

Stability of Yang Mills Vacuum State

George Savvidy ¹

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, NCSR Demokritos, GR-15310 Athens, Greece

A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory, Yerevan, 0036, Armenia

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig, D-04109 Leipzig, Germany

Abstract

We examine the phenomena of the chromomagnetic gluon condensation in Yang-Mills theory and the problem of stability of the vacuum state. The stability of the vacuum state is analysed in the nonlinear regime. It is shown that an apparent instability of the Yang Mills vacuum is a result of quadratic approximation. In the case of (anti)self-dual fields the interaction of chromomagnetic modes of the quantised field in the direction of zero modes is calculated by using a new method of infrared regularisation as well as by the integration over the collective variables of self-interacting zero modes. The deformation of (anti)self-dual fields is also considered in the nonlinear regime by the integration, in this case, over the collective variables of self-interacting unstable modes. All these vacuum field configurations are stable and indicate that the vacuum is stable and is a superposition of many states.

¹savvidy(AT)inp.demokritos.gr

1 *Introduction*

In the earlier investigations of the chromomagnetic gluon condensation in the Yang-Mills theory [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] it was realised that consideration of the Yang-Mills vacuum polarisation in the quadratic approximation leads to an apparent instability of the vacuum state due to the appearance of unstable modes in particular directions of the fluctuating quantum fields [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. It was also pointed out that a nonlinear interaction of the gauge fields would lead to the stabilisation of the Yang-Mills vacuum state through the Higgs-like mechanism [7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Our aim is to demonstrate that nonlinear interaction of quantised Yang-Mills field in the direction the unstable and zero modes leads to stabilisation of an apparent instability of the Yang-Mills vacuum. The consideration of the nonlinear interactions of chromomagnetic modes correspond to the summation of high loop diagrams [15]. By investigating different vacuum field configurations in the nonlinear regime we will demonstrate that covariantly-constant vector field configurations are stable. In fact, this indicates that the Yang-Mills vacuum is a superposition of many states.

First we will consider the self-dual and anti-self-dual field configurations that have no unstable modes but instead have infinitely many zero modes, so called chromons, as has been advocated by Leutwyller [11, 12]. In order to handle the contribution of infinite number of zero modes we suggested a regularisation that allows to sum over the zero modes and get renormalised effective Lagrangian that has contribution of all modes, stable modes and zero modes. In the second approach we are taking into account a nonlinear self-interaction of zero modes that provides a necessary convergence of the path integral and leads to the identical result for the effective Lagrangian that does not contain an imaginary part [1].

We also review the calculation that was performed by Flory [14] for the field configurations that are deformations of the (anti)self-dual fields. In that case there is no Leutwyller zero modes, but instead there appears a plethora of unstable modes. Again, taking into account nonlinear self-interaction of the quantised gauge field one can demonstrate that all these vacuum field configurations are stable. These considerations reflect a well known fact that magnetic field does not produce a work and cannot create particle pairs from the vacuum [1], opposite to what take place in case of electric field [16, 17, 18, 19].

The rest of the article is devoted to the discussion of the chromomagnetic condensation and to the large N behaviour of the effective Lagrangian in the case of $SU(N)$ group. The extended number of Appendixes are devoted to the technical details and the renormalisation group.

2 Euclidean Path Integral

The quantum-mechanical amplitudes can be formulated as a sum over physical space-time trajectories [20, 21], as well as a sum over unphysical trajectories in Euclidean space [11, 12, 14, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. Here we will analyse the amplitudes and the effective action in Yang-Mills theory by using the Euclidean functional integral representation of the physical amplitudes [26].

For a single particle the Euclidean functional integral determines the matrix elements of the operator $\exp(-HT)$ ([26], see Appendix D):

$$\langle \vec{x}' | e^{-HT} | \vec{x} \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n T} \psi_n(\vec{x}') \psi_n^*(\vec{x}) = N \int_{\vec{x}}^{\vec{x}'} \mathcal{D}\vec{x}(\tau) e^{-S_E[x(\tau)]}, \quad (2.1)$$

where the left-hand side of (2.1) is an object defined in terms of physical quantities, while the right-hand side in (2.1) is defined as an object in unphysical Euclidean space [26]. A similar approach can be applied to quantum gauge field theory when the physical states are described by gauge-invariant wave functionals $\psi_n[\vec{A}] = \langle \vec{A} | E_n \rangle$ invariant with respect to infinitesimal gauge transformations of the three-dimensional vector gauge field \vec{A} [11, 12]

$$\langle \vec{A}' | e^{-H_{YM}T} | \vec{A} \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n T} \psi_n[\vec{A}'] \psi_n^*[\vec{A}] = N \int_{\vec{A}}^{\vec{A}'} \mathcal{D}\vec{A}(\tau) e^{-S_E[A(\tau)]}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $H_{YM} \psi_n[\vec{A}] = E_n \psi[\vec{A}]$. The left-hand side involves only quantities defined in physical space-time with Yang-Mills Hamiltonian given by

$$H_{YM} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \left[(\vec{E}_i^a)^2 + (\vec{H}_i^a)^2 \right], \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$E_i^a = -i \frac{\delta}{\delta A_i^a} \psi(\vec{A}), \quad H_i^a = \epsilon_{ijk} \left\{ \partial_j A_k^a + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} A_j^b A_k^c \right\} \psi(\vec{A}). \quad (2.4)$$

The right-hand side of (2.2) involves an integral over unphysical, Euclidean field configurations $A_i^a(\vec{x}, \tau)$ with the proper boundary values

$$A_i^a(\vec{x}, 0) = A_i^a(\vec{x}), \quad A_i^a(\vec{x}, T) = A_i^a(\vec{x}). \quad (2.5)$$

The Euclidean action is given by

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$G_{\mu\nu}^a(A) = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + g f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c.$$

The Euclidean field configurations cannot be interpreted directly in physical space. In particular, a real electric field corresponds to an imaginary electric field in physical space. Nevertheless, the integral over all Euclidean field configurations interpolating between $A_i^a(\vec{x})$ and $A_i'^a(\vec{x})$ does represent a physical quantity [11, 12, 14, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26].

The functional integral representation requires to sum over all Euclidean field configurations $A_\mu^a(\vec{x}, \tau)$ that interpolate between the fixed boundary data $A_i^a(\vec{x})$ and $A_i'^a(\vec{x})$. In the following sections we analyse the field configurations in the vicinity of a given interpolating field $B_\mu^a(x)$ that starts at $A_i^a(\vec{x})$ and ends at $A_i'^a(\vec{x})$:

$$A_\mu^a(x) = B_\mu^a(x) + a_\mu^a(x), \quad (2.7)$$

and expand the action (2.6) in powers of quantum fluctuation $a_\mu^a(x)$:

$$G_{\mu\nu}^a(A) = G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) + \nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b - \nabla_\nu^{ab} a_\mu^b + g f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c, \quad (2.8)$$

where ∇_μ^{ab} is a covariant derivative with respect to the interpolating field $B_\mu^a(x)$:

$$\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b = \partial_\mu a_\nu^b + g f^{abc} B_\mu^c a_\nu^b, \quad (2.9)$$

and $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu]^{ab} = g f^{acb} G_{\mu\nu}^c(B)$. The Euclidean action (2.6) will take the following form:

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) + \int d^4x G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) \nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^a + \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \left((\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b - \nabla_\nu^{ab} a_\mu^b + g f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2 + 2g G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c \right). \quad (2.10)$$

The first term in S_E doesn't depend on quantum field $a_\mu^a(x)$ and can be factorised in the functional integral (2.2). The interpolating field B_μ^a is supposed to satisfy the Euclidean equation of motion:

$$\nabla_\mu G_{\mu\nu}(B) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

so that the second term in (2.10) that is linear in $a_\mu^a(x)$ vanishes and the effective action is a gauge invariant functional [3]. The quadratic part of the action has the following form:

$$\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \left((\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b - \nabla_\nu^{ab} a_\mu^b)^2 + 2g G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c \right). \quad (2.12)$$

The nonlinear terms in the Euclidean Lagrangian (2.10) are cubic and quartic in $a_\mu^a(x)$:

$$V_E = -g f^{abc} a_\nu^b a_\mu^c \nabla_\mu^{ad} a_\nu^d + \frac{g^2}{4} (f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2. \quad (2.13)$$

In order for the one-loop approximation to make sense, the action S_E , when it is taken in a quadratic approximation (2.12), must be positive for all directions of $a_\mu^a(x)$, to be integrated over. If this is not the case, the background field $B_\mu^a(x)$, is unstable against quadratic quantum fluctuations.

Therefore the stability of the physical states is directly connected with the stability of Euclidean field configurations that interpolate between two three-dimensional boundary values $A_i^a(\vec{x})$ and $A_i'^a(\vec{x})$. The interpolating field may or may not be stable. An interpolating field is stable if the action associated with it is smaller than the action of all neighbouring configurations with the same boundary values. If it is stable, then the functional integral over the Euclidean configurations in the vicinity of this field can be dealt with in the quadratic approximation and it describes the physics of the ground state [11, 12]. If unstable, then nonlinear interaction of fluctuating fields should be taken into consideration [7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36].

