

















Walk on the Low Side: LOFAR explores the low-frequency radio emission of GASP jellyfish galaxies

ALESSANDRO IGNESTI ¹, BENEDETTA VULCANI ¹, BIANCA M. POGGIANTI ¹, ALESSIA MORETTI ¹,
TIMOTHY SHIMWELL ^{2,3}, ANDREA BOTTEON ³, REINOUT J. VAN WEEREN ³, IAN D. ROBERTS ³, JACOPO FRITZ ⁴,
NEVEN TOMIČIĆ ¹, GIORGIA PELUSO ^{1,5}, ROSITA PALADINO ⁶, MYRIAM GITTI ^{7,6}, ANCLA MÜLLER ⁸,
SEAN MCGEE ⁹ AND MARCO GULLIEUSZIK ¹

¹*INAF-Padova Astronomical Observatory, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

²*ASTRON, the Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Postbus 2, 7990 AA Dwingeloo, The Netherlands*

³*Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands*

⁴*Instituto de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, UNAM, Campus Morelia, A.P. 3-72, C.P. 58089, Mexico*

⁵*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "G. Galilei", Università di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 3, 35122 Padova, Italy*

⁶*INAF, Istituto di Radioastronomia di Bologna, via Piero Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy*

⁷*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, via Piero Gobetti 93/2, 40129 Bologna, Italy*

⁸*Ruhr University Bochum, Faculty of Physics and Astronomy, Astronomical Institute, Universitätsst 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany*

⁹*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom*

ABSTRACT

Jellyfish galaxies, characterized by long filaments of stripped interstellar medium extending from their disks, are the prime laboratories to study the outcomes of ram pressure stripping. At radio wavelengths, they often show unilateral emission extending beyond the stellar disk, and an excess of radio luminosity with respect to that expected from their current star formation rate. We present new 144 MHz images provided by the LOFAR Two-metre Sky Survey for a sample of six galaxies from the GASP survey. These galaxies are characterized by a high global luminosity at 144 MHz ($6 - 27 \times 10^{22} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$), in excess compared to their ongoing star formation rate. The comparison of radio and H α images smoothed with a Gaussian beam corresponding to ~ 10 kpc reveals a sub-linear spatial correlation between the two emissions with an average slope $k = 0.50$. In their stellar disk we measure $k = 0.77$, which is close to the radio-to-star formation linear relation. We speculate that, as a consequence of the ram pressure, in these jellyfish galaxies the cosmic rays transport is more efficient than in normal galaxies. Radio tails typically have higher radio-to-H α ratios than the disks, thus we suggest that the radio emission is boosted by the electrons stripped from the disks. In all galaxies, the star formation rate has decreased by a factor ≤ 10 within the last $\sim 10^8$ yr. The observed radio emission is consistent with the past star formation, so we propose that this recent decline may be the cause of their radio luminosity-to-star formation rate excess.

Keywords: Radio astronomy(1338) – Extragalactic radio sources(508) – Late-type galaxies(907)

1. INTRODUCTION

Star formation plays a key role in galaxy evolution, and understanding the physical effects that impact the properties and the distribution of star formation and/or inter-stellar medium (ISM) are one of the main focusses of modern, extra-galactic research. Amongst the processes able to alter the gas content of a galaxy, and

hence quench its star formation, ram pressure stripping (RPS) is considered to be the most efficient mechanism in removing the ISM from galaxies in galaxy clusters (Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Boselli et al. 2021). The most extreme examples of galaxies undergoing strong RPS are the so-called jellyfish galaxies (Fumagalli et al. 2014; Smith et al. 2010; Ebeling et al. 2014; Poggianti et al. 2017a). In the optical/UV band, these objects show extraplanar, unilateral debris extending beyond their stellar disks, and striking tails of ionised gas. Jellyfish galaxies mostly reside in galaxy clusters and are

a transitional phase between infalling star-forming spirals and quenched cluster galaxies, hence they provide a unique opportunity to understand the impact of gas removal processes on galaxy evolution. Moreover, in jellyfish galaxies we can study the physics of the interplay between stripped, cold ($< 10^4$ K) ISM and the surrounding, hot ($\sim 10^7$ K) intracluster medium (ICM), hence obtain insights into the more general astrophysical case of the interplay between cold clouds and hot winds.

A number of jellyfish galaxies are also found to show extraplanar, nonthermal radio emission (e.g., Gavazzi 1978; Gavazzi & Jaffe 1986; Gavazzi et al. 1995; Gavazzi & Boselli 1999; Vollmer et al. 2013; Poggianti et al. 2019a; Ignesti et al. 2020; Chen et al. 2020; Müller et al. 2021; Roberts et al. 2021b,a; Ignesti et al. 2022; Roberts et al. 2022; Lubber et al. 2022). In general, radio continuum emission in spiral galaxies is composed of the thermal emission from the $\sim 10^4$ K plasma in the HII regions produced via thermal bremsstrahlung, and the non-thermal synchrotron emission of the relativistic cosmic rays electrons (CRE), which are accelerated by supernovae shocks reaching energies of a few GeV (e.g. Condon 1992, for a review). Given the connection between supernovae and CRE and the fact that galaxies are generally optically-thin to radio wavelengths, the continuum radio emission is a reliable proxy to evaluate their star formation rate (SFR) (e.g., Kennicutt & Evans 2012).

At GeV energies, CRE energy losses are dominated by synchrotron emission in the galactic magnetic fields with typical values of 10-30 μ G (e.g., Beck & Krause 2005, and references therein), which results in nonthermal radio emission in the 100 MHz-10 GHz band, and Inverse Compton scattering with the galactic and stellar radiation field (e.g., Pacholczyk 1970; Longair 2011). The CRE synchrotron lifetime, t_{syn} , determined by the synchrotron and, in a lesser extent, by the Inverse Compton losses can be expressed as:

$$\frac{t_{\text{syn}}}{\text{Myr}} \simeq 34.2 \left(\frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right)^{-0.5} \left(\frac{B}{10 \mu\text{G}} \right)^{-1.5} \left(1 + \frac{U_{\text{Rad}}}{U_{\text{B}}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where ν is the emission frequency, B is the magnetic field intensity, and $U_{\text{Rad}}/U_{\text{B}}$ is the ratio between the radiation field and magnetic field energy densities (Heesen et al. 2016). In the densest region of the galaxies additional losses can also occur via bremsstrahlung and, for CRE with energy $\lesssim 1$ GeV (i.e., those responsible for the radio emission below ~ 200 MHz in a 10 μ G magnetic field), via ionization captures (e.g., Murphy

2009; Basu et al. 2015). Therefore, the CRE radiative time depends on the combination of the aforementioned physical effects, and on the CR energy, the magnetic and radiation fields intensity, and the local ISM density (for a detailed discussion, see Murphy 2009; Lacki & Thompson 2010; Basu et al. 2015). So CRE emitting at different frequencies have different radiative time, with the CRE emitting in the 100-1000 MHz band being in the order of 10^{7-8} yr (e.g., Basu et al. 2015; Heesen et al. 2019). Within this timescale, CRE can move within the galaxies resulting in extended radio emission. The CRE transport can take place either via diffusion along and across magnetic field lines, streaming, or advection. The dominant mechanism has been observed to vary in the different galactic environments (e.g., Heesen 2021, for a recent review). In the stellar disk, the transport is generally dominated by diffusion. Outside of the stellar disk, such as in the galactic radio halos, it is generally dominated by advection/streaming where, in the case of normal spiral galaxies, the CRE speed should be of the order of the Alfvén speed ($V = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$, with B magnetic field intensity, and ρ medium mass density). In the case of jellyfish galaxies' tails, the CRE transport mechanism is advection by the ram pressure wind (Murphy et al. 2009; Vollmer et al. 2013). The CRE velocity should, therefore, be similar to the velocity of the stripped clouds which, depending on the galactic velocity and the distance from the disk, can be of the order of several hundreds of kilometers per second (Tonnesen & Bryan 2021). Hence the radio tail length, in absence of CRE re-acceleration/injection within the tail, should depend on the advection speed and the cooling time-scale (e.g., Chen et al. 2020; Müller et al. 2021), resulting in a dependence of the radio tail's length on the observed frequency and, specifically, in longer radio tails at lower frequencies (Ignesti et al. 2022).

High resolution observations have revealed radio-deficit regions on the leading edge of jellyfish galaxies (Murphy et al. 2009). Nevertheless, these systems, as well as spiral galaxies in clusters, have been reported to show a global excess of radio emission with respect to their current star formation rate when compared to similar objects outside of clusters (e.g., Gavazzi & Jaffe 1986; Niklas et al. 1995; Gavazzi & Boselli 1999; Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Murphy et al. 2009; Vollmer et al. 2010, 2013; Chen et al. 2020; Roberts et al. 2021b). This evidence has been generally explained as either being due to the compression, and resulting amplification, of the magnetic field frozen within the ISM during RPS (e.g., Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Boselli et al. 2021; Farber et al. 2022), or as a consequence of the interactions between

the CRe and the ICM-driven ‘shocklets’. Recently, [Ignesti et al. \(2022\)](#) suggested that, instead, it could be due to a fast decline of the SFR within the CRe radiative time which can leave a population of old CRe which dominates the global, nonthermal radio emission, and naturally causes a discrepancy between the radio luminosity and the present SFR.

