

# A Survey on Location-Driven Influence Maximization

Taotao Cai<sup>1</sup>, Quan Z. Sheng<sup>1</sup>, Xiangyu Song<sup>2</sup>, Jian Yang<sup>1</sup>, Shuang Wang<sup>5</sup>,  
Wei Emma Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Jia Wu<sup>1</sup>, Philip S. Yu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Computing, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

<sup>2</sup>School of Info Technology, Deakin University, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>3</sup>School of Computer Science, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, USA

<sup>5</sup>School of Computer Science and Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

{taotao.cai, michael.sheng, jian.yang, jia.wu}@mq.edu.au,

xiangyu.song@deakin.edu.au, shuangwang@seu.edu.cn,

wei.e.zhang@adelaide.edu.au, psyu@uic.edu

## Abstract

*Influence Maximization* (IM), which aims to select a set of users from a social network to maximize the expected number of influenced users, is an evergreen hot research topic. Its research outcomes significantly impact the real-world applications such as business marketing. The booming location-based network platforms of the last decade appeal to the researchers embedding the location information into the traditional IM research. In this survey, we provide a comprehensive review of the existing location-driven IM studies from the perspective of the following key aspects: (1) a review of the application scenarios of these works, (2) the diffusion models to evaluate the influence propagation, and (3) a comprehensive study of the approaches to deal with the location-driven IM problems together with a particular focus on the accelerating techniques. In the end, we draw prospects into the research directions in future IM research.

## 1 Introduction

In the past two decades, the rise of social media platforms (e.g., Twitter) has brought dramatic changes to human society, especially in the area of mass communication and commercial marketing. To better utilize the power of social networks, many researchers turn to the study of *influence maximization* (IM) [Kempe *et al.*, 2003; Golovin and Krause, 2011; Yuan and Tang, 2017], which aims to select a set of influential users with a given budget to achieve the maximum information spread in a social network. To fit with different practical application scenarios, several variants of the IM problem have been investigated recently, including *Topic-aware* IM [Guo *et al.*, 2013; Li *et al.*, 2015], *Time-aware* IM [Feng *et al.*, 2014; Xie *et al.*, 2015; Huang *et al.*, 2019], *Community-aware* IM [Tsang *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2020a; Yadav *et al.*, 2018], *Competitive* IM [Ou *et al.*, 2016; Tsaras *et al.*, 2021; Becker *et al.*, 2020], *Multi-strategies* IM [Kempe *et al.*, 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2020a], and

IM with semi-bandit [Wen *et al.*, 2017; Lin *et al.*, 2015; Sun *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2020b].

With the popularity of GPS-equipped smart devices (e.g., smart phones) and the rise of many location-based social network platforms (e.g., Gowalla) in recent years, large-scale digital data with location information are generated and recorded from online social communications, offline facility information (e.g., electronic billboards) and moving objects (e.g., pedestrian and taxi trajectories). The availability of location and communication datasets makes the study of location-driven IM feasible.

Research along the direction of location-driven IM can be categorized as the following two lines. The first line of research focuses on equipping the traditional IM study with location information [Li *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Güney *et al.*, 2021], while the other line of research concentrates on finding a set of optimal offline objects (e.g., billboards) to maximize the influence spread with a given budget [Zhang *et al.*, 2019b; Zhang *et al.*, 2021].

**The motivation of this survey.** Several existing survey papers [Tejaswi *et al.*, 2016; Arora *et al.*, 2017; Sumith *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2018b; Banerjee *et al.*, 2020] have provided a detailed introduction and analysis of the IM problem. However, some critical emerging trends of IM research haven't been thoroughly discussed in these surveys, i.e., IM query with location information. This has motivated us to comprehensively summarize the research progress of location-driven IM through a fine-grained classification and a systematic analysis of existing location-driven IM methods.

**The structure of this survey.** This paper focuses on providing a comprehensive survey on existing location-driven IM studies. As illustrated in Figure 1, we summarize the existing location-driven IM research efforts in our survey paper through the following three critical perspectives, including: (1) the *problem description*, (2) the *influence diffusion model*, and (3) the *methodology*:

- **Problem description.** To better summarize the existing location-driven IM works, we categorize them into two research lines based on their application scenarios, in-




Figure 1: An Overview of this Survey.

cluding the *Online Location-Aware Influence Maximization* (OLIM) and the *Offline Billboards Influence Maximization* (OBIM). Besides, we give precise problem descriptions for each of them in Section 2.

- **Diffusion Model.** We systematically introduce the influence diffusion models used to capture the information diffusion process in the existing OLIM and OBIM studies, respectively, in Section 3.
- **Methodology.** Since the IM problem is NP-hard, so is the location-driven IM problem. The existing location-driven IM works focus on developing approximate solutions. We summarize the existing framework algorithms to deal with OLIM and OBIM problems, respectively. In addition, we provide an in-depth analysis of the acceleration techniques of each location-driven IM related paper that are used to improve the efficiency of their framework algorithms in Section 4.

**Contributions.** The main contributions of this survey are summarized as follows:

- We systematically analyze the existing location-driven IM works and classify them from a well-developed fine-grained taxonomy based on their application scenarios.
- We comprehensively study the algorithms of existing location-driven IM works, with a particular focus on their accelerating techniques.
- We outline some promising future research directions in location-driven IM, which will provide valuable references to the development of the community.

