

ACT-NET: ASYMMETRIC CO-TEACHER NETWORK FOR SEMI-SUPERVISED MEMORY-EFFICIENT MEDICAL IMAGE SEGMENTATION

Ziyuan Zhao^{†,‡,§}, Andong Zhu[§], Zeng Zeng^{†,‡,✉}, Bharadwaj Veeravalli[§], Cuntai Guan[‡]

[†]Institute of Infocomm Research (I²R), A*STAR, Singapore

[‡]Artificial Intelligence, Analytics And Informatics (AI³), A*STAR, Singapore

[‡]School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

[§]School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore

ABSTRACT

While deep models have shown promising performance in medical image segmentation, they heavily rely on a large amount of well-annotated data, which is difficult to access, especially in clinical practice. On the other hand, high-accuracy deep models usually come in large model sizes, limiting their employment in real scenarios. In this work, we propose a novel asymmetric co-teacher framework, ACT-Net, to alleviate the burden on both expensive annotations and computational costs for semi-supervised knowledge distillation. We advance teacher-student learning with a co-teacher network to facilitate asymmetric knowledge distillation from large models to small ones by alternating student and teacher roles, obtaining tiny but accurate models for clinical employment. To verify the effectiveness of our ACT-Net, we employ the ACDC dataset for cardiac substructure segmentation in our experiments. Extensive experimental results demonstrate that ACT-Net outperforms other knowledge distillation methods and achieves lossless segmentation performance with 250× fewer parameters.

Index Terms— Deep learning, semi-supervised learning, knowledge distillation, medical image segmentation

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, deep learning (DL) has become increasingly popular in the field of medical image segmentation [1, 2]. With large-scale, well-annotated datasets, deep learning models can perform reliably in a variety of medical imaging tasks [3, 4]. However, labeling such datasets is time-consuming and labor-intensive, making it impractical in some domains, particularly in real-world clinical scenarios. In this regard, various label-efficient DL methods [5], including semi-supervised learning [6, 7], self-supervised learning [8, 9], and active learning [10, 11] have been developed, achieving great success with limited labels on medical image segmentation.

On the other hand, most DL models are computationally expensive and memory intensive, impeding the deployment of

large DL models on resource-constrained devices or applications with strict latency requirements, *e.g.*, real-time mobile health (mHealth) applications. Therefore, various methods for model compression have been proposed to lower the computational requirements, including parameter pruning, quantization [12, 13], and knowledge distillation [14]. Among these, model distillation [14] has recently made significant progress in terms of transferring knowledge between small student and big teacher models in order to improve the accuracy of the small model. However, in low annotation regimes, suboptimal big models can negatively impact the performance of small models, failing to satisfy clinical employment. These motivate us to develop a deep learning framework to address both label scarcity and high computational expense problems.

In this work, we propose a unique asymmetric co-teacher network, called ACT-Net, for label- and memory-efficient learning in an end-to-end manner. In ACT-Net, a large teacher model and a small student model are generated in a teacher-student learning manner for knowledge distillation. To further address the label scarcity, we build on recent progress in mean-teacher training [7] by constructing a self-ensembling co-teacher network using unlabeled data to train more accurate big and small student models, thereby facilitating better knowledge distillation. Experimental results on the ACDC dataset validate the effectiveness of the proposed ACT-Net on low-label model compression regimes, achieving comparable segmentation performance to big models with much fewer parameters. The main contributions can be summarized:

- To address both label scarcity and model complexity, a new asymmetric co-teaching architecture called ACT-Net is proposed. It contains a teacher-student network for model distillation and a co-teacher network for semi-supervised learning.
- We design an asymmetric co-teaching strategy to facilitate both heterogeneous and homogeneous knowledge distillation from teacher and co-teacher models.
- Extensive experiments and analysis were conducted, demonstrating the advances of the proposed methods for model compression under label scarcity.

2. RELATED WORK

To alleviate the reliance on annotations, plenty of deep learning methods other than strictly supervised learning have been proposed for label-efficient medical image segmentation [5, 8, 10, 15], among which, semi-supervised learning based on self-ensembling [6, 7] has recently attracted much attention due to its powerful capacity on leveraging unlabeled data, achieving promising segmentation results. More specifically, Laine and Aila [6] first introduced Temporal Ensembling to encourage prediction consistency across different training steps. After that, the mean teacher (MT) framework [7] was proposed based on the exponential moving average (EMA) weights of the student model to force the student-teacher output consistency. Following the spirit of teacher-student learning, many works further extended MT with different constraints for medical image segmentation tasks [16, 17, 18] in the label-scarce scenarios. However, the computational complexity of deep learning introduces another vital issue for medical applications in clinical practices.