Observing nonthermal radio emission extending beyond their disk proves that jellyfish galaxies host large-scale, extraplanar magnetic fields. Previous works constrained such magnetic fields around $\sim 10 - 20 \mu\text{G}$ in the stellar disks and $\sim 4 - 6 \mu\text{G}$ in the tails of two jellyfish galaxies (JO206 and JW100, see [Müller et al. 2021](#); [Ignesti et al. 2022](#)). Such fields can affect the geometry of tails (e.g., [Tonnesen & Stone 2014](#); [Ruszkowski et al. 2014](#); [Ramos-Martínez et al. 2018](#)), as well as the evolution of the stripped gas. Indeed, simulations of cold gas clouds subjected to a hot wind found that magnetic fields can have a significant impact on the dynamics of wind-cloud interactions by suppressing fluid instabilities and, thus, extending the lifetime of the gas and the star-forming clouds in the stripped gas (e.g., [Berlok & Pfrommer 2019](#); [Cottle et al. 2020](#); [Sparre et al. 2020](#)). This physical scenario is similar to jellyfish galaxies moving in the hot ($kT \simeq 3 - 8 \text{ keV}$) ICM, thus it suggests that magnetic fields could be crucial to preserve the cold ($kT < 0.1 \text{ keV}$), stripped ISM clouds in the hot, hostile environment by damping the thermal conduction between the two gasses. These cold clouds could further cool down to temperatures that allow new star formation episodes tracked by both the CO and H α emission (e.g., [Poggianti et al. 2019a](#); [Moretti et al. 2020](#)). The effect of the magnetic fields can potentially last for hundreds of Myr, thus permitting to the stripped ISM to survive in form of cold clouds in the ICM (e.g., [Ge et al. 2021](#)).

The scale length of jellyfish galaxies’ magnetic fields is also thought to be increased by their interaction with the ICM. A galaxy moving in a magnetised medium, such as the ICM (e.g. [Carilli & Taylor 2002](#)), can sweep up the surrounding magnetic field. This process, which is known as ‘magnetic draping’ (e.g. [Dursi & Pfrommer 2008](#); [Pfrommer & Dursi 2010](#)) can produce a magnetic sheath that surrounds the ISM and extends into the galaxy’s wake along the tail of the jellyfish galaxy. In this scenario, as a consequence of the injection of relativistic electrons by supernovae into an ordered magnetic field, jellyfish tails should show, in the absence of depolarization effects, highly-polarized synchrotron emission ([Sparre et al. 2020](#)). Indeed, deep Very Large

Array observations recently provided the first detection of these aligned magnetic fields in the tail of the jellyfish galaxy JO206 ([Müller et al. 2021](#)).

In this work we explore the nonthermal side of jellyfish galaxies by analyzing the low-frequency radio emission of a sub-sample of objects from the GASP survey (GAS Stripping Phenomena in galaxies with MUSE¹, [Poggianti et al. 2017a](#)). The GASP sample consists of 114 galaxies in different environments and characterized by a range of stellar masses from 10^9 to $3.2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ with redshift $0.04 < z < 0.07$. The GASP project aims to investigate the process of gas removal in cluster galaxies by means of multi-wavelength studies. In this context, low-frequency ($< 200 \text{ MHz}$) observations represent an unique probe. On one hand, at these frequencies the radio emission is usually dominated by the nonthermal component (e.g., [Condon 1992](#); [Tabatabaei et al. 2016](#)). Hence low-frequency observations are a solid proxy of the star formation (e.g., [Gürkan et al. 2018](#); [Heesen et al. 2019](#)). On the other hand, low-frequency ($\sim 100 \text{ MHz}$) observations probe low-energy particles that, due to their lower emissivity, have typical life-times of a factor ~ 3 longer than the high-energy particles traced by high-frequency ($>1 \text{ GHz}$) radio emission (e.g., [Pacholczyk 1970](#)). This entails that low-frequency observations probe the oldest electrons moving along the extraplanar magnetic fields and provide us the most comprehensive view of this phenomenon. For these reasons, we studied a sample of GASP galaxies with the LOw Frequency ARray (LOFAR, [van Haarlem et al. 2013](#)), that, up to date, provides the highest sensitivity and resolution at these frequencies. Previous studies of jellyfish galaxies with LOFAR are presented in [Ignesti et al. \(2020\)](#); [Roberts et al. \(2021b,a, 2022\)](#); [Ignesti et al. \(2022\)](#). In the latter, a multi-frequency analysis of the GASP galaxy JW100 unveiled a connection between the star formation history and the nonthermal synchrotron emission.

We pursue these studies by extending them on a sample of 6 GASP galaxies in the northern sky. We present the new LOFAR data and a series of diagnostic diagrams that we designed to characterize the local connection between the radio emission, the SFR, and the properties of the stripped gas. In this paper we use a [Chabrier \(2003\)](#) initial mass function (IMF) and the standard concordance cosmology parameters $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$ and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. At the galaxies redshift it re-

¹ <https://web.oapd.inaf.it/gasp/>

| Galaxy | z | $\log M_*$ [M_\odot] | Cluster | z_{cluster} | Beam [arcsec ²] | RMS [$\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$] | S_{Rad} [mJy] | L_{Rad} [$10^{22} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$] | SFR [$M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$] |
|--------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| JW39 | 0.0663 | 11.21 | A1668 | 0.0634 | 10.7×6.6 | 183 | 19.2±3.2 | 22.8±3.8 | 3.6±0.7 |
| JO85 | 0.0355 | 10.67 | A2589 | 0.0422 | 11.5×5.2 | 109 | 56.4±9.6 | 27.0±4.6 | 6.0±1.0 |
| JO60 | 0.0622 | 10.40 | A1991 | 0.0586 | 10.8×5.5 | 145 | 14.7±2.2 | 12.0±1.8 | 4.5±0.9 |
| JW100 | 0.0619 | 11.47 | A2626 | 0.0551 | 8.8×6.4 | 94 | 13.8±2.0 | 10.2±1.5 | 4.0±0.8 |
| JO49 | 0.0451 | 10.68 | A168 | 0.0452 | 13.3×6.0 | 299 | 10.7±2.3 | 5.6±1.2 | 1.4±0.3 |
| JO206 | 0.0511 | 9.52 | IIZW108 | 0.0489 | 11.9×5.4 | 298 | 18.8±3.7 | 10.6±2.3 | 5.0±1.0 |

Table 1. Properties of the GASP-LOFAR sample. From left to right: GASP name; galaxy redshift; stellar mass from [Vulcani et al. \(2018a\)](#); Host cluster name and redshift ([Gullieuszik et al. 2020](#)); resolution and RMS of the 144 MHz images reported in Figure 1; integrated radio flux density and luminosity at 144 MHz; total SFR from [Vulcani et al. \(2018a\)](#). The integrated radio flux densities were measured within the last contour reported in Figure 1. We report, from top to bottom, an alternative name for each galaxy: IC 4141, UGC 12582, 2MASX J14535156+1839064, IC 5337, 2MASX J01144386+0017100, WINGS J211347.41+022834.9 (source <http://cdsportal.u-strasbg.fr/>).

sults in $1'' \simeq 0.9 - 1.1$ kpc. We define the synchrotron spectrum as $S \propto \nu^\alpha$, where S is the flux density at the frequency ν , and α is the spectral index.

2. DATA ANALYSIS

2.1. The GASP-LOFAR sample

We considered a sub-sample of 6 cluster galaxies from the GASP survey (Table 1). This selection was necessarily driven by the declination of the targets. Indeed, LOFAR can effectively observe at high sensitivity only the northern sky where only 13 out of 114 GASP galaxies are located ([Poggianti et al., in preparation](#)). In this work we present 6 of those galaxies observed which have been observed by LoTSS. For two galaxies of our sample, JW100 and JO49, the LOFAR images have been already presented in [Ignesti et al. \(2020, 2022\)](#) and [Roberts et al. \(2021b\)](#) respectively.

The galaxies of the GASP-LOFAR sample are characterized by extraplanar H α emission produced by warm filaments of stripped ISM ([Poggianti et al. 2019b](#)). We observe galaxies where the H α emission, in projection, is mostly contained within the disk, as well as examples of truncated disks, long tails, or unwinding arms. The sample includes both galaxies at an early and an advanced stage of stripping. According to the SFR-stellar mass relation ([Vulcani et al. 2018b](#)), half of the sample (JW100, JW39 and JO49) shows a deficiency in SFR that indicates an advanced stage of stripping. Finally, the sample also presents a high incidence of AGN with 5 out of 6 galaxies showing nuclear activity according to the Baldwin, Phillips & Terlevich diagnostic diagrams (BPT, [Baldwin et al. 1981](#)): JW39, JO85, JO49 ([Peluso et al. 2022](#)) host LINER-like nuclei, while JW100 and JO206 have a Seyfert nucleus ([Poggianti et al. 2017b](#); [Radovich et al. 2019](#)).