Let us specify the three-dimensional field configurations $A_i^a(\vec{x})$ and $A_i'^a(\vec{x})$ at Euclidean time $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = T$, respectively, by choosing $A_i^a(\vec{x}) = A_i'^a(\vec{x})$. In order for local fluctuations not to lower the action the interpolating field first of all must obey the Euclidean Euler-Lagrange equation (2.11). We will consider boundary values $A_i^a(\vec{x})$ and $A_i'^a(\vec{x})$ that are *covariantly-constant* fields [38, 39, 3, 4]. In that case a suitable four-dimensional gauge field $B_\mu^a(x)$ that provides a possible interpolation between these fields has the following form:

$$B_\mu^a(x) = -\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}x_\nu\delta_3^a. \quad (2.14)$$

Euclidean transformations rotate the vectors $E_i H_i$ by two independent rotations. We may therefore transform these vectors into the direction of the positive z-axis:

$$F_{12} = H, \quad F_{03} = E. \quad (2.15)$$

In order to analyse the stability of the interpolating field we should consider the corresponding eigenvalue problem that appears in the quadratic approximation of the action (2.10) over the quantum fluctuations $a_\mu^a(x)$:

$$-\nabla_\mu^{ab}(\nabla_\mu^{bc}a_\nu^c - \nabla_\nu^{bc}a_\mu^c) + gf^{abc}G_{\mu\nu}^b a_\mu^c = \lambda a_\nu^a. \quad (2.16)$$

It is convenient to decompose the fluctuations into the neutral and charged components²:

$$a_\mu^a = (a_\mu^3, a_\mu, a_\mu^-), \quad a_\mu = a_\mu^1 + ia_\mu^2, \quad a_\mu^- = a_\mu^1 - ia_\mu^2. \quad (2.17)$$

²We will consider the $SU(2)$ gauge group in the rest of the article.

For the neutral and charged components we will get:

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_\mu(\partial_\mu a_\nu^3 - \partial_\nu a_\mu^3) &= \lambda a_\nu^3, \\ -\nabla_\mu(\nabla_\mu a_\nu - \nabla_\nu a_\mu) + igF_{\mu\nu}a_\mu &= \lambda a_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{1}{2}igF_{\mu\nu}x_\nu$. Taking into account that

$$[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] = igF_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.19)$$

and imposing the background gauge condition on quantum field [3, 4, 40]

$$\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\mu^b = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

we will get for the charged components the following equation:

$$-\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu a_\nu + 2igF_{\mu\nu}a_\mu = \lambda a_\nu. \quad (2.21)$$

Taking the interpolating field (2.14) in the z-direction we will get

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= -\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu = -(\partial_\mu - \frac{1}{2}igF_{\mu\nu}x_\nu)(\partial_\mu - \frac{1}{2}igF_{\mu\rho}x_\rho) = \\ &= -\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 + igH(x_2\partial_1 - x_1\partial_2) + \frac{g^2}{4}H^2(x_2^2 + x_1^2) \\ &\quad -\partial_3^2 - \partial_0^2 + igE(x_3\partial_0 - x_0\partial_3) + \frac{g^2}{4}E^2(x_3^2 + x_0^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

The H_0 is a sum of isomorphic oscillators in the (1, 2) and (3, 0) planes. Introducing the operators [11, 12, 14]

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= \partial_i + \frac{g}{2}Hx_i, & c_i^+ &= -\partial_i + \frac{g}{2}Hx_i, & i &= 1, 2 \\ d_j &= \partial_j + \frac{g}{2}Ex_j, & d_j^+ &= -\partial_j + \frac{g}{2}Ex_j, & j &= 3, 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

one can find that (see Appendix A)

$$H_0 = (c_1^+ + ic_2^+)(c_1 - ic_2) + (d_3^+ + id_0^+)(d_3 - id_0) + gH + gE. \quad (2.24)$$

The eigenstates of the operator H_0 therefore are:

$$\psi_{nm} = (c_1^+ + ic_2^+)^n (d_3^+ + id_0^+)^m \psi_{00} = (gH)^n (x_1 + ix_2)^n (gH)^m (x_3 + ix_0)^m \psi_{00}, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\psi_{00} = e^{-\frac{gH}{4}(x_1^2+x_2^2)} e^{-\frac{gE}{4}(x_3^2+x_0^2)} \quad (2.26)$$

and the corresponding eigenvalues $H_0\psi_{nm} = \lambda_0\psi_{nm}$ are:

$$\lambda_0 = (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE. \quad (2.27)$$

All eigenstates have infinite degeneracy because the states

$$\psi_{nm}(n_0, m_0) = (c_1^+ - ic_2^+)^{n_0} (d_3^+ - id_0^+)^{m_0} \psi_{nm} \quad (2.28)$$

have identical eigenvalues (2.27) and are indexed by two integers $n_0, m_0 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (see Appendix A for details). Now we can turn to the investigation of the eigenstates of the operator for the charged field (2.17)

$$H_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\lambda\nabla_\lambda - 2igF_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.29)$$

that appears in the equation (2.21). They are:

$$b = a_1 + ia_2, \quad b^- = a_1 - ia_2, \quad h = a_3 + ia_0, \quad h^- = a_3 - ia_0. \quad (2.30)$$

The eigenvalues corresponding to the fields b, b^- and h, h^- of the operator $H_{\mu\nu}$ take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} b^- : \quad \lambda_1 &= (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE + 2gH \\ b : \quad \lambda_2 &= (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE - 2gH \\ h^- : \quad \lambda_3 &= (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE + 2gE \\ h : \quad \lambda_4 &= (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE - 2gE, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For the conjugate field a_μ^- one can find the identical eigenvalues λ_i , $i = 5, \dots, 8$. As one can see, the negative eigenvalues appear in λ_2, λ_4 if $E \neq H$. It follows that only in the case of (anti)self-dual interpolating field

$$E = H \quad (2.32)$$

there are no negative eigenvalues in the spectrum [11, 12]. The boundary data (2.5) do allow a self-dual interpolating field (2.14). It also follows that when $n = m = 0$ there is an infinite number of zero eigenvalues $\lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0$ that appear due to the high symmetry of the self-dual configuration $E = H$. These are Leutwyler zero modes, so called chromons. The corresponding eigenfunctions are linear combinations of (2.28) ($b^- = h^- = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(\xi) = ia_2(\xi) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} \xi_{n_0 m_0} (c_1^+ - ic_2^+)^{n_0} (d_3^+ - id_0^+)^{m_0} \psi_{00} \\ a_3(\eta) = ia_0(\eta) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} \eta_{n_0 m_0} (c_1^+ - ic_2^+)^{n_0} (d_3^+ - id_0^+)^{m_0} \psi_{00}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where the zero-mode amplitudes (collective variables) $\xi_{n_0 m_0}$ and $\eta_{n_0 m_0}$ are arbitrary complex numbers³. Our aim is to calculate exactly the contribution of these zero modes.

3 Effective Lagrangian

The Euclidean function integral (2.2) for the nonzero eigenvalues in the quadratic approximation is defined through the determinants of the operators $H_{\mu\nu}$ and H_0 [3, 4]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_E^{nonzero\ modes} &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln \text{Det}H + \ln \text{Det}H_0 = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}eg \sum_{n,m,i} \ln \lambda_i(n,m) + \mathcal{D}eg \sum_{n,m} \ln \lambda_0(n,m) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}eg \int \frac{ds}{s} e^{-\sum_{n,m,i} \lambda_i(n,m)s} - \mathcal{D}eg \int \frac{ds}{s} e^{-\sum_{n,m} \lambda_0(n,m)s},\end{aligned}\quad (3.34)$$

where $\mathcal{D}eg = \left(\frac{gH}{2\pi}\right)^2$ is the degeneracy of the eigenstates (see Appendix B (11.109)). After substituting the eigenvalues (2.31) we will get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_E^{nonzero\ modes} &= \frac{g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \left(e^{-2gH(n+m+2)s} + e^{-2gH(n+m)s} - e^{-2gH(n+m+1)s} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \frac{g^2 H^2}{\sinh^2 gHs}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.35)$$

By using the renormalisation condition [1, 2]

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_E}{\partial \mathcal{F}} \right|_{2g^2 \mathcal{F} = \mu^4} = -1, \quad (3.36)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_E = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a = H^2$ for the contribution of the nonzero modes we will get:

$$\mathcal{L}_E^{nonzero\ modes} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \left[\frac{g^2 H^2}{\sinh^2 gHs} - \frac{g^2 H^2}{\sinh^2 \mu^2 s} + \frac{g^2 H^2 \mu^2 s \cosh \mu^2 s}{\sinh^3 \mu^2 s} \right] = \frac{g^2 H^2}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 1 \right]. \quad (3.37)$$

The zero eigenvalues (2.31) and the corresponding eigenfunctions (2.33) that appear when $n = m = 0$ should be considered separately. In quadratic approximation the zero-mode fluctuations are not suppressed by the exponential factor e^{-S_E} and allow these modes to have large amplitudes. The fluctuating field $a_\mu^a(x)$ can differ considerably from the self-dual field B_μ in the neighbourhood of which we are interested to integrate. It merely means that the integration in these directions

³The zero modes modify the interpolating field $B_\mu \rightarrow B_\mu + \bar{B}_\mu(\xi, \eta)$ in such a fashion that it remains self-dual even for arbitrary large zero-mode amplitudes [11, 12]. If in addition the interpolating field $B_\mu + \bar{B}_\mu(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies the equation of motion (2.11), as in the case of Minkowski solution [37], then the variation of the equation (2.11) over the deformation parameters (ξ, η) will allow to express the derivatives $\delta_{\xi, \eta} \bar{B}_\mu(\xi, \eta)$ over (ξ, η) in terms of the zero mode eigenfunctions (2.33) [40]: $\delta_{\xi, \eta} \bar{B}_\nu(\xi, \eta) = a_\nu(\xi, \eta)$.

is not Gaussian [40]. In order to handle these zero modes contribution one should replace the measure $\mathcal{D}\vec{A}(\tau)$ in the Euclidean functional integral (2.2) in terms of the collective variables in the zero-mode directions (2.33):

$$\prod_{a,\mu}^{zero\ modes} \mathcal{D}a_\mu^a = J \prod_{n_0,m_0=0}^{Deg} d\xi'_{n_0m_0} d\xi''_{n_0m_0} d\eta'_{n_0m_0} d\eta''_{n_0m_0}, \quad (3.38)$$

where $\xi_{n_0m_0} = \xi'_{n_0m_0} + i\xi''_{n_0m_0}$, $\eta_{n_0m_0} = \eta'_{n_0m_0} + i\eta''_{n_0m_0}$ and insert the corresponding Jacobian factor J^4 into the functional integral [40, 41]. As far as the quadratic part of the action S_E does not suppress the zero mode fluctuations we have to consider the contribution of zero mode fluctuations by taking into account the cubic and quartic terms in the Euclidean action S_E (2.13). We will develop this approach in the forthcoming section.

