A MATRIX ANALOGUE OF SCHUR-SIEGEL-SMYTH TRACE PROBLEM

SRIJONEE SHABNAM CHAUDHURY

ABSTRACT. Let S be the set of all positive-definite, symmetrizable integer matrices with non-zero upper and lower diagonal and T to be the set of all positive-definite real symmetric matrices with nonzero upper diagonal such that all non-zero entries are square-roots of some positive integers and the matrices satisfy a certain cycle condition.

In this paper, for any $n \times n$ matrix $A \in S \cup T$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we find a general lower bound for $Tr_{2^k}(A)$, i.e, the sum of 2^k -th power of eigenvalues of A, which depends on n as well as some other variables. In particular, we obtain the best possible lower bound for $Tr_2(A)$ that is 6n - 5. As a strong outcome of this result we show that the smallest limit point of $\overline{Tr_2(A)} = \frac{Tr_2(A)}{n}$ is 6. This is a solution of an analogue of "Schur - Siegel - Smyth trace problem" for characteristic polynomials of matrices in $S \cup T$. We also obtain a lower bound of smallest limit point of $\overline{Tr_{2^k}(A)}$ for any positive integer k > 1 and for the same set of matrices. Furthermore, we exhibit that the famous results of Smyth on density of absolute trace measure and absolute trace-2 measure of totally positive integers are also true for the set of symmetric integer connected positive definite matrices.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Let α be a totally positive algebraic integer. For any positive integer k the sum of k-th power of all conjugates of α is called the *trace-k measure* of α and it is denoted by $Tr_k(\alpha)$. If we divide this measure by the degree of α then we get the *absolute trace-k measure* of α which is denoted by $\overline{Tr_k(\alpha)}$. For k = 1 the *trace-1 measure of* α and *absolute trace-1 measure* of α are simply called the *trace* of α and *absolute trace* of α respectively.

The "Schur - Siegel - Smyth trace problem" states that –

For any $\rho < 2$ prove that there exists only finitely many totally positive algebraic integers with $\overline{Tr(\alpha)} < \rho$ and if possible find all such algebraic integers.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A18, 15B36, 15B57, 11C08.

Key words and phrases. Symmetrizable Matrices, Hermitian Matrices, Integer Diagonal, Schur-Siegel-Smyth Trace Problem, Minimal polynomial, Characteristic polynomial.

This problem is still open but some remarkable progress has been made in recent times. See [2], [3],[4], [5], [6], [9], [10] [11] for history and current development on this problem.

We would like to mention one of the most important results on this problem here which helped to generalise the spectrum of this problem. For this let us define *Spectrum of Absolute Trace-k measures* that is, set of Absolute Trace-k measure for all totally positive algebraic integer α except 0 and ± 1 . We denote it by

$$\mathcal{A}_k(\alpha) = \{\overline{Tr_k}(\alpha) | \alpha \neq 0, \pm 1 \text{ is a totally positive algebraic integer}\}$$

In 1984, Smyth [14] carried out a detailed analysis of the structure of $\mathcal{A}_k(\alpha)$ for $k \geq 1$ and showed that for k = 1 and $\nu_1 = 1.7719$ there exists only finitely many elements of \mathcal{A}_1 in $(1, \nu_1)$, the number of elements is unknown in $(\nu_1, 2)$ and the set is dense in $[2, \infty)$. Similarly for k = 2 and $\nu_2 = 5.19610$, he showed that there exists finitely many elements of \mathcal{A}_2 in $(1, \nu_2)$, the number of elements is unknown in $(\nu_2, 6)$ and the set is dense in $[6, \infty)$. For higher values of k he also obtained similar pattern.

This result gave rise to the analogue of "Schur - Siegel - Smyth trace problem". In particular, for k = 2 the statement is the following –

For any $\nu < 6$ prove that there exists only finitely many totally positive algebraic integers with $\overline{Tr(\alpha)} < \nu$ and if possible find all such algebraic integers.

For more details on this problem see for example [12], [7], [8].

Although the "Schur - Siegel - Smyth trace problem" and the analogous problems are strenuous to handle with, from some recent results [See [16], [13]] it seems that it is not very demanding to prove some results on the same if we try to find it for some combinatorial objects (such as graph, matrix, etc.) attached with an algebraic integer.

In 2013, Mckee and Yatsyna [13] obtained that $Tr(A) \ge 2n-1$ for an $n \times n$ connected, positive-definite integer symmetric matrix A. Using this bound they solved the matrix analogue of "Schur - Siegel - Smyth trace problem" for this class of matrices. After that in 2020, Smyth and Mckee [16] extended this result for a larger class which consists of all connected, positive-definite, symmetrizable integer matrices and showed that in this case also the same 2n - 1 serves as the lower bound of trace of matrices.

As a continuation of the study done in last two papers, in this paper we obtain a best-possible lower bound of *Trace-2 measure* of any positive-definite symmetrizable integer matrix with non-zero upper and lower diagonal and any positive-definite symmetric matrix with non-zero upper diagonal and with some other certain conditions. And as a generalisation of this result we also obtain a lower bound for *Trace-2^k measure* for the same set of matrices. Precisely, our main result proves that –

Theorem A. Let A be a positive-definite symmetrizable integer matrix with non-zero upper and lower diagonal or a positive-definite symmetric matrix with non-zero upper diagonal such that all non-zero entries are square root of some positive integers and the matrix satisfy a certain cycle condition. Then

- $Tr_2(A) \ge 6n 5.$
- $Tr_{2^k}(A) \ge 2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

From the fact that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist matrix A of order n with $Tr_2(A) = 6n - 5$ we solve the analogue of 'Schur - Siegel - Smyth trace problem' for *Trace 2 measure* of matrices defined in A and proved the following –

Theorem B. For any matrix A which is one of the above mentioned two types (mentioned in A)

- The smallest limit point of $\overline{Tr_2(A)}$ is 6.
- The smallest limit point of $\overline{Tr_{2^k}(A)}$ is greater than or equals to $5^{2^{k-1}}$.

