HIPASS study of southern ultradiffuse galaxies and low surface brightness galaxies

Yun-Fan Zhou,^{1,2} Chandreyee Sengupta^{1*}, Yogesh Chandola,¹ O. Ivy Wong,^{3,4} Tom C. Scott,⁵

Yin-Zhe Ma^{6,7,1}[†], and Hao Chen^{8,9,1}

¹Purple Mountain Observatory (CAS), No. 10 Yuanhua Road, Qixia District, Nanjing 210034, China

²School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

³CSIRO Space & Astronomy, PO Box 1130, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia

- ⁴ICRAR-M468, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
- ⁵ Instituto de Astrofísica e Ciência do Espaço (IA), Rua das Estrelas, 4150-762 Porto, Portugal
- ⁶ School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville Campus, Private Bag X54001, Durban, 4000, South Africa
- ⁷ NAOC-UKZN Computational Astrophysics Centre (NUCAC), University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, 4000, South Africa
- ⁸ Research Center for Intelligent Computing Platforms, Zhejiang Laboratory, Hangzhou 311100, China
- ⁹ Department of Astronomy, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3, 7701 Rondebosch, South Africa

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We present results from an H_I counterpart search using the HI Parkes All Sky Survey (HIPASS) for a sample of low surface brightness galaxies (LSBGs) and ultradiffuse galaxies (UDGs) identified from the Dark Energy Survey (DES). We aimed to establish the redshifts of the DES LSBGs to determine the UDG fraction and understand their properties. Out of 409 galaxies investigated, none were unambiguously detected in H_I. Our study was significantly hampered by the high spectral rms of HIPASS and thus in this paper we do not make any strong conclusive claims but discuss the main trends and possible scenarios our results reflect. The overwhelming number of non-detections suggest that: (A) Either all the LSBGs in the groups, blue or red, have undergone environment aided pre-processing and are H_I deficient or the majority of them are distant galaxies, beyond the HIPASS detection threshold. (B) The sample investigated is most likely dominated by galaxies with H_I masses typical of dwarf galaxies. Had there been Milky Way (MW) size (R_e) galaxies in our sample, with proportionate H_I content, they would have been detected, even with the limitations imposed by the HIPASS spectral quality. This leads us to infer that if some of the LSBGs have MW size optical diameters, their H_I content is possibly in the dwarf range. More sensitive observations using the SKA precursors in future may resolve these questions.

Key words: galaxies: groups: general - galaxies: evolution - galaxies: dwarf - radio lines: galaxies

1 INTRODUCTION

A relatively unexplored area of extragalactic astronomy is the study of low mass (dwarf) and low surface brightness galaxies (LSBG). Understanding the fainter end of the galaxy mass spectrum holds the key to questions related to galaxy formation, evolution, mass budgets in these structures and thus improving cosmology models. Since their reporting in 2015, a class of fainter LSBGs, called the ultradiffuse galaxies (UDGs; van Dokkum et al. (2015)) have become a topic of interest to the astronomy community. To qualify as an UDG, a galaxy has to meet two criteria: they must have a central surface brightness (μ_g) of ≥ 24 mag arcsec⁻² and an effective radius¹ (R_e) ≥ 1.5 (van Dokkum et al. 2015). While faint, LSBGs are not a recent discovery (Impey et al. 1988; Dalcanton et al. 1997; Conselice 2018), the 1000+ UDGs found projected around the Coma cluster (Koda et al. 2015) indicated for the first time their relative ubiquity in a dense environments (van der Burg et al. 2017). This fact suggested that UDG studies had the potential to add new insights to knowledge of galaxy and structure formation. Despite the relatively large number of reported UDGs, little is known about their properties and formation. Various secular and environmentally driven formation scenarios have been proposed but detailed observations are needed to determine which ones are valid.

^{*} Corresponding author: sengupta.chandreyee@gmail.com

[†] Corresponding author: ma@ukzn.ac.za

¹ The effective radius of a galaxy is the radius at which half of the total light is emitted

UDGs, and LSBGs in general, are optically faint galaxies with mostly low star formation rates (Wyder et al. 2009). As a result, establishing their optical/UV and infrared (IR) properties is observationally expensive. They are typically metal poor, limiting the practicality of molecular gas observations. However, outside cluster cores, UDGs and LSBGs are usually H1 rich, making H1 line observations a high priority tool to study these galaxies. Despite this, very few UDG H1 studies exist in the literature mainly because the field is new. Single dish targeted HI UDG surveys yielding statistically significant results are so far limited to only a handful of studies (i.e. Leisman et al. 2017; Karunakaran et al. 2020). A few more HI studies of UDGs are focused on HI in isolated UDGs (Papastergis et al. 2017), H1 rich field UDGs (Leisman et al. 2017), and UDGs in groups (Spekkens & Karunakaran 2018; Poulain et al. 2022). There are even fewer resolved H1 studies of UDGs (Sengupta et al. 2019; Mancera Piña et al. 2019; Scott et al. 2021; Gault et al. 2021; Mancera Piña et al. 2021). More extensive HI studies of these galaxies is thus timely and relevant as their abundance in different environments has important implications for our knowledge of galaxy and large-scale structure formation.

