

HECKE OPERATORS IN MORAVA  $E$ -THEORIES OF DIFFERENT HEIGHTS

TAKESHI TORII

ABSTRACT. There is a natural action of a kind of Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory of spaces. We construct Hecke operators in an amalgamated cohomology theory of the  $n$ th and the  $(n+1)$ st Morava  $E$ -theories. These operations are natural extensions of the Hecke operators in the  $(n+1)$ st Morava  $E$ -theory, and they induce an action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory of spaces. We study a relationship between the actions of the Hecke algebras  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory, and show that the  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure is obtained from the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -module structure by the restriction along an algebra homomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_n$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The stable homotopy category localized at a prime number  $p$  has a filtration called the chromatic filtration. Subquotients of the filtration are said to be monochromatic categories. It is known that the  $n$ th monochromatic category is equivalent to the  $K(n)$ -local category, where  $K(n)$  is the  $n$ th Morava  $K$ -theory. Thus, the stable homotopy category localized at  $p$  can be considered to be constructed from various  $K(n)$ -local categories [18, 17, 20, 5, 10, 21]. Therefore, it is important to understand the relationship between  $K(n)$ -local categories.

The study for relationships between  $K(n)$ -local categories of different heights is called transchromatic homotopy theory and it has been done by many authors. Greenlees-Sadofsky [8] showed that the Tate cohomology of  $K(n)$  is trivial for any finite group, which implies that the Tate cohomology of a complex-oriented and  $v_n$ -periodic spectrum is  $v_{n-1}$ -periodic. Hovey-Sadofsky [14] generalized this result and showed that the Tate cohomology of an  $E(n)$ -local spectrum is  $E(n-1)$ -local, where  $E(n)$  is the  $n$ th Johnson-Wilson spectrum. Ando-Morava-Sadofsky [4] constructed a splitting of a completion of the  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -Tate cohomology of  $E(n)$  into a wedge of  $E(n-1)$ . In [28] we generalized this result and constructed a splitting of a completion of the  $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^k$ -geometric fixed points spectrum of  $E_n$  into a wedge of  $E_{n-k}$ , where  $E_n$  is the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory. These works are related to the chromatic splitting conjecture (cf. [13]).

Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel character theory [11, 12] is another direction of study for relationships between  $K(n)$ -local categories. It describes the  $E_n$ -cohomology of classifying spaces of finite groups tensored with the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers in terms of generalized group characters. This can be considered as a result for a relationship between the  $K(n)$ -local category and the  $K(0)$ -local category. In [4, 29, 30] we constructed a generalization of Chern character which is a multiplicative natural transformation of cohomology theories from  $E_n$  to an extension of  $E_{n-1}$ . In [32] we studied the generalized Chern character of classifying spaces of finite groups by using Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel character theory. Stapleton [25, 26] generalized Hopkins-Kuhn-Ravenel character theory, and gave a description of the Borel equivariant  $E_n$ -cohomology tensored with an extension of  $L_{K(t)}E_n$  for  $0 \leq t < n$ , where  $L_{K(t)}$  is the Bousfield localization functor with respect to  $K(t)$ , by

---

*Date:* October 12, 2022 (version 2.1).

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55N22; Secondary 14L05, 55S25.

*Key words and phrases.* Morava  $E$ -theory, Hecke operator,  $p$ -divisible group, level structure.

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22540087 and 25400092.

using the language of  $p$ -divisible groups. This work can be considered as a study for a relationship between the  $K(n)$ -local category and the  $K(t)$ -local category for  $0 \leq t < n$ . Lurie [16] further generalized this work and put it in a more general framework by introducing a notion of tempered cohomology theories.

The  $K(n)$ -local category can be studied by the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$  with action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_n$ . For example,  $E_n$ -based Adams spectral sequences strongly converge to the homotopy groups of  $K(n)$ -local spectra. The  $E_2$ -pages of the spectral sequences are described in terms of the derived functor  $\text{Ext}$  in the category of twisted continuous  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -modules over  $\pi_*(E_n)$  under some conditions. Thus, we would like to understand a relationship between the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$  with  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -action and the  $(n+1)$ st Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_{n+1}$  with  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -action.

For this purpose, we constructed a generalization of the classical Chern character

$$\text{ch} : E_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n$$

in [29, 30], which is a map of ring spectra from the chromatic height  $(n+1)$  complex orientable spectrum  $E_{n+1}$  to a chromatic height  $n$  complex orientable spectrum  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . This type of generalization of the classical Chern character was first considered by Ando-Morava-Sadofsky [4]. In [31] we showed that the generalized Chern character  $\text{ch}$  can be lifted to a map of  $E_\infty$ -ring spectra, and the spectrum  $\mathbb{B}_n$  can be identified with  $L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge E_{n+1})$ . Under this identification, the generalized Chern character  $\text{ch} : E_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n$  is the inclusion into the second factor of the smash product in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . We define a map of  $E_\infty$ -ring spectra

$$\text{inc} : E_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n$$

to be the inclusion into the first factor of the smash product in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ .

Hecke operators are defined by using power operations. In [7] Goerss-Hopkins showed that Morava  $E$ -theory supports an  $E_\infty$ -ring spectrum structure which is unique up to homotopy. The  $E_\infty$ -ring structure on  $E_n$  gives rise to power operations, and hence Hecke operators. See, for example, Ando-Hopkins-Strickland [3], Rezk [23], and Ganter [6]. But Hecke operators in Morava  $E$ -theory were first defined by Ando in [1, 2], where he used power operations in the complex cobordism to produce power operations in Morava  $E$ -theory. In the end there is a natural action of a kind of Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on  $E_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$ .

In this paper we consider a relationship between the Hecke operators in  $E_n$  and those in  $E_{n+1}$ . For this purpose, we construct Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory

$$\tilde{\text{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$$

for any space  $X$  and each finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  with  $p$ -rank  $\leq n+1$ . The operations  $\tilde{\text{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  are natural extensions of the Hecke operators in  $E_{n+1}$ . The following is our first main theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 3.32). *Assigning the Hecke operator  $\tilde{\text{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  to a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  with  $p$ -rank  $\leq n+1$ , there is a natural action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$  such that  $\text{ch} : E_{n+1}^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  is a map of  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -modules.*

Let  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  be the  $(n+1)$ st extended Morava stabilizer group. In [30] we showed that there is a natural action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  and that the map  $\text{inc}$  induces a natural isomorphism

$$E_n^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{B}_n^0(X))^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}$$

for any spectrum  $X$ , where the right hand side is the  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -invariant submodule of  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$ . We shall show that the action of  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  commutes with the action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ . This implies the following theorem, which is our second main theorem.

**Theorem 1.2** (Theorem 3.33). *There is a natural action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on  $E_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$  such that  $\text{inc} : E_n^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  is a map of  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -modules.*

By these two theorems, we have the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -module structure and the  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on  $E_n^0(X)$ , and we shall compare these two structures. For this purpose, we shall construct an algebra homomorphism

$$\omega : \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_n,$$

and prove the following theorem, which is our third main theorem.

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 4.9). *The  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on  $E_n^0(X)$  is obtained from the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -module structure by the restriction along  $\omega$ .*

In [33] we studied a relation between the category of modules over the algebra of power operations in the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory and that in the  $(n+1)$ st Morava  $E$ -theory by the same technique in this paper.

**Overview 1.4.** In §2 we first review the construction of Hecke operators in Morava  $E$ -theory. We also study a natural action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_n$  on level structures on the formal group associated to the Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$ . As a result, we show that the action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on  $E_n^0(X)$  commutes with the action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ . In §3 we construct Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory. For this purpose, we introduce and study level structures on a  $p$ -divisible group. We show that functors for level structures of given type on the  $p$ -divisible group associated to  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory are representable by finite products of complete regular local rings. Using this result, we construct additive unstable operations in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory, which are natural extensions of the power operations in  $E_{n+1}$ -theory. After that, we define Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory by assembling these operations, show that the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  naturally acts on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$ , and prove Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.32). Furthermore, we study a natural  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -action on representing rings for level structures on the  $p$ -divisible group associated to  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory. We show that the action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  commutes with the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ , and prove Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.33). In §4 we compare the Hecke operators in  $E_n$ -theory with those in  $E_{n+1}$ -theory via  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory. We discuss the restriction of the Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory to  $E_n$ -theory, and show that it can be written in terms of the Hecke operators in  $E_n$ -theory. Using this result, we construct a ring homomorphism  $\omega$  from  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , and prove Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 4.9).

**Notation 1.5.** In this paper we fix a prime number  $p$  and a positive integer  $n$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, and let  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  be its fraction field. For a set  $S$ , we denote by  $|S|$  the cardinality of  $S$ , and by  $\mathbb{Z}[S]$  the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by  $S$ . For a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$ , we denote the  $p$ -rank of  $M$  by  $p\text{-rank}(M)$ . We let  $M[p^r]$  be the kernel of the multiplication map  $p^r : M \rightarrow M$  for a nonnegative integer  $r$ .

We write  $\mathcal{CL}$  for the category of complete Noetherian local rings with residue field of characteristic  $p$  and local ring homomorphisms. For  $R \in \mathcal{CL}$ , we let  $\mathcal{CL}_R$  be the under category  $\mathcal{CL}_{R/}$ . For a (formal) scheme  $\mathbf{X}$  over  $R \in \mathcal{CL}$  and a morphism  $f : R \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathcal{CL}$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{X}_S$  or  $f^*\mathbf{X}$  the base change of  $\mathbf{X}$  along  $f$ . We let  $\mathbf{G}^0$  be the identity component of a  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{G}$  over  $R \in \mathcal{CL}$ .

## 2. HECKE OPERATORS IN MORAVA $E$ -THEORY

In [7] Goerss-Hopkins showed that Morava  $E$ -theory supports an  $E_\infty$ -ring structure which is unique up to homotopy. This induces power operations in Morava  $E$ -theory of spaces, and we can define Hecke operators by using power operations. In this section we review the construction of Hecke operators in Morava  $E$ -theory and study their compatibility with the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group.

**2.1. Morava  $E$ -theory.** In this subsection we fix notation of Morava  $E$ -theory.

The  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$  is an even periodic commutative ring spectrum. The degree 0 coefficient ring  $E_n^0 = \pi_0(E_n)$  is given by

$$W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})\langle\langle w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} \rangle\rangle,$$

where  $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  with  $p^n$  elements. Since  $E_n$  is even periodic, we have an associated degree 0 one dimensional formal Lie group  $\mathbf{F}_n$  over  $E_n^0$ , which is a universal deformation of the Honda formal group  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{G}_n$  the  $n$ th extended Morava stabilizer group, which is a semidirect product of the automorphism group  $\mathbb{S}_n$  of the Honda formal group  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  with the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  over the prime field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ :

$$\mathbb{G}_n = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p) \ltimes \mathbb{S}_n.$$

**2.2. Level structures on formal Lie groups.** In this subsection we review level structures on one dimensional formal Lie groups (cf. [27]).

