

# Light speed variation from GRB 221009A

Jie Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Bo-Qiang Ma<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

<sup>b</sup>*Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

<sup>c</sup>*Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing, China*

---

## Abstract

It is postulated in Einstein's relativity that the speed of light in vacuum is a constant for all observers. However, the effect of quantum gravity could bring an energy dependence of light speed, and a series of studies on high-energy photon events from gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) suggest a light speed variation  $v(E) = c(1 - E/E_{LV})$  with  $E_{LV} = 3.6 \times 10^{17}$  GeV or a bound  $E_{LV} \geq 3.6 \times 10^{17}$  GeV. From the newly observed gamma-ray burst GRB 221009A, we find that a 99.3 GeV photon detected by Fermi-LAT is coincident with the sharp spike in the light curves detected by both Fermi-GBM and HEBS under the above scenario of light speed variation, suggesting an option that this high-energy photon was emitted at the same time as a sharp spike of low-energy photon emission at the GRB source. Thus this highest energy photon event detected by Fermi-LAT during the prompt emission of gamma-ray bursts might be considered as an optional signal for the linear form modification of light speed in cosmological space.

*Keywords:*

gamma-ray burst, high-energy photon, light speed variation, Lorentz violation

---

On 9 October 2022, the Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) [1] onboard the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope (FGST) triggered a special gamma-ray burst (GRB), numbered as GRB 221009A, at  $T_0 = 13 : 16 : 59.000$  UT [2]. The prompt emission of this extraordinarily bright GRB 221009A was detected not only by Fermi [2–6], but also by other space observatories such as Swift [7, 8], AGILE [9, 10], INTEGRAL [11], Solar Orbiter [12], SRG [13], Konus [14], GRBAlpha [15], STPSat-6 [16], HEBS [17], and by ground observatories such as LHAASO [18] with striking very-high-energy features [19]. This burst, located at around RA = 288.282 and Dec = 19.495 [5], is a long burst but with a very small redshift  $z = 0.1505$  [20, 21] compared to most other long bursts. It is estimated that there is only a 10% probability to observe an event like GRB 221009A about 50 years after the discovery of the first GRB [22].

The Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT) [23] also detected this GRB. The highest energy photon observed by LAT reaches 99.3 GeV (with a probability of 99.2%) [5], and this photon event represents the highest GRB photon energy ever detected by Fermi-LAT during the prompt emission phase of gamma-ray bursts. We show in this Letter that such a high-energy photon event of Fermi-LAT offers a precious opportunity to explore the light speed variation related with Lorentz violation (LV) [24].

It is speculated from quantum gravity that the Lorentz

invariance might be broken at the Planck scale ( $E_{Pl} \simeq 1.22 \times 10^{19}$  GeV), and that the light speed may have a variation with the energy of the photon. Amelino-Camelia *et al.* [25, 26] first suggested testing Lorentz violation by comparing the arrival times between high-energy and low-energy photons from GRBs. For energy  $E \ll E_{Pl}$ , the modified dispersion relation of the photon can be expressed in a model-independent way as

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 \left[ 1 - s_n \left( \frac{pc}{E_{LV,n}} \right)^n \right], \quad (1)$$

from which we have the following speed relation

$$v(E) = c \left[ 1 - s_n \frac{n+1}{2} \left( \frac{pc}{E_{LV,n}} \right)^n \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $n = 1$  or  $n = 2$  as usually assumed,  $s_n = \pm 1$  indicates whether high-energy photons travel faster ( $s_n = -1$ ) or slower ( $s_n = +1$ ) than low-energy photons, and  $E_{LV,n}$  represents the  $n$ th-order Lorentz violation scale. Jacob and Piran [27] suggested a formula of arrival-time difference between astroparticles with different energies as

$$\Delta t_{LV} = s_n \frac{1+n}{2H_0} \frac{E_h^n - E_l^n}{E_{LV,n}^n} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z')^n dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}}, \quad (3)$$

where  $E_h$  and  $E_l$  correspond to the energies of the observed high-energy and low-energy photons,  $z$  is the redshift of the source GRB,  $H_0$ ,  $\Omega_m$  and  $\Omega_\Lambda$  are cosmological constants. Eq. (3) was derived in the standard model of cosmology, and later was found the same as the time-difference formula in expanding Finsler spacetime [28]. In

