

Copula approach to exchange–correlation hole in many–electron systems with strong correlations

JingChun Wang,^{1, a)} Yu Su,^{1, a)} Haoyang Cheng,² Yao Wang,^{1, b)} and Rui-Xue Xu^{1, c)}

¹⁾Department of Chemical Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

²⁾College of Electrical and Information Engineering, Quzhou University, Quzhou, Zhejiang 324000, China

(Dated: 27 October 2022)

Electronic correlation is a fundamental topic in many–electron systems. To characterize this correlation, one may introduce the concept of exchange–correlation hole. In this paper, we first briefly revisit its definition and relation to electron and geminal densities, followed by their intimate relations to copula functions in probability theory and statistics. We then propose a copula–based approach to estimate the exchange–correlation hole from the electron density. It is anticipated that the proposed scheme would become a promising ingredient towards the future development of strongly correlated electronic structure calculations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Electronic correlation is a central topic in many–electron systems.^{1–4} It is rooted at the interaction between electrons and concerned with how the movement of one electron is influenced by the presence of all others. For a non-relativistic many–electron system, the expectation value of electron–electron interaction, \hat{V}_{ee} , reads³

$$\langle \hat{V}_{ee} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}') + \rho(\mathbf{r})h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and $h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ are the electron density and exchange–correlation hole, respectively. The latter plays a pivotal role in characterizing strongly correlated electronic structures. Exchange–correlation hole contributes the major part to the exchange–correlation energy functional, $E_{xc}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$. In density functional theory (DFT) calculations, the accuracy mostly depends on how to construct an approximate $E_{xc}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$ of good performances.^{5–20} Evidently, exchange–correlation hole acquires its prominent position in further development of electronic structure theory with strong correlations.^{21–23}

We will see later that $h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ is intimately related to the copula function in probability theory and statistics. Copula, named by Sklar in 1959,²⁴ is defined as a class of multivariate cumulative distribution functions, with the marginal properties all being the uniform distributions in $[0, 1]$, referred as $U[0, 1]$.^{24–26} Copula–based methods are widely used in research fields, including quantitative finance,^{27,28} earthquake statistics,^{29,30} and cosmological data analysis.³¹

Naturally, it is also anticipated that copula is a powerful tool for the strongly correlated electronic structure theory. In this paper, we propose a copula–based approach to estimate the exchange–correlation hole from

the electron density. It is exemplified with the Gaussian copula, following by discussions and some important issues toward the realistic simulations.

The remainder of the paper is arranged as follows. Section II comprises a brief introduction to the electron and geminal densities. We revisit their relations to the exchange–correlation hole in many–electron systems. In Sec. III, we propose the copula scheme and develop its theoretical framework in detail. The paper is finally summarized in Sec. IV.

II. REDUCED DENSITY MATRICES DESCRIPTIONS AND EXCHANGE–CORRELATION HOLE

A. Reduced density matrices

For an N -electron system, the spinless reduced p -electron density matrix (RpDM), $\rho_p(\mathbf{r}_1 \cdots \mathbf{r}_p, \mathbf{r}'_1 \cdots \mathbf{r}'_p)$, is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_p(\mathbf{r}_1 \cdots \mathbf{r}_p, \mathbf{r}'_1 \cdots \mathbf{r}'_p) &\equiv \binom{N}{p} \int d^N \mathbf{s} d\mathbf{r}_{p+1} \cdots d\mathbf{r}_N \\ &\times \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1 s_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_p s_p, \mathbf{x}_{p+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ &\times \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}'_1 s_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}'_p s_p, \mathbf{x}_{p+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with the anti-symmetric electronic wave function, $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N) \equiv \langle \mathbf{r}_1 s_1, \cdots, \mathbf{r}_N s_N | \Psi \rangle$ and $\mathbf{x}_i \equiv \mathbf{r}_i s_i$. The electron density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is therefore the diagonal element of R1DM, namely,

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) \equiv N f(\mathbf{r}). \quad (3)$$

