

Optical and X-ray Follow-Up to a Globular Cluster Ultraluminous X-ray Source in NGC 4472

Wasundara Ranhari Athukoralalage,¹★ Kristen C. Dage,^{2,3} Stephen E. Zepf,¹
Arash Bahramian,⁴ Edward M. Cackett,⁵ Arunav Kundu,⁶ Thomas J. Maccarone⁷

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824

²Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 University Street, Montréal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada

³McGill Space Institute, McGill University, 3550 University Street, Montréal, QC H3A 2A7, Canada

⁴International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research – Curtin University, GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia

⁵Wayne State University, Department of Physics & Astronomy, 666 W Hancock St, Detroit, MI 48201, USA

⁶Eureka Scientific, Inc., 2452 Delmer Street, Suite 100 Oakland, CA 94602, USA

⁷Department of Physics & Astronomy, Box 41051, Science Building, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409-1051, USA

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

NGC 4472 is home to five ultraluminous X-ray sources hosted by globular clusters. These sources have been suggested as good black hole candidates in extragalactic globular clusters—a highly sought after population that may provide observational information regarding the progenitors of merging black hole binaries. In this body of work, we present X-ray and optical follow up to one of these sources, CXOUJ1229410+075744 (GCU1). We find no evidence of [OIII] optical emission in GCU1 which indicates a lack of significant evidence for super-Eddington outflows, unlike what is seen in a handful of ULXs in extragalactic GCs. X-ray monitoring from 2019-2021 shows no detected X-ray emission above a few $\times 10^{38}$ erg/s. Comparisons of the multi-wavelength properties to disc-dominated, near Eddington Galactic black hole low mass X-ray binaries (GRS 1915+105 and XTEJ1817-330) suggests that GCU1 may show similar behaviour to GRS 1915+105 in terms of X-ray variability and similar relationships between L_X and kT , with GCU1 showing maximum X-ray luminosities one order of magnitude higher.

Key words: stars: black holes; NGC 4472: globular clusters: individual; X-rays: binaries accretion, accretion discs

1 INTRODUCTION

Ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) are bright X-ray binary sources that typically have luminosities exceeding 10^{39} erg/s (the Eddington Limit for a $10 M_\odot$ black hole). While they were originally thought to be indicators of black holes, either $> 10 M_\odot$ BHs accreting in standard physical regimes, or $< 10 M_\odot$ BHs accreting in at super-Eddington luminosities (Gladstone et al. 2009), the confirmation of a neutron star primary (Bachetti et al. 2014) in M82 X-2 has complicated the interpretation of these sources.

A large number of ULXs are formed in the star forming regions of spiral galaxies (Swartz et al. 2009). However, a growing number of ULXs have been discovered in a very different stellar and dynamical environment, the globular clusters associated with early type galaxies (Dage et al. 2019a, 2020, 2021). Because these ULXs are born in old and dynamic globular cluster systems, they are low mass X-ray binary (LMXB) ULXs, and undergo quite different for-

mation mechanisms than their star-forming contemporaries. These key differences suggest that the best explanation for a GC ULX system is a black hole accretor.

Given the rising interests in globular clusters as formation channels for binary black holes, such as those detected by LIGO (Rodriguez et al. 2016), and more theoretical work is showing that many black holes will be formed in clusters (Weatherford et al. 2020), studying GC ULXs may be a fruitful way to build a sample of extragalactic globular cluster black hole candidates.

CXOUJ1229410+075744 (hereafter “GCU1”) was first identified by Maccarone et al. (2011). At the time it was one of only a few similar sources (Maccarone et al. 2007; Irwin et al. 2010; Shih et al. 2010). Since then, up to 20 GC ULXs have been identified and studied in both X-ray and optical (Roberts et al. 2012; Dage et al. 2019a, 2020, 2021). X-ray spectral studies of these objects probe the accretion processes fuelling the emission while the optical data constrain the properties of the host globular cluster.

Additionally, optical spectroscopy has also proven to be a useful tool in understanding these sources. Zepf et al. (2007, 2008) de-

★ E-mail: athukora@msu.edu

tected broad [OIII] emission above the globular cluster continuum in a NGC 4472 GC ULX, and [Irwin et al. \(2010\)](#) observed [OIII] and [NII] emission in a NGC 1399 GC ULX. Both of these sources showed similar X-ray behaviour, as they were both best-fit by a soft, thermal ($kT < 0.5$ keV) spectrum which remained relatively constant as the sources varied in X-ray luminosity ([Dage et al. 2019b](#)). While only a handful of GC ULXs have measured optical spectra, it is quite remarkable that the only two sources with optical emission showed very similar X-ray behaviour. This suggests that the presence or absence of optical emission, in combination with the X-ray behaviour, can potentially be used as a diagnostic for the accretion physics of the system.

