FRIEZE MATRICES AND FRIEZES WITH COEFFICIENTS

JUAN PABLO MALDONADO

ABSTRACT. Frieze patterns are combinatorial objects that are deeply related to cluster theory. Determinants of frieze patterns arise from triangular regions of the frieze, and they have been considered in [BCI74; BM12]. In this article, we introduce a new type of matrix for any infinite frieze pattern. This approach allows us to give a new proof of the frieze determinant result given by Baur-Marsh.

1. Introduction

A frieze pattern is an arrangement of numbers that classically starts with a row of zeros followed by a row of ones and ends with a row of ones followed by a row of zeros, and such that every diamond formed by neighbouring entries satisfies the so-called "diamond rule". These arrangements were introduced by Coxeter in [Cox71] and studied by Conway and Coxeter in [CC73a; CC73b]. Lately, friezes have been actively studied in connection to cluster theory, in such a way that the entries of the frieze are interpreted as the cluster variable of a cluster algebra of type A. In this setting the notion of a frieze pattern can be generalized, in particular to infinite friezes (as in [BPT16]) or friezes with coefficients (as in [CHJ20]).

The study of symmetric matrices arising from finite frieze patterns was firstly developed in [BCI74]. The main result is a formula for the determinant of a symmetric matrix whose entries form a fundamental region of a finite frieze pattern of positive integers. See Corollary 2.6 for details. Afterwards, Baur and Marsh proposed in [BM12] a new interpretation drawing upon the cluster algebra setting, and considering a symmetric matrix whose lower part is a fundamental region of a finite frieze pattern with coefficients. In [Bau21] the author asks for an analogous formula for the determinant of a matrix whose entries are cluster variables of a cluster algebra of type D, which was provided by Lampe in [Lam17, Theorem 3.6].

In this work we provide a new proof of [BM12, Theorem 2.1] using a different approach, dropping the use of triangulations. For a symmetric matrix M, our main strategy to prove Theorem 2.5 is to study an upper triangular matrix T_M which is equivalent to M, and reduce the computation of det(M) to that of the determinant of T_M .

The author was supported by CONICET PhD. grant at the Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata and by the School of Mathematics at the University of Leeds.

After our preprint has been posted, work of Holm and Jørgensen has appeared which includes a more general result, implying Baur-Marsh's frieze determinant, see [HJ22, Section 4.3].

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we define frieze matrices and we enunciate the main results, giving the proof of our main result, Theorem 2.5. We finish this section showing two identities fulfilled by the entries of the matrices that we study. Some results of Section 2 are left to be proved in Appendix 3 in order to ease the reading; thence, Appendix 3 is a section primarily intended to contain demonstrations left in Section 2, together with some lemmas needed for this purpose. The reader is warned that in some proofs of Section 2 the author may use results from Appendix 3.

2. Frieze matrices

For the rest of this article R will denote an integral domain of characteristic zero and n will be a positive integer.

Definition 2.1. A symmetric matrix $M = (m_{i,j}) \in frac(R)^{n \times n}$ will be called a *frieze* matrix if $m_{i,j} = 0$ if and only if i = j and the entries satisfy the generalized diamond rule

$$m_{i,j}m_{i+1,j+1} - m_{i+1,j}m_{i,j+1} = m_{i,i+1}m_{j,j+1}$$
(1)

for all $1 \le i \le n - 1$ and $2 \le i + 1 \le j \le n - 1$.

Note that Equation 1 says nothing about entries $m_{i,i+1}$ and $m_{i,i+2}$. It will be useful to denote them as x_i and y_i respectively. M is fully determined by these entries and the repeated application of the generalized diamond rule.

In the literature the relation $m_{i,k}m_{j,l} = m_{i,j}m_{k,l} + m_{i,l}m_{j,k}$ for $i \leq j \leq k \leq l$ is called *Ptolemy relation* [Pro20; Pen87] or *Plücker relation* [BM12]. We will denote this equation as $E_{i,j,k,l}$.

With this notation, in Definition 2.1 we ask the entries of M to fulfill the equation $E_{i,i+1,j,j+1}$ for every pair of indices (i,j) such that $2 \le i+1 \le j \le n-1$. In the next lemma we see that this is enough to ensure that the entries of M indeed fulfill the Ptolemy relation $E_{i,j,k,l}$ for all quadruples of indices $1 \le i \le j \le k \le l \le n$.