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a one dimensional formal Lie group over  $R \in \mathcal{CL}$  which has finite height. First, we recall the definition of divisors on  $\mathbf{X}$ . A divisor on  $\mathbf{X}$  is a closed subscheme which is finite and flat over  $R$ . We can associate to a section  $s \in \mathbf{X}(R)$  a divisor  $[s]$  on  $\mathbf{X}$ . If we take a coordinate  $x$  of  $\mathbf{X}$ , then the divisor  $[s]$  is the closed subscheme  $\text{Spf}(R[[x]]/(x - x(s)))$ , where  $x(s)$  is the image of  $x$  under the map  $s^* : R[[x]] \rightarrow R$ .

Suppose that we have a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  of abelian groups, where  $M$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group. We can define a divisor  $[\phi(M)]$  on  $\mathbf{X}$  by

$$[\phi(M)] = \sum_{m \in M} [\phi(m)].$$

If we take a coordinate  $x$  of  $\mathbf{X}$ , then the divisor  $[\phi(M)]$  is the closed subscheme  $\text{Spf}(R[[x]]/(f(x)))$ , where  $f(x) = \prod_{m \in M} (x - x(\phi(m)))$ .

Next, we recall the definition of level structures on  $\mathbf{X}$ . For a nonnegative integer  $r$ , we have a closed subgroup scheme  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]$  which is the kernel of the map  $p^r : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ . A homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  is said to be a level  $M$ -structure if the divisor  $[\phi(M[p])]$  is a closed subscheme of  $\mathbf{X}[p]$ . Note that  $[\phi(M[p^r])]$  is a closed subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]$  for all  $r \geq 0$  if  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  is a level  $M$ -structure by [27, Proposition 32].

**Definition 2.1.** We define a functor

$$\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X})$$

from  $\mathcal{CL}_R$  to the category of sets by assigning to  $S \in \mathcal{CL}_R$  the set of all level  $M$ -structures on  $\mathbf{X}_S$ .

By [27, Proposition 22], the functor  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X})$  is representable, that is, there exists  $D(M, \mathbf{X}) \in \mathcal{CL}_R$  such that

$$\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}) = \text{Spf}(D(M, \mathbf{X})).$$

Note that  $D(M, \mathbf{X})$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Furthermore,  $D(M, \mathbf{X})$  is a regular local ring if  $\mathbf{X}$  is a universal deformation of the formal group on the closed point (see [27, Theorem 23]).

Next, we consider functoriality of  $D(M, \mathbf{X})$  with respect to  $M$ . If  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  is a level  $M$ -structure and  $u : N \rightarrow M$  is a monomorphism of abelian  $p$ -groups, then the composition  $\phi \circ u : N \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  is a level  $N$ -structure. Hence we obtain a natural transformation  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \text{Level}(N, \mathbf{X})$ , which induces a map

$$D(N, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{X})$$

in  $\mathcal{CL}_R$ .

Finally, we recall quotient formal Lie groups. Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  be a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}$ . Then the divisor  $[\phi(M)]$  is a finite subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{X}$ , and we can construct a quotient formal Lie group  $\mathbf{X}/[\phi(M)]$  over  $R$  (see [27, Theorem 19]).

**2.3. The action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  on  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$ .** In this subsection we study an action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_n$  on the representing ring  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  of level structures on  $\mathbf{F}_n$ .

First, we recall that the action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  on the Honda formal group  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  extends to an action on its universal deformation  $\mathbf{F}_n$  over  $E_n^0$ . We will show that this action further extends to an action on the functor  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  and its representing ring  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  for any abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  (cf. [32, §7]).

Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_n(R)$  be a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{F}_n$  over  $R \in \mathcal{CL}_{E_n^0}$ . For any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_n$ , the composite

$$M \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{F}_n(R) \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{F}_n(R')$$

is a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{F}_n$  over  $R' \in \mathcal{CL}_{E_n^0}$ , where  $R'$  is a local  $E_n^0$ -algebra given by the composite  $E_n^0 \xrightarrow{g} E_n^0 \rightarrow R$ . This induces a natural transformation

$$g : \text{Level}(M, \mathbf{F}_n) \longrightarrow \text{Level}(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$$

over  $g : \text{Spf}(E_n^0) \rightarrow \text{Spf}(E_n^0)$ . Hence we obtain an action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  on the functor  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  which is compatible with the action on  $\text{Spf}(E_n^0)$ . In other words, we obtain an action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  on its representing ring  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  which is compatible with the action on  $E_n^0$ .

By the construction of the action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$  on level structures, it is easy to obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any monomorphism  $N \rightarrow M$  of finite abelian  $p$ -groups, the induced ring homomorphism  $D(N, \mathbf{F}_n) \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  is  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant.*

**2.4. Power operations in Morava  $E$ -theory.** In this subsection we recall the construction of power operations in Morava  $E$ -theory and show that they are equivariant with respect to the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group.

For a space  $X$ , we denote by  $\Sigma_+^\infty X$  the suspension spectrum of  $X$  with a disjoint base point. By [7], Morava  $E$ -theory  $E_n$  is an  $E_\infty$ -ring spectrum which is unique up to homotopy. In particular, we have a structure map

$$\nu_k : \Sigma_+^\infty E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} E_n^{\wedge k} \longrightarrow E_n,$$

where  $\Sigma_k$  is the symmetric group of degree  $k$ , and  $E\Sigma_k$  is its universal space. For a map  $f : \Sigma_+^\infty X \rightarrow E_n$ , by the composition

$$\Sigma_+^\infty (E\Sigma_k \times_{\Sigma_k} X^{\times k}) \cong \Sigma_+^\infty E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} \Sigma_+ X^{\wedge k} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge f^{\wedge k}} \Sigma_+^\infty E\Sigma_k \wedge_{\Sigma_k} E_n^{\wedge k} \xrightarrow{\nu_k} E_n,$$

we obtain a natural transformation

$$p_k : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(E\Sigma_k \times_{\Sigma_k} X^{\times k}).$$

The inclusion map of the diagonal  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X^{\times k}$  induces a map  $(1 \times \Delta)^* : E_n^0(E\Sigma_k \times_{\Sigma_k} X^{\times k}) \rightarrow E_n^0(E\Sigma_k \times_{\Sigma_k} X) \cong E_n^0(B\Sigma_k) \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X)$ . A power operation

$$P_k : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(B\Sigma_k) \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X)$$

is defined by

$$P_k = (1 \times \Delta)^* \circ p_k.$$

Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $k$ . We fix a bijection between  $G$  and the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . The left multiplication by an element in  $G$  induces an automorphism of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Hence we can regard  $G$  as a subgroup of  $\Sigma_k$ . The inclusion  $i : G \hookrightarrow \Sigma_k$  induces a map  $Bi : BG \rightarrow B\Sigma_k$  of classifying spaces. Note that the homotopy class of  $Bi$  is independent of the choice of a bijection between  $G$  and  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . We define a power operation

$$P_G : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(BG) \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X)$$

by the composition

$$P_G = (Bi^* \otimes 1) \circ P_k.$$

For a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$ , we set  $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, S^1)$ , where  $S^1$  is the circle group. By [9, Proposition 2.9], the functor  $\text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  over  $\text{Spf}(E_n^0)$  is represented by  $E_n^0(BM^*)$ , that is,

$$\text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{F}_n) = \text{Spf}(E_n^0(BM^*)).$$

Since the closed subscheme  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  of  $\text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  is represented by  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$ , we have a local  $E_n^0$ -algebra homomorphism

$$R : E_n^0(BM^*) \longrightarrow D(M, \mathbf{F}_n).$$

Using the  $E_n^0$ -algebra  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$ , we define an extension of Morava  $E$ -theory.

**Definition 2.3.** For a space (or a spectrum)  $X$ , we set

$$E(M)_n^*(X) = D(M, \mathbf{F}_n) \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^*(X).$$

Since  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  is a finitely generated free  $E_n^0$ -module, the functor  $E(M)_n^*(X)$  is a generalized cohomology theory.

By the diagonal action, the group  $\mathbb{G}_n$  acts on  $E(M)_n^*(X)$  as multiplicative stable cohomology operations. For a monomorphism  $N \rightarrow M$  of finite abelian  $p$ -groups, we have a multiplicative stable cohomology operation  $E(N)_n^*(X) \rightarrow E(M)_n^*(X)$ , which is  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant by Lemma 2.2.

**Definition 2.4.** We define an operation

$$\Psi_M^{E_n} : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E(M)_n^0(X)$$

by the composition

$$\Psi_M^{E_n} = (R \otimes 1) \circ P_{M^*}.$$

The operation  $\Psi_M^{E_n}$  is a ring operation (see [1, Theorem 3.4.4] or [3, Lemma 3.10]).

When  $X$  is a one point space, we obtain a ring homomorphism

$$\Psi_M^{E_n} : E_n^0 \longrightarrow E(M)_n^0 = D(M, \mathbf{F}_n).$$

This map classifies the quotient formal group  $i^*\mathbf{F}_n/[\phi_{\text{univ}}(M)]$ , where  $i : E_n^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  is the structure map and  $\phi_{\text{univ}}$  is the universal level  $M$ -structure on  $i^*\mathbf{F}_n$ . When  $X$  is the infinite complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ , the map  $\Psi_M^{E_n} : E_n^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \rightarrow E(M)_n^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$  induces an isogeny

$$\Psi_M^{E_n} : i^*\mathbf{F}_n \longrightarrow \Psi_M^*\mathbf{F}_n$$

with  $\ker \Psi_M^{E_n} = [\phi_{\text{univ}}(M)]$  (see [1, §§3.3 and 3.4] or [3, Proposition 3.21]).

Next, we consider compatibility of power operations  $\Psi_M^{E_n}$  with the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *The operation  $\Psi_M^{E_n} : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E(M)_n^0(X)$  is  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $P_{M^*}$  and  $R$  are  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant. Recall that  $\mathbb{G}_n$  acts on  $E_n$  as  $E_\infty$ -ring spectrum maps. This implies that  $P_{M^*}$  is  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant. By the construction of the action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ , the map of functors  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{F}_n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  is  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant. Thus,  $R : E_n^0(BM^*) \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  is also  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant.  $\square$

**2.5. Hecke operators in Morava  $E$ -theory.** In this subsection we review Hecke operators in Morava  $E$ -theory (see, for example, [6, 22, 2, 3]). We also study their compatibility with the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group.

