

# Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection — Detecting Who Is Doing What in Videos<sup>★</sup>

Fan Yang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Norimichi Ukita<sup>b</sup>, Sakriani Sakti<sup>a,c</sup> and Satoshi Nakamura<sup>a,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Japan

<sup>b</sup>Toyota Technological Institute, Nagoya, Japan.

<sup>c</sup>RIKEN, Center for Advanced Intelligence Project AIP, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

**Keywords:**

Action Recognition

Multiple Object Tracking

## ABSTRACT

The success of deep learning on video Action Recognition (AR) has motivated researchers to progressively promote related tasks from the coarse level to the fine-grained level. Compared with conventional AR that only predicts an action label for the entire video, Temporal Action Detection (TAD) has been investigated for estimating the start and end time for each action in videos. Taking TAD a step further, Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD) has been studied for localizing the action both spatially and temporally in videos. However, who performs the action, is generally ignored in SAD, while identifying the actor could also be important. To this end, we propose a novel task, Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD), to bridge the gap between SAD and actor identification.


In ASAD, we not only detect the spatiotemporal boundary for instance-level action but also assign the unique ID to each actor. To approach ASAD, Multiple Object Tracking (MOT) and Action Classification (AC) are two fundamental elements. By using MOT, the spatiotemporal boundary of each actor is obtained and assigned to a unique actor identity. By using AC, the action class is estimated within the corresponding spatiotemporal boundary. Since ASAD is a new task, it poses many new challenges that cannot be addressed by existing methods: i) no dataset is specifically created for ASAD, ii) no evaluation metrics are designed for ASAD, iii) current MOT performance is the bottleneck to obtain satisfactory ASAD results. To address those problems, we contribute to i) annotate a new ASAD dataset, ii) propose ASAD evaluation metrics by considering multi-label actions and actor identification, iii) improve the data association strategies in MOT to boost the MOT performance, which leads to better ASAD results. We believe considering actor identification with spatiotemporal action detection could promote the research on video understanding and beyond. The code is available at <https://github.com/fandulu/ASAD>.

## 1. Introduction

Vision-based Action Recognition (AR) aims to detect human-defined actions from a sequence of data (*e.g.*, videos) and has a wide range of applications in our daily life. For instance, it has been applied for YouTube to recognize billions of video tags before recommending a video to us, or for the policemen to quickly retrieval a criminal from thousands-hours surveillance videos, or for a virtual game machine to interact with players, and many others ([10, 33]).

In recent years, the success of deep learning on AR has motivated researchers to progressively promote the AR task from the coarse level to the fine-grained level. Compared with conventional AR that only predicts an action label for the entire video, Temporal Action Detection (TAD) has been investigated for estimating the start and end time for each action in videos. Taking TAD a step further, Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD) has been studied for localizing the action both spatially and temporally in videos. However, who performs the action is generally ignored in SAD studies.

**We believe actor identification should be considered together with SAD.** When multiple actors are involved in the target scenes (*e.g.*, basketball/soccer games), it is preferred to know “who is doing what”, and thus, identifying each



**Figure 1:** Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD) is Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD) plus actor identification.

actor with their actions is desired. Nonetheless, SAD and actor identification are treated as different tasks for a long time. To this end, we propose a novel task, Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD), to bridge the gap between SAD and actor identification (Figure 1).


To approach ASAD, Multiple Object Tracking (MOT) [49] and Action Classification (AC) [33] are two fundamental elements (Figure 2). By using MOT, the spatiotemporal boundary of each actor is obtained and assigned to a unique actor identity. By using AC, the action class is estimated within the corresponding spatiotemporal boundary. In general, they may work as independent modules by considering the model

\*Corresponding author

hongheyangfan@gmail.com (F. Yang)

ORCID(s): 0000-0001-7185-5688 (F. Yang)

training flexibility.



**Figure 2:** The illustration of ASAD processing.

Since ASAD is a new task, it poses many new challenges that cannot be addressed by existing methods: i) no dataset is specifically created for ASAD, ii) no evaluation metrics are designed for ASAD, iii) current MOT performance could be the bottleneck to obtain satisfactory ASAD results. To address those problems, we contribute to i) annotate a new ASAD dataset, ii) propose ASAD evaluation metrics by considering multi-label actions and actor identification, iii) improve the data association strategies in MOT to boost the MOT performance, which leads to better ASAD results<sup>1</sup>.

We summarize the main contributions as follows.

- We raise a new study task of video action recognition — Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD). As far as we are aware, it has great importance but has been historically overlooked. ASAD bridges the gap between the existing Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD) study and the new demand for identifying actors.
- We specifically provided a novel dataset for the ASAD study. It covers a rich action category and actor identities.
- We presented novel metrics for ASAD evaluation. To the best of our knowledge, existing metrics cannot be applied to ASAD, and we are the first to introduce such metrics.

## 2. Related Works

### 3. Video Action Recognition

In general, video action recognition research can be divided into several categories (Figure 3). Normal Action Recognition (AR) takes an entire video, or, a video clip, as the input and generates a corresponding action class. It is used to understand the overall video concept without specifying the details in the spatial domain and temporal domain. Temporal Action Detection (TAD) gives temporal details to AR,

<sup>1</sup>We have organized our proposed dataset and evaluation metrics scripts at GitHub, it will be released.

by clarifying the start and end times of an action. Accordingly, one video could be segmented into several temporal components in TAD. Compared with TAD, Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD) not only detects the action boundary in the temporal domain but also locates the actor with bounding boxes (or instance masks) in the spatial domain. We generally call such a spatiotemporal boundary the action tube. In this work, we propose Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD) from SAD, by incorporating the unique identity of each actor.