We also define the geminal density, $\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$, via the diagonal element of R2DM, as

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \equiv \rho_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \equiv \binom{N}{2} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'). \quad (4)$$

Here, $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ represents the joint probability density of finding electron 1 at \mathbf{r} and electron 2 at \mathbf{r}' simultaneously, while its marginal distribution $f(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$

^{a)}Authors of equal contributions

^{b)}Electronic mail: wy2010@ustc.edu.cn

^{c)}Electronic mail: rxxu@ustc.edu.cn

is the probability of finding an electron at \mathbf{r} . If these two electrons are correlated, then the probability of finding electron 1 at \mathbf{r} depends on the position \mathbf{r}' of electron 2, and vice versa.

To quantitatively describe the electronic correlation, we introduce the geminal correlation function, $\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$, via

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \equiv f(\mathbf{r})f(\mathbf{r}') + f(\mathbf{r})\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')f(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (5)$$

The geminal correlation function $\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ quantitatively represents this dependence,

$$\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{f(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}') - f(\mathbf{r})}{f(\mathbf{r})} \quad \text{with} \quad f(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}') \equiv \frac{f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')}{f(\mathbf{r}')}.$$
 (6)

Apparently, if these two electrons are uncorrelated, we have $f(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}') = f(\mathbf{r})$, leading to $\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 0$.

B. Exchange–correlation hole

As known, the expectation value of the electron–electron interaction,

$$\hat{V}_{ee} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\hat{\mathbf{r}}_i - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_j|}, \quad (7)$$

can be expressed as [cf. Eqs. (4) and (5)]

$$\langle \hat{V}_{ee} \rangle = \iint d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \equiv J + E_{xc}. \quad (8)$$

The Coulomb integral reads [cf. Eq. (3)]

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} > 0. \quad (9)$$

The exchange–correlation energy is [cf. Eq. (1)]

$$E_{xc} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d\mathbf{r}d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r})h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} < 0, \quad (10)$$

with

$$h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = [(N-1)\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') - 1]f(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (11)$$

This satisfies $\int d\mathbf{r}' h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -1$, the exchange–correlation hole theorem.³

C. Symmetry analysis

Turn to the symmetry of $\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$, on the basis of group theory analysis,^{3,32,33} with all the symmetry transformations forming a certain point group G . For $\hat{R} \in G$, we have

$$\hat{R}\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \equiv \Pi(\hat{R}^{-1}\mathbf{r}, \hat{R}^{-1}\mathbf{r}'). \quad (12)$$

Consider then the basis wavefunctions of a degenerate (not accidentally) energy eigenstate due to the symmetry. The degenerate state $|\Psi\rangle$ is usually a linear combination of a complete set of normalized orthogonal bases, $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}$, as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^d c_k |\psi_k\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{k=1}^d |c_k|^2 = 1. \quad (13)$$

Here, d is the degree of degeneracy. It is easy to show that

$$f(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k,k'=1}^d c_k^* c_{k'} f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (14)$$

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{k,k'=1}^d c_k^* c_{k'} f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'),$$

with

$$f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \int d\mathbf{s}d\mathbf{s}' \cdots d\mathbf{x}_N \psi_k^*(\{\mathbf{x}_i\})\psi_{k'}(\{\mathbf{x}_i\}) \quad (15)$$

and

$$f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \equiv \int d\mathbf{s}d\mathbf{s}' d\mathbf{x}_3 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_N \psi_k^*(\{\mathbf{x}_i\})\psi_{k'}(\{\mathbf{x}_i\}). \quad (16)$$