At the same time we found it useful to develop first an alternative approach that allows to calculate the zero mode contribution to the functional integral by developing an appropriate infrared regularisation. As we will see at the end of this investigation, both methods, the infrared regularisation and integration over the nonlinear self-interaction of zero modes, lead to the identical result (4.44). In the next section we will develop the method of infrared regularisation of zero modes.

4 Infrared Regularisation of Zero Modes

Instead of transforming the integration over the zero modes into the integral over the collective variables (ξ, η) , in this section we are going to suggest an appropriate infrared regularisation. For that we will infinitesimally elevate the zero modes by adding the terms αgH and αgE to the zero eigenvalues spectrum (2.31):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE - 2gH + \alpha gH \\ \lambda_4 &= (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE - 2gE + \alpha gE, \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

where α is a dimensionless infinitesimal parameter. *As we will see, the result does not depend on α .* For the self-dual field $E = H$ the contribution of these modes $\lambda_{2,4} = (2n + 2m + \alpha)gH$ at $n = m = 0$ to the effective action will be

$$2 \frac{g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} e^{-\alpha g H s}. \quad (4.40)$$

⁴The Jacobian J here is a gauge field strength independent function.

Thus the contribution of all modes (3.35) and (4.40) to the effective Lagrangian will take the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_E^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \left[\frac{g^2 H^2}{4 \sinh^2 g H s} + g^2 H^2 e^{-\alpha g H s} \right]. \quad (4.41)$$

By using the renormalisation condition [1, 2]

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_E}{\partial \mathcal{F}} \Big|_{2g^2 \mathcal{F} = \mu^4} = -1, \quad (4.42)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_E = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = H^2$ for the renormalised effective Lagrangian we will get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_E^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \left[\frac{g^2 H^2}{4 \sinh^2 g H s} - \frac{g^2 H^2}{4 \sinh^2 \mu^2 s} + \frac{g^2 H^2 \mu^2 s \cosh \mu^2 s}{4 \sinh^3 \mu^2 s} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g^2 H^2 \left(e^{-\alpha g H s} - e^{-\alpha \mu^2 s} + \frac{\alpha \mu^2 s}{2} e^{-\alpha \mu^2 s} \right) \right] = \\ &= \frac{g^2 H^2}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 1 \right] - \frac{g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

As one can see from the above result, the Lagrangian does not depend on the infrared regularisation parameter α that was introduced earlier to elevate the zero mode degeneracy. This is in a good agreement with the independence of the effective Lagrangian on the other infrared regularisation parameter μ in (4.42) [1, 2]. Thus the Euclidean effective Lagrangian will take the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_E = -H^2 - \frac{11g^2 H^2}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 1 \right]. \quad (4.44)$$

The Euclidean self-dual configuration interpolating between physical gauge field configurations is stable and the physical covariantly-constant vacuum gauge field configuration (2.5) is stable against quantum fluctuations.

There is a perfect consistency between the result obtained in Euclidean formulation and the one obtained in physical space-time [1, 15]

$$\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{F} - \frac{11g^2 \mathcal{F}}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 \mathcal{F}}{\mu^4} - 1 \right], \quad \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a. \quad (4.45)$$

As it was already mentioned a real electric field \vec{E} corresponds to an imaginary electric field in physical space-time $\vec{E} \rightarrow i\vec{E}$, therefore one can map the gauge invariant operators one into other:

$$\mathcal{F}_E = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{H}^2 + \vec{E}^2)_E \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\vec{H}^2 - \vec{E}^2) = \mathcal{F}. \quad (4.46)$$

For the self-dual configuration $E = H$ we have $\mathcal{F}_E = H^2$, and the Lagrangians (4.45) and (4.44) transform one into other. Because the invariant \mathcal{F}_E is a positive quantity, it follows that in physical space-time the corresponding \mathcal{F} is also positive and corresponds to the chromomagnetic field [1, 15].

A similar result takes place for the anti-self-dual field. This consideration confirms the stability of the chromomagnetic gluon condensation.

5 Nonlinear Self-Interaction of Zero Modes

Here we will turn to the second approach taking into account a nonlinear self-interaction of zero modes by replacing the measure $\mathcal{D}\vec{A}(\tau)$ in the Euclidean functional integral (2.2) by using the collective variables in the zero-mode directions (2.33):

$$\prod_{a,\mu}^{zero\ modes} \mathcal{D}a_\mu^a = J \prod_{n_0, m_0=0}^{Deg} d\xi'_{n_0 m_0} d\xi''_{n_0 m_0} d\eta'_{n_0 m_0} d\eta''_{n_0 m_0}. \quad (5.47)$$

As far as the quadratic part (2.12) of the action S_E vanishes and does not suppress the zero-mode fluctuations, it follows that one should take into account the contribution of the zero-mode fluctuations through the cubic and quartic interactions in the Euclidean action S_E (2.13). The cubic self-interaction term (2.13) vanishes in the zero mode directions (2.33), (12.111):

$$V_E^{(3)} = -g\epsilon^{abc} a_\nu^b a_\mu^c \nabla_\mu^{ad} a_\nu^d = 0. \quad (5.48)$$

Only the quartic self-interaction term is not vanishing in the zero mode directions and has the following form:

$$Z^{zero\ modes} = N \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{4} \int d^4x \left((a_\mu^a a_\mu^a)^2 - (a_\mu^a a_\nu^a)^2 \right) \right] \prod_{a,\mu}^{zero\ modes} \mathcal{D}a_\mu^a, \quad (5.49)$$

where the integration is over all zero modes. Let us first consider the lowest state (2.26) when $n = m = n_0 = m_0 = 0$ in (2.25) and (2.28). For that lowest zero mode state (2.33), (12.111) the quartic term will take the following form (see Appendix B for details):

$$V_E^{(4)} = \frac{g^2}{4} (\epsilon^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2 = \frac{g^2}{2} (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 |\psi_{00}|^4, \quad (5.50)$$

and the corresponding part of the partition function can be represented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{00}^{zero\ modes} &= \mu^4 \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{2} (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 \int d^4x |\psi_{00}|^4 \right] d\xi' d\xi'' d\eta' d\eta'' \\ &= \mu^4 \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{2} \left(\frac{gH}{4\pi} \right)^2 (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 \right] d\xi' d\xi'' d\eta' d\eta'', \end{aligned} \quad (5.51)$$

where we used the expression (12.114). After the rescaling of the collective variables (ξ, η) we will get:

$$Z_{00}^{zero\ modes} = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2 \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{32} (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 \right] d\xi' d\xi'' d\eta' d\eta'' = N \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2. \quad (5.52)$$

For the general zero mode state $\psi_{00}(n_0 m_0)$ (2.28) we will have (see Appendix B (12.117))

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n_0, m_0}^{zero\ modes} &= \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2 \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{32} \frac{\Gamma(n_0 + 1/2)\Gamma(m_0 + 1/2)}{\pi\Gamma(n_0 + 1)\Gamma(m_0 + 1)} (|\xi_{n_0 m_0}|^2 + |\eta_{n_0 m_0}|^2)^2 \right] d^2\xi_{n_0 m_0} d^2\eta_{n_0 m_0} \\ &= N_{n_0, m_0} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

Now we can take into account the degeneracy of the zero modes (11.109) by taking a product of the individual zero mode contributions:

$$Z^{zero\ modes} = \prod_{n_0=0, m_0=0}^{Deg} N_{n_0, m_0} \left(\frac{\mu^4}{g^2 H^2} \right) = N \left(\frac{\mu^4}{g^2 H^2} \right)^{Deg} = N e^{-\frac{g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \ln \frac{g^2 H^2}{\mu^4}}. \quad (5.54)$$

By using the renormalisation condition (4.42) one can get the contribution of the zero modes to effective Lagrangian in the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}_E^{zero\ mode} = -\frac{g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 1 \right). \quad (5.55)$$

This expression coincides with our previous result (4.40), (4.43) obtained by using infrared regularisation. By adding the contribution of non-zero modes (3.37) to the (5.55) for the effective Lagrangian we will get the expression that coincides with the one obtained previously (4.44) and (4.45). Thus, as we have seen, both methods, the infrared regularisation and the integration over the nonlinear self-interaction of zero modes, lead to the identical effective Lagrangian (4.44) and without imaginary part.

6 Deformation of (Anti)Self-Dual Field

As it was already discussed above, breaking of the self-duality condition (2.32) will create unstable modes (2.31) as far as we are considering the quantum fluctuating field in the quadratic approximation [11, 12]. The question is if the self-interaction of unstable modes will improve the stability of the non-self-dual field configurations $E \neq H$. As we will show below, even in that case, when there is a plethora of unstable modes in the quadratic approximation, the vacuum state turns out to be stable due to the nonlinear self-interaction of unstable modes. Here we will follow the approach developed by Flory that takes into account the self-interaction of unstable modes [14, 15, 27, 28, 31].