We summarize the related datasets and studies for AR, TAD, SAD, and ASAD in Table 1. To link bounding boxes to action tubes, Multiple Object Tracking (MOT) [82] is also commonly applied in SAD. Some SAD works can also track the actor and assign them with unique IDs. However, *based on the evaluation protocol of SAD, the annotation of actor identity may not be provided and the actor identification has not been evaluated.* That means, there is no clear boundary between ASAD and SAD in terms of the method, their difference lies more in the data annotation and evaluation protocols. In detail, the action tube ID given in SAD may not be consistent with actor ID. For example, after the same actor changes his/her action, the corresponding action tube ID changed but the actor ID should remain the same. Unfortunately, such kind of actor ID is not available in most SAD datasets.


As we suppose that MOT and AC are two important components in ASAD, we take a look into the role of MOT and AC in AR, TAD, SAD, and ASAD (see Table 3). The AC could be a necessary module for all action recognition categories. In SAD, MOT might be used (*e.g.*, on UCF101 + ROAD dataset [63]), but not be necessary (*e.g.*, on AVA dataset [26]). However, both MOT and AC are needed in ASAD.

In addition, previous studies [60, 79, 32] focus on only identifying actors in videos, but without detecting their actions. In this manner, as a new task, ASAD has bridged the gap between the SAD and the actor identification (Table 2).

## 4. Multiple Object Tracking

Since Multiple Object Tracking (MOT) plays an important role in Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD), we further provide an overview of MOT-related works.

Generally, MOT is applied to connect identical observations into tracklets based on the similarity of MOT features. Specifically, MOT methods can be divided into two categories — online MOT and offline MOT (Table 4.). The online data association is performed on observations that are available up to the current frame. Different from online approaches, offline data association takes global observations into consideration, which may not be applicable for real-time applications but be ideal for assisting annotation works. Numerous offline approaches have been proposed in previous studies [49]. Among them, formulating MOT data association as a global clustering problem has achieved great suc-



**Figure 3:** A comparison of action recognition works, which could be roughly divided into four categories: Action Recognition (AR), Temporal Action Detection (TAD), Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD), and our defined Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD). Existing works (*i.e.*, AR, TAD, and SAD) ignore to identify actors while our ASAD addresses this issue. Parts of this graph credit to [33].

Action Recognition Category	Available Datasets	Related Works
AR	HMDB [38], UCF101 [65], Sports-1M [37], Kinetics-700 [6]	[52, 47, 28, 62, 68, 18, 48, 87, 17, 27]
TAD	ActivityNet [16], YouTube-8M [1], THUMOS [34], HACS [91]	[64, 70, 78, 21, 9, 45]
SAD	UCF101+ROAD [63], DALY [74], Hollywood2Tubes [53] AVA [26], AVA-Kinetics [39], ActEV [86]	[24, 73, 93, 31, 63, 36, 83] [23, 85, 69, 55, 44, 43, 66]
ASAD	Okutama [2] (available but not ideal)	Ours

**Table 1**

The related datasets and studies for AR, TAD, SAD, and ASAD. Note that, unlike other SAD datasets, actor ID is given in annotations of Okutama [2] but the ASAD evaluation has not been explored. Besides, the Okutama dataset consists of 4K-resolution drone videos, which may only cover very limited scenarios of ASAD. In addition, some SAD models, such as ROAD [63], AlphAction [66], and ACAM [69], may potentially generate ASAD results but were evaluated by the SAD protocol in the original works. That is, the consistency of actor identity is ignored in these works.

cesses [81]

## 5. Action Classification

The Action Classification (AC) model plays such a role to map the spatiotemporal information to action categories. There are numerous AC studies considering the approaches of utilizing features and designing the model structure. In detail, Action Classification (AC) approaches could be divided into 5 categories, including RGB AC, RGB + Flow AC, Pose AC, RGB + Pose AC, and RGB + Flow + Pose AC, as shown in Figure 4. Based on these 5 categories, we list the corresponding studies in Table 5.

## 6. Proposed ASAD Dataset and Evaluation Metrics

Given a video, Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD) aims to detect the spatiotemporal boundaries (*i.e.*, tracklets/actor tubes) for each actor, assign each actor a unique identity, and obtain the actions of actors at each moment. Consequently, the ASAD dataset should include those factors and the ASAD metrics should verify the performance on those factors.

### 6.1. Dataset for ASAD

By reviewing existing action recognition datasets (Section 2), it can be noticed that a proper ASAD dataset may

Approaches	Identifying Actors	Detecting Actions
Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD) [24, 73, 93, 31, 63, 36, 83, 23, 55, 44, 43, 66]	✗	✓
Actor Identification [60, 79, 32]	✓	✗
Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD)	✓	✓

**Table 2**

A comparison of SAD, Actor Identification, and ASAD.

Action Recognition Category	Using MOT	Using AC
Action Recognition (AR)	Not Need	Need
Temporal Action Detection (TAD)	Not Need	Need
Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD)	May Not Need	Need
Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD)	Need	Need

**Table 3**

The role of MOT and AC in AR, TAD, SAD, and ASAD. For some evaluation protocols of SAD, there is no need to link detection to tubes and MOT may not be used.


not be available. Although the existing Spatiotemporal Action Detection (SAD) dataset might be similar to our desired ASAD dataset, the actor identity is not properly annotated in the SAD dataset. We illustrate the annotation difference between SAD and ASAD data annotation by using UCF101+ROAD dataset [63] and AVA dataset [26] as examples (Figures 5 and 6). In the UCF101+ROAD dataset, the spatiotemporal boundaries are incomplete. Since actor identification is not the concern in SAD, after the predefined

action is finished, spatiotemporal annotation is not available. In contrast, the annotation in ASAD should complete the spatiotemporal boundary for each actor in the entire video, no matter if the defined action is finished or not. In the AVA dataset, despite the actor IDs being given, multiple actor IDs have been assigned to the same actor in a single video, which is incorrect for actor identification. For actor identification purposes, the unique actor ID should be assigned to each actor in one piece of video. Besides, while some remote

Approaches	Online Data Association	Offline Data Association
[57, 3, 77, 4, 13, 42, 20, 35, 50, 72, 41, 5, 76, 92, 90, 19, 8]	✓	✗
[88, 75, 89, 67, 59, 51, 29]	✗	✓

**Table 4**

Online and offline MOT methods.


