

Mappings preserving a family of sum of triple products on \ast -algebras

João Carlos da Motta Ferreira
and
Maria das Graças Bruno Marietto

*Center for Mathematics, Computing and Cognition,
Federal University of ABC,
Avenida dos Estados, 5001,
09210-580, Santo André, Brazil.*

e-mail: joao.cmferreira@ufabc.edu.br, graca.marietto@ufabc.edu.br

Abstract

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two unital complex \ast -algebras such that \mathcal{A} has a non-trivial projection. In this paper, we study the structure of bijective mappings $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserving sum of triple products $\alpha_1 ab^\ast c + \alpha_2 acb^\ast + \alpha_3 b^\ast ac + \alpha_4 cab^\ast + \alpha_5 b^\ast ca + \alpha_6 cb^\ast a$, where the scalars $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ are complex numbers satisfying some conditions. Applications of obtained results are given.

2010 MSC: 46L10, 47B48

Keywords: Preserving problems, prime algebras, \ast -algebras, \ast -ring isomorphisms, \ast -ring anti-isomorphisms

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two complex \ast -algebras. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ (resp., $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$) denote by $a \blacksquare_\eta b = a^\ast b + \eta b a^\ast$ and $a \circ_\eta b = ab + \eta b a$, where η, ν are nonzero complex numbers. We say that a mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ *preserves triple product* $a \blacksquare_\eta b \blacksquare_\nu c$ (resp., *preserves mixed product* $a \blacksquare_\eta b \circ_\nu c$), where $a \blacksquare_\eta b \blacksquare_\nu c = (a \blacksquare_\eta b) \blacksquare_\nu c$ (resp., $a \blacksquare_\eta b \circ_\nu c = (a \blacksquare_\eta b) \circ_\nu c$), if

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a \blacksquare_\eta b \blacksquare_\nu c) &= \Phi(a) \blacksquare_\eta \Phi(b) \blacksquare_\nu \Phi(c) \\ (\text{resp., } \Phi(a \blacksquare_\eta b \circ_\nu c) &= \Phi(a) \blacksquare_\eta \Phi(b) \circ_\nu \Phi(c)), \end{aligned}$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two complex $*$ -algebras, $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ complex numbers and $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ a mapping. We say that Φ preserves sum of triple products $\alpha_1 ab^*c + \alpha_2 acb^* + \alpha_3 b^*ac + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 b^*ca + \alpha_6 cb^*a$ if

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 ab^*c + \alpha_2 acb^* + \alpha_3 b^*ac + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 b^*ca + \alpha_6 cb^*a) \\ &= \alpha_1 \Phi(a)\Phi(b)^*\Phi(c) + \alpha_2 \Phi(a)\Phi(c)\Phi(b)^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(b)^*\Phi(a)\Phi(c) \\ &+ \alpha_4 \Phi(c)\Phi(a)\Phi(b)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(b)^*\Phi(c)\Phi(a) + \alpha_6 \Phi(c)\Phi(b)^*\Phi(a), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for all elements $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$.

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in the study of mappings preserving different types of products, triple products or mixed products on $*$ -algebras (for example, see the works [1], [3], [4], [5], [6] and the references therein). In particular, Darvish et al. [1] studied the structure of the mappings preserving product $a \blacksquare_\eta b$ on C^* -algebras, Liu and Ji [3] studied the structure of the mappings preserving product $a \blacksquare_1 b$ on factor von Neumann algebras and Taghavi et al. [4] studied the structure of the mappings preserving triple product $a \blacksquare_1 b \blacksquare_1 c$ on $*$ -algebras. Note that mappings preserving triple product $a \blacksquare_1 b \blacksquare_1 c$ satisfy (1), for convenient scalars α_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, 6$). Based on these facts, in this paper, we study the mappings that preserves sum of triple products $\alpha_1 ab^*c + \alpha_2 acb^* + \alpha_3 b^*ac + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 b^*ca + \alpha_6 cb^*a$ on $*$ -algebras. Applications of obtained results are given to mappings preserving triple product $a \blacksquare_\eta b \blacksquare_\nu c$ and preserving mixed product $a \blacksquare_\eta b \circ_\nu c$.