Let us perform a deformation of the self-duality condition (2.32) of the interpolating field $B_\mu^a(x)$ (2.15) in a following manner [14]:

$$F_{12} = H, \quad F_{03} = E = \alpha H, \quad \alpha \leq 1, \quad (6.56)$$

where α is the real parameter that breaks the self-duality condition (2.32). At $\alpha = 1$ we will recover the self-duality of the interpolating field (2.32). The consequences of this deformation are two-fold: First of all, there will be non-zero modes in the spectrum when $\alpha \neq 1$ and, secondly, instead of zero modes the unstable modes will appear in the spectrum. The problem of integration over nonlinear interaction of the zero modes turns into the problem of integration over nonlinear

interaction of the unstable modes. After the substitution $E \rightarrow \alpha H$ in (2.31) the emerging spectrum will take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
b^- : \quad \lambda_1 &= (2n+1)gH + (2m+1)\alpha gH + 2gH \\
b : \quad \lambda_2 &= (2n+1)gH + (2m+1)\alpha gH - 2gH \\
h^- : \quad \lambda_3 &= (2n+1)gH + (2m+1)\alpha gH + 2\alpha gH \\
h : \quad \lambda_4 &= (2n+1)gH + (2m+1)\alpha gH - 2\alpha gH.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.57}$$

As one can see, a part of the eigenvalues λ_2 became negative. That happens when $n = 0$:

$$\lambda_2|_{n=0} = (2m\alpha - 1 + \alpha)gH \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{6.58}$$

When α is a small number close to zero, the amount of unstable modes is large, but when α tends to one, the number of unstable modes reduces, and they completely disappear at $\alpha = 1$. At $\alpha = 1$ instead of unstable modes we will have the zero modes λ_2 and λ_4 (2.31), the case of the self-dual interpolating field that we were already considered in the previous sections.

As we argued above, it is crucial to consider the nonlinear self-interaction of unstable modes. Let us first calculate the contribution of the stable modes. Substituting the eigenvalues (6.57) into the (3.34) one can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_E^{stable \ modes} &= \frac{\alpha g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \left(e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+3+\alpha)s} + e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+1+3\alpha)s} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+1-\alpha)s} + e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m-1+\alpha)s} - 2e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+1+\alpha)s} \right) - \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-gH(2\alpha m-1+\alpha)s}.
\end{aligned}$$

By performing the summation over n and m while using formulas in Appendix C one can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_E^{stable \ modes} &= \frac{\alpha g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} \left[\frac{1}{\sinh(gHs) \sinh(g\alpha Hs)} + 2 \frac{\sinh(gHs)}{\sinh(g\alpha Hs)} + 2 \frac{\sinh(g\alpha Hs)}{\sinh(gHs)} \right] - \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s} e^{gHs(1-\alpha)} \frac{1 - e^{-gHs(1+\alpha)}}{1 - e^{-2g\alpha Hs}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.59}$$

This expression represents a converging integral in the infrared region ($s \rightarrow \infty$) and can be renormalised in the ultraviolet region ($s \rightarrow 0$) by using renormalisation condition (4.42). Our main concern is now the contribution of the unstable modes. The corresponding wave functions (2.25) are:

$$\psi_m = \zeta_m (d_3^+ + i d_0^+)^m \psi_{00}, \quad n = 0, \quad 0 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} \tag{6.60}$$

and the corresponding field components (2.30) are:

$$b = a_1 + ia_2 = \zeta_m(d_3^+ + id_0^+)^m \psi_{00}, \quad b^- = a_1 - ia_2 = 0, \quad (6.61)$$

where ζ_m is the amplitudes of the unstable modes. For the lowest state $m = 0$ we have $\zeta_0 \equiv \zeta' + i\zeta''$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^1 &= \zeta' \psi_{00}, & a_1^2 &= \zeta'' \psi_{00}, & a_1^3 &= 0 \\ a_2^1 &= \zeta'' \psi_{00}, & a_2^2 &= -\zeta' \psi_{00}, & a_2^3 &= 0 \\ a_3^1 &= 0, & a_3^2 &= 0, & a_3^3 &= 0 \\ a_0^1 &= 0, & a_0^2 &= 0, & a_0^3 &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.62)$$

The contribution of the unstable modes will take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & Z^{unstable \ modes} = \\ &= \int \exp \left[- \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} a_\mu^a (-\delta_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\rho^{ab} \nabla_\rho^{bc} - 2gf^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^b) a_\nu^c + \frac{g^2}{4} ((a_\mu^a a_\mu^a)^2 - (a_\mu^a a_\nu^a)^2) \right) \right] \prod_{a\mu}^{unstable} \mathcal{D}a_\mu^a, \end{aligned} \quad (6.63)$$

where the integration is over all unstable modes:

$$\prod_{a\mu}^{unstable} \mathcal{D}a_\mu^a = J \prod_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} d\zeta_m' d\zeta_m''. \quad (6.64)$$

The cubic self-interaction term (5.48) for the unstable modes (6.61), (6.61) vanishes. For the unstable modes the quartic term is:

$$V_E^{(4)} = \frac{g^2}{2} |\zeta_m|^4 |\psi_m|^4 \quad (6.65)$$

and the four-dimensional Euclidean integral is:

$$\frac{1}{C_0^2} \frac{1}{C_m^2} \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_0 |\psi_m|^4 = \alpha \left(\frac{gH}{4\pi} \right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(m+1/2)}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(m+1)}. \quad (6.66)$$

By substituting the unstable modes (6.58), (6.61) into the (6.63) one can get:

$$Z^{unstable \ modes} = \mu^2 \prod_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} \int \exp \left[- (2m\alpha - 1 + \alpha) gH |\zeta_m|^2 - \frac{\alpha g^4 H^2}{32\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma(m+1/2)}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(m+1)} |\zeta_m|^4 \right] d\zeta_m' d\zeta_m'',$$

where the product is over all unstable modes (6.60). After rescaling of the variables one can factorise the field dependence from each integral in the product

$$Z^{unstable \ modes} = \prod_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right) \int \exp \left[- (2m\alpha - 1 + \alpha) |\zeta_m|^2 - \frac{\alpha g^4}{32\pi^2} \frac{\Gamma(m+1/2)}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(m+1)} |\zeta_m|^4 \right] d\zeta_m' d\zeta_m''.$$

Evaluating the integrals and the product one can get:

$$Z^{unstable\ modes} = \prod_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} N_m\left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH}\right) = N e^{-\frac{(1+\alpha)}{2\alpha} \ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2}}. \quad (6.67)$$

Taking into account the degeneracy of each unstable mode one can get:

$$(Z^{unstable\ modes})^{Deg} = N e^{-Deg \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2\alpha} \ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2}} = N e^{-\frac{\alpha g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{(1+\alpha)}{2\alpha} \ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2}}, \quad (6.68)$$

where in the given case the degeneracy (11.109) is $Deg = \frac{\alpha g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2}$. By using the renormalisation condition (4.42) we will get:

$$\mathcal{L}_E^{unstable\ modes} = -\frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} (1 + \alpha) \left(\ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (6.69)$$

The total unrenormalised effective Lagrangian is a sum of (6.59) and (6.68).

In order to analyse the contribution of stable mode (6.59) we will consider the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. This limit corresponds to a pure chromomagnetic field strength (6.56). The renormalisation of the stable modes (6.59) will take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_E^{stable\ modes} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \frac{ds}{s^3} \left[\frac{gHs}{\sinh gHs} - 1 - \frac{g^2 H^2 s}{2\mu^2} \left(\frac{1}{\sinh \mu^2 s} - \frac{\mu^2 s \cosh \mu^2 s}{\sinh^2 \mu^2 s} \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - gHs(e^{-gHs} - 1) + \frac{g^2 H^2 s}{2\mu^2} (e^{-\mu^2 s} - 1 - \mu^2 s e^{-\mu^2 s}) \right] = \\ &= \frac{g^2 H^2}{48\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.70)$$

and together with the contribution of the unstable modes (6.69) at $\alpha = 0$ will yield [1]:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{H^2}{2} - \frac{11g^2 H^2}{48\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{gH}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (6.71)$$

The conclusion is that the stability of the vacuum state takes place not only for (anti)self-dual field configurations but also for the more general covariantly-constant field configurations defined in (6.56).

7 Consideration in Physical Space-time

A similar investigation can be performed in physical space-time as well [15]. The charged vector bosons spectrum in a chromomagnetic field has unstable (Higgs-like) mode [5, 6] $k_0^2 = k_{||}^2 - gH$ ($k_{||}^2 \leq gH$) causing the appearance of imaginary part in the effective Lagrangian:

$$Im\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = Im \frac{gH}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{||} \sqrt{k_{||}^2 - gH - i\epsilon} = -\frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi}.$$

Again, this is the reflection of the fact that in the direction of unstable mode the calculation was performed in the quadratic approximation. A nonlinear interaction should be taken into consideration and play a crucial role in stabilising the quantum-mechanical fluctuations [7, 10, 13, 15]. The phenomenon is similar to the one in the classical Higgs Lagrangian where the zero field configuration is unstable and a field configuration at the bottom of the potential provides a stable field configuration due to the quartic term. The contribution of unstable mode to the $a_\mu^a(x)$ can be isolated, as it was suggested in [5, 10], by taking⁵

$$a(x) = \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}gH(x_1 - k_2/gH)^2 + ik_2x_2} a_{k_2}(x_3, x_0), \quad (7.72)$$

where the field $a_{k_2}(x_3, x_0)$ is the dynamical variable of the unstable mode and is a complete analogue of the amplitudes ζ_m in the Euclidian representation of unstable modes (6.60).

