

OLKAVS: An Open Large-Scale Korean Audio-Visual Speech Dataset

Jeongkyun Park, Jung-Wook Hwang, Kwanghee Choi, Seung-Hyun Lee, Jun Hwan Ahn, Rae-Hong Park, *Life Senior Member, IEEE*, Hyung-Min Park, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Inspired by humans comprehending speech in a multi-modal manner, various audio-visual datasets have been constructed. However, most existing datasets focus on English, induce dependencies with various prediction models during dataset preparation, and have only a small number of multi-view videos. To mitigate the limitations, we recently developed the Open Large-scale Korean Audio-Visual Speech (OLKAVS) dataset, which is the largest among publicly available audio-visual speech datasets. The dataset contains 1,150 hours of transcribed audio from 1,107 Korean speakers in a studio setup with nine different viewpoints and various noise situations. We also provide the pre-trained baseline models for two tasks, audio-visual speech recognition and lip reading. We conducted experiments based on the models to verify the effectiveness of multi-modal and multi-view training over uni-modal and frontal-view-only training. We expect the OLKAVS dataset to facilitate multi-modal research in broader areas such as Korean speech recognition, speaker recognition, pronunciation level classification, and mouth motion analysis.

Index Terms—Audio-visual speech datasets, multi-view datasets, lip reading, audio-visual speech recognition, deep learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Humans comprehend speech in a multi-modal manner in real-world communication, not only relying on listening to utterances but also reading speakers’ faces and lips to improve perception [1, 2]. Inspired by this, many audio-visual speech datasets have been introduced, capturing both utterances and talking faces in a synced manner (refer to Table I for more

This work was partly supported by National Information Society Agency (NIA) grant (AI HUB voice and motion data - lip voice) and Institute of Information & communications Technology Planning & evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2022-0-00989, Development of artificial intelligence technology for multi-speaker dialog modeling). The audio-visual dataset collection project was proposed based on the National Information Society Agency (NIA)’s request for proposal (AI HUB voice and motion data - lip voice), funded by the Korea government (MSIT). After obtaining consent from the participants, the dataset was collected and published on <https://aihub.or.kr>, an official portal that discloses various AI data. All of this was done under the supervision of the NIA.

J. Park is with the Department of Artificial Intelligence, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Republic of Korea (e-mail: park32323@gmail.com).

J.-W. Hwang, K. Choi, S.-H. Lee, R.-H. Park, and H.-M. Park are with the Department of Electronic Engineering, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Republic of Korea (e-mail: jungwook518@naver.com; juice500@sogang.ac.kr; ee_seung@u.sogang.ac.kr; rhpark@sogang.ac.kr; hpark@sogang.ac.kr).

R.-H. Park is also with ICT Convergence Disaster/Safety Research Institute, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Republic of Korea.

H.-M. Park is also with the Department of Artificial Intelligence, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Republic of Korea.

J. H. Ahn is with Mindslab Inc., Gyeonggi-do 13493, Republic of Korea (e-mail: jay@mindslab.ai).

details). These datasets enable the research on various speech-related applications, such as noise-robust speech recognition [3], applications for the deaf and hard-of-hearing via lip reading [4, 5], mouth motion analysis [6], and speaker recognition [7]. To further embolden future research, it is essential to develop datasets with more diverse situations, such as various speakers [5, 8, 9], languages [7, 8, 10], sentences [5, 9], and multiple viewpoints of the head [6, 11].

Despite the increasing attention to the audio-visual speech domain, existing datasets have a number of limitations. 1) First and foremost, most datasets only focus on English alone, with a few exceptions in Mandarin [8, 12], Cantonese [13], and German [10]. Notably, VoxCeleb2 [7] contains multilingual speech, but there are no transcriptions available. 2) Many large-scale datasets often rely on repurposing publicly available videos on the web to increase their sizes, such as TV programs [5, 11], parliament records [10], Youtube channels [7], and TED videos [9]. However, even though the data directly reflects real-world usages, they introduce inherent dependency on various prediction models within the data pre-processing pipeline. For example, many employ face detection models inside the pipeline, providing videos composed of cropped faces with the original videos unavailable [5, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Furthermore, in some cases, their sources prohibit public use due to copyright concerns [4, 14]. 3) Many datasets with multi-view videos on a speaker are too small for modern data-hungry deep learning models, often with less than 100 hours of speech [6, 15, 16]. The notable exception is the MV-LRS dataset with 777 hours of various face rotations [11]. However, as the dataset was constructed from web videos, it only shows one view at a time, unlike other datasets that offer synchronous multi-view videos for a single speaker.