## 2 Background and Problem Description

This section first introduces the influence maximization (IM) problem. Then, we divide the existing location-driven IM studies into two directions: *online location-aware influence maximization* (OLIM) and *offline billboards influence maximization* (OBIM), and formally define the research problems on OLIM and OBIM, respectively.

### 2.1 The Influence Maximization (IM) Problem

Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  representing a social network, where  $V$  is the set of nodes in  $G$  (i.e., *users*) and  $E$  is the set of edges in  $G$ , and each edge  $(u, v) \in E$  in  $G$  is associated with a *propagation probability*  $p(u, v) \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $I(S)$  be the number of vertices that are activated by  $S$  in graph  $G$  on the influence propagation process. The IM problem aims to find a size- $k$  seed set  $S$  with the maximum expected influence spread  $E(I(S))$ . We formally define the IM problem as follows:

**Definition 1** (IM Problem). *Given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , and an integer  $k$ , the IM problem aims to find an optimal seed set  $S^*$  satisfying,*

$$S^* = \arg \max_{S \subseteq V, |S|=k} E(I(S)) \quad (1)$$

### 2.2 Online Location-aware IM

The online location-aware influence maximization (OLIM) problem is a variant of the traditional IM problem which considers the effect of given location information on the influence diffusion to the target users in online social networks. For instance, [Su *et al.*, 2018] focuses on finding a set of users to maximize the influence spread over the target users who have both topic and geographical preferences on influence diffusion.

In brief, we can conclude that the traditional IM problem and the OLIM problem share a common goal with two different settings. The common goal is that both aim to find a set of seed users to maximize the influenced target users in the online social networks. Differently, the IM and OLIM problems differ in the definition of target users and the influence diffusion process. Specifically, the definition of target users in the IM problem can be any users in a social network. In contrast, the target users in OLIM may need to satisfy the location constraint, e.g., the distance between seed users and target users does not exceed a given threshold. Besides, compared with the IM problem, evaluating the influence diffusion process for given seed users in the OLIM problem requires further consideration of the effect of the users' locations.

### 2.3 Offline Billboards IM

With the availability of trajectory data of moving objects and offline facilities' information e.g., billboards' locations and pedestrian trajectories, recent studies [Zhang *et al.*, 2019b; Zhang *et al.*, 2021] initiate the influence maximization problem in Out-of-Home advertising on billboards. We call it *offline billboards influence maximization* (OBIM). The goal of the OBIM problem is to maximize the influence for the advertiser (e.g., billboards). We provide a generic definition of the OBIM problem as follows:

**Definition 2** (OBIM Problem). *Given a trajectory database  $\mathcal{T}$ , a set of billboards  $U$  to place ads and the budget  $L$ , the OBIM problem aims to find a subset of billboards  $S \subset U$ , which maximizes the expected number of influenced trajectories.*

The critical difference between the OLIM and the OBIM problems is the influence diffusion process. In the OLIM

problem, user influences can be spread from one user to others in online social networks. On the contrary, in the OBIM problem, a user can be influenced by an outdoor billboard only if this user passes by the billboard within a certain range.

### 3 The Influence Diffusion Models

In this section, we review the influence diffusion models that are used to capture the information diffusion process in the existing OLIM and OBIM works, respectively.

#### 3.1 Diffusion Models in OLIM

In the OLIM problem, three well-known diffusion models are widely used in modeling the influence diffusion process, which are the *independent cascade* model, the *Linear threshold* model [Kempe *et al.*, 2003], and the *maximum influence arborescence* model [Chen *et al.*, 2010]. We associate each user in the following diffusion models with a status of either *inactive* or *active*.

**Independent cascade (IC) model:** the IC model is one of the widely adopted stochastic models which is used for modeling the influence propagation in social networks. In this model, we represent the social network as a graph  $G$ ,  $S_0$  as a set of initial *activated* users, and generate the activated sets  $S_t$  for all  $t \geq 1$  according to the following randomized rule. At every time step  $t \geq 1$ , we first set  $S_t$  to be  $S_{t-1}$ ; Each user  $u$  activated in time step  $t$  has one chance to activate his or her neighbours  $v$  with propagation probability  $p(u, v)$ . If successful, we then add  $v$  into  $S_t$  and change the status of  $v$  to *activated*. This process continues until no more possible activations. Finally,  $S_t$  is returned as the *activated* user set of  $S_0$ .

**Linear threshold (LT) model:** The key idea of the LT model is that a user may change his or her status from *inactivated* to *activated* if the user has sufficient number of activated neighbours. Specifically, let  $G = (V, E)$  represent the social network where each edge  $e = (u, v) \in E$  is associated with a weight  $w_e$  and each user  $u$  is associated with a threshold  $\lambda_u$ .  $S_0$  is a set of initial *activated* users, and generates the active sets  $S_t$  for all  $t \geq 1$ . At each time step  $t \geq 1$ , all the *activated* users in step  $t - 1$  remain *activated* in step  $t$ , and any user  $v$  that is *inactivated* in step  $t - 1$  will be changed to *activated* in step  $t$  if the total edge weights between  $v$  and its *activated* neighbours is no less than  $\lambda_v$ . The LT influence diffusion terminates when there are no more new *activated* users.