For completeness we include a proof of the following lemma in Section 3. Note that this property also appears in the context of finite friezes in [CHJ20, Theorem 3.3].

Lemma 2.2. Let $M = (m_{i,j})$ be a frieze matrix in $frac(R)^{n \times n}$. Then

$$m_{i,k}m_{j,l} = m_{i,j}m_{k,l} + m_{i,l}m_{j,k}$$

for every $1 \le i \le j \le k \le l \le n$.

The main feature that we will use to compute the determinant of M is a triangulated form. For this, denote by $T_M \in frac(R)^{n \times n}$ the upper triangular matrix whose entries are given by:

$$t_{i,j} = \begin{cases} m_{2,j} & \text{if } i = 1\\ m_{1,j} & \text{if } i = 2\\ 0 & \text{if } i \ge 3 \ \land \ j < i\\ \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,i-1}} m_{i-1,i} & \text{if } i \ge 3 \ \land \ j \ge i \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.3. If $M = (m_{i,j}) \in frac(R)^{n \times n}$ is a frieze matrix, then it is row equivalent to the upper triangular matrix T_M defined above, and $det(T_M) = -det(M)$.

We will prove Proposition 2.3 in Section 3.

Example 2.4. For the frieze matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 5 - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-7}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4} \\ 2 & -2 & 0 & 6 & -1 & 3 - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ 2 & 1 & 6 & 0 & 2 & \sqrt{5} \\ -1 & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 5 - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} & \frac{-7}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4} & 3 - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} & \sqrt{5} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have that

$$T_M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-7}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -1 & 5 - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 8 & -2 & 20 - 2\sqrt{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -12 & 4 & 3\sqrt{5} - 18 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{11}{6} & \sqrt{5} - 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{17}{11}\sqrt{5} - \frac{173}{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

The main result of this section follows directly from Proposition 2.3 as the determinant of M can computed using the upper triangular matrix T_M .

Theorem 2.5. If M is a frieze matrix then
$$Det(M) = -(-2)^{n-2}m_{1,n}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}x_i$$

Proof. As $Det(M) = -Det(T_M)$, and this last determinant can be computed as the product of the entries in the diagonal of T_M we have that

$$Det(M) = -Det(T_M) = -\prod_{i=1}^n t_{i,i} = -t_{1,1}t_{2,2} \prod_{i=3}^n t_{i,i} = -m_{1,2}m_{1,2} \prod_{i=3}^n \frac{-2m_{1,i}}{m_{1,i-1}} m_{i-1,i}$$

$$= -(-2)^{n-2}m_{1,2}m_{1,2} \frac{m_{1,n}}{m_{1,2}} \prod_{i=3}^n m_{i-1,i} = -(-2)^{n-2}m_{1,n} \prod_{i=2}^n m_{i-1,i} = -(-2)^{n-2}m_{1,n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i$$

Two results that we recover from Theorem 2.5 are stated in Corollary 2.6 and 2.7, so we recover [BCI74, Theorem 4] and [BM12, Theorem 1.1] respectively.

To give the context of Theorem 4 in [BCI74] we recall the notion of frieze patterns as first introduced by Conway and Coxeter in [CC73a; CC73b]. For further details we refer to [Bau21; MG15]. An array of numbers $\mathcal{F} = (f_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with $j \geq i$, is a *frieze pattern* if the following holds:

- i) $f_{i,i} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$
- ii) $f_{i,i+1} = 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$
- iii) $f_{i,j}f_{i+1,j+1} f_{i+1,j}f_{i,j+1} = 1$ for all $i \leq j \in \mathbb{Z}$

Usually the entries of \mathcal{F} are displayed in rows, shifted with respect to each other. The frieze \mathcal{F} is finite if $f_{i,i+k-1}=1$ for some fixed k and for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The positive integer k is called the order \mathcal{F} . A frieze \mathcal{F} is a frieze pattern of positive integers if all the $f_{i,j}$ out of the rows of zeros are positive integers. The third row of \mathcal{F} , whose elements are of the form $f_{i,i+2}$, is called the quiddity row of \mathcal{F} and its entries are noted $a_i = f_{i,i+2}$. If \mathcal{F} is finite of order k then it is k-periodic $(f_{i,j} = f_{i+k,j+k} \,\forall i,j)$, see [CC73a; CC73b] problem (21). In this case we call a quiddity sequence of \mathcal{F} the sequence of numbers (a_1, \ldots, a_k) . Finally, a fundamental region for a finite integral frieze pattern \mathcal{F} is given by the elements of the form $f_{i,j}$, with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $i \leq j \leq k$. The main theorem stated in [BCI74] is the following corollary of Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.6. [BCI74, Theorem 4] Let \mathcal{F} be a finite integer frieze pattern of order k, with quiddity sequence (a_1, \ldots, a_k) . Let us define $M_{\mathcal{F}} = (m_{ij}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times k}$ as the symmetric matrix whose lower part is given by the fundamental region of \mathcal{F} (i.e. $m_{i,j} = f_{i,j}$ if $1 \le i \le j \le k$ and $m_{i,j} = m_{j,i}$ if $1 \le j < i \le n$) Then $Det(M_{\mathcal{F}}) = -(-2)^{k-2}$.