On the other hand, as matrix analogues of Smyth's results on density of absolute trace and absolute trace-2 measure of totally positive algebraic integers we prove that –

Theorem C. The set of –

- absolute trace of connected, positive-definite, symmetric integer matrices is dense in [2,∞).
- absolute trace-2 measure of connected, positive-definite, symmetric integer matrices is dense in [6,∞).

Before going to the proofs, in next section we define some concepts which are useful for this paper.

2. Some Basics:

A matrix is a way of representing a morphism in the category of finite dimensional vector spaces. Here we give definitions and basic properties of some special types of matrices and the graphs and eigenvalues associated with them.

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, that is, A be an $n \times n$ integer matrix. For any matrix A (square or not) we define the transpose of A by A^T .

Definition 2.1. : A real square matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of order n > 2 is called **sign symmet**ric if

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0$$
 or $a_{ij}a_{ji} > 0, i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

We denote

$$\mathcal{S}_n^{\pm} \coloneqq$$
 the set of all sign symmetric matrices of order n

And

$$\mathcal{S}^{\pm} \coloneqq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_n^{\pm}$$

Definition 2.2. : An $n \times n$ real matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is said to satisfy **cycle condition** if for any finite sequence $i_1, i_2, ..., i_k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ where $3 \le k \le n$

$$a_{i_1i_2}a_{i_2i_3}\dots a_{i_ki_1} = a_{i_2i_1}a_{i_3i_2}\dots a_{i_1i_k}$$

Definition 2.3. : An $n \times n$ real matrix A is called **symmetrizable** if A is sign symmetric and satisfies the cycle condition.

Or equivalently,

if there exists a diagonal matrix D with all diagonal entries are positive, such that $D^{-1}AD$ is a real symmetric matrix.

Definition 2.4. : An $n \times n$ real matrix $S = (s_{ij})$ is said to satisfy **rational cycle** condition if for any finite sequence $i_1, i_2, ..., i_k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ where $3 \le k \le n$

$$s_{i_1i_2}s_{i_2i_3}...s_{i_ki_1} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Let us also define

 $\mathcal{T}_n^c \coloneqq$ Set of all $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices with entries in $\sqrt{N_0}$ and satisfies rational cycle condition

Then,

$$\mathcal{T}^c = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{T}_n^c$$

Where, $\sqrt{\mathbb{N}_0} \coloneqq \pm \sqrt{\mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\} = \{\pm \sqrt{a}, 0 | a \in \mathbb{N}\}\$

Definition 2.5. For any $A = (a_{ij}) \in S_n^{\pm}$ a map

$$\phi: \mathcal{S}_n^\pm \to \mathcal{T}_n^c$$

is defined by $\phi(a_{ij}) = sgn(a_{ij})\sqrt{a_{ij}a_{ji}}$

If A is a symmetrizable matrix then ϕ is called a **symmetrization map**.

Definition 2.6. : Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ real symetrizable matrix. A directed graph G_A with n vertices associated with the matrix A can be defined by the following way-

- vertex set: Consider each column of the matrix A as a vertex and give a label of each vertex from 1 to n such that the *i*-th vertex corresponds to the *i*-th column of the matrix for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.
- edge set: There exists a directed edge from vertex i to j if $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Thus, a set of all non-zero entries of matrix A reflect an edge of the associated directed graph.

Here is an example.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 16 & 12 \\ 1 & 6 & 4 \\ 3 & 16 & 10 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a 3×3 symetrizable integer matrix. The directed graph G_A associated with A is generated by the points { (2, 16, 12), (1, 6, 4), (3, 16, 10) } where we denote (2, 16, 12), (1, 6, 4) and (3, 16, 10) as 1-st, 2-nd and 3-rd vertex respectively.

Definition 2.7. : A matrix is said to be **connected** if any matrix B congruent to A is not in block diagonal form. If a matrix is connected its associated graph is also connected.

Example: The matrix A given above is an example of a connected matrix.

Now we will define the totally positive eigenvalues of a square integer matrix A.

Definition 2.8. : Let P_A = Determinant of $(xI - A) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the characteristic polynomial of A. Then an eigenvalue of A, that is, a root of P_A , is called **totally positive** if all of its conjugates (which are also eigenvalues of A) are positive real number.

A matrix is said to be **positive definite** if and only if all of its eigenvalues are totally positive.

Let us define some special types of connected, positive definite matrices here.

 $S_n = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) | A \text{ is symmetrizable, positive-definite with non-zero upper and lower diagonal } \}$ And

$$\mathcal{S}\coloneqq igcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{S}_r$$

 $\mathcal{T}_n \coloneqq \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) | A \text{ is positive-definite with non-zero upper diagonal and } A \in \mathcal{T}_n^c\}$ And,

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{T}_n$$

Now, we first define some quantities related to a totally positive algebraic integer and then analogously interpret them in matrix set-up.

Definition 2.9. : Let α be a totally positive algebraic integer of degree $d \geq 2$ with conjugates $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_d$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the **Trace-**k measure of α by

$$Tr_k(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^k$$

Definition 2.10. : The arithmetic mean of k-th power of all conjugates of α is called the absolute Trace-k measure. We denote it by

$$\overline{Tr_k}(\alpha) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^k}{d}$$

We can use these above mentioned quantities for totally positive algebraic integers in exactly similar way for any real square matrix A.

Let $\beta_1, ..., \beta_n$ be set of all eigenvalues of the matrix A. Then we define –

- Trace-k measure of A := Tr_k(A) := Σⁿ_{i=1} β^k_i
 Absolute Trace-k measure of A := Tr_k(A) := Σⁿ_{i=1} β^k_i/n

3. STATEMENT AND PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1 AND ITS COROLLARIES

Theorem 3.1. For any matrix $A \in \mathcal{T}_n \cup \mathcal{S}_n$,

$$Tr_{2^{k}}(A) \geq 2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In particular, $Tr_2(A) > 6n - 5$.