**Maximum influence arborescence (MIA) model:** The MIA model is the most known as a reduced model of the IC model. The key idea of the MIA model is to restrict the influence diffusion of each user  $u$  to a local tree structure with  $u$  as the root. In concise, the MIA model performs the reductions from two aspects: (1) For any user pair  $(u, v)$ , it considers that  $u$  can only influence  $v$  through the maximum influence paths (MIPs), while MIP is the path with the maximum influence probability among any paths between users  $u$  and  $v$ , i.e.,  $MIP(u, v)$ ; (2) Given threshold  $\theta$ , all MIPs with the propagation probabilities less than  $\theta$  will be ignored. Therefore, the influence diffusion of a given user  $v$  is a set of users  $u \in V$  with  $MIP(u, v) \geq \theta$  under the MIA model.

#### 3.2 Diffusion Models in OBIM

Several diffusion models have been widely used in the OBIM problem to evaluate the influence propagation effects for a given offline object (e.g., billboards), including the *one-time impression model* [Liu *et al.*, 2016], the *multi-time impression model* [Zhang *et al.*, 2020], and the *logistic influence model* [Zhang *et al.*, 2019b].

**One-time impression (OI) model:** Given a trajectory database  $\mathcal{T}$  with each trajectory  $t = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{|t|}\}$ , a billboard  $b$  with location information  $b.loc$ , and a given threshold  $\lambda$ . For each trajectory  $t$ , if  $\exists p_i \in t$  such that the distance between  $p_i$  to  $b.loc$  is no less than  $\lambda$ , we define that trajectory  $t$  is influenced by the billboard  $b$ , i.e.,  $Distance(p_i, b.loc) \leq \lambda$ .

**Multi-time impression (MI) Model:** Given a trajectory  $t = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{|t|}\}$ , a billboard set  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l\}$ , we set  $pr(b_i, t)$  as a uniform value if a billboard  $b_i \in B$  can influence  $t$ . The value of  $pr(b_i, t)$  is correlated with the billboard set size and the billboards exposure frequency, i.e.,  $pr(b_i, t) = size(b_i)/A$  where  $size(b_i)$  is the panel size of  $b_i$  and  $A = max_{b_i \in B} size(b_i)$ . Then, we use the following equation to compute the influence of a billboard set  $S$  to  $t$ :

$$pr(S, t) = 1 - \prod_{b_i \in S} (1 - pr(b_i, t)) \quad (2)$$

**Logistic influence (LI) model:** Given a trajectory  $t = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{|t|}\}$ , a billboard set  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l\}$ , and a Bernoulli random variable  $I(b_i, t), i \in [1, l]$  to denote the states whether  $b$  impresses  $t$ . When  $I(b, t) = 1$ , we say  $b$  impresses  $t$ ; otherwise, no impression is delivered. The logistic influence model evaluates the *effective* influence of a set of billboards  $S \subseteq B$  to a trajectory  $t$ ,  $P(S, t)$ , by using the logistic function based equation as follow:

$$P(S, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + \exp\{\alpha - \beta \cdot \sum_{b_i \in S} I(b_i, t)\}}, & \text{if } \exists b_i \in S, I(b_i, t) = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In Equation 3,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the parameters to control  $t$ 's turning point for being influenced.

**Other models:** There also exist several other uncommon diffusion models for evaluating the influence propagation process of the given billboard, such as the *quantitative model* in [Wang *et al.*, 2019], the *application-driven mining model* in [Liu *et al.*, 2016], and the *voter model* in [Wu *et al.*, 2018].

## 4 Methodology

In this section, we systematically analyze the methodologies of the existing location-driven IM studies.

### 4.1 Approaches of Existing OLIM Studies

We first introduce the methodologies of the existing OLIM works. An analysis of these works is summarized in Table 1. Specifically, we categorize the framework algorithms of existing OLIM works into three approaches based on how an approach overcomes the #P-hardness of evaluating the influence spread  $I(S)$  of given users  $S$ , including (1) the *simulation-based approach*, (2) the *sketch-based approach*, and (3) the *proxy-based approach*.

| OLIM   | Diffusion Model        | Algorithms                | Accelerating    | Application Scenarios  |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| [Li <i>et al.</i> , 2014]                                | MIA model              | Proxy-based approach      | Index & Pruning | Maximizing the influenced users within the given Region.   |
| [Zhou <i>et al.</i> , 2015b]                             | TP model (Variant IC)  | Proxy-based approach      | None            | Online promoting to drive the offline consuming.   |
| [Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2016a; Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2016b] | MIA model              | Proxy-based approach      | Index & Pruning | Maximizing the influence of potential customers of a given promoted location.  |
| [Song <i>et al.</i> , 2016]                              | IC model               | Sketch-based approach     | Heuristic       | Targeted influence maximization.   |
| [Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2018]                              | SIC model (Variant IC) | Proxy-based approach      | Index           | Retrieving a seed set with the maximum influence value over location-aware social streams.   |
| [Li <i>et al.</i> , 2018a; Cai <i>et al.</i> , 2020]     | HIM model (Variant IC) | Sketch-based approach     | Index & Pruning | Evaluating the influence diffusion by considering the social connection, spatial connection and preference-based connection, rather than only take social connection into account. |
| [Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2019]                               | MIA model              | Proxy-based approach      | None            | Retrieving $k$ positive users to block the diffusion of influence of negative seed users to the targeted users of a given region.  |
| [Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2020b]                             | SIM model (Variant IC) | Simulation-based approach | Index & Pruning | Designing a new IC model based influence diffusion that further take the users' spatial-temporal behavior into account.  |

Table 1: Summary of the existing OLIM research works

### Simulation-based Approach

The core idea of this approach is to estimate the influence spread  $I(S)$  of a given user set  $S$  by using the *Monte Carlo* (MC) simulations of the diffusion process [Kempe *et al.*, 2003; Leskovec *et al.*, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2015a]. Specifically, for a given user set  $S$ , we simulate the randomized diffusion process with  $S$  for  $R$  times. Each time we count the number of active users after the diffusion ends, and then take the average of these counts over the  $R$  times.