Using optical imaging from the Dark Energy Survey (DES; Abbott et al. 2018), Tanoglidis et al. (2021) reported a large number (~23790) LSBGs in an area ~ 5000 deg² mainly from the southern hemisphere sky with a fraction of them being UDG candidates. The Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBG catalogue was based on imaging data and thus lacked the essential redshift information necessary to determine the UDG fraction in the catalogue. Unlike the northern hemisphere where a number of HI surveys have been carried out, principally with the Arecibo 305m telescope, the HI Parkes All Sky Survey (HIPASS) single dish survey is the only extensive southern H I survey available. Thus HIPASS provides an excellent opportunity to search for HI counterparts to LSBG/UDGs in the Tanoglidis et al. (2021) catalogue and determine their redshifts. In this paper we present the results of our search on a subset of southern hemisphere Tanoglidis et al. (2021) catalogue LSBGs using H1 spectra extracted from HIPASS data cubes (Barnes et al. 2001; Meyer et al. 2004; Zwaan et al. 2004; Wong et al. 2006). We aim to understand what fraction of our sample had detectable HI and their HI properties, and most importantly the fraction of the H1 detected LSBGs that qualify as UDGs.

2 SAMPLE AND METHODOLOGY

2.1 Sample Selection

Our sample was selected from the southern LSBGs in the (Tanoglidis et al. 2021) LSBG catalogue compiled from Dark Energy Survey (DES) optical imaging. According to the authors' definition, galaxies qualified as LSBGs if they had g-band effective radii $\geq 2.5''$ and a mean surface brightness (in g band) ≥ 24.2 mag arcsec-2. While Tanoglidis' LSBGs were found to be distributed all across the southern sky, they also showed projected clustering around prominent known galaxy groups and clusters. About 80 such concentrations were reported in Tanoglidis et al. (2021). On the assumption that the clustering of the LSBGs around known galaxy groups is also true in velocity space, and not just in projection, we selected the LSBGs associated with groups and clusters. Assuming a large dwarf population dominated this catalogue, we selected primarily nearby groups. We expect that selecting the groups/clusters would provide approximate constraint on the distance to our targets. While a fraction of LSBGs projected around the groups and clusters could be foreground or background galaxies, choosing nearby



Figure 1. H Ispectra of NGC 7398, measured from HIPASS (green) and the Arecibo 305m telescope (blue) (Springob et al. 2005).

groups and clusters increases the probability that the targeted galaxies would be at a similar redshift. As the H_I detection threshold increases with redshift this approach tends to maximise the probability of detecting H_I in the LSBGs while minimising the search distance. A fraction of the reported Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBGs in nearby groups and clusters are also UDG candidates. In defining their UDG sample, those authors followed the standard definition of an UDG, i.e., g – band $R_e \ge 1.5$ kpc and the central surface brightness $\mu_g \ge 24.0$ mag arcsec⁻² (van Dokkum et al. 2015). Tanoglidis et al. (2021) used the distances to the groups or clusters with which they were presumed to be associated to, to estimate the R_e of the UDG candidates. Detection of an H_I counterpart to these optical candidates would thus allow us to determine whether these are truly UDGs.

We used the HIPASS spectra extracted from the online data release (https://www.atnf.csiro.au/research /multibeam/release/) to search for H1 counterparts in a sample 409 of Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBG candidates with the aim of estimating the HI content of the LSBGs. The same exercise was repeated using spectra extracted directly from the HIPASS cubes as a cross check. Given the HIPASS spectral rms ~13 mJy beam⁻¹, velocity resolution of 18 km s⁻¹ (Meyer et al. 2004) and assuming the H_I emission appears over at least three consecutive channels, a galaxy with an HI mass ~ 1.9×10^8 M_o, at a distance of 20 Mpc, should be detected at 3σ significance with HIPASS. However, had we restricted our sample to distances ≤ 20 Mpc, our sample size would have been very small. Therefore, we increased our distance limit, being aware that with increasing distance, possibility of detecting galaxies with dwarf H1 masses significantly reduces. However, not all LSBGs are dwarf galaxies and several LSBGs are known to be HI rich and relatively optically extended galaxies (Sprayberry et al. 1995; de Blok et al. 1996; Impey et al. 1996) and we therefore extended our search to groups with luminosity distances \leq 70 Mpc. At 70 Mpc, a galaxy with an H I mass of ~ $2.4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, still in the dwarf galaxy H I mass range, would be detected at 3σ level in a HIPASS spectrum. Thus, even at 70 Mpc, a few LSBGs could potentially be detected and thus we included all Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBG candidates in clusters/ groups and overdensities with distances ≤ 70 Mpc in our sample of 409 LSBGs. Using the archival HIPASS data, we searched for HI along the line sight for the 409 LSBGs associated with 18 groups and overdensities (15 known groups and 3 central galaxies) with luminosity distances \leq 70 Mpc. Table 1 shows these group names,

coordinates, redshift, luminosity distance as well as the number of associated LSBGs and UDGs (in brackets). The redshifts to these groups/galaxy clusters are taken from Tanoglidis et al. (2021).

