

MODELS FOR q -COMMUTATIVE TUPLES OF ISOMETRIES

JOSEPH A. BALL AND HARIPADA SAU

ABSTRACT. A pair of Hilbert space linear operators (V_1, V_2) is said to be q -commutative, for a unimodular complex number q , if $V_1V_2 = qV_2V_1$. A concrete functional model for q -commutative pairs of isometries is obtained. The functional model is parametrized by a collection of Hilbert spaces and operators acting on them. As a consequence, the collection serves as a complete unitary invariance for q -commutative pairs of isometries. A q -commutative operator pair (V_1, V_2) is said to be doubly q -commutative, if in addition, it satisfies $V_2V_1^* = qV_1^*V_2$. Doubly q -commutative pairs of isometries are also characterized. Special attention is given to doubly q -commutative pairs of shift operators. The notion of q -commutativity is then naturally extended to the case of general tuples of operators to obtain a similar model for tuples of q -commutative isometries.

1. INTRODUCTION

A stepping stone to the development of model theory for contractive Hilbert space operators is what is known as the Wold decomposition: *every isometric operator V acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum $S \oplus W$, where W is a unitary operator and S is a shift operator, i.e., S is an isometry with $S^{*n} \rightarrow 0$, in the strong operator topology, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.* This is due to [9, 13] and [25]. There has been numerous generalizations of this classical decomposition theorem. For example, see [2, 22] for development in the commutative setting and [19, 23] for doubly commutative setting; also see [4, 5, 8, 14, 15, 16, 17, 24] and references therein for results in this direction.

The objective of this paper is to further extend these decomposition results in the q -commutative and doubly q -commutative settings.

Definition 1.1. A pair (V_1, V_2) of operators is said to be q -commutative, if

$$V_1V_2 = qV_2V_1.$$

Such pairs seem to be of significant importance in the area of quantum theory, see [6, 12, 18]. Recently, q -commutative operators have been studied by some operator theorists. To mention some of these works, Bhat and Bhattacharyya [3] studied q -commutative row contractions $((T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$ (i.e., $T_iT_j = q(i, j)T_jT_i$ for each i, j and $\sum_{i=1}^d T_iT_i^* \leq I$) in quest of its model. Later, Dey [7] studied q -commutative row contractions for its dilation theory. In contrast to the consideration in this paper, $q(i, j)$ were allowed to be any non-zero complex numbers in both the papers [3, 7].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A13. Secondary: 47A20, 47A56, 30H10.

Key words and phrases. Functional Model, Isometry, q -commutativity.

The research of the second-named author is supported by DST-INSPIRE Faculty Fellowship DST/INSPIRE/04/2018/002458.

Recently, Keshari and Mallick [11] showed by a commutant lifting approach, that any q -commutative pair of contractive operators has a q -commutative unitary dilations, where q is a unimodular complex number. Thus this is an extension of Andô's dilation theorem [1] and that of Sebestyén [21], where the result was proved for the case $q = -1$.

First, we note that unlike the commutative case, q -commutativity is 'order-sensitive', i.e., if (V_1, V_2) is q -commutative, then (V_2, V_1) is \bar{q} -commutative. However, it follows from the definition that if (V_1, V_2) is q -commutative, then so is (V_1^*, V_2^*) . For a concrete example of a q -commutative pair of isometries, let us choose a unimodular complex number q and define the *rotation* operator R_q on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$, the Hardy space over the d -disk, as

$$R_q f(\underline{z}) := f(q\underline{z}) \text{ for all } f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}^d), \quad (1.1)$$

where for $\underline{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{D}^d$, $q\underline{z} := (qz_1, qz_2, \dots, qz_d)$. For each $j = 1, 2$, let M_{z_j} denote the multiplication by ' z_j ' operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$. Consider the pair on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$

$$(V_1, V_2) = (R_q M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) \quad \text{or,} \quad (M_{z_1} R_q, M_{z_2}). \quad (1.2)$$

It is easy to verify that (V_1, V_2) is a q -commutative pair of isometries. Let us note that if R_q is the rotation operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ (simply denoted by H^2 in the sequel), then the rotation operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ is given by taking the d -fold tensor product of R_q . With a slight abuse of notation, *we use the same notation R_q regardless of the dimension of the polydisk*. It follows easily that the rotation operator R_q does not commute with M_z , the multiplication by ' z ' operator on H^2 . Indeed, for every $f \in H^2$,

$$R_q M_z f(z) = qz f(qz) = q M_z R_q f(z).$$

Thus (R_q, M_z) is actually q -commutative.

For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the standard notation $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is used to denote the algebra of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Among several generalizations of the classical Wold decomposition, perhaps the most appealing is the one obtained by Berger, Coburn and Lebow [2, Theorem 3.1]. We extend the Berger-Coburn-Lebow program to the q -commutative setting: Our first main result shows that given Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K}_u , a projection P and a unitary U in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$, and a q -commutative pair of unitaries (W_1, W_2) in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_u)$, the pair

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes P U & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (1.3)$$

on $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{smallmatrix} \right]$ is a q -commutative pair of isometries. And most importantly, for every q -commutative pair (V_1, V_2) of isometries, there exists a collection $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2\}$ of Hilbert spaces and operators as above such that (V_1, V_2) is jointly unitarily equivalent to the model (1.3). This is the content of Theorem 2.2. Moreover, the correspondence between q -commutative pairs of isometries and the parameters $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2\}$ is one-to-one in the sense explained in Theorem 2.5.

Recall that a commutative pair (V_1, V_2) is said to be doubly commutative, if in addition, $V_2 V_1^* = V_1^* V_2$. Let (W_1, W_2) be a q -commutative pair of unitaries, i.e., $W_1 W_2 = q W_2 W_1$. On multiplying W_1^* from left and right successively, we see that q -commutativity of (W_1, W_2) is equivalent to $W_2 W_1^* = q W_1^* W_2$. In view of this, the following definition comes as a natural analogue of double commutativity.

Definition 1.2. A q -commutative pair of operators (V_1, V_2) is said to be *doubly q -commutative*, if in addition, it satisfies

$$V_2 V_1^* = q V_1^* V_2. \quad (1.4)$$

We remark that if V_1 and V_2 are isometries satisfying just $V_2 V_1^* = q V_1^* V_2$, then an easy computation shows that $(V_1 V_2 - q V_2 V_1)^*(V_1 V_2 - q V_2 V_1) = 0$ and thus $V_1 V_2 = q V_2 V_1$. Thus condition 1.4 implies q -commutativity of (V_1, V_2) , if V_1, V_2 are isometries. The pair (V_1, V_2) where each V_j is as defined in (1.2) is an example of a doubly q -commutative pairs of isometries on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. However, it can be shown that the same pair when restricted to the space $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \ominus \{\text{constants}\}$, is not doubly q -commutative; this is explained in §4, where we discuss several other simple examples to illustrate the model theory. Theorem 3.1 characterizes doubly q -commutative pairs of isometries.

As an application of the model theory, we exhibit a passage between commutative and q -commutative pairs of isometries. Similarly, we exhibit a way to go back and forth between the classes of doubly commutative and doubly q -commutative pairs of isometries. See Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.3 for these connections. As a consequence of these correlations, we show in Corollary 3.4 that given a doubly q -commutative pair of shift operators (V_1, V_2) , there is a unitary \mathfrak{s}_q on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ such that (V_1, V_2) is jointly unitarily equivalent to $(M_{z_1} \mathfrak{s}_q, \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{q}} M_{z_2})$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. This is an analogue of Słociński [22] who showed that every doubly commutative pair of shift operators is unitarily equivalent to (M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.

The notion of q -commutativity is naturally extended to the case of general tuples of operators, see Definition 5.1. This model theory for the pair case is then applied to the case of a general d -tuple ($d > 2$) of q -commutative isometries to obtain a similar model – see Theorem 5.2. In §6 we show that every q -commutative tuple of isometries (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d) can be extended to a q -commutative tuple of unitaries (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_d) (and hence doubly q -commutative) such that the unitary $Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_d$ is the minimal unitary extension of the isometry $X_1 X_2 \cdots X_d$. This both improves and gives a new proof of the ‘dilation’ result of [10] where it was shown that every doubly q -commutative tuple of isometries extends to a doubly q -commutative tuple of unitaries.