The idea is to integrate exactly the functional integral over the unstable mode in order to obtain its quantum-mechanical contribution to the effective Lagrangian. The integration over the unstable mode will correspond to the summation of all loop diagrams with the unstable mode propagation in the loops [15]. It is convenient to rescale the amplitude of the unstable mode and of the corresponding action given in [5, 10] by representing them in terms of dimensionless variables $k_\mu \rightarrow k_\mu/\sqrt{gH}$, $x_\mu \rightarrow x_\mu\sqrt{gH}$, thus one can get [27, 28, 15]:

$$a\left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH}\right)^{1/2} = \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1+k_2)^2 + ik_2x_2} a_{k_2}(x_0, x_3). \quad (7.73)$$

The action of the unstable mode will take the following form:

$$\frac{S_{unstable\ mode}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \int \frac{dk_2}{2\pi} dx_0 dx_3 \left(|\partial_\mu a_{k_2}|^2 + |a_{k_2}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}g^2 \int \frac{dpdq}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-\frac{p^2+q^2}{2}} a_{k_2+p}^- a_{k_2+q}^- a_{k_2} a_{k_2+p+q} \right). \quad (7.74)$$

In this representation the dependence on the chromomagnetic field does not show up in the action (7.74) and appears only in front of the amplitude (7.73) as $(\frac{\mu^2}{gH})^{1/2}$. Therefore the contribution of the unstable mode to the effective Lagrangian is only through the integration measure and the degeneracy of the unstable mode:

$$\mathcal{Z}^{unstable\ mode} = N \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{gH}{2\pi} \right)^2} = N e^{-\frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{gH}{\mu^2}}, \quad (7.75)$$

and is similar to the Euclidean expression (6.68). This contribution is a real function of the chromomagnetic field. After taking into account the contributions from all other stable modes the effective Lagrangian takes the form (4.45). This confirms the expression (4.45) being without imaginary part.

⁵As it was defined earlier in (2.17), $a_\mu = a_\mu^1 + ia_\mu^2$.

8 Chromomagnetic Gluon Condensate

The effective Lagrangian in SU(N) gauge theory has the following form [1]:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{F} - \frac{11N}{96\pi^2} g^2 \mathcal{F} \left(\ln \frac{2g^2 \mathcal{F}}{\mu^4} - 1 \right), \quad \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a. \quad (8.76)$$

As it follows from this expression, the QCD vacuum responds to the background chromomagnetic field by inducing a quantum current of the charged vector bosons that amplifies the chromomagnetic field imposed on the vacuum. The chromomagnetic magnetic induction $\vec{\mathcal{B}}_a$ of the QCD vacuum is

$$\vec{\mathcal{B}}_a = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a} = \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a \left[1 + \frac{11g^2 N}{96\pi^2} \log \frac{g^2 \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a^2}{\mu^4} \right] = \mu_{vac} \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a. \quad (8.77)$$

The QCD vacuum responds to the background magnetic field as paramagnet with the magnetic permeability of the following form [4]:

$$\mu_{vac} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} = 1 + \frac{11g^2 N}{96\pi^2} \log \frac{g^2 \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a^2}{\mu^4} = \frac{11g^2 N}{96\pi^2} \log \frac{g^2 \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^4}. \quad (8.78)$$

The paramagnetism of the QCD vacuum at $g^2 \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a^2 \geq \Lambda_{QCD}^4$ means that there is an amplification of the applied chromomagnetic field very similar to the Pauli paramagnetism, an effect associated with the polarisation of the electron spins. In QCD the polarisation of the vector boson spins is responsible for this amplification of the background field. This also can be seen from the vacuum energy density

$$\epsilon(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} + \frac{11N}{96\pi^2} g^2 \mathcal{F} \left(\ln \frac{2g^2 \mathcal{F}}{\mu^4} - 1 \right) \quad (8.79)$$

with its new minimum outside of the perturbative vacuum $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = 0$, at the renormalisation group invariant field strength [1]

$$\langle 2g^2 \mathcal{F} \rangle_{vac} = \mu^4 \exp \left(-\frac{96\pi^2}{11Ng^2(\mu)} \right) = \Lambda_{QCD}^4 \quad (8.80)$$

or, in terms of the strong coupling constant,

$$\left\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G_{\mu\nu}^2 \right\rangle_{vac} = \left\langle \frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} G_{\mu\nu}^2 \right\rangle_{vac} = \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^4}{2\pi^2}. \quad (8.81)$$

It follows then that [15]

$$\left\langle \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} G_{\mu\nu}^2 \right\rangle_{vac} \approx 0.000081 \text{ GeV}^4$$

at $\Lambda_{QCD} \approx 200 \text{ MeV}$. The Lorentz invariant form of the effective action (8.79) suggests, and as we have seen also in the main text of the above investigation, that there are many states that have the

same energy density as the covariantly-constant chromomagnetic field⁶. In [42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 57, 58, 59] the authors explored natural chaotic behaviour of the solutions of the YM equation that can represent the vacuum gauge field fluctuations. The average $\langle \dots \rangle$ in (8.80) can be understood as an average over these field configurations (see also [50]).

An interesting question to be asked is if there exist physical systems with large degeneracy of the vacuum state. Turning to the statistical spin systems, one can observe that the classical 3D Ising system has a double degeneracy of all its excited states and of the vacuum state. It is this symmetry that allows to construct a dual gauge invariant representation of the 3D Ising model [60]. The extensions of the 3D Ising model that have a direct ferromagnetic and one quarter of the next to nearest neighbour antiferromagnetic interaction [61], as well as a model with zero intersection coupling constant ($k = 0$) [62, 63], have exponential degeneracy of the vacuum state. That higher symmetry allows to construct the dual representations of the system in various dimensions [62, 63, 64] and as a consequence of the high degeneracy of the vacuum state has reach physical properties [65, 66, 67, 68, 69].

9 Large N Behaviour

Let us consider the behaviour of the effective Lagrangian from the renormalisation group point of view and the large N expansion [55]. When $\mathcal{G} = \vec{\mathcal{E}}_a \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}(t, g) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} = -\frac{g^2}{\bar{g}^2(t)}, \quad \frac{d\bar{g}}{dt} = \bar{\beta}(\bar{g}). \quad (9.82)$$

The vacuum magnetic permeability (8.77) will take the following form [4]:

$$\mu_{vac} = \frac{g^2}{\bar{g}^2(t)}, \quad \mathcal{G} = 0. \quad (9.83)$$

The Callan-Symanzik beta function can be calculated by using (8.76):

$$\bar{\beta} = \frac{1}{2}g \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = -\frac{11N}{96\pi^2}g^3, \quad (9.84)$$

and the effective coupling constant as a function of the field has the form

$$\bar{g}^2(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{g^2}{1 + \frac{11g^2N}{96\pi^2} \ln \frac{2g^2\mathcal{F}}{\mu^4}}, \quad (9.85)$$

where $C_2(G) = N$ is the Casimir operator of the gauge group $G = SU(N)$. Let us consider the value of the field strength tensor \mathcal{F}_0 at which the vacuum energy density (8.79) vanishes $\epsilon(\mathcal{F}_0) = 0$:

$$2g^2\mathcal{F}_0 = \mu^4 \exp\left(1 - \frac{96\pi^2}{11g^2N}\right) = e\langle 2g^2\mathcal{F} \rangle_{vac}. \quad (9.86)$$

⁶The Lorentz invariant average $\langle \rangle$ over the covariantly-constant field orientations can be performed as in [52, 1].

The effective coupling constant (9.85) at this field strength has the value

$$\bar{g}^2(\mathcal{F}_0) = \frac{96\pi^2}{11N}. \quad (9.87)$$

It follows that the effective coupling constant at the intersection point \mathcal{F}_0 is small:

$$\bar{g}^2(\mathcal{F}_0) = \frac{96\pi^2}{11N} \ll 1 \quad \text{if} \quad N \gg \frac{96\pi^2}{11}. \quad (9.88)$$

The energy density curve $\epsilon(\mathcal{F})$ (8.79) intersects the horizontal zero energy level at the nonzero angle θ :

$$\tan \theta = \frac{11g^2N}{96\pi^2} > 0. \quad (9.89)$$

This means that i) the true vacuum state is below the perturbative vacuum and that ii) there is a nonzero chromomagnetic field in the vacuum. Now the question is, how far into the infrared region one can continue the energy density curve by using the perturbative results? Let us consider fields that are close to the infrared pole. This can be achieved by using the following parametrisation:

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha = e^{1-\alpha} \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_{vac}, \quad (9.90)$$

where the parameter $\alpha \leq 1$. We will have $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_{vac}$ when α is close to one. At this field value the effective coupling constant (9.85) tends to zero:

$$\bar{g}^2(\mathcal{F}_\alpha) = \frac{96\pi^2}{11N(1-\alpha)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (9.91)$$

if the product $N(1-\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ is large and the t'Hooft coupling constant $g^2N = \lambda$ is fixed and is small [55]. It follows that the effective coupling constant is small and that justifies the use of the perturbative result. The energy density curve can be continuously extended infinitesimally close to the value of the vacuum field $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle_{vac}$.

Let us analyse the intersection point (9.86) and the effective coupling constant (9.87) at the two-loop level. The two-loop⁷ effective Lagrangian has the following form [4]:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\mathcal{F} - \left(\frac{11}{6(4\pi)^2} g^2 N + \frac{34}{6(4\pi)^4} (g^2 N)^2 \right) \mathcal{F} \left(\ln \frac{2g^2 \mathcal{F}}{\mu^4} - 1 \right). \quad (9.92)$$

The field at the intersection point (9.86) is shifted by an exponentially small correction

$$2g^2 \mathcal{F}_0 = \mu^4 \exp \left(1 - \frac{96\pi^2}{11\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{17}{88\pi^2} \lambda} \right). \quad (9.93)$$

⁷The beta function (9.82) coefficients $\bar{\beta} = -\beta_1 g^3 - \beta_2 g^5 + \dots$ are given by $\beta_1 = \frac{11N}{6(4\pi)^2}$ and $\beta_2 = \frac{34N^2}{6(4\pi)^4}$ [53, 54].

At this field the effective coupling constant is smaller by the factor $1/1 + \frac{17}{88\pi^2}\lambda$

$$\bar{g}^2(\mathcal{F}_0) = \frac{96\pi^2}{11N} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \frac{17}{88\pi^2}\lambda} \ll 1, \quad (9.94)$$

and the inequality (9.94) is fulfilled at smaller values of N than in the first approximation (9.88).

The chromomagnetic condensate in the two-loop approximation will take the following form:

$$\langle 2g^2\mathcal{F} \rangle_{vac} = \mu^4 \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\beta_1 g^2} \left[1 - \frac{\beta_2 g^2}{\beta_1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2 g^2} \right) \right] \right). \quad (9.95)$$

The high-loop corrections can be obtained by using renormalisation group results (15.130), (9.82).