**Figure 4:** Categories of Action Classification (AC) models.

Approaches	RGB AC	RGB + Flow AC	Pose AC	RGB + Pose AC	RGB + Flow + Pose AC
Large-scale AC [37]	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
Two-Stream [62]	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
C3D [68], I3D [7], ECO [95], P3D [56], FastSlow [17]	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗
HCN [40], 2s-AGCN [61], DD-Net [84]	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗
Potion [11], PA3D [80]	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
Chained AC [94]	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓

**Table 5**

The properties of action classification works

surveillance video datasets are equipped with spatiotemporal boundaries, actor identities, and acting classes, they focus on the special scene (*e.g.*, remote surveillance) and may not be suitable for the general ASAD study. For example, Okutama dataset [2] and PANDA [71] only record tiny scale actors and cover a small group of human daily activities.



**Figure 5:** Comparison between SAD and ASAD spatiotemporal annotation by using UCF101+ROAD [63] as an example. The annotation in ASAD should complete the spatiotemporal boundary for each actor in the entire video, no matter if the defined action is finished or not.

Due to the above reasons, we are motivated to annotate a new ASAD dataset. Compared with the SAD dataset, the ASAD dataset requires to add correct actor identities. As the AVA dataset [26] is a canonical SAD dataset and TAO dataset [14] offers some actor identity annotations on it, we select a part of the AVA dataset to make an ASAD dataset (Figure 7). Note that, we mainly selected video clips that have visible actors and multiple actors available. Meanwhile, due to the heavy annotation cost, only 77 video clips are selected among 430 AVA video clips. We named our ASAD dataset A-AVA, which represents the Actor-identified AVA dataset. A-AVA dataset contains 47 videos for training and 30 videos for testing. Be the same as the AVA dataset, there are 80 action categories in the A-AVA dataset, and, every 25 frames (*i.e.*, around 1 second), the annotation is given once. In the A-AVA dataset, the spatiotemporal boundaries, actor identities, and corresponding actions are all annotated.

Actor ID annotation in the original AVA dataset (SAD). Actor IDs are fragmented in one video.



**Figure 6:** Comparison between SAD and ASAD actor ID annotation by using AVA [26] as an example. In a single video, while the existing SAD dataset may assign multiple actor IDs to the same actor, our ASAD assigns the unique actor ID the actor.

More examples are illustrated in Figure 8.

We present the historical role of our A-AVA dataset in Figure 9. As the first dataset that is specifically designed for the ASAD study, the A-AVA dataset covers a rich diversity of video scenes, as indoor and outdoor, different times of the day, various actor scales, and more. Those properties are not available in the previous dataset (*i.e.*, Okutama dataset). A-AVA dataset has bridged the gap between the SAD dataset and actor identification dataset.

## 6.2. Evaluation Metrics for ASAD

When considering the multi-label action, ASAD evaluation could be a complicated task. Unlike single-label SAD evaluation [25], it is challenging to simultaneously evaluate multi-label action classification and actor identification with spatial detection. To address this issue, we suggest evaluating ASAD from three aspects and then consider their overall performance. The three aspects include Spatial Detection Evaluation, Actor Identification Evaluation, and Multi-label Action Classification Evaluation (Figure 10).

## 6.3. Spatial Detection Evaluation

We take the object detection metrics [15, 46, 30] to evaluate the spatial detection performance. First, we calculate




Figure 7: We create a new ASAD dataset based on existing AVA dataset [25], by assigning the unique actor identity to each actor.



Figure 8: Illustration of our Actor-identified AVA dataset.

Intersection over Union (IoU), which is defined by

$$IoU = \frac{bbox^{pred} \cap bbox^{true}}{bbox^{pred} \cup bbox^{true}} \quad (1)$$

where  $bbox^{pred}$  and  $bbox^{true}$  represent the predicted bounding box and the ground-truth box, respectively.

Second, based on the IoU value, True Positive (TP), False Positive (FP), and False Negative (FN) are defined by

- True Positive (TP): A correct detection with an IoU greater the threshold.


- False Positive (FP): A wrong detection with an IoU smaller than the threshold.
- False Negative (FN): A ground truth not detected.

and the corresponding Precision and Recall are


$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (2)$$

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

By traversing through all thresholds for detection confidence, different pairs of precision and recall can generate



**Figure 9:** A historical timeline overview of datasets intended for video action recognition studies.



**Figure 10:** Overview of our ASAD metrics, which evaluate the performance of spatial detection, action classification, and actor identification.

the precision-recall curve, which indicates the association between precision and recall. To reduce the effect of the wiggles in the curve, the precision-recall curve is interpolated as  $p_{interp}$ . The  $p_{interp}$  at recall score  $r$  is assigned with the highest precision for  $r > r'$ :

$$p_{interp}(r) = \max_{r > r'} p(r') \quad (3)$$

Since we only treat humans as the actor, there is only one class for the detection, and therefore we utilize Average Precision (AP), other than Mean Average Precision (mAP), for the spatial detection evaluation. AP is the area under the interpolated precision-recall curve, which can be calculated using the following formula:


$$AP = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (r_{i+1} - r_i) p_{interp}(r_{i+1}) \quad (4)$$

In this thesis, we assume any spatial detection with an IoU value larger than 0.5 is True Positive, and the corresponding metrics are represented as AP@0.5.