Proof. : We first prove the inequality on the lower bound of trace 2^k -measure for matrices in \mathcal{T}_n

Case I: Let the multi-set of eigenvalues of $A \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is $\{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n\}$

First, we show that for any square matrix A with the multi-set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n\}$

$$Tr(A^{2^k}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{2^k}$$

From Schur Triangularization [1] theorem

$$A = UTU^{-1}$$

For some triangular matrix T and unitary matrix U.

Then

$$A^{2^{k}} = UT^{2^{k}}U^{-1}$$

Since the eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are diagonal elements repeated according to multiplicity and the multi-set of eigenvalues is preserved under similarity therefore

$$Tr(A^{2^k}) = Tr(T^{2^k}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{2^k}$$
 (3.1)

As the diagonal matrix corresponding to T is $diag(T) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \implies diag(T)^{2^k} = (\lambda_1^{2^k}, \lambda_2^{2^k}, ..., \lambda_n^{2^k}).$

Now we show that

$$Tr(A^{2^k}) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2)^{2^{k-1}}$$
 (3.2)

For a square symmetric real matrix A and any positive integer k.

For k = 1 we show that

$$(A^2)_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2$$
 where $i = 1, ..., n$

and

$$Tr(A^2) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)$$

Actually for k = 1 the equality holds.

For any square symmetric matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ where $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$

$$A^2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} a_{kj}\right)_{ij}$$

Then the diagonal elements of $A^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}a_{ji} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^2$ (As A is symmetric matrix) and $Tr(A^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2$ For k = 2 we now show that

$$(A^4)_{ii} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^2$$
 where $i = 1, ..., n$

And

$$Tr(A^4) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^2$$

We know that, $(A^2)_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 = a'_{ii}$ (say) where i = 1, ..., nThen $(A^4)_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^n (a'_j)_j^2 = (a'_j)_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (a'_j)_j^2 \ge (a'_j)_j^2 = (a'_j)_j^2$

Then $(A^4)_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^n (a'_{ij})^2 = (a'_{ii})^2 + \sum_{i,j=1i\neq j}^n (a'_{ij})^2 \ge (a'_{ii})^2 = (\sum_{j=1}^n a^2_{ij})^2$ Let us assume that the result is true for $(A^{2^{k-1}})$ for any square symmetric real matrix A and any positive integer k > 1.

Take $B = A^{2^{k-1}}$ then $Tr(A^{2^k}) = Tr(B^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ii}^2$ where $B = (b_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$. By induction hypothesis,

$$b_{ii} \ge \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

This implies,

$$(b_{ii})^2 \ge \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

Therefore, $Tr(A^{2^k}) = Tr(B^2) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^{2^{k-1}}$. Then from equation (3.1) we can conclude that,

$$Tr_{2^k}(A) \ge \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

Let us choose $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n} \in \mathcal{T}_n$ such that the graph T_A of A is a tree, Tr(A) = 2n-1and upper diagonal entries of A are 1 or -1. Since Tr(A) = 2n - 1 and all diagonals are positive therefore atleast one diagonal entry is 1. Without loss of generality we assume that $a_{11} = 1$. From Proposition 18 of [16] we obtain that for any matrix $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathcal{T}_n$, $Tr(B) \ge 2n - 1$ and $b_{ii+1}^2 = b_{i+1i}^2 \ge 1$ for i = 1, ..., n - 1 therefore for estimating a lower bound of *Trace* 2^k measure of matrices in \mathcal{T}_n we choose $A \in \mathcal{T}_n$ satisfying these conditions.

Let $N_1, N_2, ..., N_m$ are precisely all diagonal entries of A which are greater than 2. We can write $N_i = 2 + l_i$ for i = 1, ..., m. Then we will show that exactly $l_1 + l_2 + ... + l_m + 1$ number of diagonal entries of A are 1 and $n - (m + l_1 + l_2 + ... + l_m + 1)$ number of diagonal entries of A are 2.

Let l number of diagonal entries be 1. Since there are precisely m number of diagonal entries greater than 2 therefore we can say exactly n - (l + m) number of diagonal entries are 2. Then

$$Tr(A) = 2n - 1 \implies \sum_{i=1}^{m} N_i + l + 2\{n - (l+m)\} = 2n - 1 \implies l = \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i + 1$$

Let us denote $l_1 + l_2 + \ldots + l_m \coloneqq M$

Since Tr(A) = 2n - 1 and all diagonals are positive therefore at least one diagonal entry is 1.

Then we get three cases –

Case I(a): Fix $a_{nn} = 2$

Since there are exactly M + 1 number of rows with diagonal entries 1 and first row is one of them therefore for these rows we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + M\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{11}^{2} + a_{12}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + M\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} = 2^{2^{k-1}} + M3^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.3)

here $i \in \{2, .., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 1$.

There are exactly m number of rows with diagonal entries greater than 2. For these rows we have

$$\left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} = \left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(N_{k}^{2} + 2\right)$$
(3.4)

here $i \in \{2, .., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} > 2$.

Also, there exists exactly $\{n - (m + M + 1)\}$ number of rows with diagonal entries 2 and *n*-th row is one of them. For these rows we have

$$(n - (m + M + 1)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$
$$= (n - (m + M + 1)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nn}^{2} + a_{n-1n}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} = (n - (m + M + 1)) 6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.5)

here $i \in \{2, .., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 2$.