According to the representation theory, the degenerate basis functions, $\{\psi_k; k = 1, \dots, d\}$, inside a d -dimensional irreducible representation Γ of the point group G , transform only amongst themselves, i.e.,

$$\hat{R}\psi_k = \sum_{k'} \psi_{k'} \Gamma_{k'k}(\hat{R}); \quad \hat{R} \in G, \quad (17)$$

with $\Gamma_{k'k}(\hat{R})$ being the $d \times d$ representation matrix. Since the wavefunction Ψ belongs to Γ , we know that $f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r})$ belongs to $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma$, with the transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r}) &= \int d\mathbf{s}d\mathbf{s}' \cdots d\mathbf{x}_N (\hat{R}\psi_k)^*(\hat{R}\psi_{k'}), \\ &= \sum_{ij} \int d\mathbf{s}d\mathbf{s}' \cdots d\mathbf{x}_N \Gamma_{ik}^*(\hat{R})\Gamma_{jk'}(\hat{R})\psi_i^*\psi_j \\ &= \sum_{ij} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r})\Gamma_{ik}^*(\hat{R})\Gamma_{jk'}(\hat{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

According to the Unsöld theorem,^{3,33} this direct product representation, $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma$, contains precisely once the totally symmetric irreducible representation, in which

$$f(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=1}^d f_{kk}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (19)$$

retaining the symmetry. Similarly, we know that

$$f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \int d\mathbf{s}d\mathbf{s}' d\mathbf{x}_3 \cdots d\mathbf{x}_N \psi_k^*\psi_{k'} \quad (20)$$

also belongs to $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma$ with

$$\hat{R}f_{kk'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \sum_{ij} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \Gamma_{ik}^*(\hat{R}) \Gamma_{jk'}(\hat{R}). \quad (21)$$

Therefore, in the totally symmetric irreducible representation,

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=1}^d f_{kk}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \quad (22)$$

is also invariant under the group point transformation. Particularly, if a state is non-degenerate, belonging to a one-dimensional representation, $f(\mathbf{r})$ and $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ must be totally symmetric.

III. COPULA-BASED ESTIMATION SCHEME

A. Regular copula formulation

Let us start with the general case of n random variables with distribution function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The n -point cumulative density function (CDF) is defined as

$$F(q_1, \dots, q_n) = \int_{-\infty}^{q_1} dx_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{q_n} dx_n f(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (23)$$

According to the Sklar's theorem, the CDF can be uniquely expressed as²⁴⁻²⁶

$$F(q_1, \dots, q_n) = C(F_1(q_1), \dots, F_n(q_n)). \quad (24)$$

Here

$$F_i(q_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{q_i} dx_i f_i(x_i), \quad (25)$$

with $f_i(x)$ being the i^{th} marginal distribution density function that are positive. Each function $F_i(q)$ is a non-decreasing function for the probability of the random variable less than or equal to q . Apparently, $F_i(-\infty) = 0$ and $F_i(+\infty) = 1$.

In Eq. (24), $C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is the copula function, associated with the CDF, and can be recast as

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_n) = F(F_1^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F_n^{-1}(u_n)). \quad (26)$$

Taking derivative on both sides of Eq. (24) with Eq. (23), followed by the chain rule that deals with the differential of composite functions, we obtain

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_n) = c(u_1, \dots, u_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(q_i). \quad (27)$$

Here

$$c(u_1, \dots, u_n) \equiv \frac{\partial C(u_1, \dots, u_n)}{\partial u_1 \cdots \partial u_n}. \quad (28)$$

As known $u_i = F_i(q_i) \in [0, 1]$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}(u_i \leq b) &= \text{Prob}(F_i(q_i) \leq b) \\ &= \text{Prob}(F_i^{-1}(F_i(q_i)) \leq F_i^{-1}(b)) \\ &= \text{Prob}(q_i \leq F_i^{-1}(b)) \\ &= F_i(F_i^{-1}(b)) = b. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In other words, u_i obeys $U[0, 1]$, a uniform distribution on the interval $[0, 1]$.

The copula function itself is a multivariate CDF whose all marginal distributions are $U[0, 1]$. More specifically, $C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ maps $\{0 \leq u_i \leq 1; i = 1, \dots, n\}$ to $[0, 1]$, satisfying²⁵

$$\begin{aligned} C(1, \dots, 1, u_k, 1, \dots, 1) &= u_k; \\ C(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k = 0, u_{k+1} \cdots, u_n) &= 0; \\ 0 \leq \frac{\partial C(u_1, \dots, u_n)}{\partial u_k} &\leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Other intrinsic properties can be found in Ref. 25.