First, we recall the construction of Hecke operators in Morava  $E$ -theory. Let  $\Lambda^n = (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ . We set

$$D_n(r) = D(\Lambda^n[p^r], \mathbf{F}_n).$$

The inclusion  $\Lambda^n[p^r] \rightarrow \Lambda^n[p^{r+1}]$  of finite abelian  $p$ -groups induces a local  $E_n^0$ -algebra homomorphism  $D_n(r) \rightarrow D_n(r+1)$ . We define an  $E_n^0$ -algebra  $D_n$  to be a colimit of  $\{D_n(r)\}_{r \geq 0}$ :

$$D_n = \text{colim}_r D_n(r).$$

For a subgroup  $M$  of  $\Lambda^n$ , we let  $\mathbf{I}_M : D(M, \mathbf{F}_n) \rightarrow D_n$  be the  $E_n^0$ -algebra homomorphism induced by the inclusion. We define an operation

$$\Phi_M^{E_n} : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow D_n \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X)$$

by the composition

$$\Phi_M^{E_n} = (\mathbf{I}_M \otimes 1) \circ \Psi_M^{E_n}.$$

We would like to have operations from  $E_n^0(X)$  to  $E_n^0(X)$ . For this purpose, we consider a sum of operations  $\Phi_M^{E_n}$  for all subgroups of  $\Lambda^n$  isomorphic to a given finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$ . Then we can show that it factors through  $E_n^0(X)$  by an invariance with respect to the Galois group of  $D_n$  over  $E_n^0$ .

For a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$ , we let  $m_n(M)$  be the set of all subgroups of  $\Lambda^n$  isomorphic to  $M$ :

$$m_n(M) = \{M' \leq \Lambda^n \mid M' \cong M\}.$$

Note that  $m_n(M)$  is a finite set and that  $m_n(M) = \emptyset$  if  $p\text{-rank}(M) > n$ . By [22, §14.2], there is an unstable additive cohomology operation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_M : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(X)$$

such that

$$(i \otimes 1) \circ \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_M = \sum_{M' \in m_n(M)} \Phi_{M'}^{E_n},$$

where  $i : E_n^0 \rightarrow D_n$  is the  $E_n^0$ -algebra structure map of  $D_n$ .

In the same way there is an unstable additive operation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}(p^r) : E_n^0(X) \longrightarrow E_n^0(X)$$

for a nonnegative integer  $r$  such that

$$(i \otimes 1) \circ \tilde{\mathbf{T}}(p^r) = \sum_{\substack{M' \leq \Lambda^n \\ |M'| = p^r}} \Phi_{M'}^{E_n},$$

where the sum ranges over the subgroups  $M'$  of  $\Lambda^n$  such that the order  $|M'|$  is  $p^r$ .

Now, we recall an algebra of Hecke operators associated to  $(\Delta_n, \Gamma_n)$ , where  $\Delta_n = \text{End}(\Lambda^n) \cap \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\Gamma_n = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  (cf. [24, Chapter 3] and [22, §14.1]). We denote by  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n]$  the monoid

ring of  $\Delta_n$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and by  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n/\Gamma_n]$  the left  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n]$ -module spanned by cosets. We define an algebra  $\mathcal{H}_n$  to be the endomorphism ring of the left  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n]$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n/\Gamma_n]$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n/\Gamma_n]).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n \backslash \Delta_n / \Gamma_n]$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, where  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n \backslash \Delta_n / \Gamma_n]$  is the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by double cosets. We identify  $\Gamma_n \backslash \Delta_n / \Gamma_n$  with the set of all isomorphism classes of finite abelian  $p$ -groups of  $p$ -rank  $\leq n$  by associating  $\ker \alpha$  to the double coset  $\Gamma_n \alpha \Gamma_n$ . For a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  of  $p$ -rank  $\leq n$ , the associated endomorphism  $\tilde{M} : \mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n/\Gamma_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Delta_n/\Gamma_n]$  is given by  $\Gamma_n \mapsto \sum \alpha \Gamma_n$ , where the sum is taken over  $\alpha \Gamma_n \in \Delta_n/\Gamma_n$  such that  $\ker \alpha \cong M$ .

The composition in  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is given as follows. For finite abelian  $p$ -groups  $L, M, N$  with  $p$ -rank  $\leq n$ , we let  $K_n(M, N; L)$  be the set of all the pairs  $(M', L')$  of subgroups of  $\Lambda^n$  such that  $M' \subset L'$ ,  $L' \cong L$ ,  $M' \cong M$ , and  $L'/M' \cong N$ . We set

$$c_n(M, N; L) = \frac{|K_n(M, N; L)|}{|m_n(L)|}.$$

The composition in  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is given by

$$\tilde{M} \cdot \tilde{N} = \sum c_n(M, N; L) \tilde{L}$$

(cf. [24, Proposition 3.15]). By [24, Theorem 3.20],  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is a polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the endomorphisms associated to  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus k}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{H}_n$  is a commutative algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

In [22, §14] it is shown that there is a natural action of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on  $E_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$ . Assigning to  $\tilde{M}$  the Hecke operator  $\tilde{T}_M$ , we obtain a natural  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -module structure on  $E_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$  by [22, Proposition 14.3].

Now, we consider compatibility of Hecke operators  $\tilde{T}_M$  and  $\tilde{T}(p^r)$  with the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *The operations  $\tilde{T}_M$  and  $\tilde{T}(p^r)$  are  $\mathbb{G}_n$ -equivariant.*

*Proof.* The proposition follows from Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *The action of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  on  $E_n^0(X)$  commutes with the action of  $\mathbb{G}_n$ .*

### 3. HECKE OPERATORS IN $\mathbb{B}_n$ -THEORY

In [30] we defined an even periodic ring spectrum  $\mathbb{B}_n$  that connects the Morava  $E$ -theories  $E_n$  and  $E_{n+1}$ . In this section we construct unstable additive operations in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ , which are extensions of power operations in  $E_{n+1}$ . Using these operations, we define Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory.

**3.1.  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory.** In this subsection we recall an even periodic commutative ring spectrum  $\mathbb{B}_n$  which is an extension of  $E_n$  and  $E_{n+1}$ . We show that the degree 0 coefficient ring  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$  classifies isomorphisms between  $p$ -divisible groups associated to  $E_n$  and  $E_{n+1}$ .

First, we introduce a spectrum  $\mathbb{A}_n$  which is defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_n = L_{K(n)} E_{n+1},$$

where  $K(n)$  is the  $n$ th Morava  $K$ -theory spectrum and  $L_{K(n)}$  is the Bousfield localization functor with respect to  $K(n)$ . The spectrum  $\mathbb{A}_n$  is an even periodic commutative ring spectrum. In order to distinguish generators of  $E_n^0$  and  $E_{n+1}^0$ , we write  $E_{n+1}^0 = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}})[[u_1, \dots, u_n]]$ . Then the degree 0 coefficient ring of  $\mathbb{A}_n$  is given by

$$\mathbb{A}_n^0 = (W((u_n)))_p^\wedge[[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]],$$

where  $W = W(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}})$ .



We describe a relationship between the formal groups of  $E_{n+1}$  and  $\mathbb{A}_n$ . Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{F}_{n+1}[p^\infty]$  associated to the formal group  $\mathbf{F}_{n+1}$  over  $E_{n+1}^0$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}$  the base change of  $\mathbf{G}$  along the map  $E_{n+1}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_n^0$ . There is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\text{et}} \rightarrow 0$  of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $\mathbb{A}_n^0$ , where  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0$  is connected and  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\text{et}}$  is étale. The  $p$ -divisible group associated to the formal group of  $\mathbb{A}_n$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0$ .

Next, we introduce a spectrum  $\mathbb{B}_n$  which is an amalgamation of  $E_n$  and  $E_{n+1}$ . We define a spectrum  $\mathbb{B}_n$  by

$$\mathbb{B}_n = L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge E_{n+1}).$$

The spectrum  $\mathbb{B}_n$  is also an even periodic commutative ring spectrum. We have ring spectrum maps

$$\text{inc} : E_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n, \quad \text{ch} : E_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n,$$

where  $\text{inc}$  is the inclusion into the first smash factor, and  $\text{ch}$  is the inclusion into the second smash factor of  $\mathbb{B}_n$ . Since  $\mathbb{B}_n$  is  $K(n)$ -local, the map  $\text{ch}$  induces a map of ring spectra

$$\text{j} : \mathbb{A}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n.$$

In the following of this paper we will use same symbols for induced ring homomorphisms on  $\pi_0$ .

We regard the degree 0 coefficient ring  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$  as an extension of  $\mathbb{A}_n^0$  along  $\text{j}$ . Then  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$  is a complete regular local ring with maximal ideal  $(p, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$  and that its residue field is a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}}((u_n))$  with Galois group isomorphic to the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_n$  (see [30, §4]).

Now, we study a functor represented by the degree 0 coefficient ring  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{F}_n[p^\infty]$  associated to the formal group  $\mathbf{F}_n$  over  $E_n^0$ . We suppose that there are two maps  $f : \mathbb{A}_n^0 \rightarrow R$  and  $g : E_n^0 \rightarrow R$  in  $\mathcal{CL}$ . Then we have two connected  $p$ -divisible groups  $f^*\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0$  and  $g^*\mathbf{H}$  over  $R$ . We denote by

$$\text{Iso}(f^*\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0, g^*\mathbf{H})$$

the set of all isomorphisms of  $p$ -divisible groups between  $f^*\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0$  and  $g^*\mathbf{H}$ , and by

$$\text{Hom}_{f,g}^c(\mathbb{B}_n^0, R)$$

the set of all maps of local rings  $h : \mathbb{B}_n^0 \rightarrow R$  such that  $h \circ \text{j} = f$  and  $h \circ \text{inc} = g$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a bijection between  $\text{Iso}(f^*\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0, g^*\mathbf{H})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{f,g}^c(\mathbb{B}_n^0, R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\text{MP}$  be the periodic complex bordism spectrum. We have  $\pi_0(E_n \wedge \mathbb{A}_n) = E_n^0 \otimes_{\text{MP}_0} \text{MP}_0(\text{MP}) \otimes_{\text{MP}_0} \mathbb{A}_n^0$ . Since  $\mathbb{B}_n \simeq L_{K(n)}(E_n \wedge \mathbb{A}_n)$ , the coefficient ring  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$  is a completion of  $\pi_0(E_n \wedge \mathbb{A}_n)$  at the ideal  $I_n = (p, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ . The lemma follows from the fact that  $\text{MP}_0(\text{MP})$  classifies isomorphisms of formal group laws.  $\square$

**3.2. Level structures on  $p$ -divisible groups.** In this subsection we recall the definition of level structures on  $p$ -divisible groups. If a  $p$ -divisible group is obtained from a one dimensional formal group, then we study a relationship between level structures on them.

Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a  $p$ -divisible group over a commutative ring  $R$ . We assume that the finite subgroup scheme  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]$  is embeddable in a smooth curve in the sense of [15, (1.2.1)] for any  $r \geq 0$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $M$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group. A homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  is said to be a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}$  if the induced homomorphism  $\phi[p^r] : M[p^r] \rightarrow \mathbf{X}[p^r](R)$  is an  $M[p^r]$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]$  in the sense of [15, Remark 1.10.10] for any  $r \geq 0$ .

**Definition 3.3.** We define a functor

$$\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X})$$

over  $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$  by assigning to an  $R$ -algebra  $U$  the set of all the level  $M$ -structures on  $\mathbf{X}_U$ . By [15, Lemma 1.3.4], the functor  $\mathrm{Level}(M, \mathbf{X})$  is representable. We denote by  $D(M, \mathbf{X})$  the representing  $R$ -algebra so that

$$\mathrm{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}) = \mathrm{Spec}(D(M, \mathbf{X})).$$

Now, we suppose that there exists a one dimensional formal Lie group  $\mathbf{X}'$  of finite height over  $R' \in \mathcal{CL}$  and that  $\mathbf{X}$  is obtained from the associated  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{X}'[p^\infty]$  by base change along a ring homomorphism  $R' \rightarrow R$ . By taking a coordinate  $x$  of  $\mathbf{X}'$ , we have a  $p^r$ -series  $[p^r](x) \in R'[[x]]$  of the associated formal group law. The Weierstrass preparation theorem implies that there is a unique monic polynomial  $g_r(x) \in R'[x]$  such that  $[p^r](x)$  is a unit multiple of  $g_r(x)$  in  $R'[[x]]$ . In this case the subgroup scheme  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]$  is given by

$$\mathbf{X}[p^r] \cong \mathrm{Spec}(R[x]/(g_r(x))).$$

Note that we can embed  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]$  in the smooth curve  $\mathrm{Spec}(R[x])$  for any  $r \geq 0$ .

We shall describe the above definition of level  $M$ -structure more explicitly. For a section  $s \in \mathbf{X}[p^r](U)$ , we denote by  $x(s)$  the image of  $x$  under the  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $s^* : R[x]/(g_r(x)) \rightarrow U$ . By [15, Lemma 1.10.11], there is at most one closed subgroup scheme  $K$  of  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]_U$  such that  $(K, \phi)$  is an  $M[p^r]$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]_U$ . If such a  $K$  exists, then the set  $\{\phi(m) \mid m \in M[p^r]\}$  is a full set of sections of  $K$  by [15, Theorem 1.10.1], and hence the product

$$(3.1) \quad \prod_{m \in M[p^r]} (x - x(\phi(m)))$$

divides  $g_r(x)$  in  $U[x]$ . Conversely, if the product (3.1) divides  $g_r(x)$ , then the divisor

$$K = \sum_{m \in M[p^r]} [\phi(m)]$$

formed in the smooth curve  $\mathrm{Spec}(U[x])$  is a subgroup scheme of  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]_U$  by using [27, Proposition 32], and hence  $(K, \phi)$  is an  $M[p^r]$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]_U$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is a level  $M$ -structure if and only if the product (3.1) divides  $g_r(x)$  in  $U[x]$  for any  $r \geq 0$ .

Recall that the functor  $\mathrm{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}')$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}(R')$  is represented by  $D(M, \mathbf{X}')$ . By the above argument, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbf{X}'$  be a one dimensional formal Lie group over  $R' \in \mathcal{CL}$  of finite height. If the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{X}$  is obtained from the associated  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{X}'[p^\infty]$  by base change along a map  $R' \rightarrow R$ , then*

$$D(M, \mathbf{X}) \cong R \otimes_{R'} D(M, \mathbf{X}').$$

**3.3. Extensions of  $p$ -divisible groups.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a  $p$ -divisible group over a commutative ring  $R$  such that  $\mathbf{X}[p^r]$  is embeddable in a smooth curve for any  $r \geq 0$ . We suppose that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{q} \mathbf{E} \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $p$ -divisible groups, where  $\mathbf{E}$  is étale. In this subsection we study a relationship between level structures on  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

The map  $q : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  induces a homomorphism  $q : \mathbf{X}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(R)$  of abelian groups. For a homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$ , we set  $N = \ker(q \circ \phi)$ . The restriction of  $\phi$  to  $N$  induces a homomorphism  $\phi' : N \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}(R)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *The homomorphism  $\phi$  is a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}$  if and only if the restriction  $\phi'$  is a level  $N$ -structure on  $\mathbf{Y}$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $r \geq 0$ , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N[p^r] & \longrightarrow & M[p^r] & \longrightarrow & M[p^r]/N[p^r] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi' & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Y}[p^r](R) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{X}[p^r](R) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{E}[p^r](R), & & \end{array}$$

where each row is exact and the right vertical arrow is injective. The proposition follows in the same way as in the proof of [15, Proposition 1.11.2].  $\square$

For a level  $M$ -structure  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$ , we have a finite flat subgroup scheme  $[\phi(M)]$  in  $\mathbf{X}$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{X}/[\phi(M)]$  the quotient as a fppf sheaf of abelian groups. By [19, Proposition 2.7],  $\mathbf{X}/[\phi(M)]$  is a  $p$ -divisible group. Using the snake lemma, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(R)$  be a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}$  and let  $\phi' : N \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}(R)$  be the induced level  $N$ -structure on  $\mathbf{Y}$  by Proposition 3.5, where  $N = \ker(q \circ \phi)$ . Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}/[\phi'(N)] \longrightarrow \mathbf{X}/[\phi(M)] \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}/(M/N)_R \longrightarrow 0$$

of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R$ , where  $(M/N)_R$  is a constant group scheme.

Next, we consider a decomposition of the representing ring  $D(M, \mathbf{X})$  of the functor  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X})$ . The map  $q : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  induces a natural transformation  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E})$  of functors. We identify  $\pi \in \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E})(R)$  with a map  $\pi : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E})$ .

**Definition 3.7.** For  $\pi \in \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E})(R)$ , we define a functor

$$\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi)$$

over  $\text{Spec}(R)$  to be the pullback of  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E})$  along  $\pi$ . Since  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X})$  is representable, so is  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi)$ . We define  $D(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi)$  to be the representing ring so that

$$\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi) = \text{Spec}(D(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi)).$$

By Proposition 3.5, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.8.** *For the zero homomorphism  $0 \in \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E})(R)$ , we have an isomorphism*

$$D(M, \mathbf{X}, 0) \cong D(M, \mathbf{Y}).$$

In the following of this subsection we assume that  $\mathbf{E}$  is constant. Then we have a decomposition

$$\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}) = \coprod_{\pi} \text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi),$$

where the coproduct ranges over  $\pi \in \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E}(R))$ . Hence we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.9.** *If  $\mathbf{E}$  is constant, then there exists a decomposition*

$$D(M, \mathbf{X}) \cong \prod_{\pi} D(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi),$$

where the product ranges over  $\pi \in \text{Hom}(M, \mathbf{E}(R))$ .

Next, we study the representing ring  $D(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi)$  for  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(R)$ . We set  $N = \ker \pi$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *We assume that  $R$  is a local ring with residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$  and that  $\mathbf{Y}_k$  is formal. If  $p\text{-rank}(N)$  is at most the height of  $\mathbf{Y}$ , then  $D(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi)$  is a local ring. Otherwise,  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi) = \emptyset$ , and hence  $D(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{k}$  be an algebraic closure of  $k$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{X}, \pi)(\bar{k})$  is an one element set if  $p\text{-rank}(N)$  is at most the height of  $\mathbf{Y}$  and that it is empty otherwise. Since the map  $q$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathbf{X}(\bar{k}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{E}(\bar{k})$  of abelian groups, there is at most one homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{X}(\bar{k})$  such that  $q \circ \phi = \pi$ . By Proposition 3.5,  $\phi$  is a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{X}_{\bar{k}}$  if and only if the restriction  $\phi'$  is a level  $N$ -structure on  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bar{k}}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bar{k}}$  is formal, this is the case if and only if  $p\text{-rank}(N)$  is at most the height of  $\mathbf{Y}_{\bar{k}}$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Level structures on  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$ .** Recall that  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{F}_{n+1}[p^\infty]$  is the  $p$ -divisible group associated to the formal Lie group  $\mathbf{F}_{n+1}$  over  $E_{n+1}^0$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$  the base change along the map  $\text{ch} : E_{n+1}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0$ . In this subsection we study level structures on the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$ .

First, we recall a connected-étale exact sequence associated to the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}$  be the  $p$ -divisible group obtained from  $\mathbf{H}$  by the base change along the map  $\text{inc} : E_n^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the  $p$ -divisible group associated to the formal Lie group  $\mathbf{F}_n$  over  $E_n^0$ . The maps  $\text{ch} : E_{n+1}^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$  and  $\text{inc} : E_n^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$  induce an isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}$$

of connected  $p$ -divisible groups, where  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}^0$  is the identity component of  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$ . By [32, Theorem 5.3], there is an exact sequence of  $p$ -divisible groups

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow 0$$

over  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ .

Next, we study the ring  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}})$  for a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$ . By Proposition 3.4, we have an isomorphism

$$D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}) \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} D(M, \mathbf{F}_{n+1})$$

of  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ -algebras. By Propositions 3.9 and 3.10, we have a decomposition

$$D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}) \cong \prod_{\pi} D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi),$$

where the product ranges over  $\pi \in \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$  such that  $p\text{-rank}(\ker(\pi)) \leq n$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *If  $p\text{-rank}(\ker(\pi)) \leq n$ , then  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  is a complete regular local ring.*

*Proof.* We set  $N = \ker(\pi)$ . For simplicity, we put  $D(M) = D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  and  $D(N) = D(N, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}})$ . By Proposition 3.10,  $D(M)$  is a complete local ring. If  $\pi = 0$ , then  $D(M)$  is isomorphic to  $D(N)$  by Proposition 3.8, and hence it is regular. Thus, we assume that  $\pi \neq 0$ . Since the Krull dimension of  $D(M)$  is  $n$ , it is sufficient to show that the maximal ideal of  $D(M)$  is generated by  $n$  elements.

Let  $R = E_{n+1}^0/(p, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}}[[u_n]]$ , and let  $\mathbf{V}$  be the formal group obtained from  $\mathbf{F}_{n+1}$  by base change along the map  $E_{n+1}^0 \rightarrow R$ . We suppose that  $M/N \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r$ . By the Weierstrass preparation theorem, there is a decomposition of the  $p^r$ -series  $[p^r](x)$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  as  $[p^r](x) = g_r(x^{p^{nr}})u_r(x)$ , where  $g_r(y)$  is a monic polynomial in  $R[y]$  of degree  $p^r$ , and  $u_r(x)$  is a unit in  $R[[x]]$ .

