

# TO DEFINE THE CORE ENTROPY FOR ALL POLYNOMIALS HAVING A CONNECTED JULIA SET

JUN LUO, BO TAN, YI YANG, AND XIAO-TING YAO

ABSTRACT. The classical core entropy for post critically finite (PCF) polynomials  $f$  with degree  $d \geq 2$  is the topological entropy  $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  of  $f$  restricted to its Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ . We generalize  $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  to a new quantity  $h_{core}(f)$ , called the **core entropy** of  $f$ , which is well defined if only  $f$  has a connected Julia set. We show that  $h_{core}(f) = h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  when  $f$  is PCF,  $h_{core}(f) = h_{core}(g)$  when  $f$  and  $g$  are  $J$ -equivalent, and  $h_{core}(f^n) = nh_{core}(f)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . We also obtain a formula for  $h_{core}(f)$ , when  $f$  is re-normalizable. For  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  with  $c$  running through the Mandelbrot set  $\mathcal{M}$ , we show that  $h_*(c) = h_{core}(f_c)$  is constant in each component of the interior  $\mathcal{M}^o$  but is continuous at no point of  $\partial\mathcal{M} \setminus \{-2\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_{biac} \subset \partial\mathcal{M}$  consist of all the prime end impressions of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  that intersect at least another impression. We further show that  $h_*$  restricted to  $\mathcal{M}_{biac}$  is uniformly continuous hence can be continuously extended over the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . Moreover, the level sets  $h_*^{-1}(t) (0 \leq t \leq \log 2)$  are closely related to the topological structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{M}$  is locally connected at every  $c$  in the level set  $h_*^{-1}(\log 2)$ , which is of full harmonic measure.

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	2
2.	The Maximal Dendrite Factor $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$ and the Core Entropy $h_{core}(f)$	10
3.	How $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$ is Related to the Dynamics of $\sigma_d(w) = w^d$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$	15
4.	How $h_{core}(f)$ is Related to the Classical Core Entropy $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$	17
5.	A Formula of $h_{core}(f)$ for Renormalizable Polynomials $f$	19
6.	Properties of $h_*(c) = h_{core}(f_c)$ for $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ with $c \in \mathcal{M}$	20
7.	The Core Entropy Function $h_*(c)$ and the Tuning Lemma	25
8.	Appendix	32
	References	34

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D05, 54H20, 37F45, 37E99.

*Key words and phrases.* Core Entropy, Maximal Dendrite Factor, Hubbard Tree.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

All polynomials  $f$  in the current paper are assumed to be of degree  $d \geq 2$ . The dynamics of  $f$  restricted to the Fatou set is simple. So we just look at its dynamics restricted to the Julia set  $J$  and focus on the subsystem  $(J, f)$ , whose topological entropy is known to be  $\log d$ . We will introduce a new unified frame of assigning a nonnegative number  $h_{core}(f)$  to  $f$ , if only  $J$  is connected. We call  $h_{core}(f)$  the (generalized) **core entropy** of  $f$ . Here  $J$  may not be locally connected and  $f$  may have Cremer points or Siegel disks.

The first motivation is an earlier version of core entropy, see for instance [45, Definition 1.1], to be called the **classical core entropy** and denoted by  $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  in the sequel. The classical core entropy is defined for every post critically finite (PCF) polynomial  $f$ , whose critical points each have a finite orbit. The filled Julia set  $K$  of a PCF polynomial is always a locally connected continuum. And one can choose a minimal tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  that is invariant under  $f$  and contains all the critical points, called the **Hubbard tree**. The quantity  $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  is then defined to be the topological entropy of the subsystem  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$ . Here every sub-arc of  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  is required to be **regulated**, so the choice of  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  is unique [37, Lemma 2.1]. Note that a regulated arc is also said to be **legal** in [10, II.1].

Recently, Gao [17, Definition 1.1] extends the classical core entropy to polynomials whose filled Julia sets  $K$  have an invariant tree containing all the critical points. Still, one needs to assume that  $K$  is locally connected. In Theorem 3 we will show that  $h_{core}(f) = h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  holds for every PCF polynomial  $f$ . Therefore, the new quantity  $h_{core}(f)$  generalizes the classical core entropy  $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$ , to all polynomials of degree  $d \geq 2$  that have a connected Julia set. Gao's extension [17, Definition 1.1], when it is well defined, gives rise to the same value as  $h_{core}(f)$ .

The second motivation is from three recent works [14, 18, 45]. Tiozzo [45, Theorem 8.2] proves the continuity of the growth number  $r_\theta$  for  $\theta$  running through the quotient  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Due to [12, 45], for each rational  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$  one may appropriately choose  $c(\theta)$  on the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and obtain a uniformly continuous real function of  $\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2$ . Here  $\mathcal{D}_1$  consists of the roots of the hyperbolic components and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  the Misiurewicz points  $c$ , such that the critical point of  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  is strictly pre-periodic. Notice that  $c(\theta_1) = c(\theta_2)$  may hold for two rational  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ . There are two cases: (1) both  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are periodic under  $\theta \mapsto 2\theta \pmod{1}$ ; (2) both are strictly preperiodic. In the first case,  $c = c(\theta_1) = c(\theta_2)$  is the root of a hyperbolic component  $H$  with center  $c_H$  and  $\log r_{\theta_1} = \log r_{\theta_2} = h(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$ . In the second,  $c = c(\theta_1) = c(\theta_2)$  is a Misiurewicz point and  $\log r_{\theta_1} = \log r_{\theta_2} = h(\mathcal{H}(f_c), f_c)$  still holds.

Let  $\Phi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^* = \{w \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : |w| > 1\}$  be the Douady-Hubbard isomorphism. For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ , we call  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}, \theta) = \{\Phi^{-1}(e^{2\pi i\theta}) : r > 1\}$  the external ray of  $\mathcal{M}$  at angle  $\theta$  and let  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$  denote the prime end impression of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  at angle  $\theta$ . It is known that  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$  coincides with the cluster set of  $\Phi^{-1}$  at  $\xi = e^{2\pi i\theta}$ . See [9, p.173, Theorem 9.4]. This cluster set consists of all points  $c \in \partial\mathcal{M}$  such that there are two infinite sequences  $r_j \downarrow 1$  and  $\theta_j \rightarrow \theta$  with  $\Phi^{-1}(r_j e^{2\pi i\theta_j}) \rightarrow c$ .

We can induce two closed equivalences.

One is the smallest closed equivalence on  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  such that every impression  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$  is contained in a single class. The classes of this equivalence form an upper semi-continuous decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$ , which is just the core decomposition of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  with Peano quotient. The existence of the core decomposition with Peano quotient  $\mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$  for any planar compactum  $K$  is obtained in [29, Theorem 7]. When  $K$  equals the boundary of one component of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$ , the core decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$  is already known from [4, 5]. When  $K$  is the Julia set of a polynomial with no irrational neutral cycle, the core decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$  is identified in [25, Theorem 3]. When  $K = \partial\mathcal{M}$ , the decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$  is also addressed in [39, 40]. Here we note that in [25, 39, 40] the elements of the core decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$  are called fibers of  $K$ .

The other is the closed equivalence  $\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that two numbers  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are identified if and only if the impressions  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta_i)$  are contained in the same element of  $\mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$ . The equivalence  $\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$  has been discussed in earlier literature [10, 42]. There is a homeomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$  equipped with the quotient topology onto the quotient  $\mathbb{T}/\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$ , which sends every element  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$  to the class of  $\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$  that consists of all  $\theta$  with  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta) \subset \delta$ . Let  $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}/\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$  be the natural projection and  $\tau_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$  the map sending every  $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$  to the unique element of  $\mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$  containing the impression  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$ . Then  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \tau_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta) = \rho_{\mathcal{M}}(\theta)$  holds for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ .

There are two observations. First, from the continuity of  $\kappa_1(\theta) = \log r_{\theta}$  one may induce a continuous map  $\kappa_2 : \mathbb{T}/\sim_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies  $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 \circ \rho_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Second, we can take a composite of  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}|_{\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2}$  with  $\kappa_2$  and obtain a continuous real function of  $\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2$ . Both  $\kappa_2$  and  $\phi_{\mathcal{M}}$  are uniformly continuous, so is the composite  $\kappa_2 \circ \phi_{\mathcal{M}}|_{\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2}$ , which then has a continuous extension over the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . Denote this extension by  $h_T : \partial\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and call it the **Thurston Map**. One can infer that  $h_T$  can be further extended continuously over the whole Mandelbrot set  $\mathcal{M}$ . See Theorem 7.2 and Lemma 7.12. The resulting extension is still denoted by  $h_T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and called the **extended Thurston map**.

Dudko and Schleicher [14] address how to obtain the map  $h_T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in a different approach, that is more or less of a combinatorial nature. Gao and Tiozzo [18] extend the growth number  $r_{\theta}$  to higher degree cases, such that the continuity still holds for appropriately chosen domains. Such domains then naturally correspond to certain sets of polynomials  $\mathcal{P}_d$  on which there will be well-defined continuous maps  $\tilde{h}_T : \mathcal{P}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , to be called the Thurston map of degree  $d$ .

Due to [17], the equality  $\tilde{h}_T(f) = h_{core}(f)$  holds for all PCF polynomials  $f \in \mathcal{P}_d$ . One may wonder how  $\tilde{h}_T(f)$  is related to the dynamics of  $f$ , when  $f$  is non-PCF. In particular,  $h_T(c) = \kappa_2 \circ \phi_{\mathcal{M}}(c) = h(\mathcal{H}(f_c), f_c)$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2$ . That is to say,  $h_T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous extension of the function  $c \mapsto h(\mathcal{H}(f_c), f_c)$  of classical core entropy. The question is, for non-PCF quadratics  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  with  $c \in \partial\mathcal{M}$ , can we find some connection between  $h_T(c)$  and the dynamics of  $f_c$ ?

Many issues are relevant. Those to be discussed in the current paper are of two kinds. In the first category we include the basics of the core entropy  $h_{core}(f)$ . Among others, we will address the following:

- (1) How to define  $h_{core}(f)$  for all polynomials having a connected Julia set?

- (2) How is  $h_{core}(f)$  related to the classical core entropy  $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$ ?
- (3) How does  $h_{core}(f)$  tie in with the renormalization of polynomials?

In the second category we include an analysis of the entropy function  $h_*(c) = h_{core}(f_c)$  for  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ , with  $c$  running through the Mandelbrot set  $\mathcal{M}$ . Restricted to  $\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2$ , the function  $h_*$  and the Thurston map  $h_T$  coincide with each other. Thus  $h_T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous extension of  $h_*|_{\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2}$  over  $\mathcal{M}$ . Naturally, it is of interest to analyze the entropy map  $h_* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and its level sets. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{biac}$  consist of all impressions of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  that intersect at least another impression. Our analysis of the two maps  $h_*, h_T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  includes but is not limited to the following.

- (4) To show that  $h_*(c)$  is constant on any prime end impression of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ .
- (5) To show that  $h_*(c) = h_T(c)$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{M}_{biac}$ .
- (6) To show that  $h_*(c)$  is constant on any primitive baby Mandelbrot set.

**Basic notions and notations.** Given a compact metric space  $X$  and a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . We call  $(X, f)$  a system and denote its topological entropy by  $h(X, f)$ . Here  $f$  is typically a polynomial and  $X$  the Julia set  $J$  or the Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ , when it exists. If  $\mu$  is an invariant probability measure of  $(X, f)$ , defined on the Borel sigma field of  $X$ , we call  $(X, f, \mu)$  a measure-preserving system and denote by  $h_\mu(f)$  the measure-theoretic entropy of  $f$  with respect to  $\mu$ . For the fundamentals of topological and measure-theoretic entropy, we refer to [47].

**The Maximal Dendrite Factor and the Core Entropy  $h_{core}(f)$ .** To identify the classical core entropy, one looks at the subsystem  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  obtained by restricting  $f$  to the Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ . This tree is invariant under  $f$  and contains the critical set  $\Omega_f$ , which consists of all the critical points of  $f$ . But it may not exist when  $f$  is not PCF. To identify the quantity  $h_{core}(f)$ , we will pick a special factor of  $(J, f)$ , to be specified shortly in Theorem 1, such that the underlying space is a dendrite and the semi-conjugacy  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a monotone map. Here a monotone map means a continuous map whose point inverses are each a continuum. We can fix a unique subsystem, based on the minimal sub-dendrite that is invariant and contains  $\tilde{\pi}(\Omega_f)$ . The topological entropy of this subsystem will be called the core entropy  $h_{core}(f)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A **dendrite factor** of  $(J, f)$  is a system  $(T, g)$  formed by a continuous map  $g$  of a dendrite  $T$  into itself, such that there is a **monotone** map  $\varrho : J \rightarrow T$  onto  $T$  with  $\varrho \circ f = g \circ \varrho$ . The **maximal dendrite factor** of  $(J, f)$ , if it exists, is a dendrite factor  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$  such that every dendrite factor of  $(J, f)$  is also a dendrite factor of  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f$  has a connected Julia set  $J$  then  $(J, f)$  has a maximal dendrite factor.*

The maximal dendrite factor  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$  is unique up to a topological conjugacy. Each dendrite factor  $(T, g)$  determines an upper semi-continuous (usc) decomposition of  $J$  into sub-continua, which are just the point inverses of the semi-conjugacy  $\varrho : J \rightarrow T$ . The one determined by  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{D}^J(f)$ , is the **finest** such decomposition.

To determine  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$ , it will suffice to identify  $\mathcal{D}^J(f)$ . We will do that in four steps.

First, we give a closed equivalence  $\sim_f$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  in Definition 2.1. The classes of  $\sim_f$  form an usc decomposition  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  and the projection  $\tilde{\pi} : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(f)$  sends the filled Julia set  $K$  to a dendrite. Second, we show that  $\sim_f$  coincides with the closed equivalence  $\sim_f^\#$  given in Definition 2.3, whose classes may be constructed from below by using prime end impressions of the unbounded Fatou component and the closures of the bounded Fatou components. See Theorem 2.4. Third, we show that  $f(\delta)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  for each  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ . See Theorem 2.5. Moreover, the induced system  $(\tilde{\pi}(K), \bar{f})$  with  $\bar{f}(\delta) = f(\delta)$  is a dendrite factor of  $(J, f)$ . Finally, we show in Theorem 2.7 that  $(\tilde{\pi}(K), \bar{f})$  is the maximal dendrite factor of  $(J, f)$ , although it may not be the maximal dendrite factor of  $(K, f)$ . Note that if  $\delta$  is disjoint from  $K$  then it is a singleton. On the other hand, if it does intersect  $K$  then  $\delta \cap J$  is always a continuum. Therefore, we just set  $\mathcal{D}^J(f) = \{\delta \cap J : \delta \in \mathcal{D}(f)\}$ .

Recall that, by Definition 2.1, each  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(f)$  is **non-separating**, so that  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \delta$  is connected. By Moore's Theorem [35, Theorem 22], the resulting quotient space is homeomorphic to  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Thus  $\tilde{\pi}(K) = \tilde{\pi}(J)$  is considered as a dendrite on the plane, to be denoted by  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  throughout in this paper. The induced map  $\delta \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} f(\delta)$  is well-defined and the systems  $(\tilde{\pi}(K), \bar{f})$  and  $(\tilde{\pi}(J), \bar{f})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$  are one and the same thing. The two commutative diagrams below are useful in our study.