#### 6.4. Actor Identification Evaluation

While actor classification has the pre-defined actor identities, actor identification assigns each actor a unique identity and the number of actor identities is non-parametric. Therefore, we utilized part of Multiple Object Tracking (MOT) evaluation metrics for actor identification evaluation, as IDF1 (ratio of correctly identified detections), MT (mostly tracked targets), ML (mostly lost targets), and ID Switches. Those identification metrics were introduced by [58, 54] and have been popularly utilized for a while. More specifically, the IDF1, MT, and ML are respectively defined by

$$IDF1 = \frac{2IDTP}{2IDTP + IDFP + IDFN} \quad (5)$$



**Figure 11:** Illustration of matching pair between the ground-truth and the predicted samples.

where IDTP, IDFP, IDFN respectively represent the True Positive ID, the False Positive ID, the False Negative ID.

$$\begin{aligned}
 MT &= \sum_{i \in N_{\mathcal{T}_{true}}} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \frac{\text{len}(\mathcal{T}_i^{pred})}{\text{len}(\mathcal{T}_i^{true})} \geq 0.8 \right\} \\
 ML &= \sum_{i \in N_{\mathcal{T}_{true}}} \mathbb{1} \left\{ \frac{\text{len}(\mathcal{T}_i^{pred})}{\text{len}(\mathcal{T}_i^{true})} \leq 0.2 \right\}
 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_i^{pred}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_i^{true}$  respectively denote the predicted and the ground-truth Tracklet  $i$ , the number of  $\mathcal{T}_i^{true}$  is  $N_{\mathcal{T}_{true}}$ . If the prediction matches for the ground truth more than 80% of its life span, it is regarded as mostly tacked (MT). If the prediction only matches for the ground truth less than 20% of total length, it is regarded as mostly lost (ML).

### 6.5. Multi-label Action Classification Evaluation

It is intuitive to consider that each actor could take several actions simultaneously, which are corresponding to multi-label actions. For instance, an actor could be making a phone call and walking at the same time. Due to the lack of evaluation metrics, conventional Action Recognition studies have been evaluated with only the single-label action for a while [2, 25]. Therefore, we provide metrics for multi-label ASAD evaluation, which considers the evaluations of multi-label multi-class action classification and actor identification.

The evaluation metrics for actor detection and multi-label classification have been well-studied separately [15, 22], but the problem remains on how to associate them together for multi-label ASAD evaluation.

A simple approach could be evaluating the ‘‘actor’’ actor detection performance for all detected samples and then evaluating the multi-label action recognition performance for positively detected samples. For instance, assuming that a predicted sample is positive when  $\text{IoU} \geq 0.5$  for the predicted and ground-truth bounding boxes, we can apply  $HL@0.5$ , which corresponds to Hamming Loss associated with  $\text{IoU} \geq 0.5$ , to measure its multi-label classification performance.

Note that, due to the object occlusions, the IoU value between multiple actors could be larger than 0.5. To remove such ambiguity, we apply the Hungarian Algorithm for bipartite matching between the predicted bounding boxes and the ground-truth bounding boxes before comparing their classification results. Meanwhile, a pair that has  $\text{IoU} < 0.5$  will be excluded before calculating their Hamming Loss. We illustrate these cases in Figure 11.

In detail, we utilize matrix  $D_{i,j}$  to represent the matching distance between each ground-truth bounding box (denoted by  $i$ ) and predicted bounding box (denoted by  $j$ ), and we obtain  $D_{i,j}$  by

$$D_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{IoU}_{i,j} < 0.5; \\ 1 - \text{IoU}_{i,j}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Next, we employ linear assignment [12] to obtain the optimal assignment  $\mathcal{M}^*$  with

$$\mathcal{M}^* = \arg \min_{\mathcal{M}} \sum_i \sum_j D_{i,j} \mathcal{M}_{i,j}, \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Boolean matrix. When the row  $i$  (i.e., ground truth box  $i$ ) is assigned to column  $j$  (i.e., predicted box  $j$ ), we have  $\mathcal{M}_{i,j} = 1$ . Each row can be assigned to at most one column and each column to at most one row.

Since matching pairs that have IoU value less than 0.5, we further process  $\mathcal{M}^*$  by

$$\mathcal{M}_{i,j}^* = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } D_{i,j} = 1; \\ \mathcal{M}_{i,j}^*, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Referring to  $\mathcal{M}^*$ , we select matched pairs to evaluate the corresponding action labels with Hamming Loss. The number of matching pairs are represented by  $N_{actors@0.5}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}^* = 1$ ). Below, we show how the  $HL@0.5$  is extended from the original Hamming Loss.

$$HL@0.5 = \frac{1}{N_{actors@0.5}} \frac{1}{N_{labels}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{actors@0.5}} \sum_{l=1}^{N_{labels}} Y_{true}^{i,l} \mathbf{XOR} Y_{pred}^{i,l}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{XOR}$  is an exclusive-or operation and  $N_{labels}$  stands for the number of action categories.  $Y_{true}$  and  $Y_{pred}$  are boolean arrays that denote the ground truth and predicted labels, respectively.

## 7. Experiment

We set up the first benchmark for the ASAD study. Experiments are conducted on our A-AVA dataset and evaluated by our ASAD metrics.

### 7.1. ASAD Framework

As we have discussed in related works, some SAD models, such as ROAD [63], AlphAction [66], and ACAM [69],




Figure 12: Overview of the basic ASAD framework.