Let  $L$  be the residue field of the local ring  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ . The group scheme  $\mathbf{V}[p^r]_L$  over  $L$  is represented by the ring  $L[x]/(g_r(x^{p^{nr}}))$  and the étale quotient  $\mathbf{V}[p^r]_L^{\text{ét}}$  is represented by  $L[y]/(g_r(y))$ . Furthermore, the epimorphism  $q : \mathbf{V}[p^r]_L \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[p^r]_L^{\text{ét}}$  is represented by a ring homomorphism  $L[y]/(g_r(y)) \rightarrow L[x]/(g_r(x^{p^{nr}}))$  given by  $y \mapsto x^{p^{nr}}$ .

We fix an isomorphism  $\mathbf{V}[p^\infty]_L^{\text{ét}} \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_L$ , and take  $m \in M$  which is a generator in  $M/N$ . Let  $U$  be an  $L$ -algebra, and let  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{V}[p^\infty]_L(U)$  be a homomorphism such that  $\phi(N) = 0$  and  $q \circ \phi = \pi$ . By Proposition 3.5, we see that  $\phi$  is a level  $M$ -structure on  $\mathbf{V}[p^\infty]_U$ . Hence we obtain an isomorphism of functors

$$\text{Level}(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi) \times_{\text{Level}(N, \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}})} \text{Spec}(L) \cong \mathbf{V}[p^r]_L \times_{\mathbf{V}[p^r]_L^{\text{ét}}} \{\pi(m)\},$$

where  $\{\pi(m)\}$  is the connected component of  $\mathbf{V}[p^\infty]_L^{\text{et}} \cong (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_L$  corresponding to  $\pi(m) \in \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ . This implies an isomorphism of rings

$$D(M) \otimes_{D(N)} L \cong L[x] / \left( x^{p^{nr}} - \alpha_r \right),$$

where  $\alpha_r \in L$  is a root of  $g_r(y)$  corresponding to  $\{\pi(m)\}$ . By [32, Lemma 2.7], we see that  $L[x]/(x^{p^n} - \alpha_r)$  is a field. This implies that the maximal ideal of  $D(M)$  is  $\mathfrak{m}D(M)$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $D(N)$ . Since  $D(N)$  is regular of dimension  $n$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is generated by  $n$  elements. Hence the maximal ideal of  $D(M)$  is generated by  $n$  elements and  $D(M)$  is a regular local ring.  $\square$

Recall that  $\Lambda^{n+1} = (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{n+1}$ . For a nonnegative integer  $r$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathbb{B}}(r) &= D(\Lambda^{n+1}[p^r], \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}), \\ D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \pi) &= D(\Lambda^{n+1}[p^r], \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi : \Lambda^{n+1}[p^r] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a homomorphism. The inclusion  $\Lambda^{n+1}[p^r] \rightarrow \Lambda^{n+1}[p^{r+1}]$  induces a  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ -algebra homomorphism  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(r+1)$ , and we define a  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ -algebra  $D_{\mathbb{B}}$  to be a colimit of  $\{D_{\mathbb{B}}(r)\}_{r \geq 0}$ :

$$D_{\mathbb{B}} = \operatorname{colim}_r D_{\mathbb{B}}(r).$$

Note that  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r) \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} D_{n+1}(r)$  and  $D_{\mathbb{B}} \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} D_{n+1}$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$D_{\mathbb{B}}(r) \cong \prod_{\pi} D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \pi),$$

where the product ranges over the surjective homomorphisms  $\pi : \Lambda^{n+1}[p^r] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)[p^r]$ .

*Proof.* The proposition follows from Propositions 3.9 and 3.10 since  $p\text{-rank}(\ker(\pi)) > n$  if  $\pi$  is not surjective to  $(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)[p^r]$ .  $\square$

Now, we study an action of the automorphism group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda^{n+1}[p^r])$  on  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r)$ . For simplicity, we set

$$G(r) = \operatorname{Aut}(\Lambda^{n+1}[p^r]).$$

Note that  $G(r)$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})$  and acts on the ring  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r)$ . Let  $P(r, \pi)$  be the subgroup of  $G(r)$  consisting of the elements fixing  $\pi$ :

$$P(r, \pi) = \{g \in G(r) \mid \pi \circ g = \pi\}.$$

We see that the  $G(r)$ -module  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r)$  is a coinduced module of the  $P(r, \pi)$ -module  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \pi)$ :

$$D_{\mathbb{B}}(r) \cong \operatorname{Map}_{P(r, \pi)}(G(r), D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \pi))$$

if  $\pi : \Lambda^{n+1}[p^r] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)[p^r]$  is surjective.

**Proposition 3.13.** *If  $\pi : \Lambda^{n+1}[p^r] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)[p^r]$  is surjective, then the invariant subring of  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \pi)$  under the action of  $P(r, \pi)$  is  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ .*

*Proof.* The proposition follows from [32, Proposition 6.4] since we have an isomorphism  $P(r, \pi) \cong B(W, V)(r)$  and we can identify the  $P(r, \pi)$ -ring  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \pi)$  with the  $B(W, V)(r)$ -ring  $\mathbb{J}_n(r)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** *The invariant ring of  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r)$  under the action of  $G(r)$  is  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ .*

*Proof.* The corollary follows from the fact that the  $G(r)$ -module  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r)$  is a coinduced module of the  $P(r, \pi)$ -module  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \pi)$ .  $\square$

**3.5. The action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}})$ .** Recall that  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  is the  $(n+1)$ st extended Morava stabilizer group. In this subsection we study an action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on the representing ring  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}})$  of level structures on the  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , where  $M$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group.

The group  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  acts on the connected-étale exact sequence (3.2), and hence we obtain a map of exact sequences

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathbf{H}}(g) & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathbf{G}}(g) & & \downarrow \rho_{\mathbf{E}}(g) \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

for any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  covering the action on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ . Note that  $\rho_{\mathbf{H}}(g)$  is the identity map for any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  (see [30, §4]).

Let  $M$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group. The action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $E_{n+1}^0$  extends to an action on the complete local ring  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_{n+1})$ . Since  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}) = \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} D(M, \mathbf{F}_{n+1})$ , we obtain an action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}})$  by the diagonal action. We define an action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $\text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$  by

$$g\pi = \rho_{\mathbf{E}}(g) \circ \pi,$$

where we regard  $\rho_{\mathbf{E}}(g)$  as a homomorphism of abelian groups. We easily obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.15.** *The action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}})$  induces a local ring homomorphism*

$$g : D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, g\pi) \longrightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$$

for any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ .

Let  $0$  be the zero homomorphism from  $M$  to  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}})$  induces an action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, 0)$  by Proposition 3.15, and we have an isomorphism  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, 0) \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_n^0} D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  by Proposition 3.8. We suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  trivially acts on  $D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  and diagonally on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_n^0} D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$ . Then we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.16.** *The isomorphism  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, 0) \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_n^0} D(M, \mathbf{F}_n)$  respects the  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -actions.*

*Proof.* By diagram (3.3) and the isomorphism  $\theta : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}$ , we obtain  $\rho_{\mathbf{H}}(g) \circ \theta = \theta \circ \rho_{\mathbf{G}}(g)^0$  for  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ . The proposition follows from the fact that  $\rho_{\mathbf{H}}(g)$  is the identity map for any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

**3.6. Power operations in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory.** We would like to construct Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory. For this purpose, in this subsection we construct ring operations in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory which are extensions of power operations in  $E_{n+1}$ -theory. We also study compatibility of these operations with the action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ .

First, we shall construct a ring operation

$$\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X)$$

for a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$ , which is an extension of the ring operation  $\Psi_M^{E_{n+1}} : E_{n+1}^0(X) \rightarrow E(M)_{n+1}^0(X)$ , where  $\mathbb{B}(M)_n^*(X) = D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^*(X)$ .

For this purpose, we consider the composite of the operation  $\Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}$  with a natural map  $\text{ch}(M) : E(M)_{n+1}^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X)$ , which is a natural extension of  $\text{ch} : E_{n+1}^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$ . Proposition 3.9 implies a decomposition

$$\mathbb{B}(M)_n^*(X) \cong \prod_{\pi} \mathbb{B}(M, \pi)^*(X)$$

of generalized cohomology theories, where  $\mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^*(X) = D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^*(X)$ . We write  $\text{ch}(M, \pi) : E(M)_{n+1}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^*(X)$  for the composite  $p_\pi \circ \text{ch}(M)$ , where  $p_\pi : \mathbb{B}(M)_n^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^*(X)$  is the projection.

When  $X$  is a one point space, we obtain a ring homomorphism  $\text{ch}(M, \pi) : D(M, \mathbf{F}_{n+1}) \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ . The map  $\text{ch}(M, \pi)$  induces an isogeny of  $p$ -divisible groups

$$\text{ch}(M, \pi)^* \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}} : (i^{\mathbb{B}})^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}} \longrightarrow \text{ch}(M, \pi)^* (\Psi_M^{E_{n+1}})^* \mathbf{G}$$

from the isogeny of formal groups  $\Psi_M^{E_{n+1}} : i^* \mathbf{F}_{n+1} \rightarrow (\Psi_M^{E_{n+1}})^* \mathbf{F}_{n+1}$ , where  $i^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  is the inclusion map.

Let  $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}(R)$  be a level  $M$ -structure, which corresponds to a map  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi) \rightarrow R$  in  $\mathcal{CL}$ . By exact sequence (3.2), we obtain a homomorphism  $\phi' : N \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}(R)$ , where  $N = \ker(\pi)$ . By Proposition 3.5,  $\phi'$  is a level  $N$ -structure on  $\mathbf{H}_R$ , and hence we obtain a local ring homomorphism  $\text{inc}(M, \pi) : D(N, \mathbf{F}_n) \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ , which induces a multiplicative stable cohomology operation

$$\text{inc}(M, \pi) : E(N)_n^*(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^*(X).$$

The following lemma follows from Proposition 3.6.

**Lemma 3.17.** *The isomorphism  $\theta : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\bar{\theta} : (\mathbf{G}_R/[\phi(M)])^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_R/[\phi'(N)]$$

of connected  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R$ , where  $(\mathbf{G}_R/[\phi(M)])^0$  is the identity component of the quotient  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{G}_R/[\phi(M)]$ .

Using Lemma 3.17, we shall show that  $\text{ch}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}} : E_{n+1}^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  extends to a map  $f : \mathbb{A}_n^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  of local rings.

