

HSTR-NET: HIGH SPATIO-TEMPORAL RESOLUTION VIDEO GENERATION FOR WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE

H. Umut Suluhan

Ozyegin University
Computer Science Department
34794 Istanbul, Turkey

Hasan F. Ates, Bahadir K. Gunturk

Istanbul Medipol University
School of Engineering and Natural Sciences
34810 Istanbul, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Wide area surveillance has many applications and tracking of objects under observation is an important task, which often needs high spatio-temporal resolution (HSTR) video for better precision. This paper presents the usage of multiple video feeds for the generation of HSTR video as an extension of reference based super resolution (RefSR). One feed captures video at high spatial resolution with low frame rate (HSLF) while the other captures low spatial resolution and high frame rate (LSHF) video simultaneously for the same scene. The main purpose is to create an HSTR video from the fusion of HSLF and LSHF videos. In this paper we propose an end-to-end trainable deep network that performs optical flow estimation and frame reconstruction by combining inputs from both video feeds. The proposed architecture provides significant improvement over existing video frame interpolation and RefSR techniques in terms of objective PSNR and SSIM metrics.

Index Terms— Video super-resolution, deep neural network, dual camera systems

1. INTRODUCTION

Wide area surveillance (WAS) requires wide angle high resolution cameras that continuously survey vast regions, preferably at a high frame rate. Professional WAS camera systems are both bulky and expensive. Hence, alternate ways of acquisition of high spatio-temporal resolution (HSTR) video has been an active area of research. Computational imaging techniques can be applied to produce HSTR videos using multiple lower resolution cameras [1]. Resolution enhancement has been extensively studied in the context of both spatial super resolution (SR), when high resolution (HR) frames are synthesized from corresponding low resolution (LR) frames, and also temporal frame interpolation (TFI) for improving the temporal resolution of low frame rate videos. However, estimation of missing information spatially or temporally is a challenging task since (i) TFI creates motion blur and artifacts

due to assumption of linear motion among the neighboring frames, and (ii) spatial interpolation creates over-smoothed frames missing necessary high frequency components [2].

Applying single image super-resolution (SISR) directly to each LR video frame produces HR video but lacks temporal coherency. To compensate for that, temporal information can be incorporated into video SR process, as sequence of neighboring frames are motion compensated and used to super-resolve the given frame. The quality of video SR can be significantly improved if we provide some HR reference image during the SR process to construct the high frequency details. Recent stream of reference-based super resolution (RefSR) networks have been introduced as an extension of multiple cameras combined in hybrid systems [1, 3, 4]. Using multiple video feeds, SR is performed based on some well-registered reference imagery [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. In this paper, we apply this reference-based approach in the domain of temporal frame interpolation with the assistance of corresponding LR frames that are captured by a second video feed.

Frame rate conversion is referred to as synthetically adding missing frames to increase the frame rate by typically assuming linear motion among the neighboring frames. Recently deep network architectures are used to estimate optical flow [10, 2] and to construct the missing frame, such as the popular channel attention based models [11, 12, 13]. But motion between frames is not always linear, which adds motion induced artifacts, and estimating better motion vectors is still a challenging task. The proposed scenario deals with the preliminary limitation in TFI due to linear motion assumption. In our proposed methodology, frame HR_t can be synthesized between frames HR_{t-1} and HR_{t+1} , even when there is large and irregular motion, with assistance from corresponding LR_τ , ($t-1 \leq \tau \leq t+1$), frames that are used as reference. Hence, overall we want to synthesize HSTR(t, h, w, f) video from HSLF($t, h, w, f/p$) and LSHF($t, h/r, w/r, f$) videos, where h, w, r, f, p are the height, width, scale factor, frame rate (fps) and frame rate factor, respectively.