2 The statement of the main results

Two main results are given in this paper. The first read as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ be complex numbers satisfying the condition $\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k \neq 0$, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} two unital complex $*$ -algebras with $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ their multiplicative identities, respectively, and such that \mathcal{A} is prime and has a nontrivial projection. Then every bijective mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserving sum of triple products $\alpha_1 ab^*c + \alpha_2 acb^* + \alpha_3 b^*ac + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 b^*ca + \alpha_6 cb^*a$ is additive. In addition, (i) if $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection, then Φ is a $*$ -Jordan ring isomorphism and (ii) if \mathcal{B} is prime and $\phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} , then Φ is either a $*$ -ring isomorphism or an $*$ -ring anti-isomorphism.*

We organize the proof of Theorem 2.1 in a series of Claims. The following three Claims will be used throughout this paper whose proofs are simple and therefore omitted here.

Claim 2.1. *If Φ preserves sum of triple products $\alpha_1 ab^*c + \alpha_2 acb^* + \alpha_3 b^*ac + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 b^*ca + \alpha_6 cb^*a$, then it also preserves sum of triple products $\alpha_6 ab^*c + \alpha_4 acb^* + \alpha_5 b^*ac + \alpha_2 cab^* + \alpha_3 b^*ca + \alpha_1 cb^*a$.*

Claim 2.2. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(c) = \Phi(a) + \Phi(b)$. Then the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) & \Phi(\alpha_1 cs^*t + \alpha_2 cts^* + \alpha_3 s^*ct + \alpha_4 tcs^* + \alpha_5 s^*tc + \alpha_6 ts^*c) \\
& = \Phi(\alpha_1 as^*t + \alpha_2 ats^* + \alpha_3 s^*at + \alpha_4 tas^* + \alpha_5 s^*ta + \alpha_6 ts^*a) \\
& \quad + \Phi(\alpha_1 bs^*t + \alpha_2 bts^* + \alpha_3 s^*bt + \alpha_4 tbs^* + \alpha_5 s^*tb + \alpha_6 ts^*b), \\
(ii) & \Phi(\alpha_1 st^*c + \alpha_2 sct^* + \alpha_3 t^*sc + \alpha_4 cst^* + \alpha_5 t^*cs + \alpha_6 ct^*s) \\
& = \Phi(\alpha_1 st^*a + \alpha_2 sat^* + \alpha_3 t^*sa + \alpha_4 ast^* + \alpha_5 t^*as + \alpha_6 at^*s) \\
& \quad + \Phi(\alpha_1 st^*b + \alpha_2 sbt^* + \alpha_3 t^*sb + \alpha_4 bst^* + \alpha_5 t^*bs + \alpha_6 bt^*s),
\end{aligned}$$

for all elements $s, t \in \mathcal{A}$.

Claim 2.3. $\Phi(0) = 0$.

The following well known result will be used throughout this paper: Let p_1 be an arbitrary nontrivial projection of \mathcal{A} and write $p_2 = 1_{\mathcal{A}} - p_1$. Then \mathcal{A} has a Peirce decomposition $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{11} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{12} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{21} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{22}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = p_i \mathcal{A} p_j$ ($i, j = 1, 2$), satisfying the following multiplicative relations: $\mathcal{A}_{ij} \mathcal{A}_{kl} \subseteq \delta_{jk} \mathcal{A}_{il}$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta function.

Claim 2.4. *For arbitrary elements $a_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$, $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ and $c_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) the following hold: (i) $\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$ and (ii) $\Phi(a_{ii} + c_{ji}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(c_{ji})$.*

Proof. By assumption on Φ , there exists $f = f_{ii} + f_{ij} + f_{ji} + f_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) such that $\Phi(f) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$. By Claims 2.2(i) and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 f p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_j + \alpha_4 p_j f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j f + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f) \\
& = \Phi(\alpha_1 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 a_{ii} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} p_j + \alpha_4 p_j a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j a_{ii} + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii}) \\
& \quad + \Phi(\alpha_1 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 b_{ij} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} p_j + \alpha_4 p_j b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j b_{ij} + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij}) \\
& = \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij}).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 f p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_j + \alpha_4 p_j f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j f + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij}$ which leads to $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) f_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) f_{ji} + (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{jj} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij}$. As a result of this identity, we deduce that $(\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) f_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) f_{ji} + (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{jj} = (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) b_{ij}$, in view of Claim 2.1. Thus, by adding

the two last identities we end up getting $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)(f_{ij} + f_{ji} + 2f_{jj}) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)b_{ij}$ which allows the conclusion that $f_{ij} = b_{ij}$, $f_{ji} = 0$ and $f_{jj} = 0$. Next, for an arbitrary element $t_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 f t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} f + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha_1 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 a_{ii} t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} a_{ii} + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii}) \\ &+ \Phi(\alpha_1 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 b_{ij} t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} b_{ij} + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij}) \\ &= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) a_{ii} t_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 f t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} f + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) a_{ii} t_{ij}$. As a consequence of this identity we get $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) f_{ii} t_{ij} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) a_{ii} t_{ij}$ which allows to deduce that $(\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) f_{ii} t_{ij} = (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) a_{ii} t_{ij}$, in view again of Claim 2.1. Adding the last two results we obtain $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{ii} t_{ij} = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) a_{ii} t_{ij}$ which results in $f_{ii} t_{ij} = a_{ii} t_{ij}$. Therefore $f_{ii} = a_{ii}$.

