

Unitary anchored planar algebras

André Henriques, David Penneys, and James Tener

Abstract

In our previous article [arXiv:1607.06041], we established an equivalence between pointed pivotal module tensor categories and anchored planar algebras. This article introduces the notion of unitarity for both module tensor categories and anchored planar algebras, and establishes the unitary analog of the above equivalence. Our constructions use Baez’s 2-Hilbert spaces (i.e., semisimple C^* -categories equipped with unitary traces), the unitary Yoneda embedding, and the notion of unitary adjunction for dagger functors between 2-Hilbert spaces.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivations for this article	3
1.1.1	Enriched subfactor theory	3
1.1.2	Internal structure of bicommutant categories	4
1.1.3	(2+1)D topological orders	4
1.1.4	Unitary higher categories	5
1.2	Outline	6
1.3	Acknowledgements	6
2	Preliminaries	6
2.1	The unitary Yoneda lemma and unitary adjunctions	7
2.2	Unitary dual functors and spherical states	11
2.3	Involutive functors	14
2.4	Involutive lax monoidal functors	16
3	Unitary module tensor categories	18
3.1	Module tensor categories	18
3.2	Unitary module tensor categories	20
3.3	The map i^\dagger and a formula for $\text{coev}_{\text{Tr}_V(c)}^\dagger$	21
3.4	Unitarity of the traciator	25
4	Unitary anchored planar algebras	27
4.1	Planar algebras	27
4.2	Anchored planar algebras	28
4.3	Unitary anchored planar algebras	30

5	Extending the equivalence	32
5.1	From unitary module tensor categories to unitary anchored planar algebras .	32
5.1.1	Adding the dagger structure	33
5.1.2	Functoriality	35
5.2	From unitary anchored planar algebras to unitary module tensor categories .	37
5.2.1	Adding the dagger structure	38
5.2.2	Functoriality	43
5.3	Equivalence	43

1 Introduction

Planar algebras were introduced by Vaughan Jones in [Jon21]. A planar algebra \mathcal{P} is a collection of vector spaces $\mathcal{P}[n]$, called *box-spaces*, indexed by the nonnegative integers, along with a linear map


$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0]$$

for every planar tangle T . These maps are required to be compatible with the operation of composition of tangles in the sense that $Z(S \circ_i T) = Z(S) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id} \otimes Z(T) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \cdots \otimes \text{id})$. See §4.1 for more details.

In [HPT23b], we internalised the notion of planar algebra to the context of a pivotal braided tensor category \mathcal{V} , and called the resulting notion an *anchored planar algebra*. The box-spaces $\mathcal{P}[n]$ of an anchored planar algebra are now objects of our ambient category \mathcal{V} , and we have morphisms

$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0]$$

for every anchored planar tangle. For example:



The full definition is given in §4.2.

We then proceeded to establish an equivalence of categories

$$\left\{ \text{Anchored planar algebras in } \mathcal{V} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pointed pivotal module} \\ \text{tensor categories over } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\}$$

between the category **APA** of anchored planar algebras in \mathcal{V} , and the category **ModTens*** of pointed¹ pivotal module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} whose action functor admits a right adjoint. We refer the reader to §3.1 below for definitions of these notions.

¹Here, “pointed” means equipped with a distinguished symmetrically self-dual object that generates the module tensor category.

In this paper we further specialise/generalise the notion of anchored planar algebra to the context when \mathcal{V} is a *unitary* pivotal braided tensor category, and we prove an analog of the above result. This is motivated by enriched subfactor theory [JL17], bicommutant categories [Hen17b, HP17, HP23], topological orders [HBJP23], and higher unitary categories [CHPJP22, DHP22].

Let \mathcal{V} be a braided unitary tensor category equipped with a unitary dual functor (the latter is the unitary analog of a pivotal structure [Yam04, Sel11, Pen20]; see §2.2 below for more details). A unitary module tensor category \mathcal{C} is called *pivotal* if it is equipped with a unitary dual functor, compatibly with the action of \mathcal{V} . Our main result is the unitary analog of Theorem [HPT23b, Thm. A]:

Theorem A. *There is an equivalence of categories²*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary anchored planar} \\ \text{algebras in } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary pointed pivotal module tensor} \\ \text{categories over } \mathcal{V}, \text{ with chosen state} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Moreover, when \mathcal{V} is ribbon, spherical unitary anchored planar algebras correspond under this equivalence to unitary module tensor categories whose chosen state is spherical.

1.1 Motivations for this article

1.1.1 Enriched subfactor theory

The development of planar algebras [Jon21] is intimately linked to subfactor theory. We expect a similar relation to hold between anchored planar algebras and *enriched* subfactor theory. In their paper [JP17], Corey Jones and the second author introduced a notion of W^* -algebra object A internal to a unitary tensor category \mathcal{V} . One could imagine formulating an analog of the notion of II_1 factor internal to \mathcal{V} (perhaps just the condition that the neutral part of A , i.e. $\mathcal{V}(1 \rightarrow A)$, is a II_1 factor) and, similarly, a notion of subfactor internal to \mathcal{V} .

We conjecture that, in this context, the correct analog of the standard invariant is that of a unitary anchored planar algebra:

Conjecture 1.1. *The enriched standard invariant of a subfactor internal to \mathcal{V} is a 2-shaded unitary anchored planar algebra in $Z(\mathcal{V})$. Finite index, finite depth hyperfinite II_1 subfactors internal to \mathcal{V} are classified by their enriched standard invariants⁴.*

As an example, in [JL17, Rem. 6.1], Jaffe and Liu construct a subfactor from the inductive limit of parafermion algebras, and obtain the parafermion *subfactor planar para algebra* from its ‘graded standard invariant.’ Planar para algebras are anchored planar algebras internal to $\mathcal{V} = \text{Vect}(\mathbb{Z}/N)$ with a particular braiding (see [HPT23b, Examples 3.7 and 3.8]), and the parafermion planar para algebra corresponds to a Tambara-Yamagami module tensor category over \mathcal{V} .

²The 2-category of unitary pointed module tensor categories is equivalent to a 1-category. Moreover, the unique 2-morphism between two 1-morphisms, when it exists, is always unitary.

³We do not require the unit of our module tensor category to be simple. So, strictly speaking, it is a unitary module *multitensor* category.

⁴The relevant anchored planar algebras should satisfy $\mathcal{P}[0] = I(1)$, where $I : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{V})$ is the adjoint of the forgetful functor $Z(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$.

There is a classification of II_1 subfactors with index less than 4 [Jon83, GdlHJ89, Kaw95, BN91, Izu94] in terms of ADE Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams (where D_{odd} and E_7 do not occur, and E_6 and E_8 occur twice). For subfactors enriched over super vector spaces, the non-simply laced Coxeter-Dynkin diagrams C_{even} and F_4 also appear [ALW19, §6-7].

1.1.2 Internal structure of bicommutant categories

Bicommutant categories were introduced in [Hen17b], as higher categorical analogs of von Neumann algebras. The simplest example of a bicommutant category is $\text{Bim}(M)$, the tensor category of all bimodules over a von Neumann algebra M . Examples corresponding to unitary fusion categories were constructed in [HP17], and further studied in [HP23]. Examples corresponding to conformal nets were constructed in [Hen17a].

When $M = R$ is a hyperfinite II_1 , II_∞ , or III_1 factor, there is a well-known correspondence [Pop90, Pop95, Jon21, Tom21, BCE⁺20] between conjugacy classes of finite depth R - R bimodules and isomorphism classes of unitary finite depth planar algebras⁵:

$$\left\{ \text{Finite depth } R\text{-}R\text{-bimodules} \right\} / \text{conj.} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Connected finite depth} \\ \text{unitary planar algebras} \end{array} \right\} / \text{iso.}$$

We conjecture that, under suitable assumptions, conjugacy classes of finite depth objects in a bicommutant category \mathcal{T} are in bijective correspondence with finite depth unitary anchored planar algebras in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let \mathcal{T} be a bicommutant category whose Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T})$ is fusion. Then, under suitable assumptions, there exists a natural bijective correspondence*


$$\left\{ \text{Finite depth objects of } \mathcal{T} \right\} / \text{conj.} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Connected finite depth unitary} \\ \text{anchored planar algebras in } \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}) \end{array} \right\} / \text{iso.}$$

For bicommutant categories coming from fusion categories, Conjecture 1.2 was proven in our recent paper [HPT23a].

1.1.3 (2+1)D topological orders

Topological order is a phenomenon in (theoretical) condensed matter physics beyond Landau's symmetry breaking paradigm. In (2+1) dimensions, the low energy effective field theory of a topologically ordered phase of matter is a topological quantum field theory, and the low energy localised excitations form a unitary modular tensor category (UMTC).

Conjecture 1.3. *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be (2+1)D topological orders with the same anomaly, let \mathcal{M} be a topological domain wall between them, and let m be a symmetrically self-dual point-like excitation that lives on \mathcal{M} . Then the collection of objects*



⁵Unoriented planar algebras correspond to symmetrically self-dual bimodules. Arbitrary finite depth bimodules are classified by planar algebras whose strands are oriented.

(where the red dots represent m) in the unitary modular tensor category associated to \mathcal{X} are the box-spaces of a unitary anchored planar algebra.

More generally, if \mathcal{U} is any unitary 3-category (a notion yet to be defined) and $c : v \rightarrow w$ is a dualizable 1-morphism between dualizable objects, then $\mathcal{V} := \text{End}(1_v)$ should be a unitary modular ribbon category and $\mathcal{C} := \text{End}(c)$ should be a unitary \mathcal{V} -module multifusion category. Moreover, for $m : c \Rightarrow c$ symmetrically self-dual, the objects $\underline{\text{Hom}}(1_c, m^{\otimes k}) \in \mathcal{V}$ should form the box-spaces of a unitary anchored planar algebra.

Indeed, it should be the case that (2+1)D topological orders with the a given anomaly form a unitary 3-category whose 1-morphisms are topological domain walls and whose higher morphisms correspond to topological defects of higher codimension. More precisely, [HBJP23] posits that for a UMTC \mathcal{A} whose Witt class represents an anomaly, the 3-category of \mathcal{A} -enriched unitary fusion categories describes this putative 3-category of (2+1)D topological orders.

1.1.4 Unitary higher categories

Over the past decades, a theory of higher linear algebra has emerged from work of many authors, e.g., [BD95, Bae97, Lur09, HV19]. The higher n -category $n\text{Vect}$ of n -vector spaces may be used as target for n -dimensional topological quantum field theories, where the fully dualizable objects give fully extended theories by the cobordism hypothesis. Until recently, definitions of $n\text{Vect}$ were bespoke, chosen to agree with well-known state-sum models. The recent breakthrough [GJF19] provides a uniform framework to construct (the fully dualizable part of) $n\text{Vect}$ via a formal inductive procedure starting from just the complex numbers.

Starting with \mathbb{C} , a commutative algebra, we can deloop and complete to obtain the 1-category Vect_{fd} . Since Vect is a symmetric monoidal tensor category, we can deloop and complete again to obtain the 2-category $\text{Alg}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{sep}}$ of finite dimensional separable algebras, bimodules, and intertwiners. Assigning to an algebra its category of modules gives an equivalence to 2Vect , the 2-category of finite semisimple categories, linear functors, and natural transformations. Since 2Vect is a symmetric monoidal 2-category, we may deloop and complete again to obtain the 3-category of (separable) multifusion categories [JF22], which is equivalent to the 3-category of semisimple 2-categories [DR18, Déc22].

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \text{Vect}_{\text{fd}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \text{Alg}_{\text{fd}}^{\text{sep}} \simeq \text{LinCat}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow[\text{[JF22]}]{\Sigma} \text{MultFusCat} \underset{\text{[Déc22]}}{\simeq} \text{Lin2Cat}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \dots \quad (1)$$

For physical applications in topologically ordered phases of matter, it is important to have a version of the above construction that incorporates unitary structures at all levels. However, extending this construction to the unitary setting is quite delicate. Whereas dualizability is a *property* in the non-unitary setting, it is additional *structure* in the unitary setting [Yam04, Pen20]. Thus $n\text{Hilb}$ should come with canonical involutions corresponding to duals at various levels.

Writing this article has allowed us to clarify these notions for 2Hilb . Here, a *2-Hilbert space* [Bae97] is a linear category (enriched in finite dimensional vector spaces) equipped with Hilbert space structures on hom spaces satisfying

$$\langle f, h \circ g^\dagger \rangle_{b \rightarrow c} = \langle f \circ g, h \rangle_{a \rightarrow c} = \langle g, f^\dagger \circ h \rangle_{a \rightarrow b} \quad \forall g : a \rightarrow b, f : b \rightarrow c, h : a \rightarrow c.$$

As pointed out in [GMP⁺23, Rem. 3.6.1], 2-Hilbert spaces are the natural setting for defining the unitary Yoneda embedding [JP20]. In turn, we have a well-behaved notion of *unitary adjunction* for dagger functors, which we describe in §2.1 below. In Proposition 2.8 (see also Remark 2.9) we prove that unitary adjunction gives a canonical unitary dual functor on 2Hilb. We expect this to be a key ingredient in defining higher dagger idempotents, for the unitary analog of (1).

1.2 Outline

In §2, we provide the preliminary background for this article, together with some new contributions for unitary and involutive categories. We discuss the unitary Yoneda embedding and unitary adjunction in §2.1, and we review unitary dual functors and spherical states in §2.2. In §2.3, we use the graphical calculus for the 2-category \mathbf{Cat} to transport involutive structures through adjunctions.

In §3, we review the notion of pivotal module tensor category over a braided pivotal category before introducing the unitary counterpart in §3.2. We briefly review the graphical calculus of strings on tubes for the categorified trace from [HPT16], and we study the adjoint of the unit map in §3.3. In the spherical setting, we prove unitarity of the traciator in §3.4.

In §4, we review the notions of planar algebra and anchored planar algebra before introducing the unitary counterparts in §4.3. We show that for a spherical unitary anchored planar algebra, the adjoint is compatible with the inside-out reflection of tangles in Proposition 4.8. Finally, in §5, we prove our main Theorem A.

1.3 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Peter Huston and David Reutter for helpful conversations. David Penneys was supported by NSF DMS 1500387/1655912, 1654159, and 2154389. James Tener was supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Project DP200100067, as well as by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, during the initial stages of this work.

2 Preliminaries

Our standard references for (unitary) tensor categories include [LR97, GLR85, Sel11, EGNO15, HPT16, Pen20].

Let $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the 2-category of \mathbb{C} -linear categories, linear *and* anti-linear functors, and natural transformations. We only allow natural transformations between functors if they are either both linear or both anti-linear. In this section, we shall often use the graphical calculus for $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}$, denoting linear categories by two-dimensional regions, functors by strands, and natural transformations by junctures. As in [CP22], we may identify a category \mathcal{A} with the category of \mathbb{C} -linear functors $\mathbf{Vect} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Given a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and objects $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, this allows us to give a graphical representation e.g. for a morphism $f : F(a) \rightarrow b$,

as follows:



Given an adjunction $F \dashv G$ between two functors $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, recall that $f : F(a) \rightarrow b$ and $g : A \rightarrow G(b)$ are called *mates* if they are mapped to one another under the natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b) &\cong \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b)) \\ f &\leftrightarrow \text{mate}(f) \\ \text{mate}(g) &\leftrightarrow g. \end{aligned}$$

Mates are represented in the graphical calculus as follows:



where the cup and cap represent the unit and counit of the adjunction.