B. Copula-based estimation scheme for exchange-correlation hole

In the following, for bookkeeping we denote

$$\mathbf{u} \equiv (u_1, u_2, u_3) \equiv (F_1(q_1), F_2(q_2), F_3(q_3)) \quad (31)$$

where $\{F_i(q_i)\}$ are the three marginal CDFs of $f(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \rho(\mathbf{r})/N$. From Eq. (27), it is easy to see that

$$f(\mathbf{r}) = c_3(\mathbf{u}) f_1(x) f_2(y) f_3(z), \quad (32)$$

where $f_1(x) = \iint f(\mathbf{r}) dy dz$; $f_2(y)$ and $f_3(z)$ are similar. All these CDFs depend on the parameters, as implied in Eq. (25), and resulting

$$c_3(\mathbf{u}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{r})}{f_1(x) f_2(y) f_3(z)}. \quad (33)$$

For the joint distribution in Eq. (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') &= c_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}') f_1(x) f_2(y) f_3(z) \\ &\quad \times f_1(x') f_2(y') f_3(z'). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The composite copula function reads then [cf. Eq. (5)]

$$1 + \Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')}{f(\mathbf{r}) f(\mathbf{r}')} = \frac{c_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}')}{c_3(\mathbf{u}) c_3(\mathbf{u}')}. \quad (35)$$

The exchange-correlation hole, Eq. (11), becomes

$$h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \left[(N-1) \frac{c_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}')}{c_3(\mathbf{u}) c_3(\mathbf{u}')} - N \right] f(\mathbf{r}'). \quad (36)$$

According to the Honhenberg-Kohn theorems, the exchange-correlation hole is a functional of density. In copula-based approach, it is to construct $c_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}')$ from

$f(\mathbf{r})$. The former is now assumed to be parameter-independent and of the form $c_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'; \{\theta_p\})$, whose integral correspondence is $C_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'; \{\theta_p\})$; see Eq. (28).

For the present study, we set

$$C_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}') = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda'} d\mathbf{u}'' d\mathbf{u}''' \phi(\mathbf{u}'', \mathbf{u}''') \quad (37)$$

and exemplify it with the Gaussian copula via

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda} \equiv \Phi^{-1}(\mathbf{u}) \equiv (\Phi_1^{-1}(u_1), \Phi_2^{-1}(u_2), \Phi_3^{-1}(u_3)) \quad (38)$$

where

$$\Phi_i(y) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^y dy' \exp\left[-\frac{(y' - a_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right]. \quad (39)$$

The corresponding integrand in Eq. (37) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}') &= \sqrt{\frac{(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu})(\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u'})}{(2\pi)^6 (\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \prod_{i=1}^3 \sigma_i^2 \sigma_i'^2}} \\ &\times e^{-\frac{1}{2}[(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{a}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{u}'-\mathbf{a}') + (\mathbf{u}'-\mathbf{a}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{a})]} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{-(u_i - a_i)^2 / (2\sigma_i^2)} e^{-(u'_i - a'_i)^2 / (2\sigma_i'^2)}, \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ and $\mathbf{a}' = (a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)$. The covariance matrix is

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu'} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u'} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

In Eq. (40), $\{\sigma_i^2\}$ and $\{\sigma_i'^2\}$ are the diagonal elements of the submatrices $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u'}$, respectively. Only the non-diagonal blocks, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu'}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u}$, are involved in the exponents. This is in parallel to the correlation between $f(\mathbf{r})$ and $f(\mathbf{r}' \neq \mathbf{r})$ without self-correlations. The block diagonal parts, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u'}$, only participate into the normalization. The above \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{a}' , and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ form the set of parameters, $\{\theta_p\}$. In the present scenario, it is reasonable to set $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}'$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{u'u'}$, due to the same function form of $f(\mathbf{r})$ and $f(\mathbf{r}')$.

