

Nonlinear maps preserving sums of triple products on $*$ -algebras

João Carlos da Motta Ferreira
and
Maria das Graças Bruno Marietto

Center for Mathematics, Computing and Cognition,
Federal University of ABC,
Avenida dos Estados, 5001,
09210-580, Santo André, Brazil.

e-mail: joao.cmferreira@ufabc.edu.br, graca.marietto@ufabc.edu.br

Resumo

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two unital complex $*$ -algebras such that \mathcal{A} has a non-trivial projection. In this paper, we study the structure of bijective nonlinear maps $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserving sum of triple products $\alpha_1 abc + \alpha_2 a^* cb^* + \alpha_3 ba^* c + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 bca + \alpha_6 cb^* a^*$, where the scalars $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ are complex numbers satisfying some conditions.

2010 MSC: 46L10, 47B48

Keywords: Preserving problems, prime algebras, $*$ -algebras, $*$ -ring isomorphisms

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two complex $*$ -algebras and $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ arbitrary complex numbers. We say that a nonlinear map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ *preserves sum of triple products* $\alpha_1 abc + \alpha_2 a^* cb^* + \alpha_3 ba^* c + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 bca + \alpha_6 cb^* a^*$ if

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 abc + \alpha_2 a^* cb^* + \alpha_3 ba^* c + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 bca + \alpha_6 cb^* a^*) \\ &= \alpha_1 \Phi(a)\Phi(b)\Phi(c) + \alpha_2 \Phi(a)^* \Phi(c)\Phi(b)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(b)\Phi(a)^* \Phi(c) \\ &+ \alpha_4 \Phi(c)\Phi(a)\Phi(b)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(b)\Phi(c)\Phi(a) + \alpha_6 \Phi(c)\Phi(b)^* \Phi(a)^*, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$.

These kinds of maps are related to nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan (resp. Lie, mixed) triple $*$ -product which have been studied by many authors (for example, see the works [1], [2], [3], [4], [5] and the references therein). In particular, Li, Chen and Wang [1] and Zhao and Li [5] studied the structure of the bijective nonlinear maps preserving Jordan triple $*$ -products on factor von Neumann algebras. Note that these maps satisfy (1), for convenient scalars α_k ($k =$

1, 2, \dots , 6). Motivated by these results, in this paper we will study the structure of bijective nonlinear maps Φ , from a unital prime $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} having a nontrivial projection to a unital $*$ -algebra \mathcal{B} , preserving sum of triple products $\alpha_1 abc + \alpha_2 a^* cb^* + \alpha_3 ba^* c + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 bca + \alpha_6 cb^* a^*$, where $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ are complex numbers satisfying certain conditions. At the end of this paper, we make a contribution to the problem of structure characterization of the nonlinear maps preserving triple $*$ -products, on unital $*$ -algebras, as originated from the works [1] and [5].

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ be complex numbers satisfying the condition $|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5| - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6| \neq 0$, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} two unital complex $*$ -algebras with $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ their multiplicative identities, respectively, and such that \mathcal{A} is prime and has a nontrivial projection. Then every bijective nonlinear map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserving sum of triple products $\alpha_1 abc + \alpha_2 a^* cb^* + \alpha_3 ba^* c + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 bca + \alpha_6 cb^* a^*$ is additive. In addition, if (i) $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} and (ii) $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6 \neq 0$ and $\Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)a) = (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism.*

2 The proof of main result

In order to prove the Theorem 1.1 we need to prove several Claims. We begin with a Claim, whose proof is easy and is omitted.

Claim 2.1. $\Phi(0) = 0$.

The following well known result will be used throughout this paper: Let p_1 be any nontrivial projection of \mathcal{A} and write $p_2 = 1_{\mathcal{A}} - p_1$. Then \mathcal{A} has a Peirce decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{12} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{21} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{22}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = p_i \mathcal{A} p_j$ ($i, j = 1, 2$), satisfying the following multiplicative relations: $\mathcal{A}_{ij} \mathcal{A}_{kl} \subseteq \delta_{jk} \mathcal{A}_{il}$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta function.