2.2. Data preparation

2.2.1. LOFAR

The 120-168 MHz images presented in this work are provided by the LOFAR Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS, [Shimwell et al. 2017, 2019](#); [Shimwell et al. 2022](#)). For details on the observation strategy and calibration of the LoTSS data we refer to [Shimwell et al. \(2022\)](#). Following the LoTSS procedure, the datasets were calibrated by using the direction-dependent calibration and imaging pipeline DDF-PIPELINE² v. 2.2. This pipeline was developed by the LOFAR Surveys Key Science Project and it corrects for ionospheric and beam model errors in the data. The latest version of the pipeline is described in [Tasse et al. \(2021\)](#). The entire data processing procedure makes use of PREFACTOR ([van Weeren et al. 2016](#); [Williams et al. 2016](#); [de Gasperin et al. 2019](#)), KILLMS ([Tasse 2014](#); [Smirnov & Tasse 2015](#)) and DDFACET ([Tasse et al. 2018](#)). The observations presented here have been further processed to improve the calibration in a smaller region of the LOFAR field-of-view containing the target of interest, employing the "extraction and self-calibration" procedure described in [van Weeren et al. \(2021\)](#). We then produced the final images of the galaxies at a central frequency of the observation, 144 MHz, by using WSCLEAN v2.10.1 ([Offringa et al. 2014](#)) and using a ROBUST=-0.5 ([Briggs 1995](#)). The imaging was carried out on the HOTCAT cluster ([Taffoni et al. 2020](#); [Bertocco et al. 2020](#)). The Root Mean Square (RMS) map noise of each map was measured in source-free regions of the sky and it is reported in Table 1.

In order to explore the low-brightness, extraplanar emission, in this work we considered the radio surface brightness above the $2 \times \text{RMS}$ level similarly to [Roberts](#)

² <https://github.com/mhardcastle/ddf-pipeline>

et al. (2021b). Our choice is justified by the absence of large-scale artifacts at a similar level of brightness near the galaxies. This assured us that the $2\times$ RMS level structures observed extending from the galaxies in the direction of the $H\alpha$ tails are not calibration or imaging artifacts. For JW100, due to the proximity with the bright Kite radio source (see Ignesti et al. 2020, 2022), to not include possible artifacts we preferred to conservatively cut the radio emission at the $3\times$ RMS level. In the following analysis of the radio emission we adopted a calibration error of 20%, according to what is done in LoTSS (Shimwell et al. 2019; Shimwell et al. 2022). In our calculation we neglect the contribution of the thermal radio emission because, at these frequencies, it corresponds to the 2 – 5% of the total radio emission (e.g., Tabatabaei et al. 2017; Ignesti et al. 2022) and it cannot be differentiated from the nonthermal emission with these data alone.

2.2.2. MUSE

We make use of the previous results obtained by the GASP team from the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE) optical observations of the sample. The MUSE observations, data reduction and the methods of analysis are described in Poggianti et al. (2017a). Briefly, data were reduced with the most recent available version of the MUSE pipeline and data cubes were averaged filtered in the spatial direction with a 5×5 pixel kernel (~ 1 arcsec²). Data cubes were corrected for extinction due to the dust in the Milky Way, using the value estimated at the galaxy position (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) and assuming the extinction law from Cardelli et al. (1989). Emission-only data cubes were obtained by subtracting the stellar-only component of each spectrum derived with our spectrophotometric code SINOPSIS (SIMulating Optical Spectra wITH Stellar population models, Fritz et al. 2017, ³). This code searches the combination of Single Stellar Population (SSP) model spectra that best fits the equivalent widths of the main lines in absorption and emission and the continuum at various wavelengths and provides spatially resolved estimates of a number of stellar population properties, such as stellar masses and star formation histories. In particular, it provides information on the average SFR in twelve age bins. These bins can be combined into larger bins in such a way that the differences between the spectral characteristics of the stellar populations are maximal (Fritz et al. 2017).

Emission-line fluxes and errors were derived using the IDL software KUBEVIZ (Fossati et al. 2016). Only spaxels with signal-to-noise ratio $S/N(H\alpha) > 3$ were considered as reliable. $H\alpha$ luminosities were corrected both for stellar absorption and for dust extinction. The latter was estimated from the Balmer decrement assuming an intrinsic value $H\alpha/H\beta=2.86$ and the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law. SFRs were computed from the dust- and absorption-corrected $H\alpha$ luminosities (Vulcani et al. 2018a), following the Kennicutt (1998) relation:

$$\text{SFR} = 4.6 \times 10^{-42} \left(\frac{L_{H\alpha}}{\text{erg s}^{-1}} \right) M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

In order to characterize the ionization mechanism of warm gas emitting in $H\alpha$, we consider both the BPT diagnostic diagrams presented in Radovich et al. (2019); Poggianti et al. (2019b); Peluso et al. (2022) (using the NII lines for every galaxy with the only exception of JW100, for which we use the SII lines because the nitrogen line was contaminated by a sky line).

3. RESULTS

3.1. The new LOFAR images

In order to investigate the connection between the stripped ISM and nonthermal radio emission, in Figure 1 we show the contours of the radio emission at 144 MHz of each galaxy (silver, filled) on top of their emission-only, dust-corrected $H\alpha$ emission. In Figure 2 we present the same contours on top of the $H\alpha$ maps that have been smoothed with a gaussian beam to match the resolution of the LOFAR images. In both figures we show also the contours of the stellar disk (gold dashed, from Gullieuszik et al. 2020). The resolution and RMS noise level of each image is reported in Table 1. We note that the emission to the north of JW100 (central-left panel in Figure 1) is not related to the galaxy but it is instead part of the Kite radio source (see Ignesti et al. 2020, 2022).

The new LOFAR images reveal that in the stellar disk of these galaxies, with the only exception of JO85, the radio emission is truncated with respect to the stellar emission (i.e., the radio emission is less extended than the stellar one, leaving radio-devoid regions at the outer edges of the stellar disk). In principle, this truncation may be the result of the stripping of the ISM magnetic fields and the CRe, together with the ISM, or low-frequency flux losses occurring in the high-density, star forming regions of the disk due to the ionization captures. The former scenario should be characterized by lack of both radio and $H\alpha$ emission at the disk edges

³ <https://www.iryia.unam.mx/gente/j.fritz/JFhp/SINOPSIS.html>




Figure 1. MUSE H α images with the contours of the stellar continuum (gold dashed) and the LOFAR 144 MHz continuum emission (silver-filled contours). The radio continuum levels start from $2\times$ RMS level (except JW100 for which they start from $3\times$ RMS level) and progress by a factor 2.

as result of the ISM stripping, whereas in the latter we should observe $H\alpha$ emission without radio counterpart and a local flattening of the radio spectral index at low frequencies due to the loss of the low-energy CRe (e.g., Lacki 2013; Basu et al. 2015; Heesen et al. 2022). In Figure 1 and 2 we observe galaxies with signatures of stripping of both the thermal and nonthermal ISM (e.g., the northern edge of JW39), whereas evidence of ionization losses have been observed at the disk edges of JW100 in form of flat-spectrum emission between 144 MHz and 1.4 GHz (Ignești et al. 2022). Interestingly, in JO206 we observe both truncated radio and $H\alpha$ emission in the northern half of the disk, and $H\alpha$ without radio in the southern half. This suggests that the two processes, stripping and ionization captures, can coexist.

In general, the peak of the radio emission is close to the galactic centre. We evaluated the presence of radio-loud AGN by computing the radio-loudness parameter $\mathcal{R} := (L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}/W \text{ Hz}^{-1})/(L_{H\alpha}/L_{\odot})$, where $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$, $L_{H\alpha}$ and L_{\odot} are the radio at 1.4 GHz, $H\alpha$, and solar luminosities, respectively (e.g., Koziel-Wierzbowska et al. 2017). To compute \mathcal{R} we use $L_{1.4 \text{ GHz}}$ measured in the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (Condon et al. 1998), and $L_{H\alpha}$ estimated from the MUSE observations by selecting the spaxels classified as LINER or Seyfert in the BPT diagrams within a circular region centered on the galactic centre. A radio-loud AGN shows, typically, $\log \mathcal{R} > 15.8$, whereas in our sample we measure $13.1 < \log \mathcal{R} < 14$, thus we conclude that the GASP-LOFAR sample does not contain radio-loud AGN. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out a contamination in the central emission due to low-power radio emission associated with AGN processes such as AGN driven wind, free-free emission from photo-ionized gas, low-power jets, or the black hole accretion (e.g., White et al. 2017; Panessa et al. 2019).

The distribution of the radio emission with respect to the $H\alpha$ emission in the stellar disks is more diverse. Figure 1 shows galaxies in which radio and $H\alpha$ emission are similarly truncated with respect to the stellar disk (JW39 and JO49), or where radio emission appears to be more truncated than $H\alpha$ (JW100 and JO206), or where only radio is truncated whilst $H\alpha$ closely follows the stellar disk (JO60). JW39, JO49 and JO206 show radio-devoid regions located on their leading edge, that become more evident in the smoothed images (Figure 2). A similar feature has been observed also in the Virgo galaxies, and they have been interpreted as result of the ram pressure winds stripping the gaseous ISM (Murphy et al. 2009). At odds with the other galaxies, JO85 shows no radio-devoid regions within its stellar disk, al-

though they are present on its eastern edge. This sample shows a higher incidence of truncated disks at radio than $H\alpha$ emission which might suggest that the nonthermal ISM components are more affected by the RPS than the warm plasma which, in turn, is more affected than the cold, star-forming gas because the ram pressure acceleration is inversely proportional to the gas column density (e.g., Tonnesen & Bryan 2021). We suggest that the combination of this stripping ‘hierarchy’ and ionization captures in the high-density gas can explain the different morphology of jellyfish galaxies at the different wavelength, and it will be further discussed in Section 4.