Finally, according to Eq. (28), the copula density reads

$$\begin{aligned} c_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}') &= \phi(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}') \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{d\Phi_i^{-1}(u_i)}{du_i} \frac{d\Phi_i^{-1}(u'_i)}{du'_i} \\ &= \frac{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu}}{\sqrt{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}} e^{-(\boldsymbol{\lambda}-\mathbf{a}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{uu}^{-1} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\lambda}'-\mathbf{a})}. \quad (42) \end{aligned}$$

The parameters $\{\theta_p\}$ can be obtained directly from the data fitting. Upon obtaining $c_6(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}')$, together with $c_3(\mathbf{u})$ and $f(\mathbf{r})$, we can estimate the exchange-correlation hole, $h_{xc}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ [cf. Eqs. (11) and (35)], and further the $\langle \hat{V}_{ee} \rangle$ via Eq. (8).

To the end of this section, we would like to make some comments on the aforementioned copula-based

scheme. Firstly, the Gaussian copula [cf. Eq. (42)] is not the only choice. Other commonly used copulas include t -copula, Gumbel copula, Frank copula, Clayton copula, and others.^{24–26} Secondly, on the basis of the given copula, the task is to evaluate the involved parameters. On the other hand, we may also use nonparametric estimation methods, via kernels, wavelets, splines, or neural networks. The hybrid usage of parametric and nonparametric method is also applicable. Last but not the least, the multivariate copula can be constructed via such as D-vines and canonical vines from lower order copulas.^{34,35} This will give rise to more detailed copula structures in relation to realistic molecules.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND PROSPECT

To conclude, in this paper we formulate a copula-based approach toward the accurate exchange-correlation hole functional in strongly correlated systems. Some issues would be important and addressed along this line, as follows. The first one is to determine the asymptotic behaviours and physical constraints of the rigorous copula function. This will reduce the functional space of the variation in the fitting process. The second is to find out more symmetries beyond the point group, especially those reduce the dimension of $\Pi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ which is six at present. A neutral network structure is also to be built for the copula function based on above considerations. At last, a numerical recipe shall be developed for the whole process, to give the simulation results and be compared with those from other quantum chemistry methods.

It is anticipated that the newly proposed scheme would become a promising ingredient towards the future development of electronic structure calculations, including especially the DFT and its time-dependent extension.^{36–38} Generally speaking, the copula method would become an important tool in quantum chemistry simulations, just as its already wide use in other research fields.^{27–31}

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 22103073 and 22173088) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors are indebted to YiJing Yan for valuable discussions.

- ¹A. Szabo, *Modern Quantum Chemistry*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1996.
- ²R. M. Martin, *Electronic Structure: Basic Theory and Practical Methods*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- ³G. Xu, L. Li, and D. Wang, *Quantum Chemistry (in Chinese)*, China Science Publishing and Media Ltd., Beijing, 2007.
- ⁴I. N. Levine, *Quantum Chemistry (7th Edition)*, Pearson, Boston, 2018.
- ⁵P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, “Inhomogeneous electron gas,” *Phys. Rev.* **136**, B864 (1964).
- ⁶W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, “Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects,” *Phys. Rev.* **140**, A1133 (1965).