In this paper we provide an end-to-end trainable deep CNN-based network (named as HSTR-Net) to synthesize HSTR video using HSLF and LSHF video feeds. Proposed




Fig. 1. The block diagram of proposed HSTR-Net.

method uses two HR and three LR frames as input, extracts the necessary high-frequency components from HR inputs and uses LR inputs as reference for better motion compensation and artifact-free reconstruction. The block diagram for proposed architecture is provided in Figure 1 and detailed explanation is given in the Section 2. Simulation results in Section 3 show that HSTR-Net model is superior to state-of-the-art RefSR and TFI methods in terms of PSNR and SSIM metrics and also has low computational complexity. The main contributions of our work can be summarized as:

- We provide an end-to-end trainable network for synthesizing an HR frame from neighboring HR frames under the assistance of corresponding LR frames.
- We introduce modules for low complexity optical flow estimation and trainable warping with deformable convolutions.
- HSTR-Net is robust against complex and large motion, as typically seen in aerial surveillance videos, and is suitable for real-time processing in embedded GPUs, e.g. on a power-limited drone.

2. PROPOSED ARCHITECTURE

In this section we introduce the novel HSTR-Net architecture, a reference based interpolation method to synthesize the high spatio-temporal video. We propose a fully convolutional end-to-end trainable network and baseline approach for proposed methodology. The task under consideration is to mix the two video feeds, one being LSHF (e.g. 112×64 spatial resolution and $30fps$) and other HSLF (e.g. 448×256 having $15fps$) to synthesize one HSHF video (with 448×256 and $30fps$). To synthesize frame HR_t between frames HR_{t-1} and HR_{t+1} , we have used total of 5 frames from the two video sequences as $LR_\tau, (t-1 \leq \tau \leq t+1)$ and $HR_\tau, (\tau = t-1, t+1)$. The proposed architecture in Figure 1 is motivated by RIFE[10], which is a deep frame interpolation network.

2.1. IFNet

IFNet is the input block of HSTR-Net and is used to extract the optical flow (OF) between input frames. We have used the flow estimation module originally proposed in [10] for HSTR-Net. IFNet consists of three IFBlocks that serve as pipeline for the optical flow estimation. Each IFBlock is composed of sequential convolutional layers with 64 channels. Previously estimated flow is upsampled by a factor of 2 before each IFBlock to extract flow information at different resolutions and to capture large motions as well. We have used IFNet to predict the optical flow from neighboring frames to the center frame. At the output of IFNet, we obtain following motion vector fields for both HR and LR frames:

$$OF_{t \rightarrow t-1}, OF_{t \rightarrow t+1}$$

2.2. Warping

Warping is the image registration module used to register one frame on to the other with the help of optical flow. We have used back-warping module as explained in [10]. This module initially splits flow into vertical and horizontal components, performs sub-pixel motion compensation on the input frame to align the input frame with the targeted output.

2.3. ContextNet

ContextNet is a feature extraction model inspired from [10]. ContextNet gradually lowers the resolution of input frame and flows, and then uses warping module to back-warp flow features on to the frame to be synthesized. By doing that, ContextNet generates warped feature channels at different resolutions that are later used to reconstruct accurately the HR_t frame. However, warping is not trainable, hence cannot be adjusted based on content. We replace warping with offset estimator and deformable convolution layers [14], in order to be able to fine-tune registration process of flows on to frames (see Figure 2). Deformable convolution gives better results in terms of PSNR/SSIM metrics, but slows down HSTR-Net.




Fig. 2. ContextNet architecture. F_t and OF denote input frame and optical flow respectively. In each level input feature map and OF is downsampled by a factor of 2. C_0, C_1, C_2 and C_3 denote differently sized outputs of ContextNet.