To mitigate the limitations, we developed a new audio-visual speech dataset, **Open Large-scale Korean Audio-Visual Speech (OLKAVS)** dataset. We gathered a total of 1,150 hours of audio from 1,107 Korean speakers in a studio setup with corresponding Korean transcriptions. We recorded a speaker from 9 viewpoints: frontal, horizontal (up and down), vertical (left and right), and diagonal (upper left, upper right, lower left, and lower right). Both scripted and spontaneous speech data are available in the dataset. We emphasize that, to the best of our knowledge, OLKAVS is the largest dataset regarding the number of hours compared to existing audio-visual datasets, especially for the multi-view datasets. OLKAVS can facilitate the research on a multi-modal approach to various tasks such as audio-visual speech recognition and lip reading. To further assist future research, we make the pre-trained baseline models

TABLE I

SUMMARIZED STATISTICS OF VARIOUS AUDIO-VISUAL DATASETS. THE LARGEST VALUES ARE WRITTEN IN BOLD. HOURS, #UTT., AND #SUBJ. OF LRS2-BBC AND LRS3-TED ARE APPROXIMATIONS THAT CAN BE SLIGHTLY LARGER DUE TO POTENTIAL OVERLAPS IN THE DATASET. REFER TO FIG. 1 FOR THE EXPLANATION OF FRONTAL, DIAGONAL, AND CROSS VIEWS.

Database	Hours	#Utt.	#Subj.	Views	Language	Content
AVLetters [17]	-	780	10	frontal	English	isolated alphabets (A-Z)
CUAVE [18]	-	7.9K	36	frontal	English	isolated/connected digits
GRID [19]	28.3	34K	34	frontal	English	command sentences
OuluVS [20]	-	817	20	frontal	English	phrases
OuluVS2 [6]	-	1.5K	53	5 views (horizontal)	English	continuous digits, phrases, TIMIT sentences
AVICAR [15]	-	59K	86	4 views (horizontal, i.e., left, center, right)	English	isolated digits/letters, phone numbers, TIMIT sentences
LRW [4]	173	539K	-	frontal	English	words
LRS2-BBC [14]	224.5	144K	-	frontal	English	sentences
LRS3-TED [9]	438	152K	9,545	frontal	English	sentences
MV-LRS [11]	777.2	504K	-	5 views (horizontal)	English	sentences
VoxCeleb1 [21]	352	154K	1,251	frontal	English	speaker info. only
VoxCeleb2 [7]	2,442	1.1M	6,112	frontal	Multilingual	speaker info. only
GLips [10]	81	250K	-	frontal	German	words
CI-AVSR [13]	8.3	5K	30	frontal	Cantonese	command sentences
LRW-1000 [8]	508	718K	-	frontal	Mandarin	words
MISP2021 [12]	141.2	-	263	3 distances (far, middle, near)	Mandarin	sentences
Jo et al. [16]	-	168	1	7 views (horizontal)	Korean	syllable, consonant, vowel
Lee and Park [22]	-	4.5K	56	frontal	Korean	digits, words
Lee et al. [23]	-	3.2K	9	frontal	Korean	words
OLKAVS	Video: 5,750 Audio: 1,150	2.5M	1,107	9 views (frontal + diagonal + cross)	Korean	sentences

for both tasks publicly available.¹

In this paper, we aim to provide a complete guideline for the dataset. We first compare OLKAVS with the existing audio-visual speech datasets in Section II. A brief overview of the recent notable datasets is provided in Table I. Then, we enumerate the details of how the dataset was constructed in Section III. We describe how the speakers and the speech contents are chosen (Subsection III-A), the recording environment (Subsection III-B), data post-processing (Subsection III-C), and potential use cases of OLKAVS (Subsection III-D).

Section IV provides the details for the pre-trained baseline models trained on the OLKAVS dataset for two downstream tasks, speech recognition and lip reading. For the speech

recognition task (Subsection IV-B), we analyze the effectiveness of two modalities, vision and audio, by injecting varying levels of synthesized speech corruption and comparing multi-modal and uni-modal models. Our results indicate that using both modalities brings the best of both worlds, enjoying the low error rate comparable to the audio-only model on the low noise regime, whereas being consistently better than both vision-only and audio-only baselines even if noise level increases. We additionally demonstrate the usefulness of leveraging multiple views compared to using only the frontal data in the lip reading task (Subsection IV-C).