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} K & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & K \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \mathcal{T}(f) & \xrightarrow{\quad \bar{f} \quad} & \mathcal{T}(f) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} J & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & J \\ \tilde{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \mathcal{T}(f) & \xrightarrow{\quad \bar{f} \quad} & \mathcal{T}(f) \end{array}$$

We define the core entropy  $h_{core}(f)$  as follows.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\Omega_0(f)$  consist of all the critical points of  $f$  and  $\Omega_1(f) = f(\Omega_0(f))$ . For  $i = 0, 1$  let  $\mathcal{T}_i(f) \subset \mathcal{T}(f)$  be the smallest sub-continuum that is invariant under  $\bar{f}$  and contains  $\tilde{\pi}(\Omega_i(f))$ . We call  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$  the **dynamic core** of  $f$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_1(f), \bar{f})$  the **reduced dynamic core**. We set  $h_{core}(f) = h(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$  and call it the **core entropy** of  $f$ . Sometimes, we also refer to  $\mathcal{T}_0(f)$  as the **topological core** of  $f$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1(f)$  the **reduced topological core**.

Note that  $h_{core}(f)$  equals the topological entropy of  $(\mathcal{T}_1(f), \bar{f})$ , since  $\bar{f}(\mathcal{T}_0(f)) = \mathcal{T}_1(f)$ . Also note that the core entropy is well defined for any polynomial-like map  $f : U' \rightarrow U$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  that has a connected Julia set  $J_f$ . By [13, Theorem 1], such a map  $f$  is **hybrid equivalent** to a polynomial  $P$  of degree  $d$  with a connected Julia set  $J_P$ . In particular,  $f$  and  $P$  are **topologically equivalent**, in the sense that there is a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $U'$  onto a neighborhood  $V'$  of the filled Julia set of  $P$  with  $h \circ f(x) = P \circ h(x)$  for all  $x \in U'$ . So  $(J_f, f)$  and  $(J_P, P)$  share the same maximal dendrite factor. Moreover,  $h$  sends the critical points (respectively, the critical values) of  $f$  to those of  $P$ . Therefore, the dynamic core of  $(J_f, f)$  is conjugate with that of  $(J_P, P)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** We set  $h_{core}(f) = h_{core}(P)$  and call it the **core entropy** of the polynomial-like map  $f$ .

Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be polynomials (or polynomial-like maps) with the same degree  $d \geq 2$ . Following [33], we say that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are  **$J$ -equivalent** provided that there is a homeomorphism  $h : J_1 \rightarrow J_2$  with  $h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h$ . In other words, the two systems  $(J_1, f_1)$  and  $(J_2, f_2)$  are (topologically) conjugate. The  $J$ -equivalence also implies that the maximal dendrite factors  $(\mathcal{T}(f_i), \overline{f_i})$  are conjugate. Let  $\mathcal{D}(f_i)$  consist of the classes of the equivalence  $\sim_{f_i}$  (given in Definition 2.1) and  $\tilde{\pi}_i : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(f_i)$  the projection. The induced map  $\bar{h} : \mathcal{T}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f)$  given by  $\tilde{\pi}_1(z) \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} \tilde{\pi}_2(h(z))$  is a homeomorphism that conjugates  $(\mathcal{T}(f_1), \overline{f_1})$  with  $(\mathcal{T}(f_2), \overline{f_2})$ . Note that the point inverse under  $\overline{f_i}$  of  $\tilde{\pi}_i(z)$  with  $z \in J_i$  has  $l < d$  elements if and only if  $z \in \Omega_1(f_i)$ . Therefore,  $\bar{h}$  sends  $\tilde{\pi}_1(\Omega_1(f_1))$  onto  $\tilde{\pi}_2(\Omega_1(f_2))$  hence the following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Given two polynomials (or polynomial-like maps)  $f$  and  $g$  that have connected Julia sets. If they are  $J$ -equivalent then  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  is conjugate with  $(\mathcal{T}(g), \overline{g})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \overline{f})$  with  $(\mathcal{T}_0(g), \overline{g})$ . In particular, we have  $h_{core}(f) = h_{core}(g)$ .*

**The Dichotomy Theorem and the Biaccessibility Dimension.** By Theorem 1, the maximal dendrite factor  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  is uniquely determined by  $f$ . In the sequel, we further show the following.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f$  has a connected Julia set  $J$ , then either  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  is a singleton or  $h(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f}) = h(J, f)$ .*

To do that, we set  $\sigma_d(w) = w^d$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{S}^1$  and relate  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  to  $(\mathbb{S}^1, \sigma_d)$  in the following way.

Let  $\phi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^* = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : |z| > 1\}$  be the **Böttcher isomorphism**. See for instance [34, p.188]. For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , let  $\text{Imp}(K, \xi)$  denote the prime end impression of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  at  $\xi$ . It is known that  $\text{Imp}(K, \xi)$  coincides with the cluster set of  $\phi^{-1}$  at  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , which consists of all points  $z \in J = \partial K$  such that there exist  $\xi_n \in \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $r_n > 1$  satisfying three requirements: (1)  $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ , (2)  $r_n \rightarrow 1$ , and (3)  $\phi^{-1}(r_n \xi_n) \rightarrow z$ . See for instance [9, p.173, Theorem 9.4].

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\tau(\xi)$  be the unique element of  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  whose preimage under  $\tilde{\pi}$  contains  $\text{Imp}(K, \xi)$ .

For  $\xi = e^{2\pi i \theta}$  with  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ , we also write  $\text{Imp}(K, \theta)$  instead of  $\text{Imp}(K, \xi)$  and call it the impression of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  at angle  $\theta$ . Set  $A(f) = \tau^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_0(f))$ . Since  $\tau : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f)$  is continuous and since every point inverse  $\tau^{-1}(u)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{T}(f)$  is sent by  $\sigma_d$  onto  $\tau^{-1}(\overline{f}(u))$ , we have two more commutative diagrams.

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{S}^1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_d} & \mathbb{S}^1 \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \mathcal{T}(f) & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & \mathcal{T}(f) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A(f) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_d} & A(f) \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \mathcal{T}_0(f) & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & \mathcal{T}_0(f) \end{array}$$

The dichotomy given in Theorem 2 is natural. Let  $E_i(f) (i \in \{\infty, 1, 2, \dots\})$  consist of all  $w \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $\tau^{-1}(\tau(w))$  is a set with cardinality  $i$  or an infinite set, when  $i = \infty$ . If  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  is not a singleton, one can use the unlinked property of the point inverses  $\tau^{-1}(u)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{T}(f)$  to infer that  $E_1(f) \cup E_2(f)$  has full Haar measure. By the formula (1.2) in [27], we will show that  $h(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f}) = h(J, f)$ . See section 3.

Recall that the classical core entropy of quadratics is closely related to the so-called biaccessibility dimension. For  $c \in [-2, \frac{1}{4}]$ , it is easy to verify that  $\beta(c) = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - c}$  is the beta fixed point of  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  and that the interval  $I_c = [-\beta(c), \beta(c)]$  is contained in the filled Julia set  $K_c$  and satisfies  $f_c(I_c) \subset I_c$ . By [44, Theorem 1.1], we have  $h(I_c, f_c) = \log 2 \cdot \dim_H S_c$ , where  $S_c$  consists of all  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that the prime end impression  $\text{Imp}(K_c, \xi)$  (always a single point for  $c \in [-2, \frac{1}{4}]$ ) is contained in  $I_c$ . For more general choice of  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ , see [14, Appendix] for the connection between the Hausdorff dimension of a subset  $\text{Biac}(f)$  of  $\bigcup_{j \geq 2} E_j(f)$  and the function  $\tilde{h} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \log 2]$  given in [14, Theorem 1.1]. We define as follows the biaccessibility dimension for all polynomials having a connected Julia set.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $B(f) = A(f) \cap E_2(f)$  and call  $\dim_H B(f)$  the **bi-accessibility dimension** of  $f$ .

Given  $n \geq 1$  and  $w \in A(f^n)$ , we can find  $k \geq 0$  with  $\sigma_d^k(w) \in A(f)$ . See Remark 1.11. On the other hand, given  $w$  in  $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus E_1(f)$  there is an integer  $k \geq 0$  with  $\sigma_d^k(w) \in A(f)$ . In particular, if  $w \in E_2(f)$  then for all but at most countably many exceptions we can find an integer  $k \geq 0$  with  $\sigma_d^k(w) \in B(f)$ . Therefore, we can infer that  $\dim_H B(f) = \dim_H E_2(f)$ . Since the closure  $\overline{B(f)}$  is a subset of  $A(f)$  and satisfies  $\sigma_d(\overline{B(f)}) \subset \overline{B(f)}$ , the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $\overline{B(f)}$  semi-conjugates  $(\overline{B(f)}, \sigma_d)$  with  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$ .

If  $f$  is of degree  $d = 2$  then  $\overline{B(f)} \setminus (E_1(f) \cup E_2(f))$  has at most countably many points. So one may apply [7, Theorem 17] to infer that  $h(\overline{B(f)}, \sigma_d)$  is no greater than the sum of  $h(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$  and the supremum  $\sup\{h(\sigma_d, \tau^{-1}(u)) : u \in \mathcal{T}_0(f)\}$ , the latter of which is trivially zero. Therefore, the following corollary is also immediate.

**Corollary 1.7.** *If  $c \in \mathcal{M}$  then  $h_{\text{core}}(f_c) = h(\overline{B(f_c)}, \sigma_2) = \log 2 \cdot \dim_H \overline{B(f_c)}$ .*

By Theorem 2, the closure  $\overline{E_2(f)}$  is either empty or equal to  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . In the first case,  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  is a point and  $E_2(f) = \emptyset$ . In the second,  $\overline{E_2(f)} = \mathbb{S}^1$  and  $\dim_H B(f) = \dim_H E_2(f)$  always holds, since  $E_2(f)$  coincides with the countable union  $\bigcup_{\geq 0} \sigma_d^{-1}(B(f))$ . The following question is of interest.

**Problem 1.8.** For polynomials  $f$  of degree  $d \geq 3$ , is it always true that  $\frac{h_{\text{core}}(f)}{\log d} = \dim_H \overline{B(f)}$ ?

**The Core Entropy  $h_{\text{core}}(f)$  Does Extend the Classical Core Entropy  $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$ .** According to [47, p.58, Definition 2.4], two measure-preserving transformations  $T_i : (X_i, \mathcal{F}_i, m_i) \rightarrow (X_i, \mathcal{F}_i, m_i)$  with  $i = 1, 2$  are isomorphic provided that there exist  $Y_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$  with  $m_i(Y_i) = 1$  and  $T_i(Y_i) \subset Y_i$  and one can find a measurable bijection  $\phi : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  such that  $\phi \circ T_1(x) = T_2 \circ \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in Y_1$ . To illustrate how  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$  is connected with  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$ , when the latter exists, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $f$  is PCF then  $\rho = \tilde{\pi}|_{\mathcal{H}(f)}$  is monotone and semi-conjugates  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  with  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$ . If  $\nu$  is an ergodic measure of  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  and is NOT supported on a cycle  $\{z_1, \dots, z_p\}$  with each  $z_i$  lying in the closure of a bounded Fatou component, then  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f, \nu)$  is isomorphic with  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f}, \nu \circ \rho^{-1})$ .*

**Remark 1.9.** Given a non-PCF polynomial  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  that has a locally connected filled Julia set  $K_c$ . One may define the Hubbard tree to be the smallest (possibly infinite) regulated tree  $T_c \subset K_c$  that contains the critical orbit and is invariant under  $f_c$ . See [3] or [44, Definition 4.1]. Particularly, if  $f_c$  is **topologically finite**, so that  $T_c = \overline{T_c}$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  is the image of  $T_c$  under a monotone map. If further  $K_c = J_c$ , we can embed the dynamic core  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_c), \overline{f_c})$  as a subsystem of  $(J_c, f_c)$ . This is the case, if there are two dynamic rays landing at the critical value  $c$ . See [44, Proposition 4.5]. In more general cases such a **dynamic embedding** may not exist. Indeed, when  $K_c$  is NOT locally connected, even the topological embedding of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  into  $K_c$  remains unknown [23, Remark 4.5].

**The Core Entropy of a Renormalizable Polynomial.** To establish a connection between the dynamic core  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  of a renormalizable polynomial  $f$  and that of the so-called **small Julia set**, let us recall some observations and introduce further terminology.

First,  $J$  is the Julia set of  $f^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  is completely determined by the topology of  $J$ , one may directly verify that the  $n$ -th iterated system  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f^n})$  of  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  is the maximal dendrite factor of  $(J, f^n)$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Second, every critical point of  $f^n$  is eventually mapped to a critical point of  $f$  thus the dynamic core  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f^n), \overline{f^n})$  of  $(J, f^n)$  properly contains  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \overline{f^n})$  as a subsystem, when  $n$  is large enough. Third, if a half-open arc  $\gamma_0$  in  $\mathcal{T}_0(f^n) \setminus \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  connects some  $u_0 = \tilde{\pi}(z_0) \notin \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  (with  $z_0$  a critical point of  $f^n$ ) to a point  $v_0 \in \mathcal{T}_0(f)$ , then  $\gamma_0$  is mapped by  $\overline{f}$  onto the arc  $\overline{f}(\gamma_0)$ . There are two possibilities, either  $u_1 = \overline{f}(u_0) \in \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  hence  $\overline{f}(\gamma_0) \subset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  or  $u_1 \notin \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  hence  $\overline{f}(\gamma_0) \not\subset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$ . In the latter case,  $\gamma_1 = \overline{f}(\gamma_0) \setminus \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  is a half-open arc in  $\mathcal{T}(f) \setminus \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  connecting  $u_1$  to a point  $v_1 \in \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  and  $\gamma_2 = \overline{f}(\gamma_1)$  is also an arc. Inductively, we can infer that  $\overline{f}(\gamma_{k-1}) \subset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . As  $f^n$  has finitely many critical points  $z_0 \notin \mathcal{T}_0(f)$ , we shall have  $\overline{f^n}(\mathcal{T}_0(f^n)) \subset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$ .

It follows that each invariant probability measure of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f^n), \overline{f^n})$  is supported on  $\mathcal{T}_0(f)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{T}_0(f)$  contains the **measure center** of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f^n), \overline{f^n})$ , which is defined to be the smallest compact set  $M$  such that  $\mu(M) = 1$  for each invariant probability measure  $\mu$  of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f^n), \overline{f^n})$ . So it is immediate that  $h(\mathcal{T}_0(f^n), \overline{f^n}) = h(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \overline{f^n})$ . See for instance [51].

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f) \subset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  be the smallest sub-continuum containing the measure center of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \overline{f})$ . We call  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \overline{f})$  the **irreducible dynamic core** of  $f$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f)$  the **irreducible topological core**.

**Remark 1.11.** Note that  $\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f) \subset \mathcal{T}_1(f) \subset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$ . Also note that the measure center  $M$  of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \overline{f})$  coincides with the closure of  $W(f)$ , the set of all the weakly almost periodic points of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \overline{f})$  [51]. So  $f(M) = M$  always holds, implying that  $\overline{f}(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f)) = \mathcal{T}_{irr}(f)$ . On the one hand, every invariant probability measure of  $(\mathcal{T}(f^n), \overline{f^n})$  is also an invariant probability measure of  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \overline{f^n}) = (\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \overline{f^n})$ . On the other, the measure center of  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \overline{f^n})$  coincides with that of  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \overline{f})$  [51]. Therefore,  $\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f^n) =$



$\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f)$  holds for all  $n \geq 1$ , implying that  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \bar{f}^n)$  is the irreducible dynamic core of  $f^n$ . Therefore, we shall have  $h_{core}(f^n) = n \cdot h_{core}(f)$ .