Claim 2.2. *For every $a_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$, $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ and $c_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) we have: (i) $\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$ and (ii) $\Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(c_{ji})$.*

Demonstração. Let $u = u_{ii} + u_{ij} + u_{ji} + u_{jj} = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) - \Phi(a_{ii}) - \Phi(b_{ij})) \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$). According to the definition of Φ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\ &= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u) \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \\ &+ \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(u) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(u)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(b_{ij})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(b_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij})p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* + \alpha_3(a_{ii} + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* + \alpha_5(a_{ii} + b_{ij})p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ii} p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j a_{ii}^* + \alpha_3 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ii}^* + \alpha_5 a_{ii} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&+ \alpha_6 p_j a_{ii}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) - \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} b_{ij} p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j b_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} b_{ij}^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 b_{ij} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j b_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since Φ is injective we deduce that $\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* = 0$. It follows that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)u_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)u_{ij}^* + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)u_{jj} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)u_{jj}^* = 0$ (2). Next, applying the involution $*$ to the identity (2) we get $(\overline{\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6})u_{ij} + (\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})u_{ij}^* + (\overline{\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6})u_{jj} + (\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})u_{jj}^* = 0$ (3). Also, multiplying (2) by the scalar $(\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})$, (3) by the scalar $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)$ and subtracting the resulting identities, we arrive at $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2)(u_{ij} + u_{jj}) = 0$. This shows that $u_{ij} + u_{jj} = 0$ which results that $u_{ij} = 0$ and $u_{jj} = 0$, by directness of the Peirce decomposition. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u) \Phi(p_i) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(u) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(u)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) + \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(p_i) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(b_{ij})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(b_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij})p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* + \alpha_3(a_{ii} + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* + \alpha_5(a_{ii} + b_{ij})p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i(a_{ii} + b_{ij})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ii} p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i a_{ii}^* + \alpha_3 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ii}^* + \alpha_5 a_{ii} p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& + \alpha_6 p_i a_{ii}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} b_{ij} p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j b_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} b_{ij}^* \\
& + \alpha_5 b_{ij} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j b_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

which leads directly to identity $\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$. This implies that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)u_{ii} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)u_{ii}^* + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)u_{ji} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)u_{ji}^* = 0$ (4). Next, applying the involution $*$ to the identity (4) we get $(\overline{\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6})u_{ii} + (\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})u_{ii}^* + (\overline{\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6})u_{ji} + (\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})u_{ji}^* = 0$ (5). Also, multiplying (4) by the scalar $(\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})$, (5) by the scalar $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)$ and subtracting the resulting identities, we obtain $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2)(u_{ii} + u_{ji}) = 0$. This results that $u_{ii} + u_{ji} = 0$ which shows that $u_{ii} = 0$ and $u_{ji} = 0$. As a consequence, we conclude that $u = 0$. Therefore the Claim is proved.

The proof of (ii) follows from similar arguments. \square

Claim 2.3. For every $a_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$, $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, $c_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ and $d_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) we have: $\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji}) + \Phi(d_{jj})$.

Demonstração. Let $u = u_{ii} + u_{ij} + u_{ji} + u_{jj} = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) - \Phi(a_{ii}) - \Phi(b_{ij}) - \Phi(c_{ji}) - \Phi(d_{jj})) = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) - \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) - \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj})) \in \mathcal{A}$, by Claim 2.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
& = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u) \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \\
& + \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(u) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(u)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_j) \\
& + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
& + \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \\
& + \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
& + \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
& + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& - \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* \\
& - \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* \\
& - \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& - \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* \\
& - \alpha_3 \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* \\
& - \alpha_5 \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + c_{ji})p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* + \alpha_3(a_{ii} + c_{ji})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* + \alpha_5(a_{ii} + c_{ji})p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(b_{ij} + d_{jj})p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* + \alpha_3(b_{ij} + d_{jj})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* + \alpha_5(b_{ij} + d_{jj})p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* = 0$. It follows that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(u_{ij} + u_{jj}) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(u_{ij} + u_{jj})^* = 0$ (6). Also, by application the involution $*$ on (6) we obtain the identity $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(u_{ij} + u_{jj}) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(u_{ij} + u_{jj})^* = 0$ (7). Thus, multiplying (6) by the scalar $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)$, (7) by the scalar $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)$ and subtracting the resulting identities, we arrive at $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2)(u_{ij} + u_{jj}) = 0$ which leads to $u_{ij} = 0$ and $u_{jj} = 0$. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u) \Phi(p_i) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(u) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(u)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_i) \\
&+ \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
&+ \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) \Phi(p_i) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* \\
&- \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) - \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* \\
&- \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_i) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* \\
&- \alpha_3 \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) - \alpha_4 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* \\
&- \alpha_5 \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj}) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + c_{ji})p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* + \alpha_3(a_{ii} + c_{ji})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(b_{ij} + d_{jj})p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* + \alpha_3(b_{ij} + d_{jj})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* + \alpha_5(b_{ij} + d_{jj})p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* + \alpha_5(a_{ii} + c_{ji})p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i(a_{ii} + c_{ji})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\
& - \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(b_{ij} + d_{jj})p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* + \alpha_3(b_{ij} + d_{jj})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i \\
& + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* + \alpha_5(b_{ij} + d_{jj})p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i(b_{ij} + d_{jj})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
& = 0
\end{aligned}$$