GCU1 has been monitored in X-rays since the year 2000. It is well-fit by a single multi-color disc (MCD) ([Mitsuda et al. 1984](#)) model, with no evidence for a hard component. The best-fit values for kT range from above 0.5 keV to just below 2.0 keV, and are strongly correlated to the X-ray luminosity. Its X-ray luminosity shows significant variability between observations, ranging from a few $\times 10^{37}$ erg/s to $\sim 3 \times 10^{39}$ erg/s ([Dage et al. 2019a](#)).

X-ray variability is another key diagnostic in the study of extragalactic X-ray binaries. Previous studies of GCULXs have identified several different behaviours, with nine showing no variability within an observation or across many years of observations ([Dage et al. 2019a, 2020, 2021](#)), eight that vary over the course of many observations, but not within an observation ([Dage et al. 2019a, 2020](#)), and three that vary within an observation ([Maccarone et al. 2007; Shih et al. 2010; Dage et al. 2020](#)). Variability is also seen in ULXs in star-forming galaxies, e.g. [Earnshaw et al. \(2018\)](#) notes that transience in ULXs is generally uncommon but may be due to the propeller regime—where the accretion rate is stopped by a centrifugal barrier due to a neutron star’s rotating magnetosphere ([Tsygankov et al. 2016](#)). Other ULXs (e.g. [Walton et al. 2016](#)) may show ‘super-orbital’ periodicities thought to be caused by warped, precessing accretion discs (e.g. [Ogilvie & Dubus 2001; Hu et al. 2019](#)).

X-ray spectroscopy of ULXs can provide important diagnostics of the physical mechanisms of their systems. Studies by [Sutton et al. \(2013\)](#) determine that ULXs are typically fit best by three main spectral types, and can undergo state changes (the broadened disc, hard ultraluminous, soft ultraluminous, as well as the ultrasoft ultraluminous state ([Urquhart & Soria 2016](#))). Broadband spectroscopy of neutron star ULXs by [Walton et al. \(2018\)](#) found that the best-fit model included two thermal model components and one hard cut-off power-law component. [Kobayashi et al. \(2019\)](#) explored model fits of ULXs likely to be black hole primaries and found that they were well described by a MCD model with a Comptonization component, and that the spectral properties could imply Bondi-Hoyle accretion. While GCU1 is located at a much further distance than many of these ULXs ($d \sim 16.8$ Mpc), which translates to a much lower photon count rate and less detailed spectral features, its lack of a hard emission component makes its properties different from those of vast majority of ULXs. While GCU1 might not be inherently best-fit by a MCD, the photons that do arrive suggest it is a disc-like source. Spectral studies of GCULXs in Virgo and Fornax ($d \sim 20$ Mpc) find that they are best fit by a range of very soft MCDs to very hard power-law shapes ([Dage et al. 2019a](#)).

Given that in the past, many studies of ULX behaviour have been compared to Galactic black holes (e.g. [Miller et al. 2004](#)), we analyse new X-ray and optical observations of GCU1 and undertake the exercise of comparing GCU1’s behaviour to near Eddington, disc dominated LMXB Galactic black holes GRS 1915+105 (hereafter GRS1915) and XTEJ1817-330 ([Rykoff et al. 2007; Gierliński](#)

[et al. 2008](#)). Section 2 describes the data and analysis methods, Section 3 discusses the results and comparison to GRS1915 and XTEJ1817-330, and the implications of this are presented in Section 4.

2 DATA AND ANALYSIS

We analyse both X-ray and optical observations of GCU1. GCU1 has been observed in four *Chandra* observations (PID 20620572, PI: Zepf) spanning 2019-2021 (see Table 1). It was observed on Gemini South/Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) in 2011 (program GS-2012A-Q-57, PI: Zepf).