2. FUNCTIONAL MODELS FOR q -COMMUTATIVE PAIRS OF ISOMETRIES

We begin with the following lemma which will be used in our quest for a functional model of q -commutative pairs of isometries. For a contraction T , we use the following standard notations for the *defect operator* and the *defect space* of T :

$$D_T = (I - T^* T)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{T^*} = \overline{\text{Ran } D_T}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let (V_1, V_2) be a q -commutative pair of isometries on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $V = V_1 V_2$. Then*

(i)

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^* V_2^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} h : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^* V_1^*} \end{bmatrix} h : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}; \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) the defect space \mathcal{D}_{V^*} is unitarily equivalent to $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix}$ via the unitary

$$D_{V^*}h \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} h; \quad \text{and} \quad (2.2)$$

(iii) for every $j \geq 1$,

$$V^{*j}V_1 = q^{j-1}V_2^*V^{*j-1} \quad \text{and} \quad V^{*j}V_2 = \bar{q}^jV_1^*V^{*j-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. We only establish the first equality in (2.1), the proof of the second equality is similar. We use the general fact that if V is an isometry, then D_{V^*} is the projection onto $\text{Ran } V^\perp$. Let $f \oplus g \in \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*}$ be such that

$$\langle D_{V_1^*}V_2^*h \oplus D_{V_2^*}h, f \oplus g \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This is equivalent to $\langle D_{V_1^*}V_2^*h, f \rangle + \langle D_{V_2^*}h, g \rangle = 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$, or, equivalently, $\langle h, V_2f \rangle + \langle h, g \rangle = 0$ for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, $g = -V_2f$, which implies that $g = D_{V_2^*}g = -(I - V_2V_2^*)V_2f = 0$ and since V_1 is an isometry f must also be 0. This proves (i).

For (ii), we note that

$$D_{V^*}^2 = I - VV^* = I - V_1V_1^* + V_1V_1^* - V_1V_2V_2^*V_1^* = D_{V_1^*}^2 + V_1D_{V_2^*}^2V_1^* \quad (2.4)$$

$$= I - V_2V_2^* + V_2V_2^* - V_2V_1V_1^*V_2^* = V_2D_{V_1^*}^2V_2^* + D_{V_2^*}^2. \quad (2.5)$$

This implies that for every vector h in \mathcal{H} ,

$$\|D_{V^*}h\|^2 = \|D_{V_1^*}V_2^*h\|^2 + \|D_{V_2^*}h\|^2 = \|D_{V_1^*}h\|^2 + \|D_{V_2^*}V_1^*h\|^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore to show that \mathcal{D}_{V^*} is isomorphic to $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix}$, we can consider the map

$$D_{V^*}h \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} h \quad \text{for every } h \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (2.7)$$

Note that this is an isometry by (2.6) and surjective by part (ii) of the lemma.

For the intertwining relations (2.3), we see that for every $j \geq 1$,

$$V^{*j}V_1 = (V_2^*V_1^*)^jV_1 = V_2^*(V_1^*V_2^*)^{j-1} = q^{j-1}V_2^*V^{*j-1} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$V^{*j}V_2 = (V_2^*V_1^*)^jV_2 = \bar{q}^j(V_1^*V_2^*)^jV_2 = \bar{q}^jV_1^*(V_2^*V_1^*)^{*j-1} = \bar{q}^jV_1^*V^{*j-1}. \quad (2.9)$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now for the main theorem of this section, let us recall that the rotation operator R_q is the unitary defined on H^2 as

$$R_q : f(z) \mapsto f(qz).$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let V_1 and V_2 be two operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) **q -commutativity:** *The pair (V_1, V_2) is q -commutative;*

- (ii) **BCL-1 q -model:** *There exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K}_u , a projection P and a unitary U in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$, and a pair (W_1, W_2) of q -commuting unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_u)$ such that (V_1, V_2) is unitarily equivalent to*

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes P U & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ on } \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, the tuple $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2)$ can be chosen to be such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \\ D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix}, & \mathcal{K}_u = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (V_1 V_2)^n \mathcal{H}, & P : \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ U : \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} & \text{and } (W_1, W_2) = (V_1, V_2)|_{\mathcal{K}_u}, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

and the unitary operator $\tau_{\text{BCL}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix}$ can be chosen to be such that

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}} h = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix} (I - zV^*)^{-1} h \oplus \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (V_1 V_2)^n (V_2^* V_1^*)^n h; \quad (2.12)$$

- (iii) **BCL-2 q -model:** *There exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F}_\dagger and $\mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}$, a projection P_\dagger and a unitary U_\dagger in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_\dagger)$, and a pair $(W_{1\dagger}, W_{2\dagger})$ of q -commuting unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_{u\dagger})$ such that (V_1, V_2) is unitarily equivalent to*

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} R_q \otimes U_\dagger^* P_\dagger^\perp + M_z R_q \otimes U_\dagger^* P_\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & W_{1\dagger} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_{\bar{q}} \otimes P_\dagger U_\dagger + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes P_\dagger^\perp U_\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & W_{2\dagger} \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ on } \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_\dagger \\ \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, the tuple $(\mathcal{F}_\dagger, \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}; P_\dagger, U_\dagger, W_{1\dagger}, W_{2\dagger})$ can be chosen to be such that

$$(\mathcal{F}_\dagger, \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}; P_\dagger, U_\dagger, W_{1\dagger}, W_{2\dagger}) = (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U^*, W_1, W_2), \quad (2.14)$$

where $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2)$ is as in item (i) above, and the unitary operator $\tau_\dagger : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_\dagger \\ \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$ can be chosen to be as in (2.12).

Proof of (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). We first show that the pair in (2.10) is a q -commuting pair of isometries. To that end, let ξ be in \mathcal{F} and n be a non-negative integer. We see that

$$(R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp)(z^n \otimes \xi) = \bar{q}^n z^n \otimes U^* P \xi + \bar{q}^{n+1} z^{n+1} \otimes U^* P^\perp \xi$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & (R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes P U)(R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp)(z^n \otimes \xi) \\ &= (R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes P U)(\bar{q}^n z^n \otimes U^* P \xi + \bar{q}^{n+1} z^{n+1} \otimes U^* P^\perp \xi) \\ &= z^{n+1} \otimes P^\perp \xi + z^{n+1} \otimes P \xi = (M_z \otimes I_{\mathcal{F}})(z^n \otimes \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

On other hand,

$$(R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes P U)(z^n \otimes \xi) = q^n z^n \otimes P^\perp U \xi + q^n z^{n+1} \otimes P U \xi$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & (R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp)(R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes P U)(z^n \otimes \xi) \\ &= (R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp)(q^n z^n \otimes P^\perp U \xi + q^n z^{n+1} \otimes P U \xi) \\ &= \bar{q} z^{n+1} \otimes U^* P U \xi + \bar{q} z^{n+1} \otimes U^* P^\perp U \xi = \bar{q} (M_z \otimes I_{\mathcal{F}})(z^n \otimes \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

From equations (2.15) and (2.16) therefore follows the q -commutativity of the BCL-1 q -model (2.10). It remains to show that the entries of the BCL-1 q -model are isometries. But this is clear because the BCL-1 q -model is of the form

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} M_{(P^\perp+zP)U} & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_q & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_u} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_{\bar{q}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)} & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

and that the operators (neither q -commutative nor q -commutative)

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{(P^\perp+zP)U} & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)} & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

are isometries. Now it follows from the fact that the product of an isometry and a unitary is always an isometry. Therefore (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

We now establish the direction (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let us denote the isometry $V := V_1V_2 = qV_2V_1$. By Wold decomposition V is unitarily equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_z & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} H^2(\mathcal{D}_{V^*}) \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H^2(\mathcal{D}_{V^*}) \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix}$$

via the unitary

$$h \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} D_{V^*}(I - zV^*)^{-1}h \\ \lim_n V^n V^{*n} h \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

Here W is a unitary operator on $\mathcal{K}_u = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V^n \mathcal{H}$. We first note that the subspace \mathcal{K}_u is invariant under both V_1 and V_2 . We make use of the following q -intertwining relations, which are easy to establish:

$$V_1V^n = q^nV^nV_1 \quad \text{and} \quad V_2V^n = \bar{q}^nV^nV_2 \quad \text{for every } n \geq 1.$$

Let us suppose that for every $n \geq 0$, $g = V^n h_n$ for some $h_n \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$V_1g = V_1V^n h_n = V^n(q^nV_1h_n) \quad \text{and} \quad V_2g = V_2V^n h_n = V^n(\bar{q}^nV_2h_n).$$

Therefore \mathcal{K}_u is jointly (V_1, V_2) -invariant. So for each $j = 1, 2$, the avatar of V_j on $H^2(\mathcal{D}_{V^*}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_u$ is of the form

$$\tilde{V}_j = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11}^j & 0 \\ V_{21}^j & V_{22}^j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that (V_{22}^1, V_{22}^2) is a pair of q -commuting isometries such that

$$W = V_{22}^1V_{22}^2 = qV_{22}^2V_{22}^1.$$

Since W is a unitary, the pair (V_{22}^1, V_{22}^2) must be a q -commutative pair of unitaries – a fact that trivially follows from (2.6) when applied to the pair (V_{22}^1, V_{22}^2) . Therefore, each \tilde{V}_j must be a block diagonal matrix. Consequently, it is enough to assume – as we do for the rest of the proof – that $V = V_1V_2$ is a shift. Therefore the operator $\tau_{\text{BCL}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H^2\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix}\right)$ defined as