from which we immediately deduce the identity $\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_i + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_4 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_i u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* = 0$. This results in the identity $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(u_{ii} + u_{ji}) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(u_{ii} + u_{ji})^* = 0$ (8). Also, we get $(\overline{\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6})(u_{ii} + u_{ji}) + (\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})(u_{ii} + u_{ji})^* = 0$ (9), by the application of the involution $*$ on (8). As a consequence, multiplying (8) by the scalar $(\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})$, (9) by the scalar $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)$ and subtracting the resulting identities, we arrive at $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2)(u_{ii} + u_{ji}) = 0$ which shows that $u_{ii} + u_{ji} = 0$. Consequently, we obtain $u_{ii} = 0$ and $u_{ji} = 0$. \square

Claim 2.4. For every $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) we have: $\Phi(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = \Phi(a_{ij}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$.

Demonstraço. First, note that the following identity holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(a_{ij}^* + b_{ij}a_{ij}^*) \\
& = \alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij})^* \\
& + \alpha_3(p_i + a_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_4(p_j + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i + a_{ij})^* \\
& + \alpha_5(p_j + a_{ij})(p_i + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*,
\end{aligned}$$

for all elements $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. Hence, by Claim 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(a_{ij} + b_{ij})) + \Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(a_{ij}^* + b_{ij}a_{ij}^*)) \\
& = \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(a_{ij}^* + b_{ij}a_{ij}^*)) \\
& = \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij})^* \\
& + \alpha_3(p_i + a_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_4(p_j + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i + a_{ij})^* \\
& + \alpha_5(p_j + a_{ij})(p_i + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
& = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(p_i + a_{ij})\Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(p_j + b_{ij})\Phi(p_i + a_{ij})^* \\
& + \alpha_3 \Phi(p_i + a_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j + b_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(p_i + a_{ij})^* \\
& + \alpha_5 \Phi(p_i + a_{ij})\Phi(p_j + b_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j + b_{ij})\Phi(p_i + a_{ij})^*\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})(\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij}))(\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) \\
& + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*(\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij}))(\Phi(p_i)^* + \Phi(a_{ij})^*) \\
& + \alpha_3 (\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij}))\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*(\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) \\
& + \alpha_4 (\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij}))\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})(\Phi(p_i)^* + \Phi(a_{ij})^*)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha_5(\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij}))(\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij}))\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
& + \alpha_6(\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij}))(\Phi(p_i)^* + \Phi(a_{ij})^*)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& = \alpha_1\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(p_i)\Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(p_j)\Phi(p_i)^* + \alpha_3\Phi(p_i)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(p_j) \\
& + \alpha_4\Phi(p_j)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(p_i)^* + \alpha_5\Phi(p_i)\Phi(p_j)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6\Phi(p_j)\Phi(p_i)^*\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& + \alpha_1\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(a_{ij})\Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(p_j)\Phi(a_{ij})^* + \alpha_3\Phi(a_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(p_j) \\
& + \alpha_4\Phi(p_j)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(a_{ij})^* + \alpha_5\Phi(a_{ij})\Phi(p_j)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6\Phi(p_j)\Phi(a_{ij})^*\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& + \alpha_1\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(p_i)\Phi(b_{ij}) + \alpha_2\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(p_i)^* + \alpha_3\Phi(p_i)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(b_{ij}) \\
& + \alpha_4\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(p_i)^* + \alpha_5\Phi(p_i)\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(p_i)^*\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& + \alpha_1\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(a_{ij})\Phi(b_{ij}) + \alpha_2\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(a_{ij})^* + \alpha_3\Phi(a_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*\Phi(b_{ij}) \\
& + \alpha_4\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(a_{ij})^* + \alpha_5\Phi(a_{ij})\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6\Phi(b_{ij})\Phi(a_{ij})^*\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& = \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} p_i p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j p_i^* + \alpha_3 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} p_i^* + \alpha_5 p_i p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& + \alpha_6 p_j p_i^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) + \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ij} p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j a_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 a_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ij}^* \\
& + \alpha_5 a_{ij} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j a_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) + \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} p_i b_{ij} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} p_i^* + \alpha_3 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} \\
& + \alpha_4 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}} p_i^* + \alpha_5 p_i b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 b_{ij} p_i^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) + \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ij} b_{ij} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} a_{ij}^* \\
& + \alpha_3 a_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} + \alpha_4 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ij}^* + \alpha_5 a_{ij} b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 b_{ij} a_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
& = \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)a_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)a_{ij}^*) + \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)b_{ij}) \\
& + \Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)b_{ij}^*).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence we get $\Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(a_{ij} + b_{ij})) = \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)a_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)b_{ij})$. Next, note that the following identity holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)(b_{ij}^* + b_{ij}^* a_{ij}) \\
& = \alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(p_j + b_{ij}^*)(p_i + a_{ij}) + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij}^*)^* \\
& + \alpha_3(p_j + b_{ij}^*) 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_i + a_{ij}) + \alpha_4(p_i + a_{ij}) 1_{\mathcal{A}}(p_j + b_{ij}^*)^* \\
& + \alpha_5(p_i + b_{ij}^*)(p_j + a_{ij}) 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij}^*)^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*,
\end{aligned}$$