Proof. If in Definition 2.1 we set n = k, $x_i = 1$ for all $i \in [1, ..., k-1]$ and $y_i = a_i$ for all $i \in [1, ..., k-2]$ we recover the matrix $M_{\mathcal{F}}$, so we see that $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a frieze matrix. Then, by Theorem 2.5, $Det(M_{\mathcal{F}}) = -(-2)^{k-2}m_{1,k}$, since all the x_i are equal to one. Besides, as \mathcal{F} is of order k, $m_{1,k} = f_{1,k} = 1$. Therefore, $Det(M_{\mathcal{F}}) = -(-2)^{k-2}$.

Now we proceed to give the proof of [BM12, Theorem 1.1] in terms of our Theorem 2.5.

For this, consider a $2 \times n$ matrix $X = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_n \end{pmatrix}$ whose entries are indeterminate. Denote by $\Delta_{ij} = \begin{vmatrix} a_i & a_j \\ b_i & b_j \end{vmatrix}$ the minor of X given by the columns i, j and let

$$A = (A_{ij})$$
 be the matrix such that $A_{ij} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{ij} & i \geq j \\ \Delta_{ji} & i < j \end{cases}$

The authors showed in [BM12, Theorem 2.1] that the entries of A, fulfill the Ptolemy relation; in particular this holds for i < i + 1 < i + k - 1 < i + k (with k > 3). So we have that A is a frieze matrix, and we can apply Theorem 2.5 to obtain the following immediate corollary of Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 2.7. [BM12, Theorem 1.1]
$$Det(A) = -(-2)^{n-2} \Delta_{1n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{i(i+1)}$$

We finish this section with two results giving identities in the entries of the matrices we have studied. The first one provides a formula to compute the entries of a frieze matrix M only knowing the entries in its first two rows and the elements $m_{i,i+1} \in frac(R)$. The second one proves that the entries of the triangular form T_M of a frieze matrix M fulfill an analogous formula of the generalized diamond rule in Equation 1.

Proposition 2.8. For $3 \le i \le n-1$ and $j \ge i$ it holds that

$$m_{i,j} = \frac{m_{1,i} m_{2,j}}{m_{1,2}} + \frac{m_{2,i} m_{1,j}}{m_{1,2}} - 2 \sum_{t=3}^{i} \frac{m_{1,i} m_{1,j}}{m_{1,t} m_{1,t-1}} m_{t-1,t}$$

Proof. We will treat the cases i = 3 and $4 \le i \le n + 1$ separately.

If i = 3 and $j \ge 3$ we have by Lemma 2.2 that

$$m_{1,2}m_{3,j} = m_{1,3}m_{2,j} - m_{2,3}m_{1,j} = m_{1,3}m_{2,j} + m_{2,3}m_{1,j} - 2m_{2,3}m_{1,j}$$

Consider now $4 \le i \le n+1$ and fix $k \ge i$. Due to the proof of Lemma 3.2 we know that

$$m_{i,j}^k = -2\frac{m_{1,j}}{m_{1,i-1}}m_{i-1,i}$$

But by definition of $m_{i,j}^k$ this element is equal to $m_{i,j}^2 - \sum_{t=3}^{\min\{i-1,k\}} \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1}$. As $\min\{i-1,k\} = i-1 \text{ it turns out that }$

$$m_{i,j} - \frac{m_{1,i} m_{2,j}}{m_{1,2}} - \frac{m_{2,i} m_{1,j}}{m_{1,2}} - \sum_{t=3}^{i-1} \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1} = -2 \frac{m_{1,j}}{m_{1,i-1}} m_{i-1,i}$$
 Writing $m_{t,j}^{t-1} = -2 \frac{m_{1,j}}{m_{1,t-1}} m_{t-1,t}$ and $-2 \frac{m_{1,j}}{m_{1,i-1}} m_{i-1,i} = -2 \frac{m_{1,i} m_{1,j}}{m_{1,i}m_{1,i-1}} m_{i-1,i}$ the proof is completed. \square