**Lemma 3.18.** *The composite  $\text{ch}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}} : E_{n+1}^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  extends to a map  $f : \mathbb{A}_n^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  of local rings.*

*Proof.* Put  $R = D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  and  $\psi = \text{ch}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}$ . We have an isomorphism  $\psi^* \mathbf{G} \cong \mathbf{G}_R/[\phi(M)]$  of  $p$ -divisible groups. Lemma 3.17 implies an isomorphism  $(\psi^* \mathbf{G})^0 \cong \mathbf{H}_R/[\phi'(N)]$ . Since the height of  $\mathbf{H}_R$  is  $n$ , we see that the height of  $(\psi^* \mathbf{G})^0$  is also  $n$ . This implies  $\psi(u_i) = 0$  for  $i < n$ , and  $\psi(u_n) \neq 0$  in the residue field of  $R$ . Hence  $\psi$  extends to a map  $f : \mathbb{A}_n^0 \rightarrow R$  since  $R$  is a complete local ring.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.19.** *There exists a unique map of local rings*

$$\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0 \longrightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch} &= \text{ch}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}, \\ \Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{inc} &= \text{inc}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_N^{E_n}, \end{aligned}$$

and making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (i^{\mathbb{B}})^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}^0 & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}(M, \pi)^* \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}} & (\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}})^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}^0 \\ (i^{\mathbb{B}})^* \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow (\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}})^* \theta \\ (i^{\mathbb{B}})^* \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}(M, \pi)^* \Psi_N^{E_n}} & (\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}})^* \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Put  $R = D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ . The isogeny  $\text{ch}(M, \pi)^* \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}} : (i^{\mathbb{B}})^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}} = \mathbf{G}_R \rightarrow f^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}$  of  $p$ -divisible groups induces an isomorphism  $f^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}} \cong \mathbf{G}_R / [\phi(M)]$ , where  $f : \mathbb{A}_n^0 \rightarrow R$  is the extension of  $\text{ch}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}$  given in Lemma 3.18. We also have the isogeny  $\text{inc}(M, \pi)^* \Psi_N^{E_n} : (i^{\mathbb{B}}) \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}} = \mathbf{H}_R \rightarrow g^* \mathbf{H}$ , which induces an isomorphism  $g^* \mathbf{H} \cong \mathbf{H}_R / [\phi'(N)]$ , where  $g = \text{inc}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_N^{E_n}$ . By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that there is an isomorphism  $\bar{\theta} : f^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \cong g^* \mathbf{H}$  of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ . We obtain the desired isomorphism by Lemma 3.17.  $\square$

When  $X$  is a finite complex, we have a natural isomorphism  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^*} E_{n+1}^0(X)$ . We define a natural map

$$\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^0(X)$$

to be the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} E_{n+1}^0(X) &\xrightarrow{\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \otimes \psi} D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi) \otimes_{\psi, E_{n+1}^0, \psi} D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi) \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} E_{n+1}^0(X) \\ &\xrightarrow{m \otimes 1} D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi) \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} E_{n+1}^0(X), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi = \text{ch}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}$  and  $m$  is the multiplication map of  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ .

When  $X$  is a CW-complex, we let  $\{X_\alpha\}$  be the filtered system of all finite subcomplexes of  $X$ . Since  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$  is a complete Noetherian local ring, we have an isomorphism  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \cong \lim_{\alpha} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X_\alpha)$ . Since  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  is a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ -module, we also have an isomorphism  $\mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^0(X) \cong \lim_{\alpha} \mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^0(X_\alpha)$ . Hence we see that the natural map  $\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}}$  uniquely extends to a ring operation

$$\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}(M, \pi)_n^0(X)$$

for any space  $X$ .

**Remark 3.20.** By construction, we have  $\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch} = \text{ch}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}$ .

The following theorem describes a relationship between the operations  $\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\Psi_N^{E_n}$ , where  $N$  is the kernel of  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Theorem 3.21.** *There is a natural commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_N^{E_n}} & E(N)_n^0(X) \\ \text{inc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inc}(M, \pi) \\ \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}}} & \mathbb{B}_n(M, \pi)_n^0(X) \end{array}$$

for any space  $X$ .

*Proof.* By [2, Proposition 3.7], an unstable ring operation between Landweber exact cohomology theories is determined by its values on a one point space and the infinite complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ . Hence it is sufficient to show that the diagram is commutative when  $X$  is a one point space and when  $X$  is  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ . If  $X$  is a one point space, then the diagram is commutative by Proposition 3.19. If  $X = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ , then the diagram is commutative by Lemma 3.17.  $\square$

Assembling the operations  $\Psi_{M, \pi}^{\mathbb{B}}$  for all homomorphisms  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ , we shall define a ring operation  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  with values in  $\mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X)$ .



**Definition 3.22.** We define a ring operation

$$\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X)$$

to be the one satisfying  $\Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} = (p_\pi \otimes 1) \circ \Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  for any homomorphism  $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The following proposition is easily obtained by the definition of  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  and Remark 3.20, which describes a relationship between the operations  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}$ .

**Proposition 3.23.** *We have  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch} = \text{ch}(M) \circ \Psi_M^{E_{n+1}}$ .*

Next, we study compatibility of the operation  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  with an action of the extended Morava stabilizer group  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ . We suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  diagonally acts on  $\mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X) = D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  when  $X$  is a finite complex. This action uniquely extends to an action on  $\mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$  since  $\mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X) \cong \lim_{\alpha} \mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X_\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 3.24.** *The operation  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(M)_n^0(X)$  is  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -equivariant.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.24, we first study the action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on the ring homomorphism  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}) \cong \prod_{\pi} D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ . By Lemma 3.1, the local ring homomorphism  $\Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}}$  is characterized by compositions  $F = \Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ j$  and  $G = \Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{inc}$ , and an isomorphism of formal groups between  $F^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0$  and  $G^* \mathbf{H}$ .

By Proposition 3.19, we have  $\Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{inc} = \text{inc}(M, \pi) \circ \Psi_N^{E_{n+1}}$ , where  $N = \ker(\pi)$ . We consider the action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  on the ring homomorphisms  $\text{inc}(M, \pi) : D(N, \mathbf{F}_n) \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ . Here we suppose that  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$  trivially acts on  $D(N, \mathbf{F}_n)$ . The following lemma follows from the fact that  $\rho_{\mathbf{H}}(g)$  in (3.3) is the identity map for any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ .

**Lemma 3.25.** *We have  $g \circ \text{inc}(M, g\pi) = \text{inc}(M, \pi) : D(N, \mathbf{F}_n) \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$  for any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ .*

Using Proposition 2.5, Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.15, Proposition 3.19, and Lemma 3.25, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.26.** *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{B}_n^0 & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{B}_n^0 \\ \Psi_{M,g\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \\ D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, g\pi) & \xrightarrow{g} & D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi) \end{array}$$

for any  $g \in \mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $R = D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}, \pi)$ . By Propositions 2.5, 3.15, and 3.19, we have  $g \circ \Psi_{M,g\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch} = \Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ g \circ \text{ch}$ . This induces a map  $F_g : \mathbb{A}_n^0 \rightarrow R$  in  $\mathcal{CL}$ , and there are canonical isomorphisms  $F_g^* \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{A}}^0 \cong (g^* \mathbf{G}_R/g^* M)^0 \cong g^*(\mathbf{G}_R/M)^0$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 3.19 and Lemma 3.25,  $g \circ \Psi_{M,g\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{inc} = \Psi_{M,\pi}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ g \circ \text{inc}$ . We denote this map by  $G_g$ . There are canonical isomorphisms  $G_g^* \mathbf{H} \cong g^* \mathbf{H}_R/g^* N \cong g^*(\mathbf{H}_R/N)$ , where  $N = \ker(\pi)$ . The isomorphism  $\theta : \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}$  induces isomorphisms  $\overline{g^* \theta} : (g^* \mathbf{G}_R/g^* M)^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} g^* \mathbf{H}_R/g^* N$  and  $g^* \overline{\theta} : g^*(\mathbf{G}_R/M)^0 \xrightarrow{\cong} g^*(\mathbf{H}_R/N)$ . The proposition follows from Lemma 3.1 since we have  $\overline{g^* \theta} = g^* \overline{\theta}$  under the above isomorphisms.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.27.** *The map  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0 \rightarrow D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}})$  is  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -equivariant.*

*Proof of Theorem 3.24.* It is sufficient to show that the theorem holds for any finite complex  $X$ . In this case, since  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  is a ring operation and  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} E_{n+1}^0(X)$ , it is sufficient to show that this holds for a one point space and that  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch}$  is  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -equivariant. The case when  $X$  is a one point space follows from Corollary 3.27. The equivariance of  $\Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch}$  follows from Proposition 3.23, Proposition 2.5, and the fact that  $\text{ch}(M)$  is  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -equivariant.  $\square$

Now, we introduce a ring operation  $\Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  which is an extension of the ring operation  $\Phi_M^{E_{n+1}}$ . We suppose that  $M$  is a subgroup of  $\Lambda^{n+1}$ . We define an operation

$$\Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$$

by the composition  $\Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}} = (I_M^{\mathbb{B}} \otimes 1) \circ \Psi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$ , where  $I_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  is the map  $D(M, \mathbf{G}_{\mathbb{B}}) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}$  induced by the inclusion  $M \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{n+1}$ .

Since  $D_{\mathbb{B}} \cong \mathbb{B}_n^0 \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} D_{n+1}$ , the map  $\text{ch} : E_{n+1}^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  extends to a map

$$\text{ch}(\Lambda^{n+1}) : D_{n+1} \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} E_{n+1}^0(X) \longrightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X).$$

By Lemma 2.2, Proposition 3.23, and Theorem 3.24, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.28.** *The operation  $\Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  is  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -equivariant, and we have  $\Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch} = \text{ch}(\Lambda^{n+1}) \circ \Phi_M^{E_{n+1}}$ .*

In order to compare Hecke operators in  $E_{n+1}$ -theory with those in  $E_n$ -theory in §4, we introduce a ring  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu)$  for a split surjection  $\mu : \Lambda^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and a ring operation  $\Phi_{M,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  for a subgroup  $M$  of  $\Lambda^{n+1}$ . We describe a relationship between the operations  $\Phi_{M,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\Phi_N^{E_n}$ , where  $N = M \cap \ker(\mu)$ .

The inclusion  $\Lambda^{n+1}[p^r] \rightarrow \Lambda^{n+1}[p^{r+1}]$  of abelian groups induces a map  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \mu[p^r]) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(r+1, \mu[p^{r+1}])$  of local rings. We set

$$D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu) = \text{colim}_r D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \mu[p^r]).$$

Assembling the projections  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(r) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(r, \mu[p^r])$  for  $r \geq 0$ , we obtain a map  $p_{\mu} : D_{\mathbb{B}} \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu)$ . We define a ring operation

$$\Phi_{M,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$$

by the composition  $\Phi_{M,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}} = (p_{\mu} \otimes 1) \circ \Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$ .