By an entirely similar reasoning, we prove the case (ii). \square

Claim 2.5. For arbitrary elements $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ and $c_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) the following holds $\Phi(b_{ij} + c_{ji}) = \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji})$.

Proof. By our hypotheses, there is $f = f_{ii} + f_{ij} + f_{ji} + f_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) satisfying $\Phi(f) = \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji})$. We have by Claim 2.2(i) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 f p_i^* p_i + \alpha_2 f p_i p_i^* + \alpha_3 p_i^* f p_i + \alpha_4 p_i f p_i^* + \alpha_5 p_i^* p_i f + \alpha_6 p_i p_i^* f) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha_1 b_{ij} p_i^* p_i + \alpha_2 b_{ij} p_i p_i^* + \alpha_3 p_i^* b_{ij} p_i + \alpha_4 p_i b_{ij} p_i^* + \alpha_5 p_i^* p_i b_{ij} + \alpha_6 p_i p_i^* b_{ij}) \\ &+ \Phi(\alpha_1 c_{ji} p_i^* p_i + \alpha_2 c_{ji} p_i p_i^* + \alpha_3 p_i^* c_{ji} p_i + \alpha_4 p_i c_{ji} p_i^* + \alpha_5 p_i^* p_i c_{ji} + \alpha_6 p_i p_i^* c_{ji}) \end{aligned}$$

that leads to identity $\Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{ii} + (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6) f_{ij} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) f_{ji}) = \Phi((\alpha_5 + \alpha_6) b_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) c_{ji})$. Write $g_{ii} = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{ii}$, $g_{ij} = (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6) f_{ij}$, $g_{ji} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) f_{ji}$, $g = g_{ii} + g_{ij} + g_{ji}$, $h_{ij} = (\alpha_5 + \alpha_6) b_{ij}$ and $h_{ji} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) c_{ji}$. Then $\Phi(g) = \Phi(h_{ij}) + \Phi(h_{ji})$. It therefore follows that, for an arbitrary $t_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 g 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 g t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* g t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} g 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} g + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* g) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha_1 h_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 h_{ij} t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* h_{ij} t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} h_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} h_{ij} + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* h_{ij}) \\ &+ \Phi(\alpha_1 h_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 h_{ji} t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* h_{ji} t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} h_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} h_{ji} + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* h_{ji}) \\ &= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) h_{ji} t_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ij} h_{ji}) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\alpha_1 g 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 g t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* g t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} g 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} g + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* g = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) h_{ji} t_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ij} h_{ji}$. As a result we get the identity $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 +$

$\alpha_3)g_{ii}t_{ij} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)g_{ji}t_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)t_{ij}g_{ji} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)h_{ji}t_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)t_{ij}h_{ji}$. This shows that $(\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)g_{ii}t_{ij} + (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)g_{ji}t_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1)t_{ij}g_{ji} = (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)h_{ji}t_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1)t_{ij}h_{ji}$, by Claim 2.1. Adding these last two results we obtain $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)(g_{ii}t_{ij} + g_{ji}t_{ij} + t_{ij}g_{ji}) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)(h_{ji}t_{ij} + t_{ij}h_{ji})$ that allows to deduce that $g_{ii}t_{ij} = 0$. Thus $g_{ii} = 0$ which implies that $f_{ii} = 0$. Using a similar reasoning as before, we prove that $f_{jj} = 0$. Next, for an arbitrary element $t_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 f t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f t_{ji} + \alpha_4 t_{ji} f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} f + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha_1 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 b_{ij} t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} t_{ji} + \alpha_4 t_{ji} b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} b_{ij} + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij}) \\ &+ \Phi(\alpha_1 c_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 c_{ji} t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c_{ji} t_{ji} + \alpha_4 t_{ji} c_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} c_{ji} + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c_{ji}) \\ &= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)b_{ij}t_{ji} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)t_{ji}b_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