A natural question that arises while studying the matrices T_M is if they are frieze matrices; i.e. if they fulfill Equation (1). The reader may check in Example 2.4 that the entries of T_M do not fulfill the generalized diamond rule. Despite of that, one can observe that a different rule holds: the determinant of any 2×2 matrix formed by neighbouring entries above the diagonal is equal to zero. The following proposition states this for every matrix T_M .

Proposition 2.9. Let M be a frieze matrix and T_M its triangulated form given in Proposition 2.3. Then

a)
$$t_{i,j}t_{i+1,j+1} - t_{i+1,j}t_{i,j+1} = 0$$
 for all $i \ge 2$ and $j \ge i+1$.

b)
$$t_{i,i}t_{i+1,i+1} + 2m_{i,i+1}t_{i,i+1} = 0$$
 for all $i \ge 2$.

Proof. a) We will treat the cases i = 2 and $i \ge 3$ separately.

First, if i = 2 and $j \ge 3$ then

$$t_{2,j}t_{3,j+1} - t_{3j}t_{2(j+1)} = m_{1,j}\left(-2\frac{m_{1,j+1}}{m_{1,2}}m_{2,3}\right) - \left(-2\frac{m_{1,j}}{m_{1,2}}m_{2,3}\right)m_{1,j+1} = 0$$

Secondly, if $i \geq 3$ and $j \geq i + 1$ we have that

$$\begin{split} t_{i,j}t_{i+1,j+1} - t_{i,j+1}t_{i+1,j} &= \frac{-2m_{1,j}m_{i-1,i}}{m_{1,i-1}} \frac{-2m_{1,j+1}m_{i,i+1}}{m_{1,i}} - \frac{-2m_{1,j+1}m_{i-1,i}}{m_{1,i-1}} \frac{-2m_{1,j}m_{i,i+1}}{m_{1,i}} \\ &= \frac{4m_{1,j}m_{i-1,i}m_{1,j+1}m_{i,i+1}}{m_{1,i-1}m_{1,i}} - \frac{4m_{1,j+1}m_{i-1,i}m_{1,j}m_{i,i+1}}{m_{1,i-1}m_{1,i}} = 0 \end{split}$$

b) Again we have to treat the cases i = 2 and $i \ge 3$ separately.

If
$$i = 2$$

$$t_{2,2}t_{3,3} + 2m_{2,3}t_{2,3} = m_{1,2}\frac{-2m_{1,3}m_{2,3}}{m_{1,2}} + 2m_{2,3}m_{1,3} = 0$$
And if $3 \ge i \ge n$

$$t_{i,i}t_{i+1,i+1} + 2m_{i,i+1}t_{i,i+1} = \frac{-2m_{1,i}m_{i-1,i}}{m_{1,i-1}}\frac{-2m_{1,i+1}m_{i,i+1}}{m_{1,i}} + 2m_{i,i+1}\frac{-2m_{1,i+1}m_{i-1,i}}{m_{1,i-1}} = 4\frac{m_{i-1,i}m_{1,i+1}m_{i,i+1}}{m_{1,i-1}} - 4\frac{m_{i,i+1}m_{1,i+1}m_{i-1,i}}{m_{1,i-1}} = 0$$

3. Appendix: Proofs

The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 2.3. In preparation, we first prove Lemma 2.2 and then show an additional Lemma on auxiliary matrices M_k which are needed for the proposition.

Lemma (Lemma 2.2). Let $M = (m_{i,j})$ be a frieze matrix in $frac(R)^{n \times n}$. Then

$$m_{i,k}m_{j,l} = m_{i,j}m_{k,l} + m_{i,l}m_{j,k} (E_{i,j,k,l})$$

for every $1 \le i \le j \le k \le l \le n$.

To clarify the following arguments, whenever an equality holds because of an equation $E_{i,j,k,l}$ we will indicate this by writing the tag with the corresponding indices on the right.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Firstly, if one of the inequalities between the indices i; j; k; l in Lemma 2.2 is an equality, then the equation $(E_{i,j,k,l})$ is trivial.