The operations of taking the mate are natural with respect to pre-composition and post-composition by another morphism:

$$(M1) \quad \text{mate}(f_2 \circ f_1) = G(f_2) \circ \text{mate}(f_1) \text{ for all } f_1 : F(a) \rightarrow b_1 \text{ and } f_2 : b_1 \rightarrow b_2.$$

$$(M2) \quad \text{mate}(g_2 \circ g_1) = \text{mate}(g_2) \circ F(g_1) \text{ for all } g_1 : a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \text{ and } g_2 : a_2 \rightarrow G(b).$$

2.1 The unitary Yoneda lemma and unitary adjunctions

In this section, we work with semisimple C^* categories. (Note that every Cauchy complete C^* category with finite dimensional hom spaces is semisimple [GMP⁺23, §3.1.1].)

Definition 2.1. A unitary trace on a semisimple C^* category \mathcal{A} is a collection of linear maps $\text{Tr}_a : \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, satisfying

- $\text{Tr}_a(f \circ g) = \text{Tr}_b(g \circ f)$ for all $f : a \rightarrow b$ and $g : b \rightarrow a$, and
- The sesquilinear forms $\langle f, g \rangle_{a \rightarrow b} := \text{Tr}_a(g^\dagger \circ f)$ are positive definite.

The above inner products satisfy

$$\langle f, h \circ g^\dagger \rangle_{b \rightarrow c} = \langle f \circ g, h \rangle_{a \rightarrow c} = \langle g, f^\dagger \circ h \rangle_{a \rightarrow b} \quad \forall g : a \rightarrow b, f : b \rightarrow c, h : a \rightarrow c, \quad (2)$$

equivalently

$$(- \circ g)^\dagger = - \circ g^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad (f \circ -)^\dagger = f^\dagger \circ -, \quad (3)$$

and thus equip \mathcal{A} with the structure of a *2-Hilbert space* in the sense of [Bae97]. Conversely, one may recover the trace from the 2-Hilbert space structure by the formula $\text{Tr}_a(f) :=$

$\langle f, \text{id}_a \rangle_{a \rightarrow a}$. The notion of semisimple C^* category equipped with a unitary trace is thus equivalent to the notion of 2-Hilbert space.

The first condition in (3) implies that for all $c \in \mathcal{A}$, the functor $\mathcal{A}(- \rightarrow c) : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}$ is a \dagger -functor, and the second equality implies that the *unitary Yoneda embedding*

$$\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}) \quad (4)$$

is a \dagger -functor (where $\mathbf{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb})$ denotes the \dagger -category of \dagger -functors $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}$).

The above facts were first observed in [GMP⁺23, Rem. 3.61 and footnote], and we shall refer to them collectively as the *unitary Yoneda lemma*. Note that the essential image of (4) is the same as its unitary essential image (using polar decomposition in $\mathbf{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb})$), so a \dagger -functor $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}$ is unitarily representable if and only if the underlying functor is representable. Given a \dagger -functor $F \in \mathbf{Fun}^\dagger(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb})$ in the essential image of (4), a representing object is given by

$$c := \bigoplus_{a \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} d_a^{-1} F(a) \otimes a, \quad (5)$$

where $d_a := \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}}(\text{id}_a)$, $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})$ is a basis⁶ of \mathcal{A} , and we use the notation λH to denote the Hilbert space with the same underlying vector space as H and inner product $\lambda \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$. The rescaling of the inner product of $F(a)$ ensures the unitarity of the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}(- \rightarrow c) \cong F$ given by

$$\mathcal{A} \left(b \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} d_a^{-1} F(a) \otimes a \right) \cong d_b^{-1} F(b) \otimes \mathcal{A}(b \rightarrow b) \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{F(b)} \otimes (\text{id}_b \mapsto 1)} F(b) \quad (6)$$

for $b \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 2.2. If \mathcal{A} is finitely semisimple and \mathbf{Hilb} is taken to mean finite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then (4) is a \dagger -equivalence.

Definition 2.3. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{B}})$ be semisimple C^* categories equipped with unitary traces. A *unitary adjunction*, denoted $F \dashv^\dagger G$, consists of linear \dagger -functors $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a family of unitary and natural isomorphisms

$$\psi_{a,b} : \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b)). \quad (7)$$

If F and G are instead antilinear \dagger -functors, and (7) is antiunitary, then adjunction is called an *antiunitary adjunction*.

Remark 2.4. In the above definition, if we had merely required F and G to be (anti)linear functors, they would nevertheless automatically be \dagger -functors. We prove that G is a dagger

⁶A *basis* of a semisimple linear category is a collection of representatives of each isomorphism class of simple objects.

functor (the argument for F is similar). For any $f \in \mathcal{B}(b_1 \rightarrow b_2)$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_1)) & \xrightarrow{G(f) \circ -} & \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_2)) \\ \cong \downarrow \psi_{a,b_1}^{-1} & & \cong \uparrow \psi_{a,b_2} \\ \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b_1) & \xrightarrow{(f \circ -)} & \mathcal{A}(F(a) \rightarrow b_2). \end{array}$$

Applying \dagger to all the arrows, by (3), the following diagram also commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_1)) & \xleftarrow{G(f)^\dagger \circ -} & \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b_2)) \\ \cong \uparrow \psi_{a,b_1} & & \cong \downarrow \psi_{a,b_2}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b_1) & \xleftarrow{(f^\dagger \circ -)} & \mathcal{A}(F(a) \rightarrow b_2). \end{array}$$

As $G(f^\dagger) \circ -$ also makes that second diagram commute, $G(f^\dagger) \circ - = G(f)^\dagger \circ -$, and hence $G(f^\dagger) = G(f)^\dagger$.

Similarly, whenever $F \dashv G$ are adjoint functors between linear categories, if (7) is (anti)linear, then F and G are automatically (anti)linear functors.

The above facts are reminiscent of the well-known fact that if H, K are Hilbert spaces and $S : H \rightarrow K$ and $T : K \rightarrow H$ are two functions such that $\langle S\eta, \xi \rangle = \langle \eta, T\xi \rangle$ for all $\eta \in H$ and $\xi \in K$, then S and T are automatically bounded linear maps.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{B}})$ be semisimple C^* categories with unitary traces, and let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a linear \dagger -functor that has a right adjoint. Then F also has a unitary right adjoint. The unitary right adjoint G is unique up to unique unitary natural isomorphism, and given by*

$$G(b) = \bigoplus_{a \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} d_a^{-1} \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b) \otimes a. \quad (8)$$

The same holds true for antilinear \dagger -functors.

Proof. The unitary right adjoint G , if it exists, sends $b \in \mathcal{B}$ to the object representing the \dagger -functor

$$a \mapsto \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b). \quad (9)$$

By the unitary Yoneda Lemma, such a representing object, if it exists, is unique up to unique unitary isomorphism. We get (8) by substituting (9) into (5). If F admits a right adjoint, the functors (9) are representable, hence unitarily representable, hence F admits a unitary right adjoint.

Finally, antilinear \dagger -functors are the same thing as linear \dagger -functors $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, so the same results hold true for antilinear \dagger -functors. \square

Lemma 2.6. *If coev and ev are the unit and counit of an (anti-)unitary adjunction $F \dashv^\dagger G$, then ev^\dagger and coev^\dagger are the unit and counit of an (anti-)unitary adjunction $G \dashv^\dagger F$.*

Proof. The adjunction is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(G(b) \rightarrow a) \underset{\text{anti-unitary}}{\cong} \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b)) \underset{(\text{anti-})\text{unitary}}{\cong} \mathcal{A}(F(a) \rightarrow b) \underset{\text{anti-unitary}}{\cong} \mathcal{A}(b \rightarrow F(a)). \quad (10)$$

The first equivalence is anti-unitary as

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{G(b) \rightarrow a} = \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}}(g^\dagger \circ f) = \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}}(f \circ g^\dagger) = \langle g^\dagger, f^\dagger \rangle_{a \rightarrow G(b)},$$

and similarly for the third one.

If we set $a = G(b)$, the image of $\text{id}_{G(b)}$ under (10) is ev^\dagger . So ev^\dagger is the counit of the adjunction (10). Similarly, setting $b = F(a)$, we see that coev^\dagger is the unit of the adjunction. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}})$ be a semisimple \mathbb{C}^* category with a unitary trace. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ be an object, viewed as linear \dagger -functor $a : \mathbf{Hilb} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and let $a^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}$ be its unitary adjoint. Then*

$$\text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}}(f) = \begin{array}{c} \text{ev}_a \\ \uparrow \\ a^* \text{---} \circ \text{---} a \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ a \\ \downarrow \\ \text{ev}_a^\dagger \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \blacksquare \\ \square \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A} \\ \mathbf{Hilb} \end{array},$$

where ev_a is the mate of id_a under the unitary adjunction $\mathbf{Hilb}(a^*(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow a(\mathbb{C}))$.

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ev}_a \\ \uparrow \\ a^* \text{---} \circ \text{---} a \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ a \\ \downarrow \\ \text{ev}_a^\dagger \end{array} = \langle \text{mate}(f), \text{mate}(\text{id}_a) \rangle_{\mathbf{Hilb}(a^*(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{C})} = \langle f, \text{id}_a \rangle_{\mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow a)} = \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}}(f). \quad \square$$

Anticipating the notion of unitary dual functor (see §2.2 below), we have the following result:

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{A}})$ be a semisimple \mathbb{C}^* category equipped with a unitary trace, and let $\text{End}_d^\dagger(\mathcal{A})$ be its category of dualizable linear dagger endofunctors. Then the operation which sends a dagger functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ to its unitary adjoint defines a unitary dual functor on $\text{End}_d^\dagger(\mathcal{A})$.*

The same holds true for the category of linear or antilinear \dagger -functors from \mathcal{A} to itself.

Proof. Given a dualizable \dagger -functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we write F^* for its unitary adjoint (which is unique up to unique unitary isomorphism). We must show that the canonical isomorphism $F^*G^* \Rightarrow (GF)^*$ is unitary, and that for all $\theta : F \Rightarrow G$ we have $\theta^{*\dagger} = \theta^{\dagger*} : F^* \Rightarrow G^*$. For the first statement, note that

$$\text{ev}_F \circ (1_{F^*} \text{ev}_G 1_F) = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{GF} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad (1_G \text{coev}_F 1_{G^*}) \circ \text{coev}_G = \begin{array}{c} \text{GF} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$$

exhibit F^*G^* as a unitary adjoint of GF , as

$$\mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow F^*(G^*(b))) \underset{\text{(anti-)unitary}}{\cong} \mathcal{A}(F(a) \rightarrow G^*(b)) \underset{\text{(anti-)unitary}}{\cong} \mathcal{A}(G(F(a)) \rightarrow b).$$

By the uniqueness statement in Lemma 2.5, the isomorphism $F^*G^* \Rightarrow (GF)^*$ is therefore unitary.

For the second statement, we show that $\theta_a^{*\dagger} = \theta_a^{\dagger*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. For all $f \in \mathcal{A}(G^*(a) \rightarrow b)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}(F^*(a) \rightarrow b)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \circ \theta_a^{\dagger*}, g \rangle &= \text{Tr}_{F^*(a)}^{\mathcal{A}}(g^\dagger \circ f \circ \theta_a^{\dagger*}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{coev}^\dagger \\ F \quad F^* \quad a \\ \text{---} \\ g^\dagger \\ \text{---} \\ b \\ \text{---} \\ f \\ \text{---} \\ \theta_a^{\dagger*} \\ \text{---} \\ F^* \quad a \\ \text{coev} \end{array} \right) = \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{coev}^\dagger \\ F \quad F^* \quad a \\ \text{---} \\ g^\dagger \\ \text{---} \\ b \\ \text{---} \\ f \\ \text{---} \\ \theta_a^{\dagger} \\ \text{---} \\ G \quad G^* \quad a \\ \text{coev} \end{array} \right) = \text{Tr}_a^{\mathcal{A}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{coev}^\dagger \\ G \quad G^* \quad a \\ \text{---} \\ \theta_a^{\dagger*} \\ \text{---} \\ g^\dagger \\ \text{---} \\ b \\ \text{---} \\ f \\ \text{---} \\ G^* \quad a \\ \text{coev} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{G^*(a)}^{\mathcal{A}}(\theta_a^{\dagger*} \circ g^\dagger \circ f) \\ &= \text{Tr}_{F^*(a)}^{\mathcal{A}}(g^\dagger \circ f \circ \theta_a^{\dagger*}) \\ &= \langle f \circ \theta_a^{\dagger*}, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and fifth equalities hold by Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7. By the non-degeneracy of the pairing, we conclude that $\theta_a^{\dagger*} = \theta_a^{\dagger*}$. \square

Remark 2.9. More generally, unitary adjoints provide a canonical unitary dual functor on the C^* 2-category of semisimple C^* categories, dualizable dagger functors, and bounded natural transformations.

2.2 Unitary dual functors and spherical states

Let \mathcal{C} be a unitary multitensor category (aka semisimple rigid tensor C^* category). We recall the following definition from [Pen20]:

Definition 2.10. A *unitary dual functor* on \mathcal{C} is a choice of dual $(c^\vee, ev_c, coev_c)$ for each object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ (where $ev_c : c^\vee \otimes c \rightarrow 1$, and $coev_c : 1 \rightarrow c \otimes c^\vee$ satisfy the zigzag identities), such that the corresponding functor $\vee : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{mop}}$ is a dagger tensor functor: $f^{\vee\dagger} = f^{\dagger\vee}$, and $\nu_{a,b} : a^\vee \otimes b^\vee \rightarrow (b \otimes a)^\vee$ is unitary.

Remark 2.11. Unlike dual functors, unitary dual functors are not unique – see [Pen20].

By [Sel11, Lem. 7.5], [Pen20, Cor. 3.10], a unitary dual functor induces a pivotal structure on \mathcal{C} by

$$\varphi_c := (1 \otimes ev_c) \circ (ev_c^\dagger \otimes 1) = (coev_c^\dagger \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes coev_{c^\vee}) : c \rightarrow c^{\vee\vee}, \quad (11)$$

and φ_c is unitary for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

A unitary dual functor \vee on a unitary multitensor category \mathcal{C} gives two $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ -valued traces tr_L and tr_R on the underlying C^* category.

Definition 2.12. A *spherical state* on a unitary multitensor category with unitary dual functor (\mathcal{C}, \vee) is a state ψ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ such that $\psi \circ \text{tr}_L(f) = \psi \circ \text{tr}_R(f)$ for every $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(c)$. Given a spherical state ψ , we write $\text{Tr}^{\mathcal{C}} := \psi \circ \text{tr}_L$.

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is an indecomposable unitary multitensor category.*

- (1) *A unitary dual functor admits at most one spherical state.*
- (2) *For each state ψ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$, there is a unique unitary dual functor with respect to which ψ is spherical.*

We thus have a bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary dual functors } \vee \text{ which} \\ \text{admit a spherical state } \psi \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{States } \psi \\ \text{on } \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be the universal grading groupoid of \mathcal{C} . By [Pen20, Thm. A], unitary dual functors \vee on \mathcal{C} are classified by groupoid homomorphisms $\pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, where \vee corresponds to π if

$$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{coev}_c^\dagger \circ (f \otimes \text{id}_{c^{\vee\pi}}) \circ \text{coev}_c = \lambda p_i, \quad \text{ev}_c \circ (\text{id}_{c^{\vee\pi}} \otimes f) \circ \text{ev}_c^\dagger = \pi_{\text{gr}(c)} \cdot \lambda p_j \quad (12)$$

for all homogeneous $c \in 1_i \otimes \mathcal{C} \otimes 1_j$ (homogeneous w.r.t. the \mathcal{U} -grading) and $f : c \rightarrow c$. Here, $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ are the projections onto the simple summands of $1_{\mathcal{C}}$, and $\text{gr}_c \in \mathcal{U}$ is the \mathcal{U} -grading of c .

