point in the QCD phase diagram,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 094025 (2020), arXiv:1908.08539 [hep-ph].
- [29] Lipei Du, Ulrich Heinz, Krishna Rajagopal, and Yi Yin, “Fluctuation dynamics near the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 054911 (2020), arXiv:2004.02719 [nucl-th].
- [30] Lipei Du, Xin An, and Ulrich Heinz, “Baryon transport and the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. C* **104**, 064904 (2021), arXiv:2107.02302 [hep-ph].
- [31] Koichi Murase, *Causal hydrodynamic fluctuations and their effects on high-energy nuclear collisions*, Ph.D. thesis, Tokyo U. (2015).
- [32] Mayank Singh, Chun Shen, Scott McDonald, Sangyong Jeon, and Charles Gale, “Hydrodynamic Fluctuations in Relativistic Heavy-Ion Collisions,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **982**, 319–322 (2019), arXiv:1807.05451 [nucl-th].
- [33] Azumi Sakai, Koichi Murase, and Tetsufumi Hirano, “Rapidity decorrelation of anisotropic flow caused by hydrodynamic fluctuations,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 064903 (2020), arXiv:2003.13496 [nucl-th].
- [34] Azumi Sakai, Koichi Murase, and Tetsufumi Hirano, “Effects of hydrodynamic and initial longitudinal fluctua-

- tions on rapidity decorrelation of collective flow,” (2021), [arXiv:2111.08963 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [35] Yukinao Akamatsu, Aleksas Mazeliauskas, and Derek Teaney, “A kinetic regime of hydrodynamic fluctuations and long time tails for a Bjorken expansion,” *Phys. Rev. C* **95**, 014909 (2017), [arXiv:1606.07742 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [36] M. Stephanov and Y. Yin, “Hydrodynamics with parametric slowing down and fluctuations near the critical point,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 036006 (2018), [arXiv:1712.10305 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [37] Yukinao Akamatsu, Derek Teaney, Fanglida Yan, and Yi Yin, “Transits of the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. C* **100**, 044901 (2019), [arXiv:1811.05081 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [38] Xin An, Gokce Basar, Mikhail Stephanov, and Ho-Ung Yee, “Relativistic Hydrodynamic Fluctuations,” *Phys. Rev. C* **100**, 024910 (2019), [arXiv:1902.09517 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] M. Martinez, T. Schäfer, and V. Skokov, “Critical behavior of the bulk viscosity in QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 074017 (2019), [arXiv:1906.11306 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [40] Xin An, Gökçe Başar, Mikhail Stephanov, and Ho-Ung Yee, “Fluctuation dynamics in a relativistic fluid with a critical point,” *Phys. Rev. C* **102**, 034901 (2020), [arXiv:1912.13456 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] Mauricio Martinez and Thomas Schäfer, “Hydrodynamic tails and a fluctuation bound on the bulk viscosity,” *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 063607 (2017), [arXiv:1708.01548 \[cond-mat.quant-gas\]](#).
- [42] J. D. Bjorken, “Highly Relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions: The Central Rapidity Region,” *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 140–151 (1983).
- [43] J. I. Kapusta and C. Young, “Causal Baryon Diffusion and Colored Noise,” *Phys. Rev. C* **90**, 044902 (2014), [arXiv:1404.4894 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [44] J. I. Kapusta and C. Young, “Causal Baryon Diffusion and Colored Noise for Heavy Ion Collisions,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **931**, 1051–1055 (2014), [arXiv:1409.1957 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [45] C. Cattaneo, J.K. de Fériet, and Académie des sciences (France), *Sur une forme de l'équation de la chaleur éliminant le paradoxe d'une propagation instantanée*, Comptes rendus hebdomadaires des séances de l'Académie des sciences (Gauthier-Villars, 1958).
- [46] Xin An, Gökçe Başar, Mikhail Stephanov, and Ho-Ung Yee, “Evolution of Non-Gaussian Hydrodynamic Fluctuations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 072301 (2021), [arXiv:2009.10742 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] M.E. Gurtin and A.C. Pipkin, “A general theory of heat conduction with finite wave speeds.” *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **31**, 113–126 (1968).