This results that $\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 f t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f t_{ji} + \alpha_4 t_{ji} f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} f + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)b_{ij}t_{ji} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)t_{ji}b_{ij}$. As a consequence of this identity, we deduce that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)f_{ij}t_{ji} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)t_{ji}f_{ij} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)b_{ij}t_{ji} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)t_{ji}b_{ij}$ from which we can also deduce that $(\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)f_{ij}t_{ji} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1)t_{ji}f_{ij} = (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)b_{ij}t_{ji} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1)t_{ji}b_{ij}$, by Claim 2.1. Adding the last two identities we find $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)(f_{ij}t_{ji} + t_{ji}f_{ij}) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)(b_{ij}t_{ji} + t_{ji}b_{ij})$ which leads to the conclusion that $f_{ij}t_{ji} = b_{ij}t_{ji}$. Therefore, $f_{ij} = b_{ij}$. Using a similar reasoning as before, we prove that $f_{ji} = c_{ji}$. \square

Claim 2.6. For arbitrary elements $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) we have $\Phi(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = \Phi(a_{ij}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$.

Proof. First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) \\ &= \alpha_1(p_i + a_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_2(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\ &+ \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_4(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\ &+ \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij}) + \alpha_6(p_j + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_i + a_{ij}), \end{aligned}$$

for all elements $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$. Hence, by (1) and Claim 2.4(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)(a_{ij} + b_{ij})) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha_1(p_i + a_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_2(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\ &+ \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_i + a_{ij})(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_4(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\ &+ \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_j + b_{ij})(p_i + a_{ij}) + \alpha_6(p_j + b_{ij})1_{\mathcal{A}}^*(p_i + a_{ij})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(p_i + a_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(p_i + a_{ij}) \Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i + a_{ij}) \Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i + a_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i + a_{ij}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j + b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i + a_{ij}) \\
&= \alpha_1 (\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij})) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* (\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) \\
&+ \alpha_2 (\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij})) (\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* (\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij})) (\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) \\
&+ \alpha_4 (\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) (\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij})) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* (\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) (\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij})) \\
&+ \alpha_6 (\Phi(p_j) + \Phi(b_{ij})) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* (\Phi(p_i) + \Phi(a_{ij})) \\
&= \alpha_1 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(p_j) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(p_i) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \\
&+ \alpha_1 \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) + \alpha_2 \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(p_j) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_j) \Phi(a_{ij}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(p_j) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ij}) \\
&+ \alpha_1 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ij}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(p_i) \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \Phi(b_{ij}) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(p_i) + \alpha_6 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(p_i) \\
&+ \alpha_1 \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ij}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(b_{ij}) \\
&+ \alpha_4 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(a_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(a_{ij}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(b_{ij}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a_{ij}) \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 p_i p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i p_j + \alpha_4 p_j p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j p_i \\
&+ \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i) + \Phi(\alpha_1 a_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 a_{ij} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ij} p_j + \alpha_4 p_j a_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\
&+ \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j a_{ij} + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ij}) + \Phi(\alpha_1 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} + \alpha_2 p_i b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i b_{ij} \\
&+ \alpha_4 b_{ij} p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} p_i + \alpha_6 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i) + \Phi(\alpha_1 a_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} + \alpha_2 a_{ij} b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\
&+ \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ij} b_{ij} + \alpha_4 b_{ij} a_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ij} a_{ij} + \alpha_6 b_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ij}) \\
&= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) a_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)(a_{ij} + b_{ij})) = \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) a_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij}). \quad (2)$$

However, by Claim 2.1, we have

$$\Phi((\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)(a_{ij} + b_{ij})) = \Phi((\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) a_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) b_{ij}). \quad (3)$$

Therefore, if $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \neq 0$, then the identity $\Phi(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = \Phi(a_{ij}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$ follows directly from (2). Otherwise, we must have $\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 \neq 0$ which also leads to $\Phi(a_{ij} + b_{ij}) = \Phi(a_{ij}) + \Phi(b_{ij})$, by identity (3). \square

Claim 2.7. For arbitrary elements $a_{ii}, b_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$ ($i = 1, 2$), we have $\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ii}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ii})$.