---

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: jiezh@pku.edu.cn (Jie Zhu),  
mabq@pku.edu.cn (Bo-Qiang Ma)

this work, we adopt the present day Hubble constant  $H_0 = 67.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  [29], the pressureless matter density  $\Omega_m = 0.315^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$  [29] and the dark energy density  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.685^{+0.017}_{-0.016}$  [29]. Earlier researches on cosmic photon data of observed GRBs set robust bounds on Lorentz violation [30–33]. A series of researches [34–43] on time flights of high-energy photon events from GRBs detected by Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope reveal a regularity of high-energy photon events, leading to a light speed variation with  $s = +1$ ,  $n = 1$ , and  $E_{LV} = 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$ . This result suggests a scenario that high-energy photons travel slower than low-energy photons. It is also shown that several phenomena related to the light curves of three active galactic nuclei (AGNs), namely Markarian 421 (Mrk 421), Markarian 501 (Mrk 501) and PKS 2155-304, can serve as the supports for the light speed variation determined from GRBs at a same scale of  $E_{LV} = 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$  [44]. Such a value is compatible with constraints from analyses on variety GRB data [45–48], including recent analyses using eight Fermi-LAT gamma-ray burst data [49] and using spectral lag data from the BATSE satellite [50]. It is also found to be consistent with predictions in a string theory model for space-time foam [51–56]. From a conservative viewpoint, we may consider these results as a suggestion for a lower bound of the Lorentz violation scale  $E_{LV} \geq 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$ .

As the newly detected GRB 221009A is the brightest one among all detected GRBs and also is the one with a very short distance from the Earth, the light curves of this rare GRB should contain rich information from which we can reveal novel features of our Universe. To simplify the discussion, here we treat the GBM trigger time  $T_0$  as 0. Detailed information of the highest GRB photon energy ever detected by Fermi-LAT during the prompt phase of GRBs can be found at the LAT data server [57]. The observed energy of this photon is  $E = 99.3254 \text{ GeV}$ , the position of this photon in J2000 is (287.533, 19.875), and the arrival time is  $T_1 = T_0 + 241.326 \text{ s}$ . Also, the information of low-energy photons can be found at the Fermi server [58], and the light curve of GRB 221009A from two brightest GBM trigger detectors combined (GBM NaI-n7 and NaI-n8, 7keV-1MeV) are shown in Fig. (1). The Lorentz violation scenario provided above suggests that the low-energy photons (less than 1 MeV) emitted at the same time as the 99.3 GeV photon at the source travel faster than the latter one, and the arrival time difference can be calculated by Eq. (3) as

$$\Delta t = \frac{E}{E_{LV} H_0} \int_0^z \frac{(1+z') dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_m (1+z')^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} = 19.8 \text{ s}. \quad (4)$$

Thus the low-energy photons emitted at the same time as the 99.3 GeV photon at the source would be detected at  $T'_1 = T_1 - \Delta t = 221.5 \text{ s}$ . Turn to the light curve of GBM, amazingly we find that at  $T'_1 = 221.5 \text{ s}$  (the red vertical line in Fig. (1)) there is a significant sharp peak of low-energy photons. That may imply that at the source the

99.3 GeV photon was emitted at the same time as the low-energy photons detected by GBM as a sharp spike centered at around  $T'_1 = 221.5 \text{ s}$ . Since the energy resolution of LAT is within 10% uncertainty and the time resolution for GBM and LAT is smaller than  $10 \mu\text{s}$ , the error-bars of the Fermi data can be safely neglected in our analyses. Also, the energy value of the low-energy photons is taken as zero in the time-lag analysis Eq. (4) compared to the 99.3 GeV photon event, so the energy values of the low-energy photons can be neglected.