[Chen *et al.*, 2020b] mentions that the existing works try to study influence maximization by only considering the geographic distance, while ignoring the influence of users' spatio-temporal behaviours on information diffusion or location promotion. Motivated by this drawback, they propose a Similarity-aware Influence Maximization (SIM) model to evaluate the influence diffusion, which is an extension of the IC model by taking the effect of users' spatio-temporal behaviors into account. Moreover, two greedy algorithms, including influence propagation trees index-based and cutting tails pruning algorithms, are proposed to find optimal seed users to maximize the influence spread under the SIM model based on the simulation-based approach.

### Proxy-based Approach

Instead of running heavy MC simulation, the proxy-based approach estimates the influence spread of given users via the proxy models. Precisely, this approach is aligned with a specific diffusion model (e.g., IC model), and the influence spread estimation process of given users is highly accelerated by taking advantage of the properties of the corresponding models. Intuitively, there are two branches of the proxy-based approaches, including (1) Estimate the influence spread of given users by transforming it to easier problems (e.g., Degree and PageRank) [Chen *et al.*, 2010; Galhotra *et al.*, 2016]; and (2) Simplify the typical diffusion model (e.g., IC model) to a deterministic model (e.g., MIA model) [Chen *et al.*, 2010] or restrict the influence propagation range of given users under the typical diffusion model to the local subgraph [Goyal *et al.*, 2011] to precisely compute the influence spread of given users. Compared with the simulation-based approach, the proxy-based approach offers higher performance, but lacks theoretical guarantees. The

proxy-based approach has been used in [Li *et al.*, 2014; Zhou *et al.*, 2015b; Wang *et al.*, 2016a; Wang *et al.*, 2016b; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Zhu *et al.*, 2019]. We introduce the details of these efforts as follows.

[Li *et al.*, 2014] adopts the proxy-based approach under the MIA model. To accelerate their algorithm, the authors focus on developing bound estimation techniques for effective pruning. Besides, they use a classic spatial index *QuadTree* to fast locate the users having influence on the "influences" in the given region. [Zhou *et al.*, 2015b] points out the previous work tends to concentrate on a single aspect of online social networks, treating location as a simple property and ignoring the presence of offline consumption behaviour. To conquer this drawback, they propose an improved influence diffusion model, namely the TP model, which builds on the IC model to further evaluate influence diffusion weighted by the user's distance from an offline location. Under the TP model, the proxy-based approach is utilized to find the optimal users to maximize the influence spread.

[Wang *et al.*, 2016a; Wang *et al.*, 2016b] focus on maximizing the influence of potential customers in a given promotion location. They adopt the MIA diffusion model for influence approximation and utilize the proxy-based approach as the framework algorithm. To this end, they devise the pruning method to accelerate the framework algorithm by reducing the number of candidate users to be evaluated. [Song *et al.*, 2016] also studies the similar research topic as [Wang *et al.*, 2016a]. Differently, the authors adopt a sketch-based approach to deal with their research problem.

[Wang *et al.*, 2018] points out that most existing IM algorithms are static and location-unaware, which rarely reflect the evolving and location-aware nature of social networks. To track the influential users over social streams and further consider the users' location information, the authors propose two IM queries, *Stream Influence Maximization* (SIM) and *Location-aware SIM* (LSIM). SIM adopts the sliding window model and maintains a seed set with the maximum influence value collectively over the most recent social actions, while LSIM considers the social actions associated with *geo-tags* and identifies a seed set that maximizes the influence value in a query region over a location-aware social stream.

[Zhu *et al.*, 2019] generalizes the problem by considering the location-aware targeted influence blocking maximization, which aims to find a positive seed user set to block the influence propagation of negative seed users over the targeted users located in a given region. They propose a problem-solving algorithm by adopting the proxy-based approach together with a QT-tree index that is used to store topics and locations information of users.

### Sketch-based Approach

To avoid running heavy MC simulations and reserve the theoretical guarantee, the sketch-based approach [Borgs *et al.*, 2014] pre-computes a number of *Reverse Reachable* (RR) sketches based on a specific diffusion model and then evaluates the influence spread of given users set  $S$  by exploiting the portion of RR-sketches which  $S$  can reach. Here, the RR-sketch is constructed by randomly selecting a node  $u \in V$ , and conducting a reverse MC sampling starting from  $u$ . By performing the above operation iteratively  $\theta$  times, the RR sketches can be obtained. Compared with the simulation-based approach, the sketch-based approach has a lower time complexity under a theoretical guarantee.

[Cai *et al.*, 2020; Li *et al.*, 2018a] study the holistic influence maximization (HIM) query problem, which aims to find a minimum set of users whose holistic influence can cover all target users in the network. They evaluate the influence diffusion by considering the social connection, spatial connection, and preference-based connection, rather than only taking social connection into account. Specifically, they propose a holistic influence diffusion model, named HIM model, under the basis of the IC model that takes into account both cyber and physical user interactions. To answer the HIM query, they propose a basic algorithm that uses the reverse  $k$  nearest neighbors technique to evaluate the offline influence spread and adopt the sketch-based approach to calculate the influence of users under the HIM model. In addition, they develop an index-based method to deal with large networks.