Suppose now that i < j < k < l. We will prove the assertion by induction on d = l - i, the distance between the first and last subscript. The minimum non-trivial distance for i and l is l - i = 3, which implies that j = i + 1 and k = i + 2. Therefore the right hand side is

$$m_{i,i+1}m_{i+2,i+3} + m_{i,i+3}m_{i+1,i+2} = x_ix_{i+2} + m_{i,i+3}x_{i+1}$$

by Equation 1 this last element is equal to

$$x_i x_{i+2} + \left(\frac{y_i y_{i+1} - x_i x_{i+2}}{x_{i+1}}\right) x_{i+1} = y_i y_{i+1} = m_{i,i+2} m_{i+1,i+3} = m_{i,k} m_{j,l}$$

Now, assume that $E_{i',j',k',l'}$ holds for all i' < j' < k' < l' with $l' - i' \le d$. Consider i < j < k < l with l - i = d + 1. Then, since $l - i \ge 3$, by the generalized diamond rule we have that

$$\begin{split} m_{i,j}m_{k,l} + m_{i,l}m_{j,k} &= m_{i,j}m_{k,l} + \left(\frac{m_{i,l-1}m_{i+1,l} - m_{i,i+1}m_{l-1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}}\right)m_{j,k} \\ &= m_{i,j}m_{k,l} + \frac{\left(m_{i,l-1}m_{j,k}\right)m_{i+1,l} - m_{j,k}m_{i,i+1}m_{l-1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}} \\ &= \frac{m_{i,j}m_{k,l}m_{i+1,l-1} + \left(m_{i,l-1}m_{j,k}\right)m_{i+1,l} - m_{j,k}m_{i,i+1}m_{l-1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}} \end{split}$$

As $E_{i,j,k,l-1}$ holds, this last term is equal to

$$\frac{m_{i,j}m_{k,l}m_{i+1,l-1} + (m_{i,k}m_{j,l-1} - m_{i,j}m_{k,l-1}) m_{i+1,l} - m_{j,k}m_{i,i+1}m_{l-1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}} [E_{i,j,k,l-1}]$$

$$= \frac{m_{i,j}(m_{k,l}m_{i+1,l-1} - m_{k,l-1}m_{i+1,l}) + m_{i,k}m_{j,l-1}m_{i+1,l} - m_{j,k}m_{i,i+1}m_{l-1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}}$$

$$= \frac{m_{i,j}m_{i+1,k}m_{l-1,l} + m_{i,k}m_{j,l-1}m_{i+1,l} - m_{j,k}m_{i,i+1}m_{l-1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}} [E_{i+1,k,l-1,l}]$$

$$= \frac{(m_{i,j}m_{i+1,k} - m_{j,k}m_{i,i+1})m_{l-1,l} + m_{i,k}m_{j,l-1}m_{i+1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}}$$

$$= \frac{m_{i,k}m_{i+1,j}m_{l-1,l} + m_{i,k}m_{j,l-1}m_{i+1,l}}{m_{i+1,l-1}} [E_{i,i+1,j,k}]$$

$$= \frac{m_{i,k}(m_{i+1,j}m_{l-1,l} + m_{j,l-1}m_{i+1,l})}{m_{i+1,l-1}} = \frac{m_{i,k}m_{j,l}m_{i+1,l-1}}{m_{i+1,l-1}} = m_{i,k}m_{j,l} [E_{i+1,j,l-1,l}] \quad \square$$

Before proving Proposition 2.3 we introduce auxiliary matrices $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_{n-1}$, being M_{n-1} the desired upper triangular matrix T_M , as follows. The matrix M_0 is obtained by swapping the first row of M with its second row, M_1 is the result of applying the sequence of row operations " $R_i - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,2}}R_1 \to R_i$ " (for $3 \le i \le n$) to M_0 , and M_2 results from applying the sequence of row operations " $R_i - \frac{m_{2,i}}{m_{1,2}}R_2 \to R_i$ " (for $3 \le i \le n$) to M_1 . From there on, the matrix M_k is obtained by applying the sequence of row operations " $R_i - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k}}R_k \to R_i$ " (for $k+1 \le i \le n$) to the matrix M_{k-1} .