JW39, JO60 and JW100 show unilateral, extraplanar radio emission extending for up to ~ 40 arcsec in the direction of the stripped $H\alpha$ tail. JW39 shows also radio-devoid edges in the opposite direction. As observed in Murphy et al. (2009), the combination of these two features suggests that the relativistic, radio-emitting plasma could have been stripped from the disk into the tail. This provides very strong evidence that this emission is affected by the RPS. To a lesser extent, the radio emission appears to be aligned with the stripping direction in JO49. The extraplanar emission in JO206 appears to be composed of several, barely-resolved patches along the stripped $H\alpha$ tail, though, the detection is less certain. JO85 shows radio emission extending outside the stellar disk toward West, which may appear at odds with the fact that it is moving toward south-west (Bellhouse et al. 2021).

3.2. The radio luminosity-star formation rate relation

Cluster galaxies, and especially jellyfish galaxies, generally present an excess of radio emission with respect to their current SFR (e.g., Gavazzi & Boselli 1999; Roberts et al. 2021b). In order to test if the GASP-LOFAR galaxies follow this trend, we compare their radio luminosity at 144 MHz, including both the disk and the extraplanar emission, with their integrated SFR, measured in their disks and tails from the $H\alpha$ emission. The integrated flux density of each galaxy, S_{Rad} , is evaluated within the lowest radio contour presented in Figure 1. In order to evaluate the contribution of the extraplanar radio emission, we include the emission within the contours in Figure 1 that coincides with the $H\alpha$ tails. The corresponding error is the quadrature sum of the RMS contribution in the area used for the integrated intensity measure and the calibration error. We then compute the corresponding luminosity at 144 MHz, $L_{\text{Rad}} = 4\pi D_L^2 S_{\text{Rad}} [(1+z)^{\alpha-1}]$, where D_L is the luminosity distances of the hosting clusters (see Table




Figure 2. MUSE H α images smoothed to the resolution of the corresponding LOFAR images (see Table 1) with the contours of the stellar continuum (gold dashed) and the LOFAR 144 MHz continuum emission (silver-filled contours). The radio continuum levels start from $2\times$ RMS level (except JW100 for which they start from $3\times$ RMS level) and progress by a factor 2.




Figure 3. Radio luminosity at 144 MHz vs. SFR of the GASP-LOFAR (gold) and LoTSS (silver) samples. We report the empirical relations between L_{Rad} and SFR presented in Gürkan et al. (2018) (blue) and Roberts et al. (2021b) (red), the latter is fitted on the LoTSS sample. The shaded regions show the dispersion at 1σ around the corresponding best-fit relations.

1)⁴. The resulting radio luminosity at 144 MHz are in the range $L_{\text{Rad}} = 6 - 27 \times 10^{22} \text{ W Hz}^{-1}$. The integrated SFRs, including disks and tails, based on the $\text{H}\alpha$ emission (Table 1) are taken directly from Vulcani et al. (2018a).

The values of L_{Rad} and the integrated SFR are reported in Table 1 and Figure 3. In the latter, we also show the values reported for 95 other LoTSS jellyfish galaxies from Roberts et al. (2021b), and the corresponding best-fitting L_{Rad} -SFR relation at 144 MHz (red line). For reference, we show the empirical, global L_{Rad} -SFR relation computed at 144 MHz presented in Gürkan et al. (2018) (blue line):

$$\log L_{\text{Rad}} = 1.07 \pm 0.08 \times \log \text{SFR} + 22.07 \pm 0.02. \quad (3)$$

where L_{Rad} and SFR are in units of W Hz^{-1} and $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively. This relation was fitted on a subsample of 15,088 star-forming galaxies with redshift $0 < z < 0.6$ from the seventh release of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. The optical spectroscopic informations were provided by the H -ATLAS survey (Eales et al. 2010).

The comparison with the previous studies highlights that the galaxies of the GASP-LOFAR sample gener-

ally occupy the poorly-sampled high SFR area. They show enhanced radio emission compared to the aforementioned relations (blue and red lines). They fall outside of the 1σ scatter of the Gürkan et al. (2018) relation (blue-shaded area) but, with the only exception of JO85 and JW39, within the larger scatter of the LoTSS Jellyfish’s relation (red-shaded area). In the following, we further explore the origin of this radio excess by studying the connection between radio, $\text{H}\alpha$ emission and SFR.

3.3. Point-to-point diagnostic diagrams

The difference in resolution between the LOFAR and MUSE images does not permit a reliable comparison between the different maps on a pixel-by-pixel basis. Therefore, we used the point-to-point analysis⁵ to compare the two emissions over larger regions. For each galaxy, we sample the radio emission with a grid composed by rectangular cells large at least as the beam of each LOFAR image (Table 1). Each cell covers a region with an average radio surface brightness above the levels discussed in Section 2.2.1. Then, we use those cells to simultaneously measure:

1. Integrated radio flux density, S_{Rad} , with the corresponding errors. From this quantity we derive the radio surface brightness, I_{Rad} , (by dividing S_{Rad} for the area of each cell) and luminosity, L_{Rad} , as done in Section 3.2 for the total values;
2. Integrated, dust-corrected, emission-only $\text{H}\alpha$ flux density measured in the smoothed $\text{H}\alpha$ maps (Figure 2). The uncertainties are defined as described in Section 2.2.2. We compute the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness, $I_{\text{H}\alpha}$, in each cell. Then, by using only the spaxels defined as star forming or composite in the BPT diagrams, we estimate the corresponding current SFR according to Equation 2, $\text{SFR}_{\text{H}\alpha}$;
3. Sum of the time-averaged SFR during the last 2×10^8 ($\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}}$) yr prior to observations as reconstructed with the SINOPSIS code in each spaxel (see Section 2.2.2). This time bin approximately represents the maximum radiative time of the CRe emitting at 144 MHz (e.g., Basu et al. 2015; Heesen et al. 2019).

The aforementioned quantities are combined in a series of diagnostic plots shown in Figure 4. For each galaxy, we show the sampling grid on top of the radio

⁴ Due to the missing information on the spectral index of the galaxies, we prefer to neglect the k -correction. However, given the low redshift of the sample, its contribution is negligible.

⁵ The point-to-point analysis was carried out with the Point-to-point TRend Extractor (PT-REX, see Iagnesi 2022, for further details)

emission and stellar disk contours (top panel). We assign a number to each cell of the grid to ease locating the regions in the corresponding diagnostic plots. For reference, we indicate the position of the galactic centre with a black cross. The diagnostic plots include the radio vs. $H\alpha$ surface brightness (central panel, see Section 3.3.1), and the radio luminosity vs. star formation rate (SFR_{recent} and $SFR_{H\alpha}$) (bottom panel, see Section 3.3.2).

In order to test if the grids provide a reliable picture of each galaxy, we tested the total radio vs. $H\alpha$ surface brightness correlation with the Monte Carlo point-to-point analysis (see Appendix A), finding that the general results did not depend on the choice of the grid. This indicates that the grids are fine enough to reliably sample the relevant spatial variations (i.e., ~ 10 kpc at the galaxies' redshift), and, thus, that diagnostic plots are solid. However, we are aware that the point-point analysis is intrinsically biased by the arbitrary choice of the sampling grid, in the sense that the position of each point on the plots necessarily depends on the geometry of the grids. For this reason, in the followings we focus on the general results of the point-to-point analysis, instead of the individual features of each galaxy.

3.3.1. Radio vs. $H\alpha$ surface brightness

The comparison of the two surface brightness values can provide insights into the ISM microphysics and the connection between the different phases responsible for the two different types of emission. In the central panels of Figure 4 we compare I_{Rad} and $I_{H\alpha}$ computed for each cell. We identify the disk cells as those that share $> 10\%$ of their surface with the stellar disk mask shown in the top panel (gold contour), and indicate them with an orange contour. In this way we can infer the differences in the $I_{\text{Rad}} - I_{H\alpha}$ spatial correlation between the total galaxy and the stellar disk-only. This corresponds to analyze how the spatial correlation between thermal and nonthermal ISM evolves from the stellar disk to the extraplanar regions. We report the errors on I_{Rad} and $I_{H\alpha}$ derived from the respective fluxes (although the errors on $I_{H\alpha}$ are almost negligible and are smaller than the marker size). In order to test the presence of possible spatial correlations, both for the total galaxy and the stellar disk only, we estimate the Spearman and Pearson correlation coefficients and fit a power-law $I_{\text{Rad}} \propto I_{H\alpha}^k$ (blue for the total galaxy and in orange for the disk) by using the bivariate correlated errors and intrinsic scatter method (BCES, Akritas & Bershady 1996). For reference, we plot also the global $I_{\text{Rad}} - I_{H\alpha}$ relation (green-dashed line) derived by combining Equations 2 and 3,

and by converting the luminosity into surface brightness:

$$\frac{I_{\text{Rad}}}{\text{Jy arcsec}^2} \simeq 5.4 \times 10^{-10} \frac{I_{H\alpha}}{\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{arcsec}^{-2}}. \quad (4)$$

Equation 4 describes the global radio-to- $H\alpha$ ratio expected when both the emissions are powered by the total SFR. The resulting slopes k , for both the total and disk-only distributions are reported in the legend of each panel, and they are summarized in Figure 5.