The value of the chromomagnetic condensate then is [1]:

$$\langle 2g^2\mathcal{F} \rangle_{vac} = \mu^4 \exp \left(2 \int_g^\infty \frac{dg}{\beta(g)} \right). \quad (9.96)$$

The expression (9.95) is recovered at two-loop level.

10 Conclusion

One can consider the above approach of calculating the effective action as an alternative loop expansion of the effective action. The expansion is organised by rearranging the perturbative expansion in a background field so that the quartic self-interactions of all chromomagnetic modes is included into the propagator of the gauge field $G(x, y; A)$ and the loop expansion is performed in terms of the cubic and quartic cross-mode vertices of the YM action [15].

The extended number of Appendixes are devoted to the technical details and the renormalisation group.

In conclusion I would like to thank R. Kirschner, J.Zahn and M.Bordag for discussions of the YM vacuum stability and kind hospitality in the Institute of Theoretical Physics of the Leipzig University where this work was completed. This work was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation grant GRC 1024638 HFST. I would like to thank Jan Ambjorn and Holger Bech Nielsen for many stimulating discussions of the structure of the QCD vacuum.

11 Appendix A. Degeneracy of Eigenstates

A component representation of the operator $H_0 = -\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu$ is given in (2.22). By using the operators (2.23) one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^+ + ic_2^+ &= -\partial_1 - i\partial_2 + \frac{g}{2}H(x_1 + ix_2), & c_1 - ic_2 &= \partial_1 - i\partial_2 + \frac{g}{2}H(x_1 - ix_2), \\ [c_1^+ + ic_2^+ ; c_1 - ic_2] &= -2gH \end{aligned} \quad (11.97)$$

and that the magnetic part of the H_0 is:

$$(c_1^+ + ic_2^+)(c_1 - ic_2) = -\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 + igH(x_2\partial_1 - x_1\partial_2) + \frac{g^2}{4}H^2(x_2^2 + x_1^2) - gH. \quad (11.98)$$

The vacuum wave function is defined as

$$(c_1 - ic_2)\psi_0 = 0, \quad \psi_0 = e^{-\frac{gH}{4}(x_1^2+x_2^2)} \quad (11.99)$$

and the wave functions of the excited states (2.25) are:

$$\psi_n = (c_1^+ + ic_2^+)^n \psi_0 = (gH)^n (x_1 + ix_2)^n \psi_0. \quad (11.100)$$

The set of operators (corresponding to the centre of the cyclotron motion of charge particle in a magnetic field) that defines the degeneracy of the eigenstates is:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^+ - ic_2^+ &= -\partial_1 + i\partial_2 + \frac{g}{2}H(x_1 - ix_2), & c_1 + ic_2 &= \partial_1 + i\partial_2 + \frac{g}{2}H(x_1 + ix_2), \\ [c_1^+ - ic_2^+ ; c_1 + ic_2] &= -2gH. \end{aligned} \quad (11.101)$$

The wave functions of the degenerate vacuum state are:

$$\psi(n_0) = (c_1^+ - ic_2^+)^{n_0} \psi_0 = (gH)^{n_0} (x_1 - ix_2)^{n_0} \psi_0. \quad (11.102)$$

They are the eigenstates of the operator $L = i(x_1\partial_2 - x_2\partial_1)$

$$L \psi(n_0) = n_0 \psi(n_0). \quad (11.103)$$

All of them have the ground state eigenvalue in (2.27):

$$\lambda_0 = gH. \quad (11.104)$$

The normalisation of the wave functions ψ_{n_0} is:

$$C_{n_0} = \int dx_1 dx_2 |\psi(n_0)|^2 = 2^{n_0+1} \pi n_0! (gH)^{n_0-1} \quad (11.105)$$

and these wave functions (11.102) are orthogonal

$$\int dx_1 dx_2 \psi^*(n_0) \psi(\bar{n}_0) = C_{n_0} \delta_{n_0, \bar{n}_0}. \quad (11.106)$$

The average size of the orbit of the degenerate state $\psi(n_0)$ is:

$$\langle r^2 \rangle = \langle x_1^2 + x_2^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{C_{n_0}} \int dx_1 dx_2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2) |\psi(n_0)|^2 = \frac{2(n_0 + 1)}{gH}.$$

It follows then that the degeneracy, the number of the charge particle orbits of the size less than $r^2 \leq R^2$, is

$$n_0 + 1 \leq \frac{gH}{2} R^2 = \frac{gH}{2\pi} \pi R^2 = \frac{gH}{2\pi} A_{12} \quad (11.107)$$

where A_{12} is two dimensional area in the plane (x_1, x_2) . The same is true for the chomoelectric part of the H_0 operator (2.22) in the plane (x_3, x_0) :

$$m_0 + 1 \leq \frac{gE}{2} R^2 = \frac{gE}{2\pi} \pi R^2 = \frac{gE}{2\pi} A_{03}, \quad (11.108)$$

thus the total degeneracy is

$$\mathcal{D}eg = \left(\frac{gH}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{gE}{2\pi}\right) VT, \quad (11.109)$$

where V is a three-volume and T play the role of a time-like parameter in (2.1).

12 Appendix B. Self-Interaction of Zero Modes

The zero modes are the solutions of the equation (2.21) with $\lambda = 0$

$$H_0 a_\nu + 2igF_{\mu\nu} a_\mu = 0 \quad (12.110)$$

and the solution (2.33) corresponding to the lowest state with $n_0 = m_0 = 0$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^1 &= \xi' \psi_{00}, & a_1^2 &= \xi'' \psi_{00}, & a_1^3 &= 0 \\ a_2^1 &= \xi'' \psi_{00}, & a_2^2 &= -\xi' \psi_{00}, & a_2^3 &= 0 \\ a_3^1 &= \eta' \psi_{00}, & a_3^2 &= \eta'' \psi_{00}, & a_3^3 &= 0 \\ a_0^1 &= \eta'' \psi_{00}, & a_0^2 &= -\eta' \psi_{00}, & a_0^3 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12.111)$$

where $\xi_{00} = \xi' + i\xi''$ and $\eta_{00} = \eta' + i\eta''$. The interaction cubic term (2.13) vanishes on this solution

$$V_E^{(3)} = -g\epsilon^{abc} a_\nu^b a_\mu^c \nabla_\mu^{ad} a_\nu^d = 0 \quad (12.112)$$

and the quartic term will take the following form:

$$V_E^4 = \frac{g^2}{4} (\epsilon^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2 = \frac{g^2}{4} ((a_\mu^a a_\mu^a)^2 - (a_\mu^a a_\nu^a)^2) = \frac{g^2}{2} (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 |\psi_{00}|^4, \quad (12.113)$$

where

$$(a_\mu^a a_\mu^a)^2 = 4(\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2, \quad (a_\mu^a a_\nu^a)^2 = 2(\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2.$$

We have to calculate the quartic integral for the lowest state ψ_{00}

$$\frac{1}{C_0^4} \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_0 |\psi_{00}|^4 = \left(\frac{gH}{2\pi}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\pi}{gH}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{gH}{4\pi}\right)^2. \quad (12.114)$$

The quartic term for the general zero mode wave function (2.28), (2.33) will take the following form:

$$V_E^4 = \frac{g^2}{2} (|\xi_{n_0 m_0}|^2 + |\eta_{n_0 m_0}|^2)^2 |\psi_{n_0 m_0}|^4, \quad (12.115)$$

and then using the integral of the wave function (11.102)

$$\int dx_1 dx_2 |\psi(n_0)|^4 = \pi \Gamma(2n_0 + 1) (gH)^{2n_0 - 1} \quad (12.116)$$

for the quartic integral in this general case we will get

$$\frac{1}{C_{n_0}^2} \frac{1}{C_{m_0}^2} \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_0 |\psi_{n_0}(x_1, x_2)|^4 |\psi_{m_0}(x_3, x_0)|^4 = \left(\frac{gH}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{\Gamma(n_0 + 1/2) \Gamma(m_0 + 1/2)}{\pi \Gamma(n_0 + 1) \Gamma(m_0 + 1)}, \quad (12.117)$$

and it reduces to the previous expression when $n_0 = m_0 = 0$.

13 Appendix C. Sum of Eigenvalues

The spectral sums (3.35) and (6.59) can be evaluated by using the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+3+\alpha)s} &= e^{-2gHs} \frac{1}{4 \sinh(gHs)} \frac{1}{\sinh(g\alpha Hs)} \\ \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+1+3\alpha)s} &= e^{-2g\alpha Hs} \frac{1}{4 \sinh(gHs)} \frac{1}{\sinh(g\alpha Hs)} \\ \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+1-\alpha)s} &= e^{2g\alpha Hs} \frac{1}{4 \sinh(gHs)} \frac{1}{\sinh(g\alpha Hs)} \\ \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m-1+\alpha)s} &= e^{2g\alpha Hs} \frac{1}{4 \sinh(gHs)} \frac{1}{\sinh(g\alpha Hs)} \\ \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} e^{-gH(2n+2\alpha m+1+\alpha)s} &= \frac{1}{4 \sinh(gHs)} \frac{1}{\sinh(g\alpha Hs)} \\ \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-gH(2\alpha m-1+\alpha)s} &= e^{gHs(1-\alpha)} \frac{1 - e^{-gHs(1+\alpha)}}{1 - e^{-2g\alpha Hs}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13.118)$$

The integrals appearing in the effective Lagrangian have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{1-k} \sinh^2(as)} &= \frac{4}{(2a)^k} \Gamma(k) \zeta(k-1), & (13.119) \\
\int_0^\infty \frac{\cosh(bs) ds}{s^{1-k} \sinh(as)} &= \frac{\Gamma(k)}{(2a)^k} \left[\zeta\left(k, \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{b}{a}\right)\right) + \zeta\left(k, \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{b}{a}\right)\right) \right], \quad b \neq a, \\
\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{1-k} \sinh(as)} &= \frac{2^k - 1}{2^{k-1} a^k} \Gamma(k) \zeta(k), & \int_0^\infty \frac{\cosh(as) ds}{s^{1-k} \sinh^2(as)} = \frac{2^{k-1} - 1}{2^{k-2} a^k} \Gamma(k) \zeta(k-1) \\
\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin(as) ds}{s^{1-k}} &= \frac{\Gamma(k)}{a^k} \sin \frac{k\pi}{2}, & \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(as) ds}{s^{1-k}} = \frac{\Gamma(k)}{a^k} \cos \frac{k\pi}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

where k can be considered as a dimensional regularisation parameter and the integrals should be calculated in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ [4].