For an explicit calculation, let us denote by $m_{i,j}^k$ the ij-entry of M_k (observe that the super index is not a power). We define $m_{i,j}^k$ as:

$$m_{i,j}^{0} = \begin{cases} m_{2,j} & \text{if } i = 1\\ m_{1,j} & \text{if } i = 2\\ m_{i,j} & \text{if } i \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

$$m_{i,j}^{1} = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}^{0} & \text{if } i = 1, 2\\ m_{i,j} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,j} & \text{if } i \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

$$m_{i,j}^2 = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}^0 & \text{if } i = 1, 2\\ m_{i,j} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,j} - \frac{m_{2,i}}{m_{12}} m_{1,j} & \text{if } i \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

And inductively for $k \geq 3$

$$m_{i,j}^{k} = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}^{k-1} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le k \\ m_{i,j}^{k-1} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k}} m_{k,j}^{k-1} & \text{if } k+1 \le i \le n \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Before moving forward with the proof of Proposition 2.3 we give several useful observations.

Remark 3.1. Observe that for j=1,2 and i>j the entries m_{ij}^2 are all zero. Besides, is not hard to prove by induction on k that an equivalent definition for $m_{i,j}^k$, with $k\geq 3$ is

$$m_{i,j}^{k} = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}^{2} & \text{if } i \in \{1,2,3\} \\ m_{i,j}^{2} - \sum_{t=3}^{\min\{i-1,k\}} \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1} & \text{if } 4 \le i \le n \end{cases}$$

$$(3)$$

Lemma 3.2. For all $k \geq 3$ the entries of M_k have the following form:

$$m_{i,j}^k = \begin{cases} (i) & m_{i,j}^2 & \text{if } i = 1, 2 \\ (ii) & 0 & \text{if } i \geq 3 \ \land \ j \leq \min\{i-1, k\} \\ (iii) & \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,i-1}} m_{i-1,i} & \text{if } 3 \leq i \leq k+1 \ \land \ j \geq i \\ (iv) & m_{i,j}^2 - \sum\limits_{t=3}^k \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1} & \text{if } i \geq k+2 \ \land \ j \geq k+1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on k:

Fix k = 3 and lets $m_{i,j}^3$ denote the *ij*-entry in the matrix M_3 .

- (i) If i = 1, 2 then $m_{i,j}^3 = m_{i,j}^2$ by definition.
- (ii) Let $i \geq 3$ and $j \leq \min\{i-1,3\}$. If i=3 then $j \leq 2$ and $m_{3,j}^3 = m_{3,j}^2 = 0$ by the form of M_2 . If $i \geq 4$ then $j \leq 3$ and $m_{i,j}^3 = m_{i,j}^2 \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,3}} m_{3,j}^2$. If j=1,2 this last

element is zero due to the form of M_2 . If j=3 we have

$$m_{i,3}^{3} = m_{i,3}^{2} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,3}} m_{3,3}^{2}$$

$$= m_{i,3} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,3} - \frac{m_{2,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,3} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,3}} \left(m_{3,3} - \frac{m_{1,3}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,3} - \frac{m_{2,3}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,3} \right)$$

$$= m_{i,3} + \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,3} - \frac{m_{2,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,3}$$

$$= \frac{(m_{i,3} m_{1,2} + m_{1,i} m_{2,3}) - m_{2,i} m_{1,3}}{m_{1,2}}$$

$$= \frac{m_{2,i} m_{1,3} - m_{2,i} m_{1,3}}{m_{1,2}} = 0$$

$$[E_{1,2,3,i}]$$

(iii) Let $3 \le i \le 4$ and $j \ge i$. If i = 3 then $j \ge 3$ and

$$m_{3,j}^{3} = m_{3,j} - \frac{m_{1,3}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,j} - \frac{m_{2,3}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} = \frac{m_{3,j} m_{1,2} - (m_{1,3} m_{2,j} + m_{2,3} m_{1,j})}{m_{1,2}}$$

$$= \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,3}$$

$$[E_{1,2,3,j}]$$

If i = 4 then $j \ge 4$ and

$$m_{4,j}^{3} = m_{4,j}^{2} - \frac{m_{1,4}}{m_{1,3}} m_{3,j}^{2}$$

$$= m_{4,j} - \frac{m_{1,4}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,j} - \frac{m_{2,4}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} - \frac{m_{1,4}}{m_{1,3}} \left(m_{3,j} - \frac{m_{1,3}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,j} - \frac{m_{2,3}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} \right)$$

$$= m_{4,j} - \frac{m_{2,4}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} - \frac{m_{1,4} m_{3,j}}{m_{1,3}} + \frac{m_{1,4} m_{2,3}}{m_{1,3} m_{1,2}} m_{1,j}$$

$$= \frac{m_{4,j} m_{1,3} - m_{1,4} m_{3,j}}{m_{1,3}} + \left(\frac{m_{1,4} m_{2,3} - m_{2,4} m_{1,3}}{m_{1,3} m_{1,2}} \right) m_{1,j} \qquad [E_{1,2,3,j}, E_{1,2,3,4}]$$

$$= -\frac{m_{1,j} m_{3,4}}{m_{1,3}} - \frac{m_{1,2} m_{3,4}}{m_{1,3} m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} = \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,3}} m_{3,4}$$