Approaches	Actor Detection Evaluation	Action Classification Evaluation	Actor Identification Evaluation			
	AP@0.5 (%) $\uparrow$	HL@0.5 (0~1) $\downarrow$	IDF1 (%) $\uparrow$	MT (%) $\uparrow$	ML (%) $\downarrow$	# ID Sw. $\downarrow$
ASAD Baseline w/ online MOT	72.4	0.06	60.4	67.3	10.5	413
ASAD Baseline w/ offline MOT	72.4	0.06	71.4	88.4	5.2	273

Table 6

Comparison of using different MOT modules in ADAD frameworks. We utilize our A-AVA dataset and ASAD evaluation metrics, where  $\uparrow(\downarrow)$  indicates that the larger(smaller) the value is, the better the performance.

are consist of Multiple Object Tracking (MOT) and Action Classification (AC) modules. They could generate ASAD results but were evaluated by the SAD protocol in the original works. Based on the evaluation protocol of SAD, the annotation of actor identity may not be provided and the actor identification has not been evaluated. In other words, there is no clear boundary between ASAD and SAD in terms of the method, their difference more lies in the data annotation and evaluation protocols.

Without changing the basic structure, letting the above SAD methods to output actor identities with their original outputs can make ASAD frameworks. In this study, we let the off-the-shelf SAD methods to output actor identities that are generated by their MOT module. In this manner, they can perform as ASAD frameworks. In Figure 12, we summarize the basic structure of ASAD framework that is adapted from SAD models. Generally, an ASAD framework takes RGB videos as the input and outputs the bounding boxes, unique actor identity, and actions of each actor.

## 7.2. Experiment Results

By using our A-AVA dataset, the performance of spatiotemporal detection, action classification, and actor identification can be jointly evaluated. To generate a better actor identification result, we may need to focus on the data association strategy in MOT. We first evaluated our ASAD baseline with online MOT methods [77]. We showed the result of using our ASAD evaluation metrics in Table 6. It can be noticed that the action identification performance is unsatisfactory. Using online MOT methods becomes the bottleneck to obtain satisfactory ASAD results. We then replaced the online MOT module to be an offline MOT method introduced in [82]. Consequently, using an offline MOT module led to better actor identification result: the offline MOT module gave a further gain in IDF1 and ML over the online MOT module, and also reduced the ML and ID Switches.

## 7.3. Discussion

Why does offline MOT have better performance in our A-AVA dataset in terms of actor identification? For static camera recording, motion consistency is an important cue for data association. In contrast, for non-static camera recording, the motion consistency assumption could be failed. Whenever the viewpoint suddenly changes in videos, it is challenging to track the correct actor identities. This issue frequently happens in the movies and phone-recorded videos (Figure 13). Most online MOT methods (*e.g.*, [77]) may have an over-reliance on the motion consistency and therefore cause failure cases in our A-AVA dataset. Employing offline MOT alleviates this issue by determining the correspondence between observations more by their appearance similarity. Moreover, applying an offline MOT solution utilizes the global information to further reduce ID switches and generate robust actor identification results.

## 8. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced a novel task, Actor-identified Spatiotemporal Action Detection (ASAD), which marks the first effort in the computer vision community to jointly study spatiotemporal boundaries, actor identities, and corresponding actions. ASAD is ideal for action recognition applications when multiple actors are included, such as Human-computer Interaction, basketball/soccer games, and grocery operations monitoring, etc. To study ASAD, we are excited to offer a corresponding A-AVA dataset. As the first dataset that is specifically designed for the ASAD study, the A-AVA dataset has bridged the gap between the SAD dataset and the actor identification dataset. We also proposed ASAD evaluation metrics by considering multi-label actions and actor identification. It is the first evaluation metric in ASAD such a complicated task.

Besides the above success, it is important to note that our ASAD study also suffers some limitations:

**Static camera recording:****Non-static camera recording:**

**Figure 13:** The difference of motion consistency in static camera recording videos and non-static camera recording videos.

- Considering the high annotation cost, the size of our proposed ASAD dataset is still relatively small. Meanwhile, since the definition of action labels could be ambiguous, the action annotation may not be accurate. For instance, it is difficult to judge the boundary between “walk” and “running” in the continuous temporal domain. Or, without including the audio information, it is challenging to decide who is speaking. Such issues may impair the ASAD study. To cope with this issue, it is necessary to perform high-quality annotations with more annotators involved.
- Because evaluating the ASAD result is complicated, we separately evaluated spatial detection, actor identification, and multi-label action classification. Consequently, the overall ASAD performance is represented by multiple metric values. However, in an ideal case, we hope to utilize a single metric value to represent the overall ASAD performance. Considering that each of our ASAD metrics (*e.g.*, HL@0.5) is obtained from a complex formula, it is challenging to integrate them into a single metric value. To find a solution, further exploration is needed. Since we have raised this question in the ASAD task, it might be solved in future works.

And we are considering addressing those issues in future works.

This paper is not the end, but rather the starting steps, we are excited to engage with the research community to explore ASAD deeper. We believe considering actor identification with spatiotemporal action detection could promote the research on video understanding and beyond.