for all elements $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. Using a similar process to the first case above, we get $\Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)(a_{ij} + b_{ij})) = \Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)a_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)b_{ij})$. The two last obtained results lead to the conclusion that $\Phi(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = \Phi(a_{ij}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$. \square

Claim 2.5. For every $a_{ii}, b_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have: $\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ii})$.

Demonstração. Let $u = u_{ii} + u_{ij} + u_{ji} + u_{jj} = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) - \Phi(a_{ii}) - \Phi(b_{ii})) \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$). Then

$$\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u) \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(u)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(u) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(u)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii})^* \\
&- \alpha_3 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a_{ii})^* \\
&- \alpha_5 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ii})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(b_{ii})^* \\
&- \alpha_3 \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) - \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b_{ii})^* \\
&- \alpha_5 \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(b_{ii})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ii})p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j(a_{ii} + b_{ii})^* + \alpha_3(a_{ii} + b_{ii})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ii} + b_{ii})^* + \alpha_5(a_{ii} + b_{ii})p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j(a_{ii} + b_{ii})^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ii} p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j a_{ii}^* + \alpha_3 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} a_{ii}^* + \alpha_5 a_{ii} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&+ \alpha_6 p_j a_{ii}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) - \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} b_{ii} p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j b_{ii}^* + \alpha_3 b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} b_{ii}^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 b_{ii} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j b_{ii}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