2.2 Search for H I counterparts and comparison with spectra from the HIPASS cubes

Lines of sight spectra were extracted from the HIPASS online archive for each of the 409 LSBGs in our sample in an attempt to detect HI in them. Caveats to this process need to be discussed. The FWHM of the HIPASS beam is large $(\sim 15')$ and in most cases the galaxy coordinates, although within the FWHM of HIPASS beam, differed significantly from the HIPASS beam pointing centre. Additionally, while the canonical rms for HIPASS is 13 mJy beam⁻¹, depending on sky position it varies from 13 - 20 mJy beam⁻¹ (Zwaan et al. 2004). These rms variations are often convolved with baseline ripples. This fact can add to the difficulty in detecting galaxies with low HI mass. The pointing offset and presence of other large group galaxies in the same redshift range within the HIPASS FWHM leads to the risk that the HI signal from our intended target is confused with HI emission from other galaxies within or slightly beyond the HIPASS beam. To minimise this risk for targets associated with groups we restricted our search to only nearby groups $(D \le 70 \,\mathrm{Mpc})$, while acknowledging that we may have missed several H1 counterparts due to this restriction. Figure 1 demonstrates the effect of the high spectral rms and baseline issues mentioned above. While NGC 7398 (D = 67.4 Mpc), the galaxy in the figure, is not in our sample, but belongs to one of the groups we are investigating. It is a large HI rich spiral in contrast to the dwarf dominated LSBG population of our sample. Thus the figure indicates that there is a low probability of detecting our targets with HIPASS unless they are HI rich.

The HIPASS cubes cover a ~ $8^{\circ} \times 8^{\circ}$ sky area with each pixel covering an area of ~ 4' × 4' and the HIPASS FWHM beam is ~15' (Meyer et al. 2004). The spectra available from the website² are extracted using a single pixel box at the location of the source, where the pixel size is 8' × 8'. While extracting spectra directly from the HIPASS cubes, we used a 3 pixels × 3 pixels box (with pixel sizes of 4'), closer to the HIPASS FWHM, for each source. We compared the entire set of HIPASS spectra available from HIPASS website to the spectra extracted directly from the cubes. We found no significant difference, however for our analysis we used the spectra from the 3 pixels × 3 pixels boxes, extracted from the HIPASS cubes.

3 RESULTS

Our search for H_I in HIPASS cubes for the target galaxies along 409 lines of sight, associated with 18 groups/clusters, yielded no clear detection. There were four tentative detections, two associated with the Fornax cluster and one each with NGC 1316 and NGC 145 groups (see Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5). The rest were all clear non-detections. All four tentative detections had the following common features. They were all narrow line features, similar to 2 –4 channels and appeared at velocities similar to 4500 km s⁻¹ and 2600 km s⁻¹. The W₂₀ of our tentative detections ranged from 30-50 km s⁻¹. Narrow line signals at these frequencies can potentially be radio frequency interference (RFI). The HIPASS Data Release Help Page offers information on the frequencies where known RFI signals can be



Figure 2. Fornax-C1 (RA 03:34:57.6 Dec -35:13:24.5). *Top*–DES image with green circle indicating the galaxy. *Bottom*–HIPASS spectrum of the target galaxy in blue. Spectra in green and red are extracted from regions 1° away from the galaxy. The test indicates the peak in this spectrum is RFI.

seen. According to this page, the prime interfering line is the 11th harmonic of the 128 MHz sampler clock at 1408 MHz (cz=2640 $km s^{-1}$). The page further states, that while this is a narrow line, Doppler corrections may broaden this line by up to 30 km s⁻¹. Additionally, other residual narrow-band signals may be present in the HIPASS cubes, notably near 1400 MHz, or 4400 km s⁻¹. Since some of these RFI signatures match with our tentative detections, we carried out the prescribed RFI checking method suggested in the Data Release Help Page, i.e., by extracting several spectra from along a 1° radius from the candidate source position. Of our tentative sources Fornax-C1, Fornax-C2 and NGC145-C1, had narrow signals from their 1° radii tests at the same velocity confirming the tentative detections were in fact RFI. Sometimes spectrometer saturation may cause a sign bit inversion. This could be a possible reason for seeing negative amplitudes at RFI frequencies. For NGC 1316-C1 we see no such feature. But NGC1316-C1 was the weakest of the four tentative signals and barely a two sigma emission. Thus we conclude we have complete non-detection of HI signals in this search for HI counterparts using the HIPASS data. We note that a few groups in