## 4.2 Approaches of Existing OBIM Studies

We then analyze the methodologies of the existing OBIM studies. A summary of this analysis is illustrated in Table 2.

### Cluster-based Approach for Single Advertiser

As observed by [Zhang *et al.*, 2018], the billboards in different areas should have small overlaps in their influenced trajectories. The cluster-based approach exploits the locality property of billboards' influence to avoid the overlap of influence. Specifically, this approach firstly partitions the billboards into a set of small clusters with low influence overlap, and then finds the local solutions of each cluster by using the enumeration method. After that, a dynamic programming approach is executed to construct the global solution based on the local solution of each cluster.

Some studies [Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2019b; Wang *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2020] focus on helping the single advertisers to achieve the largest influence under their budget constraints by selecting the optimal billboards. Specifically, [Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2020] study the problem of trajectory-driven influence billboard placement (TIP), which aims to find a set of billboards within bud-

get such that the placed ads on the selected billboards has the maximum influence under the budget. The authors address the TIP problem under the MI model and adopt a cluster-based approach to deal with the TIP problem. Moreover, they boost the time efficiency of the algorithm by pruning billboards with a low benefit or cost ratio.

Similar with [Zhang *et al.*, 2018], [Zhang *et al.*, 2019b] proposes the problem of optimizing impression counts for outdoor advertising (ICOA), which aims to find a set of billboards that have the maximum influence under the budget. Differently, [Zhang *et al.*, 2019b] uses the LI model to evaluate the influence spread of billboards. Since the objective function of ICOA problem is non-submodularity under the LI model, which means no efficient algorithms with constant approximation ratio to ICOA. To conquer this challenge, they propose an estimation method to compute the upper bound by using a dynamic tangent line to tightly bound the real influence. Based on the upper bound function, the authors propose a branch-and-bound framework to answer the ICOA query. Moreover, they optimize this framework by designing a progressive upper-bound estimation based pruning method with  $(\theta/2(1 - 1/e - \varepsilon))$ -approximation ratio.

### Demos of OBIM

There exist two demo works of OBIM. [Liu *et al.*, 2016] systematically studies the problem of identifying the optimal billboard locations using the trajectory data. Specifically, they present an interactive visual analytics system, named SmartAdP, to deal with the optimal billboard locations through a greedy heuristic algorithm, called  $k$ -location query under the OI model, together with several visualizations and interaction techniques. Moreover, [Zhang *et al.*, 2019a] aims to assist users in finding an optimal strategy for outdoor advertising deployment. Specifically, they implement an interactive visual demonstration system, namely ITAA, to find the optimal billboard development strategy, while the optimal billboards query techniques are from [Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2019b].

### Other OBIM Works

[Guo *et al.*, 2016] introduces an IM problem over trajectory databases, which aims to find  $k$  best trajectories to be attached with a given advertisement and maximizes the expected influence among a large group of users. To deal with this problem, they propose a cluster-based algorithm that partitions the trajectory database into clusters and accesses the clusters in an order. Besides, a trajectory index is proposed to reduce the influence calculation cost. Different from any other IM related works, this work does not consider the influence diffusion.

The latest OBIM work [Zhang *et al.*, 2021] studies the OBIM problem from the host's perspective, which is responsible for assigning billboards to all advertisers, and formulates this as the *Minimizing Regret for the Out-of-Home Advertising Market Problem* (MROAM). The MROAM problem aims to minimize the regret of the influence providers when dealing with numerous influence purchasers. To deal with this problem, the authors first use the OI model to evaluate the influence diffusion between billboards and users. Then, they propose two greedy heuristic methods as baselines to answer the MROSAM query, where the billboards are assigned

| OBIM   | Diffusion Model | Algorithms                | Accelerating    | Application Scenarios  |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--|
| [Guo <i>et al.</i> , 2016]                               | –               | Cluster-based approach    | Pruning & Index | Finding $k$ trajectories to be attached with a given advertisement and maximizes the expected influence among audience.                                |
| [Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2016]                               | OI model        | Demo                      | None            | Identifying the optimal billboard locations using the trajectory data.   |
| [Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2018; Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2020] | MI model        | Cluster-based approach    | Pruning         | Maximizing the number of influenced trajectories by setting a set of billboards within a limited budget cost.  |
| [Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2018]                                | voter model     | Gradient algorithm        | None            | Relating IM for the voting dynamics to models of network control in which external controllers interact with the intrinsic dynamics of opinion spread. |
| [Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2019b]                            | LI model        | Branch-and-bound approach | Heuristic       | Finding a set of billboards that have the maximum influence under the budget.  |
| [Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2019a]                            | MI / LI model   | Demo                      | None            | A demonstrate system to assists users to find an optimal strategy for outdoor advertising deployment.  |
| [Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2021]                             | OI model        | Greedy algorithm          | Local search    | Minimizing the regret of the influence provider when dealing with numerous influence purchasers.   |

Table 2: Summary of the existing OBIM works

based on a descending order. Since the greedy methods may produce a poor local minimum because the objective function of MROSAM is non-submodular and non-monotone, the authors further propose a randomized local search framework to avoid the local minimum.