II. RELATED WORKS

In this section, we briefly review the publicly available audio-visual speech datasets, summarized in Table I. Decades of research on lip reading led to the construction of multiple datasets, albeit the limited sample size of classical datasets, e.g., AVLetters [17], CUAVE [18], OuluVS [20] and GRID

¹The dataset and the pre-trained models of audio-visual speech recognition and lip reading with their training scripts are available at <https://aihub.or.kr/aihubdata/data/view.do?currMenu=115&topMenu=100&aihubDataSe=realm&dataSetSn=538> and <https://github.com/IIP-Sogang/olkavs-avspeech>, respectively.

[19]. All four datasets contain less than 50 speakers with limited vocabulary. AVLetters and CUAVE datasets contain utterances of the English alphabet and 10 digits, respectively, while GRID and OuluVS datasets include short sentences but suffer from vocabulary limitations.

AVICAR [15] and OuluVS2 [6] consider full sentences with more various vocabularies. The vocabularies of both datasets are more than a thousand words. Interestingly, AVICAR and OuluVS2 also provide multi-view videos from four and five different angles, respectively.

However, the datasets above have a fundamental limitation of containing only a predefined or limited set of words. To develop larger datasets for word utterances and reflect real-world speech with unrestricted vocabulary, LRW proposed a data collection pipeline to label TV news footage automatically. The pipeline-based approach has influenced numerous follow-up sentence-based audio-visual speech datasets: LRS2-BBC [5, 14], LRS3-TED [9], and MV-LRS [11]. Whereas LRS2-BBC and LRS3-TED differ only by their data source and dataset size, MV-LRS primarily focused on providing multi-view video, dividing the data into five categories based on the face angle to facilitate the multi-view evaluation.

Moreover, most of the datasets mentioned above contain English speech; only a few attempts have been made to collect non-English speech. CI-AVSR dataset [13] covers the Cantonese spoken commands in the car environments, similar to AVICAR. Other non-English datasets, such as LRW-1000 [8], GLips [10], and MISP2021 [12], leverage the automated pipeline similar to LRW [4]. LRW-1000 and MISP2021 contain Mandarin word and sentence utterances, respectively, whereas GLips used the German parliament footage as the source video. VoxCeleb2 [7] contains multilingual speech in more than 100 languages, but there are no transcriptions available in the dataset.

Finally, we discuss several audio-visual datasets containing Korean speech. Jo et al. [16] collected predefined syllables of a single speaker with 7 views. Also, Lee and Park [22] and Lee et al. [23] collected predefined word utterances, such as digits and city names, of 56 and 9 speakers, respectively. Unfortunately, the size of all the datasets is too minuscule to support deep learning-driven models; moreover, some are not publicly available. Hence, we are highly motivated to build the open large-scale Korean audio-visual speech dataset, OLKAVS.

III. OLKAVS DATASET

In this section, we describe our dataset preparation process in detail. OLKAVS contains 1,150 and 5,750 hours of audio and video in total, respectively, where we recorded five videos per single audio. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest audio-visual speech dataset, especially for multi-view datasets.

A. Scripts and Speakers

1) *Designing the script*: As shown in Table II, the OLKAVS dataset contains 14 different topics, where we constructed a set of sentences based on their corresponding

keywords. Each topic has at least 2,000 sentences, so the total sentences exceed 28,000. Each sentence is written in the Korean language. Example sentences are shown in Table III. Then, randomly chosen sentences from each topic are combined to build a script with an average of 2,750 characters (5 minutes long on average). Generated scripts are provided to the speakers when recording.

2) *Speaker distribution*: A total of 1,107 speakers participated in constructing the OLKAVS dataset. The speakers were recruited while considering the demography of biological sex and age. The numbers of males and females are balanced with 555 males and 552 females. The age of speakers ranges from 10 to 60 and above, following its distribution within Korea. The age groups are defined with a bin size of 10 years, where their statistics are supplied in Table IV. Note that the teenagers were specifically asked to speak on six topics only as shown in Table II (Health/Diet, Sports/Leisure, Food, Education/School, Art/Culture, and Transportation), which are relatively easier to understand for younger people.

3) *Expert speakers*: 30% of the speakers are speech experts, such as announcers, actors, would-be announcers, and would-be actors. 50% of the experts' speeches were done spontaneously based on the related news article. The example guideline is shown in Table III. However, the spontaneous speech was too demanding for the average speaker, hence not collected.

4) *Usage rights*: To avoid potential privacy issues, all the speakers formally consented to using portrait rights and disclosing personal information.

B. Recording Environment

1) *Multi-view video*: Five different views were simultaneously recorded by GoPro Hero7 cameras. We attached a Tablet PC with cameras for script prompts. Fig. 1 shows two different types of recorded views, cross views (frontal, up, down, left, and right) and diagonal views (frontal, upper left, upper right, lower left, and lower right). Different from pre-existing datasets [6, 11, 16] that only consider horizontal views, i.e., left, frontal, and right, OLKAVS dataset also considers the vertical variants, i.e., upper left, upper center, upper right, lower left, lower center, and lower right.