4 Zhou et al.

Table 1. Groups s	searched for HI.
-------------------	------------------

(1) Sl. no. ^{<i>a</i>}	(2) Group/cluster name	(3) R.A. ^b [h m s]	(4) Dec. [d m s]	(5) Redshift ^C	(6) Lum. dist. ^d [Mpc]	(7) No. LSBGs (UDGs) ^e
1	Abell S373 (Fornax)	03:38:30.0	-35:27:18.0	0.0046	19.0	59 (3)
2	NGC 1401	03:39:21.9	-22:43:29.0	0.0050	20.3	26 (1)
3	RXC J0152.9-1345	01:52:59.0	-13:45:12.0	0.0058	21.9	13(0)
4	RXC J0340.1-1835	03:40:11.4	-18:35:15.0	0.0057	23.4	45(1)
5	NGC 1316	03:22:41.8	-37:12:29.5	0.0059	24.4	17(1)
6	Abell 3820	21:52:32.0	-48:23:54.0	0.0064	25.6	14(0)
7	NGC 7041	21:16:32.4	-48:21:48.8	0.0065	26.0	14(1)
8	Abell S989	22:04:25.0	-50:04:24.0	0.0098	40.3	25(3)
9	NGC 1162	02:58:56.0	-12:23:54.8	0.0131	55.3	12(2)
10	NGC 145	00:31:45.7	-05:09:09.6	0.0138	56.0	10 (0)
11	NGC 829	02:08:42.2	-07:47:26.9	0.0135	56.1	17 (5)
12	NGC 1200	03:03:54.5	-11:59:30.7	0.0135	57.0	30 (10)
13	Abell 2964	02:01:06.4	-25:04:31.7	0.0144	60.3	18 (5)
14	NGC 1521	04:08:18.9	-21:03:07.3	0.0142	61.4	14 (4)
15	NGC 1208	03:06:11.9	-09:32:29.4	0.0145	61.6	18 (5)
16	NGC 199	00:39:33.2	+03:08:18.8	0.0154	62.8	39 (12)
17	NGC 7396	22:52:22.6	+01:05:33.3	0.0166	68.0	18 (7)
18	Abell S924	21:07:53.0	-47:10:54.0	0.0162	68.9	20 (8)

a Serial number.

^b All group co-ordinates are from SIMBAD, except RXC J0152.9-1345 and RXC J0340.1-1835 which are from Piffaretti et al. (2011).

^{*C*} Redshift of the groups/clusters from Tanoglidis et al. (2021).

^d Luminosity distance of the groups/clusters from Tanoglidis et al. (2021)

^e Number of LSBGs (UDGs) in each group/cluster from Tanoglidis et al. (2021).

our sample overlap with the ALFALFA³ survey areas. Two of our groups (NGC 199 and NGC 7369) overlap with the ALFALFA sky coverage, but the LSBGs in those groups were H₁ non-detections in both HIPASS and ALFALFA.

Assuming the Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBGs to be group members, we next performed a spectral stacking experiment. Due to lack of redshifts for the LSBGs, we assumed all the LSBGs had velocities similar to the nearest group in projection. Additionally, we only stacked the spectra from the blue galaxies, because these are expected to be H1 rich. The caveat here being that the groups can have H_I velocity dispersions of up to 200 km s⁻¹ with group members having a range of radial velocities, whereas the LSBGs are assumed to be at the group systemic velocity. Thus stacking in this case is likely to miss a major fraction of the galaxies. However given that these are nearby groups where individual galaxies with HI masses $\geq 10^8 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ should be detected, the stacked signal would at least detect the LSBGs close to the systemic velocity of the group. Thus the systemic velocity of the host group was considered the zero velocity for all the blue LSBG spectra and a \pm 1000 km s⁻¹ range about the zero velocity was extracted for stacking them. However, we did not detect any signal in the stacked spectra.

The Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBG catalogue is based on DES DR1 from the first three years of data from the DES. Their paper contains a link (https://desdrserver.ncsa.illinois.edu/despublic/other_files/y3-lsbg/) to their

³ http://egg.astro.cornell.edu/alfalfa/data/

LSBG catalogues. We used the original version of the catalogue for our analysis. But we note that the above website also contains a second version of the catalogue, possibly a recent update on their original version. Comparing the two catalogue versions for our sample showed that galaxies from six groups in our sample were reclassified as field LSBGs rather than group members in version two of the catalogue. The differences between the two versions of the Tanoglidis LSBG catalogues add additional uncertainties to group memberships. But, whether we include or exclude these six groups, our complete H_I non–detection result remains unchanged as do the conclusions.