## References

- [1] Abu-El-Haija, S., Kothari, N., Lee, J., Natsev, P., Toderici, G., Varadarajan, B., Vijayanarasimhan, S., 2016. Youtube-8m: A large-scale video classification benchmark. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08675 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08675>.
- [2] Berekatain, M., Martí, M., Shih, H.F., Murray, S., Nakayama, K., Matsuo, Y., Prendinger, H., 2017. Okutama-action: An aerial view video dataset for concurrent human action detection, in: 1st Joint BMTT-PETS Workshop on Tracking and Surveillance, CVPR, pp. 1–8.
- [3] Bewley, A., Ge, Z., Ott, L., Ramos, F., Upcroft, B., 2016. Simple online and realtime tracking, in: 2016 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), pp. 3464–3468. doi:10.1109/ICIP.2016.7533003.
- [4] Bochinski, E., Eiselein, V., Sikora, T., 2017. High-speed tracking-by-detection without using image information, in: International Workshop on Traffic and Street Surveillance for Safety and Security at IEEE AVSS 2017, Lecce, Italy. URL: <http://elvera.nue.tu-berlin.de/files/Bochinski2017.pdf>.
- [5] Brasó, G., Leal-Taixé, L., 2020. Learning a neural solver for multiple object tracking, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 6247–6257.
- [6] Carreira, J., Noland, E., Hillier, C., Zisserman, A., 2019. A short note on the kinetics-700 human action dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.06987 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.06987>.
- [7] Carreira, J., Zisserman, A., 2017. Quo vadis, action recognition? a new model and the kinetics dataset, in: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, IEEE. pp. 4724–4733.
- [8] Chang, M.F., Lambert, J., Sangkloy, P., Singh, J., Bak, S., Hartnett, A., Wang, D., Carr, P., Lucey, S., Ramanan, D., et al., 2019. Argoverse: 3d tracking and forecasting with rich maps, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 8748–8757.
- [9] Chao, Y.W., Vijayanarasimhan, S., Seybold, B., Ross, D.A., Deng, J., Sukthankar, R., 2018. Rethinking the faster r-cnn architecture for temporal action localization, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 1130–1139.
- [10] Chaquet, J.M., Carmona, E.J., Fernández-Caballero, A., 2013. A survey of video datasets for human action and activity recognition. Computer Vision and Image Understanding 117, 633–659.
- [11] Choutas, V., Weinzaepfel, P., Revaud, J., Schmid, C., 2018. Potion: Pose motion representation for action recognition, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 7024–7033.
- [12] Crouse, D.F., 2016. On implementing 2d rectangular assignment algorithms. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems 52, 1679–1696.
- [13] Dai, P., Wang, X., Zhang, W., Chen, J., 2018. Instance segmentation enabled hybrid data association and discriminative hashing for online multi-object tracking. IEEE Transactions on Multimedia 21, 1709–1723.

- [14] Dave, A., Khurana, T., Tokmakov, P., Schmid, C., Ramanan, D., 2020. Tao: A large-scale benchmark for tracking any object. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.10356 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.10356>.
- [15] Everingham, M., Van Gool, L., Williams, C.K., Winn, J., Zisserman, A., 2010. The pascal visual object classes (voc) challenge. *International journal of computer vision* 88, 303–338.
- [16] Fabian Caba Heilbron, Victor Escorcia, B.G., Niebles, J.C., 2015. Activitynet: A large-scale video benchmark for human activity understanding, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 961–970.
- [17] Feichtenhofer, C., Fan, H., Malik, J., He, K., 2019. Slowfast networks for video recognition, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 6202–6211.
- [18] Feichtenhofer, C., Pinz, A., Zisserman, A., 2016. Convolutional two-stream network fusion for video action recognition, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 1933–1941.
- [19] Fernando, T., Denman, S., Sridharan, S., Fookes, C., 2018. Tracking by prediction: A deep generative model for multi-person localisation and tracking, in: *2018 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV)*, IEEE. pp. 1122–1132.
- [20] Fu, Z., Angelini, F., Chambers, J., Naqvi, S.M., 2019. Multi-level cooperative fusion of gm-phd filters for online multiple human tracking. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 21, 2277–2291.
- [21] Gao, J., Yang, Z., Nevatia, R., 2017. Cascaded boundary regression for temporal action detection, in: *British Machine Vision Conference 2017, BMVC 2017, London, UK, September 4-7, 2017*, BMVA Press.
- [22] Gibaja, E., Ventura, S., 2015. A tutorial on multilabel learning. *ACM Computing Surveys* 47, 52.
- [23] Girdhar, R., Carreira, J., Doersch, C., Zisserman, A., 2018. A better baseline for ava. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.10066 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.10066>.
- [24] Gkioxari, G., Malik, J., 2015. Finding action tubes, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 759–768.
- [25] Gu, C., Sun, C., Ross, D.A., Vondrick, C., Pantofaru, C., Li, Y., Vijayanarasimhan, S., Toderici, G., Ricco, S., Sukthandar, R., et al., 2017. Ava: A video dataset of spatio-temporally localized atomic visual actions. *CoRR*, abs/1705.08421 4.
- [26] Gu, C., Sun, C., Ross, D.A., Vondrick, C., Pantofaru, C., Li, Y., Vijayanarasimhan, S., Toderici, G., Ricco, S., Sukthandar, R., et al., 2018. Ava: A video dataset of spatio-temporally localized atomic visual actions, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6047–6056.