If \vee admits a spherical state ψ , then π factors through the ‘matrix groupoid’ \mathcal{M}_r with r objects and a unique isomorphism between any two objects. Indeed, applying the spherical state ψ to (12), we have $\lambda\psi(p_i) = \pi_{\text{gr}(c)}\lambda\psi(p_j)$, and thus

$$\pi_{\text{gr}(c)} = \psi(p_i)/\psi(p_j) =: \pi_{ij} \quad (13)$$

Since $\sum_i \psi(p_i) = 1$, (13) completely determines ψ in terms of π . This proves part (1) of the lemma. Equation (13) also determines π in terms of ψ , so there is at most one unitary dual functor \vee for which a given state ψ can be spherical. It remains to verify that ψ is spherical for the unitary dual functor associated to the homomorphism π defined in (13):

$$\psi(\text{tr}_L(f)) = \lambda \pi_{ij} \psi(p_j) = \lambda \frac{\psi(p_i)}{\psi(p_j)} \psi(p_j) = \lambda \psi(p_i) = \psi(\text{tr}_R(f)).$$

This proves part (2) of the lemma. □

Observe that (2) of Lemma 2.13 holds even when \mathcal{C} is decomposable. Indeed, we can just restrict (and rescale) ψ to each indecomposable summand and then apply the lemma.

Definition 2.14. A unitary multitensor category with unitary dual functor (\mathcal{C}, \vee) is called *spherical* if it admits a spherical state.

Warning 2.15. There exists an alternative possible definition of sphericity for a unitary multitensor category \mathcal{C} , that we will not be using in this article: the unitary dual functor corresponding to $\pi = 1$ in (12). This is the *balanced*, or *minimal* unitary dual functor studied in [BDH14].

Definition 2.16. Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \varphi^{\mathcal{C}})$ and $(\mathcal{D}, \varphi^{\mathcal{D}})$ are pivotal categories. A monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called *pivotal* if the canonical isomorphism

$$\chi_c := \begin{array}{c} \boxed{F(\text{ev}_c)} \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} F(c^\vee) \\ | \end{array} \right\} F(c)^\vee \\ \end{array}$$

(where we have suppressed the tensorator of F) satisfies $(\chi_c)^\vee \circ \varphi_{F(c)}^{\mathcal{D}} = \chi_{c^\vee} \circ F(\varphi_c^{\mathcal{C}})$.

By [Pen20, Prop. 3.40], when \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are unitary multitensor categories equipped with unitary dual functors, pivotality of F is equivalent to the canonical isomorphisms χ_c being unitary.

Recall that a unitary tensor category is a unitary multitensor category with simple unit.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}})$ and $(\mathcal{D}, \vee_{\mathcal{D}})$ be unitary multitensor categories equipped with unitary dual functors, with $1_{\mathcal{C}}$ simple (i.e. \mathcal{C} is a tensor category), and \mathcal{D} non-zero. If \mathcal{D} is spherical and $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a pivotal dagger tensor functor, then \mathcal{C} is spherical.*

Proof. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}$ be any object. By the pivotality of F and [Pen20, Lem. 2.14],

$$F(\text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{C}}(\text{id}_c)) = \text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{D}}(\text{id}_{F(c)}) \quad \text{and} \quad F(\text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(\text{id}_c)) = \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{D}}(\text{id}_{F(c)}). \quad (14)$$

Since $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$ is one-dimensional, $\text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{C}}(\text{id}_c)$ and $\text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(\text{id}_c)$ are scalars. So the two quantities in (14) are scalar multiples of $\text{id}_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}$. Let ψ be the spherical state of \mathcal{D} . The above two scalars are unchanged under applying ψ , so

$$\text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{D}}(\text{id}_{F(c)}) = (\psi \circ \text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{D}})(\text{id}_{F(c)}) = (\psi \circ \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{D}})(\text{id}_{F(c)}) = \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{D}}(\text{id}_{F(c)}).$$

It follows that $\text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{C}}(\text{id}_c) = \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(\text{id}_c)$. \square

We end this section by explaining how a unitary dual functor on a unitary multitensor category \mathcal{C} induces a unitary dual functor on its unitary Drinfeld center $Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$ (the full subcategory of the ordinary Drinfeld center $Z(\mathcal{C})$ where all half-braidings are unitary):

Lemma 2.18. *Let $\vee = (\vee, \text{ev}, \text{coev})$ be a unitary dual functor on \mathcal{C} . Then \vee canonically induces a unitary dual functor on $Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Given an object $(X, \sigma_X) \in Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$, we define $(X, \sigma_X)^\vee := (X^\vee, \sigma_{X^\vee})$ where

$$\sigma_{X^\vee, Y} := (\text{ev}_X \otimes \text{id}_Y \otimes \text{id}_{X^\vee}) \circ (\text{id}_{X^\vee} \otimes \sigma_{X, Y}^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{X^\vee}) \circ (\text{id}_{X^\vee} \otimes \text{id}_Y \otimes \text{coev}_X).$$

The half-braiding $\sigma_{X^\vee, Y}$ is unitary. The evaluation and coevaluation are morphisms in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ hence in $Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$. Finally, since the (co)evaluations for (X, σ_X) are the same as those of the underlying object X , the dual functor $(X, \sigma_X)^\vee := (X^\vee, \sigma_{X^\vee})$ is unitary. \square

2.3 Involutive functors

An *involutive structure* on a category \mathcal{A} is an anti-linear functor $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ together with a coherence natural isomorphism $\varphi : \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow \bar{\bar{\cdot}}$ satisfying $\overline{\varphi_a} = \varphi_{\bar{a}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. In the graphical calculus for $\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we denote the anti-linear functor $\bar{\cdot}$ by a thick red strand, φ by a cap, and φ^{-1} by a cup.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \\ \hline \end{array} = \varphi \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{cup} \\ \hline \end{array} = \varphi^{-1}$$

The condition $\overline{\varphi_a} = \varphi_{\bar{a}}$ becomes

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{cap} \\ \hline \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \text{cup} \\ \hline \end{array},$$

which is equivalent to $(\bar{\cdot}, \varphi, \varphi^{-1})$ being an *adjoint equivalence*, i.e., it is equivalent to the zig-zag axioms

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \text{cup} \\ \hline \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \text{cap} \\ \hline \text{thick red strand} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Definition 2.19. A *conjugate-linear* morphism from a to b , denoted $f : a \rightarrow b$, is a morphism $a \rightarrow \bar{b}$.

A *real structure* on an object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is the data of a conjugate-linear morphism $r : a \rightarrow a$ such that $\bar{r} \circ r = \varphi_a$.

Definition 2.20. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be involutive categories. An involutive functor (F, χ) from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} is a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ equipped with a family of isomorphisms $\chi_a : F(\bar{a}) \rightarrow \overline{F(a)}$, for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, satisfying

- (involutive) $\overline{\chi_a} \circ \chi_{\bar{a}} \circ F(\varphi_a^{\mathcal{A}}) = \varphi_{F(a)}^{\mathcal{B}}$
- (conjugate natural) $\overline{F(f)} \circ \chi_{a_1} = \chi_{a_2} \circ F(\bar{f})$ for all $f : a_1 \rightarrow a_2$.

A natural transformation θ between two involutive functors $(F, \chi^F), (G, \chi^G) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called *involutive* if $\chi_a^G \circ \theta_a = \overline{\theta_a} \circ \chi_a^F : F(\bar{a}) \rightarrow \overline{G(a)}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

In the graphical calculus for $\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}$, we denote χ^F by a crossing:

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline F \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} F \\ \hline \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline \end{array} = \chi^F.$$

The involutive and conjugate-natural axioms are denoted graphically by

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline F \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} F \\ \hline \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline F \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} F \\ \hline \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline F \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} F \\ \hline a_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{f} \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \bar{\cdot} \\ \hline F \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} F \\ \hline a_1 \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{f} \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Composing the first identity with a red φ cap on the top left and a red φ^{-1} cup on the bottom right, we obtain the equivalent identity

The involutivity of a natural transformation θ between involutive functors is represented graphically by

The graphical proof of the following proposition is straightforward and left to the reader.

Proposition 2.21. *Suppose $(F, \chi^F) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an involutive functor which admits a right adjoint $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Then*

endow G with the structure of an involutive functor. Moreover the unit and counit of the adjunction $F \dashv G$ satisfy

Lemma 2.22. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an involutive functor between involutive categories, and let $G : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be its right adjoint (with involutive structure χ^G as in Proposition 2.21). Then, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(F(a) \rightarrow b)$, we have*

$$\overline{\text{mate}(f)} = \chi_b^G \circ \text{mate}(\bar{f} \circ \chi_a^F) \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{a} \rightarrow \overline{G(b)}).$$

Similarly, for all $g \in \mathcal{A}(a \rightarrow G(b))$, we have

$$\overline{\text{mate}(g)} = \text{mate}([\chi_b^G]^{-1} \circ \bar{g}) \circ [\chi_a^F]^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{F(a)} \rightarrow \bar{b}).$$

Proof. We only prove the first equality, as the other one is similar. In the graphical calculus, we have

$$\bar{f} = \begin{array}{c} b \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{f} \\ \text{---} \\ F \quad a \end{array}, \quad \overline{\text{mate}(f)} = \begin{array}{c} G \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{f} \\ \text{---} \\ F \quad a \end{array}, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_b^G \circ \text{mate}(\bar{f} \circ \chi_a^F) = \begin{array}{c} G \quad b \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{f} \\ \text{---} \\ F \quad a \end{array}.$$

The result is an instance of the final statement in Proposition 2.21. \square

A bi-involutive category is an involutive category which is also a dagger category such that $\bar{\cdot}$ is a dagger functor and φ is unitary. A bi-involutive functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between bi-involutive categories is an involutive functor (F, χ) such that F is a dagger functor and χ is unitary.

Corollary 2.23. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a dualizable functor between semisimple bi-involutive categories with unitary traces. Then its unitary adjoint $G := F^*$ is also bi-involutive, making χ^G (as defined in Proposition 2.21) is unitary.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$, and identify F, G with functors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ F & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & G \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

$\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ assemble to a functor

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\cdot} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\cdot} \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

that equips \mathcal{C} with the structure of a bi-involutive category.

Working in the category of dualizable linear or antilinear \dagger -functors from \mathcal{C} to itself (for which unitary adjoint defines a unitary dual functor by Proposition 2.8), χ^G is the 2π -rotation of χ^F . The result follows from the fact that χ^F is unitary, and that the 2π -rotation of a unitary under a unitary dual functor is again unitary. \square

2.4 Involutive lax monoidal functors

An involutive monoidal category [Egg11] is a monoidal category \mathcal{C} equipped with an involutive structure $(\bar{\cdot}, \varphi)$, and coherence natural isomorphisms $\nu_{a,b} : \bar{a} \otimes \bar{b} \rightarrow \overline{b \otimes a}$ and real structure $r : 1 \rightarrow \bar{1}$ (equivalently, $r : 1 \rightarrow 1$) which satisfy

- (associativity) $\nu_{a,c \otimes b} \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes \nu_{b,c}) = \nu_{b \otimes a, c} \circ (\nu_{a,b} \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{c}})$
- (unitality) $\nu_{1,a} \circ (r \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{a}}) = \text{id}_{\bar{a}} = \nu_{a,1} \circ (\text{id}_{\bar{a}} \otimes r)$
- (compatibility with φ) $\varphi_{a \otimes b} = \overline{\nu_{b,a}} \circ \nu_{\bar{a}, \bar{b}} \circ (\varphi_a \otimes \varphi_b)$.

By [Pen20, §3.5], a unitary multitensor category \mathcal{C} has a canonical involutive structure $\bar{\cdot}$. This involutive structure has the notable feature that for any choice of unitary dual functor \vee , the conjugate \bar{x} of an object x is canonically unitarily isomorphic to its unitary dual x^\vee .

Remark 2.24. Note that the tensor product of a conjugate-linear morphism with an ordinary morphism is meaningless. However, if $f : a \rightarrow b$ and $g : a' \rightarrow b'$ are two conjugate-linear morphisms, then we may define $f \otimes g : a \otimes a' \rightarrow b \otimes b$ as the composite

$$a \otimes a' \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} \bar{b} \otimes \bar{b}' \xrightarrow{\nu_{b,b'}} \overline{b' \otimes b}.$$

Recall that a monoidal functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between monoidal categories involves coherences $\iota^F : 1_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F(1_{\mathcal{C}})$, and $\mu_{a,b}^F : F(a) \otimes F(b) \rightarrow F(a \otimes b)$.

Definition 2.25. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be involutive monoidal categories. An involutive (lax) monoidal functor $(F, \mu, \iota, \chi) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an involutive functor $(F, \chi) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $(F, \mu, \iota) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is (lax) monoidal, and such that the following additional conditions hold:

- (unitality) $\chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}} \circ F(r_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \iota = \bar{\iota} \circ r_{\mathcal{D}}$.
- (monoidality) $\chi_{d \otimes c} \circ F(\nu_{c,d}) \circ \mu_{\bar{c}, \bar{d}} = \overline{\mu_{d,c}} \circ \nu_{F(c), F(d)} \circ (\chi_c \otimes \chi_d)$

Recall that the right adjoint $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of a monoidal functor $(F, \mu^F, \iota^F) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is lax monoidal by [Kel74]. Indeed, $\mu_{d_1, d_2}^G : G(d_1) \otimes G(d_2) \rightarrow G(d_1 \otimes d_2)$ is the mate of $(\varepsilon_{d_1} \otimes \varepsilon_{d_2}) \circ (\mu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^F)^{-1}$ under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}(G(d_1) \otimes G(d_2) \rightarrow G(d_1 \otimes d_2)) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(G(d_1) \otimes G(d_2)) \rightarrow d_1 \otimes d_2),$$

and $\iota^G : 1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G(1_{\mathcal{D}})$ is the mate of $(\iota^F)^{-1}$ under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}(1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G(1_{\mathcal{D}})) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}).$$

Proposition 2.26. Let $(F, \mu^F, \iota^F, \chi^F) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an involutive monoidal functor between involutive monoidal categories. Then its right adjoint $(G, \mu, \iota) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is involutive lax monoidal, with ι^G and μ^G as above, and χ^G as in Proposition 2.21.

Proof. We need to check the two conditions in Definition 2.25. To prove unitality, we will argue that $\iota^G \circ r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1} = G(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}) \circ \chi_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}^{-1} \circ \bar{\iota}^G : \bar{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G(1_{\mathcal{D}})$. Taking mates under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G(1_{\mathcal{D}})) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{D}}),$$

the resulting morphisms fit in the following pasting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{F(r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1})} & F(1_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{F(\iota^G)} & FG(1_{\mathcal{D}}) \\
\downarrow F(\bar{\iota}^G) & \searrow \chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}^F & \swarrow \iota^F & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{1_{\mathcal{D}}} \\
F(\bar{G}(1_{\mathcal{D}})) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{G(1_{\mathcal{D}})}^F} & \overline{FG(1_{\mathcal{D}})} & \xleftarrow{F(\iota^G)} & \overline{F(1_{\mathcal{C}})} \\
\downarrow F((\chi_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}^G)^{-1}) & & \swarrow \bar{\varepsilon}_{1_{\mathcal{D}}} & \uparrow \iota^{\overline{F}} & \\
FG(\bar{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\bar{1}_{\mathcal{D}}}} & \bar{1}_{\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{r_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}} & 1_{\mathcal{D}}
\end{array}$$

Going right and then down is the mate of $\iota^G \circ r_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}$, and going down and then right is the mate of $G(r_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}) \circ \chi_{1_{\mathcal{D}}}^{-1} \circ \bar{\iota}^G$. The triangles commute by the definition of ι^G . The bottom

left quadrilateral commutes using the definition of $(\chi^G)^{-1}$. And the middle pentagon is the unitality condition for F in Definition 2.25.