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}}h = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} h + z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V^*h + z^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}h + \cdots \quad (2.18)$$

is a unitary and satisfies $\tau_{\text{BCL}}V = M_z\tau_{\text{BCL}}$. To establish the unitary equivalence in part (ii) of the theorem, we use (2.3) to first note that for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}}V_1h = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V_1h + z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^*V_1h + z^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}V_1h + \dots$$

is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} h + z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^*V_2^* \end{bmatrix} h + qz^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^*V_2^* \end{bmatrix} V^*h + q^2z^3 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^*V_2^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}h + \dots,$$

which we split in two parts as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} h + qz \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V^*h + q^2z^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}h + \dots \right) \\ & + z \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} h + qz \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*h + (qz)^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}h + \dots \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to $(R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes PU)\tau h$, where P and U are as describe in (2.11) because for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$P^\perp U \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} h = P^\perp \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} h \quad \text{and} \quad PU \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} h = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^*h \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It remains to show that

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}}V_2 = (R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^*P + R_{\bar{q}}M_z \otimes U^*P^\perp)\tau_{\text{BCL}}.$$

For this we again use the relations (2.3) to note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\text{BCL}}V_2h &= \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V_2h + z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^*V_2h + z^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}V_2h + \dots \\ &= (I_{H^2} \otimes U^*) \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V_2h + z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V^*V_2h + z^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}V_2h + \dots \right) \\ &= (I_{H^2} \otimes U^*) \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} h + \bar{q}z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V_1^*h + (\bar{q}z)^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*}V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} V_1^*V^*h + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

As before, we split the last term in two parts as

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_{H^2} \otimes U^*) \left(\begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} h + \bar{q}z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^*h + (\bar{q}z)^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}h + \dots \right) \\ & + (I_{H^2} \otimes U^*)\bar{q}z \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} h + \bar{q}z \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^*h + (\bar{q}z)^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}h + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is essentially equal to $(R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^*P + R_{\bar{q}}M_z \otimes U^*P^\perp)\tau_{\text{BCL}}h$, where P and U are as describe in (2.11). This establishes the equivalence of (i) and (ii). The equivalence of (i) with (iii) can be established in a similar way. \square

Definition 2.3. For a q -commutative pair of isometries the tuples $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2)$ as in item (i) and $(\mathcal{F}_\dagger, \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}; P_\dagger, U_\dagger, W_{1\dagger}, W_{2\dagger})$ as in item (ii) of Theorem 2.2, will be referred to as the *BCL-1* and *BCL-2* q -tuples of (V_1, V_2) , respectively, and (as is indicated in the statement) the models as in (2.10) and (2.13) will be called the *BCL-1* and *BCL-2* q -models of (V_1, V_2) , respectively.

Remark 2.4. Note that the BCL-2 q -model can be obtained from the BCL-1 q -model by the following transformation of the BCL q -tuples

$$(\mathcal{F}_\dagger, \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}; P_\dagger, U_\dagger, W_{1\dagger}, W_{2\dagger}) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; U^*PU, U^*, W_1, W_2).$$

This indicates that it is enough to work with either of the model.

It was observed in [2] that a commutative pair of isometries is uniquely determined by the data set $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2)$. The same remains true in the case of q -commutativity also.

Theorem 2.5. *Let (V_1, V_2) and (V'_1, V'_2) be two q -commutative pairs of isometries with $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2)$ and $(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{K}'_u; P', U', W'_1, W'_2)$ as their respective BCL-1 q -tuples. Then (V_1, V_2) and (V'_1, V'_2) are unitarily equivalent if and only if there exist unitary operators $\omega : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ and $\omega_u : \mathcal{K}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'_u$ such that*

$$\omega(P, U) = (P', U')\omega \text{ and } \omega_u(W_1, W_2) = (W'_1, W'_2)\omega_u. \quad (2.19)$$

The statement remains true in case of BCL-2 q -tuples also.

Proof. The easier direction is the ‘if’ part. Note that if (2.19) is true, then the unitary

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{H^2 \otimes \omega} & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_u \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}' \\ \mathcal{K}'_u \end{bmatrix}$$

intertwines the BCL-1 (and BCL-2) q -models of (V_1, V_2) and (V'_1, V'_2) . For the converse part, suppose that the BCL-1 q -models

$$(V_1, V_2) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} M_{(P^\perp + zP)U} & 0 \\ 0 & W_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_q & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_u} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_{\bar{q}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)} & 0 \\ 0 & W_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ on } \begin{bmatrix} H^2(\mathcal{F}) \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$(V'_1, V'_2) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} M_{(P'^\perp + zP')U'} & 0 \\ 0 & W'_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_q & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_u} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} R_{\bar{q}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_u} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_{U'^*(P'+zP'^\perp)} & 0 \\ 0 & W'_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ on } \begin{bmatrix} H^2(\mathcal{F}') \\ \mathcal{K}'_u \end{bmatrix}$$

are unitarily equivalent via, say,

$$\tau = \begin{bmatrix} \tau' & \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{21} & \omega_u \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} H^2(\mathcal{F}) \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H^2(\mathcal{F}') \\ \mathcal{K}'_u \end{bmatrix}.$$

Adopting the notations $W := W_1W_2$ and $W' = W'_1W'_2$, we see that τ must satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau' & \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{21} & \omega_u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_z & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{bmatrix} = \tau V_1 V_2 = V'_1 V'_2 \tau = \begin{bmatrix} M_z & 0 \\ 0 & W' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau' & \tau_{12} \\ \tau_{21} & \omega_u \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.20)$$

equivalently, τ must satisfy

$$\tau' M_z = \tau' M_z, \quad \omega_u W = W' \omega_u \text{ and} \quad (2.21)$$

$$\tau_{12} W = M_z \tau_{12}, \quad \tau_{21} M_z = W' \tau_{21}. \quad (2.22)$$

We now use the general functional analysis result that if X is any operator that satisfies $XU = M_z X$ for some unitary U , then $X = 0$. Therefore from (2.22), we see that $\tau_{12} = 0$. Since τ is a unitary that satisfies (2.20), it must also satisfy

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tau' & 0 \\ \tau_{21} & \omega_u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_z^* & 0 \\ 0 & W^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_z^* & 0 \\ 0 & W'^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau' & 0 \\ \tau_{21} & \omega_u \end{bmatrix},$$

comparing the (12)-entries of which we get $\tau_{21} M_z^* = W'^* \tau_{21}$. Since W' is unitary, $\tau_{21} = 0$. Therefore the unitary τ reduces to the block diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\tau', \omega_u)$. From the first equation in (2.21) we see that $\tau' = I_{H^2} \otimes \omega$ for some unitary $\omega : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$. Remembering that τ intertwines (V_1, V_2) and (V'_1, V'_2) , we readily have the second equality in (2.19) and for the first equality we note that w must satisfy

$$wP^\perp U = P'^\perp U' \omega \text{ and } \omega P U = P' U' \omega.$$

Adding these two equations we get $\omega U = U' \omega$, which then implies that $\omega P = P' \omega$. The proof for the case of BCL-2 q -tuples is along the same line as above. This completes the proof. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to finding a connection between commutativity and q -commutativity. Let (V_1, V_2) be a q -commutative pair of isometries on \mathcal{H} such that $V = V_1V_2$ is a shift. Note that in this case the space \mathcal{K}_u in BCL-1 q -tuple will be zero, and hence by Theorem 2.2, (V_1, V_2) is unitarily equivalent to

$$(M_{(P^\perp+zP)U}R_q, R_{\bar{q}}M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)}),$$

via the unitary similarity

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}} : h \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} h + z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^*h + z^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*2}h + \cdots. \quad (2.23)$$

Let us denote the unitary

$$\mathfrak{r}_q := \tau_{\text{BCL}}^* R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}. \quad (2.24)$$

To compute the unitary \mathfrak{r}_q explicitly, proceed as follows. For $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathfrak{r}_q h, k \rangle &= \langle \tau_{\text{BCL}}^* R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} h, k \rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} (I - qzV^*)^{-1} h, \tau_{\text{BCL}} k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*n} h, \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*}V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*n} k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \langle D_{V^*} V^{*n} h, D_{V^*} V^{*n} k \rangle \quad [\text{using Lemma 2.1, part (ii)}] \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n \langle V^n D_{V^*} V^{*n} h, k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathfrak{r}_q h = D_{V^*} h + qV D_{V^*} V^* h + \cdots q^n V^n D_{V^*} V^{*n} h + \cdots. \quad (2.25)$$

As a consequence of this observation and Theorem 2.2, we get the following connection between commutativity and q -commutativity of a pair of isometries.