We find that, for every galaxy, the points lie above the global, linear correlation expected based on the standard SFR calibrations (Equation 4, dashed green line), which is expected from the global excess of radio emission of these galaxies (Figure 3). This study reveals that a sub-linear spatial correlation holds between I_{Rad} and $I_{H\alpha}$ in the form $I_{\text{Rad}} \propto I_{H\alpha}^k$ with an average $k = 0.50$. In the stellar disks, the average slope steepens to $k = 0.77$. The gap between the total and the disk-only slopes is more striking for those galaxies with the most evident radio tails, that are JW39, JO60, JW100, and JO206. Indeed in their corresponding plots we observe two distinct distributions, the disk cells which follow a steep, almost linear correlation, and those in the tail which show a flat trend with constant values of I_{Rad} that flattens the total slopes. The implications of this result are discussed in Section 4.1. As a caveat, we note that the selection of the disk cells, and hence the resulting slope, ultimately depends on our arbitrary choice of the overlap threshold ($> 10\%$), that was chosen empirically. Due to the low number of cells used for the fit, increasing this threshold reduces the number of disk's cells and, thereby, the goodness of the fit. However, the main conclusion, that is the steepening of the slopes in the disk with respect to the total, does not change.

3.3.2. Radio luminosity vs. star formation rate

In the bottom panels of Figure 4 we compare L_{Rad} with SFR_{recent} (gold points) and $SFR_{H\alpha}$ (red points), which trace the SFR in the last 2×10^8 and $\sim 10^7$ yr, respectively. This comparison permits us to test how much the radio excess depends on the fact that radio and $H\alpha$ emissions trace the SFR on different timescales (Kennicutt & Evans 2012). For reference, we show also the global trend predicted by Equation 3 (blue line). As we are interested only in the star forming regions, we first select in the MUSE $H\alpha$ emission maps only those spaxels classified as star forming or composite in the BPT diagrams. Then we arbitrarily define as 'star-forming', (magenta boxes in the top panels in Figure 4) those cells in which $\geq 50\%$ of the total $H\alpha$ flux com-




Figure 4. Diagnostic plots for JW39 (left) and JO85 (right). Top: Sampling grid on top of the radio (grey) and the stellar disk (orange) contours. The star forming cells are reported in magenta. The numbers map the points in the other panels. The black cross shows the galactic centre; Centre: I_{Rad} vs. $I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ measured from the maps in Figure 2 with the best-fit (continuous, blue for the total and orange for the disk-only) and the SFR-based (dashed, from Equation 4) relations. Bottom: L_{Rad} vs. SFR ($\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}}$, gold, and $\text{SFR}_{\text{H}\alpha}$, red), with the trend expected from Equation 3 (blue line); The shaded regions show the 1σ confidence interval of the best-fit relations.




Figure 4 (continued). Diagnostic plots for JO60 (left) and JW100 (right).




Figure 4 (continued). Diagnostic plots for JO49 (left) and JO206 (right).

puted in spaxels with a BPT classification comes from those classified as star-forming and composite, and we ultimately use only the star-forming cells for this analysis.

For every galaxy, the $\text{SFR}_{\text{H}\alpha}$ points are located above the expected $L_{\text{Rad}}-\text{SFR}$ ratio, which is in agreement with their integrated radio luminosity excess (Figure 3). However, the $\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}}$, which are generally higher than the $\text{SFR}_{\text{H}\alpha}$, are in better agreement with the expected




Figure 5. Slopes k of the $I_{\text{Rad}}-I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ relations for each galaxy (Figure 4). The vertical lines indicate the mean value of each distribution and the $k = 1$ level (dashed grey line).

ratio. This is observed both in the disks and the tails, which entails that this result does not depend on the threshold adopted to select the star forming cells. Increasing the threshold would only exclude those cells with less star-forming spaxels, which are usually located in the tails (Poggianti et al. 2019b). We also observe that the cells adjacent to the AGN show radio-to-SFR ratios that are in line with the rest of the galaxy, further suggesting that the contamination from the AGN radio emission is limited (see Section 3.1). This result is going to be further discussed in Section 4.2.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Implications of the $I_{\text{Rad}}-I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ spatial correlation

The jellyfish galaxies in the GASP-LOFAR sample show sub-linear $I_{\text{Rad}}-I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ spatial correlations, which are at odds with the linear, global one expected based on the standard SFR-calibrators (Equation 4). Moreover, all the points are located above this relation, which indicates that the radio-to- $\text{H}\alpha$ excess is ubiquitous in these galaxies. These results suggest that the nonther-

mal radio emission does not depend solely on the local, ongoing star formation. The galaxies with the most extended extraplanar emission (JW39, JO60, JW100 and JO206) show different trends in the total’s and disk’s slope, with the slopes measured in their disks being systematically steeper than those derived by using the whole galaxy (Figure 5). Moreover, the more distant, faintest regions have, on average, a constant I_{Rad} and higher ratios of radio-to- $\text{H}\alpha$ emission with respect to Equation 4 than those in the disk.

The existence of sub-linear spatial correlations between the resolved nonthermal radio emission and the ISM star-formation tracers in spiral galaxies has been previously reported in literature (e.g., Hoernes et al. 1998; Berkhuijsen et al. 2013; Heesen et al. 2019). In general, the correlation slope can depend on the observed radio frequency, where the lower the frequency, the flatter the correlation, or on the radio spectral index, where the steeper the spectral index, the flatter the slope (Heesen et al. 2019). Those pieces of evidence suggest that CRe diffusion plays a role in defining these spatial correlations and, more specifically, that the flattening of the slope is a consequence of the CRe transport away from the star forming regions. This would entail that old CRe, which can be traced by low-frequency observations, should be the main responsible for the spatial correlations flattening because they have been transported over large scales during their radiative time. In this framework, the sub-linear spatial correlations observed in the disks of GASP-LOFAR sample (Figure 5) could be explained by a combination of radio emission dominated by the old, steep-spectrum CRe, which is consistent with the steep-spectrum nonthermal radio emission observed in JO206 and JW100 (Müller et al. 2021; Ignesti et al. 2022), and an efficient CRe transport.

For JW39 and JW100 the disks’ slopes are consistent with the linearity, that is the trend expected from Equation 4. This may suggest that the smoothing scale (~ 10 kpc) is similar to the CRe transport scale, and, hence, the slopes resembles the standard SFR-calibrators. Therefore, the spatial correlation slopes observed in the disks of JW39 and JW100 are consistent with the idea that the CRe transport scale in these galaxies is larger than observed in spiral galaxies’ disks ($\sim 1 - 5$ kpc). We investigate this result by comparing the spatial scales, L , of the two principal CRe transport processes in these systems, that are diffusion and advection. In the case of CRe diffusion, the spatial scale is:

$$L = \sqrt{4D\tau} \simeq 1.1 \times \sqrt{\frac{D}{10^{28} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}} \frac{\tau}{10 \text{ Myr}}} \text{ kpc}, \quad (5)$$

where τ is a time scale, and D is the diffusion coefficient, which depends on the CRe energy and the local magnetic field power spectrum, and can vary between 10^{27} and $10^{29} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Strong et al. 2007). For the CRe advection, the typical spatial scales is:

$$L = V \cdot \tau \simeq \frac{V}{100 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \frac{\tau}{10 \text{ Myr}} \text{ kpc}, \quad (6)$$

where V is the CRe velocity. Equation 5 shows that to reach $L = 10 \text{ kpc}$, for a typical $D = 1 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, the time scale is of the order of $\sim 900 \text{ Myr}$, which is longer than the typical CRe radiative time in galactic disks. Covering these spatial scales in $\leq 10^8 \text{ yr}$ requires a diffusion coefficient $D \geq 9 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is slightly higher than observed in spiral galaxies (e.g., Strong et al. 2007; Tabatabaei et al. 2013; Heesen et al. 2019). On the other hand, these scales would be consistent with the CRe advection (Equation 6), as a typical velocity of 100 km s^{-1} would be able to cover 10 kpc in $\sim 10 \text{ Myr}$, which is more reasonable for low-energy electrons emitting at 144 MHz . Therefore, our results might hint that CRe transport in the disks of these jellyfish galaxies, JW39 and JW100, is either dominated by advection, due to the ram pressure which is stripping the nonthermal ISM (see Section 3.1), or that the CRe diffusion might be more efficient than in normal galaxies. The diffusion coefficient D depends on the local magnetic field configuration and turbulence spectrum (Strong et al. 2007), thus it may be possible that the RPS, by affecting the ISM microphysics, may induce higher values of D and, hence, a more efficient CRe diffusion (Equation 5) than the one observed in normal spiral galaxies. However, we note that, for the rest of the sample, the spatial correlations in their stellar disks are not consistent with the linearity (Figure 5). We argue that this might be due to projection effects that mix the disk and the extraplanar emissions, which, on the basis of what we observe for JW39, JO60, JW100, and JO206, follows a flat, almost uniform, distribution. Thus this blend may result in a flattening of the disks' slopes. Another possible explanation could be a discrepancy between the sampling resolution and the transport scale that was not solved by the smoothing.