Now we investigate how the core entropy  $h_{core}(f)$  ties in with the renormalization of polynomials. Indeed, if  $f$  has a connected filled Julia set  $K$  and  $f^p(p \geq 2)$  is renormalizable in an appropriately chosen neighborhood  $U$  of some continuum  $Y \subset K$ , then  $f^p|_U$  is hybrid equivalent to a polynomial  $g$  restricted to a neighborhood  $U_0$  of its filled Julia set  $K_0$ . This is ensured by the well known Straightening Theorem [13, Theorem 1]. In such a case, we often call  $Y$  the **small** filled Julia set.

**Theorem 4.** *The irreducible dynamic core  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(g), \bar{g})$  is topologically conjugate with a subsystem of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f}^p)$ , the  $p$ -th iterated system of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$ . Thus  $h_{core}(f) \geq \frac{1}{p}h_{core}(g)$  always holds.*

**Remark 1.12.** A special case of Theorem 4 is noteworthy. Suppose that  $c_H$  is the center of a primitive hyperbolic component  $H$  of  $\mathcal{M}^o$ , of period  $p \geq 2$ , and  $c' = c_H \perp c$  is the parameter obtained by tuning  $c_H$  with some  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $f_{c'}(z) = z^2 + c'$  is renormalizable and the restriction  $g = f_{c'}^p|_U$  to an appropriate neighborhood  $U$  of the small filled Julia set is polynomial-like and hybrid equivalent to  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ . By Theorem 7.2, we shall have  $h_{core}(f_{c_H \perp c}) = h_{core}(f_{c_H})$ . Note that if  $H$  is not primitive then  $c = \frac{1}{4}$  is not allowed and we only have  $h_{core}(f_{c_H \perp c}) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p}h_{core}(f_c), h_{core}(f_{c_H}) \right\}$ . See Theorem 7.8.

**The Core Entropy of  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  with  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ .** In the sequel, we turn to study  $h_*(c) = h_{core}(f_c)$  for  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  with  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ . We will address how  $h_* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \log 2]$  is connected to the Thurston map  $h_T : \partial\mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \log 2]$  and how the level sets of  $h_*$  are related to the structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

For any primitive hyperbolic component  $H \neq H_\heartsuit$  with center  $c_H$ , the set  $\mathcal{M}_H$  consisting of all  $c' = c_H \perp c$  with  $c \in \mathcal{M}$  is called a primitive baby Mandelbrot set. By Theorem 7.2 we know that  $h_*(c)$  is constantly equal to  $h_*(c_H)$  for  $c \in \mathcal{M}_H$ . The Thurston map  $h_T : \partial\mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \log 2]$  then has a continuous extension over the whole  $\mathcal{M}$ , except for the hyperbolic components  $H$  that can be connected to the major cardioid  $H_\heartsuit$  by the closures of finitely many hyperbolic components, which form a sub-continuum of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Such a component  $H$  is contained in the central molecule  $M_0$  [24], to be defined as follows.

Let  $M_0^-$  consist of  $c \in \mathcal{M}$  such that there exist finitely many hyperbolic components  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  with  $\bigcup_i \bar{H}_i$  a connected set containing  $\{0, c\}$ . Let  $M_0$  consist of all the prime end impressions of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  intersecting the closure of  $M_0^-$ . Then  $M_0$  is a continuum and we call it the **central molecule**. The local connectedness of  $\mathcal{M}$  remains open, so it is unknown whether  $M_0 = \overline{M_0^-}$ .

By Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 7.11, the equality  $h_*(c) = 0$  holds for all  $c \in M_0$  that belongs to or is the root of some hyperbolic component  $H \subset M_0$ . In particular,  $h_*(c) = h_T(c) = 0$  for all  $c$  lying in  $M_0 \cap \mathcal{D}_1$ . Setting  $h_T(c) = 0$  for all  $c \in M_0$ , we obtain the extended Thurston map  $h_T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Note that  $h_*(c) = h_T(c)$  holds everywhere in the interior  $\mathcal{M}^o$ . To compare  $h_*(c)$  and  $h_T(c)$  with  $c \in \partial\mathcal{M}$  such that  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  is not PCF, we introduce further terminology.

First, let  $E_S$  consist of all parameters  $c \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $f_c$  has a periodic Siegel disk whose boundary is an indecomposable continuum. Second, let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{tip}}$  consist of all **tip parameters**  $c$ , so that  $c$  does not lie on the boundary of any hyperbolic component and  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \{c\}$  is connected. Recall that it remains open whether  $E_S$  is actually an empty set [38, Question 8.2]. Finally, let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{biac}}$  consist of all the prime end impressions of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  that intersect at least another impression.

We will obtain the following.

**Theorem 5.** *For all  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $h_*(c) \geq h_T(c)$ . Moreover, the equality holds for all  $c \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{biac}}$ .*

Notice that, in Theorem 5, the inequality  $h_*(c) > h_T(c)$  holds only for certain parameters  $c$  lying in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{tip}}$  or  $E_S \cap M_0$  such that  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  is not PCF. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{tip}}^* \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{tip}}$  consist of all parameters  $c \in \text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$  whose orbit under  $t \mapsto 2t \pmod{1}$  has a dense orbit in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then  $h_*(c) = \log 2 > h_T(c)$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{tip}}^*$  contains a Borel set  $X \subset \partial\mathcal{M}$  of full harmonic measure, the level set  $h_*^{-1}(\log 2)$  is dense in  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  and  $h_*$  is continuous at no point of  $\partial\mathcal{M} \setminus \{-2\}$ . Concerning how  $X$  may be constructed, we refer to [50, Theorem 1]. By this theorem, for all  $c \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{tip}}^*$  we have the following: (1) the Julia set  $J_c$  of  $f_c$  is a dendrite; (2) the orbit of  $c$  under  $f_c$  is dense in  $J_c$  hence  $(J_c, f_c)$  is the maximal dendrite factor of  $f$ ; (3) the singleton  $\{c\}$  is an atom of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The remainder of this paper is arranged in the following way. In sections 2 to 6 we respectively prove Theorems 1 to 5. In section 7 we illustrate how the dynamic cores  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_c), \overline{f_c})$  of  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  are tied with the tuning operator of quadratics. In particular, we show that  $h_*(c)$  is constant on any baby Mandelbrot set. See Theorem 7.2, which complements the result of Theorem 5.

## 2. THE MAXIMAL DENDRITE FACTOR $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$ AND THE CORE ENTROPY $h_{\text{core}}(f)$

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.

Recall that every nonempty compactum  $K \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  has a unique core decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$  with Peano quotient [29, Theorem 7]. This is the finest usc decomposition of  $K$  into sub-continua such that the resulting quotient space is a Peano compactum. Here a **Peano compactum** is a compact metric space with locally connected components such that for any  $C > 0$  at most finitely many of its components are of diameter greater than  $C$ . We refer to [29, Theorem 3] concerning how the notion of Peano compactum arises from the study of polynomial Julia sets, especially the two dynamic models discussed in [4, 5].

Since it refines every other usc decomposition of  $K$  into sub-continua such that the resulting quotient space is a Peano compactum, the core decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$  is necessarily an **atomic decomposition** of  $K$  in the sense of [16, Definition 1.1], with respect to the property of having a Peano compactum as the quotient space. Therefore, elements of  $\mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$  will be called **atoms** of  $K$  in the sequel.

By [31, Theorem 1.1], for any compact set  $K \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  and any rational map  $f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ , every atom of  $f^{-1}(K)$  is sent onto an atom of  $K$ . Here  $K$  may not be the Julia set of  $f$ . When  $f$  is a polynomial and

$K$  is the filled Julia set of  $f$ , the same result is already known from [4, 5]. We will see how this plays a role in developing the theory of core entropy for polynomials.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f$  be a polynomial with degree  $d \geq 2$  and  $K$  its filled Julia set. Let  $\sim_f$  be the smallest closed equivalence on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that every atom of  $K$  and every bounded Fatou component is contained in a single class. Let  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  consist of all the classes of  $\sim_f$  and  $\tilde{\pi} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(f)$  the natural projection.

Note that, in Definition 2.1 and hereafter until Theorem 2.7, we do not require that the filled Julia set  $K$  be connected. Also note that every class of  $\sim_f$  is either disjoint from or contained in  $K$ . In the first case, such a class is a singleton disjoint from  $K$ ; in the second, it intersects  $J$  at a continuum. To illustrate how the elements of  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  may be constructed from below, we need to employ a monotone map of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  onto itself.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d \geq 2$  there is a finest monotone map  $m = m_f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  that sends the filled Julia set  $K$  to a Peano compactum with connected complement.*

This monotone map  $m$  may be derived from [4, Lemma 15] and [5, Theorem 19]. More precisely, every point inverse  $m^{-1}(u)$  is either a singleton disjoint from  $J = \partial K$  or coincides with  $\mathbf{TH}(\delta)$  for some  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}_K^{PC}$ . Here, following [4, Definition 14] we denote by  $\mathbf{TH}(X)$  the **topological hull** of a continuum  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$ , which consists of  $X$  and all the bounded components of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus X$ . Note that the Peano compactum  $m(K)$  may have interior points. In such a case,  $m(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K)$  is not dense in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  hence a non-degenerate component of  $m(K)$  may not be a dendrite.

The following equivalence is useful and its classes can be constructed from below.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\sim_f^\#$  be the equivalence on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  that identifies  $y, z$  if and only if there is a finite or infinite sequence of continua  $N_k$ , each of which is an atom of  $J = \partial K$  or consists of all atoms of  $K$  intersecting the closure of a bounded Fatou component, such that  $\bigcup_k N_k$  is connected and contains  $\{y, z\}$ .

The equivalence  $\sim_f^\#$  is contained in  $\sim_f$ , as subsets of the product  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *The equivalence  $\sim_f^\#$  is closed. Consequently, it coincides with  $\sim_f$ .*

*Proof.* For any infinite sequence  $\{(y_n, z_n)\}$  in  $\sim_f^\#$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$ , we shall verify that  $(y, z)$  also belongs to  $\sim_f^\#$ . For the sake of convenience, we denote by  $\delta_W$  the union of all the atoms of  $K$  that intersects the closure  $\overline{W}$  of a bounded Fatou component  $W$ . Note that  $\delta_W = m^{-1}(m(\overline{W}))$ . On the other hand, if  $z \in J$  then  $m^{-1}(m(z))$  is the atom of  $J$  containing  $z$ , which is also denoted by  $\delta_J(z)$ .

By Definition 2.3, we can find countably many continua  $N_{n,k}$  ( $n, k \geq 1$ ) such that for each  $n \geq 1$  the union  $\bigcup_k N_{n,k}$  is a connected set containing  $y_n$  and  $z_n$  both. Here every  $N_{n,k}$  is either an atom of  $J$  or coincides with  $\delta_W$  for some bounded Fatou component  $W$ .

Since  $m(K)$  is a Peano compactum, we may assume that  $\{y_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{z_n : n \geq 1\}$  is contained in a single component  $Q$  of  $K$ . Thus the two points  $y$  and  $z$  both belong to  $Q_0$ . If one of them, say  $y$ , lies in a bounded Fatou component  $W$  then there is an integer  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that for each  $n \geq n_0$ , at least one of the continua  $N_{n,k} (k \geq 1)$  contains  $\overline{W}$ . Then  $N_z \cup \left(\bigcup_{n,k} N_{n,k}\right)$  for each  $n \geq n_0$  is a connected set containing  $W \cup \{y, z\}$ , where

$$N_z = \begin{cases} m^{-1}(m(z)) & \text{when } z \in J = \partial K; \\ \delta_W & \text{when } z \text{ lies in a Fatou component } W. \end{cases}$$

This implies that  $(y, z)$  belongs to  $\sim_f^\#$ . In the sequel, we assume that  $y, z \in J$  and  $m(y) \neq m(z)$ . Then we consider the Peano continuum  $m(Q)$  and characterize its fibers as defined in [39, Definitions 2.2 and 2.3] and [40, Definition 4.1]. Here, we define the fiber of a continuum  $M \subset \mathbb{C}$  at  $x \in M$ , denoted by  $Fiber(x)$ , to be the set of all points  $x' \in M$  that can not be separated from  $x$  in  $M$  by a simple closed curve  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma \cap \partial M$  a finite set. See [22, Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2]. With such a modification, it is known that a planar continuum  $M$  with finitely many complementary components is locally connected if and only if every of its fibers is a singleton. See [28, Theorems 1 and 2].

Since  $m(Q)$  is a Peano continuum whose complement is connected, the fibers at  $m(y)$  and  $m(z)$  are singletons. So we can choose a Jordan curve  $\gamma_0$  intersecting  $m(Q)$  at a singleton or a simple arc, denoted by  $\alpha_0$ , such that the two components  $U_y$  and  $U_z$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \gamma_0$  contain  $m(y)$  and  $m(z)$  respectively.

Now we have all the ingredients to conclude that  $(y, z)$  belongs to  $\sim_f^\#$ .

Indeed, we can find  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that  $y_n \in m^{-1}(U_y)$  and  $z_n \in m^{-1}(U_z)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . It follows that for each  $n \geq n_0$  the countable union  $\bigcup_j m(N_{n,j})$  is a connected set intersecting  $U_y$  and  $U_z$  both. This implies that  $\bigcup_j m(N_{n,j})$  intersects  $\alpha_0$ . Let

$$N_0 = \begin{cases} m^{-1}(\alpha_0) & \text{when } \alpha_0 \text{ is a singleton;} \\ \delta_W & \text{when } \alpha_0 \text{ is a simple arc in } m(\overline{W}) \text{ for some Fatou component } W. \end{cases}$$

Then  $m^{-1}(m(y)) \cup m^{-1}(m(z)) \cup N_0 \cup \left(\bigcup_{n,j} N_{n,j}\right)$  is a connected set that contains  $y$  and  $z$  both. By Definition 2.3, we know that  $(y, z)$  belongs to  $\sim_f^\#$ .  $\square$

Note that  $\mathcal{T}(f) = \tilde{\pi}(K)$  is a Peano compactum. Also note that  $\tilde{\pi}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K)$  is an open dense subset of the quotient space  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}/\sim_f$ , which is homeomorphic with  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . From this we can infer that every component of  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  is a dendrite. Moreover, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $\delta$  is a class of  $\sim_f$  so is  $f(\delta)$ . Thus  $(\tilde{\pi}(K), \overline{f})$  is a factor of  $(K, f)$ , with  $\delta \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} f(\delta)$ .*

**Remark 2.6.** When  $K$  is connected,  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  is a dendrite factor of  $(K, f)$ . Since every class of  $\sim_f$  intersects  $J$  at a connected set,  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  is also a dendrite factor of  $(J, f)$ . We will show that  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  is actually the maximal dendrite factor of  $(J, f)$ . Note that  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \overline{f})$  may not be the maximal dendrite

factor of  $(K, f)$ . For instance, if  $f(z) = z^2$  then  $K = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  is a singleton while  $(K, f)$  has a dendrite factor which is topologically conjugate with  $([0, 1], x \mapsto x^2)$ , via the semi-conjugacy  $\varrho(z) = |z|$ .

*Proof.* By [5, Theorem 6], every point inverse  $m^{-1}(u)$  is sent by  $f$  onto another point inverse. Therefore,  $f([z]) \subset [f(z)]$  holds for all the equivalence classes  $[z]$  of  $\sim_f^\#$ . The only issue is to show that  $f([z]) \supset [f(z)]$  holds for all  $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  or equivalently, for any  $y \in [f(z)] \setminus \{f(z)\}$  there is some  $x \in [z]$  with  $f(x) = y$ .

To do that, we choose continua  $N_k$  for  $k$  in some countable index set  $\mathcal{J}$ . Every  $N_k$  is either an atom of  $K$  that coincides with  $m^{-1}(u_k)$  for some  $u_k \in m(J)$  or a continuum  $\delta_W$  that consists of all atoms of  $K$  intersecting the closure of a bounded Fatou component  $W$ . The resulting countable union  $\bigcup_k N_k$  is a connected set containing  $f(z)$  and  $y$  both.