Theorem 2.6. *Let V_1 and V_2 be isometric operators such that $V = V_1V_2$ is a shift operator. Then with the unitary \mathfrak{r}_q as defined in (2.24),*

- (1) (V_1, V_2) is commutative if and only if $(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_q, \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} V_2)$ is q -commutative;
- (2) (V_1, V_2) is q -commutative if and only if $(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}}, \mathfrak{r}_q V_2)$ is commutative.

Proof. We prove only part (1) because it implies part (2). Suppose (V_1, V_2) is a commutative pair of isometries and $(\mathcal{F}; P, U)$ is a BCL-1 tuple of (V_1, V_2) . Then applying Theorem 2.2 for the $q = 1$ case,

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}}(V_1, V_2) = (M_{(P^\perp+zP)U}, M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)}) \tau_{\text{BCL}} \quad (2.26)$$

via the unitary similarity τ_{BCL} as in (2.23) above. In view of (2.24) and (2.26),

$$\begin{aligned} (M_{(P^\perp+zP)U}R_q, R_{\bar{q}}M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)}) &= (M_{(P^\perp+zP)U} \tau_{\text{BCL}} \mathfrak{r}_q \tau_{\text{BCL}}^*, \tau_{\text{BCL}} \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} \tau_{\text{BCL}}^* M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)}) \\ &= \tau_{\text{BCL}}(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_q, \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} V_2) \tau_{\text{BCL}}^*. \end{aligned}$$

By the equivalence of (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.2, the pair

$$(M_{(P^\perp+zP)U}R_q, R_{\bar{q}}M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)})$$

is q -commutative, and thus so is the pair $(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_q, \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} V_2)$. \square

In view of the fact that (R_q, M_z) is q -commutative, the following is an abstract version of Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that V_1, V_2 are some operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , τ is a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} such that for a uni-modular q ,*

$$\tau V_1 V_2 = q \cdot V_1 V_2 \tau. \quad (2.27)$$

Then (V_1, V_2) is commutative if and only if $(V_1 \tau, \tau^ V_2)$ is q -commutative.*

Proof. Let us denote $(W_1, W_2) = (V_1 \tau, \tau^* V_2)$. Suppose that (V_1, V_2) is commutative and compute

$$W_1 W_2 = V_1 \tau^* \tau V_2 = V_1 V_2 =: V$$

while

$$W_2 W_1 = \tau^* V_2 V_1 \tau = \tau^* V \tau = \bar{q} \cdot V = \bar{q} \cdot W_1 W_2.$$

So (W_1, W_2) is q -commutative. Conversely suppose $W_1 W_2 = q \cdot W_2 W_1$, i.e., $V_1 V_2 = q \cdot \tau^* V_2 V_1 \tau$. By (2.27), this is same as $q \cdot \tau^* V_1 V_2 \tau = q \cdot \tau^* V_2 V_1 \tau$. This implies $V_1 V_2 = V_2 V_1$. \square

3. DOUBLY q -COMMUTATIVE PAIRS OF ISOMETRIES

Let us recall that a q -commutative pair of operators (V_1, V_2) is said to be *doubly q -commutative*, if in addition, it satisfies $V_2 V_1^* = q V_1^* V_2$. Note that if (V_1, V_2) is doubly q -commutative, then so is (V_1^*, V_2^*) . Then next result is a characterization of doubly q -commutative pairs of isometries.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (V_1, V_2) be a pair of q -commutative isometries with BCL-1 and BCL-2 q -tuples as $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P, U, W_1, W_2)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_\dagger, \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}; P_\dagger, U_\dagger, W_{1\dagger}, W_{2\dagger})$, respectively. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (V_1, V_2) is doubly q -commutative;
- (2) $PUP^\perp = 0$; and
- (3) $P_\dagger^\perp U_\dagger P_\dagger = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, we can assume without loss of generality that (V_1, V_2) is either the BCL-1 q -model (2.10) or the BCL-2 q -model (2.13); to prove (1) \Leftrightarrow (2), we work with the BCL-1 q -model. Since, q -commutativity of a pair of unitaries implies its doubly q -commutativity, we disregard the *unitary part* (W_1, W_2) in the model (2.10) and suppose that

$$(V_1, V_2) = (R_q \otimes P^\perp U + M_z R_q \otimes PU, R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp) \text{ on } H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}.$$

We shall make use of the following identities concerning the two operators R_q and M_z on H^2 . We do not prove these relations as the proofs are elementary. For every $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\bar{q}} M_z R_{\bar{q}}(z^n) &= \bar{q}^{2n+1} z^n, & R_{\bar{q}} M_z R_{\bar{q}} M_z^*(z^n) &= \bar{q}^{2n-1} z^n \\ R_{\bar{q}} M_z^* R_{\bar{q}}(z^n) &= \bar{q}^{2n-1} z^{n-1}, & R_{\bar{q}} M_z^* R_{\bar{q}} M_z(z^n) &= \bar{q}^{2n+1} z^n. \end{aligned}$$

With the above relations in mind, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 V_1^* &= (R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z \otimes U^* P^\perp)(R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P^\perp + R_{\bar{q}} M_z^* \otimes U^* P) \\ &= R_{\bar{q}}^2 \otimes U^* P U^* P^\perp + R_{\bar{q}}^2 M_z^* \otimes U^* P U^* P + R_{\bar{q}} M_z R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^* P^\perp U^* P^\perp \\ &\quad + R_{\bar{q}} M_z R_{\bar{q}} M_z^* \otimes U^* P^\perp U^* P \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^*V_2 &= (R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^*P^\perp + R_{\bar{q}}M_z^* \otimes U^*P)(R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^*P + R_{\bar{q}}M_z \otimes U^*P^\perp) \\ &= R_{\bar{q}}^2 \otimes U^*P^\perp U^*P + R_{\bar{q}}^2 M_z \otimes U^*P^\perp U^*P^\perp + R_{\bar{q}}M_z^* R_{\bar{q}} \otimes U^*PU^*P \\ &\quad + R_{\bar{q}}M_z^* R_{\bar{q}}M_z \otimes U^*PU^*P^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $n \geq 1$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_2V_1^*(z^n \otimes \xi) &= \bar{q}^{2n}z^n \otimes U^*PU^*P^\perp\xi + \bar{q}^{2n-2}z^{n-1} \otimes U^*PU^*P\xi \\ &\quad + \bar{q}^{2n+1}z^{n+1}U^*P^\perp U^*P^\perp\xi + \bar{q}^{2n-1}z^n \otimes U^*P^\perp U^*P\xi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_1^*V_2(z^n \otimes \xi) &= \bar{q}^{2n}z^n \otimes U^*P^\perp U^*P\xi + \bar{q}^{2n+2}z^{n+1} \otimes U^*P^\perp U^*P^\perp\xi \\ &\quad + \bar{q}^{2n-1}z^{n-1} \otimes U^*PU^*P\xi + \bar{q}^{2n+1}z^n \otimes U^*PU^*P^\perp\xi. \end{aligned}$$

From the above expressions of $V_2V_1^*(z^n \otimes \xi)$ and $qV_1^*V_2(z^n \otimes \xi)$, one readily observes that

$$V_2V_1^*(z^n \otimes \xi) = qV_1^*V_2(z^n \otimes \xi) \text{ whenever } n \geq 1 \text{ and } \xi \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We now compute

$$V_2V_1^*(1 \otimes \xi) = U^*PU^*P^\perp\xi + \bar{q}z \otimes U^*P^\perp U^*P^\perp\xi$$

and

$$V_1^*V_2(1 \otimes \xi) = U^*P^\perp U^*P\xi + \bar{q}^2z \otimes U^*P^\perp U^*P^\perp\xi + \bar{q}U^*PU^*P^\perp\xi.$$

Therefore $V_2^*V_1 = qV_1^*V_2$ if and only if for every $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$V_2V_1^*(1 \otimes \xi) = V_1^*V_2(1 \otimes \xi),$$

which, in view of the above computation, is true if and only if

$$PUP^\perp = 0.$$

This completes the proof of (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). To complete the proof of the theorem, one can either work with the BCL-2 q -model in (2.13) and proceed as before to prove (1) \Leftrightarrow (3), or, simply apply Remark 2.4 and establish the equivalence of (2) and (3). \square

We wish to establish a connection between double commutativity and q -double commutativity in analogue of Theorem 2.6. We first observe the following.