- ⁷R. G. Parr and W. T. Yang, *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- ⁸K. Burke, "Perspective on density functional theory," *J. Chem. Phys.* **136**, 150901 (2012).
- ⁹N. Mardirossian and M. Head-Gordon, "Thirty years of density functional theory in computational chemistry: an overview and extensive assessment of 200 density functionals," *Mol. Phys.* **115**, 2315 (2017).
- ¹⁰A. D. Becke, "Perspective: Fifty years of density-functional theory in chemical physics," *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 18A301 (2014).
- ¹¹R. O. Jones, "Density functional theory: Its origins, rise to prominence, and future," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 897 (2015).
- ¹²D. C. Langreth and J. P. Perdew, "Theory of nonuniform electronic systems. I. Analysis of the gradient approximation and a generalization that works," *Phys. Rev. B* **21**, 5469 (1980).
- ¹³D. C. Langreth and M. J. Mehl, "Beyond the local-density approximation in calculations of ground-state electronic properties," *Phys. Rev. B* **28**, 1809 (1983).
- ¹⁴J. P. Perdew, "Density-functional approximation for the correlation energy of the inhomogeneous electron gas," *Phys. Rev. B* **33**, 8822 (1986).
- ¹⁵C. Lee and R. G. Parr, "Gaussian and other approximations to the first-order density matrix of electronic systems, and the derivation of various local-density-functional theories," *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 2377 (1987).
- ¹⁶J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, "Atoms, molecules, solids, and surfaces: Applications of the generalized gradient approximation for exchange and correlation," *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 6671 (1992).
- ¹⁷J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, "Accurate and simple analytic representation of the electron-gas correlation energy," *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 13244 (1992).
- ¹⁸J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, "Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865 (1996).
- ¹⁹J. P. Perdew and K. Schmidt, "Jacob's ladder of density functional approximations for the exchange-correlation energy," *AIP Conf. Proc.* **577**, 1 (2001).
- ²⁰J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou, and K. Burke, "Restoring the Density-Gradient Expansion for Exchange in Solids and Surfaces," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 136406 (2008).
- ²¹C. Noce, "Green functions for strongly correlated electronic systems," *J. Phys. Condens. Matter.* **3**, 7819 (1991).
- ²²A. J. Cohen, P. Mori-Sánchez, and W. T. Yang, "Challenges for Density Functional Theory," *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 289 (2012).
- ²³R. Schade, E. Kamil, and P. Blöchl, "Reduced density-matrix functionals from many-particle theory," *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **226**, 2677 (2017).
- ²⁴M. Sklar, "Fonctions de repartition an dimensions et leurs marges," *Publ. inst. statist. univ. Paris* **8**, 229 (1959).
- ²⁵R. B. Nelsen, *An Introduction to Copulas*, Springer Science & Business Media, New York, 2007.
- ²⁶P. K. Trivedi and D. M. Zimmer, "Copula modeling: An introduction for practitioners," *Foundations and Trends® in Econometrics* **1**, 1 (2007).
- ²⁷J. C. Rodriguez, "Measuring financial contagion: A copula approach," *J. Empir. Finance* **14**, 401 (2007).
- ²⁸L. Hu, "Dependence patterns across financial markets: a mixed copula approach," *Appl. Financial Econ.* **16**, 717 (2006).
- ²⁹A. K. Nikoloulopoulos and D. Karlis, "Fitting copulas to bivariate earthquake data: the seismic gap hypothesis revisited," *Environmetrics* **19**, 251 (2008).
- ³⁰H.-N. Li, X.-W. Zheng, and C. Li, "Copula-based approach to construct a joint probabilistic model of earthquakes and strong winds," *Int. J. Struct. Stab.* **19**, 1950046 (2019).
- ³¹M. Sato, K. Ichiki, and T. T. Takeuchi, "Copula cosmology: Constructing a likelihood function," *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 023501 (2011).
- ³²E. R. Davidson, *Reduced Density Matrices in Quantum Chemistry*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1976.
- ³³D. M. Bishop, *Group Theory and Chemistry*, Courier Corporation, North Chelmsford, 1993.
- ³⁴H. Joe and D. Kurowicka, *Dependence Modeling: Vine Copula Handbook*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2011.
- ³⁵D. Kraus and C. Czado, "D-vine copula based quantile regression," *Comput. Stat. Data Anal.* **110**, 1 (2017).
- ³⁶E. Runge and E. K. Gross, "Density-functional theory for time-dependent systems," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 997 (1984).
- ³⁷M. A. Marques and E. K. Gross, "Time-dependent density functional theory," *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **55**, 427 (2004).
- ³⁸M. E. Casida and M. Huix-Rotllant, "Progress in time-dependent density-functional theory," *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **63**, 287 (2012).