which leads directly to the identity $\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} u p_j + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j u^* + \alpha_3 u 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_4 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} u^* + \alpha_5 u p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 p_j u^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* = 0$. It therefore follows that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)u_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)u_{ij}^* + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)u_{jj} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)u_{jj}^* = 0$ (10) and hence the identity $(\overline{\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6})u_{ij} + (\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})u_{ij}^* + (\overline{\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6})u_{jj} + (\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5})u_{jj}^* = 0$ (11). From (10) and (11), we get $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2)(u_{ij} + u_{jj}) = 0$ which implies that $u_{ij} + u_{jj} = 0$. This results that $u_{ij} = 0$ and $u_{jj} = 0$. Next, for all element $t_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij} u + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u t_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u + \alpha_4 u 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ij} u 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 u t_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(u) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(u) \Phi(t_{ij})^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(u) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(u) \Phi(t_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(a_{ii}) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii}) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(b_{ii}) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ii}) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ij}) \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ij})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij} (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) t_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \\
&+ \alpha_4 (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ij} (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) t_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij} a_{ii} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} t_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} + \alpha_4 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ij} a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&+ \alpha_6 a_{ii} t_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) - \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij} b_{ii} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii} t_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii} + \alpha_4 b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij}^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 t_{ij} b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 b_{ii} t_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately from this that $\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij} u + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u t_{ij}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u + \alpha_4 u 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ij}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ij} u 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 u t_{ij}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* = 0$. From this identity, we can deduce that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) t_{ij} u_{ji} = 0$ which leads to $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) u_{ji} = 0$ (12), because of the primeness of \mathcal{A} . Also, for all element $t_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji} u + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u t_{ji}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u + \alpha_4 u 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ji} u 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 u t_{ji}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(u) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(u) \Phi(t_{ji})^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(u) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(u) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(u) \Phi(t_{ji})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(a_{ii}) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ii}) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(a_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&- \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(b_{ii}) - \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* - \alpha_3 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ii}) \\
&- \alpha_4 \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* - \alpha_5 \Phi(t_{ji}) \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) - \alpha_6 \Phi(b_{ii}) \Phi(t_{ji})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji} (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) t_{ji}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) \\
&+ \alpha_4 (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ji} (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) t_{ji}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&- \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji} a_{ii} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} t_{ji}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} + \alpha_4 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ji} a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&+ \alpha_6 a_{ii} t_{ji}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) - \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji} b_{ii} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii} t_{ji}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii} + \alpha_4 b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji}^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 t_{ji} b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 b_{ii} t_{ji}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

which results in the identity $\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji} u + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u t_{ji}^* + \alpha_3 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* u + \alpha_4 u 1_{\mathcal{A}} t_{ji}^* + \alpha_5 t_{ji} u 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 u t_{ji}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* = 0$. This shows that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) t_{ji} u_{ii} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) u_{ii} t_{ji}^* + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) u_{ji} t_{ji}^* = 0$ which allows to obtain the identities $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) u_{ji} t_{ji}^* = 0$, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) t_{ji} u_{ii} = 0$ and $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) u_{ii} t_{ji}^* = 0$. As a consequence we get the identities $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) u_{ji} = 0$ (13), $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) u_{ii} = 0$ (14) and $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) u_{ii} = 0$ (15), respectively. It follows from (12) and (13) that $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2) u_{ji} = 0$ and from (14) and (15) that $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2) u_{ii} = 0$. Therefore, we must have $u_{ji} = 0$ and $u_{ii} = 0$. \square

Claim 2.6. Φ is an additive map.

Demonstração. The result is a direct consequence of Claims 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5. \square

In what follows, we prove the second part of the Theorem 1.1. In the remainder of this paper, all Claims satisfy the conditions (i)-(ii).

Claim 2.7. (i) $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ and (ii) $\Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)c) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)\Phi(c)$, for all element $c \in \mathcal{A}$.

Demonstração. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)1_{\mathcal{A}}) &= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_4 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\ &+ \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\ &+ \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &+ \alpha_6 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, choose an element $c \in \mathcal{A}$, such that $\phi(c) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)c) &= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} c + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c + \alpha_4 c 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\ &+ \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}} c 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 c 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(c) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\ &+ \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c) + \alpha_4 \Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &+ \alpha_6 \Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^2 = \Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)1_{\mathcal{A}}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $c = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. As a consequence of this last result, for an arbitrary element $c \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)c) &= \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} c + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c + \alpha_4 c 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\ &+ \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}} c 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 c 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(c) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\ &+ \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c) + \alpha_4 \Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &+ \alpha_6 \Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)\Phi(c). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Claim 2.8. (i) $\Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)a) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and (ii) $\Phi(b)^* = \Phi(b)^*$, for all element $b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Demonstração. It is clear that $\Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)a) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, because of hypothesis (ii), of the Theorem 1.1, and Claim 2.7(ii). Thus, for an arbitrary element $b \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} b 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* + \alpha_3 b 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_4 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* + \alpha_5 b 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(b) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(b) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*
\end{aligned}$$

that leads to the identity $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(b) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(b^*) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(b) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(b)^*$. Consequently, we get $\Phi(b^*) = \Phi(b)^*$. \square

Claim 2.9. Φ is a multiplicative map.