Concerning the extraplanar emission, observing a sub-linear $I_{\text{Rad}} - I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ relation might shed some light on the physics of the stripped ISM. Previous studies reported that jellyfish galaxies commonly show a steepening of




Figure 6. Integrated radio luminosity at 144 MHz vs. SFR. For each galaxy, the $\text{SFR}_{\text{H}\alpha}$ from Vulcani et al. (2018a) (red points) and $\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}}$ (gold points, see Section 3.3), with the corresponding errors, are connected by the dashed lines. We show also the best-fit $L_{\text{Rad}} - \text{SFR}$ relations from Gurkan et al. (2018) (blue line) and Roberts et al. (2021b) (red line). The shaded regions show the dispersion at 1σ around the corresponding best-fit relations.

the radio spectral index in the extraplanar emission (Vollmer et al. 2004; Müller et al. 2021; Ignesti et al. 2022; Roberts et al. 2022) that indicates that the CRe in these regions were originally accelerated in the disk and subsequently swept in the tail by the ram pressure wind. In the case of the radio tails observed in GASP-LOFAR sample, which show projected lengths of $\sim 40 \text{ kpc}$, Equation 6 indicates that a ram pressure wind speed $V \geq 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ would be required to transport the CRe in $\leq 10^8 \text{ yr}$. For comparison, for magnetic field intensities $B \leq 10 \mu\text{G}$ (Ignesti et al. 2022), and CRe energy losses dominated by synchrotron (i.e., $U_{\text{Rad}}/U_{\text{B}} \simeq 0$), Equation 1 yields $t_{\text{syn}} \geq 9 \times 10^7 \text{ yr}$ for CRe emitting at 144 MHz in the tails. Concerning the wind speed, albeit the total velocity of these galaxies is still unknown, the line-of-sight velocities for JW39, JO60, JW100, and JO206 are $820, 1000, 1950,$ and 632 km s^{-1} , respectively (Gullieuszik et al. 2020). Therefore, the observed radio tails lengths are consistent with a pure advection scenario. Our results provide complementary pieces of evidence for this scenario. Observing that the extraplanar regions locate the farthest from the trend defined by Equation 4 can be naturally explained by the presence of additional electrons coming from the disk that flatten the spatial correlation slope by boosting the local radio emission in the extraplanar regions with the faintest $\text{H}\alpha$ emission.

4.2. The echoes of the past star formation

The GASP-LOFAR galaxies, analogously to cluster galaxies, show an excess of radio emission with respect to the ongoing SFR (Figure 3). As highlighted by the L_{Rad} -SFR plots (Section 3.3.2), this excess does not appear to be localized on the leading edge of the galaxies (as observed in Murphy et al. 2009), but it is ubiquitous over the galaxies. Historically, this radio excess has been explained as the results of ISM compression, or due to the contribution of the CRe re-accelerated by the ICM winds (e.g., Gavazzi et al. 1995; Boselli & Gavazzi 2006; Murphy et al. 2009). In Ignesti et al. (2022) we presented an alternative view based on the multi-frequency analysis of JW100. By comparing the excess of SFR between the radio and $H\alpha$ estimates with the average SFR inferred by the stellar population synthesis, we found the radio excess in its stellar disk to be consistent with a decline of the SFR happening within the time-scale of the radio emission (i.e., 10^7 – 10^8 yr). This scenario was further supported by the fact that the nonthermal emission in both the disk and the tail of JW100 showed evidence of spectral steepening above 1.4 GHz, thus indicating that the radio emission is dominated by old CRe.

In this work we further explore the hypothesis of a connection between the radio luminosity excess and the SFR decline. In the L_{Rad} vs. SFR point-to-point plots (Section 3.3.2) we observe that the $\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}}$, which is generally higher than the $\text{SFR}_{H\alpha}$, is close to the expected ratio (blue line in Figure 4, bottom plots), especially for the central regions. In the extraplanar regions the excess seems to persist, which would be consistent with the idea of a local radio emission boost provided by the CRe advected from the disk by the ram pressure winds. In Figure 6 we present the integrated L_{Rad} and $\text{SFR}_{H\alpha}$ for the GASP sample (red points, see Table 1) and the corresponding integrated $\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}}$ (gold points). For every galaxy $\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}} > \text{SFR}_{H\alpha}$, which indicates that the SFR in these galaxies declined in the last 2×10^8 yr. The extent of the SFR decline varies within the sample, ranging from a factor ~ 10 in JW100 to ~ 2 in JO85.

Overall, we observe that $\text{SFR}_{\text{recent}}$ is in better agreement than $\text{SFR}_{H\alpha}$ with the global, empirical relations (blue and red lines, from Gürkan et al. 2018; Roberts et al. 2021b). Specifically, we measure a decrease of the root-mean-square deviations from 0.5 to 0.4 and from 0.4 to 0.3 for the Gürkan et al. (2018) and Roberts et al. (2021b) relations, respectively, as more galaxies fit within the 1σ scatter (Figure 6). At odds with the other galaxies, the total radio emission of JW100 appears to

be more in agreement with the current SFR rather than the past one, whereas in the stellar disk the radio emission is well explained by the past star formation (Ignesti et al. 2022).

The result shown in Figure 6 supports the idea that the current radio emission is related to the past, higher SFR, (or, in other words, that the radio emission is dominated by old CRe) and thus, that the jellyfish galaxies' radio excess is a consequence of the fast evolution of the star formation activity induced by the RPS in these galaxies. Qualitatively, a past phase of higher SFR would entail a higher CRe injection, thus a higher radio emission. When the SFR declines, the CRe injection should decline correspondingly. But if the decline time-scale is fast ($\sim 10^7$ yr), such as in the case of those galaxies heavily processed by RPS, and shorter than CRe radiative time, its effect on the total radio emission (especially at lower frequencies) goes unseen because the bulk of the radio emission is produced by the old CRe injected previously. On the contrary, tracers which probe the most recent SFR (e.g., $H\alpha$) are affected by the SFR decline (e.g., Cleland & McGee 2021, for a study of the SFR evolution with multiple tracers). Thus the result is that the radio emission appears in excess with respect to the current SFR.

Observations at higher frequency will be able to conclusively test this scenario. Observing signatures of a old, steep-spectrum CRe population (i.e., spectral steepening at frequencies above 1 GHz) would confirm that the radio emission is dominated by the older CRe injected during a past phase of higher SFR. Indeed, evidence of steep-spectrum emission at high frequencies have already been reported for JW100, which shows an integrated, nonthermal spectral index $\alpha = -0.92 \pm 0.15$ and -2.43 ± 0.37 in the 1.4 – 3.2 and 3.2 – 5.5 GHz bands, respectively, in the disk, and $\alpha < -0.85$ between 1.4 and 3.2 GHz in the tail (Ignesti et al. 2022), and for JO206, which shows $\alpha \simeq -1$ in the disk and $\alpha \simeq -2$ in the tail between 1.4 and 2.7 GHz (Müller et al. 2021). Moreover, by comparing the detection levels of JO206's radio tail in the new LOFAR data and in the maps presented in Müller et al. (2021), we can now roughly constrain the spectral index between 144 MHz and 2.7 GHz to $\alpha = -1.1$, which further indicates that the synchrotron spectrum is curved, i.e. that the CRe population is old. In order to conclusively test this scenario, the study of spectral index in the GASP-LOFAR sample will be the object of future works. This scenario should also imply that the radio excess broadly depends on the observed radio frequency. Observations at higher

frequencies trace CRe with higher energy and shorter radiative times, hence more similar to the other tracers and more sensible to the SFR decline. On the contrary, observations at lower frequencies, such as the ones that are going to be provided by the LOFAR LBA Sky Survey (de Gasperin et al. 2021), should observe an higher radio excess because they trace CRe with the longer radiative times. This scenario can be further explored also by detailed numerical simulations of these galaxies to trace the CRe evolution by taking into account the different transport process and the different energy loss mechanism in a multi-phase ISM.

Our conclusions do not rule out that the other processes proposed previously in literature (such as magnetic field amplification due to ISM compression, or CRe re-acceleration, see Section 1) could further contribute in producing the jellyfish galaxies’ radio luminosity excess. However, we note that the results in Figure 4 challenge the scenario of radio excess due to ISM compression (Boselli & Gavazzi 2006). In the I_{Rad} vs. $I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ plots we observe that the radio excess is not limited to the regions in the compressed zone (i.e., where the contours of the radio emission are more dense) and, on the contrary, in some cases we observe that these regions, which should be the most compressed by RPS, are the closest to the expected radio-H α ratio. This could result from multiple effects in action. It could be that in those regions, due to the increased density of the compressed ISM, the low-energy electrons emitting at 144 MHz are more affected by the ionization captures (e.g., Basu et al. 2015), thus balancing the synchrotron emissivity enhancement due to RPS. It could be that those regions, as a consequence of the ISM compression, are harbouring an intense phase of ongoing star formation that dominates the local radio emission and, therefore, they are less affected by the echoes of the past star formation. Furthermore, we note that, due to the radio images resolution, the sampling cells located on the leading edges of some of these galaxies include both radio-rich and -deficient star-forming regions (Figure 2). This blend may result into a seemingly regular radio-to-SFR ratio.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We presented a study of the extended, nonthermal radio emission at 144 MHz for a sample of six jellyfish galaxies from the GASP sample. The GASP-LOFAR sample shows high radio luminosities ($6 - 27 \times 10^{22}$ W Hz $^{-1}$), and a series of recurring patterns in the radio and H α morphology, such as truncated radio emission with respect to the stellar disk and extraplanar com-

ponents. These results highlight that the nonthermal ISM components, that are CRe and magnetic fields, are heavily influenced by the RPS similarly to the warm, ionized ISM. We observe an excess of radio luminosity in the GASP-LOFAR sample with respect to their current SFR. This is in agreement with the previous studies of jellyfish galaxies, and cluster galaxies in general. We devise a series of diagnostic plots to frame the connection between ISM stripping, star formation history, and radio emission. We found that a sub-linear relations holds between the radio and H α surface brightness ($I_{\text{Rad}} \propto I_{\text{H}\alpha}^k$) with an average slope $k = 0.50$, whereas in the stellar disks we measure $k = 0.77$. The extraplanar regions have, in general, higher relative deviance from the expected radio luminosity-SFR relation than those in the disk. We argue that this is due to the presence of CRe coming from the disk that boost the local radio emission.