## 5 Challenges and Opportunities

Some critical issues in location-driven IM still remain for further investigation. In this section, we discuss four challenges and their potential research opportunities.

### 5.1 Challenge I: Predicting OLIM /OBIM

The existing OLIM/OBIM researches focus on finding a set of seed users (or billboards) under the current social network or trajectory databases. However, commercial companies want their product information to be disseminated to the majority of their customers over a period of time after they have spent their budget. Therefore, the seed users selected by using existing OLIM/OBIM methods may not have a good performance for influence spread in the following period, as the network topology often evolves in the real world [Leskovec *et al.*, 2008].

*Opportunities:* The *temporal link prediction* (TLP) technique [de Bruin *et al.*, 2021; Yu *et al.*, 2017] can help predict the topology evolution of social networks and trajectories. Developing effective algorithms to find a set of influential users (or billboards) in the future period based on the TLP method would be an exciting research topic.

### 5.2 Challenge II: Network Evolution

Reverse Reachable Sketch (RRS) [Borgs *et al.*, 2014] is the state-of-the-art algorithm to deal with the IM problem. However, the RRS method cannot be directly used to deal with the dynamic IM problem in which the graph structure dynamically changes. This is because the structure information of each graph sketch does not remain the same during the process of RRS.

*Opportunities:* One possible solution is to introduce a new index to dynamically maintain the structural information of each graph sketch generated from the RRS method. It would be a breakthrough in the IM research if we successfully develop a new index-based variant of the RRS method which can efficiently deal with the dynamic IM problem.

### 5.3 Challenge III: Non-submodularity

As mentioned in this survey, the OBIM problem is NP-hard, and most of the existing solutions are based on greedy algorithms. However, some influence diffusion models of the OBIM problem have a non-submodular diffusion function [Zhang *et al.*, 2019b]. In this situation, greedy algorithms are no longer effective.

*Opportunities:* There are two possible research directions. The first direction is to design a new influence diffusion model instead of the models with non-submodular diffusion function. The other direction is to loosen the non-submodular diffusion model to satisfy the weakly submodular function.

### 5.4 Challenge IV: Seed Users vs. Budget

All existing research efforts dedicated to the influence maximization problem depend on a strong assumption – the selected seed users will spread the given information. However, some of the chosen individual seed users may be unwilling to promote the product information for various reasons in the real business market. Motivated by this scenario, a new type of IM problem description is required.

*Opportunities:* To this point, we suggest studying the optimal influential edges query rather than the traditional seed users selection of IM problem. Specifically, an interesting problem description would be researching for boosting influence spread by arousing the estranged relationships emerged in the past but have drifted apart.

## 6 Conclusions

This paper conducts a comprehensive survey on the existing location-driven influence maximization (IM) research and classifies the efforts into OLIM and OBIM based on their application scenarios. We systematically review the influence diffusion models in existing OLIM and OBIM research works, respectively. Furthermore, we study the problem-solving methods of all existing OLIM and OBIM works, including the basic framework algorithms and the accelerating techniques to boost the efficiency of the basic framework algorithms. Finally, we provide several exciting research problems and opportunities under the topic of location-driven IM.