14 Appendix D. Euclidean Formulation of Quantum Mechanics

The matrix elements of the evolution operator e^{iHt} are defined in terms of path integral over trajectories in the physical space-time as [20]:

$$\langle \vec{x}' | e^{iHT} | \vec{x} \rangle = \sum_n e^{-iE_n T} \psi_n(\vec{x}') \psi_n^*(\vec{x}) = N \int_{\vec{x}}^{\vec{x}'} \mathcal{D}\vec{x}(t) e^{iS[\vec{x}(t)]}. \quad (14.120)$$

The integration $\mathcal{D}\vec{x}(t)$ runs over all trajectories $\vec{x}(t)$ that start at time $t = 0$ in the point \vec{x} and end at time $t = T$ in the point \vec{x}' . The path integral is defined in terms of a sum over the physical space-time trajectories. The vectors $\psi(\vec{x}) = \langle x | n \rangle$ are the Schrödinger wave functions. The H is the Hamiltonian of the system and $\psi_n(\vec{x})$ denotes the wave function of the eigenstate $H\psi_n = E_n\psi_n$.

With the rotation $t \rightarrow -i\tau$ to the imaginary time the matrix elements transform to the matrix elements of the operator e^{-HT} and are represented in terms of Euclidean path integral [26]

$$\langle \vec{x}' | e^{-HT} | \vec{x} \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n T} \psi_n(\vec{x}') \psi_n^*(\vec{x}) = N \int_{\vec{x}}^{\vec{x}'} \mathcal{D}\vec{x}(\tau) e^{-S_E[\vec{x}(\tau)]}. \quad (14.121)$$

The path integral is defined as an integral in unphysical Euclidean space. The integration $\mathcal{D}\vec{x}(\tau)$ runs over all Euclidean trajectories $\vec{x}(\tau)$ that start at Euclidean time $\tau = 0$ in the point \vec{x} and end at Euclidean time $\tau = T$ in the point \vec{x}' . The S_E is the Euclidean action associated with a given trajectory

$$S_E = \int_0^T d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\vec{x}}^2 + V(\vec{x}) \right). \quad (14.122)$$

The boundary conditions in the both formulations are identical, the difference is in the geometry of the trajectories. In the first case the trajectories are in a real physical space-time where a particle moves in a potential V that is bounded from below. In the Euclidean formulation a particle "moves"

in a potential $-V$ which is unbounded from below, its "trajectories" are in unphysical Euclidean space and do not directly correspond to the trajectories in physical space.

The leading term in the expression (14.121) for large T defines the energy E_0 and wave-function $\psi_0(\vec{x})$ of the ground eigenstate

$$\langle \vec{x}' | e^{-HT} | \vec{x} \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n T} \psi_n(\vec{x}') \psi_n^*(\vec{x}) \rightarrow e^{-E_0 T} \psi_0(\vec{x}') \psi_0^*(\vec{x}). \quad (14.123)$$

The above relation between matrix elements that were defined in physical and in Euclidean spaces leads to the following observation: In some cases the Euclidean path integral can readily be evaluated in the semiclassical limit when it is dominated by the stationary points of S_E . Assuming for simplicity that there is only one such stationary point, which is denoted by $\hat{\vec{x}}$ and considering a standard oscillator with boundary condition $x' = x = 0$ one can find that the Euclidean trajectory is simply $\hat{\vec{x}} = 0$ and leads to the relation [26]

$$e^{-E_0 T} |\psi_0(0)|^2 = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\omega T/2} \quad (14.124)$$

allowing to extract the value of the ground state energy $E_0 = \omega/2$ and of the $|\psi_0(0)|^2 = \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^{1/2}$.

15 Appendix E. Renormalisation Group

The exact expression for the effective Lagrangian can be derived by using the renormalisation group equation [1, 2]. The effective action Γ is renormalisation group invariant quantity:

$$\Gamma = \sum_n \int dx_1 \dots dx_n \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}^{(n) a_1 \dots a_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) A_{\mu_1}^{a_1}(x_1) \dots A_{\mu_n}^{a_n}(x_n),$$

because the vertex functions and gauge fields transform as follows:

$$\Gamma_r^{(n) a_1 \dots a_n}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = Z_3^{n/2} \Gamma_{un}^{(n) a_1 \dots a_n}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}, \quad A_\mu^a(x)_r = Z_3^{-1} A_\mu^a(x)_{un}, \quad g_r = Z_3^{1/2} g_{un}.$$

The renormalisation group equation takes the form

$$\left\{ \mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \gamma(g) \int d^4 x A_\mu^a(x) \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu^a(x)} \right\} \Gamma = 0,$$

where $\beta(g)$ is the Callan-Symanzik beta function, $\gamma(g)$ is the anomalous dimension. When $\vec{\mathcal{E}}_a \vec{\mathcal{H}}_a = 0$ it reduces to the form

$$\left\{ \mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + 2\gamma(g) \mathcal{F} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{F}} \right\} \mathcal{L} = 0,$$

where in the covariant background gauge $\beta = -g\gamma$ [4]. By introducing a dimensionless quantity

$$\mathcal{M}(g, t) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{F}}, \quad t = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2g^2 \mathcal{F} / \mu^4) \quad (15.125)$$

one can get

$$\left\{ -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \bar{\beta}(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + 2\bar{\gamma}(g) \right\} \mathcal{M}(g, t) = 0, \quad (15.126)$$

where

$$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma}, \quad \bar{\beta} = \frac{\beta}{1 - \gamma} \quad (15.127)$$

and (4.42) plays the role of the boundary condition:

$$\mathcal{M}(g, 0) = -1. \quad (15.128)$$

From equations (15.126) and (15.128) it follows that

$$\bar{\gamma} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}(g, t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}, \quad \bar{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g \frac{\partial \mathcal{M}(g, t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}. \quad (15.129)$$

The solution of the renormalisation group equation (15.126) in terms of effective coupling constant $\bar{g}(g, t)$, with the boundary condition $\bar{g}(g, 0) = g$, has the following form [1, 2]:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{F}} = -\frac{g^2}{\bar{g}^2(t)}, \quad \frac{d\bar{g}}{dt} = \bar{\beta}(\bar{g}). \quad (15.130)$$

The behaviour of the effective Lagrangian at large fields is similar to the behaviour of the gauge theory at large momentum. It follows that $\mathcal{M}(g, t)$ is completely determined for all values of t in terms of its first derivative (15.129) at $t = 0$. The above results allow to obtain renormalisation group expressions for the physical quantities considered in one-loop approximation. With these expressions in hand one can calculate different observables of physical interest that will include the vacuum energy density and pressure, the magnetic permeability, the effective coupling constants, and their behaviour as a function of the external fields [56].

References

- [1] G. K. Savvidy, *Infrared Instability of the Vacuum State of Gauge Theories and Asymptotic Freedom*, Phys. Lett. **71B** (1977) 133. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(77)90759-6
- [2] S. G. Matinyan and G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarization Induced by the Intense Gauge Field*, Nucl. Phys. B **134** (1978) 539. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(78)90463-7

- [3] I. A. Batalin, S. G. Matinyan and G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarization by a Source-Free Gauge Field*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1977) 214 [Yad. Fiz. **26** (1977) 407].
- [4] G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarisation by Intensive Gauge Fields*, PhD 1977,
<http://www.inp.demokritos.gr/~savvidy/phd.pdf>
- [5] N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *An Unstable Yang-Mills Field Mode*, Nucl. Phys. B **144** (1978) 376. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(78)90377-2
- [6] V. V. Skalozub, *On Restoration of Spontaneously Broken Symmetry in Magnetic Field*, Yad. Fiz. **28** (1978) 228.
- [7] J. Ambjorn, N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *A Hidden Higgs Lagrangian in QCD*, Nucl. Phys. B **152** (1979) 75. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(79)90080-4
- [8] H. B. Nielsen, *Approximate QCD Lower Bound for the Bag Constant B*, Phys. Lett. **80B** (1978) 133. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(78)90326-X
- [9] N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Electric Vortex Lines From the Yang-Mills Theory*, Phys. Lett. B **79** (1978), 304 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(78)90249-6
- [10] J. Ambjorn and P. Olesen, *A Color Magnetic Vortex Condensate in QCD*, Nucl. Phys. B **170** (1980) 265. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(80)90150-9
- [11] H. Leutwyler, *Vacuum Fluctuations Surrounding Soft Gluon Fields*, Phys. Lett. **96B** (1980) 154. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90234-8
- [12] H. Leutwyler, *Constant Gauge Fields and their Quantum Fluctuations*, Nucl. Phys. B **179** (1981) 129. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90252-2
- [13] C. A. Flory, *Covariant Constant Chromomagnetic Fields And Elimination Of The One Loop Instabilities*, Preprint, SLAC-PUB-3244,
<http://www-public.slac.stanford.edu/sciDoc/docMeta.aspx?slacPubNumber=SLAC-PUB-3244>;
<https://lib-extopc.kek.jp/preprints/PDF/1983/8312/8312331.pdf>
- [14] C. A. Flory, *Stability Properties Of An Abelianized Chromoelectric Flux Tube*, Phys. Rev. D **29** (1984) 722. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.29.722