To prove monoidality, we argue that $G(\nu_{d_1, d_2}^D) \circ \mu_{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}} \circ (\chi_{d_1}^{-1} \otimes \chi_{d_2}^{-1}) = \chi_{\overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2}}^{-1} \circ \overline{\mu_{d_2, d_1}} \circ \nu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^C : \overline{G(d_1)} \otimes \overline{G(d_2)} \rightarrow G(\overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1})$ Taking mates under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}(\overline{G(d_1)} \otimes \overline{G(d_2)} \rightarrow G(\overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1})) \cong \mathcal{D}(F(\overline{G(d_1)} \otimes \overline{G(d_2)}) \rightarrow \overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1}),$$

the resulting maps fit in the following pasting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(\overline{G(d_1)} \otimes \overline{G(d_2)}) & \xrightarrow{F((\chi_{d_1}^G)^{-1} \otimes (\chi_{d_2}^G)^{-1})} & F(G(\overline{d_1}) \otimes G(\overline{d_2})) & \xrightarrow{F(\mu_{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}}^G)} & FG(\overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2}) \\
\downarrow F(\nu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^C) & \swarrow \mu_{\overline{G(d_1)}, \overline{G(d_2)}}^F & \uparrow \mu_{G(\overline{d_1}), G(\overline{d_2})}^F & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{\overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2}} \\
F(\overline{G(d_1)}) \otimes F(\overline{G(d_2)}) & \xrightarrow{F((\chi_{d_1}^G)^{-1}) \otimes F((\chi_{d_2}^G)^{-1})} & FG(\overline{d_1}) \otimes FG(\overline{d_2}) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\overline{d_1}} \otimes \varepsilon_{\overline{d_2}}} & \overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2} \\
\downarrow \chi_{G(d_1)}^F \otimes \chi_{G(d_2)}^F & & \downarrow \nu_{FG(d_1), FG(d_2)}^D & & \downarrow \nu_{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}}^D \\
F(\overline{G(d_2)} \otimes \overline{G(d_1)}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{G(d_2) \otimes G(d_1)}^F} & \overline{F(G(d_2) \otimes G(d_1))} & \xleftarrow{\mu_{\overline{G(d_2)}, \overline{G(d_1)}}^F} & \overline{FG(d_2) \otimes FG(d_1)} \\
\downarrow F(\mu_{\overline{d_2}, \overline{d_1}}^G) & & \downarrow F(\mu_{\overline{d_2}, \overline{d_1}}^G) & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{\overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1}} \\
F(\overline{G(d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1})) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{G(d_2) \otimes d_1}^F} & \overline{FG(d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1}) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1}}} & \overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1} \\
\downarrow F((\chi_{\overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2}}^G)^{-1}) & & \downarrow F((\chi_{\overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2}}^G)^{-1}) & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{\overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1}} \\
F(G(\overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2})) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1}}} & & & \overline{d_2} \otimes \overline{d_1}
\end{array}$$

Going right and then down is the mate of $G(\nu_{d_1, d_2}^D) \circ \mu_{\overline{d_1}, \overline{d_2}} \circ (\chi_{d_1}^{-1} \otimes \chi_{d_2}^{-1})$, while going down and then right is the mate of $\chi_{\overline{d_1} \otimes \overline{d_2}}^{-1} \circ \overline{\mu_{d_2, d_1}} \circ \nu_{G(d_1), G(d_2)}^C$. The hexagon in the top left is the monoidality condition for F in Definition 2.25. \square

3 Unitary module tensor categories

3.1 Module tensor categories

Let \mathcal{V} be a braided tensor category. A module tensor category \mathcal{C} over \mathcal{V} is a tensor category \mathcal{C} equipped with an “action” of \mathcal{V} in the following sense:

Definition 3.1. A *module tensor category* over \mathcal{V} is a tensor category \mathcal{C} together with a braided tensor functor $\Phi^Z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ from \mathcal{V} to the Drinfeld center of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{C} are pivotal and if Φ^Z is a pivotal functor, then we call \mathcal{C} a *pivotal module tensor category*.

Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal module tensor category over \mathcal{V} , and let us write $\varphi_a : a \rightarrow a^{\vee\vee}$ for the pivotal structure of \mathcal{C} . A *pointing* of \mathcal{C} is a choice of object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that c and $\Phi^Z(\mathcal{V})$ generate \mathcal{C} under the operations of tensor product, direct sum, and taking direct summands. We also require that our chosen object c comes equipped with a *symmetric self-duality* isomorphism $r_c : c \rightarrow c^\vee$ satisfying $\text{ev}_c \circ (r_c \otimes \text{id}_c) = \text{ev}_{c^\vee} \circ (\varphi_c \otimes r_c)$.⁷


⁷As explained in [HPT23b, Section 3.2.1], this last requirement is not crucial. We could deal with objects that are not self-dual at the cost of equipping all strands with co-orientations (and see [HP23, Section 4.1] for why co-orientations are preferable to orientations).


Construction 3.2. Let (\mathcal{C}, Φ^z) be a pivotal module tensor over \mathcal{V} . Suppose that the functor $\Phi := \text{Forget}_z \circ \Phi^z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a right adjoint, denoted $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ (where $\text{Forget}_z : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the forgetful functor). In [HPT16], we showed that


$$\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$$


has a canonical structure of a *categorified trace*. It comes equipped with the following structure.

- The *unit* $\eta_v : v \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\Phi(v))$ and *counit* $\varepsilon_x : \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x)) \rightarrow x$ of the adjunction.



- A *multiplication map*  $= \mu_{x,y} : \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x \otimes y)$.

- A *unit map*  $= i : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$.

- A *traciator* natural isomorphism  $= \tau_{x,y} : \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x \otimes y) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(y \otimes x)$.

This structure satisfies various properties listed in [HPT16, §4], many of which are summarized in [HPT23b, §5.1].

Definition 3.3. A 1-morphism $(\mathcal{C}_1, \Phi_1^z, \varphi^1, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \Phi_2^z, \varphi^2, x_2)$ of pivotal pointed module tensor categories is a pair (G, γ) consisting of:

- a pivotal tensor functor $G : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ such that $G(x_1) = x_2$ and $r_2 = \chi_{x_1} \circ G(r_1)$, where $r_i : x_i \rightarrow x_i^\vee$ is the symmetric self-duality, and χ is as in Definition 2.16.
- an *action coherence* monoidal natural isomorphism $\gamma : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow G \circ \Phi_1$ satisfying the following compatibility with the half-braidings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Phi_2(v) \otimes G(c) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_v \otimes \text{id}} & G(\Phi_1(v)) \otimes G(c) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G(\Phi_1(v) \otimes c) \\ \downarrow e_{\Phi_2(v), G(c)} & & & & \downarrow G(e_{\Phi_1(v), c}) \\ G(c) \otimes \Phi_2(v) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \gamma_v} & G(c) \otimes G(\Phi_1(v)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & G(c \otimes \Phi_1(v)) \end{array}$$

Given two 1-morphisms $(G, \gamma^G), (H, \gamma^H)$ between pointed module tensor categories, by [HPT23b, Lem. 3.6], there is at most one monoidal natural transformation $\kappa : (G, \gamma^G) \Rightarrow (H, \gamma^H)$ satisfying the following compatibility with the action coherence morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_2(v) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_v^H} & H(\Phi_1(v)) \\ & \searrow \gamma_v^G & \nearrow \kappa_{\Phi_1(v)} \\ & G(\Phi_1(v)) & \end{array}$$

When such a κ exists, it is necessarily invertible. Hence the 2-category of pointed pivotal module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} is 1-truncated, i.e., equivalent to a 1-category.

3.2 Unitary module tensor categories

For the remainder of this section, we assume that $(\mathcal{V}, \vee_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a braided unitary tensor category with a fixed unitary dual functor, and let $\text{tr}^{\mathcal{V}}$ be the associated right pivotal trace.

Definition 3.4. Let \mathcal{V} be as above. A *unitary module (multi)tensor category* over \mathcal{V} is a unitary (multi)tensor category \mathcal{C} with a unitary dual functor $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$, a faithful state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$, and a braided pivotal dagger tensor functor $\Phi^z : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{C})$.

We call a unitary module multitensor category *spherical* if $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a spherical state (Definition 2.12). See Warning 2.15. Observe that, by Lemma 2.17, the existence of a non-zero spherical unitary \mathcal{V} -module tensor category implies that \mathcal{V} is ribbon, i.e., that the unitary dual functor on \mathcal{V} is spherical.

The choices of $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ endow \mathcal{C} with a unitary trace

$$\text{Tr}^{\mathcal{C}} := \psi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \text{tr}_R^{\vee}. \quad (15)$$

Moreover, $(\mathcal{C}, \text{Tr}^{\mathcal{C}})$ is a pivotal module C^* category (aka unitary module category) [GMP+23, §3.6.2] for the underlying unitary tensor category of \mathcal{V} (without the braiding). So unitary module tensor categories are, in particular, unitary module categories.

Remark 3.5. Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}}, \Phi^z)$ is a unitary module multitensor category over \mathcal{V} . If Φ admits a right adjoint, then by Lemma 2.5, Φ admits a right unitary adjoint $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ which is automatically bi-involutive lax monoidal by Proposition 2.26 and Corollary 2.23. Thus $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ comes equipped with canonical unitary isomorphisms $\{\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} : \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\bar{c}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)}\}_{c \in \mathcal{C}}$ satisfying the axioms in Definitions 2.20 and 2.25.

We warn the reader that $\text{Tr}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is a trace in the sense of Definition 2.1, whereas $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a categorified trace as described in Construction 3.2.

As before, a *pointing* of \mathcal{C} is a choice of object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that c and $\Phi^z(\mathcal{V})$ generate \mathcal{C} under the operations of tensor product, orthogonal direct sum, and taking orthogonal direct summands. We also require that our symmetric self-duality $r_c : c \rightarrow c^{\vee}$ is unitary; this is also called a *real structure* for c .

Definition 3.6. A 1-morphism $(G, \gamma) : (\mathcal{C}_1, \vee_1, \psi_1, \Phi_1^z, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \vee_2, \psi_2, \Phi_2^z, x_2)$ of pointed unitary module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} is a 1-morphism of underlying pointed pivotal module tensor categories such that

- G is a \dagger -tensor functor,
- the action coherence monoidal natural isomorphism $\gamma : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow G \circ \Phi_1$ is unitary and involutive: $\forall v \in \mathcal{V}, \overline{\gamma_v} \circ \chi_v^{\Phi_2} = \chi_{\Phi_1(v)}^G \circ G(\chi_v^{\Phi_1}) \circ \gamma_{\overline{v}} : \Phi_2(\overline{v}) \rightarrow \overline{G(\Phi_1(v))}$,
- $\psi_2 \circ G = \psi_1$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$.


3.3 The map i^\dagger and a formula for $\text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})}^\dagger$

In §4.3 below, we will define unitarity for an anchored planar algebra in terms of a certain pairing on $\mathcal{P}[n]$ from the anchored planar algebra being equal to $\text{coev}_{\mathcal{P}[n]}^\dagger$.

Fix a unitary \mathcal{V} -module multitensor category $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}}, \Phi^z)$ such that $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admits a right unitary adjoint $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$. In this section, we will study $i^\dagger : \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(1_{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{V}}$, and prove the important formula (18) for $\text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{C})}^\dagger$. We represent i^\dagger diagrammatically by

$$i^\dagger = \text{capped tube diagram}$$

In Lemma 3.11, we will show that if $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is spherical, then all isotopies are allowed for strings on the capped tube:



Consider the finite dimensional abelian C^* -algebra $\mathcal{C}(1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}})$. By unitary adjunction, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}(1_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \mathcal{V}(1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})) \tag{16}$$

$$f \mapsto \text{capped tube with } f \text{ inside} = \text{mate}(f) = \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(f) \circ i$$


hence the right hand side is also equipped with the structure of an abelian C^* -algebra. The multiplication and $*$ -structure on the right hand side of (16) are given by

$$x \cdot y = \mu_{1_{\mathcal{C}}, 1_{\mathcal{C}}} \circ (x \otimes y) \quad \text{and} \quad x^* = (\chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_{\mathcal{C}}))^{-1} \circ \overline{x} \circ r_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

To see that the isomorphism (16) intertwines the two $*$ -structures, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(f^\dagger) \circ i &= (\chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_{\mathcal{C}}))^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(f)} \circ i \circ r_{\mathcal{V}}, & \text{equivalently} \\ \chi_{1_{\mathcal{C}}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(f^\dagger) \circ i &= \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(f)} \circ i \circ r_{\mathcal{V}}, \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $f, g : v \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)$, and consider the morphism



$$= \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{coev}_c^\dagger) \circ \mu_{c, \bar{c}} \circ (\text{id}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)} \otimes (\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}})^{-1}) \circ (f \otimes \bar{g}) \circ \text{coev}_v. \quad (19)$$


Its mate under (16) is

$$\varepsilon_{1c} \circ \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{coev}_c^\dagger)) \circ \Phi(\mu_{c, \bar{c}}) \circ \Phi((\text{id}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)} \otimes (\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}})^{-1}) \circ (f \otimes \bar{g})) \circ \Phi(\text{coev}_v).$$

We claim that the above morphism is equal to

$$\text{coev}_c^\dagger \circ (\text{mate}(f) \otimes \overline{\text{mate}(g)}) \circ \text{coev}_{\Phi(v)}. \quad (20)$$

Indeed, in the diagrammatic notation of [HPT16], we check:



$$\begin{aligned} \text{mate}((19)) &= \Phi(\text{coev}_v) \circ \Phi(f) \circ \Phi((\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}})^{-1} \circ \bar{g}) \circ \varepsilon_{1c} \\ &= \text{coev}_{\Phi(v)} \circ \Phi(f) \circ \Phi((\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}})^{-1} \circ \bar{g}) \circ \varepsilon_{c \otimes \bar{c}} \\ &\stackrel{[\text{HPT16, Lem. 4.6}]}{=} \Phi(f) \circ \varepsilon_c \circ \Phi((\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}})^{-1} \circ \bar{g}) \circ \varepsilon_{\bar{c}} \\ &\stackrel{(\text{Lem. 2.22})}{=} \text{mate}(f) \otimes \overline{\text{mate}(g)} \circ \text{coev}_{\Phi(v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Lemma 3.7, $i^\dagger \circ (19) = \psi_c((20))$. By (15) and the definition of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, this is equal to

$$\langle \text{mate}(f), \text{mate}(g) \rangle_{C(\Phi(v) \rightarrow c)} = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}(v \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}(c))} = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(fg^\dagger) = \text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)}^\dagger \circ (f \otimes \bar{g}) \circ \text{coev}_v.$$


Hence

$$i^\dagger \circ (19) = \text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c)}^\dagger \circ (f \otimes \bar{g}) \circ \text{coev}_v \quad \forall f, g : v \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(c).$$


The result follows by Lemma 3.8. \square

Remark 3.10. The problem of showing the pairing on the right hand side in the statement of Proposition 3.9 is non-degenerate was left open in [HPT16, Rem. 5.4]. As it is equal to $\text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_V(c)}^\dagger$ (up to suppressing χ), this open question is now resolved in the unitary setting.