We observe that for JW39 and JW100 the $I_{\text{Rad}} - I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ correlation in their stellar disks is consistent with being linear, which would agree with the trend expected from the SFR calibrators. We speculate that it is because the smoothing scale is consistent with the CRe transport scale. In this case, a spatial scale of ~ 10 kpc would entail that the CRe transport in their stellar disks is more efficient than observed in normal spiral galaxies. We speculate that this large spatial scale could be explained either by a CRe transport dominated by advection rather than diffusion, or a diffusion efficiency higher than usual induced by RPS.

From the comparison between the radio luminosity and the recent SFR evolution, both local and integrated, it emerges that the SFR of these galaxies declined in the last 10^8 yr, and that the radio luminosity can be explained better by the past, higher SFR than by the ongoing one traced by the H α emission. The implications are twofold. On the one hand, our work shows that the combined radio-H α studies can constrain the SFR history. On the other hand, the radio luminosity excess could emerge when the timescale of the SFR decline is shorter than the CRe radiative time, leaving a population of old CRe that produces the bulk of the radio emission. The CRe advection by ram pressure outside of the disk would then be the responsible of the extraplanar radio emission. This connection between star formation history and radio luminosity might hint that, in general, the integrated radio luminosity excess of cluster galaxies could be signature of a (fast) SFR decline. This work is among the few studies comparing the resolved properties of H α and low frequency radio

emission, and is surely focused on a peculiar class of objects. However, the intimate connection between the recent, instead of the ongoing, star formation and the radio emission could be a general property of cluster galaxies that have a similar star formation histories. Future studies on larger samples of galaxies, including those not evidently affected by the ram-pressure, will help clarifying the possible caveats in using the low-frequency radio emission as a tracer for the ongoing SFR.

The results presented here call now for follow-up observations at higher frequencies. By combining these new pieces of information with detailed spectral index maps it will be possible to study the CRe lifecycle within these galaxies. Moreover, our findings provide a benchmark for numerical simulations of RPS, or more in general of cloud-crushing scenarios. The fact that, thanks to LOFAR, we are discovering more and more jellyfish galaxies with extraplanar, nonthermal radio emission indicates that large-scale magnetic fields are common in these systems, and that their evolution is intimately connected with the evolution of the stripped gas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Referee for the constructive report that improved the presentation of this work. This work is the fruit of the collaboration between GASP and the LOFAR Survey Key Project team (“MoU: Exploring the low-frequency side of jellyfish galaxies with LOFAR”, PI A. Ignesti). We acknowledge the INAF founding program ‘Ricerca Fondamentale 2022’ (PI A. Ignesti). A.L., B.V., R.P., M.G. acknowledge the Italian PRIN-Miur 2017 (PI A. Cimatti). This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 833824). R.J.vW and A.B. acknowledges support from the VIDI research programme with project number 639.042.729, which is financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). I.D.R. acknowledges support from the ERC Starting Grant Cluster Web 804208. S.L.M. acknowledges support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council through grant number ST/N021702/1. J.F. acknowledges financial support from the UNAM- DGAPA-PAPIIT IN111620 grant,

México. We acknowledge funding from the INAF mainstream funding programme (PI B. Vulcani).

Based on observations collected at the European Organization for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere under ESO programme 196.B-0578. LOFAR (van Haarlem et al. 2013) is the Low Frequency Array designed and constructed by ASTRON. It has observing, data processing, and data storage facilities in several countries, which are owned by various parties (each with their own funding sources), and that are collectively operated by the ILT foundation under a joint scientific policy. The ILT resources have benefited from the following recent major funding sources: CNRS-INSU, Observatoire de Paris and Université d’Orléans, France; BMBF, MIWF-NRW, MPG, Germany; Science Foundation Ireland (SFI), Department of Business, Enterprise and Innovation (DBEI), Ireland; NWO, The Netherlands; The Science and Technology Facilities Council, UK; Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Poland; The Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica (INAF), Italy. This research made use of the Dutch national e-infrastructure with support of the SURF Cooperative (e-infra 180169) and the LOFAR e-infra group. The Jülich LOFAR Long Term Archive and the German LOFAR network are both coordinated and operated by the Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC), and computing resources on the supercomputer JUWELS at JSC were provided by the Gauss Centre for Supercomputing e.V. (grant CHTB00) through the John von Neumann Institute for Computing (NIC). This research made use of the University of Hertfordshire high-performance computing facility and the LOFAR-UK computing facility located at the University of Hertfordshire and supported by STFC [ST/P000096/1], and of the Italian LOFAR IT computing infrastructure supported and operated by INAF, and by the Physics Department of Turin university (under an agreement with Consorzio Interuniversitario per la Fisica Spaziale) at the C3S Supercomputing Centre, Italy. This research made use of Astropy, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013, 2018), and APLpy, an open-source plotting package for Python (Robitaille & Bressert 2012). A.I. thanks the music of Black Sabbath for providing inspiration during the preparation of the draft.

REFERENCES

- Akritas, M. G., & Bershadsky, M. A. 1996, *ApJ*, 470, 706,
doi: [10.1086/177901](https://doi.org/10.1086/177901)
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A33,
doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201322068](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322068)

- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 156, 123, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f)
- Baldwin, J. A., Phillips, M. M., & Terlevich, R. 1981, *PASP*, 93, 5, doi: [10.1086/130766](https://doi.org/10.1086/130766)
- Basu, A., Beck, R., Schmidt, P., & Roy, S. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 3879, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv510](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv510)
- Beck, R., & Krause, M. 2005, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 326, 414, doi: [10.1002/asna.200510366](https://doi.org/10.1002/asna.200510366)
- Bellhouse, C., McGee, S. L., Smith, R., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 500, 1285, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa3298](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3298)
- Berkhuijsen, E. M., Beck, R., & Tabatabaei, F. S. 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 1598, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1400](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1400)
- Berlok, T., & Pfrommer, C. 2019, *MNRAS*, 489, 3368, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz2347](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2347)
- Bertocco, S., Goz, D., Tornatore, L., et al. 2020, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 527, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXIX*, ed. R. Pizzo, E. R. Deul, J. D. Mol, J. de Plaa, & H. Verkouter, 303. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.05340>
- Boselli, A., Fossati, M., & Sun, M. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2109.13614. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.13614>
- Boselli, A., & Gavazzi, G. 2006, *PASP*, 118, 517, doi: [10.1086/500691](https://doi.org/10.1086/500691)
- Briggs, D. S. 1995, in *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*, Vol. 187, *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*, 112.02
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245, doi: [10.1086/167900](https://doi.org/10.1086/167900)
- Carilli, C. L., & Taylor, G. B. 2002, *ARA&A*, 40, 319, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093852](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093852)
- Chabrier, G. 2003, *PASP*, 115, 763, doi: [10.1086/376392](https://doi.org/10.1086/376392)
- Chen, H., Sun, M., Yagi, M., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 496, 4654, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa1868](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1868)
- Cleland, C., & McGee, S. L. 2021, *MNRAS*, 500, 590, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa3267](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3267)
- Condon, J. J. 1992, *ARA&A*, 30, 575, doi: [10.1146/annurev.aa.30.090192.003043](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.30.090192.003043)
- Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., et al. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 1693, doi: [10.1086/300337](https://doi.org/10.1086/300337)
- Cottle, J., Scannapieco, E., Brügggen, M., Banda-Barragán, W., & Federrath, C. 2020, *ApJ*, 892, 59, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab76d1](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab76d1)
- de Gasperin, F., Dijkema, T. J., Drabent, A., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 622, A5, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833867](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833867)
- de Gasperin, F., Williams, W. L., Best, P., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 648, A104, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202140316](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202140316)
- Dursi, L. J., & Pfrommer, C. 2008, *ApJ*, 677, 993, doi: [10.1086/529371](https://doi.org/10.1086/529371)
- Eales, S., Dunne, L., Clements, D., et al. 2010, *PASP*, 122, 499, doi: [10.1086/653086](https://doi.org/10.1086/653086)
- Ebeling, H., Ma, C.-J., & Barrett, E. 2014, *ApJS*, 211, 21, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/211/2/21](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/211/2/21)
- Farber, R. J., Ruszkowski, M., Tonnesen, S., & Holguin, F. 2022, *MNRAS*, 512, 5927, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac794](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac794)
- Fossati, M., Fumagalli, M., Boselli, A., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 455, 2028, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv2400](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv2400)
- Fritz, J., Moretti, A., Gullieuszik, M., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 848, 132, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa8f51](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8f51)
- Fumagalli, M., Fossati, M., Hau, G. K. T., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 4335, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2092](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2092)
- Gavazzi, G. 1978, *A&A*, 69, 355
- Gavazzi, G., & Boselli, A. 1999, *A&A*, 343, 93. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9811105>
- Gavazzi, G., Contursi, A., Carrasco, L., et al. 1995, *A&A*, 304, 325
- Gavazzi, G., & Jaffe, W. 1986, *ApJ*, 310, 53, doi: [10.1086/164664](https://doi.org/10.1086/164664)
- Ge, C., Luo, R., Sun, M., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 505, 4702, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab1569](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1569)
- Gullieuszik, M., Poggianti, B. M., McGee, S. L., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 899, 13, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aba3cb](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aba3cb)
- Gürkan, G., Hardcastle, M. J., Smith, D. J. B., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 3010, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty016](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty016)
- Heesen, V. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2111.15439. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.15439>
- Heesen, V., Dettmar, R.-J., Krause, M., Beck, R., & Stein, Y. 2016, *MNRAS*, 458, 332, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw360](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw360)
- Heesen, V., Buie, E., I., Huff, C. J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 622, A8, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833905](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833905)
- Heesen, V., Staffehl, M., Basu, A., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2204.00635. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2204.00635>
- Hoernes, P., Berkhuijsen, E. M., & Xu, C. 1998, *A&A*, 334, 57
- Ignesti, A. 2022, *NewA*, 92, 101732, doi: [10.1016/j.newast.2021.101732](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.newast.2021.101732)
- Ignesti, A., Shimwell, T., Brunetti, G., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 643, A172, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202039056](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039056)
- Ignesti, A., Vulcani, B., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 924, 64, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac32ce](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac32ce)
- Kennicutt, R. C., & Evans, N. J. 2012, *ARA&A*, 50, 531, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125610](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125610)
- Kennicutt, Jr., R. C. 1998, *ARA&A*, 36, 189, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.36.1.189](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.36.1.189)
- Kozieł-Wierzbowska, D., Vale Asari, N., Stasińska, G., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 42, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa8326](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8326)
- Lacki, B. C. 2013, *MNRAS*, 431, 3003, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt349](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt349)