Recall that  $m : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  in Lemma 2.2 is a monotone map sending the filled Julia set  $K$  to a full Peano compactum. If  $Q$  is the component of  $K$  containing  $f(z)$  then  $m(Q)$  is a full Peano continuum containing  $a = m(f(z))$  and  $b = m(y)$  both. By [10, II.1] we can fix a regulated arc  $\gamma_{ab} \subset m(Q)$  from  $a$  to  $b$  such that for any bounded Fatou component  $W$  the intersection  $\gamma_{ab} \cap m(\overline{W})$  is either empty, or a single point, or a simple arc. Such an arc  $\gamma_{ab}$  is unique. Moreover, every  $u$  in  $\gamma_{ab} \setminus \{a, b\}$  either (1) separates  $a$  from  $b$  in  $m(Q)$  or (2) belongs to  $m(W)$  for some Fatou component  $W$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{j : m(N_j) \cap \gamma_{ab} \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $N_\infty = \bigcup_{j \in \mathcal{J}_0} N_j$ . Notice that  $N_\infty = m^{-1}(m(N_\infty))$ . Moreover, we may assume with no loss of generality that  $f(z) \in N_1$ ,  $y \in N_2$  and  $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{J}_0 \supset \{1, 2\}$ .

**We claim that  $N_\infty$  is a continuum satisfying  $m(N_\infty) \supset \gamma_{ab}$ .** By [36, p. 284, Theorem 13.14], the preimage  $f^{-1}(N_\infty)$  has  $1 \leq l \leq \deg(f)$  components each of which is sent by  $f$  onto  $N_\infty$ . The one containing  $z$  is a subset of  $[z]$  and contains a point  $x$  with  $f(x) = y$ . Since  $N_\infty \supset \{f(z), y\}$ , this shall complete our proof. In the sequel we obtain the above claim in three steps.

First, we show that  $m(\bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{J}_0} N_k) \supset \gamma_{ab}$ . This implies that  $m(N_\infty) \supset \gamma_{ab}$  and that  $N_\infty \supset m^{-1}(\gamma_{ab})$ , since it is known that  $N_\infty = m^{-1}(m(N_\infty))$ . Notice that every  $u \in \gamma_{ab} \setminus m(N_1 \cup N_2)$  separates  $a$  from  $b$  in  $\gamma_{ab}$ . If further  $u$  separates  $a$  from  $b$  in  $m(Q)$  then  $m^{-1}(u)$  is an atom of  $K$  cutting  $f(z)$  from  $y$  in  $K$ , implying that  $m^{-1}(u) = N_k$  for some  $k \in \mathcal{J}_0$ . Otherwise,  $u \in m(W)$  for some Fatou component  $W$ . Then  $\overline{m(W)} = m(\overline{W}) = m(\delta_W)$  separates  $a$  from  $b$  in  $m(Q)$ , where  $\delta_W = m^{-1}(m(\overline{W}))$ . Since  $\delta_W$  separates  $f(z)$  from  $y$  in  $K$ , we can find  $k \in \mathcal{J}_0$  with  $\delta_W = N_k$ .

Second, we show that for any sequence  $x_n \in N_\infty \setminus (N_1 \cup N_2)$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  we have  $x_0 \in N_\infty$ . This means that  $N_\infty$  is a closed set. To do that, we choose  $k_n \in \mathcal{J}_0$  with  $x_n \in N_{k_n}$  and find  $y_n \in N_{k_n}$  with  $m(y_n) \in \gamma_{ab}$ . By the compactness of  $\gamma_{ab}$  and by going to an appropriate subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that  $m(y_n) \rightarrow u_0 \in \gamma_{ab}$ . Since  $m(N_\infty) \supset \gamma_{ab}$  and since  $N_\infty = m^{-1}(m(N_\infty))$ , we can find  $k_0 \in \mathcal{J}_0$  with  $u_0 \in m(N_{k_0})$ . It follows that  $x_0 \in N_{k_0} \subset N_\infty$ .

Finally, we show that  $N_\infty$  is connected. Otherwise, for each separation  $N_\infty = E \cup F$  and for each  $N_k$  with  $k \in \mathcal{J}_0$  we have either  $N_k \subset E$  or  $N_k \subset F$ . It then follows that  $m(E)$  and  $m(F)$  are disjoint compact sets whose union contains  $\gamma_{ab}$ . This is absurd, since  $\gamma_{ab}$  is an arc.  $\square$

Hereafter in this section and in later sections, all polynomials  $f$  are of degree  $d \geq 2$  and have a connected Julia set  $J$ . To obtain Theorem 1, we focus on the collection  $\mathfrak{M}_f^J$  of all usc decompositions  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $J$  into sub-continua that satisfy each of the following three requirements.

- (1) The resulting quotient space is a dendrite.
- (2)  $f(\delta) \in \mathcal{D}$  holds for all  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}$  hence the induced map  $\delta \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} f(\delta)$  is well defined.
- (3) The projection  $J \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} \mathcal{D}$  is monotone and semi-conjugates  $(J, f)$  with  $(\mathcal{D}, \hat{f})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  be given as in Definition 2.1 and  $\mathcal{D}^J(f) = \{\delta \cap J : \delta \in \mathcal{D}(f)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}^J(f)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{M}_f^J$  by Theorem 2.5. Moreover, Theorem 1 is resolved by the following.

**Theorem 2.7.**  *$\mathcal{D}^J(f)$  is the finest element of  $\mathfrak{M}_f^J$ .*

*Proof.* If  $K = J$  then  $\mathcal{D}^J(f)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{D}_f^{PC}$  hence refines  $\mathcal{D}$ . So we only consider the case  $J \neq K$ .

Given  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathfrak{M}_f^J$ . Let  $\hat{f}$  be the induced map and  $\hat{\pi} : J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  the natural projection. Since the resulting quotient space is a dendrite, every atom of  $J$  is contained in a single element of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Note that every bounded Fatou component is eventually mapped onto a periodic Fatou component. Therefore, we only need to verify that the boundary of any periodic bounded Fatou component  $W$  is contained in a single element of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Also note that  $J$  may not be locally connected. To facilitate our arguments, we recall a decomposition of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  based on the core decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_f^{PC}$ . By [4, Theorem 1], the natural projection  $J \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_f^{PC}$  extends to be a monotone map  $m : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with two properties.

- The restriction of  $m$  to  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  is a homeomorphism fixing  $\infty$ .
- The closure of a bounded Fatou component  $W$  is mapped to a singleton if and only if  $W$  is a bounded component of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \delta_W$  for some  $\delta_W \in \mathcal{D}_f^{PC}$ . If such a  $\delta_W$  does not exist then  $m|_W$  is a homeomorphism.

Similarly, we can associate to  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathfrak{M}_f^J$  a decomposition  $\mathcal{D}^C$  with the following properties.

- Every singleton contained in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K$  is an element of  $\mathcal{D}^C$ .
- The closure of a bounded Fatou component  $W$  is contained in an element of  $\mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $W$  is a bounded component of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \delta_W$  for some  $\delta_W \in \mathcal{D}$ . If such a  $\delta_W$  does not exist then every singleton lying in  $W$  is an element of  $\mathcal{D}^C$ .

Still denote by  $\hat{\pi} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^C$  the natural projection of the decomposition  $\mathcal{D}^C$ . Note that every element of  $\mathcal{D}^C$  is a non-separating continuum. By Moore's Theorem [35, Theorem 22], the resulting quotient space is homeomorphic with  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Also note that every point inverse of  $m : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is necessarily contained in a single element of  $\mathcal{D}^C$ . Therefore, there is a unique monotone map  $\varphi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying  $\hat{\pi} = \varphi \circ m$ . Clearly, it suffices to show that if  $W$  is a periodic bounded Fatou component then  $\varphi \circ m(W)$  is a singleton.

Fix a periodic component  $W$  such that  $U = m(W)$  is not a singleton. Then  $U$  is a Jordan domain, since  $U$  is simply connected and since  $m(J)$  is locally connected.

**Claim 1.** The intersection  $m(\delta) \cap \partial U$  is connected for each  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}^C$ .

Otherwise, we could pick  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}^C$  with  $m(\delta) \cap \partial U$  disconnected. Fix a separation  $m(\delta) \cap \partial U = E \cup F$  and choose an open arc  $\gamma \subset m(\delta) \setminus \partial U$  connecting a point  $x \in E$  to a point  $y \in F$ . We can find such an arc  $\gamma$ , since  $m(\delta)$  is a Peano continuum. Then  $\gamma \cup \partial U$  is a  $\theta$ -curve. By [48, p.123,  $\theta$ -curve Theorem],  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\gamma \cup \partial U)$  has two bounded components, one of which is  $U$ . Let  $U'$  be the other bounded component of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\gamma \cup \partial U)$ . The common part of  $\partial U'$  and  $\partial U$  is a non-degenerate sub-arc of  $\partial U$  which, except for the two end points, is disjoint from the boundary of  $m(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K)$ . This is absurd, since  $\partial W \subset J = \partial(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K)$  and hence  $m(J)$  coincides with the boundary of  $m(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K)$ .

**Claim 2.** By **Claim 1** we can infer that  $\varphi(\partial U)$  is a singleton. This implies that  $\varphi \circ m(W) = \varphi(U)$  is also a singleton, since  $\varphi(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  has no cut point.

Suppose on the contrary there were  $\delta' \neq \delta'' \in \mathcal{D}^C$ , both intersecting  $\partial W$ , such that  $\varphi \circ m(\delta') \neq \varphi \circ m(\delta'')$ . Then  $m(\delta')$  and  $m(\delta'')$  would be disjoint. By **Claim 1**, the two compact sets  $A = m(\delta') \cap \partial U$  and  $B = m(\delta'') \cap \partial U$  are both connected. Since  $\partial U$  is a simple closed curve, we can fix two open arcs  $\alpha, \beta \subset \partial U \setminus (A \cup B)$  each of which connects a point on  $A$  to a point on  $B$ . By **Claim 1**, there is no  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}^C$  such that  $m(\delta)$  intersects  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  both. Thus  $\varphi(\alpha)$  and  $\varphi(\beta) = \emptyset$  are disjoint connected sets in  $\widehat{\pi}(J) = \varphi \circ m(J)$ . Here  $\varphi(\alpha \cup \{m(\delta'), m(\delta'')\})$  and  $\varphi(\beta \cup \{m(\delta'), m(\delta'')\})$  are locally connected continua and they intersect at exactly two points. This is absurd, since  $\widehat{\pi}(J)$  is a dendrite.  $\square$

### 3. HOW $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{J})$ IS RELATED TO THE DYNAMICS OF $\sigma_d(w) = w^d$ ON $\mathbb{S}^1$

In this section, we prove Theorem 2. First, we associate to any polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$  a closed equivalence on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , provided that  $f$  has a connected filled Julia set  $K$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Two points  $\xi_i \in \mathbb{S}^1$  are incident under  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  provided that the impressions  $\text{Imp}(K, \xi_i)$  are contained in the same atom of  $K$ . We call  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  the **real lamination** of  $f$ . We also call  $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(f) = \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f) \cap \{(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, e^{2\pi i\theta_2}) : \theta_i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  the **rational lamination**.

Note that if  $f$  has no irrationally neutral cycle then  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  equals the closure of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(f)$ , as subsets of the product  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . See [25, Theorem 2 and 3]. In other words,  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  is the **real extension** of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(f)$  [21, Definition 3.6].

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\tau : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f)$  be given in Definition 1.5. Let  $\lambda_{den}(f)$  be the equivalence on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  whose classes are the point inverses  $\tau^{-1}(u)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{T}(f)$ . We call it the **dendrite lamination** of  $f$ .

It is clear that  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  refines  $\lambda_{den}(f)$ . If  $K^o \neq \emptyset$  (thus  $J \neq K$ ), then  $\lambda_{den}(f)$  may have classes that are Cantor sets hence  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  is different from the **topological Julia set** given in [2, Definition 1.6]. On the other hand, if  $K = J$  then  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = \lambda_{den}(f)$  hence  $\mathcal{T}(f)$  is really a topological Julia set. For instance, when  $c \in \text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha)$  and every cycle of  $f(z) = z^2 + c$  is repelling, we have  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f) = \lambda_{den}(f)$ , which is the unique non-degenerate  $\alpha$ -equivalence specified in [1, Theorem 1].

The class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  and that of  $\lambda_{den}(f)$  containing  $\xi$  are denoted by  $[\xi]_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $[\xi]_{den}$ , respectively. The convex hull  $CH(A)$  of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  is defined to be the smallest closed convex set containing  $A$ . Every edge of the convex hull  $CH([\xi]_{den})$  is called a **leaf**. When  $[\xi]_{den} = \{\xi\}$ , the class  $[\xi]_{den}$  and its convex hull are said to be **degenerate**.

**Definition 3.3.** We call  $[\xi]_{den}$  a **critical class** if the preimage of  $\tau(\xi)$  under  $\tilde{\pi}$  contains a critical point of  $f$ . In such a case, we also call  $CH([\xi]_{den})$  a **critical gap**.

Note that  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  and  $\lambda_{den}(f)$  are both **treelike degree- $d$ -invariant** equivalences on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  in the sense of [43, §2]. In particular, the degree- $d$ -invariance is implied by Theorem 2.5 and the treelike property is to be established in Lemma 3.4. Also recall that every two classes  $A \neq B$  of a tree-like equivalence are **unlinked**, so that there exist two disjoint sub-arcs  $I_A, I_B \subset \mathbb{S}^1$  with  $I_A \supset A$  and  $I_B \supset B$ . This happens if and only if the convex hulls of  $A$  and  $B$  under the hyperbolic metric (or equivalently, under the common Euclidean metric) are pairwise disjoint.

The treelike property is shared by a large collection of closed equivalences on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Given a non-separating continuum  $X \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  (with  $U = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus X$  connected) and a closed equivalence  $\sim$  on  $Y = \partial X = \partial U$  such that every atom of  $Y$  is contained in a single element of  $\sim$ . A closed equivalence on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , denoted by  $\lambda_{\sim}$ , may be defined so that  $w_1 \neq w_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$  are in the same class of  $\lambda_{\sim}$  if and only if the cluster sets  $C(\phi, w_i)$  are contained in the same class of  $\sim$ . Then, we have the following.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The equivalence  $\lambda_{\sim}$  is treelike.*

**Remark 3.5.** When  $X = K$  is the filled Julia set of a polynomial  $f$ , we may choose  $\sim$  so that its classes are exactly (1) the atoms of  $J$  or (2) the elements of  $\mathcal{D}(f)$ . Then  $\lambda_{\sim}$  is just  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f)$  or  $\lambda_{den}(f)$ .

*Proof.* Fix a conformal mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{D}^* = \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : |z| > 1\} \rightarrow U$  that fixes  $\infty$ . As in [15], the elements of  $\mathcal{D}_Y^{PC}$  are called atoms of  $Y$ . By [4, Lemma 16], every prime end impression of  $U$  is contained in a single atom of  $Y$ . By [15, Theorems 1 and 4.6], every atom of  $X$  intersecting  $Y$  is also an atom of  $Y$ . Given two classes  $[w_1] \neq [w_2]$  of  $\lambda_{\sim}$ , we will verify that their convex hulls are disjoint.