Lemma 3.11. *If ψ_C is spherical, then the following maps $\mathbf{Tr}_V(c \otimes \bar{c}) \rightarrow 1_V$ are equal:*



Note that the first and third tubes above represent the same morphism regardless of whether ψ_C is spherical or not, because the following two pictures are isotopic:



Proof. By the above discussion, it is enough to prove the first equality. Two maps $f, g : v \rightarrow 1_V$ are equal if and only if $f \circ h = g \circ h$ for all $h : 1_V \rightarrow v$, so we fix $h : 1_V \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(c \otimes \bar{c})$ and wish to show that

$$i^\dagger \circ \left(\text{tube with blue line on left} \right) = i^\dagger \circ \left(\text{tube with blue line on bottom} \right) \quad (21)$$

By Lemma 3.7, this is the same as showing that

$$\psi_C \left(\text{tube with blue line on left} \right) = \psi_C \left(\text{tube with blue line on bottom} \right).$$

The result follows since

$$\left(\text{tube with blue line on left} \right) = \left(\text{tube with blue line on bottom} \right) = \left(\text{tube with blue line on left and a loop} \right)$$

and

$$\psi_C \left(\text{tube with blue line on left and a loop} \right) \stackrel{(\psi_C \text{ spherical})}{=} \psi_C \left(\text{tube with blue line on bottom} \right).$$

□

3.4 Unitarity of the traciator

Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}}, \Phi^z)$ is a unitary module multitensor category over \mathcal{V} and $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is the right unitary adjoint of $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Recall that each object $\Phi(v)$ comes with a unitary half-braiding $e_{\Phi(v),x} : \Phi(v) \otimes x \rightarrow x \otimes \Phi(v)$. In this section, we explore an extra coherence between these unitary half-braidings and the involutive structure of the categorified trace.

Lemma 3.12. *We have the following coherence between the half-braiding $e_{\Phi(v),\bullet}$ and χ_v^Φ :*

$$(\text{id}_{\bar{b}} \otimes \chi_v^\Phi) \circ e_{\Phi(\bar{v}),\bar{b}} = [\nu_{b,\Phi(v)}^{-1} \circ \overline{e_{\Phi(v),b}^{-1}} \circ \nu_{\Phi(v),b}] \circ (\chi_v^\Phi \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{b}}).$$

Proof. Letting $(\overline{\Phi(v)}, e_{\overline{\Phi(v)},\bullet})$ be the conjugate of $(\Phi(v), e_{\Phi(v),\bullet})$ in $Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$, we have $e_{\overline{\Phi(v)},b} = \nu_{b,\Phi(v)}^{-1} \circ \overline{e_{\Phi(v),b}^{-1}} \circ \nu_{\Phi(v),b}$, so the right hand side in the statement of the lemma simplifies to $e_{\overline{\Phi(v)},b} \circ (\chi_v^\Phi \otimes \text{id}_{\bar{b}})$. (Note that since the half-braiding is unitary, we have $\overline{e_{\Phi(v),b}^{-1}} = e_{\Phi(v),b}^\vee$.) The result holds since $\chi_v^\Phi : \Phi(\bar{v}) \rightarrow \overline{\Phi(v)}$ is a morphism in $Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$. \square

The following lemma expresses the coherence from Lemma 3.12 in terms of traciators.

Lemma 3.13. *We have the following compatibility of the traciator with the involutive structure of $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$:*

$$\overline{\tau_{x,y}} \circ \chi_{x \otimes y} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu_{y,x}) = \chi_{y \otimes x} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu_{x,y}) \circ \tau_{\bar{x},\bar{y}}^{-1} \quad (22)$$

Proof. We prove this equality after taking inverses on both sides, and after taking mates under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(y \otimes x)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\bar{y} \otimes \bar{x})) \cong \mathcal{C}(\Phi(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(y \otimes x)}) \rightarrow \bar{y} \otimes \bar{x}).$$

The mate of the inverse of the left hand side of (22) is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mate}(\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu_{y,x}^{-1}) \circ \chi_{x \otimes y}^{-1} \circ \overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \text{mate}(\chi_{x \otimes y}^{-1}) \circ \Phi(\overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{x \otimes y}} \circ \Phi(\chi_{x \otimes y}^{-1}) \circ \Phi(\overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{x \otimes y}} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x \otimes y)}^\Phi \circ \Phi(\overline{\tau_{x,y}^{-1}}) \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{x \otimes y}} \circ \overline{\Phi(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(y \otimes x)}^\Phi \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{x \otimes y}} \circ \overline{\Phi(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(y \otimes x)}^\Phi \\ &= \nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\text{mate}(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} \circ \chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(y \otimes x)}^\Phi \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality holds by the last equation in Proposition 2.21.

The mate of the inverse of the right hand side of (22) is $\text{mate}(\tau_{\bar{x},\bar{y}}) \circ \Phi(\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu_{x,y}^{-1})) \circ \Phi(\chi_{y \otimes x}^{-1})$. Expanding, we get the map going right and then down in the pasting diagram below. To

save space, we omit tensor symbols and subscripts.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Phi(\overline{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\chi^{-1})} & \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\overline{y \cdot x})) & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\nu^{-1}))} & \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\overline{x \cdot y})) \\
\downarrow \chi^\Phi & \searrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \mathrm{ev}^\dagger & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \mathrm{ev}^\dagger & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \mathrm{ev}^\dagger \\
\overline{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x))} & \xrightarrow{\chi^\Phi \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \Phi(\overline{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)}) \cdot \overline{y} \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\chi^{-1}) \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\overline{y \cdot x})) \cdot \overline{y} \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\nu^{-1})) \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\overline{x \cdot y})) \cdot \overline{y} \cdot y \\
\downarrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \mathrm{ev}^\dagger & & \downarrow e \cdot \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow e \cdot \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow e \cdot \mathrm{id} \\
\overline{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x))} \cdot \overline{y} \cdot y & & \overline{y} \cdot \Phi(\overline{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)}) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \cdot \Phi(\chi^{-1}) \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \overline{y} \cdot \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\overline{y \cdot x})) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \cdot \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\nu^{-1})) \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \overline{y} \cdot \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(\overline{x \cdot y})) \cdot y \\
\downarrow \nu \cdot \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \chi^\Phi \cdot \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{id} \\
\overline{y} \cdot \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\nu^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \overline{y} \cdot \Phi(\overline{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x)}) \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \cdot \overline{\varepsilon} \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \overline{y} \cdot \overline{y \cdot x} \cdot y & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \cdot \nu^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{id}} & \overline{y} \cdot \overline{x} \cdot \overline{y} \cdot y \\
\downarrow e^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{id} & & & & & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \cdot \mathrm{ev} \\
\overline{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \cdot x))} \cdot \overline{y} \cdot y & & & & & & \overline{y} \cdot \overline{x}
\end{array}$$

It remains to prove that the map going down and then right in the above pasting diagram is equal to $\nu_{y,x}^{-1} \circ \overline{\mathrm{mate}(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} \circ \chi_{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)}^\Phi$. Equivalently, we must show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{mate}(\tau_{x,y}^{-1})} &= \nu_{y,x} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\overline{y \otimes x}} \otimes \mathrm{ev}_y) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\overline{y}} \otimes (\nu_{x,y}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varepsilon_{y \otimes x}}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_y) \\
&\quad \circ ((\nu_{y, \Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x))}^{-1} \circ \overline{e_{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)), y}^{-1}}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_y) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\overline{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x))}} \otimes \mathrm{ev}_y^\dagger).
\end{aligned}$$

By the coherences for $(\overline{}, \nu)$, the above equality is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{mate}(\tau_{x,y}^{-1}) &= (\mathrm{coev}_y^\dagger \otimes \mathrm{id}_{x \otimes y}) \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\overline{y}} \otimes \varepsilon_{y \otimes x} \otimes \mathrm{id}_y) \\
&\quad \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\overline{y}} \otimes e_{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x)), y}^{-1}) \circ (\mathrm{coev}_y \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\Phi(\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(y \otimes x))}),
\end{aligned}$$


which is exactly [HPT16, Lem. 4.14]. \square

In Jones' planar algebras [Jon21], unitarity of the rotation plays a crucial role. Under the equivalence between anchored planar algebras and pivotal module tensor categories, the analog of rotation is given by the traciator. The next result says that traciators, and thus rotations in an anchored planar algebra, are unitary assuming sphericity.

Proposition 3.14. *If the state ψ_C is spherical, then the traciator $\tau_{a,b}$ is unitary.*

Proof. Since $\tau_{a,b}$ is invertible, it suffices to show that $\tau_{a,b}^\dagger \tau_{a,b} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(a \otimes b)}$. Since $\tau^\dagger = \overline{\tau}^\vee$, by Lemma 3.13 (and suppressing various coherences) we have $\tau^\dagger = (\tau^{-1})^\vee$. Thus:

$$\tau_{a,b}^\dagger \tau_{a,b} = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1: A box labeled } \mathrm{coev}_{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(b \otimes a)}^\dagger \text{ at the top, connected by two vertical strands (red and blue) to a box labeled } \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(a \otimes b)}^\dagger \text{ at the bottom. The strands cross each other.} \end{array} \stackrel{(\text{Prop. 3.9})}{=} \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 2: A box labeled } \mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(a \otimes b)}^\dagger \text{ at the bottom, connected by two vertical strands (red and blue) to a box labeled } \mathrm{coev}_{\mathrm{Tr}_\nu(b \otimes a)}^\dagger \text{ at the top. The strands form a loop that crosses itself.} \end{array}$$




4 Unitary anchored planar algebras

4.1 Planar algebras

We present here an abridged introduction to planar tangles, the planar operad, and planar algebras, and refer the reader to [HPT23b, §2.1] for an extended treatment.

An *planar tangle* consists of a collection of (round) parametrized disc D_1, \dots, D_n inside some bigger parametrised disc D_0 , along with a collection of non-intersecting paths in $D_0 \setminus (D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k)$ called *strings* that start and end at the boundary of one of the circles, or are themselves closed loops. Here, a disc D_i is called *parametrised* if $D_i = \varphi_i(\mathbb{D})$ for some chosen affine linear maps $\varphi_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow D_i$ from the standard unit disc. The points $\varphi_i(1) \in \partial D_i$ are called *anchor points*, and the strands are required to not start or end on the anchor points. Here is an example of a planar tangle, where we have marked the anchor points in red:



There is a composition operation for planar tangles

$$S \circ_i T := S \cup \phi_i(T)$$

when the number of external string boundary points of T agrees with the number of internal string boundary points in the i -th disk of S . We shrink the tangle T , insert it into the i -th input disk of S using the map ϕ_i , and match up the boundary points of the strings. For an explicit example, see [HPT23b, Ex. 2.2].

The collection of isotopy classes of planar tangles with the operation of tangle composition is called the *planar operad*. A *planar algebra* is an algebra for this operad. Unpacking, we have a vector space $\mathcal{P}[n]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, and each (isotopy class of) planar tangle T gives a linear map

$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0].$$

Here, n_i for $1 \leq i \leq k$ is the number of boundary points of strings on the i -th input disk of T , and n_0 is the number of boundary points of strings on the output disk of T . For future convenience, we abbreviate these properties by saying T has *type* $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$.

Given planar tangles S, T of types $(m_1, \dots, m_j; m_0), (n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$ respectively with $n_0 = m_i$, composition of the linear maps $Z(S), Z(T)$ must be compatible with composition of planar tangles:


$$Z(S \circ_i T) = Z(S) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_{i-1}]} \otimes Z(T) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_{i+1}] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_j]}).$$

We also require that the identity tangle must act as the identity linear map. Finally, letting T^σ be the tangle obtained by renumbering the input disks of T by some permutation σ , we should have $Z(T^\sigma) = Z(T) \circ \sigma$ (where we also use σ to denote the symmetric braiding of the vector spaces $\mathcal{P}[n_i]$ corresponding to the permutation σ).

Remark 4.1. The notion of a planar algebra makes sense in any symmetric tensor category.

4.2 Anchored planar algebras

We present here an abridged introduction to the anchored planar operad and anchored planar algebras, and we refer the reader to [HPT23b, §2.2] for an extended treatment. An *anchored planar tangle* is a thing like this:



It differs from a planar tangle in that each internal anchor point is connected to the external anchor point by a red *anchor line* which stays in $D_0 \setminus \{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$. These anchor lines are transparent to the strings, but they may not intersect each other.

Like before, we say an anchored planar tangle T has *type* $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$ if T has k input disks, the i -th input disk of T meets n_i string boundary points for $i \leq 1 \leq k$, and the output disk of T meets n_0 string boundary points.

The *anchored planar operad* is the collection of isotopy classes of anchored planar tangles, with the operation of tangle composition. Here, given anchored planar tangles S, T of type $(m_1, \dots, m_j; m_0), (n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$ respectively with $n_0 = m_i$, the composition operation $S \circ_i T$ differs from the previous operation in that we must also give new anchor lines. We do so by replacing the i -th anchor line of T by k parallel lines, and composing each one of them with

the i -th anchor line of S , as illustrated in the following picture:

(23)

Recall from [HPT16, Appendix A.2] that a braided pivotal tensor category is also rigid balanced.⁸ Hence our pivotal structure $\varphi^\mathcal{V}$ induces a twist by

$$\theta_v := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \text{loop} \\ | \\ \boxed{\varphi_v} \\ | \\ v \end{array} = (\text{id}_v \otimes \text{ev}_{v,v}) \circ (\beta_{v,v,v} \otimes \text{id}_{v,v}) \circ (\text{id}_{v,v} \otimes \text{coev}_v) \circ \varphi_v. \quad (24)$$

(By [HPT16, Prop. A.4], a braided unitary tensor category $(\mathcal{V}, \beta, \vee)$ is ribbon if and only if the φ induced by \vee is a spherical structure.)

Definition 4.2. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \beta, \varphi^\mathcal{V})$ be a braided pivotal tensor category. An anchored planar algebra over \mathcal{V} is an algebra in \mathcal{V} over the anchored planar operad. Unpacking, we have a sequence $\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}[n])_{n \geq 0}$ of objects of \mathcal{V} , along with operations

$$Z(T) : \mathcal{P}[n_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[n_k] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}[n_0]$$

for every isotopy class of anchored planar tangle T of type $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$, subject to the following axioms:

- (identity) the identity anchored tangle acts as the identity morphism
- (composition) if S and T are anchored planar tangles of type $(m_1, \dots, m_j; m_0)$ and $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$, and if $n_0 = m_i$, then

$$Z(S \circ_i T) = Z(S) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_1] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_{i-1}]} \otimes Z(T) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[m_{i+1}] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{P}[m_j]}) \quad (25)$$

- (anchor dependence) the following relations hold:

– (braiding) $Z \left(\begin{array}{c} i \quad j \quad k \\ \text{tangle} \end{array} \right) = Z \left(\begin{array}{c} i \quad j \quad k \\ \text{tangle} \end{array} \right) \circ \beta_{\mathcal{P}[j], \mathcal{P}[i+k]}$

⁸It is in fact so in two ways.

$$- \text{ (twist) } \quad Z \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \circlearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \circlearrowleft \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right) = \theta_{\mathcal{P}[n]}.$$

(Here, a little number n next to a string to indicates n parallel strings.) We call $\mathcal{P}[n]$ the n^{th} box object of the anchored planar algebra \mathcal{P} .

Notation 4.3. In the sections below, we use the notation of an anchored planar tangle T inserted into a coupon to denote the map $Z(T)$ in \mathcal{V} afforded by an anchored planar algebra. The strings in these diagrams are usually drawn horizontally for convenience, and we read them *left to right*. For example:

$$\begin{array}{c} w \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[p+n] \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{\text{---} \\ \circlearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \circlearrowleft \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---}} \\ \text{---} \\ \mathcal{P}[n+k] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[p+k] \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \circlearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \circlearrowleft \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}} \\ \text{---} \end{array} = (\text{id}_w \otimes Z(T)) \circ (g \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{P}[n+k]}) \circ f$$

where T is the tangle in the coupon.

Definition 4.4. A morphism $H : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ of anchored planar algebras is a sequence of morphisms $(H[n] : \mathcal{P}_1[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2[n])_{n \geq 0}$ such that for every anchored planar tangle T of type $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$, $H[n_0] \circ Z(T) = Z(\bar{T}) \circ (H[n_1] \otimes \dots \otimes H[n_k])$.