Lemma 3.2. *The BCL-1 model*

$$(M_{(P^\perp+zP)U} \oplus W_1, M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)} \oplus W_2)$$

of a commutative pair of isometries is doubly commutative if and only if $PUP^\perp = 0$.

Proof. Since a commuting pair of unitaries is automatically doubly commuting, we only investigate the doubly commutativity of the pair

$$(V_1, V_2) = (I_{H^2} \otimes P^\perp U + M_z \otimes PU, I_{H^2} \otimes U^*P + M_z \otimes U^*P^\perp).$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} V_2^*V_1 &= (I_{H^2} \otimes PU + M_z^* \otimes P^\perp U)(I_{H^2} \otimes P^\perp U + M_z \otimes PU) \\ &= I_{H^2} \otimes PUP^\perp U + M_z \otimes PUPU + M_z^* \otimes P^\perp U P^\perp U + I_{H^2} \otimes P^\perp U P U \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 V_2^* &= (I_{H^2} \otimes P^\perp U + M_z \otimes PU)(I_{H^2} \otimes PU + M_z^* \otimes P^\perp U) \\ &= I_{H^2} \otimes P^\perp U P U + M_z \otimes P U P U + M_z^* \otimes P^\perp U P^\perp U + M_z M_z^* \otimes P U P^\perp U. \end{aligned}$$

From the above two expressions, we see after cancellation of common terms that

$$V_2^* V_1 - V_1 V_2^* = (I - M_z M_z^*) \otimes P U P^\perp U.$$

Since $I_{H^2} - M_z M_z^*$ is the projection of H^2 on the constant functions in H^2 , we see that V_1 double commutes with V_2 exactly when $P U P^\perp U = 0$, or, equivalently, $P U P^\perp = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let V_1 and V_2 be isometries such that $V = V_1 V_2$ is a shift, and \mathfrak{r}_q be the unitary as in (2.24). Then*

- (1) (V_1, V_2) is doubly commutative if and only if $(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_q, \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} V_2)$ is doubly q -commutative;
- (2) (V_1, V_2) is doubly q -commutative if and only if $(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}}, \mathfrak{r}_q V_2)$ is doubly commutative.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.6. For part (1), suppose (V_1, V_2) is a commutative and $(\mathcal{F}; P, U)$ is a BCL-1 tuple of (V_1, V_2) . By Theorem 2.2,

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}}(V_1, V_2) = (M_{(P^\perp + zP)U}, M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)}) \tau_{\text{BCL}}. \quad (3.1)$$

where $\tau_{\text{BCL}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{F})$ is the unitary as in (2.23). Suppose that (V_1, V_2) is doubly commutative. Hence by Lemma 3.2, we have $P U P^\perp = 0$. By Theorem 3.1, this is equivalent to the BCL-1 q -model $(M_{(P^\perp + zP)U} R_q, R_{\bar{q}} M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)})$ being doubly q -commutative. But as observed in the proof of Theorem 2.6,

$$(M_{(P^\perp + zP)U} R_q, R_{\bar{q}} M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)}) = (V_1' \tau_{\text{BCL}} \mathfrak{r}_q \tau_{\text{BCL}}^*, \tau_{\text{BCL}} \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} \tau_{\text{BCL}}^* V_2') = \tau_{\text{BCL}}(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_q, \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} V_2) \tau_{\text{BCL}}^*.$$

Therefore equivalently, the pair $(V_1 \mathfrak{r}_q, \mathfrak{r}_{\bar{q}} V_2)$ must also be doubly q -commutative. Now part (1) implies part (2) and therefore the proof is complete. \square

Śłociński [22] proved that any pair of doubly commuting shift operators is unitarily equivalent to (M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. As a corollary to Theorem 3.3, we get the following analogue of Śłociński's result in the q -commutative setting.

Corollary 3.4. *A pair of shift operators (V_1, V_2) is doubly q -commutative if and only if it is unitarily equivalent to $(M_{z_1} \mathfrak{s}_q, \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{q}} M_{z_2})$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ for some unitary \mathfrak{s}_q on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$.*

Proof. Suppose that (V_1, V_2) is doubly q -commutative pair of shift operators. It is a general fact that if (V_1, V_2) is q -commutative pair of isometries with one of the entries a shift, then the product $V = V_1 V_2$ is also a shift. To see this we shall use the general fact that if (T_1, T_2) is q -commutative, then with $T = T_1 T_2$,

$$T^n = \bar{q}^{x_n} T_1^n T_2^n = q^{y_n} T_2^n T_1^n \text{ for every } n \geq 1, \quad (3.2)$$

where the sequences $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are given by the iterative relations

$$x_1 = 0, \quad x_n = x_{n-1} + n - 1, \quad \text{and } y_1 = 1, \quad y_n = y_{n-1} + n.$$

We omit the proof of (3.2) as it is routine. Applying this fact to the q -commutative pair (V_1, V_2) of shift operators, we see that

$$V^{*n} = q^{x_n} V_2^{*n} V_1^{*n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Invoking part (2) of Theorem 3.3 we get $(V_1 \mathbf{r}_{\bar{q}}, \mathbf{r}_q V_2)$ is doubly commutative, where \mathbf{r}_q is the unitary as in (2.24). By Słociński's characterization of doubly commutative pair of shifts, there exist a unitary $\tau_S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$ such that

$$\tau_S(V_1 \mathbf{r}_{\bar{q}}, \mathbf{r}_q V_2) = (M_{z_1}, M_{z_2}) \tau_S. \quad (3.3)$$

The first component of (3.3) gives $\tau_S V_1 = M_{z_1} \tau_S \mathbf{r}_q = M_{z_1} \tau_S \mathbf{r}_q \tau_S^* \cdot \tau_S$. This and a similar treatment for the second component give

$$\tau_S(V_1, V_2) = (M_{z_1} \tau_S \mathbf{r}_q \tau_S^*, \tau_S \mathbf{r}_{\bar{q}} \tau_S^* M_{z_2}) \tau_S,$$

which readily implies that with

$$\mathfrak{s}_q := \tau_S \mathbf{r}_q \tau_S^* = \tau_S \tau_{\text{BCL}}^* R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} \tau_S^*$$

the doubly q -commutative pair (V_1, V_2) is unitarily equivalent to $(M_{z_1} \mathfrak{s}_q, \mathfrak{s}_{\bar{q}} M_{z_2})$. \square

4. EXAMPLES

It is interesting to work with some concrete examples to illustrate the model theory. First we exhibit a simple example of a pair of isometric operators that is doubly q -commutative.

Example 4.1. Consider the pair $(V_1, V_2) = (R_q, M_z)$ on the Hardy space H^2 . We have seen in the introduction that this pair is q -commutative. To see that this is doubly q -commutative, we prove the general fact that if a pair (T_1, T_2) is q -commutative and T_1 is unitary, then it is doubly q -commutative. For this we simply multiply $T_1 T_2 = q T_2 T_1$ by T_1^* from right and left successively, to get $T_2 T_1^* = q T_1^* T_2$. It is interesting to note that if, instead of T_1 , T_2 is unitary, then (T_1, T_2) would be doubly \bar{q} -commutative. Below we illustrate the equivalence of (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.2 for this particular example. First we compute explicitly the BCL-1 q -tuple for this pair.

Let us first note that if $(V_1, V_2) = (R_q, M_z)$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ P_C \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \\ H^2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \\ H^2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and therefore } \mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{C} \end{bmatrix},$$

where P_C is the orthogonal projection of H^2 onto the constant functions. Let $f(z) = a_0 + z a_1 + \cdots + z^n a_n + \cdots$ be in H^2 . We note that

$$D_{V_1^*} V_2^* f = 0 \text{ and } D_{V_2^*} V_1^* f = D_{M_z^*} R_{\bar{q}} = a_0.$$

Therefore

$$U : \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix}$$

is essentially $I_{\mathbb{C}^2}$. It is interesting to note that if P is the projection of $\mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*}$ onto $\mathcal{D}_{V_1^*}$ (which is zero), then P is essentially $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, while $P^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Since (R_q, M_z) is q -commutative, applying (3.2) to the pair (R_q, M_z) , we get with $V = R_q M_z$

$$V^{*n} f = \bar{q}^{yn} R_{\bar{q}^n} M_z^{*n} f = \bar{q}^{yn} (a_n, \bar{q}^n a_{n+1}, \bar{q}^{2n} a_{n+2}, \dots).$$

Since $V = V_1 V_2$ on H^2 is a shift operator, the general unitary identification τ_{BCL} from H^2 onto $H^2 \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix}$, which is of the form (as shown in (2.18))

$$\tau_{\text{BCL}} h = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix} h + z \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^* h + z^2 \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix} V^{*2} h + \cdots$$

is given in this case as

$$f \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} + z\bar{q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{y_n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \cdots. \quad (4.1)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (P^\perp U + M_z P U) R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} f(z) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} + z\bar{q}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{y_n+n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \right) \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} + z\bar{q}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{y_n+n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \right) = R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} f(z). \end{aligned}$$

Similar computation for the intertwining relation $R_{\bar{q}}(U^* P + M_z U^* P^\perp) \tau_{\text{BCL}} = R_{\bar{q}} M_z \tau_{\text{BCL}}$.