Figure 2 illustrates the modified ContextNet architecture. ContextNet produces feature maps, C_i , at 4 different scales by downscaling the input frame F_t through convolutional layers with a stride of 2. Optical flow is also downsampled to match the feature map size. The convolution layer output is concatenated with the optical flow and given as input to the offset estimator. Input features and output of the offset estimator go through deformable block for warped feature map generation. Offset estimator dynamically calculates filter offset which is used for spatially varying (i.e. deformable) convolution. In [14] HR reference and LR frame features are used as input for offset estimator, whereas we give reference frame features and optical flow to the offset estimator. Hence in ContextNet, offset estimator is used to improve the accuracy of optical flow vectors and provide more precise registration of feature maps. Offset estimator module is composed of 3 downsample, 3 attention and upsample blocks, and one convolutional layer to scale the channels. Deformable convolution takes advantage of trainable offset to compute registered feature maps. In HSTR-Net, deformable block has one convolutional and one deformable convolution layer to process the input features and the offset. The number of channels in feature maps C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3 are 16, 32, 64 and 128, respectively.

2.4. FusionNet

FusionNet is a reconstruction block that is inspired from UNet[15] auto-encoder structure to infer the HR_t frame. FusionNet consists of an encoder-decoder network and residual connections to extract features at different resolutions which are later fused during frame reconstruction. In HSTR-Net, we give the following inputs to the FusionNet:

- Warped HR frames: $HR_T^W, T = t - 1, t + 1$
- Warped LR frames: $LR_T^W, T = t - 1, t + 1$
- LR reference frame: LR_t
- ContextNet outputs: $HR_T^C, LR_T^C, T = t - 1, t + 1$

FusionNet consists of four downsampling at the encoder and four upsampling convolutional layers at the decoder. Additionally, one final convolutional layer is used to upsample the output and match the initial frame size. ContextNet outputs C_i are concatenated with encoder layer outputs at different scales and given as input to the next layer. The number of output channels in downsampling and upsampling layers are (32, 96, 224, 480) and (128, 64, 32, 16), respectively. Last convolutional layer has 16 input and 4 output channels. 3 output channels correspond to the residual high-frequency components of the synthesized HR frame, and the other output is a pixel-level mask used for computing weighted average of the warped HR frames. Finally the reconstructed frame is computed as follows:

$$\hat{HR}_t = mHR_{t-1}^W + (1 - m)HR_{t+1}^W + R \quad (1)$$

where R is the residual output and m is the generated mask.

3. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

3.1. Datasets

The proposed model is trained on the Vimeo90K[2] dataset and tested on both Vimeo90K and Visdrone[16] datasets. Vimeo90K dataset consists of 51313 training and 3782 test triplets that are recorded by commercial cameras in resolution of 448×256 . Visdrone test dataset is generated from Visdrone aerial videos by resizing the frames to the resolution of 672×380 for a total of 2804 test samples. Visdrone provides a rich test set with aerial drone videos having both camera and object motion. Both datasets only include HR frames; to simulate two-camera system, LR frames are synthesized by first $4 \times$ downsampling the HR frames and then $4 \times$ upsampling the downsampled frames using bicubic interpolation. For evaluation, Peak-Signal-to-Noise-Ratio (PSNR) and Structural Similarity Index Measure (SSIM) are computed between reconstructed and ground truth HR frames.

3.2. Training

HSTR-Net is trained on Vimeo90K training set for 200 epochs, with a learning rate of 10^{-4} for 100 epochs, and

Table 1. Comparative Results on Vimeo90K Dataset. AWWNet and CrossNet results are as reported in the respective papers. Execution times for AWWNet and CrossNet are adopted from [1]. Other results are generated on the benchmark test set.

Method	PSNR	SSIM	TIME(ms)
AWNet[1]	39.88	0.986	~100
CrossNet[3]	39.17	0.985	~150
RIFE[10]	35.61	0.978	10.6
RIFE(2T2R)[10]	36.13	0.980	9.7
ToFlow-interp[2]	37.41	0.976	124.7
MASA-SR [19]	35.08	0.970	39.3
SRNTT[4]	33.90	0.961	1480.3
HSTR-Net w/o dc	40.14	0.988	15.8
HSTR-Net w/ dc	40.62	0.989	60.8

a learning rate to 10^{-5} for the remaining 100 epochs. Data augmentation, including random cropping, rotation and flipping, is used during training. Random cropping increases training set size and also speeds up the training process since frames are cropped to the size of 128x128 pixels. A rotation angle between -10 to 10 degrees is selected for each training sample. Horizontal flipping is applied with %20 probability.