4.3 Unitary anchored planar algebras

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \vee, \beta)$ be a unitary tensor category equipped with a chosen unitary dual functor \vee and a unitary braiding $\beta = \{\beta_{u,v} : u \otimes v \rightarrow v \otimes u\}_{u,v \in \mathcal{V}}$ satisfying the usual axioms. Using the canonical pivotal structure (11) induced by \vee , the formula (24) for the twist simplifies to

$$\theta_v = \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \text{---} \\ \circlearrowright \\ \text{---} \\ \boxed{\varphi_v} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \stackrel{(11)}{=} (\text{id}_v \otimes \text{coev}_v^\dagger) \circ (\beta_{v,v} \otimes \text{id}_{v^\vee}) \circ (\text{id}_v \otimes \text{coev}_v).$$

Definition 4.5. A unitary anchored planar algebra in \mathcal{V} is a triple $(\mathcal{P}, r, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ consisting of an anchored planar algebra \mathcal{P} in \mathcal{V} , a \dagger -structure r , which is a family of real structures $r_n : \mathcal{P}[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}[n]$ for each $n \geq 0$, and a morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}[0] \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{V}}$ called a faithful state satisfying $\dots \overset{1_{\mathcal{V}}}{\circlearrowright} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \overset{1_{\mathcal{V}}}{\circlearrowleft} \dots = \text{id}_{1_{\mathcal{V}}}$ such that:

(P1) For every anchored planar tangle T of type $(n_1, \dots, n_k; n_0)$,

$$\overline{Z(T)} \circ \nu^{(k)} \circ (r_{n_k} \otimes \dots \otimes r_{n_1}) = r_{n_0} \circ Z(\bar{T}),$$

where \bar{T} is the reflection of T , and $\nu^{(k)} : (\overline{\cdot} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{\cdot}) \rightarrow \overline{(\cdot \otimes \dots \otimes \cdot)}$ is an appropriate composite of ν 's. When $k = 0$, we have $\overline{Z(T)} \circ r_{n_0} = r_{n_0} \circ Z(\bar{T})$ where $r_{n_0} : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \overline{1_{\mathcal{V}}}$ is the real structure of $1_{\mathcal{V}}$. (Suppressing the \dagger -structure r , this axiom reads $Z(\bar{T}) = \overline{Z(T)}$.)

(P2) For every $n \geq 0$, we have an equality of pairings

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[n] \\ \hline \mathcal{P}[n] \quad r_n^{-1} \quad \mathcal{P}[n] \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of a sphere with two circles and a red line} \\ \text{Label } n \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ \dots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \text{coev}_{\mathcal{P}[n]}^{\dagger}. \quad (26)$$

If $(\mathcal{V}, \vee, \beta)$ is moreover ribbon (so that \vee is spherical), we say that a unitary anchored planar algebra $(\mathcal{P}, r, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ is *spherical* if

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[2] \\ \hline \text{Diagram of a sphere with two circles and a red line} \\ \text{Label } n \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ \dots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[2] \\ \hline \text{Diagram of a sphere with two circles and a red line} \\ \text{Label } n \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ \dots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array}.$$

In this paper, we do not always assume our anchored planar algebras to be spherical.

Definition 4.6. A morphism $H : (\mathcal{P}_1, r^1, \psi_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_2, r^2, \psi_2)$ of unitary anchored planar algebras is a morphism H of anchored planar algebras satisfying $\overline{H[n]} \circ r_n^1 = r_n^2 \circ H[n]$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $\psi_1 = \psi_2 \circ H[0]$.

When $\mathcal{V} = \text{Hilb}_{\text{fd}}$, the definition of unitary anchored planar algebra reduces to the definition of a unitary planar algebra (also known as a semisimple C^* planar algebra) from [GMP⁺23], but equipped with a faithful state. (The planar algebra of a bipartite graph [Jon00] is an example of a C^* planar algebra that is naturally equipped with a faithful state.)

The following lemma is straightforward and left to the reader.

Lemma 4.7. *If a unitary anchored planar algebra is spherical, then for every $n \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \hline \text{Diagram of a sphere with two circles and a red line} \\ \text{Label } n \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ \dots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ \hline \text{Diagram of a sphere with two circles and a red line} \\ \text{Label } n \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \\ \dots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array}.$$

□

Proposition 4.8. *Let $(\mathcal{P}, r, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ be a spherical unitary anchored planar algebra. Let A be an annular anchored planar tangle, and denote the inside-out reflection by A^{\dagger} . Then $Z(A)^{\dagger} = Z(A^{\dagger})$.*

Corollary 4.9. $Z \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram of a sphere with two circles and a red line} \\ \text{Label } i \text{ and } j \end{array} \right)$ is unitary.

Proof of Proposition 4.8. Suppose A has m input strings and n output strings. Since $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is spherical, the morphism

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{Z(A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{r_{n-1}^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{Z(A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{r_{m-1}^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{Z(A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{Z(A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \end{array}$$

is equal to

$$= \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{Z(A^\dagger)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{r_{m-1}^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \boxed{\text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \psi_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \text{---} \end{array}$$

Indeed, this can be checked directly using sphericity of $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ by writing A as a composite of generating annular anchored planar tangles (see §5.1 below), and ‘pulling them over’ one at a time. By (26), the above equality simplifies (after a 90° rotation of the string diagrams) to

$$\mathcal{P}[n] \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{Z(A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \end{array} \right. = \mathcal{P}[n] \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{Z(A^\dagger)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \end{array} \right. \cdot$$

Precomposing with $\text{id} \otimes \text{ev}_{\mathcal{P}[m]}^\dagger$, we get the desired equality

$$Z(A)^\dagger = \overline{Z(A)}^\vee = \mathcal{P}[n] \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{Z(A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \end{array} \right. = \mathcal{P}[n] \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \boxed{Z(A^\dagger)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[m]} \text{---} \end{array} \right. = Z(A^\dagger). \quad \square$$

5 Extending the equivalence

We now prove Theorem A, extending the equivalence from [HPT23b, Thm. A] to an equivalence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Unitary anchored planar} \\ \text{algebras in } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Pointed unitary module multitensor} \\ \text{categories over } \mathcal{V} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Recall that, by definition (see §3.2), a unitary module multitensor category comes with a state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ and requires that the \mathcal{V} -action $\Phi^{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow Z^\dagger(\mathcal{C})$ is pivotal.

5.1 From unitary module tensor categories to unitary anchored planar algebras

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Phi^{\mathcal{Z}}, \vee_{\mathcal{C}}, \psi_{\mathcal{C}})$ be a pointed unitary module multitensor category over \mathcal{V} , with real generator $(x, r_x) \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ the unitary right adjoint of $\Phi = \text{Forget}_{\mathcal{Z}} \circ \Phi^{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We may then construct an ordinary anchored planar algebra, as in [HPT23b, Thm. 5.1]. This anchored planar algebra is completely determined by the following information:

- $\mathcal{P}[n] := \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x^{\otimes n})$
- $Z(\text{red dot in circle}) := \text{cylinder} = i : 1_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}[0]$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with a loop, } i \text{ and } n-i \text{ labels}\right) := \text{cylinder with a blue loop, } x^{\otimes i} \text{ and } x^{\otimes n-i} \text{ labels}$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with a cup, } i \text{ and } n-i \text{ labels}\right) := \text{cylinder with a blue cup, } x^{\otimes i} \text{ and } x^{\otimes n-i} \text{ labels}$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with two holes, } i \text{ and } j \text{ labels}\right) = \text{Y-shaped object, } x^{\otimes i} \text{ and } x^{\otimes j} \text{ labels}$
- $Z\left(\text{circle with a hole, } i \text{ and } j \text{ labels}\right) = \text{cylinder with a blue loop, } x^{\otimes i} \text{ and } x^{\otimes j} \text{ labels}$

5.1.1 Adding the dagger structure

It remains to construct the \dagger -structure r and the state $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ on \mathcal{P} , satisfying the conditions listed in Definition 4.5.

Definition 5.1. For $n \geq 0$, we define $r_n : \mathcal{P}[n] \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}[n]}$ to be the composite

$$\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x^{\otimes n}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(r_x^{\otimes n})} \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\overline{x}^{\otimes n}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\nu)} \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\overline{x^{\otimes n}}) \xrightarrow{\chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}}} \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(x^{\otimes n})}.$$

Lemma 5.2. $\overline{r}_n \circ r_n = \varphi_{\mathcal{P}[n]}$.

Proof. Going right and then down in the following commutative diagram is $\overline{r_n} \circ r_n$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{x^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V}} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n})} \\
& \nearrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes n}) & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{r_x^{\otimes n}}) & & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{r_x^{\otimes n}}) & & \downarrow \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes n})} \\
\mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V(\varphi_x^{\otimes n})} & \mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{x^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{x^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\overline{x^{\otimes n}}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V}} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{x^{\otimes n}})} \\
& \searrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(\varphi_x^{\otimes n}) & & \downarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{\nu}) & & & \downarrow \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu)} \\
& & & \mathbf{Tr}_V(\overline{x^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\overline{x^{\otimes n}}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V}} & & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n})} \\
& & & & \searrow \text{Prop. 2.21} & & \downarrow \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \\
& & & & & & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n})} \\
& & & & \searrow \varphi_{\mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n})} & & \\
& & & & & & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n})}
\end{array}$$


This is equal to the curved arrow $\varphi_{\mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n})} = \varphi_{\mathcal{P}[n]}$. □

Proposition 5.3. *The pair (\mathcal{P}, r) satisfies (P1):*

$$\overline{Z(T)} \circ \nu^{(k)} \circ (r_{n_k} \otimes \cdots \otimes r_{n_1}) = r_{n_0} \circ Z(\overline{T}).$$

(When $k = 0$, $\overline{Z(T)} \circ r_V = r_{n_0} \circ Z(\overline{T})$ where $r_V : 1_V \rightarrow \overline{1_V}$ is the real structure of 1_V .)

Proof. This essentially follows from the fact that \mathbf{Tr}_V is involutive lax monoidal by Proposition 2.26. It is enough to check (P1) on each of the following generating tangles:



First, since $\overline{u} = u$, by unitality,

$$\overline{Z(u)} \circ r_V = \overline{i} \circ r_V = \chi_1^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_C) \circ i = r_0 \circ Z(\overline{u}).$$

Since $\overline{m_{i,j}} = m_{j,i}$, by monoidality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{Z(m_{i,j})} \circ \nu \circ (r_j \otimes r_i) &= \overline{\mu_{i,j}} \circ \nu \circ ((\chi_{x^{\otimes j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes j})) \otimes (\chi_{x^{\otimes i}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes i}))) \\
&= \overline{\mu_{i,j}} \circ \nu \circ (\chi_{x^{\otimes j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \otimes \chi_{x^{\otimes i}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V}) \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu)) \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes j}) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes i})) \\
&= \chi_{x^{\otimes i+j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \circ \mu_{x^{\otimes j}, x^{\otimes i}} \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu)) \circ (\mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes j}) \otimes \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes i})) \\
&= \chi_{x^{\otimes i+j}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes i+j}) \circ \mu_{j,i} \\
&= r_{i+j} \circ Z(\overline{m_{i,j}}).
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality above uses the lax involutive property of \mathbf{Tr}_V , and the fourth equality uses naturality of μ . Since $\overline{a_i} = a_{n-i}$ (when $Z(a_i) : \mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n+2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V(x^{\otimes n})$), we see

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{Z(a_i)} \circ r_{n+2} &= \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V(\text{id}_{x^{\otimes i}} \otimes \text{ev}_x \otimes x^{\otimes n-i})} \circ \chi_{x^{\otimes n+2}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes n+2}) \\
&= \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(r_x^{\otimes n}) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V(\text{id}_{x^{\otimes n-i}} \otimes \text{ev}_x \otimes x^{\otimes i}) \\
&= r_n \circ Z(a_{n-i}).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for the a_i^\dagger is similar and omitted. (It also follows formally from the proof for a_i as $\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}$ is a dagger functor and $\chi^{\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}}$, r , ν , and r_x are all unitary.) Finally, for the tangles $t_{i,j}$, we have $\overline{t_{i,j}} = t_{i,j}^{-1}$, and by Lemma 3.13,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Z(t_{i,j})} \circ r_n &= \overline{\tau_{i,j}} \circ \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(r_x^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(\nu) \circ \tau_{\overline{x}^{\otimes i}, \overline{x}^{\otimes j}}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(r_x^{\otimes n}) \\ &= \chi_{x^{\otimes n}}^{\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(\nu) \circ \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(r_x^{\otimes n}) \circ \tau_{x^{\otimes i}, x^{\otimes j}}^{-1} \\ &= r_n \circ Z(\overline{t_{i,j}}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Definition 5.4. We define a state $\psi_{\mathcal{P}} := i^\dagger : \mathcal{P}[0] \rightarrow 1_\mathcal{V}$ as in §3.3.

Proposition 5.5. *The triple $(\mathcal{P}, r, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ satisfies (P2).*

Proof. Suppressing $\chi^{\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}}$ and ν ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Diagrammatic expression involving } \mathcal{P}[n], \mathcal{P}[0], \psi_{\mathcal{P}}, 1_\mathcal{V}, \text{ and } x^{\otimes n}. \\ & \text{The diagram is equated to } \text{coev}_{\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}(x^{\otimes n})}^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.6. *If $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is spherical,⁹ so is $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. If $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is spherical, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Diagrammatic expression involving } \mathcal{P}[2], \mathcal{P}[0], \psi_{\mathcal{P}}, 1_\mathcal{V}, \text{ and } \mathcal{P}[2]. \\ & \text{The diagram is equated to } \text{Lemma 3.11}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

5.1.2 Functoriality

Suppose $G = (G, \gamma) : (\mathcal{C}_1, \Phi_1^z, \vee_1, \psi_1, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, \Phi_2^z, \vee_2, \psi_2, x_2)$ is a 1-morphism of pointed unitary module multitensor categories over \mathcal{V} . (Recall that γ is a family of unitary isomorphisms $\gamma_v : \Phi_2(v) \rightarrow G(\Phi_1(v))$.)

Let $(\mathcal{P}_i, r^i, \psi_i) = \Lambda(\mathcal{C}_i, \Phi_i^z, \vee_i, \psi_i, x_i)$ be the unitary anchored algebra constructed in the previous subsection for $i = 1, 2$. In [HPT23b, §5.3], we obtained a map of ordinary anchored planar algebras $\Lambda(G) : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ as follows.

- First, for each $c \in \mathcal{C}_1$, we define $\zeta_c : \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}^1(c) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}^2(G(c))$ to be the mate of

$$\Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}^1(c)) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}^1(c)}} G(\Phi_1(\mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}^1(c))) \xrightarrow{G(\varepsilon_c^1)} G(c)$$

under the unitary adjunction $\Phi_2 \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_\mathcal{V}^2$.

⁹The existence of a spherical state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is only possible when \mathcal{V} is spherical by Lemma 2.17.