Proof. Take an element $f = f_{ii} + f_{ij} + f_{ji} + f_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(f) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ii})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 f p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_j + \alpha_4 p_j f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j f + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha_1 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 a_{ii} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} p_j + \alpha_4 p_j a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j a_{ii} + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii}) \\ &+ \Phi(\alpha_1 b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 b_{ii} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii} p_j + \alpha_4 p_j b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j b_{ii} + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 f p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_j + \alpha_4 p_j f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j f + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f = 0$ which leads to the identity $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)f_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)f_{ji} + (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)f_{jj} = 0$. From this we deduce that $(\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)f_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1)f_{ji} + (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)f_{jj} = 0$, by Claim 2.1. Adding the two last equations yields $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)(f_{ij} + f_{ji} + 2f_{jj}) = 0$ which results in $f_{ij} = 0$, $f_{ji} = 0$ and $f_{jj} = 0$. It therefore follows that $\Phi(f_{ii}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ii})$. Hence, for an arbitrary element $t_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 f_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 f_{ii} t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f_{ii} t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} f_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} f_{ii} + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f_{ii}) \\ &= \Phi(\alpha_1 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 a_{ii} t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} a_{ii} + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii}) \\ &+ \Phi(\alpha_1 b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} + \alpha_2 b_{ii} t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii} t_{ij} + \alpha_4 t_{ij} b_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ij} b_{ii} + \alpha_6 t_{ij} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* b_{ii}) \\ &= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)a_{ii} t_{ij}) + \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)b_{ii} t_{ij}) \\ &= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)(a_{ii} + b_{ii})t_{ij}), \end{aligned}$$

by Claim 2.6, which results that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)f_{ii} t_{ij} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)(a_{ii} + b_{ii})t_{ij}$. This makes it possible to deduce that $(\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)f_{ii} t_{ij} = (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)(a_{ii} + b_{ii})t_{ij}$, by Claim 2.1. Thus, adding the two last identities we get $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)f_{ii} t_{ij} = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k)(a_{ii} + b_{ii})t_{ij}$ which yields $f_{ii} t_{ij} = (a_{ii} + b_{ii})t_{ij}$. As consequence, we obtain $f_{ii} = a_{ii} + b_{ii}$. \square

Claim 2.8. For arbitrary elements $a_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$, $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, $c_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ and $d_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) the following holds: (i) $\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji})$ and (ii) $\Phi(b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) = \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji}) + \Phi(d_{jj})$.

Proof. Take an element $f = f_{ii} + f_{ij} + f_{ji} + f_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(f) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji})$ and write $\Phi(f) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij} + c_{ji})$, by Claim 2.5. Then

$$\Phi(\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 f p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_j + \alpha_4 p_j f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j f + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 a_{ii} p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii} p_j + \alpha_4 p_j a_{ii} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j a_{ii} + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a_{ii}) \\
&+ \Phi(\alpha_1 (b_{ij} + c_{ji}) 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 (b_{ij} + c_{ji}) p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (b_{ij} + c_{ji}) p_j \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_j (b_{ij} + c_{ji}) 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j (b_{ij} + c_{ji}) + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (b_{ij} + c_{ji})) \\
&= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) c_{ji}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows directly from this that $\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j + \alpha_2 f p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_j + \alpha_4 p_j f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_j f + \alpha_6 p_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) c_{ji}$ which results in $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) f_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) f_{ji} + (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{jj} = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) c_{ji}$. As a result, we can apply Claim 2.1 to conclude that $(\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) f_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) f_{ji} + (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{jj} = (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) b_{ij} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) c_{ji}$. Adding these two identities we obtain $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) (f_{ij} + f_{ji} + 2f_{jj}) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) (b_{ij} + c_{ji})$ which shows that $f_{ij} = b_{ij}$, $f_{ji} = c_{ji}$ and $f_{jj} = 0$. Next, write $\Phi(f) = \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji})$, by Claim 2.4(i). For an arbitrary element $t_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 f t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f t_{ji} + \alpha_4 t_{ji} f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} f + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f) \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 (a_{ii} + b_{ij}) 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 (a_{ii} + b_{ij}) t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ij}) t_{ji} \\
&+ \alpha_4 t_{ji} (a_{ii} + b_{ij}) 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} (a_{ii} + b_{ij}) + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ij})) \\
&+ \Phi(\alpha_1 c_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 c_{ji} t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c_{ji} t_{ji} + \alpha_4 t_{ji} c_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} c_{ji} + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c_{ji}) \\
&= \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij} t_{ji} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ji} a_{ii} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ji} b_{ij}).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} + \alpha_2 f t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f t_{ji} + \alpha_4 t_{ji} f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* t_{ji} f + \alpha_6 t_{ji} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) b_{ij} t_{ji} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ji} a_{ii} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ji} b_{ij}$ which results in $(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ji} f_{ii} = (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) t_{ji} a_{ii}$. Now we can apply Claim 2.1 to conclude that $(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) t_{ji} f_{ii} = (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) t_{ji} a_{ii}$. Thus, adding these two last identities we get $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) t_{ji} a_{ii} = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) t_{ji} a_{ii}$ which leads to $t_{ji} f_{ii} = t_{ji} a_{ii}$. Therefore, $f_{ii} = a_{ii}$.