Recall that  $\sim$  is a closed equivalence refined by  $\mathcal{D}_Y^{PC}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  we consider the class  $\delta_i$  of  $\sim$  that contains all the cluster sets  $C(\phi, w)$  with  $w \in [w_i]$ . Let  $\delta_i^*$  consist of  $\delta_i$  and all the bounded components of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \delta_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{\delta_1^*\} \cup \{z : z \notin \delta_1^*\}$  is an usc decomposition of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  into non-separating sub-continua. By Moore's Theorem [35, Theorem 22], the resulting quotient space, still denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_1$ , is homeomorphic to  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that each of the rays  $R_w = \{rw : r > 1\}$  with  $w \in [w_1]$  is sent by  $\phi$  to a Jordan arc connecting  $\infty$  to  $\pi(\delta_1^*)$ , as a point in the quotient space  $\mathcal{D}_1$ . Also note that  $N_1 = \pi\left(\delta_1^* \cup \left(\bigcup_{w \in [w_1]} \phi(R_w)\right)\right)$  is a planar continuum consisting of a family of closed Jordan arcs  $\left\{\overline{\phi(R_w)} : w \in [w_1]\right\}$  that share the same end points  $\infty$  and  $\pi(\delta_1^*)$ . Since  $N_2 = \pi\left(\delta_2^* \cup \left(\bigcup_{w \in [w_2]} \phi(R_w)\right)\right)$  is a continuum intersecting  $N_1$  at  $\infty$ , the connected set  $N_2 \setminus N_1$  is necessarily contained in a single component of  $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus N_1$ , which is bounded



by two Jordan arcs, say  $\alpha' = \pi(\delta_1^*) \cup \pi(\phi(R_{w'}))$  and  $\alpha'' = \pi(\delta_1^*) \cup \pi(\phi(R_{w''}))$  with  $w' \neq w'' \in [w_1]$ . Now it follows that one of the two components of  $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{w', w''\}$  is an open arc with two requirements: (1) it is disjoint from  $[w_1]$ ; (2) it contains  $[w_2]$ . We are done.  $\square$

To conclude this section, we prove Theorem 2 as follows.

**Proof for Theorem 2.** There is a trivial dichotomy, either  $\mu(\tau^{-1}(u_0)) > 0$  for some  $u_0 \in \mathcal{T}(f)$  or  $\mu(\tau^{-1}(u)) = 0$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{T}(f)$ . In the first case, the compact set  $X = \tau^{-1}(u_0)$  is uncountable and there exists a smallest integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\sigma_d^n(X) = \sigma_d^{n+k}(X)$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . Setting  $Y = \sigma_d^n(X) \cup \dots \cup \sigma_d^{n+k-1}(X)$ , we can infer that  $Y \subset \sigma_d^{-1}(Y)$  and that  $\mu(Y \Delta \sigma_d^{-1}(Y)) = 0$ , where

$$Y \Delta \sigma_d^{-1}(Y) = [Y \setminus \sigma_d^{-1}(Y)] \cup [\sigma_d^{-1}(Y) \setminus Y].$$

Since the Haar measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  is ergodic with respect to  $\sigma_d$ , we also have  $\mu(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus Y) = 0$ . Thus we further have  $\tau^{-1}(u_0) = \mathbb{S}^1$ , indicating that  $\mathcal{T}(f) = \{u_0\}$ . In the second, Lemma 3.4 implies that for all but countably many  $u \in \mathcal{T}(f)$  the point inverse  $\tau^{-1}(u)$  contains at most two points. Thus  $\mu$  is supported on the union of  $E_1(f) = \{w \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \#\tau^{-1}(\tau(w)) = 1\}$  and  $E_2(f) = \{w \in \mathbb{S}^1 : \#\tau^{-1}(\tau(w)) = 2\}$ . Moreover,  $\nu = \mu \circ \tau^{-1}$  is an ergodic probability measure of  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$ . By the formula (1.2) in [27], we have

$$(3) \quad h_\mu(\sigma_d) = \log d = \sup_{\mu': \mu' \circ \tau^{-1} = \nu} h_{\mu'}(\sigma_d) = h_\nu(\bar{f}) + \int_{\mathcal{T}(f)} h(\sigma_d, \tau^{-1}(y)) d\nu(y).$$

Here  $h(\sigma_d, K) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} s(\varepsilon, K, \sigma_d)$  for any compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ , in which  $s(\varepsilon, K, \sigma_d) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log s_n(\varepsilon, K)$  and  $s_n(\varepsilon, K)$  denotes the largest cardinality of any  $(n, \varepsilon)$  separated subset  $E \subset K$  with respect to  $\sigma_2$ . See [47, p.169, Definition 7.12 and 7.13]. Since  $h(\sigma_d, \tau^{-1}(y)) = 0$  for all but countably many  $y \in \mathcal{T}(f)$ , it is immediate that  $h_\nu(\bar{f}) = h_\mu(\sigma_d) = \log d$ .  $\square$

#### 4. HOW $h_{core}(f)$ IS RELATED TO THE CLASSICAL CORE ENTROPY $h(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$

Our aim is to prove Theorem 3. To do that, it suffices to obtain the following.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The statements below hold for each PCF polynomial  $f$  of degree  $\geq 2$ .*

- (1) *The restriction  $\varrho = \tilde{\pi}|_{\mathcal{H}(f)}$  is monotone and semi-conjugates  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  to  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$ .*
- (2) *If  $\alpha_u = \varrho^{-1}(u)$  is not a singleton then there exist  $n, k \geq 1$  with  $f^{n+k}(\alpha_u) = f^n(\alpha_u)$ .*
- (3) *If  $\nu$  is an ergodic probability measure of  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  and  $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}(f)$ , then  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f, \nu)$  is isomorphic with  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f}, \nu \circ \varrho^{-1})$ .*

We will need a separation theorem due to Janizewski.

**Lemma 4.2** ([46, Theorem (5.1)]). *If the common part of two continua  $K, L \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  is disconnected there are two points separated by  $K \cup L$  but not separated by either  $K$  or  $L$ .*

**Remark 4.3.** One may obtain Lemma 4.2 by combining the Jordan Curve Theorem with the result of [49, p.108, Theorem (3.1)], which reads as follows. If  $A$  and  $B$  are compact sets in the plane such that  $A \cap B = T$  is totally disconnected and  $a, b$  are points of  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus A$ , respectively, and  $\epsilon$  is any positive number, then there exists a simple closed curve  $J$  which separates  $a$  and  $b$  and is such that  $J \cap (A \cup B) \subset T$ , and every point of  $J$  is at a distance less than  $\epsilon$  from some point of  $A$ .

Since  $f$  is PCF, the Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  exists. If  $K^\circ = \emptyset$ , then  $K$  itself is a dendrite and the **restriction**  $\tilde{\pi}|_{\mathcal{H}(f)}$  is a homeomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  onto  $\mathcal{T}_0(f)$ , that conjugates  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  with  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \bar{f})$ . If  $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$  then  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  is invariant under  $f$  and is a minimal subcontinuum of  $K$  that contains  $\Omega(f)$ , consisting of all the critical points of  $f$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_0(f) \subset \mathcal{T}(f) = \tilde{\pi}(K)$  is the smallest subcontinuum containing  $\tilde{\pi}(\Omega(f))$ , we have  $\tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{H}(f)) \supset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$ . On the other hand,  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_0(f))$  contains all the vertices of  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ . So, we can obtain the reverse containment  $\tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{H}(f)) \subset \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  by showing that  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\mathcal{T}_0(f)) \cap \mathcal{H}(f)$  is connected. This is done in the following.

**Lemma 4.4.**  $\rho = \tilde{\pi}|_{\mathcal{H}(f)}$  is a monotone map of  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  onto  $\mathcal{T}_0(f)$ .

*Proof.* We just show that  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(M) \cap \mathcal{H}(f)$  is a continuum whenever  $M \subset \mathcal{T}(f)$  is. Suppose on the contrary that  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(M) \cap \mathcal{H}(f)$  were disconnected for a continuum  $M \subset \mathcal{T}(f)$ . By Lemma 4.2,  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(M) \cup \mathcal{H}(f))$  would have a bounded component  $V$ , which is disjoint from the closure of the unbounded Fatou component. So we can infer that  $V$  and hence  $\bar{V}$  are contained in a single element of  $\mathcal{D}(f)$ . On the other hand, since  $\bar{V} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(M) \neq \emptyset$ , we also have  $\bar{V} \subset \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(M)$ . This is absurd.  $\square$

Note that  $\tilde{\pi} \circ f(z) = \bar{f} \circ \tilde{\pi}(z)$  for all  $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ . So Lemma 4.4 resolves Theorem 4.1(1).

Following [37], by a **regulated arc** we mean a simple arc  $\gamma \subset K$  such that for every bounded Fatou component  $W$  the common part  $\gamma \cap \bar{W}$  is empty or a single point or an arc consisting of one or two radial segments. Here a radial segment is defined as follows.

When  $f$  is assumed to be PCF, every bounded Fatou component  $W$  contains a unique point  $c_W$  such that  $f^k(c_W)$  is a critical point for some  $k \geq 0$ . This point  $c_W$  is referred to as the **center** of  $W$ . Fix a conformal homeomorphism  $\phi_W$  of  $\mathbb{D}$  onto  $W$  that sends the origin to  $c_W$ . A **radial segment** then means the image under  $\phi_W$  of a segment of the form  $\{re^{2\pi i\theta} : 0 \leq r \leq 1\}$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ .

By [37, Proposition 2.4], the Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  consists of finitely many regulated arcs thus intersects the boundary of any bounded Fatou component at finitely many points. By Lemma 4.4, every point inverse  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(w)$  with  $w \in \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  intersects  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  at a sub-tree, which might be a singleton or an arc.

**Lemma 4.5.** If  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(u) \cap \mathcal{H}(f)$  contains two points, then  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(u)$  contains a Fatou component.

*Proof.* Note that the filled Julia set  $K$  is locally connected. By Theorem 2.4, for any two points  $y \neq z$  in  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(u) \cap \mathcal{H}(f)$  there are countably many continua  $N_k$  each of which is either a singleton or the closure

of a bounded Fatou component, such that  $\bigcup_k N_k$  is connected and contains  $\{y, z\}$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(u)$  contains a bounded Fatou component.  $\square$

Theorem 4.1(2) is resolved by the next Lemma 4.6.

**Lemma 4.6.** *If  $\alpha_u = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(u) \cap \mathcal{H}(f)$  is not a singleton for  $u \in \mathcal{T}_0(f)$ , there exist  $n \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 1$  such that  $f^n(\alpha_u) = f^{n+kj}(\alpha_u)$  for all  $j \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $u \in \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  such that  $\alpha_u$  is not a singleton. By Lemma 4.5 there is a bounded Fatou component  $W$  which intersects  $\alpha_u$ . Since  $W$  is eventually periodic and since  $f(\delta) \in \mathcal{D}(f)$  holds for every  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ , the existence of the required integers  $n, k$  are immediate.  $\square$

**Proof for Theorem 4.1(3).** Given an ergodic measure  $\nu$  of  $(\mathcal{H}(f), f)$  such that  $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}(f)$ . For any bounded Fatou component  $W$ , the restriction of  $f$  to  $\mathcal{H}(f) \cap \left(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^n(\overline{W})\right)$  has finitely many ergodic probability measures, each of which is supported on a cycle. Thus  $\nu(\overline{W}) = 0$  and  $\nu$  is supported on  $X = \{z \in \mathcal{H}(f) : \rho^{-1}(\rho(z)) \text{ is a singleton}\}$ , since for any  $z \in \mathcal{H}(f) \setminus X$  there is a bounded Fatou component  $W$  with  $\rho(z) \in \tilde{\pi}(W)$ . Here  $X$  actually consists of all  $z \in \mathcal{H}(f)$  with  $\{z\} \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ . Let  $Y = \rho(X)$  and  $\nu^* = \nu \circ \rho^{-1}$ . Then  $\rho \circ f(x) = \bar{f} \circ \rho(x)$  holds for all  $x \in X$  and  $\rho|_X$  is an invertible measurable map that conjugates  $(X, f, \nu)$  with  $(Y, \bar{f}, \nu^*)$ . In other words,  $f : \mathcal{H}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(f)$  (preserving  $\nu$ ) and  $\bar{f} : \mathcal{T}_0(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f)$  (preserving  $\nu^*$ ) are isomorphic in the sense of [47, p.58, Definition 2.4].  $\square$

## 5. A FORMULA OF $h_{core}(f)$ FOR RENORMALIZABLE POLYNOMIALS $f$

In this section, we prove Theorem 4. To do that, we fix a renormalizable polynomial  $f$  with a connected filled Julia set  $K$  such that the restriction of  $f^p|_U$  ( $p \geq 2$ ) to an appropriate neighborhood  $U$  of a continuum  $Y \subset K$  is a polynomial-like map whose filled Julia set is  $Y$ . Further fix a polynomial  $g : U^\# \rightarrow V^\#$  hybrid equivalent to  $f^p|_U$  and a quasi-conformal mapping  $\varphi : U \rightarrow U^\#$  that conjugates  $(U, f^p|_U)$  with  $(U^\#, g)$ . Let  $J^\#$  be the Julia set of  $g$  and  $\pi^\# : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(g)$  the projection that semi-conjugates  $(J^\#, g)$  with its maximal dendrite factor  $(\mathcal{T}(g), \bar{g})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{T}(g), \bar{g})$  is also the maximal dendrite factor of  $(\partial Y, f^p|_U)$  and  $\pi^\# \circ \varphi$  semi-conjugates each of  $(Y, f^p|_U)$  and  $(\partial Y, f^p|_U)$  with  $(\mathcal{T}(g), \bar{g})$ .

Let  $\tilde{\pi} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(f)$  be the natural projection and  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$  the maximal dendrite factor of  $(J, f)$ . with  $J = \partial K$ . Since  $J$  is also the Julia set of  $f^p$  and since  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f}^p)$  is the maximal dendrite factor of  $(J, f^p)$ , it suffices to obtain the following.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For any  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ , either  $\delta \cap Y$  is empty or  $\pi^\# \circ \varphi(\delta \cap Y)$  is a singleton.*

**Remark 5.2.** By Theorem 5.1 we can infer that  $(\mathcal{T}(g), \bar{g})$  is conjugate with a subsystem of  $(\mathcal{T}(f^p), \bar{f}^p)$ . Here  $(\mathcal{T}(f^p), \bar{f}^p)$  coincides with  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f}^p)$ , the  $n$ -th iterated system of  $(\mathcal{T}(f), \bar{f})$ . Since  $\Omega(f^p|_U)$  is sent by  $\varphi$  onto  $\Omega(g)$ , we can infer that the dynamical core  $(\mathcal{T}_0(g), \bar{g})$  of  $(J^\#, g)$  is conjugate with a subsystem of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f^p), \bar{f}^p)$ . Recall that  $\bar{f}^p$  coincides with the  $p$ -th iterate  $\bar{f}^p$  of  $\bar{f}$  and that the irreducible core of

$(J, f^p)$  coincides with  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \overline{f}^p)$ , the  $n$ -th iterated system of the irreducible dynamic core  $(\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f), \overline{f})$  of  $(J, f)$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_{irr}(f)$  contains the measure center of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f), \overline{f})$ , see Definition 1.10 and Remark 1.11, it is immediate that  $h_{core}(f) \geq \frac{1}{p}h_{core}(g)$ . This resolves Theorem 4.

We shall need two known results that help to illustrate how the atoms of  $K$  are related to those of the small filled Julia set  $Y$ . The first one reads as follows.

**Lemma 5.3** ([15, Theorem 1]). *Let  $X \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  be a compact set. If  $U$  is a component of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus X$  and  $L \subset \partial U$  a compact set, then every atom of  $L$  is contained in an atom of  $X$ .*

The second one may be induced from [6, Corollary 4.10] and [6, Lemma 3.5].