- The map $\Lambda(G) : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2$ associated to $G : (\mathcal{C}_1, x_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_2, x_2)$ is the sequence of morphisms $\Lambda(G)[n] : \mathcal{P}_1[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2[n]$ given by

$$\Lambda(G)[n] : \mathcal{P}_1[n] = \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(x_1^{\otimes n}) \xrightarrow{\zeta_{x_1^{\otimes n}}} \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(x_1^{\otimes n})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(x_2^{\otimes n}) = \mathcal{P}_2[n].$$

It remains to prove that $\Lambda(G)$ is compatible with r_n and ψ , i.e.,

$$\overline{\Lambda(G)[n]} \circ r_n^1 = r_n^2 \circ \Lambda(G)[n] \quad \forall n \geq 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\text{and } \psi_1 = \psi_2 \circ \Lambda(G)[0]. \quad (28)$$

Equation (27) is checked by the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(x_1^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(r_{x_1^{\otimes n}})} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{x_1^{\otimes n}}^1} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(x_1^{\otimes n})} \\ \downarrow \zeta_{x_1^{\otimes n}} & & \downarrow \zeta_{\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}} & & \downarrow \zeta_{\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}} & & \downarrow \zeta_{\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}}} \\ \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(x_1^{\otimes n})) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(r_{x_1^{\otimes n}}))} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}})) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(\nu))} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(\overline{x_1^{\otimes n}})) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\chi_{x_1^{\otimes n}}^G)} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(x_1^{\otimes n}))} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(x_2^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(r_{x_2^{\otimes n}})} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\overline{x_2^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\nu)} & \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\overline{x_2^{\otimes n}}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{x_2^{\otimes n}}^2} & \overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(x_2^{\otimes n})} \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.7. *The natural transformation $\zeta : \mathbf{Tr}_V^1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V^2 \circ G$ is involutive, i.e., for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_1$, $\overline{\zeta_c} \circ \chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1} = \chi_{G(c)}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2} \circ \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\chi_c^G) \circ \zeta_{\overline{c}}$.*

Proof. We prove the equivalent relation:

$$(\chi_{G(c)}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2})^{-1} \circ \overline{\zeta_c} = \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\chi_c^G) \circ \zeta_{\overline{c}} \circ (\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1})^{-1}.$$

To do so, we take mates under the adjunction

$$\mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_V^2(\overline{G(c)})) \cong \mathcal{C}_1(\Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}) \rightarrow \overline{G(c)}).$$

In the commutative diagram below, the mate of the left hand side above is going down and then right, and the mate of the right hand side is going right and then down.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2(\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1})^{-1}} & \Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{c})) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{c})}} & G(\Phi_1(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(\overline{c}))) \\ & \searrow \gamma_{\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}} & & \searrow G(\Phi_1(\chi_c^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1}))^{-1} & \downarrow G(\varepsilon_{\overline{c}}^1) \\ & & G(\Phi_1(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})) & \xrightarrow{G(\chi_{\Phi_1(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})}^{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1})} & G(\overline{\Phi_1(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c))}) \\ & \searrow \chi_{\Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})}^{\Phi_2} & & \downarrow \chi_{\Phi_1(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)})}^G & \downarrow \chi_c^G \\ & & \overline{\Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c))} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)}} & \overline{G(\Phi_1(\mathbf{Tr}_V^1(c)))} \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_2(\zeta_c) & & \downarrow \overline{G(\varepsilon_c^1)} \\ \Phi_2(\overline{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(c))}) & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(c))}^{\Phi_2}} & \overline{\Phi_2(\mathbf{Tr}_V^2(G(c)))} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varepsilon_{G(c)}^2}} & \overline{G(c)} \end{array}$$

The pentagon in the diagram above is the involutivity axiom for γ . \square

Checking (28) is straightforward. By Lemma 3.7, ψ_j on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_j}(1_{\mathcal{C}_j})$ is identified with $i_j^\dagger \circ -$ on $\text{Hom}_V(1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_j[0])$ under the isomorphism (16), which is exactly ψ_j on \mathcal{P}_j by Definition 5.4. Since $\psi_1 = \psi_2 \circ G$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$, the result follows.

5.2 From unitary anchored planar algebras to unitary module tensor categories

Given a unitary anchored planar algebra $(\mathcal{P}, r, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ in \mathcal{V} , we begin by constructing an ordinary pivotal module tensor category (\mathcal{C}, Φ^z) as in [HPT23b, §6]. First, we construct a full subcategory \mathcal{C}_0 , and we obtain \mathcal{C} by taking the Cauchy completion.

- Objects in \mathcal{C}_0 are formal symbols “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” for $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $n \geq 0$.
- Hom spaces are defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) := \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n+k]).$$

Morphisms are represented graphically by $\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ | \\ u \end{array}$.

- Composition is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} w \\ | \\ \boxed{g}^{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ | \\ u \end{array} := \begin{array}{c} w \\ | \\ \boxed{g}^{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \\ | \\ v \\ | \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{array}{c} p \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ n \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ k \end{array}}^{\mathcal{P}[p+k]} \end{array}.$$

- The adjoint functor pair $\Phi \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is given on objects by $\Phi(u) := \text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes 0}\text{”}$ and $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) := v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n]$ and given on morphisms by

$$\Phi \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{g} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\circ}^{\mathcal{P}[0]} \end{array} \quad \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}} \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{array}{c} n \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ k \end{array}}^{\mathcal{P}[n]} \end{array}.$$

Moreover, the identity map

$$\mathcal{C}(\Phi(u) \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) = \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n]) = \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}))$$

witnesses the adjunction $\Phi \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

- Tensor product is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} x \\ | \\ \boxed{g}^{\mathcal{P}[q+p]} \\ | \\ w \end{array} := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} x \\ | \\ \boxed{g}^{\mathcal{P}[q+p]} \\ | \\ w \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{array}{c} n \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ k \\ | \\ p \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ q \end{array}}^{\mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k]} \end{array}.$$

and the tensor unit is given by “ $\Phi(1) \otimes x^{\otimes 0}$ ”. The associators and unitors are inherited from those of \mathcal{V} , i.e.,

$$\alpha_{\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i}\text{”, “}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j}\text{”, “}\Phi(w) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”}} := \begin{array}{c} u \otimes (v \otimes w) \\ | \\ \boxed{\alpha} \quad \boxed{r} \mathcal{P}[2r] \\ | \\ (u \otimes v) \otimes w \end{array} \quad r := i + j + k,$$

and similarly for the unitors λ, ρ .

- \mathcal{C}_0 is rigid with duals given by “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” $^\vee := \text{“}\Phi(v^\vee) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}$, and evaluation and coevaluation given by

$$\text{ev}_{\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^n\text{”}} = \begin{array}{c} \text{ev}_v \\ \downarrow \\ \text{v}^\vee \quad \text{v} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ | \\ \text{v}^\vee \quad \text{v} \end{array} \quad \text{coev}_{\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^n\text{”}} = \begin{array}{c} \text{v} \quad \text{v}^\vee \\ \uparrow \\ \text{coev}_v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ | \\ \text{v} \quad \text{v}^\vee \end{array}.$$

Note here that the evaluation and coevaluation in \mathcal{V} come from our chosen unitary dual functor of \mathcal{V} . These choices of duals endow \mathcal{C}_0 with a dual functor $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$.

- The pivotal structure $\varphi^{\mathcal{C}} : \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”} \rightarrow (\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”})^{\vee\vee}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{v}^{\vee\vee} \\ | \\ \boxed{\varphi_v} \quad \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ | \\ \text{v} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{v}^{\vee\vee} \\ | \\ \boxed{\text{coev}_v^\dagger} \quad \boxed{n} \mathcal{P}[2n] \\ | \\ \text{v} \quad \text{v}^\vee \end{array}.$$

The equality above comes from the fact that φ_v is the canonical unitary pivotal structure of \mathcal{V} coming from \vee .

- The generator is $x = \text{“}\Phi(1_{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes x\text{”}$. Since we identify $1_{\mathcal{V}}^\vee$ with $1_{\mathcal{V}}$, we may identify $x^\vee = x$. The symmetric self duality $r_x : x \rightarrow x^\vee$ is the identity map.

5.2.1 Adding the dagger structure

It remains to perform the following tasks:

- (C1) construct a dagger structure on \mathcal{C} making it a unitary multitensor category
- (C2) construct a faithful state $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(1_{\mathcal{C}})$,
- (C3) check that $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a unitary dual functor and that the canonical unitary pivotal structure induced by $\vee_{\mathcal{C}}$ is $\varphi^{\mathcal{C}}$.
- (C4) check that $r_x : x \rightarrow x^\vee$ is a real structure.
- (C5) check that the adjunction $\Phi \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is unitary.

Definition 5.8. We define a dagger structure on \mathcal{C}_0 by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ u \end{array} \right)^* = \begin{array}{c} u \\ \boxed{f^*}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ v \end{array} := \begin{array}{c} u \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{r_{n+k}^{-1}} \\ \mathcal{P}[n+k] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[k+n] \\ \mathcal{P}[n+k] \end{array}. \quad (29)$$

It is straightforward to verify that $f^{**} = f$ for all morphisms f . To check the remainder of involutivity, we see that $*$ on the composite

$${}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}{}^{\prime\prime} \xrightarrow{f} {}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}{}^{\prime\prime} \xrightarrow{g} {}^{\prime\prime}\Phi(w) \otimes x^{\otimes p}{}^{\prime\prime}$$

is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} w \\ \boxed{g}^{\mathcal{P}[p+n]} \\ v \end{array} \circ \begin{array}{c} v \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ u \end{array} \right)^* = \begin{array}{c} w \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[p+n] \\ \mathcal{P}[n+k] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[p+k] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[p+k] \\ r^{-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[p+k] \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} u \\ \boxed{f^*}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[p+n] \\ \mathcal{P}[k+p] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[k+p] \end{array},$$

which is exactly the composite of f^* and g^* . We now observe that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ \boxed{f}^{\mathcal{P}[n+k]} \\ u \end{array} \otimes \begin{array}{c} x \\ \boxed{g}^{\mathcal{P}[q+p]} \\ w \end{array} \right)^* = \begin{array}{c} u \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} w \\ \mathcal{P}[n+k] \\ x \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[q+p] \\ \mathcal{P}[q+p] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \\ r^{-1} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} u \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} w \\ \mathcal{P}[n+k] \\ x \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[q+p] \\ \mathcal{P}[q+p] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{c} u \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} w \\ \mathcal{P}[q+p] \\ x \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[n+k] \\ \mathcal{P}[n+k] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\text{Diagram}} \\ \mathcal{P}[q+n+p+k] \end{array}$$

where we use the braiding axiom of an anchored planar algebra in the final equality. Finally, the associators and unitors are visibly unitary.

Lemma 5.9. For all $f \in \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”})$,

$$\begin{array}{c} v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f} \\ \boxed{f^*} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[2k]} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \geq 0$$

with equality if and only if $f = 0$.

Proof. Expanding the quantity in question, we have

$$\begin{array}{c} v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f} \\ \boxed{f^*} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[k+n]} \left[\begin{array}{c} k \\ \text{---} \\ n \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[2k]} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} = \begin{array}{c} v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f} \\ \boxed{f^*} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[k+n]} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} \\ = \begin{array}{c} v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{f} \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[n]} \geq 0$$

with equality if and only if $f = 0$. □

Proposition 5.10 ((C1)). The dagger structure (29) on \mathcal{C}_0 is \mathcal{C}^* .

Proof. To show \mathcal{C}_0 is \mathcal{C}^* , we prove that given arbitrary “ $\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}$ ” and “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” in \mathcal{C}_0 , the linking algebra

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”}) & \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”}) \\ \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) & \mathcal{C}_0(\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a \mathcal{C}^* -algebra. To do this, we show that the map $\phi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\phi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} := \begin{array}{c} u \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ u \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{a} \\ \boxed{c} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[2k]} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}} + \begin{array}{c} v \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ v \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{d} \\ \boxed{b} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[2k]} \left[\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}[0]} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{V}}}$$

is a faithful positive linear functional, i.e., $\phi(M^*M) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if $M = 0$. Indeed, $\phi(M^*M)$ is a sum of four terms, each being positive by Lemma 5.9, and zero iff each term is individually zero. □

Remark 5.11. Observe that the unitary Cauchy completion of \mathcal{C}_0 is the same as the ordinary Cauchy completion of \mathcal{C}_0 [GMP+23, Rem. 3.6]. In light of Proposition 5.10, \mathcal{C} is a unitary multitensor category.

Corollary 5.12 ((C2)). *The map ψ_C on $\text{End}_{C_0}(1_C)$ given by*

$$\psi_C \left(\begin{array}{c} 1_V \\ \boxed{f} \\ 1_V \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} 1_V \\ \boxed{f} \\ 1_V \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} 1_V$$

is a faithful state.

Proof. Apply Lemma 5.9 to the case $u = v = 1_V$ and $k = n = 0$ to see that ψ_C is positive and faithful. Finally, the condition $\psi_C(\text{id}_C) = 1$ is exactly $\dots \begin{array}{c} 1_V \\ \boxed{\circ} \\ 1_V \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}[0] \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\psi_{\mathcal{P}}} \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} 1_V = \text{id}_{1_V}$. \square

Proposition 5.13 ((C3)). *The dual functor \vee_C is unitary. Moreover, the pivotal structure φ^C is the canonical unitary pivotal structure coming from \vee_C .*

Proof. By [Sel11, Lem. 7.5] (see also [Pen20, Prop. 3.9]), it suffices to check that for $c := \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^n\text{”}$, the pivotal structure φ_c^C above is given by $(\text{coev}_c^\dagger \otimes \text{id}_{c^{\vee\vee}}) \circ (\text{id}_c \otimes \text{coev}_{c^{\vee}})$. This is verified as follows. First, we observe that

$$\text{coev}_{\text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^n\text{”}}^\dagger = \left(\begin{array}{c} v \quad v^\vee \\ \cup \\ \text{coev}_v \\ \boxed{n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array} \right)^\dagger = \begin{array}{c} \text{coev}_v^\dagger \\ v \quad v^\vee \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{coev}_v^\dagger \\ v \quad v^\vee \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array}.$$

We then calculate with $c = \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^n\text{”}$ that $(\text{coev}_c^\dagger \otimes \text{id}_{c^{\vee\vee}}) \circ (\text{id}_c \otimes \text{coev}_{c^{\vee}})$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{coev}_v^\dagger \\ v \quad v^\vee \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} v^{\vee\vee} \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} v^{\vee\vee} \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{3n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{coev}_v^\dagger \\ v \quad v^\vee \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} v^{\vee\vee} \\ \longrightarrow \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{\varphi_v} \\ v \quad v^\vee \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boxed{n} \\ \mathcal{P}[2n] \end{array}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.14 ((C4)). *The symmetric self-duality $r_x : x \rightarrow x^\vee$ is unitary, i.e., (x, r_x) is real.*

Proof. We used the identification between $1_V^\vee = 1_V$ to identify $x = x^\vee$ and $r_x = \text{id}_x$, but the former identification is unitary, so the latter is too. \square

Recall from Lemma 2.17 that sphericity of the unitary dual functor \vee_V is a necessary condition for the state ψ_C to be spherical.

Proposition 5.15. *If \vee_V is a spherical unitary dual functor on \mathcal{V} and $(\mathcal{P}, j, \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ is spherical, then so is ψ_C .*

Proof. For $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes n})$, its left and right traces in \mathcal{C} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{C}}(f) &= u^\vee \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) \text{P}[0] = u^\vee \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) \text{P}[0] \\ \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(f) &= \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) u^\vee \text{P}[0] = \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) u^\vee \text{P}[0] \end{aligned}$$

The diagrams consist of boxes labeled $\mathcal{P}[2n]$, $\mathcal{P}[4n]$, and $\mathcal{P}[0]$ with various internal structures and wires labeled u , u^\vee , and n .