- [27] Gu, Y., Ye, X., Sheng, W., Ou, Y., Li, Y., 2020. Multiple stream deep learning model for human action recognition. *Image and Vision Computing* 93, 103818.
- [28] Han, L., Wu, X., Liang, W., Hou, G., Jia, Y., 2010. Discriminative human action recognition in the learned hierarchical manifold space. *Image and Vision Computing* 28, 836–849.
- [29] Hornakova, A., Henschel, R., Rosenhahn, B., Swoboda, P., 2020. Lifted disjoint paths with application in multiple object tracking, in: *The 37th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, pp. 1–12.
- [30] Hosang, J., Benenson, R., Dollár, P., Schiele, B., 2015. What makes for effective detection proposals? *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 38, 814–830.
- [31] Hou, R., Chen, C., Shah, M., 2017. Tube convolutional neural network (t-cnn) for action detection in videos, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pp. 5822–5831.
- [32] Huang, Q., Xiong, Y., Lin, D., 2018. Unifying identification and context learning for person recognition, in: *The IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 2217–2225.
- [33] Hutchinson, M., Gadepally, V., 2020. Video action understanding: A tutorial. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.06647 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.06647>.
- [34] Idrees, H., Zamir, A.R., Jiang, Y., Gorban, A., Laptev, I., Sukthandar, R., Shah, M., 2017. The thumos challenge on action recognition for videos “in the wild”. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 155, 1–23.
- [35] Jiang, X., Li, P., Li, Y., Zhen, X., 2019. Graph neural based end-to-end data association framework for online multiple-object tracking. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.05315 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05315>.
- [36] Kalogeiton, V., Weinzaepfel, P., Ferrari, V., Schmid, C., 2017. Action tubelet detector for spatio-temporal action localization, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 4405–4413.
- [37] Karpathy, A., Toderici, G., Shetty, S., Leung, T., Sukthandar, R., Fei-Fei, L., 2014. Large-scale video classification with convolutional neural networks, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 1725–1732.
- [38] Kuehne, H., Jhuang, H., Garrote, E., Poggio, T., Serre, T., 2011. Hmdb: a large video database for human motion recognition, in: *2011 International Conference on Computer Vision, IEEE*. pp. 2556–2563.
- [39] Li, A., Thotakuri, M., Ross, D.A., Carreira, J., Vostrikov, A., Zisserman, A., 2020a. The ava-kinetics localized human actions video dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00214 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.00214>.
- [40] Li, C., Zhong, Q., Xie, D., Pu, S., 2018. Co-occurrence feature learning from skeleton data for action recognition and detection with hierarchical aggregation, in: *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 786–792.
- [41] Li, J., Gao, X., Jiang, T., 2020b. Graph networks for multiple object tracking, in: *The IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pp. 719–728.
- [42] Li, J., Wei, L., Zhang, F., Yang, T., Lu, Z., 2019. Joint deep and depth for object-level segmentation and stereo tracking in crowds. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia* 21, 2531–2544.
- [43] Li, Y., Lin, W., See, J., Xu, N., Xu, S., Yan, K., Yang, C., 2020c. Cfad: Coarse-to-fine action detector for spatiotemporal action localization, in: *European Conference on Computer Vision*, Springer. pp. 510–527.
- [44] Li, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, L., Wu, G., 2020d. Actions as moving points. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.04608 .
- [45] Lin, T., Liu, X., Li, X., Ding, E., Wen, S., 2019. Bmn: Boundary-matching network for temporal action proposal generation, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 3889–3898.
- [46] Lin, T.Y., Maire, M., Belongie, S., Hays, J., Perona, P., Ramanan, D., Dollár, P., Zitnick, C.L., 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context, in: *European conference on computer vision*, Springer. pp. 740–755.
- [47] Liu, C., Yuen, P.C., 2010. Human action recognition using boosted eigenactions. *Image and vision computing* 28, 825–835.
- [48] Liu, Z., Zhang, C., Tian, Y., 2016. 3d-based deep convolutional neural network for action recognition with depth sequences. *Image and Vision Computing* 55, 93–100.
- [49] Luo, W., Xing, J., Milan, A., Zhang, X., Liu, W., Zhao, X., Kim, T.K., 2014. Multiple object tracking: A literature review. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.7618 .
- [50] Ma, C., Li, Y., Yang, F., Zhang, Z., Zhuang, Y., Jia, H., Xie, X., 2019. Deep association: End-to-end graph-based learning for multiple object tracking with conv-graph neural network, in: *Proceedings of the 2019 on International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval*, pp. 253–261.
- [51] Ma, L., Tang, S., Black, M.J., Gool, L.V., 2018. Customized multi-person tracker, in: *ACCV*.
- [52] Masoud, O., Papanikolopoulos, N., 2003. A method for human action recognition. *Image and Vision Computing* 21, 729–743.
- [53] Mettes, P., Van Gemert, J.C., Snoek, C.G., 2016. Spot on: Action localization from pointly-supervised proposals, in: *European conference on computer vision*, Springer. pp. 437–453.
- [54] Milan, A., Leal-Taixé, L., Reid, I., Roth, S., Schindler, K., 2016. Mot16: A benchmark for multi-object tracking. arXiv:1603.00831 [cs] URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.00831>.
- [55] Pan, J., Chen, S., Shou, Z., Shao, J., Li, H., 2020. Actor-