2) *Audio with various noise scenarios*: To simulate outdoor recording conditions inside the studio environment, we played the pre-recorded noise inside the studio. We constructed six different noise scenarios: no noise, indoor ambiance, indoor noise (laughing and clapping), traffic noise, construction-site noise, and natural outdoor noise (flowing water and animal sounds). All the noise audios were collected from the corresponding real-world situations.

C. Data Cleansing and Post-processing

1) *Transcription*: After recording, we transcribed all the scripted and spontaneous speech. Even though the scripts were given to the speakers, some of the speakers mispronounced a few words or spoke pause fillers such as 'um,' 'ah,' or 'err,' where everything was transcribed afterward.

TABLE II

SCRIPT TOPICS OF THE OLKAVS DATASET. ONLY THE TOPICS MARKED WITH AN ASTERISK (*) WERE PRESENTED TO THE TEENAGERS, AS OTHER TOPICS MIGHT BE CHALLENGING TO UNDERSTAND FULLY.

Topic	Hours	Ratio (%)	Keyword examples
Health/Diet*	497	8.7	Disease, Hospital, Medicine, Healthcare, Side Effects, Workout, Treatment
Daily	484	8.5	Weather, Hobby, Habit, Self-management, Shopping, Leisure, Club, Recollection
Job/Work	480	8.4	Recruiting, Job, Company, Industries, Employment, Labor, Foundation
Financial	445	7.7	Price, Cost, Tax, Investment, Stock, Real Estate, Deposit, Saving, Loan, Fund, Interest Rate, Exchange Rate
Human Relations	413	7.2	Family, Friends, Couple, Quarrel, Reconciliation
Pet	409	7.1	Dog, Cat, Adoption, Pet Disease, Memories with Pet, Pet Accessory
Sports/Leisure*	406	7.1	Player, Watching a Game, Olympic, Paralympic, National Team, Rule, Water Sports, Climbing
Food*	399	6.9	Restaurants, Ingredients, Recipe, Local Foods, Fruits, Vegetables, Fishes, Korean Foods, World Foods, Delivery, Meal Kit
Educational/School*	394	6.8	Examination, Subjects, Major, Quiz, Grades, Entrance, Graduation, School, Teacher, Professor, Mid Term, Club
Vacation	384	6.7	Trip, Travel, Destination, Accommodation, Photo, Holiday, Beach, Attraction, Christmas
Art/Culture*	388	6.7	Book, Music, Broadcast, Movie, Drama, Celeb, Singer, Actor, Show, Museum, Musical, Poem, Writer, Exhibition
Transportation*	379	6.6	Driving, Car, Bus, Taxi, Subway, Train, Airplane, Bicycle, Scooter, Ticket Reservation, Public Transit
Social Issues	357	6.2	Social Issue, News, Human Right, Journalism, Global Warming, Environment, Animal Welfare
Ceremonial Occasions	307	5.3	Wedding, Invitation, Visitor, Presents, Funeral, Condolences, Rite

2) *Data cleansing*: We manually filtered out the problematic recordings by the following failure criteria: the entire lip or facial region is not recorded, the filming environment is too dark, the noise level is too high, or videos and the audio are not in sync. We also trimmed every audio-visual pair to have the same length.

3) *Data splits*: The OLKAVS dataset provides predefined splits of train, validation, and evaluation with a ratio of 8:1:1. The dataset is split based on speaker ID so that no speaker co-occurs on different splits. Only the train and validation sets are made publicly available.

4) *Facial landmarks*: For ease of use, we also provide the bounding box coordinates of the lip and the face. We used the face alignment estimation module from Bulat and Tzimiropoulos [24]. Facial landmarks were extracted every 3 seconds and linearly interpolated for the frames inbetween.

5) *File formats*: Videos are stored in MP4 format with the FHD (1,920×1,080) resolution and 10-Mbps bitrates. Audios are encoded in WAV format with stereo channels and 48-kHz sampling rates. Transcriptions are in JSON format, including all the details of file formats, speaker information on ID, age, and gender, whether the speaker is an expert, and the utterances' start and end times.

D. Additional Use Cases

We expect the OLKVAS dataset to further facilitate various research outside audio-visual speech recognition and lip reading, especially leveraging its large-scale data.