For the low-energy photon events of GRB 221009A, the HEBS collaboration also published the light curve [17] (Fig. (2)). Different from GBM, the energy range of HEBS is from 400 keV to 5 MeV. We can also see that there is a tiny sharp peak of low-energy photon events at  $T'_1 = 221.5 \text{ s}$  (the red vertical line in Fig. (2)). Due to the long duration of the GRB, the 99.3 GeV event locates in the emission phase of low-energy photons detected by the Fermi detectors at the Earth, but the actually observed position (the blue dashed line in Fig. (2)) of this Fermi-LAT event is outside the main peak ranges of the HEBS light curves, showing the difficulty to associate it with the accompanying low-energy photons in the HEBS light curves without considering the Lorentz violation effect. We can see that the estimated position of this Fermi-LAT event just corresponds to the sharp spike of low-energy photons in both GBM and HEBS light curves, as shown with a red vertical line in both Fig. (1) and Fig. (2). The coincidence of this fact supports the Lorentz violation scenario of  $v(E) = c(1 - E/E_{LV})$  with  $E_{LV} = 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$  or a bound  $E_{LV} \geq 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$ .

In conclusion, we analyze the 99.3 GeV photon event detected by Fermi-LAT at  $T_1 = 241.3 \text{ s}$  after the GRB 221009A trigger time  $T_0 = 0$ , and find that in the Lorentz violation scenario of  $v(E) = c(1 - E/E_{LV})$  with  $E_{LV} = 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$ , at the GRB source this photon was emitted at the same time as the low-energy photon emission observed as a sharp spike centered at around 221.5 s in the light curves reported by Fermi-GBM and HEBS. This remarkable coincidence between the highest energy photon event observed by Fermi-LAT during the prompt phase and the sharp spike in the low-energy light curves of brightest gamma-ray burst GRB 221009A might be considered as an optional signal for the light speed variation with  $E_{LV} = 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$  or the bound  $E_{LV} \geq 3.6 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}$  suggested in previous studies [34–44]. Of course, more scrutiny is necessary to test the scenario suggested in this Letter when more data are available.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.




Figure 1: Light curves of Fermi-GBM with the two brightest trigger detectors combined (GBM NaI-n7 and NaI-n8, 7keV-1MeV) for GRB 221009A, with events binned in 2-second intervals. The red vertical line represents  $T'_1 = 221.5$  s, the estimated position of the 99.3 GeV photon event detected by Fermi-LAT if there is no light speed variation, and the blue dashed vertical line represents  $T_1 = 241.3$  s, the observed position of this photon event. The red line very well matches a peak of low-energy photons.




Figure 2: Light curves of HEBS for GRB 221009A from [GCN Cir. 32751 \[17\]](#). The red vertical line represents  $T'_1 = 221.5$  s, the estimated position of the 99.3 GeV photon event detected by Fermi-LAT if there is no light speed variation, and the blue dashed vertical line represents  $T_1 = 241.3$  s, the observed position of this photon event. The red line also very well matches a peak of low-energy photons.

## Data availability statement

All data that support the findings of this study are referenced within the article (and any supplementary files).

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12075003).