Finally, adding equation 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 we get –

$$Tr_{2^{k}}(A) = 2^{2^{k-1}} + 3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(N_{k}^{2} + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(n - (m+M+1)\right) 6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}$$
$$= \left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}\right] + \left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(N_{k}^{2} + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) 6^{2^{k-1}}\right]$$
(3.6)

Now we will show that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(N_k^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) \, 6^{2^{k-1}} > 0$$

by the following way -

$$\begin{split} 3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(N_i^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} &- (M+m) \, 6^{2^{k-1}} \\ &= 3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left((l_i+2)^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} (l_i+1) 6^{2^{k-1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \left(3^{2^{k-1}} - 6^{2^{k-1}}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6^{2^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(l_i^2 + 4l_i + 6\right)^{2^{k-1}} \\ &\geq -3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \left(2^{2^{k-1}} - 1\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6^{2^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(l_i^4 + 8l_i^3 + 28l_i^2 + 48l_i + 36\right)^{2^{k-2}} \\ &\geq -3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \left(2^{2^{k-1}} - 1\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6^{2^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(37l_i^2 + 36\right)^{2^{k-2}} \quad (\text{Using } l_i^4 \geq l_i^2 \text{ and } l_i^3 \geq l_i^2 \) \\ &\geq -3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i \left(2^{2^{k-1}} - 1\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6^{2^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(37l_i^2\right)^{2^{k-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(36\right)^{2^{k-2}} \\ &\geq 3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6^{2^{k-1}} l_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6^{2^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(37l_i^2\right)^{2^{k-2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} 6^{2^{k-1}} \left(\text{Using } \left(37l_i^2\right)^{2^{k-2}} > 6^{2^{k-1}} l_i \) \\ &> 3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i > 0 \end{split}$$

Case I(b): Fix $a_{nn} = 1$

Since there are exactly M + 1 number of rows with diagonal entries 1 and first and *n*-th rows are among them therefore for these rows we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + (M-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nj}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{11}^{2} + a_{12}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + (M-1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{nn}^{2} + a_{n-1n}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= 2(2^{2^{k-1}}) + (M-1)3^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.7)

here $i \in \{2, ..., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 1$.

There are exactly m number of rows with diagonal entries greater than 2. For these rows we have

$$\left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} = \left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(N_{i}^{2} + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.8)

here $i \in \{2, .., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} > 2$.

Also, there exists exactly $\{n - (m + M + 1)\}$ number of rows with diagonal entries 2. For these rows we have

$$(n - (m + M + 1)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= (n - (m + M + 1)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= (n - (m + M + 1)) 6^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.9)

here $i \in \{2, ..., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 2$. Finally, by adding equations 3.7,3.8,3.9 we get –

$$Tr_{2^{k}}(A) = 2(2^{2^{k-1}}) + 3^{2^{k-1}}(M-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (N_{i}^{2}+2)^{2^{k-1}} + (n - (m + M + 1)) 6^{2^{k-1}} = \left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n - 2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}\right] + \left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (N_{i}^{2}+2)^{2^{k-1}} - (M + m) 6^{2^{k-1}} + 2^{2^{k-1}} - 3^{2^{k-1}} + 6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}\right]$$
(3.10)

Then again for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(N_i^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) \, 6^{2^{k-1}} + 2^{2^{k-1}} - 3^{2^{k-1}} + 6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}\right]$$

= $3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(N_i^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) \, 6^{2^{k-1}} + \left[\left(6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}\right) - \left(3^{2^{k-1}} - 2^{2^{k-1}}\right)\right] > 0$

Since both $3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (N_i^2 + 2)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) 6^{2^{k-1}} > 0$ and $\left[(6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}) - (3^{2^{k-1}} - 2^{2^{k-1}}) \right] > 0.$

Case I(c): Fix $a_{nn} = N_m$

Since there are exactly M + 1 number of rows with diagonal entries 1 and first row is one of them therefore for these rows we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{1j}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + M\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{11}^{2} + a_{12}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + M\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} = 2^{2^{k-1}} + M3^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.11)

here $i \in \{2, .., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 1$.

There exists exactly $\{n-(m+M+1)\}$ number of rows with diagonal entries 2 . For these rows we have

$$(n - (m + M + 1)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= (n - (m + M + 1)) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$

$$= (n - (m + M + 1)) 6^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.12)

here $i \in \{2, ..., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} = 2$.

Also there are exactly m number of rows with diagonal entries greater than 2 and n-th row is one of them. For these rows we have

$$\left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{nj}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ii}^{2} + a_{ii+1}^{2} + a_{ii-1}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{nn}^{2} + a_{n-1n}^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} -1\left(N_{i}^{2} + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(N_{m}^{2} + 1\right)^{2^{k-1}}$$
(3.13)

here $i \in \{2, ..., n-1\}$ such that $a_{ii} > 2$.

Finally, adding equations 3.11, 3.12, 3.13 we get –

$$Tr_{2^{k}}(A) = 2^{2^{k-1}} + M3^{2^{k-1}} + (n - (m + M + 1)) 6^{2^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} -1 (N_{i}^{2} + 2)^{2^{k-1}} + (N_{m}^{2} + 2)^{2^{k-1}} = \left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n - 2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}\right] \\ \left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (N_{i}^{2} + 2)^{2^{k-1}} + (N_{m}^{2} + 1)^{2^{k-1}} - (M + m) 6^{2^{k-1}} + 6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}\right]$$

$$(3.14)$$

Then again for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(N_i^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(N_m^2 + 1\right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) \, 6^{2^{k-1}} + 6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}\right]$$
$$= 3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} l_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(N_i^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (l_i+1)\right) 6^{2^{k-1}} + \left[\left(6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}\right) + \left(N_m^2 + 1\right)^{2^{k-1}} + 3^{2^{k-1}} l_m + (l_m+1)6^{2^{k-1}}\right] > 0$$