- Lacki, B. C., & Thompson, T. A. 2010, *ApJ*, 717, 196, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/717/1/196](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/717/1/196)
- Longair, M. S. 2011, *High Energy Astrophysics*
- Luber, N., Müller, A., van Gorkom, J. H., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 927, 39, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac469a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac469a)
- Moretti, A., Paladino, R., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 2020, *ApJ*, 889, 9, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab616a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab616a)
- Müller, A., Poggianti, B. M., Pfrommer, C., et al. 2021, *Nature Astronomy*, 5, 159, doi: [10.1038/s41550-020-01234-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-020-01234-7)
- Murphy, E. J. 2009, *ApJ*, 706, 482, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/706/1/482](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/706/1/482)
- Murphy, E. J., Kenney, J. D. P., Helou, G., Chung, A., & Howell, J. H. 2009, *ApJ*, 694, 1435, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/694/2/1435](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/694/2/1435)
- Niklas, S., Klein, U., & Wielebinski, R. 1995, *A&A*, 293, 56
- Offringa, A. R., McKinley, B., Hurley-Walker, N., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 606, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1368](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1368)
- Pacholczyk, A. G. 1970, *Radio astrophysics. Nonthermal processes in galactic and extragalactic sources*, ed. Pacholczyk, A. G.
- Panessa, F., Baldi, R. D., Laor, A., et al. 2019, *Nature Astronomy*, 3, 387, doi: [10.1038/s41550-019-0765-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0765-4)
- Peluso, G., Vulcani, B., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 927, 130, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac4225](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac4225)
- Pfrommer, C., & Dursi, L. J. 2010, *Nature Physics*, 6, 520, doi: [10.1038/nphys1657](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1657)
- Poggianti, B. M., Moretti, A., Gullieuszik, M., et al. 2017a, *ApJ*, 844, 48, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa78ed](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa78ed)
- Poggianti, B. M., Jaffé, Y. L., Moretti, A., et al. 2017b, *Nature*, 548, 304, doi: [10.1038/nature23462](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23462)
- Poggianti, B. M., Ignesti, A., Gitti, M., et al. 2019a, *ApJ*, 887, 155, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab5224](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5224)
- Poggianti, B. M., Gullieuszik, M., Tonnesen, S., et al. 2019b, *MNRAS*, 482, 4466, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2999](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2999)
- Radovich, M., Poggianti, B., Jaffé, Y. L., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 486, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz809](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz809)
- Ramos-Martínez, M., Gómez, G. C., & Pérez-Villegas, Á. 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 3781, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty393](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty393)
- Roberts, I. D., van Weeren, R. J., McGee, S. L., et al. 2021a, *A&A*, 652, A153, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202141118](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141118)
- Roberts, I. D., van Weeren, R. J., Timmerman, R., et al. 2022, *A&A*, 658, A44, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142294](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142294)
- Roberts, I. D., van Weeren, R. J., McGee, S. L., et al. 2021b, *A&A*, 650, A111, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202140784](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202140784)
- Robitaille, T., & Bressert, E. 2012, *APLpy: Astronomical Plotting Library in Python*. <http://ascl.net/1208.017>
- Ruszkowski, M., Brüggem, M., Lee, D., & Shin, M. S. 2014, *ApJ*, 784, 75, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/784/1/75](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/784/1/75)
- Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/737/2/103](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/737/2/103)
- Shimwell, T. W., Röttgering, H. J. A., Best, P. N., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 598, A104, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629313](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629313)
- Shimwell, T. W., Tasse, C., Hardcastle, M. J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 622, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833559](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833559)
- Shimwell, T. W., Hardcastle, M. J., Tasse, C., et al. 2022, *A&A*, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142484](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142484)
- Smirnov, O. M., & Tasse, C. 2015, *MNRAS*, 449, 2668, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv418](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv418)
- Smith, R. J., Lucey, J. R., Hammer, D., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, 408, 1417, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17253.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17253.x)
- Sparre, M., Pfrommer, C., & Ehlert, K. 2020, *MNRAS*, 499, 4261, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa3177](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3177)
- Strong, A. W., Moskalenko, I. V., & Ptuskin, V. S. 2007, *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science*, 57, 285, doi: [10.1146/annurev.nucl.57.090506.123011](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.57.090506.123011)
- Tabatabaei, F. S., Martinsson, T. P. K., Knapen, J. H., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, 818, L10, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/818/1/L10](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/818/1/L10)
- Tabatabaei, F. S., Schinnerer, E., Murphy, E. J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 552, A19, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220249](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220249)
- Tabatabaei, F. S., Schinnerer, E., Krause, M., et al. 2017, *ApJ*, 836, 185, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/185](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/2/185)
- Taffoni, G., Becciani, U., Garilli, B., et al. 2020, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 527, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXIX*, ed. R. Pizzo, E. R. Deul, J. D. Mol, J. de Plaa, & H. Verkouter, 307. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.01283>
- Tasse, C. 2014, *A&A*, 566, A127, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201423503](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201423503)
- Tasse, C., Hugo, B., Mirmont, M., et al. 2018, *A&A*, 611, A87, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731474](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731474)
- Tasse, C., Shimwell, T., Hardcastle, M. J., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 648, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202038804](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038804)
- Tonnesen, S., & Bryan, G. L. 2021, *ApJ*, 911, 68, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abe7e2](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abe7e2)
- Tonnesen, S., & Stone, J. 2014, *ApJ*, 795, 148, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/795/2/148](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/795/2/148)
- van Haarlem, M. P., Wise, M. W., Gunst, A. W., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 556, A2, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201220873](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220873)
- van Weeren, R. J., Williams, W. L., Hardcastle, M. J., et al. 2016, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 223, 2, doi: [10.3847/0067-0049/223/1/2](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/223/1/2)

- van Weeren, R. J., Shimwell, T. W., Botteon, A., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 651, A115, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202039826](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039826)
- Vollmer, B., Beck, R., Kenney, J. D. P., & van Gorkom, J. H. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 3375, doi: [10.1086/420802](https://doi.org/10.1086/420802)
- Vollmer, B., Soida, M., Beck, R., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 553, A116, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201321163](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321163)
- Vollmer, B., Soida, M., Chung, A., et al. 2010, *A&A*, 512, A36, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200913591](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913591)
- Vulcani, B., Poggianti, B. M., Gullieuszik, M., et al. 2018a, *ApJL*, 866, L25, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aae68b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aae68b)
- . 2018b, *ApJL*, 866, L25, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aae68b](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aae68b)
- White, S. V., Jarvis, M. J., Kalfountzou, E., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 468, 217, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx284](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx284)
- Williams, W. L., van Weeren, R. J., Röttgering, H. J. A., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, 460, 2385, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw1056](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1056)

APPENDIX

A. MONTE CARLO POINT-TO-POINT ANALYSIS

We tested the reliability of the grids adopted in the point-to-point analysis (Figure 4) by performing a Monte Carlo point-to-point analysis (MCptp, for further details see Ignesti 2022). For each galaxy, we replied 100 different sampling of the radio emission with randomly-generated grids (each grids with the same cell size and thresholds of the ones shown in Figure 4) and measured the slope k of the corresponding $I_{\text{Rad}} - I_{\text{H}\alpha}$ correlation. Then, by bootstrapping a value of k from the best-fit of each cycle, we composed a distribution of 100 slopes for each galaxy. In Figure 7 we report the final distributions and the mean and standard deviation of the slope k for each galaxy. The values reported in Figure 4 fall within these distributions. This supports that the results presented in Section 3 are not biased by the choice of the grids.




Figure 7. Results of MCptp with 100 iterations for each galaxy of the GASP-LOFAR sample. For each galaxy, the mean and the standard deviation of the distribution is reported in the respective label.