(iv) If $i \ge 5$ and $j \ge 4$ we have that $m_{i,j}^3 = m_{i,j}^2 - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,3}} m_{3,j}^2$. And this completes the proof for case k = 3.

Suppose now that the claim is true up to $k \geq 3$.

- (i) If i = 1, 2 then $m_{i,j}^{k+1} = m_{i,j}^k = m_{i,j}^2$ by the induction hypothesis.
- (ii) Let $j \leq \min\{i-1, k+1\}$ and $i \geq 3$. If $j \leq \min\{i-1, k\}$ then

$$m_{i,j}^{k+1} = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}^k & \text{if } 3 \le i \le k+1 \\ m_{i,j}^k - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,j}^k & \text{if } i \ge k+2 \end{cases} = 0$$

by the induction hypothesis. Let j = k + 1 and $i \ge k + 2$. Then

$$\begin{split} m_{i,k+1}^{k+1} &= m_{i,k+1}^2 - \sum_{t=3}^k \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,k+1}^{t-1} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k+1}} \left(m_{k+1,k+1}^2 - \sum_{t=3}^k \frac{m_{1,k+1}}{m_{1,t}} mt, k+1^{t-1} \right) \\ &= m_{i,k+1}^2 - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,k+1}^2 \\ &= m_{i,k+1} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,k+1} - \frac{m_{2,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,k+1} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k+1}} \left(m_{k+1,k+1} - \frac{2m_{1,k+1}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,k+1} \right) \\ &= m_{i,k+1} + \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,k+1} - \frac{m_{2,i}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,k+1} = \frac{m_{i,k+1} m_{1,2} + m_{1,i} m_{2,k+1} - m_{2,i} m_{1,k+1}}{m_{1,2}} = 0 \end{split}$$

(iii) Let
$$3 \le i \le k+2$$
 and $j \ge i$. If $3 \le i \le k+1$ then $m_{i,j}^{k+1} = m_{i,j}^k = \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,i-1}}m_{i-1,i}$. If $i = k+2$ then $j \ge k+2$ and

$$m_{k+2,j}^{k+1} = m_{k+2,j}^{k} - \frac{m_{1,k+2}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,j}^{k}$$

$$= m_{k+2,j}^{2} - \sum_{t=3}^{k} \frac{m_{1,k+2}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1} - \frac{m_{1,k+2}}{m_{1,k+1}} \left(m_{k+1,j}^{2} - \sum_{t=3}^{k} \frac{m_{1,k+2}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1} \right)$$

$$= m_{k+2,j}^{2} - \frac{m_{1,k+2}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{2,j}^{2} - \frac{m_{2,k+2}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} - \frac{m_{1,k+2}}{m_{1,k+1}} \left(m_{k+1,j} - \frac{m_{1,k+1}}{m_{1,2}} m_{2,j} - \frac{m_{2,k+1}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} \right)$$

$$= m_{k+2,j} - \frac{m_{2,k+2}}{m_{1,2}} m_{1,j} - \frac{m_{1,k+2} m_{k+1,j}}{m_{1,k+1}} + \frac{m_{1,k+2} m_{2,k+1}}{m_{1,k+1} m_{1,2}} m_{1,j}$$

$$= \frac{m_{k+2,j} m_{1,k+1} - m_{1,k+2} m_{k+1,j}}{m_{1,k+1}} + \frac{m_{1,k+2} m_{2,k+1} - m_{2,k+2} m_{1,k+1}}{m_{1,k+1} m_{1,2}} m_{1,j}$$

$$= \frac{m_{k+2,j} m_{1,k+1} - m_{1,k+2} m_{k+1,j}}{m_{1,k+1}} + \frac{m_{1,k+2} m_{2,k+1} - m_{2,k+2} m_{1,k+1}}{m_{1,k+1} m_{1,2}} m_{1,j}$$