4 DISCUSSION

Analysis of imaging data from the Dark Energy Survey (DES) provided a large sample of new LSBGs and UDGs mainly in the southern hemisphere (Tanoglidis et al. 2021). They report a 2D clustering for the red LSBGs where the galaxies appear preferentially near to known groups and clusters. The authors report ~80 such groupings. For a subset of that sample, 18 groups in total, we used the only available large-scale single dish H_I survey in the southern hemisphere, HIPASS, to search for H_I counterparts. In absence of spectroscopic redshifts, projected proximity to a group or cluster provided the initial distance constraint for our sample. According to Tanoglidis et al. (2021), the majority of the LSBGs associated with over densities are redder than $g - i \ge 0.60$ and the redder LSBGs are more strongly clustered than the bluer ones. This





Figure 3. Fornax-C2 (RA 03:34:52.0 Dec -35:37:24.1). *Top*-DES image with green circle indicating the galaxy. *Bottom*-HIPASS spectrum of the target galaxy. Spectra in green and red are extracted from regions 1° away from the galaxy. The test indicates the peak in this spectrum is RFI.

situation introduces a bias in our sample as the bluer galaxies are more likely to be H_I detected than the red ones (Leisman et al. 2017; Spekkens & Karunakaran 2018; Sengupta et al. 2019). However, as a first step, we chose to probe the groups because this provides a better redshift constraint on the sample. Though rare, it is not impossible for redder LSBGs or dwarfs to contain substantial H_I (Leisman et al. 2017; Papastergis et al. 2017; Karunakaran et al. 2020; Poulain et al. 2022) and thus we did expect H_I detections in at least a fraction of them. In addition, choosing groups does not imply that our sample is completely devoid of blue galaxies. While the dominant population in our 409 LSBG sample have a red colour, 108 are blue galaxies (g-i < 0.6).

Our study resulted in H I non–detection for all of the 409 lines of sight in 18 groups. For the HIPASS data, a galaxy's H I mass upper limits ranges from ~ $1.9 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ (for 20 Mpc) to ~ $2.4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ (for 70 Mpc). Our 70 Mpc distance cut off was chosen to ensure we do not miss higher H I mass but more distant LSBGs, if any. While our best candidates are projected close to the nearest six groups in our sample (Table 1), we extend our distance limit to 70 Mpc. Although, the recently reported UDGs (Sengupta et al. 2019; Scott et al. 2021) are predominantly dwarf mass galaxies, several LSBGs have been

Figure 4. NGC1316-C1 (RA 03:23:39.7 Dec -37:05:41.5). *Top*–DES image with green circle indicating the galaxy. *Bottom*–HIPASS spectrum of the target galaxy. Spectra in green and red are extracted from regions 1° away from the galaxy. The spectrum is barely a 2 sigma signal and cannot be unambiguously claimed as a detection.

reported to be H_I rich with moderate to large size stellar disks (Bothun et al. 1990; Sprayberry et al. 1993). So if such galaxies with proportionally large H_I masses are present in the Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBG sample, extending the distance limit to 70 Mpc would help us detect them in those more distant groups. Here we discuss a few factors that could explain the H_I non-detections in our study.

According to Tanoglidis et al. (2021), of the 409 target LSBGs in our sample, 108 have blue DES color ($g - i \le 0.6$) and the majority, 301, are red ($g - i \ge 0.6$). While red galaxies can contain detectable H_I mass (e.g. Leisman et al. 2017; Papastergis et al. 2017; Karunakaran et al. 2020; Poulain et al. 2022) at least in the nearby groups, the chances of H_I detection in them are lower than bluer galaxies (Bouchard et al. 2005; Grossi et al. 2009; Karunakaran et al. 2020). Additionally, if these galaxies are genuinely group members, the chance of them being H_I deficient is high. H_I deficiency from galaxy pre-processing in groups is a known phenomenon and LSBGs with nominal stellar disk mass are more vulnerable to gas stripping physical processes like tidal interactions, harassment and ram pressure stripping than higher mass galaxies (Verdes-Montenegro et al. 2001; Sengupta & Balasubramanyam



Figure 5. NGC145-C1 (RA 00 32 39.8 Dec -04 59 39.2). *Top*–DES image with green circle indicating the galaxy. *Bottom*–HIPASS spectrum of the target galaxy. Spectra in green and red are extracted from regions 1° away from the galaxy. The test indicates the peak in this spectrum is RFI.

2006; Kilborn et al. 2009; Odekon et al. 2016). These group physical processes could make even the blue fraction of the LSBGs H_I deficient. However, this scenario alone appears insufficient to explain the complete non-detection of the 108 blue galaxies in the sample. Even with pre–processing active in groups, at least a small fraction of the blue galaxies should have been detected at HIPASS sensitivity. H_I deficient dwarf galaxies have been detected previously with HIPASS data in groups at similar distances (Sengupta & Balasubramanyam 2006).