To describe the composite  $\Phi_{M,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{inc} : E_n^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$ , we set

$$D_n(V) = \text{colim}_r D(V[p^r], \mathbf{F}_n).$$

where  $V = \ker(\mu)$ . Since  $V \cong \Lambda^n$ , we have an isomorphism  $D_n(V) \cong D_n$ , and an operation  $\Phi_N^{E_n} : E_n^0(X) \rightarrow D_n(V) \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X)$ , where  $N = M \cap V$ .

By Theorem 3.21, we obtain the following proposition which describes a relationship between the operations  $\Phi_{M,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\Phi_N^{E_n}$ .

**Proposition 3.29.** *There is a natural commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_N^{E_n}} & D_n(V) \otimes_{E_n^0} E_n^0(X) \\ \text{inc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inc}(\mu) \otimes \text{inc} \\ \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{M,\mu}^{\mathbb{B}}} & D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \end{array}$$

for any space  $X$ , where  $\text{inc}(\mu) : D_n(V) \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu)$  is a map induced by the inclusion  $V \hookrightarrow \Lambda^{n+1}$ .

**3.7. Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory.** In this subsection we define Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory.

Let  $M$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group. Recall that  $m_{n+1}(M)$  is the set of all subgroups of  $\Lambda^{n+1}$  which are isomorphic to  $M$ . We consider a natural map given by

$$\sum_{M' \in m_{n+1}(M)} \Phi_{M'}^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X).$$

The image of this map is invariant under the action of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Since the invariant subring of  $D_{\mathbb{B}}$  under the action of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$  by Corollary 3.14, we obtain the following theorem in the same way as in the Hecke operators in Morava  $E$ -theory.

**Theorem 3.30.** *For an abelian  $p$ -group  $M$ , there is an unstable additive cohomology operation*

$$\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$$

given by  $(i^{\mathbb{B}} \otimes 1) \circ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}} = \sum_{M' \in m_{n+1}(M)} \Phi_{M'}^{\mathbb{B}}$ , where  $i^{\mathbb{B}}$  is the  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ -algebra structure map of  $D_{\mathbb{B}}$ . For a nonnegative integer  $k$ , there is also an unstable additive operation

$$\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^k) : \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$$

given by  $(i^{\mathbb{B}} \otimes 1) \circ \tilde{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^k) = \sum_{|M|=p^k} \Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$ , where the sum ranges over all subgroups  $M$  of  $\Lambda^{n+1}$  such that the order  $|M|$  is  $p^k$ .

By Proposition 3.28, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.31.** *The operations  $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^k)$  are  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -equivariant.*

Now, we define a natural action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$ . Recall that  $\Delta_{n+1} = \mathrm{End}(\Lambda^{n+1}) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\Gamma_{n+1} = \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  is the endomorphism ring of the  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_{n+1}]$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_{n+1}/\Gamma_{n+1}]$ . There is an additive isomorphism  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n+1} \backslash \Delta_{n+1} / \Gamma_{n+1}]$ , and we identify  $\Gamma_{n+1} \backslash \Delta_{n+1} / \Gamma_{n+1}$  with the set of all isomorphism classes of finite abelian  $p$ -groups with  $p$ -rank  $\leq n+1$ . For a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  with  $p$ -rank  $\leq n+1$ , we denote by  $\tilde{M}$  the associated endomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_{n+1}]$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta_{n+1}/\Gamma_{n+1}]$ .

**Theorem 3.32.** *Assigning to  $\tilde{M}$  the Hecke operator  $\tilde{\mathrm{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}}$ , there is a natural  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$  such that  $\mathrm{ch} : E_{n+1}^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  is a map of  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -modules.*

*Proof.* By [22, Lemma 14.4], there is a ring homomorphism  $\psi_x : D_{n+1} \rightarrow D_{n+1}$  for each  $x \in \Delta_{n+1}$  such that  $\psi_x \circ i = \Phi_M^{E_{n+1}}$ , where  $M$  is the kernel of  $x : \Lambda^{n+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n+1}$ . Using the isomorphism  $D_{\mathbb{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \cong D_{n+1} \otimes_{E_{n+1}^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  and Proposition 3.28, we can extend  $\Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  to a ring operation

$$\psi_x^{\mathbb{B}} : D_{\mathbb{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X) \longrightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}} \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_n^0} \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$$

such that  $\psi_x^{\mathbb{B}} \circ (i^{\mathbb{B}} \otimes 1) = \Phi_M^{\mathbb{B}}$ . We obtain the theorem in the same way as in [22, Proposition 14.3].  $\square$

By Theorem 3.32, there is a natural  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$ . By Proposition 3.31, the  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure commutes with the action of  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ . This implies an  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on the invariant submodule. In [30] we showed that the map  $\mathrm{inc}$  induces a natural isomorphism

$$E_n^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{B}_n^0(X))^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}$$

for any spectrum  $X$ , where the right hand side is the  $\mathbb{G}_{n+1}$ -invariant submodule of  $\mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$ . Hence we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.33.** *There is a natural action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on  $E_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$  such that  $\mathrm{inc} : E_n^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_n^0(X)$  is a map of  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -modules.*

## 4. COMPARISON OF HECKE OPERATORS

In this section we compare the Hecke operators in  $E_n$ -theory with those in  $E_{n+1}$ -theory via  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory. In particular, we construct a ring homomorphism  $\omega : \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$  between Hecke algebras and show that the  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on  $E_n^0(X)$  given by Theorem 3.33 is obtained from the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -module structure by the restriction along  $\omega$  (Theorem 4.9).

First, we compare Hecke operators in  $\mathbb{B}_n$ -theory with those in  $E_{n+1}$ -theory. By Proposition 3.28, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** *We have  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{ch} = \text{ch} \circ \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{E_{n+1}}$  for any finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  with  $p\text{-rank}(M) \leq n+1$ .*

Next, we consider a relationship between  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n}$ . In order to describe the relationship, we will introduce a set  $I_n(M, N)$  and an integer  $a_n(M, N)$  for finite abelian  $p$ -groups  $M$  and  $N$ , where  $p\text{-rank}(M) \leq n+1$  and  $p\text{-rank}(N) \leq n$ . We take a split surjection  $\mu : \Lambda^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and set  $V = \ker \mu$ . We define  $I_n(M, N)$  to be the set of all subgroups  $M'$  of  $\Lambda^{n+1}$  such that  $M' \cong M$  and  $M' \cap V \cong N$ :

$$I_n(M, N) = \{M' \leq \Lambda^{n+1} \mid M' \cong M, M' \cap V \cong N\}.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *The cardinality  $|m_n(N)|$  divides  $|I_n(M, N)|$ .*

*Proof.* We fix an isomorphism  $V \cong \Lambda^n$  and identify  $V$  with  $\Lambda^n$  via this isomorphism. Let  $f : I_n(M, N) \rightarrow m_n(N)$  be a map given by  $f(M') = M' \cap V$ . We take  $N' \in m_n(N)$ , and suppose that  $M' \in f^{-1}(N')$ . If  $N'' \in m_n(N)$ , then there is an automorphism  $g \in \text{Aut}(V)$  such that  $g(N') = N''$ . We can extend  $g$  to an automorphism  $\tilde{g} \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda^{n+1})$  such that  $\mu \circ \tilde{g} = \mu$  and  $\tilde{g}|_V = g$ . We see that  $\tilde{g}(M') \in I_n(M, N)$  and  $f(\tilde{g}(M')) = N''$ . Hence, if  $f^{-1}(N') = \{M'_1, \dots, M'_r\}$ , then  $f^{-1}(N'') = \{\tilde{g}(M'_1), \dots, \tilde{g}(M'_r)\}$ . This shows that  $|m_n(N)|$  divides  $|I_n(M, N)|$ .  $\square$

We set

$$a_n(M, N) = \frac{|I_n(M, N)|}{|m_n(N)|}.$$

We notice that  $a_n(M, N)$  is independent of the choice of a split surjection  $\mu$ .

By Theorem 3.33, the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  naturally acts on  $E_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$ . We denote by  $(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}})^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}$  the operation associated to a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $M$  with  $p\text{-rank} \leq n+1$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *We have*

$$(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}})^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}} = \sum_{[N]} a_n(M, N) \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n},$$

where the sum ranges over all isomorphism classes of finite abelian  $p$ -groups with  $p\text{-rank} \leq n$ .

In order to prove Proposition 4.3, we need the following lemma. Let  $i_\mu^{\mathbb{B}} : \mathbb{B}_n^0 \rightarrow D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu)$  be the  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ -algebra structure map.

**Lemma 4.4.** *The ring homomorphism  $i_\mu^{\mathbb{B}}$  is faithfully flat.*

*Proof.* By definition,  $D_{\mathbb{B}}(\mu) = \text{colim}_r D(r, \mu[p^r])$ . The lemma follows from the fact that  $D(r, \mu[p^r])$  is a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{B}_n^0$ -module for all  $r$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.3.* By Proposition 3.29, we have

$$(i_\mu^{\mathbb{B}} \otimes 1) \circ \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{inc} = \sum_{M' \in m_{n+1}(M)} (\text{inc}(\mu) \otimes \text{inc}) \circ \Phi_{M' \cap V}^{E_n}.$$

A decomposition  $m_{n+1}(M) = \coprod_{[N]} I_n(M, N)$  implies that the right hand side is

$$(\text{inc}(\mu) \otimes \text{inc}) \circ (i \otimes 1) \circ \left( \sum_{[N]} a_n(M, N) \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n} \right).$$

Using  $(\text{inc}(\mu) \otimes \text{inc}) \circ (i \otimes 1) = (i_\mu^{\mathbb{B}} \otimes 1) \circ \text{inc}$  and the fact that  $i_\mu^{\mathbb{B}}$  is faithfully flat by Lemma 4.4, we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}} \circ \text{inc} = \text{inc} \circ \sum_{[N]} a_n(M, N) \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n},$$

which implies the desired formula.  $\square$

Conversely, we shall write  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n}$  in terms of  $(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_M^{\mathbb{B}})^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}$ . We define a partial order on the set of isomorphism classes of finite abelian  $p$ -groups of  $p$ -rank  $\leq n$  as follows. We write  $[A] \leq [B]$  if there exists a monomorphism from  $A$  to  $B$ . We define  $b_n(B, A)$  inductively as follows. We set  $b_n(A, A) = 1$ . When  $[A] < [B]$ , we set

$$b_n(B, A) = - \sum_{[A] \leq [C] < [B]} a_n(B, C) b_n(C, A).$$

**Proposition 4.5.** *For any finite abelian  $p$ -group  $N$  with  $p$ -rank( $N$ )  $\leq n$ , we have*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n} = \sum_{[N'] \leq [N]} b_n(N, N') (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{N'}^{\mathbb{B}})^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}.$$