2.1 X-ray Analysis

We reprocessed the X-ray images with `CHANDRA_REPRO` using CIAO version 4.12 ([Fruscione et al. 2006](#)), and filtered them to the 0.5-8.0 keV energy range using `DMCOPY`. Although GCU1 has been detected in many past *Chandra* observations, it was not detected in any of the new observations. We estimated non-detection upper limits by placing a 8 arcsecond region (as GCU1 is off-axis in the new observations by ~ 3.3 arcmin) centred on GCU1’s coordinates and measuring the counts in `funtools`¹. Dividing by the exposure time and using $N_H = 1.6 \times 10^{20}$ cm^{-2} and the best-fit power-law photon index ($\Gamma = 1.7$) reported by [Dage et al. \(2019a\)](#)’s fits of a power-law model to the observations, we used `PIMMS`² to convert the non-detection upper limit count to X-ray luminosities. The non-detection upper limit count rates and luminosities are presented in Table 1.

For the spectral fits to GCU1, we use [Dage et al. \(2019a\)](#)’s measurements. The spectra with counts less than 100 were fit with [Cash \(1979\)](#) statistics, and the higher quality spectra were fit with χ^2 statistics. GCU1 showed no statistical evidence for being better fit by either a single power-law model, or a combined power-law and disc model. For comparison, another GCULX source in the same galaxy and the same datasets, RZ2109, was statistically better fit by a disc plus power-law model ([Dage et al. 2018](#)), which suggests that GCU1 is indeed best fit by a single disc model.

2.2 Optical Data

The colour and magnitude of GCU1 are $z=20.8$, $g - z=1.59$ ([Dage et al. 2019a](#)). GCU1’s optical spectrum was observed on Gemini via program GS-2012A-Q-57 with the 1.0 arcsec slit and B600 grating, resolution $R=1688$ ³. GCU1 was observed for 2 hours, 490 Å central wavelength and 1 hour with 510 Å central wavelength on February 25th, 2011, and for 2 hours with the 500 Å central wavelength on February 29th, 2011. We reduced the spectrum using IRAF ([Tody 1986, 1993](#)), and used `FXCOR` ([Tonry & Davis 1979; Alpaslan 2009](#)) to cross-correlate with a template M31 globular cluster spectrum over a wavelength range of 4600-5000 Å (where the spectrum was relatively free of defects/cosmic rays) to measure radial velocity. GCU1’s spectrum is shifted by 660 ± 17 km/s relative to the rest frame. This is much greater than the radial velocities that are typical

¹ <https://github.com/ericmandel/funtools>

² <https://cxc.harvard.edu/toolkit/pimms.jsp>

³ <https://www.gemini.edu/instrumentation/gmos/components#Gratings>




Figure 1. Gemini South optical spectrum of GCU1 (CXOU1229410+075744), 500 Å central wavelength. The visible features in the spectrum are due to atmospheric induced sky-lines.

for foreground stars, and in the range of velocities used to classify objects as GCs for galaxies in the Virgo Cluster (Strader et al. 2011).

No significant optical emission above the cluster continuum was detected (see also Figure 1). Using the 500 Å central wavelength combined spectrum, we search for evidence of [OIII] emission in the spectrum by computing the equivalent width (the relative brightness of the spectrum compared to the cluster continuum) in the regime of 5008-5028 Å. We use a polynomial model of the GC continuum (see Dage et al. 2019b for more detail) spanning 4600-5150 Å (the regime where the spectrum is relatively free of chip defects/gaps). The continuum end-points were computed from 20 Å wide datapoints randomly subsampled from 4600:4630 Å and 5120:5150 Å, as they did not have many features that deviated from the average value in those regimes. We re-sampled this 50 times and find that the largest possible equivalent width is still less than 0.36 Å, with the average computed value typically less than 0.1 Å. Both of these numbers are negligible when compared to detected [OIII] emission from other sources (e.g. Irwin et al. 2010; Dage et al. 2019b; Sun et al. 2019). For example, RZ2109’s equivalent width ranges from 12.9 to 31.6 Å (Dage et al. 2019b), and Sun et al. (2019) report equivalent widths on the order of 4.0 Å. We could not search for [NII] emission as the optical spectrum did not extend above 6500 Å.

3 RESULTS

We present new optical and X-ray measurements of the globular cluster ultraluminous X-ray source GCU1. We found that GCU1 showed significant X-ray variability in the past. In the 2019-2021 observations, GCU1 has not been detected. Analysis of GCU1’s optical spectrum confirms the cluster nature of the counterpart, but no significant optical emission lines are detected beyond the cluster continuum (See Figure 1).

3.1 Long-Term Lightcurve of GCU1

The long-term X-ray behaviour of GCU1 can be seen in Figure 2. Previous monitoring on a yearly timescale from 2014-2016 detected the source both above 10^{39} erg/s and below 10^{38} erg/s. The recent non-detections indicate that the source may have switched off in X-ray for the time being. GRS1915 is a Galactic BH (15 solar masses) and has been well-studied over the last 20 years, and has exhibited similar modes, as both exhibit high X-ray variability and switching-off (Negoro et al. 2018; Balakrishnan et al. 2021).