An alternative explanation for this non-detections could be that LSBGs, while projected close to the groups, are in fact background galaxies which fall below the HIPASS detection threshold. HIPASS's H_I sensitivity falls off rapidly with distance and if a large fraction of our sample are dwarfs and/or in the background of their Tanoglidis assigned group, they would not be detected in the HIPASS. The result from spectral stacking of the blue galaxies supports this hypothesis. If our LSBGs are group members, statistically at least a fraction of them could have had velocities close to the group systemic velocity. Since the groups are at various redshifts, the total blue stacked spectrum rms cannot be used to quote upper limits of H_I masses for groups at different distances. Thus inWhile our study only results in non-detections, this exercise, carried out with the best available data at our disposal, provides a statistical trend for H I in the Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBGs. In that context, our results reveal two important trends.

Of our sample of 409 targets, 68 are designated as UDG candidates in Tanoglidis et al. (2021) and the rest as LSBGs. This classification, however assumes that the galaxies are at the same distances as the groups or clusters they are projected near to. Our HI results suggest, a large fraction of our sample galaxies might not in fact be clustered near to the groups they are projected close to. This effect is almost certainly impacting the estimate of the true number of UDGs in the Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBG catalogue. Additionally, our work demonstrates the critical importance of spectroscopic observations for these galaxies since redshift confirmation is the only way to understand the true fraction of UDGs in this sample. This result together with the low HI detection rates of UDGs in clusters (Karunakaran et al. 2020) challenge our perceived idea of clustering property of UDGs. UDGs are optically selected galaxies and thus the UDG literature is dominated by optical imaging studies (van Dokkum et al. 2015; Koda et al. 2015; Yagi et al. 2016; Román & Trujillo 2017; Shi et al. 2017). They were first reported in the Coma cluster and subsequent reports of their discoveries also came mainly from groups and clusters giving the impression of an enhanced population of these galaxies in such overdensities (van der Burg et al. 2017). Tanoglidis et al. (2021) also reported a similar clustering for red LSBGs and UDGs in the southern sky. Our overwhelming number of non-detections, even for typical HI mass dwarf LSBGs or UDGs, raises doubts about the reported clustering properties. The 108 blue galaxies in our sample of 409 LSBGs have an even higher probability of being non-cluster or non-group members. This is because galaxies in groups will undergo pre-processing causing gas loss and also redder colour. Deeper spectroscopic, optical or H_I observations are required to confirm or refute the association of UDGs and LSBGs with the groups/ clusters.

Our project was designed to detect HI rich LSBGs of all sizes, including distant H I rich dwarfs out to a distance of about 70 Mpc. The lack of even a single clear detection of a LSBG or UDG with the HI mass of the Milky way (MW) suggested our sample only contains dwarf H1 mass galaxies. Among the reported UDGs in the recent years, a substantial fraction have $R_e \ge 3.7$ kpc (similar to or larger than that of the MW) (Zaritsky et al. 2019). The stellar masses of these galaxies may be equivalent to small dwarfs, but their R_e mimics much larger galaxies. While these UDGs are considerably more extended than dwarf galaxies, it is not yet clear if the H I line widths, HI masses and the dark matter content are consistent with the dwarf or more massive galaxies. Recently Gault et al. (2021) imaged H1 in about ten UDGs and found the HI mass and the HI disk diameter to follow the correlation in Wang et al. (2016), however the HI mass range covered in this work is less than $2 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$, in the range of dwarf galaxies. A scaling relation between the UDG R_e and the DM halo mass was proposed by Zaritsky (2017) and is consistent with a globular cluster count study of six Coma UDGs with $R_e \ge 3$ kpc by Saifollahi et al. (2022). However the R_e - DM halo mass relation is yet to be confirmed with DM halo mass estimates based on HI rotation curves. Moreover, if this relation is established for cluster UDGs it is not clear if this would also hold for gas rich field UDGs where the formation mechanism may also be different.

The lack of spectroscopically confirmed distances for our sample makes it impossible to ascertain how many of our target 409 LSBGs have an $R_e \ge 3.7$ kpc. The LSBGs in our sample, with the largest angular R_e are in the range of 14 to 21 " (Tanoglidis et al. 2021). In the absence of redshift measurements these larger angular R_e LSBGs could be at any redshift along the line of sight. If these larger angular $R_{\rm e}$ galaxies, or a fraction of them, are at a distance of 70 Mpc then their R_e would be 4 - 7 kpc, i.e. larger than the MW. LSBs or more specifically UDGs with Re larger than MW are not unusual and have been detected in H1 in Leisman et al. (2017). Non-detection of even a single extended galaxy ($R_e \ge 3.7$ kpc) in our study thus suggests two possibile scenarios: (A) the sample is consists entirely of LS-BGs with HI masses in the range dwarf galaxies and is devoid of any higher R_e galaxies; (B) If LSBGs with $R_e \ge$ the MW are present in the sample, their non-detection in H I, suggests that they have dwarf like HI content and perhaps even dwarf like dark matter content.