1) *Korean speech recognition*: Not only the size of OLKAVS greatly outplays the previous audio-visual speech datasets [16, 22, 23], but also comparable to the audio-only Korean speech dataset [25]. Also, our pre-trained audio-visual speech recognition model can be useful when fine-tuned to other languages [10].

2) *Speaker understanding*: As OLKAVS contains speaker identity information of 1,107 speakers, it can be used for speaker recognition tasks [7, 21]. Also, the metadata on whether the speaker is an expert can be used as a binary label for language fluency for automatic pronunciation level classification [26].

3) *Robust lip reading*: OLKAVS is multi-view, so it contains more challenging real-world lip-reading scenarios than the front-only case. One can also utilize the multi-view to improve lip reading performance further [27], which we demonstrate in Subsection IV-C.

TABLE III

A SAMPLE SENTENCE PER TOPIC AND A SAMPLE GUIDELINE GIVEN TO SPEAKERS FOR THE OLKAVS DATASET. ONLY THE EXPERT SPEAKERS RECEIVED GUIDELINES FOR SPONTANEOUS SPEECH, WHEREAS SCRIPTS WERE GIVEN TO THE AVERAGE SPEAKERS (REFER TO SUBSECTION III-A FOR MORE DETAILS). ENGLISH TRANSLATIONS ARE PROVIDED IN THE TABLE FOR EASE OF UNDERSTANDING, BUT THE SPEAKERS WERE ONLY SUPPLIED WITH KOREAN SENTENCES AND GUIDELINES.

Script (for scripted speech)	주제 (Topic)	스크립트 (Script)
	건강/다이어트 (Health/Diet)	딸이 약을 제대로 챙겨 먹지 않아서 건강 상태가 더 악화됐어. (My daughter's health condition worsened because she didn't take her medicine properly.)
	일상생활 (Daily)	이번에 새로 산 바지가 한 번 빨았는데 줄어들어서 너무 작아졌어요. (The new pants I bought were washed once, but they shranked too small.)
	일/직장/직업 (Job/Work)	요즘 직장 생활은 위아래로 부딪혀서 업무에 집중하기 힘들어. (These days, work life is bumping up and down, so it's hard to work properly.)
	경제/재테크 (Financial)	지금 달러가 강세라고 하던데 그럼 내가 뭘 해야지 이득을 볼 수 있는 거야? (They say the dollar is strong right now, so what should I do to benefit?)
	인간관계 (Human Relations)	남편이 나를 너무 못살게 굴어서 결혼생활이 불행해. (My husband treats me so badly that my marriage is unhappy.)
	반려동물 (Pet)	동물 병원에서 일하다 보니 나도 반려동물을 키우고 싶어. (Working at a veterinary hospital makes me want to have a pet too.)
	스포츠/레저 (Sports/Leisure)	넌 제일 좋아하는 축구 선수가 누구야? (Who is your favorite soccer player?)
	음식 (Food)	오늘 집에서 스테이크를 미디엄 레어로 구워 먹었어. (I had a steak cooked medium rare at home today.)
	진학/학교 (Education/School)	나는 벌써 휴학 신청서를 제출했어. (I have already submitted my application for leave of absence.)
	휴가 (Vacation)	부다페스트는 야경이 정말 아름다웠어. (Budapest was really beautiful at night.)
	문화/예술 (Art/Culture)	내가 원하는 날짜에 뮤지컬 공연 예약 예매했어. (I made a reservation for a musical performance on the date I want.)
	교통수단 (Transportation)	나는 자가용을 사용하는 게 편해. (I'm comfortable using my own car.)
	사회/사자 (Social Issues)	어 그래서 우리나라도 지금 제주도도 난민이 꽤 들어오고 있어. (Uh, that's why there are quite a few refugees coming to Jeju Island.)
관혼상제 (Ceremonial Occasions)	내일 아는 분 결혼식에 가는데 어떻게 입고 가는 게 좋을까요? (What should I wear tomorrow for the wedding of my acquaintance?)	
Guideline (for spontaneous speech)	주제 (Topic)	스포츠/레저 (Sports/leisure)
	발화 주제 (Topic sentence)	이스포츠의 정식 스포츠 등록에 대해 어떻게 생각하시나요? (What's your opinion about adoption of E-Sports as an official event in the Asian Games?)
	관련 키워드 (Keywords)	이스포츠, 스포츠, 아시안게임 (E-sports, Sports, Asian Games)
관련 뉴스 (Related News)	e스포츠는 왜 아직 스포츠가 되지 못했을까 (Why hasn't eSports become a sport yet?)	