Analysis by Neilsen et al. (2020); Miller et al. (2020) suggests




Figure 2. X-ray lightcurve of GCU1 from 2000-2021 (X-ray detections from Dage et al. (2019a), upper limits are presented in Table 1). While GCU1 has shown significant variability in the past observations, the source has not been detected above a few $\times 10^{38}$ erg/s in the most recent monitoring from 2019-2021.

that the low state in GRS1915 is driven by an increase in the local absorption rather than a change in the intrinsic flux. As GCU1 is too far away to probe intrinsic absorption, it is not possible to determine whether the non-detections in GCU1 might be intrinsic or, like GRS1915, due to absorption. However, further comparisons in how the X-ray luminosity scales with inner disc temperature may be instructive to find clues regarding the nature of GCU1.

3.2 A comparison of GCULX1 to GRS1915 and XTEJ1817-330

We chose to compare GCU1 to two Galactic LMXBs based on the following criteria: GRS 1915 is an object in the same luminosity range as GCU1 with a well constrained distance and has been fit with the same DISKBB model as GCU1 (Miller et al. 2004). XTEJ1817-330, in addition to also being fit with the DISKBB model by Rykoff et al. (2007), has been observed over a wider range of luminosities than GRS1915. We endeavour to perform a further comparison between GCU1 and GRS1915, although GCU1 is extragalactic ($d=16.8$ Mpc), by comparing how similar the X-ray luminosity/disc temperature trends are. Figure 3 shows the X-ray luminosity versus disc temperature for the two sources, which overall seem to show very similar trends. While GRS1915’s true spectrum is complicated, we make this comparison using data digitized from Miller et al. (2004), as they have been fitted to the same DISKBB model used to fit the data of GCU1, and selected to probe a large range of temperatures. We use PIMMS to convert the measurements from the 0.5-10 keV band to the 0.5-8.0 keV band, and applied the percent uncertainties from GCU1, sorted by luminosity to GRS1915. We also converted the measurements from Rykoff et al. (2007) from the 0.3-10 keV band to 0.5-8.0 keV. We note that the nominal distance to XTEJ1817-330 is 5.5 kpc (Sala et al. 2007), but that this measurement is highly uncertain.

We use the package LINMIX (Kelly 2007) to perform linear regression with a Bayesian approach while considering measurement uncertainties to fit the slopes of XTEJ1817-330, GRS1915, and




Figure 3. X-ray luminosity versus kT in log-scale for GCU1 as well as known Galactic black holes GRS1915. The data for GCU1 is measured in Dage et al. (2019a); the values for GRS1915 are digitized from Miller et al. (2004), who use a distance of 11 kpc to calculate the luminosity. We apply the range of percent uncertainty in GCU1’s measurements, sorted by luminosity, to GRS1915. The values for XTEJ1817-330 are taken from Rykoff et al. (2007). We use PIMMS to convert the measurements from the 0.5–10 keV band to the 0.5–8.0 keV band for both GRS1915 and XTEJ1817-330. We overlay the data with the best fit slopes and uncertainties (dashed and dotted lines).

GCU1 in log space to find the best-fit power-law index for the relationship between L_X and kT . We found that the best fit index (and corresponding 1σ uncertainties) for GCU1 is $2.6^{+7.5}_{-1.1}$, and $1.6^{+6.8}_{-0.8}$ for GRS1915. For XTEJ1817-330, we found a slope of 3.78 ± 0.14 . This analysis suggests that GCU1 and GRS1915 may show similar behaviour in L_X and kT space, although we caution that the observational data of GCU1 has large error bars and does not well constrain the slope.

Another method to estimate the lower limit of the black hole mass is by using the DISKBB normalization. We take the measured normalizations from Dage et al. (2019a), and apply a correction to determine the disc radius from the apparent inner disc radius ($R_{in} = \kappa^2 \xi r_{in} \sqrt{\cos \theta}$; hardening ratio $\kappa = 1.7$ and correction factor $\xi = 0.412$, see Shimura & Takahara 1995; Kubota et al. 1998), assuming a completely face-on disc ($\theta=0$). This results in an estimation of a mass lower limit of 10–20 M_\odot –with corresponding inner disc radii estimates ranging from 500–1500 km– although we caution that the measured normalizations are not well constrained, and a number of assumptions has gone into the estimation.