For training the model and supervising the reconstruction, L_1 loss function is preferred [17] instead of typically used Mean Squared Loss (MSE or L_2 loss). The use of L_1 loss slightly reduces the PSNR but visual results are qualitatively better and sharper images are generated [18].

3.3. Discussion of Results

We compare HSTR-Net with previous models on video frame interpolation and reference-based SR [1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 19]. For comparison, we also implemented ToFlow-interp, which is a RefSR version of ToFlow[2] that uses the same set of HR and LR reference frames to reconstruct the missing HR frame. All models except for SRNTT[4] are either trained or fine-tuned on Vimeo90K dataset for fair evaluation.

As seen from Tables 1 and 2, HSTR-Net achieves state-of-the-art results on both Vimeo90K and Visdrone datasets. HSTR-Net successfully makes use of additional information extracted from the LR frames. Other methods fall short in the domain of large and complex motion estimation when only HR frames (i.e. RIFE) or one HR and one LR frame (i.e. MASA-SR) are used for reconstruction. Using only HR frames is not sufficient to capture large and complex motions. Visdrone dataset includes drone captured frames, hence includes large motions and instant changes of motion as well. LR frames contain additional information to aid in capturing and estimating large and complex motions between frames. Despite being trained on Vimeo90K, HSTR-Net generates state-of-the-art results on Visdrone dataset as well, proving that HSTR-Net is a well-generalized network that can be applied in different scenarios.

Table 2. Comparative Results on Visdrone Dataset

Method	PSNR	SSIM	TIME(ms)
RIFE[10]	33.53	0.962	8.7
RIFE(2T2R)[10]	33.63	0.963	8.1
ToFlow-interp[2]	33.69	0.965	150.3
MASA-SR[19]	24.53	0.830	110
SRNTT[4]	25.63	0.874	5661
HSTR-Net w/o dc	34.23	0.968	16.5
HSTR-Net w/ dc	35.06	0.973	77.7

Tables 1 and 2 also provide HSTR-Net results with and without deformable convolution. The use of trainable offset estimator and deformable convolution in exchange for fixed warping module improves PSNR/SSIM results in both Vimeo90K and Visdrone datasets. However the inference time of the model is also significantly increased with the use of deformable convolution. Drones require low memory, fast and less computationally demanding networks for real-time processing due to limitations of computational power of embedded GPUs. HSTR-Net based on warping instead of deformable convolution provides a light-weight alternative for embedded real-time processing and achieves competitive results on reconstructed image quality.



Fig. 3. Visual comparison of RIFE (left) and HSTR-Net (right) on Visdrone images.