## References

- [Arora *et al.*, 2017] Akhil Arora, Sainyam Galhotra, and Sayan Ranu. Debunking the myths of influence maximization: An in-depth benchmarking study. In *SIGMOD*, pages 651–666, 2017.
- [Banerjee *et al.*, 2020] Suman Banerjee, Mamata Jenamani, and Dilip Kumar Pratihar. A survey on influence maximization in a social network. *Knowl. Inf. Syst.*, 62(9):3417–3455, 2020.
- [Becker *et al.*, 2020] Ruben Becker, Federico Corò, Gianlorenzo D’Angelo, and Hugo Gilbert. Balancing spreads of influence in a social network. In *AAAI*, pages 3–10, 2020.
- [Borgs *et al.*, 2014] Christian Borgs, Michael Brautbar, Jennifer Chayes, and Brendan Lucier. Maximizing social influence in nearly optimal time. In *SIAM*, pages 946–957, 2014.
- [Cai *et al.*, 2020] Taotao Cai, Jianxin Li, Ajmal S Mian, Timos Sellis, Jeffrey Xu Yu, et al. Target-aware holistic influence maximization in spatial social networks. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data. Eng.*, 2020.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2010] Wei Chen, Chi Wang, and Yajun Wang. Scalable influence maximization for prevalent viral marketing in large-scale social networks. In *SIGKDD*, pages 1029–1038, 2010.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2020a] Wei Chen, Weizhong Zhang, and Haoyu Zhao. Gradient method for continuous influence maximization with budget-saving considerations. In *AAAI*, pages 43–50, 2020.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2020b] Xuanhao Chen, Yan Zhao, Guanfeng Liu, Rui Sun, Xiaofang Zhou, and Kai Zheng. Efficient similarity-aware influence maximization in geo-social network. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data. Eng.*, 2020.
- [de Bruin *et al.*, 2021] Gerrit Jan de Bruin, Cor J Veenman, H Jaap van den Herik, and Frank W Takes. Supervised temporal link prediction in large-scale real-world networks. *Soc. Netw. Anal. Min.*, 11(1):1–16, 2021.
- [Feng *et al.*, 2014] Shanshan Feng, Xuefeng Chen, Gao Cong, Yifeng Zeng, Yeow Meng Chee, and Yanping Xiang. Influence maximization with novelty decay in social networks. In *AAAI*, 2014.
- [Galhotra *et al.*, 2016] Sainyam Galhotra, Akhil Arora, and Shourya Roy. Holistic influence maximization: Combining scalability and efficiency with opinion-aware models. In *ICDM*, pages 743–758, 2016.
- [Golovin and Krause, 2011] Daniel Golovin and Andreas Krause. Adaptive submodularity: Theory and applications in active learning and stochastic optimization. *J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, 42:427–486, 2011.
- [Goyal *et al.*, 2011] Amit Goyal, Wei Lu, and Laks VS Lakshmanan. Simpath: An efficient algorithm for influence maximization under the linear threshold model. In *ICDM*, pages 211–220, 2011.
- [Güney *et al.*, 2021] Evren Güney, Markus Leitner, Mario Ruthmair, and Markus Sinnl. Large-scale influence maximization via maximal covering location. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 289(1):144–164, 2021.
- [Guo *et al.*, 2013] Jing Guo, Peng Zhang, Chuan Zhou, Yanan Cao, and Li Guo. Personalized influence maximization on social networks. In *CIKM*, pages 199–208, 2013.
- [Guo *et al.*, 2016] Long Guo, Dongxiang Zhang, Gao Cong, Wei Wu, and Kian-Lee Tan. Influence maximization in trajectory databases. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data. Eng.*, 29(3):627–641, 2016.
- [Huang *et al.*, 2019] Shixun Huang, Zhifeng Bao, J Shane Culpepper, and Bang Zhang. Finding temporal influential users over evolving social networks. In *ICDE*, pages 398–409, 2019.
- [Kempe *et al.*, 2003] David Kempe, Jon M. Kleinberg, and Éva Tardos. Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network. In *SIGKDD*, pages 137–146, 2003.
- [Kempe *et al.*, 2015] David Kempe, Jon M. Kleinberg, and Éva Tardos. Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network. *Theory Comput.*, 11:105–147, 2015.
- [Leskovec *et al.*, 2007] Jure Leskovec, Andreas Krause, Carlos Guestrin, Christos Faloutsos, Jeanne VanBriesen, and Natalie Glance. Cost-effective outbreak detection in networks. In *SIGKDD*, pages 420–429, 2007.
- [Leskovec *et al.*, 2008] Jure Leskovec, Lars Backstrom, Ravi Kumar, and Andrew Tomkins. Microscopic evolution of social networks. In *SIGKDD*, pages 462–470, 2008.
- [Li *et al.*, 2014] Guoliang Li, Shuo Chen, Jianhua Feng, Kian-lee Tan, and Wen-syan Li. Efficient location-aware influence maximization. In *SIGMOD*, pages 87–98, 2014.
- [Li *et al.*, 2015] Yuchen Li, Dongxiang Zhang, and Kian-Lee Tan. Real-time targeted influence maximization for online advertisements. In *PVLDB*, pages 1070–1081, 2015.
- [Li *et al.*, 2018a] Jianxin Li, Taotao Cai, Ajmal Mian, Rong-Hua Li, Timos Sellis, and Jeffrey Xu Yu. Holistic influence maximization for targeted advertisements in spatial social networks. In *ICDE*, pages 1340–1343, 2018.
- [Li *et al.*, 2018b] Yuchen Li, Ju Fan, Yanhao Wang, and Kian-Lee Tan. Influence maximization on social graphs: A survey. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data. Eng.*, 30(10):1852–1872, 2018.
- [Li *et al.*, 2020a] Jianxin Li, Taotao Cai, Ke Deng, Xinjue Wang, Timos Sellis, and Feng Xia. Community-diversified influence maximization in social networks. *Inf. Syst.*, 92:101522, 2020.
- [Li *et al.*, 2020b] Shuai Li, Fang Kong, Kejie Tang, Qizhi Li, and Wei Chen. Online influence maximization under linear threshold model. In *NIPS*, 2020.
- [Lin *et al.*, 2015] Tian Lin, Jian Li, and Wei Chen. Stochastic online greedy learning with semi-bandit feedbacks. In *NIPS*, pages 352–360, 2015.