**Lemma 5.4.** *If  $C$  is a component of  $K \setminus Y$ , then  $\overline{C} \cap Y$  is contained in a single impression of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus Y$ .*

By Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4, the following lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 5.5.** *If  $\delta$  is an atom of  $K$  then  $\delta \cap Y$  is either empty or an atom of  $Y$ .*

Now we have all the ingredients to prove Theorem 5.1 as follows.

**Proof of Theorem 5.1.** Recall that  $\pi^\#$  restricted to  $Y$  is a monotone map onto  $\mathcal{T}(g)$  such that the boundary of any component  $W$  of  $Y^\circ$  (if  $Y$  contains interior points) is mapped to a single point, denoted by  $\delta_W$ . Applying Lemma 5.4, we can extend  $\pi^\# \circ \varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(g)$  to be a monotone map of  $K$  onto  $\mathcal{T}(g)$ , denoted by  $m_Y$ . Under  $m_Y$  every component  $C$  of  $K \setminus Y$  is sent to the unique element  $\delta_C \in \mathcal{T}(g)$  whose inverse under  $\pi^\# \circ \varphi$  contains  $\overline{C} \cap Y$ . Concerning how to verify the continuity of  $m_Y$ , we refer to the proof of [6, Theorem A].

The point inverses of  $m_Y$  now form an usc decomposition of  $K$  such that no atom of  $K$  and no interior component of  $K$  is split. By Definition 2.1, this decomposition is refined by  $\mathcal{D}(f)$ . Therefore, every element  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(f)$  falls into one of the two possibilities, either  $\delta \cap Y = \emptyset$  or  $\delta \cap Y$  is a point inverse of  $\pi^\# \circ \varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(g)$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROPERTIES OF $h_*(c) = h_{core}(f_c)$ FOR $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ WITH $c \in \mathcal{M}$

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 5. Before we can do that, we obtain two fundamental properties of the map  $h_* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that sends every  $c \in \mathcal{M}$  to the core entropy  $h_{core}(f_c)$  of  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ . The first concerns how  $h_*(c)$  changes when  $c$  runs through an atom of  $\mathcal{M}$ . See Theorem 6.1. The second is about how the sets  $B(f_c)$  vary when  $c$  is restricted to certain subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ . See Lemma 6.9.

**Theorem 6.1.** *If  $c', c''$  lie in the same atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  then  $h_*(c) = h_*(c')$ .*

By [29, Theorem 7], the core decomposition  $\mathcal{D}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}^{PC}$  of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  with Peano quotient exists. Elements of this decomposition are called atoms of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . Notice that every atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  is also an atom of  $\mathcal{M}$ . See [4,

Lemmas 16 and 17] or [29, Theorem 7]. Moreover, there is a closed equivalence  $\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$  on the unit circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$  such that two points  $w' \neq w''$  are equivalent if and only if the impressions  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, w')$  and  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, w'')$  are contained in the same atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . If  $w = e^{2\pi i\theta}$  we also write  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$  instead of  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, w)$  and call it the prime end impression of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  at angle  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$ . By [30, Theorem 2.3], two points  $c' \neq c''$  belong to the same atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  if and only if there are finitely many prime end impressions of  $\mathcal{M}$  whose union is a connected set containing  $c'$  and  $c''$  both. Combining this with [10, Theorem 3], which addresses the basic properties of the equivalence  $\sim_{\mathcal{M}}$ , we can infer that two points  $c' \neq c''$  are contained in the same atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  if and only if there exist finitely many impressions  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta_i) (1 \leq i \leq k)$  with  $\bigcup_i \text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta_i)$  a connected set containing  $c'$  and  $c''$  both. Recall that, with no loss of generality, we may assume that the two parameters  $c', c''$  in Theorem 6.1 be contained in a single impression  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$ .

Following [1], by an  $\alpha$ -**equivalence** with  $\alpha \in [0, 1) \subset \mathbb{T}$  we mean a closed equivalence  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  that satisfies three requirements. First,  $e^{\pi i\alpha} \sim e^{\pi i(1+\alpha)}$ . Second,  $e^{2\pi it} \sim e^{2\pi is}$  implies  $e^{2\pi i \cdot 2t} \sim e^{2\pi i \cdot 2s}$ . Third, for  $j = 0, 1$  and  $t, s \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{\alpha\}$ ,  $e^{2\pi it} \sim e^{2\pi is}$  implies  $l_j^\alpha(e^{2\pi it}) \sim l_j^\alpha(e^{2\pi is})$ . Here  $l_j^\alpha (j = 0, 1)$  are maps of  $X_\alpha = \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{e^{2\pi i\alpha}\}$  into  $\mathbb{S}^1$  given by  $l_0^\alpha(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{\pi i(t+1)}$  and  $l_1^\alpha(e^{2\pi it}) = e^{\pi it}$ . Note that every  $\alpha$ -equivalence is treelike in the sense of [43]. Also note that there is a unique **minimal  $\alpha$ -equivalence**, to be denoted by  $\sim_\alpha$ .

Following [26, Definition 4.5], by a quadratic **critical portrait** we mean a set of the form  $\{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1+\alpha}{2}\}$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ . Let  $\lambda_\alpha$  be the **minimal** closed equivalence that identifies every two points  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$  having the same  $\alpha$ -itinerary. Here the itinerary  $I^\alpha(\xi)$  of  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$  is an infinite sequence  $(s_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  given by

$$s_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma_2^{n-1}(\xi) \in l_0^\alpha(X_\alpha), \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma_2^{n-1}(\xi) \in l_1^\alpha(X_\alpha), \\ \star & \text{if } \sigma_2^{n-1}(\xi) \in \{e^{\pi i\alpha}, e^{\pi i(1+\alpha)}\}. \end{cases}$$

And  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  with  $I^\alpha(\xi) = (s_n)$  and  $I^\alpha(\xi') = (t_n)$  are said to have the same itinerary provided that for all  $n \geq 1$  we have either  $s_n = t_n$  or  $s_n = \star$  or  $t_n = \star$ . We call  $\lambda_\alpha$  the **lamination induced by  $\{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1+\alpha}{2}\}$** .

Note that the above equivalences  $\sim_\alpha$  and  $\lambda_\alpha$  are both defined on the unit circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . However, the minimal  $\alpha$ -equivalence  $\sim_\alpha$  and the dynamical  $\alpha$ -equivalence  $\approx_\alpha$  in [1] are on  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

Fix  $c \in \text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \alpha)$  and  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$ . Then all of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_c), \lambda_\alpha, \lambda_{den}(f_c)$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalences by definition hence each of them contains  $\sim_\alpha$  as subsets of the product space  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . In particular,  $e^{\pi i\alpha}$  and  $e^{\pi i(1+\alpha)}$  are incident under each of the four equivalences  $\sim_\alpha, \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_c), \lambda_\alpha$  and  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$ . Since  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$  is a tree-like degree-2-invariant equivalence, the following lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Given  $\xi \neq \xi' \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , let  $I^\alpha(\xi) = (s_n)$  and  $I^\alpha(\xi') = (t_n)$  be the itineraries of  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$ , respectively. If  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  are incident under  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$  and if their forward orbits never intersect the class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$  that contains  $e^{\pi i\alpha}$  (as well as  $e^{\pi i(1+\alpha)}$ ), then  $s_n = t_n \neq \star$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

Respectively from [1, Theorem 1] and [1, Proposition 10.1], we can infer the following.

**Lemma 6.3.** *If  $I^\alpha(\alpha)$  is not periodic then  $\sim_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_c) = \lambda_{den}(f_c)$ . This happens if and only if  $\alpha$  is irrational and  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  has no neutral cycle.*

**Lemma 6.4.** *If  $2^n\alpha = \alpha \pmod{1}$  for some  $n \geq 1$  or equivalently, if  $\alpha = \frac{p}{2q+1}$  for integers  $p, q \geq 0$ , then  $\sim_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_c) \subsetneq \lambda_{den}(f_c)$ . This happens if and only if  $c$  is the root of a hyperbolic component  $H$ .*

Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4 may also be induced from the discussions on the real lamination  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_c)$  [25, 26]. In particular, Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4 are respectively related to [26, Theorem 3] and [26, Proposition 4.10]. Due to Lemma 6.3, the following is immediate.

**Corollary 6.5.** *If  $c \in \text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$  and if  $f_c$  has no neutral cycle, then  $h_*$  is constant on  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$ .*

The above lemma has a special case, when  $c \in \text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta')$  with  $\theta' \neq \theta$ . In such a case, we have  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c'}) = \lambda_{\theta'} = \lambda_\theta = \lambda_{den}(f_c)$ . From this we can infer that  $(\mathcal{T}(f_c), \overline{f_c})$  is topologically conjugate with  $(\mathcal{T}(f_{c'}), \overline{f_{c'}})$  for all  $c'$  in  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta) \cup \text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta')$ . Since  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  has a neutral cycle if and only if  $c$  lies on the boundary of a hyperbolic component  $H \subset \mathcal{M}^\circ$ , Theorem 6.1 is resolved by the following.

**Lemma 6.6.** *Each singleton  $\{c\}$  on the boundary of a hyperbolic component  $H$  is an atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* When  $c = \frac{1}{4}$  or when  $H$  is not primitive, one can use known results, such as those that are recalled in [41, Appendix D], to conclude that  $\{c\}$  is an atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . For a discussion in terms of fibers, see [40]. For a discussion in the language of atoms, see [30]. So we only need to deal with the case that  $c \neq \frac{1}{4}$  is the root of a primitive hyperbolic component. In such a case,  $f_c(z)$  has a cycle  $z_1, \dots, z_k$  of period  $k > 1$  such that the derivative of  $f_c^k$  at every  $z_i$  is equal to 1.

Let  $\mathcal{R}_c^\pm = \left\{ \Phi^{-1} \left( r e^{2\pi i \theta^\pm} \right) : r > 1 \right\}$  denote the two external rays of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  that land at  $c$ . Then  $\Gamma_c = \{c, \infty\} \cup \mathcal{R}_c^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_c^-$  is a Jordan curve cutting  $\mathcal{M}$  into two pieces. The one containing  $H$  is called the wake of  $\Gamma_c$ , denoted by  $\text{wake}(c)$ . The other contains 0. In the proof for [41, Theorem 1.1(d)], Tan concretely constructs a sequence of Jordan domains  $P_n$  such that (1) every  $P_n$  has a diameter  $\leq \frac{C}{n^2}$  for some constant  $C > 0$  and (2)  $\partial P_n$  intersects  $\mathcal{M}$  at exactly two points, one of which is  $c$ . This implies that no point of  $\mathcal{M} \setminus (\{c\} \cup \text{wake}(c))$  lies in the atom of  $\mathcal{M}$  that contains  $c$ , denoted by  $\delta(c)$ . On the other hand, for any  $c' \in \mathcal{M} \cap \text{wake}(c)$  there is a Jordan curve  $\Gamma$  disjoint from  $\{c, c'\}$  intersecting  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  at one or two points and separating  $c$  from  $c'$ . This completes the proof, since it implies that no point  $c' \in \text{wake}(c)$  lies in the atom  $\delta(c)$ .  $\square$

The Jordan curve  $\Gamma_{c,c'}$  in the above proof may be chosen in two ways, depending on whether  $c'$  lies on  $\overline{H} \setminus \{c\}$  or not. If  $c' \in \overline{H} \setminus \{c\}$  then  $\Gamma_{c,c'}$  consists of two external rays of  $\Phi$  landing at two points of  $\partial H \setminus \{c, c'\}$ , say  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , and the closure of an open arc inside  $H$  connecting  $c_1$  to  $c_2$ . If  $c'$  does not lie on  $\overline{H} \setminus \{c\}$  then it belongs to the wake of a parabolic parameter  $c'' \in \partial H$  (which is the root of a hyperbolic component) and we can choose  $\Gamma_{c,c'}$  to be the union of  $\{c'', \infty\}$  with the two external rays landing at  $c''$ .

In the sequel, we address how the set  $B(f_c)$  varies when  $c$  belongs to certain subsets of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ .

Recall that every class  $[w]_{den}$  of  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$  has a negative counterpart  $[-w]_{den}$ . In particular, there is exactly one critical class whose image under  $\tau : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f_c)$  contains  $\tilde{\pi}(0)$ . The convex hull of the critical class is called the **critical gap**, denoted by  $G_0(f_c)$ . Since the filled Julia set  $K_c$  is symmetric about the origin, so is  $G_0(f_c)$ . The critical class is sent by  $\sigma_2$  to another class, whose convex hull will be denoted by  $G_1(f_c)$ . If  $G_0(f_c) \cup G_1(f_c)$  is not equal to the closed disk  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  then  $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus (G_0(f_c) \cup G_1(f_c))$  has at least two components. The one whose boundary intersects both  $G_0(f_c)$  and  $G_1(f_c)$  is denoted by  $Q(f_c)$ .

**Definition 6.7.** We call  $\overline{Q(f_c)} \cap G_1(f_c)$  the **minor** of  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$ , which is a point  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$  or a geodesic arc joining  $\xi' \neq \xi'' \in \mathbb{S}^1$ . In the former case, let  $\mathcal{I}(f_c) = \{\xi\}$ ; in the latter, let  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$  be the closed arc in  $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus Q(f_c)$  between  $\xi'$  and  $\xi''$ . We will call  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$  the **characteristic arc** of  $f_c$ . Following [2, Definition 2.3], we will call  $\overline{Q(f_c)} \cap G_0(f_c)$  a **major** of  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$ . When  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$  is not a point, the negative counterpart of  $\overline{Q(f_c)} \cap G_0(f_c)$  is also called a major.

**Lemma 6.8.** *Given  $c \neq c'$  in  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . If  $f_{c'}$  has no irrational neutral cycle and  $\mathcal{I}(f_c) \supset \mathcal{I}(f_{c'})$ , then  $B(f_c) \subset A(f_{c'})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$  be a number with  $e^{2\pi i\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}(f_{c'})$ . Let  $\tau : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f_c)$  be the projection given in diagram (1). By Corollary 6.5, we may assume that  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$  is not a point. Then there are two majors, which cut  $\mathbb{D}$  into three regions. The one whose boundary intersects the two majors both is denoted by  $U_0$ . Note that  $\overline{U_0}$  contains the critical class  $G_0(f_{c'})$  of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c'})$ .

Given  $\xi \in B(f_c)$ , we shall have  $\tau(\xi) \in \mathcal{T}_0(f_c) \setminus \{\tilde{\pi}(0)\}$ . Here  $\tilde{\pi} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(f_c)$  is given in Definition 2.1 and  $\tau$  sends the critical class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$ , which is just  $G_0(f_c) \cap \mathbb{S}^1$ , to the point  $\tilde{\pi}(0)$ . Note that  $\tilde{\pi}(c)$  is an end point of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  and that the forward orbit of  $\xi$  under  $\sigma_2$  and that of  $\xi'$  never intersect  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$ . Also note that  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  is a tree. Thus every point  $u \in \mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  lies in the forward orbit of some  $u' \in \mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  that belongs to the open arc  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  connecting  $\tilde{\pi}(0)$  to  $\tilde{\pi}(c)$ . Here we easily see that the point inverse  $\tau^{-1}(u')$  consists of exactly two points, say  $\eta \neq \eta'$ . To infer that  $\xi \in A(f_{c'})$ , it will suffice to show that  $\{\eta, \eta'\} \subset A(f_{c'})$ . Therefore, we may assume with no loss of generality that  $\tau(\xi) \in \gamma$ .