Demonstração. For arbitrary elements $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$, replace a by $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the identity (1). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} bc + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* cb^* + \alpha_3 b 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c + \alpha_4 c 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* + \alpha_5 bc 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 cb^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*) \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b) \Phi(c) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c) \Phi(b)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(b) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(c) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(b) \Phi(c) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(c) \Phi(b)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^*
\end{aligned}$$

This results in the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(bc) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(cb^*) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(b) \Phi(c) \\
&+ (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(c) \Phi(b)^*. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

By applying involution to the identity (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(c^* b^*)} + \overline{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(bc^*)} = \overline{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(c)^* \Phi(b)^*} \\
&+ \overline{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(b) \Phi(c)^*} \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

and, replacing in (17) c^* by c , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(bc)} + \overline{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(cb^*)} = \overline{(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6) \Phi(b) \Phi(c)} \\
&+ \overline{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \Phi(c) \Phi(b)^*}. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (16) by the scalar $\overline{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5}$, (18) by the scalar $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6$ and subtracting the resulting identities, we arrive at $(|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2) \Phi(bc) = (|\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5|^2 - |\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6|^2) \Phi(b) \Phi(c)$ which results in $\Phi(bc) = \Phi(b) \Phi(c)$. This shows that Φ is multiplicative. \square

Therefore, by Claims 2.6, 2.8(ii) and 2.9 we conclude that Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism.

The proof of the Theorem 1.1 is complete.

From Theorem 1.1 we can deduce the following result. However, we first present the necessary definitions and notations.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two complex $*$ -algebras and η a nonzero complex number. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ (resp., $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$) denote by $a \blacklozenge_{\eta} b = ab + \eta ba^*$, the Jordan η - $*$ -product. We

say that a nonlinear map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserves Jordan triple $*$ -product $a \blacklozenge_{\eta} b \blacklozenge_{\nu} c$, where $a \blacklozenge_{\eta} b \blacklozenge_{\nu} c = (a \blacklozenge_{\eta} b) \blacklozenge_{\nu} c$, if $\Phi(a \blacklozenge_{\eta} b \blacklozenge_{\nu} c) = \Phi(a) \blacklozenge_{\eta} \Phi(b) \blacklozenge_{\nu} \Phi(c)$, for all elements $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$.

From the above definition, we can easily verify that nonlinear maps preserving Jordan triple $*$ -products, as defined in [1] and [5], are nonlinear maps preserving Jordan triple $*$ -products $a \blacklozenge_1 b \blacklozenge_1 c$ and $a \blacklozenge_{-1} b \blacklozenge_{-1} c$, respectively, and nonlinear maps preserving Jordan triple $*$ -product $a \blacklozenge_{\eta} b \blacklozenge_{\nu} c$ are nonlinear maps that preserve sum of triple products $1abc + 0a^*cb^* + \eta ba^*c + \nu \bar{\eta} cab^* + 0bca + \nu cb^*a^*$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two unital complex $*$ -algebras with $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ their multiplicative identities, respectively, and such that \mathcal{A} is prime and has a nontrivial projection. Then every bijective nonlinear map $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserving triple $*$ -product $a \blacklozenge_{\eta} b \blacklozenge_{\nu} c$, where η, ν are nonzero complex numbers satisfying the conditions $\eta \neq -1$ and $|\nu| \neq 1$, is additive. In addition, if (i) $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} and (ii) $\Phi(\nu(\bar{\eta} + 1)a) = \nu(\bar{\eta} + 1)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism. In particular, if $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} and η and ν are nonzero complex numbers such that $\nu(\bar{\eta} + 1)$ is a rational number, then Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism.*

Referências

- [1] C. Li, Q. Chen, T. Wang, Nonlinear Maps Preserving the Jordan Triple $*$ -Product on Factor von Neumann Algebras. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 39 (2018), 633-642.
- [2] C. Li, F. Lu, Nonlinear Maps Preserving the Jordan Triple 1 - $*$ -Product on Von Neumann Algebras. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 11 (2017), 109-117.
- [3] C. Li, F. Lu, T. Wang, Nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan triple $*$ -product on von Neumann algebras. Ann. Funct. Anal. 7 (2016), 496-507.
- [4] C. Li, Y. Zhao, F. Zhao, Nonlinear maps preserving the mixed product $[A \bullet B, C]_*$ on von Neumann algebras. Filomat 35 (2021), 2775-2781.
- [5] F. Zhao, C. Li, Nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan triple $*$ -product between factors. Indag. Math. 29 (2018), 619-627.