## References

- [1] C. Meegan, G. Lichti, P.N. Bhat, et al., The Fermi gamma-ray burst monitor. *Astrophys. J.* **702**, 791 (2009). [arXiv:0908.0450].
- [2] P. Veres, E. Burns, E. Bissaldi, et al., GRB 221009A: Fermi GBM detection of an extraordinarily bright GRB, GCN Circ. 32636 (2022).
- [3] E. Bissaldi, N. Omodei, M. Kerr, GRB 221009A or Swift J1913.1+1946: Fermi-LAT detection, GCN Circ. 32637 (2022).
- [4] S. Lesage, P. Veres, O. J. Roberts, et al., GRB 221009A: Fermi GBM observation, GCN Circ. 32642 (2022).
- [5] R. Pillera, E. Bissaldi, N. Omodei, et al., GRB 221009A: Fermi-LAT refined analysis, GCN Circ. 32658 (2022).
- [6] N. Omodei, P. Bruel, J. Bregeon, et al., GRB 221009A: Fermi LAT data rate effects due to extremely high flux, GCN Circ. 32760 (2022).
- [7] S. Dichiara, J. D. Gropp, J.A. Kennea, et al., Swift J1913.1+1946 a new bright hard X-ray and optical transient, GCN Circ. 32632 (2022).
- [8] H. A. Krimm, S. D. Barthelmy, S. Dichiara, et al., GRB 221009A (Swift J1913.1+1946): Swift-BAT refined analysis, GCN Circ. 32688 (2022).
- [9] A. Ursi, G. Panebianco, C. Pittori, et al., GRB 221009A (Swift J1913.1+1946): AGILE/MCAL detection, GCN Circ. 32650 (2022).
- [10] G. Piano, F. Verrecchia, A. Bulgarelli, et al., GRB 221009A (Swift J1913.1+1946): AGILE/GRID detection, GCN Circ. 32657 (2022).
- [11] D. Gotz, S. Mereghetti, V. Savchenko, et al., GRB221009A/Swift J1913.1+1946: INTEGRAL SPI/ACS observations, GCN Circ. 32660 (2022).
- [12] H. Xiao, S. Krucker, R. Daniel, GRB221009A/Swift J1913.1+1946: Solar Orbiter STIX measurements, GCN Circ. 32661 (2022).
- [13] I. Lapshov, S. Molkov, I. Mereminsky, et al., GRB221009A/Swift J1913.1+1946: SRG/ART-XC observation, GCN Circ. 32663 (2022).
- [14] D. Frederiks, A. Lysenko, A. Ridnaia, et al., Konus-Wind detection of GRB 221009A, GCN Circ. 32668 (2022).
- [15] J. Ripa, A. Pal, N. Werner, et al., GRB 221009A: Detection by GRBAlpha, GCN Circ. 32685 (2022).
- [16] L. J. Mitchell, B. F. Philips, W.N. Johnson, et al., GRB 221009A: Gamma-ray Detection by SIRI-2, GCN Circ. 32746 (2022).
- [17] J. C. Liu, Y. Q. Zhang, S. L. Xiong, et al., GRB 221009A: HEBS detection, GCN Circ. 32751 (2022).
- [18] Yong Huang, Shicong Hu, Songzhan Chen, et al., LHAASO observed GRB 221009A with more than 5000 VHE photons up to around 18 TeV, GCN Circ. 32677 (2022).
- [19] H. Li and B. Q. Ma, Lorentz invariance violation induced threshold anomaly versus very-high energy cosmic photon emission from GRB 221009A, *Astroparticle Physics* **148** (2023) 102831. [arXiv:2210.06338 [astro-ph.HE]]
- [20] A. de Ugarte Postigo, L. Izzo, G. Pugliese, et al., GRB 221009A: Redshift from X-shooter/VLT, GCN Circ. 32648 (2022).
- [21] A. J. Castro-Tirado, R. Sanchez-Ramirez, Y.-D. Hu, et al., GRB 221009A: 10.4m GTC spectroscopic redshift confirmation, GCN Circ. 32686 (2022).
- [22] J. Atteia, The probability of observing GRB 221009A at  $z = 0.151$ , GCN Circ. 32793 (2022).
- [23] W.B. Atwood, A.A. Abdo, M. Ackermann, et al., The large area telescope on the Fermi gamma-ray space telescope mission. *Astrophys. J.* **697**, 1071 (2009). [arXiv:0902.1089].
- [24] For a recent review, see, e.g., P. He, B.-Q. Ma, Lorentz symmetry violation of cosmic photons. *Universe* **8**, 323 (2022). [arXiv:2206.08180]
- [25] J. R. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos, D. V. Nanopoulos, M. Westmuckett, Liouville cosmology at zero and finite temperatures, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **21**, 1379-1444 (2006), [arXiv:gr-qc/0508105].