$$= \frac{-m_{1,j} m_{k+1,k+2}}{m_{1,k+1}} + \frac{-m_{1,2} m_{k+1,k+2}}{m_{1,k+1} m_{1,2}} m_{1,j}$$

$$= \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,k+2}$$

$$= \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,k+2}$$

$$= \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,k+2}$$

$$= \frac{-2m_{1,j}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,k+2}$$

(iv) If $i \ge k+3$ and $j \ge k+2$ then

$$m_{i,j}^{k+1} = m_{i,j}^k - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,j}^k = m_{i,j}^2 - \sum_{t=3}^k \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1} - \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,k+1}} m_{k+1,j}^k = m_{i,j}^2 - \sum_{t=3}^{k+1} \frac{m_{1,i}}{m_{1,t}} m_{t,j}^{t-1}$$

and this completes the induction

Proof of Proposition 2.3. The claim of the form of T_M then follows from Lemma 3.2: observe that case (iv) in Lemma 3.2 disappear for k = n - 1 because i can not be greater than n + 1, so we are left with the first three cases, which are those of Proposition 2.3 when we replace k by n - 1.

For the second assertion, we observe from its definition that M_0 is obtained from M by swapping its first two rows. In the other hand, if $1 \le k \le n-1$, M_k is obtained by a sequence of row operations that do not alter the determinant, so we have that $det(M) = -det(M_0) = -det(M_k)$ for all $k \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$. In particular $det(M) = -det(M_{n-1}) = -det(T_M)$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author acknowledges the University of Leeds for its warm welcome. He would like to thank Karin Baur and Ana García Elsener for their helpful suggestions, as well as the helpful comments of the referees.

References

- [Bau21] K. Baur. "Frieze patterns of integers". In: *The Mathematical Intelligencer* 43.2 (2021), pp. 47–54.
- [BM12] K. Baur and B. R. Marsh. "Categorification of a frieze pattern determinant". In: Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 119.5 (2012), pp. 1110–1122.
- [BPT16] Karin Baur, Mark J Parsons, and Manuela Tschabold. "Infinite friezes". In: European Journal of Combinatorics 54 (2016), pp. 220–237.
- [BCI74] D. Broline, D. W. Crowe, and I. M. Isaacs. "The geometry of frieze patterns". In: Geometriae Dedicata 3.2 (1974), pp. 171–176.
- [CC73a] J. H. Conway and H. S. M. Coxeter. "Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns". In: *Math. Gaz.* 57.400 (1973), pp. 87–94. ISSN: 0025-5572. DOI: 10.2307/3615344. URL: https://doi.org/10.2307/3615344.
- [CC73b] J. H. Conway and H. S. M. Coxeter. "Triangulated polygons and frieze patterns". In: *Math. Gaz.* 57.401 (1973), pp. 175–183. ISSN: 0025-5572. DOI: 10.2307/3615561. URL: https://doi.org/10.2307/3615561.
- [Cox71] HSM Coxeter. "Cyclic sequences and frieze patterns". In: *The Ultimate Challenge: The* (1971), pp. 211–217.
- [CHJ20] M. Cuntz, T. Holm, and P. Jørgensen. "Frieze patterns with coefficients". In: Forum of Mathematics, Sigma. Vol. 8. Cambridge University Press. 2020.

REFERENCES 13

- [HJ22] Thorsten Holm and Peter Jorgensen. "Weak friezes and frieze pattern determinants". In: (2022). arXiv: 2212.11723 [math.CO].
- [Lam17] Philipp Lampe. "Variations on Baur–Marsh's determinant". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.02587 (2017).
- [MG15] S. Morier-Genoud. "Coxeter's frieze patterns at the crossroads of algebra, geometry and combinatorics". In: Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 47.6 (2015), pp. 895–938.
 ISSN: 0024-6093. DOI: 10.1112/blms/bdv070. URL: https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/bdv070.
- [Pen87] R. C. Penner. "The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces". In: Comm. Math. Phys. 113.2 (1987), pp. 299-339. ISSN: 0010-3616. URL: http://projecteuclid.or
- [Pro20] J. Propp. "The combinatorics of frieze patterns and Markoff numbers". In: *Integers* 20 (2020), Paper No. A12, 38.

DEPARTMENTO DE MATEMATICAS - CEMIM - UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE MAR DEL PLATA, DEÁN FUNES 3350, B7602AYL MAR DEL PLATA, PROVINCIA DE BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA *Email address*: jpemaldonado@mdp.edu.ar