From Case I we can say $3^{2^{k-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} l_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (N_i^2 + 2)^{2^{k-1}} - (\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (l_i + 1)) 6^{2^{k-1}} > 0$ and

$$\begin{split} & \left[\left(6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}} \right) + \left(N_m^2 + 1 \right)^{2^{k-1}} + 3^{2^{k-1}} l_m - (l_m + 1) 6^{2^{k-1}} \right] \\ & \geq \left[\left(6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}} \right) + \left(l_m^4 + 8 l_m^3 + 26 l_m^2 + 40 l_m + 25 \right)^{2^{k-1}} + 3^{2^{k-1}} l_m - (l_m + 1) 6^{2^{k-1}} \right] \\ & > \left[\left(6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}} \right) + \left(40 l_m \right)^{2^{k-2}} + 25^{2^{k-2}} + 3^{2^{k-1}} l_m - (l_m + 1) 6^{2^{k-1}} \right] \\ & \geq 3^{2^{k-1}} l_m > 0 \end{split}$$

This implies for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(N_i^2 + 2\right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(N_m^2 + 1\right)^{2^{k-1}} - \left(M + m\right)6^{2^{k-1}} + 6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}}\right] > 0$$

From the construction of A we can say that for any matrix $B \in \mathcal{T}_n$

$$Tr_{2^k}(B) \ge Tr_{2^k}(A)$$

On the other hand, we get from above three cases –

$$Tr_{2^{k}}(A) \geq \min\left\{ \left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}} \right] + \left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(N_{k}^{2} + 2 \right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) 6^{2^{k-1}} \right] \right\}$$

$$\left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}} \right] + \left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(N_{i}^{2} + 2 \right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) 6^{2^{k-1}} + 2^{2^{k-1}} - 3^{2^{k-1}} + 6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}} \right] \right\}$$

$$\left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}} \right] + \left[3^{2^{k-1}}M + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(N_{i}^{2} + 2 \right)^{2^{k-1}} + \left(N_{m}^{2} + 1 \right)^{2^{k-1}} - (M+m) 6^{2^{k-1}} + 6^{2^{k-1}} - 5^{2^{k-1}} \right] \right\}$$

$$\geq \left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}} \right]$$

And among all these cases only in the first case we can Take m = 0 which implies $Tr_{2^k}(A) \ge \left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}\right]$. And in the other two cases $Tr_{2^k}(A)$ is strictly greater than $\left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}\right]$. From here we can conclude that –

$$Tr_{2^{k}}(B) \ge \left[2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}\right]$$
 (3.15)

for all $B \in \mathcal{T}_n$

Case II: Now we prove that the inequality on the lower bound of trace 2^k -measure is also true for matrices in S_n .

By applying symmetrization map ϕ from definition 2.5 we get that every matrix $A \in S_n$ is similar to some matrix $\phi(A) \in \mathcal{T}_n$. Therefore the multiset of eigenvalues of A and $\phi(A)$ are the same. Hence from equation 3.15 we get

$$Tr_{2^{k}}(A) = Tr_{2^{k}}(\phi(A)) \ge 2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{S}_n$.

Second Part: Putting k = 1 in equation (3.15) we get –

$$Tr_2(A) \ge 6n - 5$$
 (3.16)

For all $A \in \mathcal{T}_n \cup \mathcal{S}_n$.

Corollary 3.1. Let U_k and V_k be the set of absolute trace- 2^k measure of matrices in S and T respectively. Then the following are true –

- (i) $U_k = V_k \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$
- (ii) the smallest limit point of U_1 is 6.
- (iii) the smallest limit point of U_k can not be less than $5^{2^{k-1}}$ for any positive integer k > 1.

Proof.:

Part (i): Let $u \in U_k \implies u = \overline{Tr_{2^k}(A)}$ for some $A \in S$. Applying the symmetrization map ϕ on A we get $\phi(A) \in \mathcal{T}$. Since ϕ preserves the multi-set of eigenvalues of A and the order of A, therefore –

$$\overline{Tr_{2^k}(A)} = \overline{Tr_{2^k}(\phi(A))} = u$$

This implies, $u \in V_k$.

Conversely, let $v \in V_k \implies v = \overline{Tr_{2^k}(B)}$ for some $B \in \mathcal{T}$. Since from (Proposition 1, [16]) we know that $\phi : S \to \mathcal{T}$ is surjective therefore $B = \phi(A)$ for some $A \in S$. Then again, by the same argument we get –

$$\overline{Tr_{2^k}(B)} = \overline{Tr_{2^k}(\phi(A))} = \overline{Tr_{2^k}(A)} = v$$

This implies, $v \in U_k$.

Part (ii): Consider the following $n \times n$ matrix

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is

- symmetric, integer: $M_n = (m_{ij})$ where $m_{nn} = 1$, $m_{ii} = 2$ for i < n and $m_{i,i+1} = m_{i+1,i} = -1$ and all other entries are 0. Therefore by definition it follows.
- positive definite: Since M_n is a symmetric diagonally dominant (not strictly) therefore by Gershgorin's circle theorem [15] eigenvalues of M are all non-negative. Again, M is a non-singular matrix. This implies every eigenvalue of M must be positive.
- connected: By definition of connectedness given in section 2, *i*-th point of the lattice G_{M_n} associated with the matrix M_n is connected with i + 1-th point for each i = 1, ..., n. It means, this is a *path*.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us consider the sequence $M_n \in S \cap \mathcal{T}$ of this form. then from the proof of Theorem 3.1 we get –

$$Tr_2(M_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{2^k} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2\right) = 6n - 5$$

Then limit of $\overline{tr_2(M_n)} = \frac{6n-5}{n}$ of this sequence is 6.

Now we show that 6 is the smallest limit point of U_k for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us assume the contrary.