By an entirely similar reasoning, we prove the case (ii). \square

Claim 2.9. For arbitrary elements $a_{ii} \in \mathcal{A}_{ii}$, $b_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}_{ij}$, $c_{ji} \in \mathcal{A}_{ji}$ and $d_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}_{jj}$ ($i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2$) the following holds $\Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji} + d_{jj}) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji}) + \Phi(d_{jj})$.

Proof. Consider an element $f = f_{ii} + f_{ij} + f_{ji} + f_{jj} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Phi(f) = \Phi(a_{ii}) + \Phi(b_{ij}) + \Phi(c_{ji}) + \Phi(d_{jj})$ and write $\Phi(f) = \Phi(a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}) + \Phi(d_{jj})$, by Claim 2.8(i). By Claim 2.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_2 f p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_i + \alpha_4 p_i f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i f + \alpha_6 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f) \\
&= \Phi(\alpha_1 (a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}) 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_2 (a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}) p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}) p_i \\
&+ \alpha_4 p_i (a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}) 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i (a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}) + \alpha_6 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* (a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji}))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \Phi(\alpha_1 d_{jj} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_2 d_{jj} p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* d_{jj} p_i + \alpha_4 p_i d_{jj} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i d_{jj} + \alpha_6 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* d_{jj}) \\
& = \Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) a_{ii} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) b_{ij} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) c_{ji}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\alpha_1 f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i + \alpha_2 f p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f p_i + \alpha_4 p_i f 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* p_i f + \alpha_6 p_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* f = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) a_{ii} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) b_{ij} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) c_{ji}$ which implies that $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{ii} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) f_{ij} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) f_{ji} = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) a_{ii} + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) b_{ij} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) c_{ji}$ and in view of Claim 2.1 we arrive at $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) f_{ii} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) f_{ij} + (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) f_{ji} = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) a_{ii} + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) b_{ij} + (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5) c_{ji}$. Adding these two identities we have $(\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) (2f_{ii} + f_{ij} + f_{ji}) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) (2a_{ii} + b_{ij} + c_{ji})$ which shows that $f_{ii} = a_{ii}$, $f_{ij} = b_{ij}$ and $f_{ji} = c_{ji}$. Using a similar reasoning as before, we prove that $f_{jj} = d_{jj}$. \square

Claim 2.10. Φ is an additive mapping.

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of Claims 2.6, 2.7 and 2.9. \square

To prove the second part of the Theorem 2.1, we assume that the element $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} .

Claim 2.11. (i) $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$, (ii) $\Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) a) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) \Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and (iii) $\Phi(b^*) = \Phi(b)^*$, for all element $b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. First, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) 1_{\mathcal{A}}) & = \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_4 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* \\
& + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& + \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
& + \alpha_6 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $b \in \mathcal{A}$ is an element such that $\Phi(b) = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) b^*) & = \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* + \alpha_3 b^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_4 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* \\
& + \alpha_5 b^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 1_{\mathcal{A}} b^* 1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* \\
& + \alpha_3 \Phi(b)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(b)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\
& + \alpha_6 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(b)^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = \Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) 1_{\mathcal{A}}).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $b^* = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ which leads to $b = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. For this reason, for an arbitrary element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, replace b and c by $1_{\mathcal{A}}$, respectively, in (1). Then

$$\Phi((\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) a) = \Phi(\alpha_1 a 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_2 a 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_4 1_{\mathcal{A}} a 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* 1_{\mathcal{A}} a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \alpha_6 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a = \alpha_1 \Phi(a) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_2 \Phi(a) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \\
& + \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_4 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(a) \\
& + \alpha_6 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a) = (\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k) \Phi(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for an arbitrary element $b \in \mathcal{A}$, replace a and c by $1_{\mathcal{A}}$, respectively, in (1). Then we arrive at $\Phi(b^*) = \Phi(b)^*$. \square

Claim 2.12. Φ is a $*$ -Jordan multiplicative mapping.