Scenario (B) is consistent with recent results from HI studies of faint LSBGs and UDGs. For example Gault et al. (2021) studied a sample of UDGs with Re ranging from 1.9 to 6.3 kpc. Irrespective of $R_{\rm e}$ the detected H_I mass was $\leq 2 \times 10^9 {\rm M}_{\odot}$, the H_I mass typically found in dwarf galaxies. The lack H1 detections in our study is consistent with the low HI detection rates in other studies of UDGs and LSBGs. A recent H1 study of moderately extended $(R_e \ge 2.5 \text{ kpc} \text{ at the distance of Coma})$ UDGs from the SMUDGES survey (Karunakaran et al. 2020) resulted in a low detection rate for UDGs. In that study about 70 UDG candidates were observed using the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) and about 9 UDGs were detected in H_I. The region surveyed was around the Coma cluster, however none of the H1 detected UDGs was cluster members. All of them belong to the low density environment in the foreground or background of the Coma cluster which probably resulted in a better detection rate as opposed to a search inside a group or a cluster, where higher HI deficiencies are expected. Additionally the HI masses of the detected galaxies were $\leq 1.7 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ irrespective of the R_e which again seems to reinforce our findings of Scenario (B) above. Compared our 409 targets, Gault et al. (2021) and Karunakaran et al. (2020) had smaller sample sizes, however both of those studies show similar trend to our results with respect to the absence of HI rich and large R_{e} UDGs. While the sample is insufficient to make any strong claims, Scenario (B) combined with other studies in the literature showing irrespective of R_e the H I masses of UDGs are typical of dwarf galaxies (Gault et al. 2021; Karunakaran et al. 2020), most likely suggest that a scaling relation as suggested by Zaritsky et al. (2019) may not be valid for UDGs. However we clearly need more data and a statistically significant sample to confirm this. The SKA precursors MeerKAT and ASKAP are located in the southern hemisphere. Both telescopes offer higher sensitivity and resolution than HIPASS and therefore could be used in future studies of the LSBGs and UDGs with a higher probability of detecting H I.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Using archival HIPASS data, we searched for H_I counterparts in 409 LSBGs from the Tanoglidis et al. (2021) catalogue of southern hemisphere LSBGs. We found no convincing H_I counterparts for any of the sample of 409 LSBGs.

While our study was significantly hampered by the high spectral rms of HIPASS, the non-detections are not entirely a result of this.

Our project was designed to detect H $_{\rm I}$ rich LSBGs of all sizes, including distant H $_{\rm I}$ rich dwarfs out to a distance of about 70 Mpc. For example, for a distance of 20 Mpc, the HIPASS data would allow us to detect H $_{\rm I}$ mass $\sim 1.9 \times 10^8~M_{\odot}$ and for 70 Mpc, the farthest group in our sample, the detection limit would be $\sim 2.4 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$. These numbers represent typical dwarf galaxy, small LSBGs to gas rich small spiral's H $_{\rm I}$ content. Thus a complete non-detection cannot be only due to the limitation of the HIPASS spectral rms .

Our non-detections suggest the following likely scenarios: (I) The majority of LSBGs are group members but nearly all of them are H1 deficient due to pre-processing in those groups. While many of the red LSBGs could be highly H1 deficient and thus below the HIPASS detection limit, this scenario cannot explain the nondetection of all of our sample's 108 blue galaxies. (II) Is it possible that our perceived idea of UDG clustering is incorrect. The majority of Tanoglidis et al. (2021) LSBGs could be distant background galaxies to the groups and thus beyond the detection threshold of the HIPASS. Without more sensitive spectroscopic measurements this cannot be confirmed. Our study highlights the crucial need for spectroscopy, optical or HI, to estimate the redshifts and to understand whether LSBGs or UDGs are genuine groups members. (III) The sample investigated by us appears to be dominated by galaxies with HI masses in the dwarf range. Had there been LSBGs or UDGs in our sample with \geq MW R_e and proportional H_I masses, even with the high spectral rms of HIPASS, the detection rate would have been higher. We did not even detect any MW R_e LSBG with an H_I mass of the order of a few times $10^9 M_{\odot}$, typically seen in extended UDGs (Leisman et al. 2017; Karunakaran et al. 2020). This may imply, LSBGs or UDGs with stellar disks as extended as the MW probably have an H I content similar to dwarf galaxies. Clearly more sensitive observations using the SKA precursors in future may answer these questions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the annonymous referee, whose comments have significantly improved the paper. We thank Jayanta Roy and Bhaswati Bhattacharyya of NCRA-TIFR for useful discussions about the paper. The Parkes telescope is part of the Australia Telescope which is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. YC acknowledges the support from the NSFC under grant No. 12050410259, and Center for Astronomical Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for the FAST distinguished young researcher fellowship (19-FAST-02), and MOST for the grant no. QNJ2021061003L. TS acknowledges support by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through national funds (UID/FIS/04434/2013), FCT/MCTES through national funds (PIDDAC) by this grant UID/FIS/04434/2019 and by FEDER through COMPETE2020 (POCI-01-0145-FEDER-007672). TS also acknowledges support from DL 57/2016/CP1364/CT0009. YZM acknowledges the support of National Research Foundation with grant no. 120385 and 120378. HC is supported by Key Research Project of Zhejiang Lab (No. 2021PE0AC03).