Take any $\epsilon > 0$. Let $M' = (m_{ij}) \in S \cap T$ be a matrix with $\overline{Tr_2}(M) < 6 - \epsilon$. But by Theorem 3.1

$$\overline{Tr_2(M')} \ge \frac{6n-5}{n} \implies n < \frac{5}{\epsilon}$$

Let $n = n_0$ is fixed and let $\{\mu_1, ..., \mu_{n_0}\}$ be the multi-set of eigenvalues of M'_n . Also let $\mu_1, ..., \mu_{r_0} \leq 1$ for some non-negative integer $r_0 < n_0$. Then,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \mu_i\right) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r_0} \mu_i + \sum_{i=r_0+1}^{n_0} \mu_i}{n_0} \le \frac{r_0 + \sum_{i=r_0+1}^{n_0} \mu_i^2}{n_0} \le \frac{r_0 + (6n_0 - 5)}{n_0} < \frac{7n_0 - 5}{n_0}$$

This bound on absolute trace gives a finite set of possibilities for diagonal entries of M'. Also, if we consider any principal sub-matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{ii} & m_{ij} \\ m_{ij} & m_{jj} \end{pmatrix}$$

of M'

Since M' is a positive-definite matrix each of its principal sub-matrix must be the same. This implies,

$$|m_{ij}| < \sqrt{m_{ii}m_{jj}}$$

It means, absolute value of each m_{ij}^n is bounded by some function of diagonal entries(which is obviously bounded). Thus for each of the entries of such matrices we have only finitely many choices. Hence the number of choices of such matrices is finite and this leads to a contradiction.

Part (iii) We first show that, the smallest limit point of U_k can not be less than $5^{2^{k-1}}$. Let $A \in S \cap T$ with $\overline{Tr_{2^k}(A)} < 5^{2^{k-1}} - \epsilon$. Then by Theorem 3.1

$$\overline{Tr_{2^k}(A)} \ge 2^{2^{k-1}} + (n-2)6^{2^{k-1}} + 5^{2^{k-1}}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

This implies,

$$5^{r} - \epsilon = \overline{Tr_{2r}(A)} \geq \frac{2^{r} + (n-2)6^{r} + 5^{r}}{n} \implies n^{2}5^{r} > (n-1)5^{r} - 2^{r} - (n-2)6^{r} \ge n\epsilon$$

where $r = 2^{k-1}$.

Using this inequality we get $n < \frac{5^r}{\epsilon}$. This again gives a finite upper bound of absolute trace of matrix A which leads to a finite set of possibilities of eigenvalues of A. Rest of the proof is exactly similar to the proof of part (ii).

Corollary 3.2. Let

$$p(x) = x^{n} - a_{1}x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^{n}a_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

be a monic, irreducible totally positive polynomial with trace 2n - 1. Then p(x) is not a characteristic polynomial of some matrix in $S_n \cup T_n$ if $a_2 > 4n^2 - 10n + 6$.

In general, if we know $a_1,..., a_{2^k-1}$ we obtain an integer r_k such that p(x) is not a characteristic polynomial of a matrix in $\mathcal{S}_n \cup \mathcal{T}_n$ if $a_{2^k} > \frac{r_k}{2^k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. : Let A_p be a matrix in $\mathcal{S}_n \cup \mathcal{T}_n$ with characteristic polynomial p(x).

Part I: Let us assume the contrary. Let $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n\}$ = multiset of eigenvalues of A_p .

Then
$$a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 2n - 1$$
 and $a_2 = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n}^n \lambda_i \lambda_j > 4n^2 - 10n + 6$ implies

$$Tr_2(A_p) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right)^2 - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n}^n \lambda_i \lambda_j < (2n-1)^2 - (4n^2 - 10n + 6) = 6n - 5$$

But from Theorem 3.1 we get, for any $A \in S_n \cup T_n$ then $Tr_2(A) \ge 6n - 5$. This leads to a contradiction.

Part II:

Let us denote $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^l = s_l$

From Newton's Identity for symmetric polynomial we know that,

$$s_1 = a_1$$

And,

$$s_l = (-1)^{l-1} la_l + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{(l-1)+i} a_{l-i} s_i$$
 for $1 \le l \le 2^k - 1$

Therefore, if we know $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{2^k-1}$ then we get $s_1, s_2, ..., s_{2^k-1}$. Again, from Newton's identity we get –

$$a_{2^{k}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}-1} (-1)^{i-1} a_{2^{k}-i-1} s_{i} + (-1)^{2^{k}-1} s_{2^{k}}}{2^{k}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}-1} (-1)^{i-1} a_{2^{k}-i-1} s_{i} - s_{2^{k}}}{2^{k}} \qquad (3.17)$$

Let us denote $\sum_{i=1}^{2^{k}-1} (-1)^{i-1} a_{2^{k}-i-1} s_{i} = f_{k}$.

From Theorem 3.1 we get that if s_{2^k} is less than the bound, say e_k , given in (3.16) then A_p cannot be a matrix in $S_n \cup T_n$. Using this in equation 3.17 we get that,

$$a_{2^k} > \frac{f_k - e_k}{2^k}$$

Taking $f_k - e_k = r_k$ we get the result.

From this result we can say when an irreducible polynomial can not be characteristic polynomial of a symmetric integer connected positive definite matrix.

4. Statements and Proofs of Theorem 4.1 And Theorem 4.2

In our next result, we have tried to extend it for minimal polynomial of a symmetric integer positive semidefinite matrix. The matrix doesn't need to be connected.

In [17], as a corollary of Theorem 2, it is proved the following –

18

An $n \times n$ complex positive semidefinite matrix of rank r whose graph has s connected components, whose diagonal entries are integers, and whose non-zero off-diagonal entries have modulus at least one, has trace at least n + r - s.

Using this result, we will prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $p_i(x)$ be monic, irreducible, totally positive polynomials for i = 1, ..., s. If $tr(p_i) < 2deg(p_i) \forall i$ then $m(x) = xp_1(x)...p_s(x)$ can not be written as minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix A of the following type:

Every connected component of A is positive semi-definite but no component is positive definite.