Knowledge distillation (KD) is a frequently used technique for model compression in resource-constrained circumstances, in which, a compact student model is trained with the help of a big teacher model for the same data. Hinton *et al.* [14] first proposed the concept of knowledge distillation, introducing hard and soft label constraints for model training. Following that, many works have been established for model compression from different perspectives, including response-based distillation [19, 20], feature-based distillation [21, 22], and relation-based distillation [23]. However, these methods require large-scale labeled datasets, restricting their applications in medical image segmentation with limited annotations. It is noted that both self-ensembling methods and KD strategies leverage teacher-student training for their respective domains, whereas the former concentrates on knowledge distillation from self-ensembling models with the same architecture, and the latter transfers knowledge between heterogeneous networks. Inspired by these observations, we propose an asymmetric co-teacher network for semi-supervised memory-efficient medical image segmentation.

3. METHODOLOGY

Given annotated data x^s and unannotated data x^u , Our goal is to leverage both x^s and x^u to improve semi-supervised performance and compress the model for deployment. The overview of the proposed ACT-Net is illustrated in Fig. 1, consisting of a teacher model, a co-teacher model, and a student model. For model compression, the small student model is taught by the big high-accuracy pretrained teacher model via knowledge distillation. To ease the effects of label scarcity, a co-teacher network with the weights updated from the student model via EMA is constructed to uncover the hidden knowledge beneath unannotated data. The teacher and

the co-teacher jointly transfer the knowledge to the student model for label-efficient memory-efficient segmentation.

3.1. Heterogeneous Knowledge Distillation

To transfer knowledge across different architectures, we follow the generalized knowledge distillation strategy [14] to generate well-informed soft labels from the teacher to guide the student model. First, same inputs x_i are fed into the student model f_s and teacher model f_t to generate soft predictions:

$$P_i^s = \sigma(f_s(x_i; \theta_s) / \tau), P_i^t = \sigma(f_t(x_i; \theta_t) / \tau),$$

where σ is the softmax function, θ_s and θ_t are the weights of the student and teacher, respectively, and τ is the temperature parameter used for smoothing the predicted probabilities, which is set to 20, empirically. To force the student to imitate the teacher behavior for better performance, we encourage the predictions of the student model $f_s(\theta_s)$ and the teacher $f_t(\theta_t)$ model to be consistent by minimizing the knowledge distillation loss L_{con}^{kd} defined as:

$$L_{con}^{kd} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|P_i^s - P_i^t\|_2, \quad (1)$$

where N is the number of images and we apply the mean squared error (MSE) loss for regularizing the consistency.

3.2. Homogeneous Knowledge Distillation

Despite the knowledge distillation from teacher to student, both teacher and student models suffer from performance degradation in the label-scarce scenarios. To address label scarcity, we design the co-teacher model f_c following the self-ensembling strategy [6, 7] to leverage unlabeled data for boosting model performance. To be more specific, the co-teacher model for the student model share the same architecture with the student f_s , and its weights θ_c are updated by EMA of θ_s in different training epochs t :

$$\theta_c^t = \alpha \theta_c^{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) \theta_s^t,$$

where α governs the effects of the current parameter in the student model. We feed the inputs with different perturbations to the student and the co-teacher, respectively, and we expect the predictions to be consistent. In this regard, the consistency regularization is introduced by reducing the difference with the MSE loss denoted as:

$$L_{con}^{co} = L_{con}^{co}(f_s(x; \theta_s, \xi), f_c(x; \theta_c, \xi')), \quad (2)$$

where ξ and ξ' denote the different perturbations to the inputs of student and co-teacher, respectively. Similarly, we can also train the big teacher model by means of self-ensembling to obtain a high-accuracy pretrained teacher model for model compression. For simplicity, we omit the self-ensembling process of the teacher model in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. The overall architecture of ACT-Net. The student model learns from both labeled data and unlabeled data via Heterogeneous Knowledge Distillation (Hete-KD) and Homogeneous Knowledge Distillation (Homo-KD) simultaneously for label-efficient and memory-efficient segmentation