*Proof.* We prove the proposition by induction on the partial ordering. When  $N = 0$ , the proposition obviously holds. We suppose that the proposition holds for abelian  $p$ -groups  $< [N]$ . By Proposition 4.3, the right hand side is

$$\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n} + \sum_{[N'] < [N]} a_n(N, N') \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{N'}^{E_n} + \sum_{[N'] < [N]} b_n(N, N') (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{N'}^{\mathbb{B}})^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}.$$

By the hypothesis of induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{[N'] < [N]} a_n(N, N') \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{N'}^{E_n} &= \sum_{[N'] < [N]} \sum_{[N'''] \leq [N']} a_n(N, N') b_n(N', N''') (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{N'''}^{\mathbb{B}})^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}} \\ &= \sum_{[N'''] < [N]} \left( \sum_{[N'''] \leq [N'] < [N]} a_n(N, N') b_n(N', N''') \right) (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{N'''}^{\mathbb{B}})^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{[N'''] \leq [N'] < [N]} a_n(N, N') b_n(N', N''') = -b_n(N, N''')$ , the right hand side is  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_N^{E_n}$ .  $\square$

Now, we consider a relationship between  $(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^r))^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{E_n}(p^s)$ , where  $(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^r))^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}$  is the restriction of the operation  $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^r)$  to the  $n$ th Morava  $E$ -theory of spaces through  $\text{inc}$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *We have*

$$(\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^r))^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}} = \sum_{s=0}^r p^{(r-s)n} \tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{E_n}(p^s).$$

*Proof.* We take a split surjection  $\mu : \Lambda^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and set  $V = \ker \mu$ . Fix a subgroup  $N$  of  $V$  with order  $p^s$ . Let  $J_n(p^r, N)$  be the set of all subgroups  $M$  of  $\Lambda^{n+1}$  with order  $p^r$  such that  $M \cap V = N$ . By Proposition 3.29, it is sufficient to show that  $|J(p^r, N)| = p^{(r-s)n}$ .

If  $M \in J(p^r, N)$ , then the map  $\mu$  induces a surjective map  $\bar{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p[p^{r-s}]$  with kernel  $N$ . Let  $a$  be a generator of  $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p[p^{r-s}]$ . If  $x \in M$  such that  $\bar{\mu}(x) = a$ , then  $M$  is generated by

$N$  and  $x$ . Note that  $p^{r-s}x \in \ker \bar{\mu} = N$ . Conversely, if we have  $x \in \mu^{-1}(a)$  such that  $p^{r-s}x \in N$ , then  $\langle N, x \rangle \in J(p^r, N)$ , where  $\langle N, x \rangle$  is the subgroup generated by  $N$  and  $x$ .

We denote by  $X(p^r, N)$  the set of all  $x \in \mu^{-1}(a)$  such that  $p^{r-s}x \in N$ . By the above argument, we see that  $J(p^r, N) \cong X(p^r, N)/N$ . Let  $N'$  be the subgroup of  $V$  consisting of  $z \in V$  such that  $p^{r-s}z \in N$ . Since  $X(p^r, N)$  is an  $N'$ -torsor, we obtain  $|J(p^r, N)| = |N'|/|N| = p^{(r-s)n}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** *For  $r > 0$ , we have*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{E_n}(p^r) = (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^r))^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}} - p^n (\tilde{\mathbb{T}}_{\mathbb{B}}(p^{r-1}))^{\mathbb{G}_{n+1}}.$$

By Theorem 3.33, there is a natural action of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$  on  $E_n^0(X)$  for any space  $X$ . Thus, we have the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -module structure and the  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on  $E_n^0(X)$ . Finally, we compare these two module structures.

For this purpose, we define an additive map  $\omega : \mathcal{H}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_n$  by

$$\omega(M) = \sum_{[N]} a_n(M, N)N.$$

**Proposition 4.8.** *The map  $\omega$  is a surjective algebra homomorphism.*

By Propositions 4.3 and 4.8, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.9.** *The  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}$ -module structure on  $E_n^0(X)$  is obtained from the  $\mathcal{H}_n$ -module structure by the restriction along the algebra homomorphism  $\omega$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 4.8.* The surjectivity follows from Proposition 4.5. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{[M_3]} c_{n+1}(M_1, M_2; M_3) a_n(M_3, N_3) = \sum_{[N_1], [N_2]} a_n(M_1, N_1) a_n(M_2, N_2) c_n(N_1, N_2; N_3)$$

for any finite abelian  $p$ -groups  $M_1, M_2, N_3$  with  $p\text{-rank}(M_i) \leq n+1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $p\text{-rank}(N_3) \leq n$ .

We fix an isomorphism  $\Lambda^{n+1}/M_{1,i} \cong \Lambda^{n+1}$  for each  $M_{1,i} \in m_{n+1}(M_1)$ . For  $M_{2,j} \in m_{n+1}(M_2)$ , we let  $L_{i,j}$  be the subgroup in  $\Lambda^{n+1}$  which contains  $M_{1,i}$  and satisfies  $L_{i,j}/M_{1,i} \cong M_{2,j}$  under the isomorphism. We have

$$\{L_{i,j}\} = \coprod_{[M_3]} c_{n+1}(M_1, M_2; M_3) m_{n+1}(M_3)$$

as multisets. This implies

$$(4.2) \quad \{L_{i,j} \cap V\} = \coprod_{[M_3], [N_3]} c_{n+1}(M_1, M_2; M_3) a_n(M_3, N_3) m_n(N_3).$$

We fix an isomorphism  $(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)/\mu(M_{1,i}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ . We denote by  $\mu'$  the composition  $\Lambda^{n+1} \cong \Lambda^{n+1}/M_{1,i} \xrightarrow{\mu} (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)/\mu(M_{1,i}) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and set  $V' = \ker \mu'$ . Notice that there is an isomorphism  $V/(M_{1,i} \cap V) \cong V'$  and that this isomorphism induces an isomorphism

$$(L_{i,j} \cap V)/(M_{1,i} \cap V) \cong M_{2,j} \cap V'.$$

Hence we see that

$$(4.3) \quad \{L_{i,j} \cap V\} = \coprod_{[N_1], [N_2], [N_3]} a_n(M_1, N_1) a_n(M_2, N_2) c_n(N_1, N_2; N_3) m_n(N_3)$$

as multisets. Comparing (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain (4.1).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ando. Isogenies of formal group laws and power operations in the cohomology theories  $E_n$ . *Duke Math. J.* 79 (1995), no. 2, 423–485.
- [2] M. Ando. Power operations in elliptic cohomology and representations of loop groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352 (2000), no. 12, 5619–5666.
- [3] M. Ando, M. J. Hopkins and N. P. Strickland. The sigma orientation is an  $H_\infty$  map. *Amer. J. Math.* 126 (2004), no. 2, 247–334.
- [4] M. Ando, J. Morava and H. Sadofsky. Completions of  $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$ -Tate cohomology of periodic spectra. *Geom. Topol.* 2 (1998), 145–174.
- [5] E. S. Devinatz, M. J. Hopkins, and J. H. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 128 (1988), no. 2, 207–241.
- [6] N. Ganter. Orbifold genera, product formulas and power operations. *Adv. Math.* 205 (2006), no. 1, 84–133.
- [7] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins. Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra, Structured ring spectra. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 315 (2004), 151–200.
- [8] J. P. C. Greenlees, and H. Sadofsky. The Tate spectrum of  $v_n$ -periodic complex oriented theories. *Math. Z.* 222 (1996), no. 3, 391–405.
- [9] J. P. Greenlees and N. P. Strickland. Varieties and local cohomology for chromatic group cohomology rings. *Topology* 38 (1999), no. 5, 1093–1139.
- [10] M. J. Hopkins, and J. H. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 148 (1998), no. 1, 1–49.
- [11] M. J. Hopkins, N. J. Kuhn, and D. C. Ravenel. Morava  $K$ -theories of classifying spaces and generalized characters for finite groups. *Algebraic topology (San Feliu de Guíxols, 1990)*, 186–209, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1509, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [12] M. J. Hopkins, N. J. Kuhn, and D. C. Ravenel. Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (2000), no. 3, 553–594.
- [13] M. Hovey. Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins’ chromatic splitting conjecture. *The Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993)*, 225–250, *Contemp. Math.*, 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [14] M. Hovey, and H. Sadofsky. Tate cohomology lowers chromatic Bousfield classes. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), no. 11, 3579–3585.
- [15] N. M. Katz and B. Mazur. Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 108. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.
- [16] J. Lurie, *Elliptic Cohomology III: Tempered Cohomology*. available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/>.
- [17] H. R. Miller, D. C. Ravenel, and W. S. Wilson. Periodic phenomena in the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 106 (1977), no. 3, 469–516.
- [18] J. Morava. Noetherian localisations of categories of cobordism comodules. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 121 (1985), no. 1, 1–39.
- [19] M. Rapoport and Th. Zink. Period spaces for  $p$ -divisible groups. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 141. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [20] D. C. Ravenel. Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres. *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 121. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [21] D. C. Ravenel. Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 128. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992.
- [22] C. Rezk. The units of a ring spectrum and a logarithmic cohomology operation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (2006), no. 4, 969–1014.
- [23] C. Rezk. The congruence criterion for power operations in Morava  $E$ -theory. *Homology, Homotopy Appl.* 11 (2009), no. 2, 327–379.
- [24] G. Shimura. Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions. *Kanô Memorial Lectures*, No. 1. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, No. 11. Iwanami Shoten Publishers, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [25] N. Stapleton. Transchromatic generalized character maps. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 13 (2013), no. 1, 171–203.
- [26] N. Stapleton. Transchromatic twisted character maps. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* 10 (2015), no. 1, 29–61.
- [27] N. P. Strickland. Finite subgroups of formal groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 121 (1997), no. 2, 161–208.
- [28] T. Torii. The geometric fixed point spectrum of  $(\mathbf{Z}/p)^k$  Borel cohomology for  $E_n$  and its completion. *Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000)*, 343–369, *Contemp. Math.*, 293, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [29] T. Torii. On degeneration of formal group laws and application to stable homotopy theory. *Amer. J. Math.* 125 (2003), 1037–1077.

- [30] T. Torii. Comparison of Morava  $E$ -theories. *Math. Z.* 266 (2010), no. 4, 933–951.
- [31] T. Torii. On  $E_\infty$ -structure of the generalized Chern character. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 42 (2010), no. 4, 680–690.
- [32] T. Torii. HKR characters,  $p$ -divisible groups and the generalized Chern character. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362 (2010), no. 11, 6159–6181.
- [33] T. Torii. Comparison of power operations in Morava  $E$ -theories. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 19 (2017), no. 1, 59–87.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, OKAYAMA 700–8530, JAPAN  
*Email address:* torii@math.okayama-u.ac.jp