Proof. : Let us assume the contrary. Let m(x) be the minimal polynomial of an integer symmetric matrix A_m with s' number of connected components of the given form. Then the characteristic polynomial $\chi_m(x)$ of A_m is of the form

$$\chi_m(x) = x^l p_1^{n_1}(x) p_2^{n_2}(x) \dots p_s^{n_s}(x)$$

for some $n_1, n_2, ..., n_s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $deg(p_i) = d_i$ If $Tr(p_i(x)) < 2d_i$ then $Tr(\chi_m(x)) = Tr(A_m) < \sum_{i=1}^s 2n_i d_i$ Now, $deg(\chi_m(x)) = ord(A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i + l = n$ (say) and $rank(A_m) = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i = r$ (say) Here, deg(f(x)) = degree of f(x) for any polynomial f(x)and ord(A) = order of matrix A.

By given condition, every connected component of A_m is positive semi-definite. This implies, each component has nullity at least $1 \implies$ nullity of A_m must be at least s'. That means, $l \ge s'$

From here, we can say that, $tr(\chi_m(x)) < \sum_{i=1}^s 2n_i d_i \le 2\sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i + l - s' = (\sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i + l) + \sum_{i=1}^s n_i d_i - s' = n + r - s'$

But this is not possible by above mentioned result in [17]. Hence proved.

In general, from this theorem we get that, if A is a matrix such that nullity of $A \ge$ rank of A then any polynomial m(x) of the given form can not be minimal polynomial of A.

Using this result we can produce a large number of counter examples of Estes-Guralnick conjecture [20].

From Smyth's famous result [14] on "Schur-Siegel-Smyth" trace problem we know that the set of absolute traces of totally positive algebraic integers is dense in $[2, \infty)$. This means that for any arbitrary but fixed real number $r \ge 2$ there exists a sequence $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to r. Here, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, r_n = absolute trace of α_n for some totally positive algebraic integer α_n . Moreover, from the same paper we also know that the set of *absolute* trace-2 measure of totally positive algebraic integers is dense in $[6, \infty)$. Here we prove a matrix analogue of these two results.

For proving the part (i) of Theorem 4.2 we will use the following theorem of Mckee and Yatsyna [13] –

Let A be an $n \times n$, connected, integer, symmetric matrix. If all the eigenvalues of A are strictly positive, then $Tr(A) \ge 2n - 1$.

And for proving the part (ii) of the same Theorem we will use the Theorem 3.1. In particular, we will use that, for any $n \times n$, connected, integer, symmetric matrix A, $Tr_2(A) \ge 6n - 5$.

Theorem 4.2. Let C be the set of all integer, symmetric, connected, positive definite matrices. Then

(i) $X := \{\overline{Tr(A)} | A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is dense in $[2, \infty)$. (ii) $Y := \{\overline{Tr_2(A)} | A \in \mathcal{C}\}$ is dense in $[6, \infty)$.

Proof. :

Part(i): In this part we will show that the trace measure of the set of all integer, symmetric, connected, positive definite matrices is dense in $[2, \infty)$.

From the result of Mckee and Yatsyna [13] we get that 2 is the smallest limit point of X. Therefore it is enough to prove the result for any real number r > 2.

Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of totally positive algebraic integers corresponding to r and let N_n be the number of conjugates of α_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Also let

$$P_n = x^{N_n} - a_{N_n} x^{N_n - 1} + \dots + (-1)^{N_n} a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

be the minimal polynomial of α_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the absolute trace of $\alpha_n \coloneqq r_n = \frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n}$.

By assumption, for any r > 2 there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge M \frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n} \ge 2$.

Now for all $n \geq M$ we construct a sequence of matrices $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of order $N_n \times N_n$ by the following way-

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_{N_n} - 2(N_n - 1) & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since

$$\frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n} \ge 2 \implies a_{N_n} - 2(N_n - 1) \ge 1$$

Since T_n is a symmetric, diagonally dominant and non-singular matrix, it must be positive definite. Also, by construction it is a connected and integer matrix. This means, $T_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now,

Absolute trace of
$$T_n = \frac{\text{sum of diagonal entries of } T_n}{\text{order of } T_n} = \frac{a_{N_n}}{N_n} = \text{absolute trace of } \alpha_n$$

Hence, it converges to r for any given r > 2.

Part (ii): In this part we will show that the trace-2 measure of the set of all integer, symmetric, connected, positive definite matrices is dense in $[6, \infty)$.

In part (ii) of Corollary 3.1 we have already shown that 6 is the smallest limit point of Y. Therefore it is enough to prove this result for any real number r > 6.

Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of totally positive integers corresponding to r such that the degree of α_n is N_n and the minimal polynomial of α_n is

$$P_n = x^{N_n} - a_{N_n} x^{N_n - 1} + \dots + (-1)^{N_n} a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

Then $r_n \coloneqq \frac{Tr_2(\alpha_n)}{N_n} = \frac{a_{N_n}^2 - 2a_{N_n-1}}{N_n}$. Since r > 6 there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \ge M$, $r_n > 6$.

Now let us consider a sequence of matrices $\{L_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of order $N_n \times N_n$ given by –

$$L_n = \{l_{ij}^{(n)}\}_{i \le i,j \le n} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & w_2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & w_3 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & w_4 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

From the construction it is clear that we are considering $N_n \ge 5$. Now for n < M we are simply putting $w_1 = 1$ and $w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = 2$. For $n \ge M$ we are choosing w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 such that $0 \le w_1 \le w_2 \le w_3 \le w_4$ by the following way –

Since for any $n \ge M$, $r_n > 6$ therefore

$$a_{N_n}^2 - 2a_{N_n-1} - 2(N_n - 1) - 4(N_n - 4)$$

is a positive integer greater than 18.