- [Liu *et al.*, 2016] Dongyu Liu, Di Weng, Yuhong Li, Jie Bao, Yu Zheng, Huamin Qu, and Yingcai Wu. Smartadp: Visual analytics of large-scale taxi trajectories for selecting billboard locations. *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, 23(1):1–10, 2016.
- [Ou *et al.*, 2016] Han-Ching Ou, Chung-Kuang Chou, and Ming-Syan Chen. Influence maximization for complementary goods: Why parties fail to cooperate? In *CIKM*, pages 1713–1722, 2016.
- [Song *et al.*, 2016] Chonggang Song, Wynne Hsu, and Mong Li Lee. Targeted influence maximization in social networks. In *CIKM*, pages 1683–1692, 2016.
- [Su *et al.*, 2018] Sen Su, Xiao Li, Xiang Cheng, and Chenna Sun. Location-aware targeted influence maximization in social networks. *J. Assoc. Inf. Sci. Technol.*, 69(2):229–241, 2018.
- [Sumith *et al.*, 2018] N Sumith, B Annappa, and Swapan Bhattacharya. Influence maximization in large social networks: Heuristics, models and parameters. *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, 89:777–790, 2018.
- [Sun *et al.*, 2018] Lichao Sun, Weiran Huang, Philip S. Yu, and Wei Chen. Multi-round influence maximization. In *SIGKDD*, pages 2249–2258, 2018.
- [Tejaswi *et al.*, 2016] V Tejaswi, PV Bindu, and P Santhi Thilagam. Diffusion models and approaches for influence maximization in social networks. In *ICACCI*, pages 1345–1351, 2016.
- [Tsang *et al.*, 2019] Alan Tsang, Bryan Wilder, Eric Rice, Milind Tambe, and Yair Zick. Group-fairness in influence maximization. In *IJCAI*, pages 5997–6005, 2019.
- [Tsaras *et al.*, 2021] Dimitris Tsaras, George Trimponias, Lefteris Ntaflos, and Dimitris Papadias. Collective influence maximization for multiple competing products with an awareness-to-influence model. *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, 14(7):1124–1136, 2021.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2016a] Xiaoyang Wang, Ying Zhang, Wenjie Zhang, and Xuemin Lin. Distance-aware influence maximization in geo-social network. In *ICDE*, pages 1–12, 2016.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2016b] Xiaoyang Wang, Ying Zhang, Wenjie Zhang, and Xuemin Lin. Efficient distance-aware influence maximization in geo-social networks. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data. Eng.*, 29(3):599–612, 2016.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2018] Yanhao Wang, Yuchen Li, Ju Fan, and Kian-Lee Tan. Location-aware influence maximization over dynamic social streams. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 36(4):1–35, 2018.
- [Wang *et al.*, 2019] Liang Wang, Zhiwen Yu, Dingqi Yang, Huadong Ma, and Hao Sheng. Efficiently targeted billboard advertising using crowdsensing vehicle trajectory data. *IEEE Trans. Industr. Inform.*, 16(2):1058–1066, 2019.
- [Wen *et al.*, 2017] Zheng Wen, Branislav Kveton, Michal Valko, and Sharan Vaswani. Online influence maximization under independent cascade model with semi-bandit feedback. In *NIPS*, pages 3026–3036, 2017.
- [Wu *et al.*, 2018] Siqi Wu, Marian-Andrei Rizoiu, and Lexing Xie. Beyond views: Measuring and predicting engagement in online videos. In *AAAI*, pages 434–443, 2018.
- [Xie *et al.*, 2015] Miao Xie, Qiusong Yang, Qing Wang, Gao Cong, and Gerard De Melo. Dynadiffuse: A dynamic diffusion model for continuous time constrained influence maximization. In *AAAI*, pages 346–352, 2015.
- [Yadav *et al.*, 2018] Amulya Yadav, Bryan Wilder, Eric Rice, Robin Petering, Jaih Craddock, Amanda Yoshioka-Maxwell, Mary Hemler, Laura Onasch-Vera, Milind Tambe, and Darlene Woo. Bridging the gap between theory and practice in influence maximization: Raising awareness about hiv among homeless youth. In *IJCAI*, pages 5399–5403, 2018.
- [Yu *et al.*, 2017] Wenchao Yu, Wei Cheng, Charu C. Aggarwal, Haifeng Chen, and Wei Wang. Link prediction with spatial and temporal consistency in dynamic networks. In *IJCAI*, pages 3343–3349, 2017.
- [Yuan and Tang, 2017] Jing Yuan and Shaojie Tang. No time to observe: Adaptive influence maximization with partial feedback. In *IJCAI*, pages 3908–3914, 2017.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2018] Ping Zhang, Zhifeng Bao, Yuchen Li, Guoliang Li, Yipeng Zhang, and Zhiyong Peng. Trajectory-driven influential billboard placement. In *SIGKDD*, pages 2748–2757, 2018.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2019a] Yipeng Zhang, Zhifeng Bao, Songsong Mo, Yuchen Li, and Yanghao Zhou. Itaa: an intelligent trajectory-driven outdoor advertising deployment assistant. *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, 12(12):1790–1793, 2019.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2019b] Yipeng Zhang, Yuchen Li, Zhifeng Bao, Songsong Mo, and Ping Zhang. Optimizing impression counts for outdoor advertising. In *SIGKDD*, pages 1205–1215, 2019.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2020] Ping Zhang, Zhifeng Bao, Yuchen Li, Guoliang Li, Yipeng Zhang, and Zhiyong Peng. Towards an optimal outdoor advertising placement: When a budget constraint meets moving trajectories. *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data*, 14(5):1–32, 2020.
- [Zhang *et al.*, 2021] Yipeng Zhang, Yuchen Li, Zhifeng Bao, Baihua Zheng, and HV Jagadish. Minimizing the regret of an influence provider. In *ICDM*, pages 2115–2127, 2021.
- [Zhou *et al.*, 2015a] Chuan Zhou, Peng Zhang, Wenyu Zang, and Li Guo. On the upper bounds of spread for greedy algorithms in social network influence maximization. *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data. Eng.*, 27(10):2770–2783, 2015.
- [Zhou *et al.*, 2015b] Tao Zhou, Jiuxin Cao, Bo Liu, Shuai Xu, Ziqing Zhu, and Junzhou Luo. Location-based influence maximization in social networks. In *CIKM*, pages 1211–1220, 2015.
- [Zhu *et al.*, 2019] Wenlong Zhu, Wu Yang, Shichang Xuan, Dapeng Man, Wei Wang, and Jiguang Lv. Location-aware targeted influence blocking maximization in social networks. In *ICCCN*, pages 1–9, 2019.