Figure 3 illustrates reconstructed frames inferred from highly rotational drone video feeds. As seen from the figure, RIFE[10] cannot compensate for the rotation of camera and creates distorted and blurry output. HSTR-Net successfully incorporates LR frames features and reconstructs visually much better result.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose a reference-based end-to-end trainable network named HSTR-Net for temporal frame interpolation. We demonstrate that LR frames are useful for HR frame reconstruction, especially when there is large and complex motion in the scene. We achieve state-of-the-art results by replacing non-trainable warping with trainable deformable convolution layer and show that using trainable modules generates more accurate results. The models in this work are trained and tested in synthetically generated datasets. As future work, we plan to employ the proposed network on an actual real-life dual camera setup.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Ming Cheng, Zhan Ma, M. Salman Asif, Yiling Xu, Haojie Liu, Wenbo Bao, and Jun Sun, “A dual camera system for high spatiotemporal resolution video acquisition,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 43, no. 10, pp. 3275–3291, 2021.
- [2] Tianfan Xue, Baian Chen, Jiajun Wu, Donglai Wei, and William T Freeman, “Video enhancement with task-oriented flow,” *International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV)*, vol. 127, no. 8, pp. 1106–1125, 2019.
- [3] Haitian Zheng, Mengqi Ji, Haoqian Wang, Yebin Liu, and Lu Fang, “Crossnet: An end-to-end reference-based super resolution network using cross-scale warping,” in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 88–104.
- [4] Zhifei Zhang, Zhaowen Wang, Zhe Lin, and Hairong Qi, “Image super-resolution by neural texture transfer,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2019, pp. 7982–7991.
- [5] Yanchun Xie, Jimin Xiao, Mingjie Sun, Chao Yao, and Kaizhu Huang, “Feature representation matters: End-to-end learning for reference-based image super-resolution,” in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2020, pp. 230–245.
- [6] Haitian Zheng, Mengqi Ji, Lei Han, Ziwei Xu, Haoqian Wang, Yebin Liu, and Lu Fang, “Learning cross-scale correspondence and patch-based synthesis for reference-based super-resolution,” in *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference*. BMVA Press, 2017, pp. pages 138.1–138.13.
- [7] Runmin Dong, Lixian Zhang, and Haohuan Fu, “Rrs-gan: Reference-based super-resolution for remote sensing image,” *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 60, pp. 1–17, 2022.
- [8] Mandan Zhao, Gaochang Wu, Yipeng Li, Xiangyang Hao, Lu Fang, and Yebin Liu, “Cross-scale reference-based light field super-resolution,” *IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 406–418, 2018.
- [9] Xiaoyun Yuan, Lu Fang, Qionghai Dai, David J. Brady, and Yebin Liu, “Multiscale gigapixel video: A cross resolution image matching and warping approach,” in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Computational Photography (ICCP)*, 2017, pp. 1–9.
- [10] Zhewei Huang, Tianyuan Zhang, Wen Heng, Boxin Shi, and Shuchang Zhou, “Rife: Real-time intermediate flow estimation for video frame interpolation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.06294*, 2021.
- [11] Myungsub Choi, Heewon Kim, Bohyung Han, Ning Xu, and Kyoung Mu Lee, “Channel attention is all you need for video frame interpolation,” in *AAAI*, 2020.
- [12] Wenbo Bao, Wei-Sheng Lai, Chao Ma, Xiaoyun Zhang, Zhiyong Gao, and Ming-Hsuan Yang, “Depth-aware video frame interpolation,” in *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2019, pp. 3698–3707.
- [13] Wang Shen, Wenbo Bao, Guangtao Zhai, Li Chen, Xiongkuo Min, and Zhiyong Gao, “Blurry video frame interpolation,” in *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2020, pp. 5113–5122.
- [14] Gyumin Shim, Jinsun Park, and In So Kweon, “Robust reference-based super-resolution with similarity-aware deformable convolution,” in *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2020, pp. 8422–8431.
- [15] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox, “U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation,” in *International Conference on Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention*. Springer, 2015, pp. 234–241.
- [16] Pengfei Zhu, Longyin Wen, Dawei Du, Xiao Bian, Heng Fan, Qinghua Hu, and Haibin Ling, “Detection and tracking meet drones challenge,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, pp. 1–1, 2021.
- [17] Hang Zhao, Orazio Gallo, Iuri Frosio, and Jan Kautz, “Loss functions for image restoration with neural networks,” *IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 47–57, 2017.
- [18] Bee Lim, Sanghyun Son, Heewon Kim, Seungjun Nah, and Kyoung Mu Lee, “Enhanced deep residual networks for single image super-resolution,” in *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) Workshops*, July 2017.
- [19] Liying Lu, Wenbo Li, Xin Tao, Lu Jiangbo, and Jiaya Jia, “Masa-sr: Matching acceleration and spatial adaptation for reference-based image super-resolution,” in *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2021.