Now since $\vee_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ are spherical, we see that

$$u^\vee \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) \text{P}[0] \psi_{\mathcal{P}} 1_{\mathcal{V}} = u^\vee \left(\text{Diagram 6} \right) \text{P}[0] \psi_{\mathcal{P}} 1_{\mathcal{V}}$$

and thus $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{tr}_L^{\mathcal{C}}(f)) = \psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}(f))$. □

Now that \mathcal{C}_0 is endowed with a unitary dual functor and faithful state, we get a unitary trace on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n})$ by $\psi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proposition 5.16 ((C5)). *The adjunction $\Phi \dashv \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is unitary. In particular, Φ and $\mathbf{Tr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ are dagger functors.*

Proof. We show the two inner products on $\mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[n])$ coming from \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{V} agree:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} &= (\psi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ \text{tr}_R^{\mathcal{C}})(g^* \circ f) = \left(\text{Diagram 7} \right) \psi_{\mathcal{P}} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ &\stackrel{(26,29)}{=} \left(\text{Diagram 8} \right) v^\vee = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{V}} \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 5.9. □

5.2.2 Functoriality

We now suppose $H : (\mathcal{P}_1, r^1, \psi_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_2, r^2, \psi_2)$ is a map of unitary anchored planar algebras, and let $(\mathcal{C}_i, \Phi_i^z, \vee_i, \psi_i, x_i) = \Delta(\mathcal{P}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be the pointed unitary module multitensor categories constructed in the last subsection. In [HPT23b, §6.6], we constructed a strict map of ordinary pointed pivotal module tensor categories $\Delta(H) : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ by

$$\Delta(H) \left(\begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \right) := \begin{array}{c} v \\ | \\ \boxed{f} \\ | \\ u \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[n+k] \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \forall f : \text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes k}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}\text{”}$$

where the action-coherence morphism $\gamma^{\Delta(H)} : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow \Delta(H) \circ \Phi_1$ is the identity.

It remains to prove that:

- $\Delta(H)$ is a \dagger -tensor functor,
- the identity action coherence monoidal natural isomorphism $\text{id} : \Phi_2 \Rightarrow \Delta(H) \circ \Phi_1$ is involutive, i.e., for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\chi_v^{\Phi_2} = \chi_{\Phi_1(v)}^{\Delta(H)} \circ \Delta(H)(\chi_v^{\Phi_1}) : \Phi_2(\bar{v}) \rightarrow \overline{\Delta(H)(\Phi_1(v))}$, and
- $\psi_2 \circ \Delta(H) = \psi_1$ on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$.

For the first condition, since $\Delta(H)$ is strict, it suffices to check it is a \dagger -functor. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(H) \left(\begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \right) &:= \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^*} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \\ &= \begin{array}{c} u \\ | \\ \boxed{f^\dagger} \\ | \\ v \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[k+n] \\ \hline \end{array} = \Delta(H)(f)^*. \end{aligned}$$

For the second condition, since these are the canonical coherences χ associated to a *strict* pivotal \dagger -tensor functor, they are all identities, and the result holds trivially.

Finally, for the third condition, for all $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(1_{\mathcal{C}_1})$,

$$(\psi_2 \circ \Delta(H))(f) = \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{f} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[0] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{H} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_2[0] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{\psi_2} \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ \boxed{f} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_1[0] \\ \hline \end{array} \boxed{\psi_1} \begin{array}{c} 1_{\mathcal{V}} \\ \vdots \\ 1_{\mathcal{V}} \end{array} = \psi_1(f).$$

5.3 Equivalence

Fix a braided unitary tensor category \mathcal{V} with a choice of unitary dual functor $\vee_{\mathcal{V}}$. For this section, we use the following notation.

- APA is the 1-category of anchored planar algebras over \mathcal{V} , and UAPA is the 1-subcategory of unitary anchored planar algebras over \mathcal{V} .

- ModTens is the 1-truncation of the 2-category of pointed pivotal module tensor categories over \mathcal{V} , and UModTens is the 1-truncation of the 2-category of pointed unitary module multitensor categories over \mathcal{V} .

In [HPT23b], we constructed functors $\Delta : \text{APA} \rightarrow \text{ModTens}$ and $\Lambda : \text{ModTens} \rightarrow \text{APA}$. In §5.2.2 above, we showed Δ extends to a functor $\text{UAPA} \rightarrow \text{UModTens}$, and in §5.1.2 above, we showed Λ extends to a functor $\text{UModTens} \rightarrow \text{UAPA}$. Moreover, these extensions are clearly compatible with the forgetful functors which forget our extra unitary structure.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{UAPA} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \\ \xleftarrow{\Lambda} \end{array} & \text{UModTens} \\
 \downarrow \text{Forget} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \\ \xleftarrow{\Lambda} \end{array} & \downarrow \text{Forget} \\
 \text{APA} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \\ \xleftarrow{\Lambda} \end{array} & \text{ModTens}
 \end{array}$$

In [HPT23b, §7], we showed that $\Lambda \circ \Delta = \text{id}_{\text{APA}}$, and it is immediate that $\Lambda \circ \Delta = \text{id}_{\text{UAPA}}$ upstairs. We also constructed a natural isomorphism $\Psi : \Delta \circ \Lambda \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{ModTens}}$ by

$$\Psi(f) = \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \varepsilon \text{ with strands } u \text{ and } v \text{ entering from the left, and strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ exiting to the right. A box labeled } f \text{ is connected to the } u \text{ strand.} \\ \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \varepsilon \text{ with strands } i \text{ and } j \text{ entering from the left, and strands } u \text{ and } v \text{ exiting to the right. A box labeled } f \text{ is connected to the } i \text{ strand.} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &\in \mathcal{C}'(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j}\text{”}) \\
 &= \mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[i+j]).
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}' = \Lambda(\Delta(\mathcal{C}))$, which is the unitary Cauchy completion of \mathcal{C}'_0 (recall \mathcal{C}'_0 has objects “ $\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes n}$ ” for $v \in \mathcal{V}$).

It remains to show that Ψ lifts to a unitary natural isomorphism upstairs. To do so, it is enough (in view of the fact that Ψ is an isomorphism) to show that $f \mapsto \Psi(f)$ is norm-preserving, i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow v \otimes \mathcal{P}[i+j])} = \|\Psi(f)\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i} \rightarrow \Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j})}.$$

By replacing f by $\text{ev}_v \circ (1_{\bar{v}} \otimes f)$ (i.e. folding the v strand to the left), we may assume without loss of generality that $v = 1_{\mathcal{V}}$. Similarly, by replacing $f \in \mathcal{C}'(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes i}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes j}\text{”})$ by the corresponding morphism in $\mathcal{C}'(\text{“}\Phi(u) \otimes x^{\otimes 0}\text{”} \rightarrow \text{“}\Phi(v) \otimes x^{\otimes i+j}\text{”})$, we may assume without loss of generality that $i = 0$. We may now proceed as in the proof of Proposition 3.9 to show:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \Phi(f) \text{ with a red strand } \Phi(u) \text{ entering from the left, and a box labeled } \varepsilon \text{ with strands } j \text{ exiting to the right.} \end{array} \right\|^2 \\
 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram: A box labeled } \text{mate}(f) \text{ with a red strand } \Phi(u) \text{ entering from the left, and a box labeled } \text{mate}(f) \text{ with strands } j \text{ exiting to the right.} \end{array} \\
 &= \|\text{mate}(f)\|_{\mathcal{C}(\Phi(u) \rightarrow x^{\otimes j})}^2 \\
 &= \|f\|_{\mathcal{V}(u \rightarrow \Phi(x^{\otimes j}))}^2
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

References

- [ALW19] David Aasen, Ethan Lake, and Kevin Walker. Fermion condensation and super pivotal categories. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 60(12):121901, 2019. DOI:10.1063/1.5045669 arXiv:1709.01941.
- [Bae97] John C. Baez. Higher-dimensional algebra. II. 2-Hilbert spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 127(2):125–189, 1997. MR1448713 DOI:10.1006/aima.1997.1617.
- [BCE⁺20] Marcel Bischoff, Ian Charlesworth, Samuel Evington, Luca Giorgetti, and David Penneys. Distortion for multifactor bimodules and representations of multifusion categories, 2020. arXiv:2010.01067.
- [BD95] John C. Baez and James Dolan. Higher-dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory. *J. Math. Phys.*, 36(11):6073–6105, 1995. MR1355899 arXiv:q-alg/9503002 DOI:10.1063/1.531236.
- [BDH14] Arthur Bartels, Christopher L. Douglas, and André Henriques. Dualizability and index of subfactors. *Quantum Topol.*, 5(3):289–345, 2014. MR3342166 DOI:10.4171/QT/53 arXiv:1110.5671.
- [BN91] Jocelyne Bion-Nadal. An example of a subfactor of the hyperfinite II_1 factor whose principal graph invariant is the Coxeter graph E_6 . In *Current topics in operator algebras (Nara, 1990)*, pages 104–113. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991. MR1193933.
- [CHPJP22] Quan Chen, Roberto Hernández Palomares, Corey Jones, and David Penneys. Q-system completion for C^* 2-categories. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 283(3):Paper No. 109524, 2022. MR4419534 DOI:10.1016/j.jfa.2022.109524 arXiv:2105.12010.
- [CP22] Quan Chen and David Penneys. Q-system completion is a 3-functor. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 38:Paper No. 4, 101–134, 2022. MR4369356 DOI:10.1002/num.22828 arXiv:2106.12437.
- [Déc22] Thibault D. Décoppet. Multifusion categories and finite semisimple 2-categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 226(8):Paper No. 107029, 16, 2022. MR4372801 DOI:10.1016/j.jpaa.2022.107029 arXiv:2012.15774.
- [DHP22] Zachary Dell, Peter Huston, and David Penneys. Unitary braided-enriched monoidal categories, 2022. arXiv:2208.14992.
- [DR18] Christopher L. Douglas and David J. Reutter. Fusion 2-categories and a state-sum invariant for 4-manifolds, 2018. arXiv:1812.11933.
- [Egg11] J. M. Egger. On involutive monoidal categories. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 25:No. 14, 368–393, 2011. MR2861112.
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015. MR3242743 DOI:10.1090/surv/205.
- [GdlHJ89] Frederick M. Goodman, Pierre de la Harpe, and Vaughan F.R. Jones. *Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras*. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 14. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. x+288 pp. ISBN: 0-387-96979-9, MR999799.
- [GJF19] Davide Gaiotto and Theo Johnson-Freyd. Condensations in higher categories, 2019. arXiv:1905.09566.
- [GLR85] P. Ghez, R. Lima, and J. E. Roberts. W^* -categories. *Pacific J. Math.*, 120(1):79–109, 1985. MR808930.
- [GMP⁺23] Pinhas Grossman, Scott Morrison, David Penneys, Emily Peters, and Noah Snyder. The Extended Haagerup fusion categories. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 56(2):589–664, 2023. MR4598730 DOI:10.24033/asens.2541 arXiv:1810.06076.

- [HBJP23] Peter Huston, Fiona Burnell, Corey Jones, and David Penneys. Composing topological domain walls and anyon mobility. *SciPost Phys.*, 15(3):Paper No. 076, 85, 2023. [MR4640433](#) doi10.21468/SciPostPhys.15.3.076 [arXiv:2208.14018](#).
- [Hen17a] André Henriques. Bicommutant categories from conformal nets, 2017. [arXiv:1701.02052](#).
- [Hen17b] André G. Henriques. What Chern-Simons theory assigns to a point. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 114(51):13418–13423, 2017. [MR3747830](#) DOI:10.1073/pnas.1711591114 [arXiv:1503.06254](#).
- [HP17] André Henriques and David Penneys. Bicommutant categories from fusion categories. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 23(3):1669–1708, 2017. [MR3663592](#) DOI:10.1007/s00029-016-0251-0 [arXiv:1511.05226](#).
- [HP23] André Henriques and David Penneys. Representations of fusion categories and their commutants. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 29(3):Paper No. 38, 2023. [MR4581741](#) DOI:10.1007/s00029-023-00841-2 [arXiv:2004.08271](#).
- [HPT16] André Henriques, David Penneys, and James Tener. Categorified trace for module tensor categories over braided tensor categories. *Doc. Math.*, 21:1089–1149, 2016. [MR3578212](#) [arXiv:1509.02937](#).
- [HPT23a] André Henriques, David Penneys, and James Tener. Classification of finite depth objects in bicommutant categories via anchored planar algebras. [arXiv:2307.13822](#), 2023.
- [HPT23b] André Henriques, David Penneys, and James Tener. Planar Algebras in Braided Tensor Categories. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 282(1392), 2023. [MR4528312](#) DOI:10.1090/memo/1392 [arXiv:1607.06041](#).
- [HV19] Chris Heunen and Jamie Vicary. *Categories for quantum theory*, volume 28 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2019. An introduction, [MR3971584](#) DOI:10.1093/oso/9780198739623.001.0001.
- [Izu94] Masaki Izumi. On flatness of the Coxeter graph E_8 . *Pacific J. Math.*, 166(2):305–327, 1994. [MR1313457](#) euclid.pjm/1102621140.
- [JF22] Theo Johnson-Freyd. On the classification of topological orders. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 393(2):989–1033, 2022. [MR4444089](#) DOI:10.1007/s00220-022-04380-3 [arXiv:2003.06663](#).
- [JL17] Arthur Jaffe and Zhengwei Liu. Planar Para Algebras, Reflection Positivity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 352(1):95–133, 2017. [MR3623255](#) DOI:10.1007/s00220-016-2779-4 [arXiv:1602.02662](#).
- [Jon83] Vaughan F. R. Jones. Index for subfactors. *Invent. Math.*, 72(1):1–25, 1983. [MR696688](#), DOI:10.1007/BF01389127.
- [Jon00] Vaughan F. R. Jones. The planar algebra of a bipartite graph. In *Knots in Hellas '98 (Delphi)*, volume 24 of *Ser. Knots Everything*, pages 94–117. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000. [MR1865703](#).
- [Jon21] V. F. R. Jones. Planar algebras, I. *New Zealand J. Math.*, 52:1–107, 2021. [MR4374438](#) DOI:10.53733/172 [arXiv:math.QA/9909027](#).
- [JP17] Corey Jones and David Penneys. Operator algebras in rigid C^* -tensor categories. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 355(3):1121–1188, 2017. [MR3687214](#) DOI:10.1007/s00220-017-2964-0 [arXiv:1611.04620](#).
- [JP20] Corey Jones and David Penneys. Q-systems and compact W^* -algebra objects. In *Topological phases of matter and quantum computation*, volume 747 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 63–88. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020. [MR4079745](#) DOI:10.1090/conm/747/15039 [arXiv:1707.02155](#).
- [Kaw95] Yasuyuki Kawahigashi. On flatness of Ocneanu’s connections on the Dynkin diagrams and classification of subfactors. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 127(1):63–107, 1995. [MR1308617](#) DOI:10.1006/jfan.1995.1003.

- [Kel74] G. M. Kelly. Doctrinal adjunction. In *Category Seminar (Proc. Sem., Sydney, 1972/1973)*, pages 257–280. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 420. Springer, Berlin, 1974. [MR0360749](#).
- [LR97] R. Longo and J. E. Roberts. A theory of dimension. *K-Theory*, 11(2):103–159, 1997. [MR1444286](#) [DOI:10.1023/A:1007714415067](#) [arXiv:funct-an/9604008](#).
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. On the classification of topological field theories. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2008*, pages 129–280. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009. [MR2555928](#) [arXiv:0905.0465](#).
- [Pen20] David Penneys. Unitary dual functors for unitary multitensor categories. *High. Struct.*, 4(2):22–56, 2020. [MR4133163](#) [arXiv:1808.00323](#).
- [Pop90] Sorin Popa. Classification of subfactors: the reduction to commuting squares. *Invent. Math.*, 101(1):19–43, 1990. [MR1055708](#), [DOI:10.1007/BF01231494](#).
- [Pop95] Sorin Popa. *Classification of subfactors and their endomorphisms*, volume 86 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1995. [MR1339767](#).
- [Sel11] P. Selinger. A survey of graphical languages for monoidal categories. In *New structures for physics*, volume 813 of *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, pages 289–355. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. [MR2767048](#) [DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-12821-9_4](#).
- [Tom21] Reiji Tomatsu. Centrally Free Actions of Amenable C^* -tensor categories on von Neumann algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 383(1):71–152, 2021. [MR4236062](#) [DOI:10.1007/s00220-021-04037-7](#) [arXiv:1812.04222](#).
- [Yam04] Shigeru Yamagami. Frobenius duality in C^* -tensor categories. *J. Operator Theory*, 52(1):3–20, 2004. [MR2091457](#).