TABLE IV

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SPEAKERS IN THE OLKAVS DATASET

Age a	# of speakers	Speaker ratio (%)
$10 \leq a < 20$	109	10
$20 \leq a < 30$	286	26
$30 \leq a < 40$	280	25
$40 \leq a < 50$	259	23
$50 \leq a < 60$	94	8
$a \geq 60$	79	7

IV. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we provide the baselines of the OLKAVS dataset for the two common tasks: audio-visual speech recognition and multi-view lip reading. The former was conducted to analyze the effectiveness of multi-modal training over uni-modal training on changing noise levels, whereas the latter focused on utilizing multi-view data for increasing lip reading performance over frontal-view-only data.

A. Data Pre-processing

Videos were cropped with the bounding boxes of the lips that are provided in the dataset. Then, to train and evaluate sentence-wise, we split the audio-visual data based on the timestamps in the transcripts. Also, we modified the Korean transcripts to be more prediction-friendly: removing punctuations and converting numbers into corresponding words. We tokenized the transcripts into grapheme units of 21 vowels, 19 initial consonants, and 27 final consonants. Refer to [28] for more details on pre-processing the Korean transcripts for speech recognition.

B. Speech Recognition

1) *Architecture*: As shown in Fig. 2, we used the hybrid conformer architecture of [3] for the audio-visual model (AV-model), which is the state-of-the-art model on LRS3-TED [9]. The architecture is the cascade of a ResNet-like convolutional network and the conformer as the encoder for both image sequences and audio waveforms with the hybrid decoder. For the audio-only (A-model) and visual-only (V-model) variants, following [3], we simply dropped the corresponding encoder and the fusion module.



Fig. 1: Video samples from the OLKAVS dataset. 5 different views were synchronously recorded for each recording session. (a) and (b) show two types of views and denote their labels. The amount of data with view "A" doubles than the others because the view is common in both recording sessions.




Fig. 2: Hybrid conformer architecture for audio-visual speech recognition.

However, to reduce the required GPU memory size, we modified the original architecture by adopting the lip reading visual front-end module from [14], pre-trained with several English lip reading datasets [4, 11, 14], which is frozen during training. To make the feature dimension equal, we attached a learnable linear layer between the visual front-end and encoder, converting 512-dimensional features to 256 dimensions.

Both audio and visual front-ends used the modified ResNet-18 architecture [29]. For the first layer, 1D- and 3D-convolution layer is used for raw waveform inputs and video inputs, respectively, which is followed by the residual network. A simple multi-layer perceptron (MLP) was used to fuse the audio and visual features. The encoder and the decoder consisted of 12 conformer layers and 6 transformer layers, respectively, of which multi-head attentions used 8 heads and 256 feature dimensions.

TABLE V
BASELINE PERFORMANCES OF A-MODEL, V-MODEL, AND AV-MODEL ON THE OLKAVS VALIDATION SET

Model (modality)	Error rates (%)		
	CER	WER	sWER
A-model (Audio-only)	5.63	11.61	9.37
V-model (Visual-only)	36.53	49.97	51.71
AV-model (Both)	5.64	12.05	9.45

2) *Training details*: We used the same settings for all the models, AV-model, A-model, and V-model, following the original setting of Ma et al. [3] where they used the weighted sum of two losses, the connectionist temporal classification (CTC) loss and cross-entropy (CE) loss. However, for simplicity, we used the simple average of two losses. We used the Adam optimizer with the learning rate of 0.0001 with the Noam learning rate scheduler, similar to the original setting. Due to the memory constraints, we used different batch sizes of 8, 12, and 16 for AV-model, A-model, and V-model, respectively.

3) *Evaluation*: For the baseline evaluation (Table V), we evaluated all three models, AV-model, A-model, and V-model, on the publicly available validation set. The validation set contains various noises, as mentioned in Subsection III-B.

For the noise robustness experiments (Fig. 3), we varied the noise levels explicitly by mixing the validation data with babble noise from NOISEX-92 [30]. To make the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) consistent across different audio samples, we used the subset of the validation data with no noise to be mixed with the babble noise. The performance was measured in terms of character error rate (CER), word error rate (WER), and space-normalized word error rate (sWER) [25].

4) *Results*: We provide the speech recognition baselines in Table V and the noise robustness comparison in Fig. 3. Table V shows that the performance of the A-model and the AV-model is comparable, differing only by 0.2%. The V-model achieved 36.53% in CER, which is the first published result for Korean lip reading at the sentence level.