Proof. For arbitrary elements $a, c \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(\alpha_1 a 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c + \alpha_2 a c 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_3 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a c + \alpha_4 c a 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* + \alpha_5 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* c a + \alpha_6 c 1_{\mathcal{A}}^* a) \\
& = \alpha_1 \Phi(a) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c) + \alpha_2 \Phi(a) \Phi(c) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_3 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a) \Phi(c) \\
& + \alpha_4 \Phi(c) \Phi(a) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* + \alpha_5 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(c) \Phi(a) + \alpha_6 \Phi(c) \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})^* \Phi(a)
\end{aligned}$$

which results that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)ac + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)ca) \\
& = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)\Phi(a)\Phi(c) + (\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6)\Phi(c)\Phi(a). \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Claim 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi((\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)ac + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1)ca) \\
& = (\alpha_6 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)\Phi(a)\Phi(c) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1)\Phi(c)\Phi(a), \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Adding the identities (4) and (5) and using the Claims 2.10 and 2.11(ii) we arrive at

$$\Phi(ac + ca) = \Phi(a)\Phi(c) + \Phi(c)\Phi(a). \tag{6}$$

Thus the result follows in view of Claim 2.11(iii). \square

Now, we assume that \mathcal{B} is prime and $\phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} . From this we can easily deduce the following result.

Claim 2.13. Φ is either a $*$ -ring isomorphism or an $*$ -ring anti-isomorphism.

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of the Claim 2.12 and [2, Theorem H]. \square

The second main result of this paper reads as follows.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^6$ be complex numbers satisfying the condition $\sum_{k=1}^6 \alpha_k \neq 0$, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} two unital complex $*$ -algebras with $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ their multiplicative identities, respectively, and such that \mathcal{A} is prime and has a nontrivial projection. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a bijective mapping preserving sum of triple products $\alpha_1 ab^*c + \alpha_2 acb^* + \alpha_3 b^*ac + \alpha_4 cab^* + \alpha_5 b^*ca + \alpha_6 cb^*a$ such that $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection and satisfying at least one of the following conditions: (i) $\sum_{k=1,2,3} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=4,5,6} \alpha_k \neq 0$ and $\Phi((\sum_{k=1,2,3} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=4,5,6} \alpha_k)a) = (\sum_{k=1,2,3} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=4,5,6} \alpha_k)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, (ii) $\sum_{k=1,3,5} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=2,4,6} \alpha_k \neq 0$ and $\Phi((\sum_{k=1,3,5} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=2,4,6} \alpha_k)a) = (\sum_{k=1,3,5} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=2,4,6} \alpha_k)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, (iii) $\sum_{k=1,2,4} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=3,5,6} \alpha_k \neq 0$ and $\Phi((\sum_{k=1,2,4} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=3,5,6} \alpha_k)a) = (\sum_{k=1,2,4} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=3,5,6} \alpha_k)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism.

Proof. To prove the Theorem it is enough to show that Φ is a multiplicative mapping, in view of the Claims 2.10 and 2.11(iii). First case: $\sum_{k=1,2,3} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=4,5,6} \alpha_k \neq 0$. Subtracting (5) from (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6)ac - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6)ca) \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6)\Phi(a)\Phi(c) \\ & \quad - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6)\Phi(c)\Phi(a) \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\Phi(ac - ca) = \Phi(a)\Phi(c) - \Phi(c)\Phi(a), \quad (7)$$

in view of hypothesis. From (6) and (7), we arrive at $\Phi(ac) = \Phi(a)\Phi(c)$, for all elements $a, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Second case: $\sum_{k=1,3,5} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=2,4,6} \alpha_k \neq 0$. Replacing a by $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and b by b^* , in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(\alpha_1 1_{\mathcal{A}}bc + \alpha_2 1_{\mathcal{A}}cb + \alpha_3 b 1_{\mathcal{A}}c + \alpha_4 c 1_{\mathcal{A}}b + \alpha_5 bc 1_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha_6 cb 1_{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &= \alpha_1 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(b)\Phi(c) + \alpha_2 \Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(c)\Phi(b) + \alpha_3 \Phi(b)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(c) \\ & \quad + \alpha_4 \Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})\Phi(b) + \alpha_5 \Phi(b)\Phi(c)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) + \alpha_6 \Phi(c)\Phi(b)\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}}), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)bc + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)cb) \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)\Phi(b)\Phi(c) + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)\Phi(c)\Phi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Now, replacing b by c and c by b , in the last identity, we get

$$\Phi((\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)bc + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)cb)$$

$$= (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6)\Phi(b)\Phi(c) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5)\Phi(c)\Phi(b).$$

subtracting the last identity from the previous one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_6)bc - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_6)cb) \\ &= (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_6)\Phi(b)\Phi(c) \\ & \quad + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_6)\Phi(c)\Phi(b) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Phi(bc - cb) = \Phi(b)\Phi(c) - \Phi(c)\Phi(b), \quad (8)$$

for all elements $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. The identities (6) and (8) show that $\Phi(bc) = \Phi(b)\Phi(c)$, for all elements $b, c \in \mathcal{A}$. Third case: $\sum_{k=1,2,4} \alpha_k - \sum_{k=3,5,6} \alpha_k \neq 0$. Using reasoning similar to the second case, we can conclude that $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(a)\Phi(b)$, for all elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

From what we just saw above we deduce that Φ is a multiplicative mapping. \square

From Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we can deduce the following results.