- context-actor relation network for spatio-temporal action localization. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.07976 URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.07976v2.pdf>.
- [56] Qiu, Z., Yao, T., Mei, T., 2017. Learning spatio-temporal representation with pseudo-3d residual networks, in: proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 5533–5541.
- [57] Qu, W., Schonfeld, D., Mohamed, M., 2007. Real-time distributed multi-object tracking using multiple interactive trackers and a magnetic-inertia potential model. IEEE Transactions on Multimedia 9, 511–519.
- [58] Ristani, E., Solera, F., Zou, R., Cucchiara, R., Tomasi, C., 2016. Performance measures and a data set for multi-target, multi-camera tracking, in: European Conference on Computer Vision, Springer. pp. 17–35.
- [59] Ristani, E., Tomasi, C., 2018. Features for multi-target multi-camera tracking and re-identification, in: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 6036–6046.
- [60] Satoh, S., 1999. Towards actor/actress identification in drama videos, in: Proceedings of the seventh ACM international conference on Multimedia (Part 2), pp. 75–78.
- [61] Shi, L., Zhang, Y., Cheng, J., Lu, H., 2019. Two-stream adaptive graph convolutional networks for skeleton-based action recognition, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 12026–12035.
- [62] Simonyan, K., Zisserman, A., 2014. Two-stream convolutional networks for action recognition in videos, in: Advances in neural information processing systems, pp. 568–576.
- [63] Singh, G., Saha, S., Sapienza, M., Torr, P.H., Cuzzolin, F., 2017. Online real-time multiple spatiotemporal action localisation and prediction, in: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 3637–3646.
- [64] Soomro, K., Idrees, H., Shah, M., 2015. Action localization in videos through context walk, in: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pp. 3280–3288.
- [65] Soomro, K., Zamir, A.R., Shah, M., 2012. Ucf101: A dataset of 101 human actions classes from videos in the wild. arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.0402 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.0402>.
- [66] Tang, J., Xia, J., Mu, X., Pang, B., Lu, C., 2020. Asynchronous interaction aggregation for action detection, in: Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV).
- [67] Tang, S., Andriluka, M., Andres, B., Schiele, B., 2017. Multiple people tracking by lifted multicut and person re-identification, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 3539–3548.
- [68] Tran, D., Bourdev, L., Fergus, R., Torresani, L., Paluri, M., 2015. Learning spatiotemporal features with 3d convolutional networks, in: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pp. 4489–4497.
- [69] Ulutan, O., Rallapalli, S., Srivatsa, M., Torres, C., Manjunath, B., 2020. Actor conditioned attention maps for video action detection, in: The IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision, pp. 527–536.
- [70] Wang, L., Xiong, Y., Wang, Z., Qiao, Y., Lin, D., Tang, X., Van Gool, L., 2016. Temporal segment networks: Towards good practices for deep action recognition, in: European conference on computer vision, Springer. pp. 20–36.
- [71] Wang, X., Zhang, X., Zhu, Y., Guo, Y., Yuan, X., Xiang, L., Wang, Z., Ding, G., Brady, D., Dai, Q., et al., 2020. Panda: A gigapixel-level human-centric video dataset, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 3268–3278.
- [72] Wang, Z., Zheng, L., Liu, Y., Wang, S., 2019. Towards real-time multi-object tracking. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.12605 .
- [73] Weinzaepfel, P., Harchaoui, Z., Schmid, C., 2015. Learning to track for spatio-temporal action localization, in: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pp. 3164–3172.
- [74] Weinzaepfel, P., Martin, X., Schmid, C., 2016. Human action localization with sparse spatial supervision. arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.05197 URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1605.05197.pdf>.
- [75] Wen, L., Li, W., Yan, J., Lei, Z., Yi, D., Li, S.Z., 2014. Multiple target tracking based on undirected hierarchical relation hypergraph, in: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 1282–1289.
- [76] Weng, X., Wang, Y., Man, Y., Kitani, K.M., 2020. Gnn3dmot: Graph neural network for 3d multi-object tracking with 2d-3d multi-feature learning, in: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR).
- [77] Wojke, N., Bewley, A., Paulus, D., 2017. Simple online and realtime tracking with a deep association metric. 2017 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP) , 3645–3649.
- [78] Xu, H., Das, A., Saenko, K., 2017. R-c3d: Region convolutional 3d network for temporal activity detection, in: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision, pp. 5783–5792.
- [79] Xu, M., Yuan, X., Shen, J., Yan, S., 2010. Cast2face: Character identification in movie with actor-character correspondence, in: Proceedings of the 18th ACM international conference on Multimedia, pp. 831–834.
- [80] Yan, A., Wang, Y., Li, Z., Qiao, Y., 2019. Pa3d: Pose-action 3d machine for video recognition, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 7922–7931.
- [81] Yang, F., Chang, X., Dang, C., Zheng, Z., Sakti, S., Nakamura, S., Wu, Y., 2020. Remots: Self-supervised refining multi-object tracking and segmentation. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.03200>, arXiv:2007.03200.
- [82] Yang, F., Chang, X., Sakti, S., Wu, Y., Nakamura, S., 2021. Remot: A model-agnostic refinement for multiple object tracking. Image and Vision Computing 106, 104091.
- [83] Yang, F., Sakti, S., Wu, Y., Nakamura, S., 2019a. A framework for knowing who is doing what in aerial surveillance videos. IEEE Access 7, 93315–93325.
- [84] Yang, F., Wu, Y., Sakti, S., Nakamura, S., 2019b. Make skeleton-based action recognition model smaller, faster and better, in: Proceedings of the ACM Multimedia Asia, pp. 1–6.
- [85] Yang, X., Yang, X., Liu, M.Y., Xiao, F., Davis, L.S., Kautz, J., 2019c. Step: Spatio-temporal progressive learning for video action detection, in: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 264–272.
- [86] Yooyoung, Y., Fiscus, J., Godil, A., Joy, D., Delgado, A., Golden, J., 2019. Actev18: Human activity detection evaluation for extended videos, in: 2019 IEEE Winter Applications of Computer Vision Workshops (WACVW), IEEE. pp. 1–8.
- [87] Yuan, Y., Qi, L., Lu, X., 2016. Action recognition by joint learning. Image and Vision Computing 55, 77–85.
- [88] Zhang, L., Li, Y., Nevatia, R., 2008. Global data association for multi-object tracking using network flows, in: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, pp. 1–8.
- [89] Zhang, S., Wang, J., Wang, Z., Gong, Y., Liu, Y., 2015. Multi-target tracking by learning local-to-global trajectory models. Pattern Recognition 48, 580–590.
- [90] Zhang, Y., Wang, C., Wang, X., Zeng, W., Liu, W., 2020. A simple baseline for multi-object tracking. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.01888 URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.01888>.
- [91] Zhao, H., Torralba, A., Torresani, L., Yan, Z., 2019. Hacs: Human action clips and segments dataset for recognition and temporal localization, in: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 8668–8678.
- [92] Zhou, X., Koltun, V., Krähenbühl, P., 2020. Tracking objects as points. ECCV .
- [93] Zhu, H., Vial, R., Lu, S., 2017. Tornado: A spatio-temporal convolutional regression network for video action proposal, in: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 5813–5821.
- [94] Zolfaghari, M., Oliveira, G.L., Sedaghat, N., Brox, T., 2017. Chained multi-stream networks exploiting pose, motion, and appearance for action classification and detection, in: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 2904–2913.

- [95] Zolfaghari, M., Singh, K., Brox, T., 2018. Eco: Efficient convolutional network for online video understanding, in: Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV), pp. 695–712.