3.3. Asymmetric Co-teaching Strategy

The student model in our ACT-Net learns from labeled data x^x using the supervised loss L_{seg} , defined as:

$$L_{seg} = L_{dice}(f_s(x^s; \theta_s), y^s) + L_{ce}(f_s(x^s; \theta_s), y^s), \quad (3)$$

where L_{dice} and L_{ce} respectively signify dice loss and cross-entropy loss. The hybrid loss function is commonly utilized in the segmentation task for medical images [9, 17]. In addition, the student model concurrently distill the knowledge from the teacher model and the co-teacher model for both semi-supervised learning and model compression. Finally, by integrating losses from Eq. (1), Eq. (2), and Eq. (3), for the student model, the intact loss function can be formatted as:

$$L_{stu} = \underbrace{L_{seg}}_{\text{supervised}} + \underbrace{\lambda_{con}^{kd} L_{con}^{kd}}_{\text{heterogeneous}} + \underbrace{\lambda_{con}^{co} L_{con}^{co}}_{\text{homogeneous}},$$

where λ_{con}^{kd} and λ_{con}^{co} are the trade-off hyperparameters of L_{con}^{kd} and L_{con}^{co} , respectively. With the proposed asymmetric co-teaching strategy, the student model can explore both annotated data and unannotated data in an end-to-end manner for label-efficient memory-efficient segmentation.

4. EXPERIMENTS

4.1. Dataset and Experimental Settings

We extensively evaluated the proposed framework on ACDC dataset [24] for cardiac segmentation. The public training dataset with 100 cases was used in our experiments and randomly split into training set (70), validation set (10), and testing set (20). 10% (7 cases) of training set are used as labeled

data. Three cardiac substructures were included for segmentation, *i.e.*, left ventricle (LV), right ventricle (RV), and myocardium (MYO). For pre-processing, slices were extracted from the transverse plane, resized to 256×256 , and then normalized to bring all values into the range [0,1]. The dice similarity coefficient (DSC) [17] was applied for performance comparison, and a higher DSC means better results.

U-Net [2], a flexible U-shape encoder-decoder architecture, was selected as our backbone, allowing us to easily adjust the network structure and parameter numbers for our model compression experiments. Concretely, we denote the number of encoder layers as L , and the first layer in encoder has N_1 output channels, and the depth of feature map in the i -th layer can be defined as $N_i = N_1 \times 2^{(i-1)}$. In this regard, L and N_1 can be used to adjust the depth and the width of the U-Net, *e.g.*, a 5-layer U-Net with 32 initial channels can be represented by U-Net_[5,32]. SGD optimizer is set to update with momentum 0.9. The initial learning rate was set to 0.01 with the learning rate schedule $lr^t = lr \times (1 - t/t_{max})^{0.9}$, where t and t_{max} are the current number of iterations and the maximum iterations, respectively. The EMA decay rate α was 0.99. The batch size was set 20, consisting of 10 labeled data and 10 unlabeled data. Both λ_{con}^{kd} and λ_{con}^{co} were set to 0.5, empirically. In our experiments, we chose the big model U-Net_[6,64] and the small model U-Net_[4,16] for model compression. We first pretrained the big and small models with self-ensembling for 30k iterations, separately. Then, the whole architecture was trained for 30k iterations.

4.2. Results and Discussions

4.2.1. Comparison with different methods

We trained the model with only labeled data for baselines, referred to as fully supervised (FS), and also compared

Table 1. Segmentation results of different methods.

| Method | Network | % Labels | RV | MYO | LV | Mean |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| FS-upper | U-Net _[6,64] | 100 | 0.9138 | 0.8862 | 0.9401 | 0.9133 |
| FS-upper | U-Net _[4,16] | 100 | 0.9090 | 0.8843 | 0.9358 | 0.9093 |
| FS-lower | U-Net _[6,64] | 10 | 0.8043 | 0.8125 | 0.8598 | 0.8255 |
| FS-lower | U-Net _[4,16] | 10 | 0.7892 | 0.7973 | 0.8555 | 0.8140 |
| MT | U-Net _[6,64] | 10 | 0.8298 | 0.8438 | 0.8898 | 0.8544 |
| MT | U-Net _[4,16] | 10 | 0.8094 | 0.7995 | 0.8648 | 0.8297 |
| KD | U-Net _[4,16] | 100 | 0.9143 | 0.8857 | 0.9409 | 0.9137 |
| KD | U-Net _[4,16] | 10 | 0.8292 | 0.8171 | 0.8764 | 0.8391 |
| ACT-Net | U-Net _[4,16] | 10 | 0.8505 | 0.8284 | 0.8880 | 0.8556 |