- [15] G. Savvidy, *From Heisenberg–Euler Lagrangian to the discovery of Chromomagnetic Gluon Condensation*, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.2, 165 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7711-6 [arXiv:1910.00654 [hep-th]].
- [16] F. Sauter, *Über das Verhalten eines Elektrons im homogenen elektrischen Feld nach der relativistischen Theorie Diracs*, Z. Phys. **69** (1931) 742. doi:10.1007/BF01339461
- [17] H. Euler and B. Kockel, *Über die Streuung von Licht an Licht nach der Diracschen Theorie*, Naturwiss. **23** (1935) 246.
- [18] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, *Consequences of Dirac’s theory of positrons*, Z. Phys. **98** (1936) 714.
- [19] J. S. Schwinger, *On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization*, Phys. Rev. **82** (1951) 664. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.82.664
- [20] R. P. Feynman, *Space-time approach to nonrelativistic quantum mechanics*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **20** (1948), 367-387 doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.20.367
- [21] R. P. Feynman, *Space - time approach to quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **76** (1949), 769-789 doi:10.1103/PhysRev.76.769
- [22] A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge Fields and Strings*, Contemp. Concepts Phys. **3** (1987), 1-301
- [23] F. J. Dyson, *Divergence of perturbation theory in quantum electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **85** (1952) 631. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.85.631
- [24] L. N. Lipatov, *Divergence of the Perturbation Theory Series and the Quasiclassical Theory*, Sov. Phys. JETP **45** (1977) 216 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **72** (1977) 411].
- [25] G. ’t Hooft, in *Proceedings of the International School of Subnuclear Physics: The Whys of Subnuclear Physics*, Erice, 1977, edited by A. Zichichi (Plenum, New York, 1979).
- [26] S. Coleman, *The uses of Instantons*, Erice Lectures, 1977
- [27] D. Kay, R. Parthasarathy and K. S. Viswanathan *Constant self-dual Abelian gauge fields and fermions in SU(2) gauge theory*, Phys. Phys. D **28** (1983) 3116-3120.
- [28] D. Kay. *Unstable modes, zero modes, and phase transitions in QCD*, Ph.D Thesis, Simon Fraser University, August 1985.

- [29] W. Dittrich and M. Reuter, *Effective QCD Lagrangian With Zeta Function Regularization*, Phys. Lett. **128B** (1983) 321. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(83)90268-X
- [30] D. Zwanziger, *Nonperturbative Modification of the Faddeev-popov Formula and Banishment of the Naive Vacuum*, Nucl. Phys. B **209** (1982) 336. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(82)90260-7
- [31] D. Kay, A. Kumar and R. Parthasarathy *Savvidy Vacuum in SU(2) Yang-Mills Theory*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20** (2005) 1655-1662.
- [32] K. I. Kondo, *Stability of magnetic condensation and mass generation for confinement in SU(2) Yang-Mills theory*, PoS **QCD-TNT-III** (2013), 020 doi:10.22323/1.193.0020 [arXiv:1312.0053 [hep-th]].
- [33] Y. M. Cho and M. L. Walker, *Stability of monopole condensation in SU(2) QCD*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19** (2004) 2707. doi:10.1142/S0217732304015750
- [34] D. G. Pak, R. G. Cai, T. Tsukioka, P. Zhang and Y. F. Zhou, *Color confinement and color singlet structure of quantum states in Yang-Mills theory*, [arXiv:2011.02926 [hep-th]].
- [35] D. G. Pak and T. Tsukioka, *Color structure of quantum SU(N) Yang-Mills theory*, [arXiv:2012.11496 [hep-th]].
- [36] D. G. Pak, B. H. Lee, Y. Kim, T. Tsukioka and P. M. Zhang, *On microscopic structure of the QCD vacuum*, Phys. Lett. B **780** (2018), 479-484 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.040 [arXiv:1703.09635 [hep-th]].
- [37] P. Minkowski, *On the Ground State Expectation Value of the Field Strength Bilinear in Gauge Theories and Constant Classical Fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **177** (1981), 203-217 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90388-6
- [38] M. R. Brown and M. J. Duff, *Exact Results for Effective Lagrangians*, Phys. Rev. D **11** (1975) 2124. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.11.2124>
- [39] M. J. Duff and M. Ramon-Medrano, *On the Effective Lagrangian for the Yang-Mills Field*, Phys. Rev. D **12** (1975) 3357. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.3357>
- [40] G. 't Hooft, *Computation of the Quantum Effects Due to a Four-Dimensional Pseudoparticle*, Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976), 3432-3450 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432>

- [41] S. R. Coleman and E. J. Weinberg, *Radiative Corrections as the Origin of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking*, Phys. Rev. D **7** (1973) 1888. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.1888>
- [42] G. Baseyan, S. Matinyan and G. Savvidy, *Nonlinear plane waves in the massless Yang-Mills theory*, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **29** (1979) 641-644
- [43] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Quark Confinement In A Random Color Magnetic Ether*, NBI-HE-79-45.
- [44] S. Matinyan, G. Savvidy and N. Ter-Arutyunyan-Savvidi, *Classical Yang-Mills mechanics. Nonlinear colour oscillations*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **80** (1980) 830-838
- [45] G. Savvidy, *The Yang-Mills classical mechanics as a Kolmogorov system*, Phys. Lett. **130B** (1983) 303-307
- [46] G. Savvidy, *The Yang-Mills quantum mechanics*, Phys. Lett. **159B** (1985) 325-329
- [47] G. Savvidy, *Classical and Quantum mechanics of non-Abelian gauge fields*, Nucl. Phys. **246** (1984) 302-334
- [48] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker, and L. Susskind, *M theory as a matrix model: A conjecture*, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 5112.
- [49] T. Anous and C. Coburn *Mini-BFSS matrix model in silico*, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019) 066023.
- [50] Y. M. Cho, *A Restricted Gauge Theory*, Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980), 1080 [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.21.1080236-3](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.1080236-3)
- [51] Y. Kim, B. H. Lee, D. G. Pak, C. Park and T. Tsukioka, *Quantum stability of nonlinear wave type solutions with intrinsic mass parameter in QCD*, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.5, 054025 [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.054025](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.96.054025) [arXiv:1607.02083 [hep-th]].
- [52] A. I. Milshstein and Y. F. Pinelis, *Properties of the Photon Polarisation Operator in a Long Wave Vacuum Field in QCD*, Phys. Lett. **137B** (1984) 235. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(84\)90](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(84)90)
- [53] D. R. T. Jones, *Two Loop Diagrams in Yang-Mills Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **75** (1974) 531. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90093-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90093-5)

- [54] W. E. Caswell, *Asymptotic Behavior of Nonabelian Gauge Theories to Two Loop Order*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974) 244. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.33.244>
- [55] G. 't Hooft, *A Planar Diagram Theory for Strong Interactions*, Nucl. Phys. B **72** (1974), 461 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(74\)90154-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(74)90154-0)
- [56] G. Savvidy, *Gauge field theory vacuum and cosmological inflation without scalar field*, Annals Phys. **436** (2022), 168681 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2021.168681> [arXiv:2109.02162 [hep-th]].
- [57] P. Olesen, *Confinement and Random Fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **200** (1982), 381-390 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(82\)90094-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90094-3)
- [58] S. M. Apenko, D. A. Kirzhnits and Y. E. Lozovik, *Dynamic Chaos, Anderson Localization, and Confinement*, JETP Lett. **36** (1982), 213-215
- [59] G. Savvidy, *Maximally chaotic dynamical systems*, Annals Phys. **421** (2020), 168274 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2020.168274>
- [60] F. J. Wegner, *Duality in Generalized Ising Models and Phase Transitions Without Local Order Parameters*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), 2259-2272 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665530>
- [61] G. K. Savvidy and F. J. Wegner, *Geometrical string and spin systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **413** (1994), 605-613 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(94\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90003-5) [arXiv:hep-th/9308094 [hep-th]].
- [62] G. K. Savvidy and K. G. Savvidy, *Selfavoiding gonihedric string and spin systems*, Phys. Lett. B **324** (1994), 72-77 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(94\)00114-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)00114-6) [arXiv:hep-lat/9311026 [hep-lat]].
- [63] G. K. Savvidy and K. G. Savvidy, *Interaction hierarchy*, Phys. Lett. B **337** (1994), 333-339 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(94\)90984-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)90984-9) [arXiv:hep-th/9409030 [hep-th]].
- [64] G. K. Savvidy, K. G. Savvidy and F. J. Wegner, *Geometrical string and dual spin systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **443** (1995), 565-580 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(95\)00151-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00151-H) [arXiv:hep-th/9503213 [hep-th]].

- [65] R. Pietig and F. J. Wegner, *Phase transition in lattice surface systems with gonihedric action*, Nucl. Phys. B **466** (1996), 513-526 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(96\)00072-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00072-7) [arXiv:hep-lat/9604013 [hep-lat]].
- [66] R. Pietig and F. J. Wegner, *Low temperature expansion of the gonihedric Ising model*, Nucl. Phys. B **525** (1998), 549-570 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213\(98\)00342-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00342-3) [arXiv:hep-lat/9712002 [hep-lat]].
- [67] A. Lipowski, D. Johnston, and D. Espriu, *Slow dynamics of Ising models with energy barriers*, Phys. Rev. E **62** (2000) 3404. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.3404>
- [68] C. Castelnovo, C. Chamon, and D. Sherrington, *Quantum mechanical and information theoretic view on classical glass transitions*, Phys. Rev. B **81** (2010) 184303 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.184303>
- [69] S. Vijay, J. Haah and L. Fu, *Fracton Topological Order, Generalized Lattice Gauge Theory and Duality*, Phys. Rev. B **94** (2016) no.23, 235157 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.235157> [arXiv:1603.04442 [cond-mat.str-el]].