4 DISCUSSION

We have analysed new X-ray and optical observations of the globular cluster ultra-luminous X-ray source GCU1. X-ray spectral analysis of ten GC ULXs by Dage et al. (2019a) found that the sample best fit

Table 1. *Chandra* observations of GCU1 as well as count rate and 90% confidence interval X-ray luminosity upper limits derived using Gehrels (1986) statistics.

Obs ID	Date	Length ks	Count rate 10^{-3} cts/s	L_X 10^{38} erg/s
21647	2019-04-17	29.68	< 0.71	< 3.13
21648	2020-04-09	29.68	< 0.44	< 1.94
21649	2021-03-02	19.81	< 0.34	< 1.49
24981	2021-03-08	11.48	< 0.46	< 2.04

by a MCD fell into two different modes: a soft ($kT < 0.5$ keV) disc where the X-ray luminosity spanned over an order of magnitude, but the best-fit temperature remained relatively unchanged, or best fit kT values > 0.5 keV, with the luminosity increasing with the temperature. The “soft” sources in the first category both showed evidence of emission in the optical spectra, which implied that they were accreting in the super-Eddington regime. GCU1’s X-ray spectrum fell into the latter category, with luminosity increasing with temperature, and GCU1’s optical spectrum showed no evidence of emission, which implies that GCU1 is not under-going any major outflows, and may be in a near- or sub-Eddington accretion state.

The last twenty years worth of *Chandra* monitoring observations of GCU1 has shown that the source is bright and highly variable. Our analysis of 2019–2021 data shows that GCU1 has ceased to be detected about luminosities greater than a few $\times 10^{38}$ erg/s. Both the inherent high variability of the source and “turning off” evokes modes of GRS1915.

While GCU1 is distant ($d=16.8$ Mpc), and the observable information is sparse, GCU1’s overall trends show many similarities with GRS1915: a luminosity temperature relation and strong temporal variability. If these two sources are truly in similar accretion regimes, at sub-Eddington regimes, mass and luminosity are linearly correlated, which may imply that GCU1 may be somewhat more massive than GRS1915.

While it is difficult to make more conclusive results regarding the nature of GCU1, it is an important source to understand because it can provide clues of how extreme X-ray luminosities occur in old and crowded systems.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The *Chandra* observations are available through <https://cda.harvard.edu/chaser/>, and the Gemini data is archived at <https://archive.gemini.edu/searchform>, program GS-2012A-Q-57.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the anonymous referee. KCD acknowledges funding from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), fellowship funding from the McGill Space Institute, and from Fonds de Recherche du Québec – Nature et Technologies, Bourses de recherche postdoctorale B3X no. 319864. SEZ acknowledges support from grant GO9-20080X. This research has made use of data obtained from the Chandra Data Archive and the Chandra Source Catalog, and software provided by the Chandra X-ray Center (CXC) in the application package CIAO.




Figure 4. LINMIX slope fitting of GCU1 (left) and GRS1915 (right). The lines show the various fits from LINMIX, along with the best-fit and uncertainties (solid lines)




Figure 5. LINMIX slope fitting of XTEJ1817-330. The lines show the various fits from LINMIX, along with the best-fit and uncertainties (solid lines)

Based on observations obtained at the international Gemini Observatory, a program of NSF’s NOIRLab, which is managed by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation on behalf of the Gemini Observatory partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), National Research Council (Canada), Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (Chile), Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (Argentina), Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovações e Comunicações (Brazil), and Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute (Republic of Korea). This work was performed in part at Aspen Center for Physics, which is supported by National Science Foundation grant PHY-1607611.