From Lagrange's four square theorem we know that every positive integer can be represented as sum of four integer squares. therefore we can write

$$a_{N_n}^2 - 2a_{N_n-1} - 2(N_n - 1) - 4(N_n - 4) = b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2$$
(4.1)

such that $0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3 \leq b_4$.

Then b_4 is always greater than 1 (because if all b_i 's are 0 or 1 then $\sum_{i=1}^4 b_i^2 \le 4$). We take $w_i = b_i$

We take $w_4 = b_4$.

If $b_3 \ge 2$ we take $w_3 = b_3$. If $b_3 = 0$ or 1 we take $w_3 = 2$ (that means, $w_3 = b_3 + 0$ or $w_3 = b_3 + 1$ or $w_3 = b_3 + 2$). We choose w_2 by the same way.

If $b_1 \ge 1$ we choose $w_1 = b_1$. If $b_1 = 0$ we choose $w_1 = 1$.

Then by construction $L_n \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4} b_i^2 + \kappa_{N_n} \tag{4.2}$$

where $0 \leq \kappa_{N_n} \leq 9$ is an integer.

Then from equation 4.1 and equation 4.2 we get

$$Tr_2(L_n) = \sum (l_{ij}^{(n)})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 w_i^2 + 2(N_n - 1) + 4(N_n - 4) = a_{N_n}^2 - 2a_{N_n - 1} + \kappa_{N_n}$$

This implies

$$\overline{Tr_2(L_n)} = \frac{a_{N_n}^2 - 2a_{N_n-1} + \kappa_{N_n}}{N_n}$$

Since κ_{N_n} is finite and $r_n = \frac{a_{N_n}^2 - 2a_{N_n-1}}{N_n}$ converges to r then $\overline{Tr_2(L_n)}$ also converges to r. Hence completed.

References

- I. Schur On the characteristic roots of a linear substitution with an application to the theory of integral equations, Math Ann, (1909); 66 488–510
- [2] I. Schur, Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten, Math. Z. 1 (1918) 377–402.
- [3] J. Aguirre and J.C. Peral. The Trace Problem for Totally Positive Algebraic Integers, Number Theory and Polynomials. (Conference proceedings, University of Bristol, 3-7 April 2006, editors J.F. McKee and C.J. Smyth). LMS Lecture notes
- [4] C. L. Siegel. The trace of totally positive and real algebraic integers, Ann. of Math. (2) 46, (1945).
 302-312
- [5] J. Aguirre, M. Bilbao, J. C. Peral. The trace of totally positive algebraic integers, Math. Comp., 75 (2006), no 253, 385–393.
- [6] J. Aguirre and J.C. Peral. The integer Chebyshev constant of Farey intervals, Publ. Mat. (2007), Proceedings of the Primeras Jornadas de Teoria de Numeros, 11–27.
- [7] Y. Liang and Q. Wu. The trace problem for totally positive algebraic integers, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 90 (2011), no. 3, 341–354.
- [8] Cong Wang, Xiaojuan Pang, Qiang Wu. The absolute S_k measure of totally positive algebraic integers, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 107 (2023), no.2, 239–249
- [9] X. Dong and Q. Wu The absolute trace of totally positive reciprocal algebraic integers, J. Number Theory 170 (2017), 66–74.
- [10] V.Flammang. Une nouvelle minoration pour la trace absolue des entiers alg'ebriques totale- ment positifs available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.09407, (2019)
- [11] A. Smith, Algebraic integers with conjugates in prescribed distribution, Preprint, (2021), arXiv: 2111.12660
- [12] V. Flammang. An analog to the Schur-Siegel-Smyth trace problem Mathematics of Computation, 89, (2020) 325. 2387–2398.
- [13] J.McKee and P.Yatsyna, A trace bound for positive definite connected integer symmetric matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 444 (2014), 227-230.
- [14] C.J. Smyth. The mean value of totally real algebraic numbers, Math. Comp. 42 (1984), 663–681.
- [15] S. Gerschgorin, Uber die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix. Izv. Akad. Nauk. USSR Otd. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk 6 (1931), 749–754.
- [16] James McKee and Chris Smyth matrices, quotients, and the trace problem, Linear Algebra Appl. 600 (2020), 60-81.
- [17] L. Mitchell, A trace bound for integer-diagonal positive semidefinite matrices Spec. Matrices, 8 (2020), 14-16.
- [18] E. Dobrowolski, A note on integer symmetric matrices and Mahler's measure, Canad. Math. Bull.
 51 (2008), 57–59.
- [19] J. McKee, Computing totally positive algebraic integers of small trace, Math. Comp. 80 (274) (2011) 1041–1052.

SRIJONEE SHABNAM CHAUDHURY

- [20] D.R. Estes, R.M. Guralnick, Minimal polynomials of integral symmetric matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 192 (1993) 83–99.
- [21] L. Babai, Arc transitive covering digraphs and their eigenvalues, J. Graph Theory. 8 (1985) 363-370.
- [22] H. Bass, R. Guralnick, and D. Estes, Eigenvalues of symmetric matrices and graphs, J. Algebra. 168 (1994) 536-567.
- [23] D. Estes, Eigenvalues of symmetric integer matrices, J. Number Theory 42 (1992) 292-296.
- [24] C. D. Godsil, Eigenvalues of graphs and digraphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 46 (1982) 43-50.
- [25] A. J. Hoffman, On limit points of spectral radii of non-negative symmetric matrices, in Graph Theory and its Applications, Lecture Notes in Math. 303, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1972), 165-172.

SRIJONEE SHABNAM CHAUDHURY @HARISH-CHANDRA RESEARCH INSTITUTE, A CI OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, CHHATNAG ROAD, JHUNSI, ALLAHABAD 211 019, INDIA.

Email address: srijoneeshabnam@hri.res.in