By Definition 1.6, there exists  $\xi' \neq \xi$  such that  $[\xi]_{den} = \{\xi, \xi'\}$  is a class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$ . Note that the geodesic arc  $\Gamma_0$  between  $\xi$  and  $\xi'$  separates  $\overline{U_0} \supset G_0(f_c)$  from  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Also note that  $\{\sigma_2^n(\xi), \sigma_2^n(\xi')\}$  is a class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_c)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This implies that for every  $n \geq 1$  the geodesic arc  $\Gamma_n$  connecting  $\sigma_2^n(\xi)$  to  $\sigma_2^n(\xi')$  is disjoint from the closure  $\overline{U_0}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{I}(f_c) \supset \mathcal{I}(f_{c'})$  by assumption, none of these  $\Gamma_n$  intersects the critical gap  $G_0(f_{c'})$  of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c'})$ . By Lemma 6.2,  $\{\xi, \xi'\}$  is contained in a single class of  $\lambda_\alpha$ . As  $f_{c'}$  has no neutral cycle by assumption, we may apply Lemma 6.3 (when every cycle of  $f_{c'}$  is repelling) or Lemma 6.4 (when  $c'$  is the root of a hyperbolic component) to infer that  $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c'})$ . It follows that  $\{\xi, \xi'\}$  is contained in a single class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c'})$ . Since  $\Gamma_0$  separates  $G_0(f_{c'})$  from  $\mathcal{I}(f_{c'})$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ , we further have  $\{\xi, \xi'\} \subset A(f_{c'})$ .  $\square$

With Lemma 6.8, we are ready to infer the following.

**Lemma 6.9 (Monotonicity Lemma).** *Given  $c \neq c'$  in  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ . If  $f_{c'}$  has no irrational neutral cycle and  $\mathcal{I}(f_c) \supset \mathcal{I}(f_{c'})$  then  $h_*(c) \leq h_*(c')$  holds provided that either of the next two conditions is satisfied.*

- (a) *That every cycle of  $f_{c'}$  is repelling.*
- (b) *That  $c'$  is the root of a primitive hyperbolic component.*

*Proof.* First, if every cycle of  $f_{c'}(z) = z^2 + c'$  is repelling then  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c'}) = \lambda_{den}(f_{c'})$ . By [25, Theorem 1], all classes of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c'})$  are finite sets and at most countably many of them contain  $\geq 3$  elements. This implies that  $A(f_{c'}) = \overline{B(f_{c'})} \supset B(f_{c'})$ . It is immediate that  $h_*(c) \leq h_*(c')$ .

Second, when  $c' = r_H$  is the root of a primitive hyperbolic component  $H$ , of period  $p \geq 2$ , we denote by  $c_H$  the center of  $H$ . It is known that every class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H})$  containing  $e^{2\pi i\theta}$  for some rational  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$  is also a class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{r_H})$ . See for instance [12, Proposition 18.1]. Since neither of  $f_{c_H}$  and  $f_{r_H}$  has an irrationally neutral cycle, one may apply [25, Theorem 1] to infer that  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H}) = \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{r_H})$ . This implies that  $\lambda_{den}(f_{r_H}) = \lambda_{den}(f_{c_H})$  and hence  $h_*(c') = h_*(c_H) = h(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$ . Note that the critical class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H})$  is periodic under  $\sigma_2$ . Also note that every infinite class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H})$  is eventually mapped by  $\sigma_2$  into  $X = \bigcup_j \sigma_2^j(G_0(f_{c'}) \cap \mathbb{S}^1)$ , with  $\sigma_2(X) = X$  and  $h(X, \sigma_2) = \frac{1}{p} \log 2$ . There are two basic observations. First, every invariant probability measure of  $(A(f_{c'}), \sigma_2)$  is supported on  $X \cup \overline{B(f_{c'})}$ . Second,  $h(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H}) \geq \frac{1}{p} \log 2$ . Again, we have  $h_*(c) = h(\overline{B(f_c)}, \overline{f_c}) \leq h(A(f_{c'}), \overline{f_c}) = h_*(c')$ .  $\square$

The two special cases of Lemma 6.8 give rise to two monotonicity properties of  $h_*(c)$ , when  $c$  is restricted to certain subsets of  $\mathcal{M}$ . To facilitate our presentation, we recall a partial order on  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  from the literature. We say “ $c$  is followed by  $c'$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ ”, written  $c \prec c'$ , provided that there is a hyperbolic component  $H$  whose root  $r_H$  separates  $c'$  from  $\{0, c\}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , in the sense that  $c'$  and  $\{0, c\}$  belong to different components of  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \{r_H\}$ .

Given a hyperbolic component  $H$ , with center  $c_H$  and root  $r_H$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}_{biac}$  is dense in  $\partial\mathcal{M}$ , the first part of Theorem 5 is resolved by the following.

**Theorem 6.10.** *If  $c \in \mathcal{M}_{biac}$  does not lie on the boundary of a hyperbolic component, then  $h_*(c) = h_T(c)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta(c)$  denote the atom of  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  that contains  $c$ . If  $c \in M_0$  then  $0 = h_T(c) \leq h_*(c)$ . Moreover, we can find an infinite sequence  $c_n$  with  $c_{n+1} \prec c_n$ , each of which is the root of a primitive hyperbolic component, such that  $c_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$  belongs to  $\delta(c)$ . Note that the characteristic arc  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$  of  $f_c$  contains that of  $f_{c_n}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus by Lemma 6.9(b) we shall have

$$h_*(c) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_*(c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_T(c_n) = h_T(c_\infty)$$

As  $c$  and  $c_\infty$  both lie in  $\delta(c)$ , we have  $h_*(c) \leq h_T(c_\infty) = h_T(c) = 0$ .

In the sequel, we assume that  $c \notin M_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_1$  consist of all the roots of hyperbolic components. Since every point of  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \delta(c)$  may be separated from  $\delta(c)$  by the root of a hyperbolic component, we



can find two infinite sequences  $c'_n, c''_n \in \mathcal{D}_1$  with  $c'_{n+1} \prec c'_n$  and  $c''_n \prec c''_{n+1}$  such that the two limit points  $c' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c'_n$  and  $c'' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c''_n$  both belong to  $\delta(c)$ . Here, by the assumption that  $c \notin M_0$  we may choose every  $c'_n$  to be the root of a primitive hyperbolic component. Moreover, for all  $n \geq 1$  the characteristic arc  $\mathcal{I}(f_c)$  of  $f_c$  contains that of  $f_{c'_n}$  and is contained in that of  $f_{c''_n}$ . Similarly, for all  $n \geq 1$  the inequalities  $\mathcal{I}(f_{c'_n}) \subset \mathcal{I}(f_{c'_{n+1}})$  and  $\mathcal{I}(f_{c''_n}) \supset \mathcal{I}(f_{c''_{n+1}})$  both hold. Therefore, by applying Lemma 6.9(b) we have

$$h_*(c') \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_*(c'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_T(c'_n) = h_T(c').$$

And by applying Lemma 6.9(a), we further have

$$h_*(c'') \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_*(c''_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_T(c''_n) = h_T(c'').$$

Since  $c, c', c'' \in \delta(c)$ , by Theorem 6.1 we also have  $h_T(c) = h_T(c') = h_T(c'')$  and  $h_*(c) = h_*(c') = h_*(c'')$ .

It follows that  $h_*(c) = h_T(c)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.11.** The second part of Theorem 5 is a direct corollary of Lemma 6.9 and Theorem 6.10.

By the proof of Theorem 6.10, the following is immediate.

**Corollary 6.12.** *If  $c \in \mathcal{M}_{biac} \setminus M_0$  then  $h_*(c) > 0$ .*

## 7. THE CORE ENTROPY FUNCTION $h_*(c)$ AND THE TUNING LEMMA

This section concerns what values the map  $h_T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  takes on the central molecule  $M_0$  and how the level sets of  $h_* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are related to the structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ . First, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $H_\heartsuit$  denote the major cardioid that consists of all parameters  $c$  such that  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  has an attractive fixed point. Let  $E_S$  consist of all parameters  $c \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $f_c$  has a Siegel disk  $U$  with  $\partial U$  an indecomposable continuum. If  $c \in \overline{H_\heartsuit} \setminus E_S$  then  $K_c \in \mathcal{D}(f_c)$  and hence  $h_*(c) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $c = \frac{1}{4}$  or if  $c \in H_\heartsuit$  then  $J_c$  is a Jordan curve and  $\mathcal{D}(f_c) \ni K_c$ . So we only need to consider those  $c$  lying in  $\partial H_\heartsuit \setminus \{\frac{1}{4}\}$ . We will separately deal with the three mutually exclusive cases that are possible: (1) when  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  has a parabolic fixed point  $z_0$ ; (2) when  $f_c$  has a Cremer fixed point  $z_0$ ; (3) when  $f_c$  has a Siegel disk  $U$  whose boundary is decomposable.

In the first case,  $f_c$  has a cycle of bounded Fatou components  $V_j (1 \leq j \leq k)$  with  $k \geq 2$  such that  $z_0 = \bigcap_i \partial V_i$ . Since  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f_c^{-n}(\overline{V_1})$  is a connected subset of  $K_c$ , by Definition 2.1 we shall have  $K_c \in \mathcal{D}(f_c)$ .

In the second case,  $J_c$  has just one atom. See [31, Example 3.6]. Thus  $K_c \in \mathcal{D}(f_c)$ .

In the last case, by [20, Theorem 4.1] we can find a nowhere dense subcontinuum  $B \subset J_c$  and a unique Cantor set  $A \subset \mathbb{T}$  such that:

- (1)  $B \supset \partial U$  and  $f_c(B) = B$ .
- (2) Every prime end impression  $\text{Imp}(t)$  of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_c$  with  $t \in A$  intersects  $\partial U$ .

- (3) Every  $z \in B$  lies in some impression  $\text{Imp}(t)$  with  $t \in A$ .
- (4)  $B$  contains the critical orbit  $\{f_c^n(0) : n \geq 0\}$ .

Therefore, the continuum  $B$  hence the critical orbit  $\{f_c^n(0) : n \geq 0\}$  is contained in a single element of  $\mathcal{D}(f_c)$ , which also contains  $U$ . Denote this element as  $\delta(U)$ . Recall that  $f_c^{-1}(U)$  has exactly two components. One of these components is  $U$ . Denote the other by  $U'$ . Since  $\{0, c\} \subset \delta(U)$  and  $0 \in \delta(U')$ , we have  $\delta(U') = \delta(U) = f_c^{-1}(\delta(U))$ . This implies that  $\delta(U) = K_c$ .  $\square$

Then we consider the tuning maps  $c \mapsto c_H \perp c$  into  $\mathcal{M}$ , where  $c_H \neq 0$  is the center of a hyperbolic component  $H$  of period  $p \geq 2$ . We first deal with the case that  $H$  is primitive and obtain the following.

**Theorem 7.2.** *If  $H$  is primitive then  $h_*(c_H \perp c) = h_*(c_H)$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

**Remark 7.3.** By Theorem 7.2, the primitive baby Mandelbrot set  $\mathcal{M}_H = \{c_H \perp c : c \in \mathcal{M}\}$  is contained in a level set of  $h_*(c) = h_{\text{core}}(f_c)$ . However, even if  $\mathcal{M}_H$  is not contained in  $\mathcal{M}_{H'}$  for any other hyperbolic component  $H'$ , it remains unknown whether  $\mathcal{M}_H$  is a component of the level set  $h_*^{-1}(h_*(c_H))$ .

**Proof for Theorem 7.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  be the dynamic core of  $(J_{c_H \perp c}, f_{c_H \perp c})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$  that of  $(J_{c_H}, f_{c_H})$ . We will identify a monotone semi-conjugacy  $m : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  between  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$ , such that every invariant probability measure  $\mu$  of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  falls into two cases: (1)  $h_\mu(\overline{f_{c_H \perp c}}) = h_{\mu \circ m^{-1}}(\overline{f_{c_H}})$ , (2) the support of  $\mu$  is mapped to a cycle of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$ , of period  $p \geq 2$ . In the first,  $h_*(c_H) \geq h_\mu(\overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$ . In the latter,  $h_\mu(\overline{f_{c_H \perp c}}) \leq \frac{1}{p} \log 2$ . Since  $H$  is primitive, one can find a nondegenerate arc  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  and two sub-arcs  $\gamma_i (i = 1, 2)$  with disjoint interior such that  $f_{c_H}^k$  for some  $k \leq p$  sends each of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  onto  $\gamma$ . This implies that  $h_*(c_H) \geq \frac{1}{p} \log 2$  hence  $h_*(c_H) \geq h_\mu(\overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  always holds. The result of Theorem 7.2 then follows from the flexibility of  $\mu$ .

In the sequel, for any  $c \in \mathcal{M}$  we denote by  $K_c$  the filled Julia set of  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  and  $\text{Imp}(K_c, \xi)$  the prime end impression of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_c$  at  $\xi$ . Let  $\tau^c : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f_c)$  be given as in Definition 1.5, which sends every  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^1$  to the unique element  $\tau^c(\xi)$  whose pre-image under  $\tilde{\pi}$  contains the impression  $\text{Imp}(K_c, \xi)$ . We focus on  $\tau^{c_H} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f_{c_H})$  and  $\tau^{c_H \perp c} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c})$ . Let  $A(f_{c_H})$  be the pre-image of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  under  $\tau^{c_H}$  and  $A(f_{c_H \perp c})$  the pre-image of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c})$  under  $\tau^{c_H \perp c}$ .

Fix the unique cycle  $W_0, \dots, W_{p-1}, W_p = W_0$  of bounded Fatou components, with  $0 \in W_0$ , such that the restriction  $W_i \xrightarrow{f_{c_H}} W_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$  are conformal isomorphisms and  $W_0 \xrightarrow{f_{c_H}} W_1$  is conjugate with  $z \mapsto z^2$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H})$  be the real lamination of  $f_{c_H}$  and  $\lambda_{\text{den}}(f_{c_H})$  the dendrite lamination. For  $0 \leq i \leq p$ , let  $\Theta_i$  consist of all points  $w \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that the prime end impression  $\text{Imp}(K_{c_H}, w)$  is contained in  $\partial W_i$ . Those  $\Theta_i$  are cantor sets and their convex hulls are mutually disjoint. In particular, the convex hull of  $\Theta_0$  is just the critical gap  $G_0(f_{c_H})$  of  $\lambda_{\text{den}}(f_{c_H})$ .

Set  $v_i = f^i(0)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p$ . The Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  lies in  $K_{c_H}$  and every  $v_i$  is one of its vertices. Every vertex of  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  other than those  $v_i$  is a branch point, whose complement in  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  has at least three components. The following lemma may be inferred from [8, lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 7.4.** *Every  $\overline{W_i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ ) intersects  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  at a simple arc  $\gamma_i$ .*

Set  $\gamma_i = \overline{W_i} \cap \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p$ . Here  $\gamma_0 = \gamma_p$ , since  $W_0 = W_p$ . Note that every  $\gamma_i$  is a tree and contains  $v_i$  either in its interior or as an end point. In the latter case,  $v_i$  is an end of  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$ . We claim that  $v_1$  is an end of  $\gamma_1$ . Indeed, if on the contrary  $v_1$  were not an end of  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  then, using the homeomorphisms  $f_{c_H}|_{W_i}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ ), we can infer that each  $v_i$  with  $2 \leq i \leq p$  is in the interior of  $\gamma_i$ . This would be absurd, since  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  is a tree spanned by  $v_0, \dots, v_{p-1}$ .

Recall that every non-degenerate continuum has at least two ends. So, using the same arguments we can infer that  $v_2$  is also an end point of  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$ . However, it is possible that other vertices  $v_i$  with  $i \neq 1, 2$  are interior points of  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$ . It is immediate that  $\gamma_0$  and hence all the trees  $\gamma_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ ) are arcs.