REFERENCES

- Alpaslan M., 2009, arXiv e-prints, p. [arXiv:0912.4755](https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.4755)
 Bachetti M., et al., 2014, *Nature*, **514**, 202
 Balakrishnan M., Miller J. M., Reynolds M. T., Kammoun E., Zoghbi A., Tetarenko B. E., 2021, *ApJ*, **909**, 41
 Cash W., 1979, *ApJ*, **228**, 939
 Dage K. C., Zepf S. E., Bahramian A., Kundu A., Maccarone T. J., Peacock M. B., 2018, *ApJ*, **862**, 108
 Dage K. C., Zepf S. E., Peacock M. B., Bahramian A., Noroozi O., Kundu A., Maccarone T. J., 2019a, *MNRAS*, **485**, 1694
 Dage K. C., et al., 2019b, *MNRAS*, **489**, 4783
 Dage K. C., Zepf S. E., Thygesen E., Bahramian A., Kundu A., Maccarone T. J., Peacock M. B., Strader J., 2020, *MNRAS*, **497**, 596
 Dage K. C., et al., 2021, *MNRAS*, **504**, 1545
 Earnshaw H. P., Roberts T. P., Sathyaprakash R., 2018, *MNRAS*, **476**, 4272
 Fruscione A., et al., 2006, in Silva D. R., Doxsey R. E., eds, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series Vol. 6270, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series. p. 62701V, [doi:10.1117/12.671760](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.671760)
 Gehrels N., 1986, *ApJ*, **303**, 336
 Gierliński M., Done C., Page K., 2008, *MNRAS*, **388**, 753
 Gladstone J. C., Roberts T. P., Done C., 2009, *MNRAS*, **397**, 1836
 Hu C.-P., Mihara T., Sugizaki M., Ueda Y., Enoto T., 2019, *ApJ*, **885**, 123
 Irwin J. A., Brink T. G., Bregman J. N., Roberts T. P., 2010, *ApJ*, **712**, L1
 Kelly B. C., 2007, *ApJ*, **665**, 1489
 Kobayashi S. B., Nakazawa K., Makishima K., 2019, *MNRAS*, **489**, 366
 Kubota A., Tanaka Y., Makishima K., Ueda Y., Dotani T., Inoue H., Yamaoka K., 1998, *PASJ*, **50**, 667

6 *Wasundara R. Athukoralalage*

- Maccarone T. J., Kundu A., Zepf S. E., Rhode K. L., 2007, *Nature*, **445**, 183
Maccarone T. J., Kundu A., Zepf S. E., Rhode K. L., 2011, *MNRAS*, **410**, 1655
Miller J. M., Fabian A. C., Miller M. C., 2004, *ApJ*, **614**, L117
Miller J. M., et al., 2020, *ApJ*, **904**, 30
Mitsuda K., et al., 1984, *PASJ*, **36**, 741
Negoro H., et al., 2018, *The Astronomer's Telegram*, **11828**, 1
Neilsen J., Homan J., Steiner J. F., Marcel G., Cackett E., Remillard R. A., Gendreau K., 2020, *ApJ*, **902**, 152
Ogilvie G. I., Dubus G., 2001, *MNRAS*, **320**, 485
Roberts T. P., et al., 2012, *ApJ*, **760**, 135
Rodriguez C. L., Chatterjee S., Rasio F. A., 2016, *Phys. Rev. D*, **93**, 084029
Rykoff E. S., Miller J. M., Steeghs D., Torres M. A. P., 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, 1129
Sala G., Greiner J., Bottacini E., Habert F., 2007, *Ap&SS*, **309**, 315
Shih I. C., Kundu A., Maccarone T. J., Zepf S. E., Joseph T. D., 2010, *ApJ*, **721**, 323
Shimura T., Takahara F., 1995, *ApJ*, **445**, 780
Strader J., et al., 2011, *ApJS*, **197**, 33
Sun W., et al., 2019, *ApJ*, **885**, 145
Sutton A. D., Roberts T. P., Middleton M. J., 2013, *MNRAS*, **435**, 1758
Swartz D. A., Tennant A. F., Soria R., 2009, *ApJ*, **703**, 159
Tody D., 1986, in Crawford D. L., ed., *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series Vol. 627, Instrumentation in astronomy VI*. p. 733, doi:10.1117/12.968154
Tody D., 1993, in Hanisch R. J., Brissenden R. J. V., Barnes J., eds, *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 52, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems II*. p. 173
Tonry J., Davis M., 1979, *AJ*, **84**, 1511
Tsygankov S. S., Lutovinov A. A., Doroshenko V., Mushtukov A. A., Suleimanov V., Poutanen J., 2016, *A&A*, **593**, A16
Urquhart R., Soria R., 2016, *MNRAS*, **456**, 1859
Walton D. J., et al., 2016, *ApJ*, **827**, L13
Walton D. J., et al., 2018, *ApJ*, **856**, 128
Weatherford N. C., Chatterjee S., Kremer K., Rasio F. A., 2020, *ApJ*, **898**, 162
Zepf S. E., Maccarone T. J., Bergond G., Kundu A., Rhode K. L., Salzer J. J., 2007, *ApJ*, **669**, L69
Zepf S. E., et al., 2008, *ApJ*, **683**, L139

This paper has been typeset from a \TeX/L\AA\TeX file prepared by the author.