In view of Theorem 3.1, that the pair $(R_q M_z, M_z)$ is doubly q -commutative is reflected in the fact that

$$P U P^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next we find an example of a pair of shift operators that is q -commutative but not doubly q -commutative.

Example 4.2. Consider the pair $(V_1, V_2) = (R_q M_z, M_z)$ on H^2 . Then for every $f \in H^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 V_2 f(z) &= R_q M_z^2 f(z) = q^2 z^2 f(qz) \text{ while,} \\ V_2 V_1 f(z) &= M_z R_q M_z f(z) = M_z q z f(qz) = q z^2 f(qz), \end{aligned}$$

showing that (V_1, V_2) is a q -commutative pair. However, it should be noted that the pair is not doubly q -commutative. One way to see this is that

$$V_2 V_1^*(1) = M_z M_z^* R_{\bar{q}}(1) = 0 \text{ but } V_1^* V_2(1) = M_z^* R_{\bar{q}} M_z(1) = \bar{q}.$$

Therefore $V_2 V_1^* \neq q V_1^* V_2$. To see that $V_1 = R_q M_z$ is actually a shift operator, we apply (3.2) to the q -commutative pair (R_q, M_z) to note

$$V_1^{*n} = (M_z^* R_{\bar{q}})^n = \bar{q}^{y_n} R_{\bar{q}}^n M_z^{*n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in the strong operator topology as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Below we compute the BCL-1 q -tuple corresponding to the pair $(V_1, V_2) = (R_q M_z, M_z)$. Let us first note that $D_{V_1^*} = I - V_1 V_1^* = I - R_q M_z M_z^* R_{\bar{q}} = R_q D_{M_z^*} R_{\bar{q}}$, which is essentially the same as $D_{M_z^*} = P_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\mathbb{C}} \\ P_{\mathbb{C}} \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \\ H^2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \\ H^2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and therefore } \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbb{C} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $f(z) = a_0 + z a_1 + \cdots + z^n a_n + \cdots$ be in H^2 . We note that

$$D_{V_1^*} V_2^* f = D_{M_z^*} M_z^* f = a_1 \text{ and } D_{V_2^*} V_1^* f = D_{M_z^*} M_z^* R_{\bar{q}} f = \bar{q} a_1.$$

Therefore

$$U : \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} \\ D_{V_2^*} V_1^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \bar{q} a_1 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{V_1^*} V_2^* \\ D_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by $U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Next we note that since (R_q, M_z) is q -commutative, (R_q, M_z^2) is q^2 -commutative, and therefore applying (3.2) we get with $V = V_1 V_2$

$$V^{*n} f = \bar{q}^{2y_n} R_{\bar{q}}^n M_z^{*2n} f = \bar{q}^{2y_n} (a_{2n}, \bar{q}^n a_{2n+1}, \bar{q}^{2n} a_{2n+2}, \dots).$$

Since V is a shift operator, the unitary identification τ_{BCL} from H^2 onto $H^2 \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \end{bmatrix}$ in this case is given by

$$f \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \bar{q} a_1 \end{bmatrix} + z\bar{q}^2 \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \\ \bar{q}^2 a_3 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{2y_n} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2n} \\ \bar{q}^{n+1} a_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots. \quad (4.2)$$

To demonstrate that this τ_{BCL} intertwines (V_1, V_2) and $(M_{(P^\perp+zP)U}R_q, R_{\bar{q}}M_{U^*(P+zP^\perp)})$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp UR_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} f &= P^\perp U \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \bar{q} a_1 \end{bmatrix} + z \bar{q} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \\ \bar{q}^2 a_3 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{2y_n-n} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2n} \\ \bar{q}^{n+1} a_{2n+1} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \right) \\ &= P^\perp \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} + z \bar{q} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q} a_3 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{2y_n-n} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q}^n a_{2n+1} \\ a_{2n} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} + z \bar{q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{2y_n-n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_{2n} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and (using the action of $UR_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} f$ from the above computation)

$$\begin{aligned} M_z P U R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} f &= M_z P \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} + z \bar{q} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q} a_3 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{2y_n-n} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q}^n a_{2n+1} \\ a_{2n} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \right) \\ &= z \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + z^2 \bar{q} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q} a_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^{n+1} \bar{q}^{2y_n-n} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{q}^n a_{2n+1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(P^\perp U + M_z P U) R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ a_0 \end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \bar{q} a_2 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots + z^n \bar{q}^{2y_n-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_{2n-1} \\ \bar{q}^n a_{2n} \end{bmatrix} + \cdots \quad (4.3)$$

We note that

$$V_1 f(z) = R_q M_z f = z a_0 q + z^2 a_1 q^2 + \cdots + z^n a_{n-1} q^n + \cdots =: \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n b_n.$$

Therefore replacing f by $V_1 f$ in the expression (4.2) of τ_{BCL} , we get $\tau_{\text{BCL}} V_1 f$ the same as $(P^\perp U + M_z P U) R_q \tau_{\text{BCL}} f$. Similar computation for the other intertwining relation.

In view of Theorem 3.1, that the pair $(R_q M_z, M_z)$ is not doubly q -commutative is reflected in the fact that

$$P U P^\perp = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following couple of examples are interesting to note.

Example 4.3. Consider the pair $(V_1, V_2) = (R_q M_{z_1}, M_{z_2})$ on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^2)$. We have noticed in the Introduction that this is indeed q -commuting. The computation below shows that it is actually doubly q -commutative.

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 V_1^* f(z_1, z_2) &= M_{z_2} M_{z_1}^* R_{\bar{q}} f(z_1, z_2) = M_{z_2} M_{z_1}^* f(\bar{q} z_1, \bar{q} z_2) = M_{z_1}^* z_2 f(\bar{q} z_1, \bar{q} z_2) \text{ and} \\ V_1^* V_2 f(z_1, z_2) &= M_{z_1}^* R_{\bar{q}} M_{z_2} f(z_1, z_2) = \bar{q} M_{z_1}^* z_2 f(\bar{q} z_1, \bar{q} z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the subspace $\mathcal{H}_\diamond := H^2(\mathbb{D}^2) \ominus \{\text{constants}\}$. Just like commutativity, it is trivial that q -commutativity property is hereditary, i.e., the restriction of a q -commutative pair is q -commutative. However, the restriction $(V'_1, V'_2) = (R_q M_{z_1}, M_{z_2})|_{\mathcal{H}_\diamond}$ is not doubly q -commutative as the following computation reveals:

$$V'_2 V_1'^*(z_1) = M_{z_2} M_{z_1}^* R_{\bar{q}}(z_1) = 0 \neq \bar{q} z_2 = M_{z_1}^* \bar{q} z_1 z_2 = q M_{z_1}^* R_{\bar{q}} M_{z_2}(z_1) = q V_1'^* V'_2(z_1).$$

It is interesting to have an example of a pair of isometries which is not q -commutative for any complex number q . Let α, β are two distinct numbers in \mathbb{T} . Consider

$$V_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then clearly

$$V_1 V_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta \end{bmatrix} \neq q \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta \end{bmatrix} = q V_2 V_1$$

for any number q , because α and β are distinct.

5. THE TUPLE CASE

In this section, we use the model for the pair case to exhibit a parallel model for tuples (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d) of q -commutative isometries. We first define q -commutativity for tuples of operators.

Definition 5.1. Let $q : \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a function such that $q(i, i) = 1$ and $q(i, j) = \overline{q(j, i)}$ for each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. A d -tuple (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d) of operators is said to be q -commutative, if

$$V_i V_j = q(i, j) V_j V_i \quad \text{for each } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

As an example of a q -commutative tuple of isometries, let us define V_j on $H^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$, the Hardy space of the d -disk, as

$$V_j = R_{q^{d-j}} M_{z_j} \text{ or } M_{z_j} R_{q^{d-j}} \text{ for each } j = 1, 2, \dots, d, \quad (5.1)$$

and $q : \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ as $q(i, j) = q^{j-i}$. To see that (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d) is q -commutative, we compute

$V_i V_j f(\underline{z}) = R_{q^{d-i}} M_{z_i} R_{q^{d-j}} M_{z_j} f(\underline{z}) = q^{d-j} R_{q^{d-i}} z_i z_j f(q^{d-j} \underline{z}) = q^{3d-2i-j} z_i z_j f(q^{2d-i-j} \underline{z})$
while $V_j V_i f(\underline{z}) = q^{3d-i-2j} z_i z_j f(q^{2d-i-j} \underline{z})$ (obtained by just switching (i, j) to (j, i) in the above expression).