Table 2. Complexity comparison of different models

| Structure | Params (M) | Model size (MB) | FLOPs (G) |
|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|
| U-Net _[4,16] | 0.45 | 1.74 | 4.6 |
| U-Net _[5,32] | 7.24 | 27.69 | 23.56 |
| U-Net _[6,64] | 116.01 | 442.66 | 115.56 |


our framework with different methods, including mean-teacher (MT) [7] and knowledge distillation (KD) [19]. We used different architectures and ratios of labels for a comprehensive comparison. The comparisons between different methods are shown in Table 1. The big model tends to have better performance than the small model; however, the performance of both models drops seriously when using only 10% annotations. The mean-teacher (MT) framework can leverage unlabeled data to boost performance with different architectures, and the big model has more considerable improvements than the small model, which suggests the high-capacity model can also benefit semi-supervised learning. From table 2, it is observed that the big model is nearly $250\times$ larger than the small one. For model compression, knowledge distillation (KD) can achieve comparable performance with the small model using fully annotated data; however, when using only 10% annotations, the performance of the small model is significantly decreased. In comparison, our method can generate more precise predictions with the small model and 10% annotations, even outperforming the MT (U-Net_[6,64], 10%). The visualization results in Fig. 2 reveal that our method can provide more accurate segmentation results with fewer errors in segmentation.

4.2.2. Ablation analysis

The ablation results of different components of the proposed ACT-Net (U-Net_[4,16], 10%) are illustrated in Fig. 3. Both heterogeneous knowledge distillation (hete-KD) and homogeneous knowledge distillation (homo-KD) can help improve the performance, especially when there are few labels. However, a simple sequential combination of these two KD methods, *e.g.*, hete-KD + homo-KD, cannot lead to better results, which may cause negative transfer for the student model. Moreover, it is noted that the performance of homo-KD + hete-KD is similar to hete-KD. We hypothesize that the rea-

**Fig. 2.** Visualization of results by different methods.

son for this is that the knowledge distillation from teacher to student (hete-KD) is heavily limited by label scarcity, despite the well-trained teacher using homo-KD. In contrast, ACT-Net can effectively integrate different knowledge distillations in the same training stage to boost segmentation performance. By combining the advantages of both homo-KD and hete-KD, ACT-Net (U-Net_[4,16], 10%) can achieve 85.56% in mean dice, outperforming other methods on semi-supervised memory-efficient segmentation.