- [26] G. Amelino-Camelia, J. R. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos, D. V. Nanopoulos, S. Sarkar, Tests of quantum gravity from observations of  $\gamma$ -ray bursts, *Nature* **393**, 763-765 (1998), [arXiv:astro-ph/9712103].
- [27] U. Jacob, T. Piran, Lorentz-violation-induced arrival delays of cosmological particles. *JCAP* **0801** 031 (2008), [arXiv:0712.2170].
- [28] J. Zhu, B.-Q. Ma, Lorentz-violation-induced arrival time delay of astroparticles in Finsler spacetime. *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 124069 (2022), [arXiv:2206.07616].
- [29] K. A. Olive et al., (Particle Data Group) *Chinese Physics C* **38**, 090001 (2014).
- [30] J. R. Ellis, K. Farakos, N. E. Mavromatos, V. A. Mitsou, D. V. Nanopoulos, Astrophysical probes of the constancy of the velocity of light, *Astrophys. J.* **535**, 139-151 (2000), [arXiv:astro-ph/9907340].
- [31] J. R. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos, D. Nanopoulos, A. S. Sakharov, E. K. G. Sarkisyan, Robust limits on Lorentz violation from gamma-ray bursts, *Astropart. Phys.* **25**, 402 (2006) [Corrigendum **29**, 158 (2008)].
- [32] A. A. Abdo, M. Ackermann, M. Ajello, K. Asano, W. B. Atwood *et al.*, A limit on the variation of the speed of light arising from quantum gravity effects, *Nature* **462**, 331 (2009), [arXiv:0908.1832]
- [33] Z. Xiao and B.-Q. Ma, Constraints on Lorentz invariance violation from gamma-ray burst GRB090510, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 116005 (2009), [arXiv:0909.4927]
- [34] L. Shao, Z. Xiao, B.-Q. Ma, Lorentz violation from cosmological objects with very high energy photon emissions, *Astroparticle Physics* **33** (5-6), 312-315 (2010). arXiv:0911.2276, doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2010.03.003. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0927650510000654>
- [35] S. Zhang, B.-Q. Ma, Lorentz violation from gamma-ray bursts, *Astroparticle Physics* **61**, 108-112 (2015). arXiv:1406.4568, doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2014.04.008.
- [36] H. Xu, B.-Q. Ma, Light speed variation from gamma-ray bursts, *Astroparticle Physics* **82** (2016) 72-76. arXiv:1607.03203, doi:10.1016/j.astropartphys.2016.05.008. URL <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0927650516300792>
- [37] H. Xu, B.-Q. Ma, Light speed variation from gamma ray burst GRB 160509A, *Physics Letters B* **760**, 602-604 (2016). arXiv:1607.08043, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.07.044. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0370269316303859>
- [38] G. Amelino-Camelia, G. D'Amico, G. Rosati, N. Loret, In-vacuo-dispersion features for GRB neutrinos and photons, *Nature Astron.* **1**, 0139 (2017). arXiv:1612.02765, doi:10.1038/s41550-017-0139.
- [39] G. Amelino-Camelia, G. D'Amico, F. Fiore, S. Puccetti, M. Ronco, In Vacuo Dispersion-Like Spectral Lags in Gamma-Ray Bursts, *Symmetry* **13** (4), 541 (2021). arXiv:1707.02413, doi:10.3390/sym13040541.
- [40] H. Xu, B.-Q. Ma, Regularity of high energy photon events from gamma ray bursts, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2018** (01) (2018) 050. arXiv:1801.08084, doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2018/01/050. URL <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1475-7516/2018/01/050>