Corollary 2.1. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two unital complex $*$ -algebras with $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ their multiplicative identities, respectively, and such that \mathcal{A} is prime and has a nontrivial projection. Then every bijective mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ preserving triple product $a \blacksquare_{\eta} b \blacksquare_{\nu} c$ (resp., preserving mixed product $a \blacksquare_{\eta} b \circ_{\nu} c$), where η and ν are nonzero complex numbers satisfying the conditions $\bar{\eta} \neq -1$ and $\nu \neq -1$ (resp., $\eta \neq -1$ and $\nu \neq -1$), is additive. Moreover, (i) if $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection, then Φ is a $*$ -Jordan ring isomorphism and (ii) if \mathcal{B} is prime and $\phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection of \mathcal{B} , then Φ is either a $*$ -ring isomorphism or an $*$ -ring anti-isomorphism.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two unital complex $*$ -algebras with $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ their multiplicative identities, respectively, and such that \mathcal{A} is prime and has a nontrivial projection. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a bijective mapping preserving triple product $a \blacksquare_{\eta} b \blacksquare_{\nu} c$ (resp., preserving mixed product $a \blacksquare_{\eta} b \circ_{\nu} c$), where η and ν are nonzero complex numbers, such that $\Phi(1_{\mathcal{A}})$ is a projection and satisfying at least one of the following conditions: (i) ($\bar{\eta} \neq -1$ and $\nu \neq \pm 1$) and $\Phi((\bar{\eta} + 1)(1 - \nu)a) = (\bar{\eta} + 1)(1 - \nu)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, or (ii) ($\bar{\eta} \neq \pm 1$ and $\nu \neq -1$) and $\Phi((\bar{\eta} - 1)(1 + \nu)a) = (\bar{\eta} - 1)(1 + \nu)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ (resp., (i) ($\eta \neq -1$ and $|\nu| \neq 1$) and $\Phi((\eta + 1)(1 - \nu)a) = (\eta + 1)(1 - \nu)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$,*

or (ii) ($|\eta| \neq 1$ and $\nu \neq -1$) and $\Phi((\eta - 1)(1 + \nu)a) = (\eta - 1)(1 + \nu)\Phi(a)$, for all element $a \in \mathcal{A}$). Then, Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism.

In particular, If (i) ($\bar{\eta} \neq -1$ and $\nu \neq \pm 1$) and $(\bar{\eta} + 1)(1 - \nu)$ is a rational number or (ii) ($\bar{\eta} \neq \pm 1$ and $\nu \neq -1$) and $(\bar{\eta} - 1)(1 + \nu)$ is a rational number (resp., (i) ($\eta \neq -1$ and $|\nu| \neq 1$) and $(\eta + 1)(1 - \nu)$ is a rational number or (ii) ($|\eta| \neq 1$ and $\nu \neq -1$) and $(\eta - 1)(1 + \nu)$ is a rational number), then Φ is a $*$ -ring isomorphism.

References

- [1] V. Darvish, H.M. Nazari, H. Rohi, A. Taghavi, *Maps preserving η -product $A^*B + \eta BA^*$ on C^* -algebras*. J. Korean Math. Soc. 54 (2017), 867-876.
- [2] I.N. Herstein, *Jordan homomorphisms*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1956), 331-341.
- [3] L. Liu, G.X. Ji, *Maps preserving product $X^*Y + YX^*$ on factor von Neumann algebras*. Linear Multilinear Algebra 59 (2011), 951-955.
- [4] A. Taghavi, M. Razeghi, M. Nouri, V. Darvish, *Maps preserving triple product $A^*B + BA^*$ on $*$ -algebras*. Asian-Eur. J. Math. 12 (2019), 1950038 (13 pages).
- [5] Y. Zhao, C. Li, Q. Chen, *Nonlinear maps preserving mixed product on factors*. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 47 (2021), 1325-1335.
- [6] F. Zhao, C. Li, *Nonlinear maps preserving the Jordan triple $*$ -product between factors*. Indag. Math. 29 (2018), 619-627.