DATA AVAILABILITY

This project has used publicly available archived data. Koribalski, Baerbel; Staveley-Smith, Lister (2004): The HI Parkes All Sky Survey (HIPASS) image cubes. v1. CSIRO. Data Collection. https://doi.org/10.25919/5c36de6d37141. The spectra can also be downloaded from https://www.atnf.csiro.au/ research/multibeam/ release/.

REFERENCES

- Abbott T. M. C., et al., 2018, ApJS, 239, 18
- Barnes D. G., et al., 2001, MNRAS, 322, 486
- Bothun G. D., Schombert J. M., Impey C. D., Schneider S. E., 1990, ApJ, 360, 427
- Bouchard A., Jerjen H., Da Costa G. S., Ott J., 2005, AJ, 130, 2058
- Conselice C. J., 2018, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 2, 43
- Dalcanton J. J., Spergel D. N., Gunn J. E., Schmidt M., Schneider D. P., 1997, AJ, 114, 635
- Gault L., et al., 2021, ApJ, 909, 19
- Grossi M., et al., 2009, A&A, 498, 407
- Impey C., Bothun G., Malin D., 1988, ApJ, 330, 634
- Impey C. D., Sprayberry D., Irwin M. J., Bothun G. D., 1996, ApJS, 105, 209 Karunakaran A., Spekkens K., Zaritsky D., Donnerstein R. L., Kadowaki J.,
- Dey A., 2020, ApJ, 902, 39 Kilborn V. A., Forbes D. A., Barnes D. G., Koribalski B. S., Brough S., Kern K., 2009, MNRAS, 400, 1962
- Koda J., Yagi M., Yamanoi H., Komiyama Y., 2015, ApJL, 807, L2
- Leisman L., et al., 2017, ApJ, 842, 133
- Mancera Piña P. E., et al., 2019, ApJ, 883, L33
- Mancera Piña P. E., Fraternali F., Oosterloo T., Adams E. A. K., Oman K. A., Leisman L., 2021, MNRAS,
- Meyer M. J., et al., 2004, MNRAS, 350, 1195
- Odekon M. C., et al., 2016, ApJ, 824, 110
- Papastergis E., Adams E. A. K., Romanowsky A. J., 2017, A&A, 601, L10
- Piffaretti R., Arnaud M., Pratt G. W., Pointecouteau E., Melin J. B., 2011, A&A, 534, A109
- Poulain M., et al., 2022, A&A, 659, A14
- Román J., Trujillo I., 2017, MNRAS, 468, 4039
- Saifollahi T., Zaritsky D., Trujillo I., Peletier R. F., Knapen J. H., Amorisco N., Beasley M. A., Donnerstein R., 2022, MNRAS, 511, 4633
- Scott T. C., Sengupta C., Lagos P., Chung A., Wong O. I., 2021, MNRAS, 503, 3953
- Sengupta C., Balasubramanyam R., 2006, MNRAS, 369, 360
- Sengupta C., Scott T. C., Chung A., Wong O. I., 2019, MNRAS, 488, 3222
- Shi D. D., et al., 2017, ApJ, 846, 26
- Spekkens K., Karunakaran A., 2018, ApJ, 855, 28
- Sprayberry D., Impey C. D., Irwin M. J., McMahon R. G., Bothun G. D., 1993, ApJ, 417, 114
- Sprayberry D., Bernstein G. M., Impey C. D., Bothun G. D., 1995, ApJ, 438, 72
- Springob C. M., Haynes M. P., Giovanelli R., Kent B. R., 2005, ApJS, 160, 149
- Tanoglidis D., et al., 2021, ApJS, 252, 18
- Verdes-Montenegro L., Yun M. S., Williams B. A., Huchtmeier W. K., Del Olmo A., Perea J., 2001, A&A, 377, 812
- Wang J., Koribalski B. S., Serra P., van der Hulst T., Roychowdhury S., Kamphuis P., Chengalur J. N., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 2143
- Wong O. I., et al., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1855
- Wyder T. K., et al., 2009, ApJ, 696, 1834
- Yagi M., Koda J., Komiyama Y., Yamanoi H., 2016, ApJS, 225, 11
- Zaritsky D., 2017, MNRAS, 464, L110
- Zaritsky D., et al., 2019, ApJS, 240, 1
- Zwaan M. A., et al., 2004, MNRAS, 350, 1210
- de Blok W. J. G., McGaugh S. S., van der Hulst J. M., 1996, MNRAS, 283, 18
- van Dokkum P. G., Abraham R., Merritt A., Zhang J., Geha M., Conroy C., 2015, ApJL, 798, L45
- van der Burg R. F. J., et al., 2017, A&A, 607, A79

This paper has been typeset from a TFX/LATFX file prepared by the author.