- [41] Y. Liu, B.-Q. Ma, [Light speed variation from gamma ray bursts: criteria for low energy photons](#), *The European Physical Journal C* **78** (10), 825 (2018). [arXiv:1810.00636](#), [doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6294-y](#).  
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6294-y>
- [42] J. Zhu, B.-Q. Ma, [Pre-burst events of gamma-ray bursts with light speed variation](#), *Physics Letters B* **820**, 136518 (2021).  
[doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136518](#).
- [43] Y. Chen, B.-Q. Ma, [Novel pre-burst stage of gamma-ray bursts from machine learning](#), *Journal of High Energy Astrophysics* **32**, 78–86 (2021). [doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheap.2021.09.002](#).  
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214404821000380>
- [44] H. Li, B.-Q. Ma, [Light speed variation from active galactic nuclei](#), *Science Bulletin* **65** (4), 262–266 (2020).  
[doi:10.1016/j.scib.2019.11.024](#).  
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2095927319306693>
- [45] J. Bolmont, A. Jacholkowska, J. L. Atteia, F. Piron, G. Pizzichini, [Study of time lags in HETE-2 gamma-ray bursts with redshift: search for astrophysical effects and a quantum gravity signature](#), *Astrophys. J.* **676** 532-544 (2008), <https://doi.org/10.1086/527524>, [arXiv:astro-ph/0603725](#).
- [46] Z. Chang, X. Li, H. N. Lin, Y. Sang, P. Wang, S. Wang, [Constraining Lorentz invariance violation from the continuous spectra of short gamma-ray bursts](#), *Chin. Phys. C* **40**(4) 045102 (2016), <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/40/4/045102>,
- [47] M. G. Bernardini, G. Ghirlanda, S. Campana, et al., [Limits on quantum gravity effects from \*Swift\* short gamma-ray bursts](#), *Astron. Astrophys.* **607** A121 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731332>, [arXiv:1710.08432](#).
- [48] Y. Pan, J. Qi, S. Cao, T. Liu, Y. Liu, S. Geng, Y. Lian, Z. H. Zhu, [Model-independent constraints on Lorentz invariance violation: implication from updated gamma-ray burst observations](#), *Astrophys. J.* **890** 169 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab6ef5>, [arXiv:2001.08451](#).
- [49] J. R. Ellis, R. Konoplich, N. E. Mavromatos, L. Nguyen, A. S. Sakharov, E. K. G. Sarkisyan, [Robust constraint on Lorentz violation using Fermi-LAT gamma-ray burst data](#), *Phys. Rev. D* **99**(8) 083009 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.083009>, [arXiv:1807.00189](#).
- [50] D. J. Bartlett, H. Desmond, P. G. Ferreira, J. Jasche, [Constraints on quantum gravity and the photon mass from gamma ray bursts](#). *Phys. Rev. D* **104** 103516 (2021), [arXiv:2109.07850v2 \[gr-qc\]](#)
- [51] J. R. Ellis, N. E. Mavromatos and D. V. Nanopoulos, “Derivation of a vacuum refractive index in a stringy space-time foam model,” *Phys. Lett. B* **665**, 412 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2008.06.029>, [arXiv:0804.3566](#).
- [52] T. Li, N. E. Mavromatos, D. V. Nanopoulos and D. Xie, “Time delays of strings in D-particle backgrounds and vacuum refractive indices,” *Phys. Lett. B* **679**, 407 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.07.062>, [arXiv:0903.1303](#).
- [53] C. Li and B.-Q. Ma, “Light speed variation in a string theory model for space-time foam,” *Phys. Lett. B* **819**, 136443 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136443>, [arXiv:2105.06151](#).
- [54] C. Li and B.-Q. Ma, “Light speed variation with brane/string-inspired space-time foam,” *Results Phys.* **26**, 104380 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2021.104380>.
- [55] C. Li and B.-Q. Ma, “Ultrahigh-energy photons from LHAASO as probes of Lorentz symmetry violations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 063012 (2021).
- [56] C. Li and B.-Q. Ma, “LHAASO discovery of highest-energy photons towards new physics,” *Sci. Bull.* **66**, 2254 (2021).
- [57] <https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ssc/LAT/LATDataQuery.cgi>
- [58] <https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/FTP/fermi/data/gbm/triggers/2022/bn221009553/current/>