For the noise robustness experiment, Fig. 3 shows that the AV-model can effectively utilize both audio and visual data,

TABLE VI
CERs (%) OF LIP READING ON A SUBSET OF VALIDATION DATA WITH NO NOISE IN THE OLKAVS DATASET

Model \ View	Upper			Medium-high			Lower		
	left	center	right	left	center(frontal)	right	left	center	right
F-model (Frontal only)				55.00					
All-model (All views)	54.65	61.53	56.53	46.63	44.86	46.16	42.59	44.77	42.96




Fig. 3: CERs of the AV-model on a subset of validation data with no noise in the OLKAVS dataset, corrupted by babble noise in NOISEX-92 to simulate different noise conditions.

balancing between two modalities on varying noise levels to provide better performance than uni-modal models. As visual data would be less helpful in a low noise condition (SNR = 15 dB), while audio data would be too corrupted in the opposite end (SNR = -5 dB), the A-model and the V-model act as the hard baseline for the AV-model in each case, respectively. The AV-model provided better or comparable performances on both extremes while showing superior performance in the middle, indicating that utilizing both audio and visual modalities is effective in speech recognition tasks.

C. Multi-view Lip Reading

1) *Architecture*: We trained the visual front-end module from scratch instead of utilizing the pre-trained module as in the previous experiment (Subsection IV-B). Specifically, we used the original hybrid conformer architecture [3] without any modifications in this experiment.

2) *Training details*: We used the same settings as in Subsection IV-B except the batch size of 6 was used.

3) *Evaluation*: To verify the effectiveness of utilizing all the views to improve the lip-reading performance [27], we compared the lip-reading model trained only with the frontal view (F-model) and using all the views (All-model). Additionally, All-model’s performance on various views was compared. We used the subset of validation data with no noise for evaluation.

4) *Results*: Table VI demonstrates that the frontal lip reading performance of the All-model (44.86%) was superior to that of the F-model (55.00%) with an 18% relative reduction in CERs, which reaffirmed the results of [27] that multi-view training is superior to that of the frontal-view-only.

We also compared the performance of the All-model on various views to analyze the difficulty of lip reading from each

view. Frontal lip reading in the medium-high level performed better than the other medium-high views, which differs from the results in [27]. We suspect that frontal data being twice as large compared to the other views caused the model to be biased toward the frontal data. Indeed, lip reading of the centered views performed worse than that of the right and left views in each of the upper and lower views, confirming the results in [27]. Also, as the lower angle showed lip movement better, its performance was better than that of the upper angle.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced a novel audio-visual speech dataset, the Open Large-scale Korean Audio-Visual Speech (OLKAVS) dataset, which is the largest publicly available audio-visual speech dataset, to the best of our knowledge. It contains 1,150 hours of transcribed audio from 1,107 Korean speakers with nine different viewpoints and various noise situations. We also provided pre-trained models for audio-visual speech recognition and multi-view lip reading, which serves as a future research baseline and a good starting point for fine-tuning. Our experiments demonstrated that using both multi-modal and multi-view data during training improved upon the uni-modal and frontal-view-only data. Finally, we emphasize that the OLKAVS dataset can be utilized for broader research areas outside of audio-visual speech recognition and lip reading.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. I. Iezzoni, B. L. O’Day, M. Killeen, and H. Harker, “Communicating about health care: Observations from persons who are deaf or hard of hearing,” *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 140, no. 5, pp. 356–362, 2004.
- [2] W. H. Sumby and I. Pollack, “Visual contribution to speech intelligibility in noise,” *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 212–215, 1954.
- [3] P. Ma, S. Petridis, and M. Pantic, “End-to-end audio-visual speech recognition with conformers,” in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2021, pp. 7613–7617.
- [4] J. S. Chung and A. Zisserman, “Lip reading in the wild,” in *Proc. Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, 2016, pp. 87–103.
- [5] J. S. Chung, A. Senior, O. Vinyals, and A. Zisserman, “Lip reading sentences in the wild,” in *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2017, pp. 6447–6456.
- [6] I. Anina, Z. Zhou, G. Zhao, and M. Pietikäinen, “OuluVS2: A multi-view audiovisual database for non-rigid mouth motion analysis,” in *Proc. IEEE Interna-*