**Fig. 3.** Ablation results of different components.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we propose an asymmetric co-teacher network to solve label scarcity and model complexity. We promote both heterogeneous and homogeneous knowledge distillation from various teachers in order to achieve label- and memory-efficient medical image segmentation. Extensive experiments and analysis conducted on the ACDC dataset demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method on the label-scarce resource-constrained scenarios, and the proposed method is easily adaptable to other segmentation tasks for clinical deployments.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Long, Evan Shelhamer, and Trevor Darrell, "Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation," in *CVPR*, 2015.
- [2] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox, "U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in *MICCAI*. Springer, 2015.
- [3] Ziyuan Zhao, Kerui Zhang, Xuejie Hao, Jing Tian, Matthew Chin Heng Chua, Li Chen, and Xin Xu, "Biranet: Bilinear attention net for diabetic retinopathy grading," in *ICIP*. IEEE, 2019.
- [4] Liangliang Liu, Jianhong Cheng, Quan Quan, Fang-Xiang Wu, Yu-Ping Wang, and Jianxin Wang, "A survey on u-shaped networks in medical image segmentations," *Neurocomputing*, 2020.
- [5] Nima Tajbakhsh, Laura Jeyaseelan, Qian Li, Jeffrey N Chiang, Zhihao Wu, and Xiaowei Ding, "Embracing imperfect datasets: A review of deep learning solutions for medical image segmentation," *Medical Image Analysis*, 2020.
- [6] Samuli Laine and Timo Aila, "Temporal ensembling for semi-supervised learning," in *ICLR*, 2017.
- [7] Antti Tarvainen and Harri Valpola, "Mean teachers are better role models: Weight-averaged consistency targets improve semi-supervised deep learning results," in *NeurIPS*, 2017.
- [8] Zeng Zeng, Yang Xulei, Yu Qiyun, Yao Meng, and Zhang Le, "Sese-net: Self-supervised deep learning for segmentation," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 2019.
- [9] Xinrong Hu, Dewen Zeng, Xiaowei Xu, and Yiyu Shi, "Semi-supervised contrastive learning for label-efficient medical image segmentation," in *MICCAI*. Springer, 2021.
- [10] Lin Yang, Yizhe Zhang, Jianxu Chen, Siyuan Zhang, and Danny Z Chen, "Suggestive annotation: A deep active learning framework for biomedical image segmentation," in *MICCAI*. Springer, 2017.
- [11] Ziyuan Zhao, Zeng Zeng, Kaixin Xu, Cen Chen, and Cuntai Guan, "Dsal: Deeply supervised active learning from strong and weak labelers for biomedical image segmentation," *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 2021.
- [12] Rongzhao Zhang and Albert CS Chung, "Medq: Lossless ultra-low-bit neural network quantization for medical image segmentation," *Medical Image Analysis*, 2021.
- [13] Mohsen Hajabdollahi, Reza Esfandiarpour, Kayvan Najarian, Nader Karimi, Shadrokh Samavi, and SM Reza-Soroushmeh, "Low complexity convolutional neural network for vessel segmentation in portable retinal diagnostic devices," in *ICIP*. IEEE, 2018.
- [14] Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, and Jeff Dean, "Distilling the knowledge in a neural network," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531*, 2015.
- [15] Xiangde Luo, Guotai Wang, Wenjun Liao, Jieneng Chen, Tao Song, Yanan Chen, Shichuan Zhang, Dimitris N Metaxas, and Shaoting Zhang, "Semi-supervised medical image segmentation via uncertainty rectified pyramid consistency," *Medical Image Analysis*, 2022.
- [16] Lequan Yu, Shujun Wang, Xiaomeng Li, Chi-Wing Fu, and Pheng-Ann Heng, "Uncertainty-aware self-ensembling model for semi-supervised 3d left atrium segmentation," in *MICCAI*. Springer, 2019.
- [17] Shumeng Li, Ziyuan Zhao, Kaixin Xu, Zeng Zeng, and Cuntai Guan, "Hierarchical consistency regularized mean teacher for semi-supervised 3d left atrium segmentation," in *EMBC*. IEEE, 2021.
- [18] Xiangde Luo, Jieneng Chen, Tao Song, and Guotai Wang, "Semi-supervised medical image segmentation through dual-task consistency," in *AAAI*, 2021.
- [19] Guobin Chen, Wongun Choi, Xiang Yu, Tony Han, and Manmohan Chandraker, "Learning efficient object detection models with knowledge distillation," *NeurIPS*, 2017.
- [20] Jang Hyun Cho and Bharath Hariharan, "On the efficacy of knowledge distillation," in *ICCV*, 2019.
- [21] Jangho Kim, SeongUk Park, and Nojun Kwak, "Paraphrasing complex network: Network compression via factor transfer," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.04977*, 2018.
- [22] Byeongho Heo, Jeesoo Kim, Sangdoon Yun, Hyojin Park, Nojun Kwak, and Jin Young Choi, "A comprehensive overhaul of feature distillation," in *ICCV*, 2019.
- [23] Baoyun Peng, Xiao Jin, Jiaheng Liu, Dongsheng Li, Yichao Wu, Yu Liu, Shunfeng Zhou, and Zhaoning Zhang, "Correlation congruence for knowledge distillation," in *ICCV*, 2019.
- [24] Olivier Bernard, Alain Lalonde, Clement Zotti, Frederick Cervenansky, Xin Yang, Pheng-Ann Heng, Irem Cetin, Karim Lekadir, Oscar Camara, Miguel Angel Gonzalez Ballester, et al., "Deep learning techniques for automatic mri cardiac multi-structures segmentation and diagnosis: is the problem solved?," *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 2018.