Let us denote

$$V_{(i)} := V_1 \cdots V_{i-1} V_{i+1} \cdots V_d.$$

A key observation that makes it possible to apply the results for the pair case to the general case, is that if (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d) is q -commutative, then for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, the pair $(V_i, V_{(i)})$ is q_i -commutative, where

$$q_i := \prod_{j=1}^d q(i, j). \quad (5.2)$$

This is because for each i ,

$$V_i V_{(i)} = V_i V_1 V_2 \cdots V_{i-1} V_{i+1} \cdots V_d = \prod_{i \neq j=1}^d q(i, j) V_{(i)} V_i = q_i V_{(i)} V_i,$$

where we used the fact that $q(i, i) = 1$. This observation makes it easy to obtain a Berger–Coburn–Lebow-type model for any q -commutative tuples of isometries (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d) . Indeed, the idea is to just apply Theorem 2.2 to each of the q_i -commutative pairs $(V_i, V_{(i)})$. However, unlike the pair case, a BCL-1 and BCL-2 q -models need not in general be q -commutative. This will happen when the BCL-1 and BCL-2 q -tuples satisfy some compatibility conditions.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d) be a d -tuple of q -commutative isometries. Then*

- (1) **BCL-1 q -model:** *there exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K}_u , projections P_1, P_2, \dots, P_d and unitaries U_1, U_2, \dots, U_d in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F})$, and a q -commutative tuple (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_d) of unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_u)$ such that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, V_i is unitarily equivalent to*

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{q_i} \otimes P_i^\perp U_i + M_z R_{q_i} \otimes P_i U_i & 0 \\ 0 & W_i \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } \begin{bmatrix} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.3)$$

and $V_{(i)}$ is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{\bar{q}_i} \otimes U_i^* P_i + R_{\bar{q}_i} M_z \otimes U_i^* P_i^\perp & 0 \\ 0 & W_{(i)} \end{array} \right] \text{ on } \left[\begin{array}{c} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{array} \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

Moreover, the tuple $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P_i, U_i, W_i)_{i=1}^d$ can be chosen to be such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_{V_d^*}, \quad \mathcal{K}_u = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (V_1 V_2 \cdots V_d)^n \mathcal{H}, \\ (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_d) = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_d)|_{\mathcal{K}_u}, \quad P_i = \text{projection onto } \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*}, \text{ and} \\ U_i : \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} \oplus \Delta_i \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} V_i^* \mapsto \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} V_{(i)}^* \oplus \Delta_i \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} \text{ for some unitary} \\ \Delta_i : \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d \mathcal{D}_{V_j^*} \text{ given explicitly in (5.12) below;} \end{array} \right. \quad (5.5)$$

and

- (2) **BCL-2 q -model:** there exist Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F}_\dagger and $\mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}$, projections $P_{i\dagger}$ and a unitary $U_{i\dagger}$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_\dagger)$, and a tuple $(W_{1\dagger}, W_{2\dagger}, \dots, W_{d\dagger})$ of q -commutative unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_{u\dagger})$ such that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, V_i is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{q_i} \otimes U_{i\dagger}^* P_{i\dagger}^\perp + M_z R_{q_i} \otimes U_{i\dagger}^* P_{i\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & W_{(i)\dagger} \end{array} \right] \text{ on } \left[\begin{array}{c} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_\dagger \\ \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger} \end{array} \right] \quad (5.6)$$

and $V_{(i)}$ is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{\bar{q}_i} \otimes P_{i\dagger} U_{i\dagger} + R_{\bar{q}_i} M_z \otimes P_{i\dagger}^\perp U_{i\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & W_{(i)\dagger} \end{array} \right] \text{ on } \left[\begin{array}{c} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_\dagger \\ \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger} \end{array} \right]. \quad (5.7)$$

Moreover, the tuple $(\mathcal{F}_\dagger, \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}; P_{i\dagger}, U_{i\dagger}, W_{i\dagger})_{i=1}^d$ can be chosen to be such that

$$(\mathcal{F}_\dagger, \mathcal{K}_{u\dagger}; P_{i\dagger}, U_{i\dagger}, W_{i\dagger}) = (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P_i, U_i^*, W_i) \text{ for each } i, \quad (5.8)$$

where $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{K}_u; P_i, U_i, W_i)_{i=1}^d$ is as in part (1) above.

Proof. As in the pair case, we only do the analysis for part (1), as a similar analysis works for part (2). The first step is to fix $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ and apply the implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) of Theorem 2.2 to the q_i -commutative pair $(V_i, V_{(i)})$. This will give us Hilbert spaces \mathcal{F}_i , \mathcal{K}_{iu} , a projection P_i , a unitary U_i in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_i)$, and a pair (W_i, W'_i) of q_i -commuting unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}_{iu})$ such that $(V_i, V_{(i)})$ is unitarily equivalent to

$$\left(\left[\begin{array}{c} R_{q_i} \otimes P_i^\perp U_i + M_z R_{q_i} \otimes P_i U_i & 0 \\ 0 & W_i \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{c} R_{\bar{q}_i} \otimes U_i^* P_i + R_{\bar{q}_i} M_z \otimes U_i^* P_i^\perp & 0 \\ 0 & W'_i \end{array} \right] \right) \text{ on } \left[\begin{array}{c} H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_i \\ \mathcal{K}_{iu} \end{array} \right], \quad (5.9)$$

where by (2.11) the parameters $(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{K}_{iu}; P_i, U_i, W_i, W'_i)$ can be chosen to be

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F}_i = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_{(i)}^*} \end{array} \right], \quad \mathcal{K}_{iu} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (V_i V_{(i)})^n \mathcal{H}, \quad P_i : \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ U_i : \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_{(i)}^*} \end{array} \right] \mapsto \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D}_{V_i^*} V_{(i)}^* \\ \mathcal{D}_{V_{(i)}^*} \end{array} \right] \text{ and } (W_i, W'_i) = (V_i, V_{(i)})|_{\mathcal{K}_{iu}}. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.10)$$

Let us first note that by definition of $V_{(i)}$ it follows that

$$W'_i = \prod_{i \neq j=1}^d W_j = W_{(i)}.$$

Next we note that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$,

$$\mathcal{K}_{iu} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} (V_i V_{(i)})^n \mathcal{H} = q(i, 1) q(i, 2) \cdots q(i, i-1) \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V^n \mathcal{H} =: \mathcal{K}_u,$$

where $V = V_1 V_2 \cdots V_d$ and we used the fact that for every i ,

$$V_i V_{(i)} = V_i V_1 V_2 \cdots V_{i-1} V_{i+1} \cdots V_d = q(i, 1) q(i, 2) \cdots q(i, i-1) V.$$

We next argue that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{D}_{V_1^*} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{V_2^*} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{D}_{V_d^*}$. By the expression of \mathcal{F}_i as given in (5.10), this will be achieved if we can show that

$$\mathcal{D}_{V_{(i)}^*} \text{ is unitarily equivalent to } \bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d \mathcal{D}_{V_j^*}. \quad (5.11)$$

For (5.11), we define the map $\Delta_i : \mathcal{D}_{V_{(i)}^*} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d \mathcal{D}_{V_j^*}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_i : D_{V_{(i)}^*} h \mapsto & D_{V_1^*} V_2^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h \oplus D_{V_2^*} V_3^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h \\ & \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{V_{d-1}^*} V_d^* h \oplus D_{V_d^*} h. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Using the general fact that for a contraction T , $\|D_T h\|^2 = \|h\|^2 - \|Th\|^2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_{V_1^*} V_2^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h\|^2 + \|D_{V_2^*} V_3^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h\|^2 \\ & + \cdots + \|D_{V_{d-1}^*} V_d^* h\|^2 + \|D_{V_d^*} h\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

is a telescopic sum and is equal to

$$\|h\|^2 - \|V_1^* V_2^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h\|^2 = \|D_{V_{(i)}^*} h\|^2.$$

Therefore Δ_i is an isometry. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{D_{V_1^*} V_2^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h \oplus D_{V_2^*} V_3^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h \\ & \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{V_{d-1}^*} V_d^* h \oplus D_{V_d^*} h : h \in \mathcal{H}\} = \bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d \mathcal{D}_{V_j^*}. \end{aligned}$$