- tional Conference and Workshops on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG)*, vol. 1, 2015, pp. 1–5.
- [7] J. S. Chung, A. Nagrani, and A. Zisserman, “VoxCeleb2: Deep speaker recognition,” in *Proc. Interspeech*. ISCA, 2018, pp. 1086–1090.
- [8] S. Yang, Y. Zhang, D. Feng, M. Yang, C. Wang, J. Xiao, K. Long, S. Shan, and X. Chen, “LRW-1000: A naturally-distributed large-scale benchmark for lip reading in the wild,” in *Proc. IEEE International Conference and Workshops on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG)*, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [9] T. Afouras, J. S. Chung, and A. Zisserman, “LRS3-TED: a large-scale dataset for visual speech recognition,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00496*, 2018.
- [10] G. Schwiebert, C. Weber, L. Qu, H. Siqueira, and S. Wermter, “A multimodal German dataset for automatic lip reading systems and transfer learning,” in *Proc. Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*. European Language Resources Association, 2022, pp. 6829–6836.
- [11] J. S. Chung and A. Zisserman, “Lip reading in profile,” in *Proc. British Machine Vision Conference (BMVC)*. BMVA Press, 2017.
- [12] H. Chen, J. Du, Y. Dai, C. H. Lee, S. M. Siniscalchi, S. Watanabe, O. Scharenborg, J. Chen, B. C. Yin, and J. Pan, “Audio-visual speech recognition in MISP2021 challenge: Dataset release and deep analysis,” in *Proc. Interspeech*. ISCA, 2022, pp. 1766–1770.
- [13] W. Dai, S. Cahyawijaya, T. Yu, E. J. Barezi, P. Xu, C. T. Yiu, R. Frieske, H. Lovenia, G. Winata, Q. Chen *et al.*, “CI-AVSR: A Cantonese audio-visual speech dataset for in-car command recognition,” in *Proc. Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, 2022, pp. 6786–6793.
- [14] T. Afouras, J. S. Chung, A. Senior, O. Vinyals, and A. Zisserman, “Deep audio-visual speech recognition,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 44, no. 12, pp. 8717–8727, 2022.
- [15] B. Lee, M. Hasegawa-Johnson, C. Goudeseune, S. Kamdar, S. Borys, M. Liu, and T. Huang, “AVICAR: Audio-visual speech corpus in a car environment,” in *Proc. International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, 2004.
- [16] C.-W. Jo, R. Goecke, and B. Millar, “Collection of Korean audio-video speech data,” *Speech Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 5–15, 2000.
- [17] I. Matthews, T. F. Cootes, J. A. Bangham, S. Cox, and R. Harvey, “Extraction of visual features for lipreading,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 198–213, 2002.
- [18] E. K. Patterson, S. Gurbuz, Z. Tufekci, and J. N. Gowdy, “CUAVE: A new audio-visual database for multimodal human-computer interface research,” in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, vol. 2, 2002, pp. 2017–2020.
- [19] M. Cooke, J. Barker, S. Cunningham, and X. Shao, “An audio-visual corpus for speech perception and automatic speech recognition,” *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 120, no. 5, pp. 2421–2424, 2006.
- [20] G. Zhao, M. Barnard, and M. Pietikainen, “Lipreading with local spatiotemporal descriptors,” *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1254–1265, 2009.
- [21] A. Nagrani, J. S. Chung, W. Xie, and A. Zisserman, “VoxCeleb: Large-scale speaker verification in the wild,” *Computer Speech & Language*, vol. 60, p. 101027, 2020.
- [22] J.-S. Lee and C. H. Park, “Robust audio-visual speech recognition based on late integration,” *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 767–779, 2008.
- [23] S.-W. Lee, J.-H. Yu, S. M. Park, and K.-B. Sim, “Visual speech recognition of Korean words using convolutional neural network,” *International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2019.
- [24] A. Bulat and G. Tzimiropoulos, “How far are we from solving the 2D & 3D face alignment problem? (and a dataset of 230,000 3D facial landmarks),” in *Proc. International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2017, pp. 1021–1030.
- [25] J.-U. Bang, S. Yun, S.-H. Kim, M.-Y. Choi, M.-K. Lee, Y.-J. Kim, D.-H. Kim, J. Park, Y.-J. Lee, and S.-H. Kim, “Kspnspeech: Korean spontaneous speech corpus for automatic speech recognition,” *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 19, p. 6936, 2020.
- [26] A. Kobayashi and I. Wilson, “Using deep learning to classify English native pronunciation level from acoustic information,” in *Proc. SHS Web of Conferences*, vol. 77, 2020, p. 02004.
- [27] D. Lee, J. Lee, and K.-E. Kim, “Multi-view automatic lip-reading using neural network,” in *Proc. Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, 2017, pp. 290–302.
- [28] M.-H. Lee and J.-H. Chang, “Korean speech recognition based on grapheme,” *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of Korea*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 601–606, 2019.
- [29] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, “Deep residual learning for image recognition,” in *Proc. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [30] A. Varga and H. J. Steeneken, “Assessment for automatic speech recognition: II. NOISEX-92: A database and an experiment to study the effect of additive noise on speech recognition systems,” *Speech Communication*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 247–251, 1993.