We follow the same technique as used to prove Lemma 2.1: we show that the ortho-complement of the space on the left-hand side in $\bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d \mathcal{D}_{V_j^*}$ is zero. Let $\bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d f_j \in \bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d \mathcal{D}_{V_j^*}$ be such that for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle \bigoplus_{i \neq j=1}^d f_j, & D_{V_1^*} V_2^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h \oplus D_{V_2^*} V_3^* \cdots V_{i-1}^* V_{i+1}^* \cdots V_d^* h \\ & \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{V_{d-1}^*} V_d^* h \oplus D_{V_d^*} h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for every $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\langle h, f_d + V_d f_{d-1} + \cdots + V_d V_{d-1} \cdots V_{i+1} V_{i-1} \cdots V_3 f_2 + V_d V_{d-1} \cdots V_{i+1} V_{i-1} \cdots V_2 f_1 \rangle = 0,$$

which means that

$$f_d + V_d f_{d-1} + \cdots + V_d V_{d-1} \cdots V_{i+1} V_{i-1} \cdots V_3 f_2 + V_d V_{d-1} \cdots V_{i+1} V_{i-1} \cdots V_2 f_1 = 0.$$

Since $D_{V_d^*} f_d = f_d$ and $D_{V_d^*} V_d = 0$, we conclude by applying $D_{V_d^*}$ on the vector above that $f_d = 0$. A similar analysis yields that each of the vectors $f_{d-1}, \dots, f_{i+1}, f_{i-1}, \dots, f_1$ are zero vectors. Consequently, Δ_i is a unitary. Hence claim 5.11 is proved. \square

Remark 5.3. As in the pair case, for a tuple of q -commutative isometries, the BCL q -tuples uniquely determine a tuple of q -commutative isometries in the sense that is explained for the pair case in the statement of Theorem 2.5. The proof is similar.

6. q -COMMUTATIVE UNITARY EXTENSION OF q -COMMUTATIVE ISOMETRIES

Just as in the commutative case, every q -commutative tuple of isometries can be extended to a q -commutative tuple of unitaries. Moreover, as the following theorem shows, this unitary extension can be made so as to have some additional structure.

Theorem 6.1. *Every d -tuple (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d) of q -commutative isometric operators has a q -commutative unitary extension (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_d) . Moreover, there is an extension (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_d) such that $Y = Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_d$ is the minimal unitary extension of $X = X_1 X_2 \cdots X_d$.*

Proof. Let us suppose without loss of generality that the q -commutative isometric tuple (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d) is given exactly in the BCL-1 q -model (5.3). Consider the tuple (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_d) given for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, by

$$Y_i = \begin{bmatrix} R_{q_i} \otimes P_i^\perp U_i + M_\zeta R_{q_i} \otimes P_i U_i & 0 \\ 0 & W_i \end{bmatrix} \text{ on } \begin{bmatrix} L^2 \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{K}_u \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6.1)$$

Here L^2 denotes the usual L^2 space over \mathbb{T} with respect to the arc-length measure. It is a routine computation that the tuple (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_d) above is a q -commutative tuple of unitary operators. Moreover, it extends the model in (2.13) in view of the natural embedding of $(H^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_u$ into $(L^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_u$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} z^n \otimes \xi \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^n \otimes \xi \\ \eta \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } \xi \in \mathcal{F}, \eta \in \mathcal{K}_u \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

For the second part of the lemma, we note that

$$X = X_1 X_2 \cdots X_d = X_1 X_{(1)} = M_z \oplus W_1 W_2 \cdots W_d \text{ on } H^2(\mathcal{F}_\dagger) \oplus \mathcal{K}_u$$

and

$$Y = Y_1 Y_2 \cdots Y_d = M_\zeta \oplus W_1 W_2 \cdots W_d \text{ on } L^2(\mathcal{F}_\dagger) \oplus \mathcal{K}_u.$$

Therefore it follows from the classical theory that Y as above is indeed the minimal unitary extension of X . \square

Let us say that a q -commutative tuple (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d) is doubly q -commutative, if in addition, it satisfies

$$X_j X_i^* = q(i, j) X_i^* X_j \text{ for each } i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

As in the pair case, a q -commutative tuple of unitaries is automatically doubly q -commutative. A doubly q -commutative version of Theorem 6.1 can be easily derived.

Corollary 6.2 (See also §6 of [10]). *Every doubly q -commutative tuple of isometries extends to a doubly q -commutative tuple of unitaries.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6.1 and the fact that a q -commutative tuple of unitaries is doubly q -commutative. \square

7. MODELS FOR q -COMMUTATIVE CONTRACTIONS

Let (T_1, T_2) be a pair of operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let us call a pair (U_1, U_2) of operators acting on $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ a *dilation* of (T_1, T_2) , if

$$T_1^m T_2^n = P_{\mathcal{H}} U_1^m U_2^n|_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ for every non-negative integers } m \text{ and } n,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{K} onto \mathcal{H} . Andô's dilation theorem [1] states that every pair of commutative Hilbert space operators has a dilation to a pair of commutative unitary operators. Thus, a natural generalization of Andô's dilation theorem is whether every q -commutative pair of contractions has a dilation to a q -commutative unitary operators. This question is beautifully answered in affirmative very recently in [11] using a commutant lifting approach. In an upcoming paper, we plan to give two constructive proofs of this q -dilation theorem and use the Berger–Coburn–Lebow-type model proved in this paper to consequently produce functional models for q -commutative pairs of contractions; the $q = 1$ case is done in [20].

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Andô, *On a Pair of Commuting Contractions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **24** (1963), 88-90.
- [2] C. A. Berger, L. A. Coburn and A. Lebow, *Representation and index theory for C^* -algebras generated by commuting isometries*, J. Funct. Anal. **27** (1978), 51-99.
- [3] B. V. R. Bhat and T. Bhattacharyya, *A model theory for q -commuting contractive tuples*, **47** (2002), 97-116.
- [4] T. Bînzar, Z. Burdak, C. Lăzureanu, D. Popovici, and M. Słociński, *Wold–Słociński decompositions for commuting isometric triples* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **472** (2019), 1660-1677.
- [5] Z. Burdak, *On the model and invariant subspaces for pairs of commuting isometries*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory (2019) 91: 22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-019-2516-4>.
- [6] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.
- [7] S. Dey, *Standard dilations of q -commuting tuples* Colloq. Math. **107** (2007), 141-165.
- [8] D. Gaşpar, N. Suciuc, *Wold decompositions for commutative families of isometries* An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Științ. Mat. **27** (1989), 31-38.
- [9] P.R. Halmos, *Shifts on Hilbert spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **208** (1961) 102-112.
- [10] M. de Jeu and P. R. Pinto, *The structure of doubly non-commuting isometries* Adv. Math. **368** (2020), 107149.
- [11] D. K. Keshari, N. Mallick, *q -commuting dilation* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2019), 655-669.
- [12] S. Majid, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [13] J. von Neumann, *Allgemeine eigenwerttheorie hermitescher funktional operatoren*, Math. Ann. **102** (1929) 49-131.
- [14] G. Popescu, *Noncommutative Wold decompositions for semigroups of isometries* Indiana Univ. Math. J., **47** (1998), 277-296.
- [15] D. Popovici, *On the structure of $c.n.u.$ bi-isometries* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **66** (2000), 719-729.
- [16] D. Popovici, *On the structure of $c.n.u.$ bi-isometries II* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **68** (2002), 329-347.
- [17] D. Popovici, *A Wold-type decomposition for commuting isometric pairs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (2004) 2303-2314.
- [18] E. Prugovecki, *Quantum Mechanics in Hilbert Space*, Academic Press, 1981.
- [19] J. Sarkar, *Wold decomposition for doubly commuting isometries*, Linear Algebra Appl. **445** (2014), 289–301.
- [20] H. Sau, *Andô dilations for a pair of commuting contractions: two explicit constructions and functional models*, arXiv:1710.11368 [math.FA].
- [21] Z. Sebestyén, *Anticommutant lifting and anticommuting dilation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1994), 133-136.
- [22] M. Słociński, *On the Wold-type decomposition of a pair of commuting isometries*, Annales Polonici Mathematici XXXVII (1980), 255–262.
- [23] M. Słociński, *Models for doubly commuting contractions*, Annales Polonici Mathematici XLV (1985), 23–42.
- [24] A. Skalski, J. Zacharias, *Wold decomposition for representations of product systems of C^* -correspondences*, Internat. J. Math., **19** (2008) 455-479.

- [25] H. Wold, *A Study in the Analysis of Stationary Time Series*, Almqvist and Wiksell, Uppsala (1938).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA TECH, BLACKSBURG, VA 24061-0123, USA
Email address: `joball@math.vt.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH,
PASHAN, PUNE, MAHARASHTRA 411008, INDIA
Email address: `hsau@iiserpune.ac.in`, `haripadasau215@gmail.com`