This establishes Lemma 7.4.

Recall that, since  $f_{c_H}$  is PCF, every class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H})$  is a finite set, which is either contained in or disjoint from  $\{e^{2\pi i\theta} : \theta \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . See [25, Theorems 1 and 2]. A class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H})$  is also a class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  provided that one of the next two conditions is satisfied. First, it is contained in  $\{e^{2\pi i\theta} : \theta \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ ; second, its forward orbit under  $\sigma_2$  never enters  $\Theta_0$ . Thus we can infer that the closure  $\overline{\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ , with

$$\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, e^{2\pi i\theta_2}) : \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{Q}\} \cap \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H}),$$

is contained in  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H \perp c})$ . Similar results are known for higher degree cases [21, Proposition 3.7(iii)-(iv)]. This implies that  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H}) \subset \lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  as subsets of the product  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ . On the other hand, if  $\xi \neq \xi' \in \mathbb{S}^1$  are incident under  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  but not incident under  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H})$ , there is a bounded Fatou component  $W$  whose boundary contains the two impressions  $\text{Imp}(K_{c_H}, \xi)$  and  $\text{Imp}(K_{c_H}, \xi')$  both. Notice that the critical class  $G_0(f_{c_H \perp c})$  of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  is contained in the critical class  $G_0(f_{c_H})$  of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H})$ . Since  $G_0(f_{c_H})$  is just with the convex hull of  $\Theta_0$ , we further have  $\mathcal{I}(f_{c_H}) \supset \mathcal{I}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  and  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H \perp c}) \subset \lambda_{den}(f_{c_H})$ . From this, it follows that  $A(f_{c_H}) \supset A(f_{c_H \perp c})$ .

The following lemma is the crux of our proof.

**Lemma 7.5 (Tuning Lemma).** *Let  $\rho = \tilde{\pi}|_{\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})}$  be given as in Theorem 3. Then there is a monotone semi-conjugacy  $m : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  between  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$  with the following conditions: when  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is a singleton,  $m$  is a homeomorphism; when  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is not a singleton, a point inverse  $m^{-1}(u)$  with  $u \in \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  is a singleton if and only if  $\rho^{-1}(u)$  is. In the latter case, if  $m^{-1}(u)$  is not a singleton then its pre-image under  $\tau^{c_H \perp c}$  is eventually mapped by  $\sigma_2$  into  $\Theta_0$ .*

If  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is a point, then  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H \perp c}) = \lambda_{den}(f_{c_H})$  hence  $(\mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  is topologically conjugate with  $(\mathcal{T}(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$ . In the sequel, we deal with the case that  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is not a point.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}) & \xrightarrow{f_{c_H}} & \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}) \\
\downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\
\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{c_H}}} & \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{c_H \perp c}}} & \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \\
\downarrow m & & \downarrow m \\
\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{f_{c_H}}} & \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})
\end{array}$$

Recall that  $B(f_{c_H})$  consist of all  $w \in A(f_{c_H})$  whose forward orbit under  $\sigma_2$  never enters  $G_0(f_{c_H})$ . By Lemma 6.8, we have  $A(f_{c_H \perp c}) \supset B(f_{c_H})$ . Let  $A^\#$  consist of all those points  $w \in A(f_{c_H \perp c})$  whose forward orbit under  $\sigma_2$  never enters  $G_0(f_{c_H})$ . Then every class  $[w]_{den}$  of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  with  $w \in A^\#$  must be a class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  hence is also a class of  $\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{c_H})$ . Since its forward orbit under  $\sigma_2$  never enters  $G_0(f_{c_H})$ , such a class  $[w]_{den}$  is necessarily a class of  $\lambda_{den}(f_{c_H})$ . It follows that  $w \neq w' \in A^\#$  satisfies  $\tau^{c_H \perp c}(w) = \tau^{c_H \perp c}(w')$  if and only if  $\tau^{c_H}(w) = \tau^{c_H}(w')$ . From this we see that  $A^\# = B(f_{c_H})$ .

Therefore, we may define a continuous map  $m : \mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f_{c_H})$  in the following way.

If  $w \in A^\#$ , we set  $m(\tau^{c_H \perp c}(w)) = \tau^{c_H}(w)$ . If  $w \notin A^\#$ , we set  $m(\tau^{c_H \perp c}(w)) = \rho(W)$ , where  $W$  is a bounded Fatou component such that the impression  $\text{Imp}(K_{c_H}, w)$  is contained in  $\partial W$  and  $\rho$  is the monotone semi-conjugacy between  $(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$ , given in Theorem 4.1. Clearly,  $m$  is a semi-conjugacy between  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$ .

On the one hand, the semi-conjugacy  $m : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  is monotone. Indeed, since  $\tau^{c_H}$  sends  $\overline{B(f_{c_H})}$  onto  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$ , the map  $m : \mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f_{c_H})$  is surjective. Moreover, the restriction of  $m$  to  $\tau^{c_H \perp c}(A^\#) = \tau^{c_H}(B(f_{c_H}))$  is a homeomorphism of a subset of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c})$  onto a subset of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$ , whose complement is the grand orbit of  $\tilde{\pi}(0) \in \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  under  $\overline{f_{c_H}}$ . This establishes the first part of Lemma 7.5, since the point inverse  $m^{-1}(u)$  for each of those points  $u$  is forced to be a continuum.

On the other, if  $m^{-1}(u)$  is not a singleton for  $u \in \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  then the point inverse of  $u$  under  $\tilde{\pi} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(f_{c_H})$  is contained in the closure of some bounded Fatou component  $W$  of  $f_{c_H}$ . Thus the pre-image of  $m^{-1}(u)$  under  $\tau^{c_H \perp c}$  is eventually mapped by  $\sigma_2$  into  $\Theta_0$ . This establishes the second part of Lemma 7.5 and completes our proof for Theorem 7.2.  $\square$

**Remark 7.6.** If  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  in Lemma 7.5 is not a singleton, we may obtain  $\mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  by replacing some arcs in  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  with certain dendrites. This helps to specify the semi-conjugacy  $m : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  between  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  and  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$ . There are three possibilities, to be explained with the help of Figure 1. **First**, when  $v_i \in W_i$  is an end point, there is just one edge  $e_i \subset \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  with  $v_i \in e_i$  and  $\gamma_i = \overline{W_i} \cap \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}) = \overline{W_i} \cap e_i$ . We replace  $\gamma_i$  with a topological copy  $\tau_i(\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^+)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^+$ . Here  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^+$  consists of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  and the unique half-open arc in  $\mathcal{T}(f_c) \setminus \mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$  that connects the beta fixed point  $\beta_c$  of  $f_c$  to the dynamic core  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$ . **Second**, when  $v_i$  is a cut point, there are just two edges  $e'_i, e''_i \subset \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  containing  $v_i$  and satisfying  $\gamma_i = \overline{W_i} \cap \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}) = \overline{W_i} \cap (e'_i \cup e''_i)$ . We replace  $\gamma_i$  with a topological copy  $\tau_i(\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^*)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^*$ . Here  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^*$  consists of  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^+$  and the unique half-open arc in  $\mathcal{T}(f_c) \setminus \mathcal{T}_0(f_c)^+$  that connects  $-\beta_c$  to  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$ . **Third**, when  $v' \in \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}) \setminus \{v_0, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$  lies in the grand orbit of  $v_0 = 0$ ,

there is a bounded Fatou component  $W'$  and a smallest integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $f_{c_H}^n$  sends  $W'$  onto some  $W_i$ . We replace the closed arc  $\overline{W'} \cap \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  with a topological copy  $\tau'(\gamma_\beta)$  of the arc  $\gamma_\beta \subset \mathcal{T}(f_c)$  that connects  $\tilde{\pi}(-\beta_c)$  to  $\tilde{\pi}(\beta_c)$ .




FIGURE 1. An illustration of how to replace  $v_i$  or  $v'$  by a dendrite  $\tau_i(\mathcal{T}_0(f_c))$  or a point  $\tau'(\tilde{\pi}(0))$ .

**Remark 7.7.** Let us clarify how the three systems  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$ ,  $(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$ ,  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$  are related. When  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is a singleton, from the proof of Lemma 7.5 we know that  $m$  is a homeomorphism and  $(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$  can not be a dendrite factor of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$ . When  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is not a singleton, by the connection between  $\mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  and  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  that is described in Remark 7.6, one may slightly modify the definition of  $m : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  and obtain a monotone map  $m^\# : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$ , satisfying  $m = m^\# \circ \rho$ . However,  $m^\#$  is not a semi-conjugacy between  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  and  $(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$ .

To extend the result of [11, Lemma 1 of §4.5], one may remove the primitive requirement on the hyperbolic component  $H$  in Theorem 7.2 and obtain the following.

**Theorem 7.8.** *If  $c_H$  is the center of a non-primitive hyperbolic component  $H$  of period  $p \geq 2$ , then  $h_*(c_H \perp c) = \max \left\{ h_*(c_H), \frac{1}{p} h_*(c) \right\}$  for all  $c \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \{\frac{1}{4}\}$ .*

There is an easy part in Theorem 7.8, when  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is a singleton. In such a case,  $h_*(c) = 0$  and the following is immediate.

**Lemma 7.9.** *Given a hyperbolic component  $H$ , with center  $c_H$ . If  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is a singleton then  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$  is conjugate with  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H}), \overline{f_{c_H}})$  hence  $h_*(c_H \perp c) = h_*(c_H)$ .*

Then, by the same arguments we can establish the result of Lemma 7.5 under the assumption that  $H$  is NOT primitive. Note that, in such a case,  $(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$  is usually not a dendrite factor of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$ . See the following.

**Example 7.10.** Pick a hyperbolic component  $H$  with  $\overline{H} \cap \overline{H^\diamond} \neq \emptyset$  and address how  $\mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  is related to the Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$ . In such a case, the alpha fixed point  $\alpha_{c_H}$  of  $f_{c_H}$  is the common point of  $\partial W_i$




FIGURE 2. A simple depiction of  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  and  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c})$ , where  $X_i = \chi_i(\mathcal{T}(f))$ .

and  $\partial W_j$  for  $0 \leq i \neq j \leq p-1$  and  $\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  consists of  $p$  arcs  $\gamma_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ ) from  $\alpha_H$  to  $v_i = f_c^i(0)$ . See the left part of Figure 2.

Notice that  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H})$  is a singleton. Given  $c \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is a singleton, so is  $\mathcal{T}(f_{c_H \perp c})$  thus the semi-conjugacy  $m$  is trivial. If  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is not a singleton and if  $\mathcal{T}(f_c) = \mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$ , one may choose embedding maps  $\chi_i : \mathcal{T}(f_c) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c})$  such that:

- (1)  $\chi_i(\mathcal{T}(f_c))$  are pairwise disjoint, except for the common end point  $\tilde{\pi}(0)$ ;
- (2)  $\overline{f_{c_H \perp c}}$  restricted to  $\chi_0(\mathcal{T}(f))$  is a degree two branch map onto  $\chi_1(\mathcal{T}(f))$ ;
- (3)  $\overline{f_{c_H \perp c}}$  restricted to  $\chi_i(\mathcal{T}(f))$  with  $0 < i \leq p-1$  is a homeomorphism; and
- (4) the two systems  $(\chi_0(\mathcal{T}(f)), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}}^p)$  and  $(\mathcal{T}(f_c), \overline{f_c})$  are topologically conjugate.

In such a case, it is transparent that  $(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$  can **NOT** be a factor of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$ . Finally, if  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is not a singleton and  $\mathcal{T}(f_c) \supsetneq \mathcal{T}_0(f_c)$ , we may choose embedding maps  $\chi_i : \mathcal{T}(f_c) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c})$  and a monotone map  $m_{\#} : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  sending  $X_i = \chi_i(\mathcal{T}(f_c))$  to  $v_i$ , such that  $m_{\#}$  restricted to  $\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \setminus (X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_{p-1})$  is a homeomorphism. See the right part of Figure 2, in which  $\alpha_{c'}$  is the alpha fixed point of  $f_{c'}(z) = z^2 + c'$  and  $\tilde{\pi} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(f_{c'})$  the natural projection. Notice that  $m = \rho \circ m_{\#}$ . However,  $(\mathcal{H}(f_{c_H}), f_{c_H})$  can **NOT** be a factor of  $(\mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}), \overline{f_{c_H \perp c}})$ .

To conclude this section, let us revisit at the central molecule  $M_0$  and pay some attention to the level set  $h_*^{-1}(0)$ . Let  $N_0 = \overline{H_{\diamond}}$ . For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $N_k$  consist of  $N_{k-1}$  and the closures  $\overline{H'}$  of all the hyperbolic components  $H'$  with  $\overline{H'} \cap N_{k-1} \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 7.9, we can inductively infer that for each  $k \geq 1$  the inclusion  $K_c \in \mathcal{D}(f_c)$  holds for all  $c \in N_k \setminus E_S$ . So the following lemma is immediate.

**Lemma 7.11.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}_0$  consist of the centers of the hyperbolic components. Then  $\mathcal{T}(f_c)$  is a point for all  $c$  in  $M_0 \setminus E_S$  such that  $f_c$  has a non-repelling cycle. Consequently,  $h_*(c) = h_T(c) = 0$  for all  $c \in (\mathcal{D}_0 \cup \mathcal{D}_1) \cap M_0$ .*

On the other hand, if every cycle of  $f_c$  is repelling then  $f_c$  is infinitely renormalizable. See for instance [24, §2.3]. In such a case, we can find two infinite sequences  $c_j, c'_j \in M_0$ , with every  $c_j$  the center of a non-primitive hyperbolic component  $H_j \subset M_0$  with period  $p_j$ , such that  $c = c_j \perp c'_j$ . Then we can find a monotone map  $m_{\#} : \mathcal{T}_0(f_{c_H \perp c}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(f_{c_H})$  as in Remark 7.10 and infer that  $h_*(c) = \max \left\{ h_*(c_j), \frac{1}{p_j} h_*(c'_j) \right\}$ . Since  $p_j \rightarrow \infty$  and since  $h_*(c'_j) \leq \log 2$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , the following lemma is also immediate.

**Lemma 7.12.** *Given  $c$  in  $M_0 \setminus E_s$ . If every cycle of  $f_c$  is repelling then  $h_*(c) = 0$ .*

**Remark 7.13.** By Corollary 6.12 and Lemmas 7.11 to 7.12, it is immediate that  $M_0 = h_T^{-1}(0)$ . However, up to now, it remains unknown whether  $M_0 = h_*^{-1}(0)$ . In particular, it is still open whether  $E_s = \emptyset$ . See [38, Question 8.2]. Among others, two open questions concerning the level sets of  $h_* : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  seem to be noteworthy. First, can we show that  $h_*(c) = 0$  for all  $c \in E_S$  (assuming that  $E_s \neq \emptyset$ )? Second, if  $H$  is a primitive hyperbolic component with center  $c_H \neq 0$  and the baby Mandelbrot set  $\mathcal{M}_H = \{c_H \perp c : c \in \mathcal{M}\}$  is not contained in any other baby Mandelbrot set, is it always true that  $\mathcal{M}_H$  is a component of  $h_*^{-1}(h_*(c_H))$ ? Restricted to the real slice  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathbb{R} = [-2, \frac{1}{4}]$ , the first question is not applicable and the second one remains open. More precisely, every  $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$  with  $-2 \leq c \leq \frac{1}{4}$  has an invariant interval

$$I_c = \left[ -\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - c}, \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - c} \right].$$

If  $c \in [-2, \frac{1}{4}]$  then  $h_*(c)$  coincides with  $h(I_c, f_c)$ , the topological entropy of  $(I_c, f_c)$ . If further  $c$  lies in  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  and belongs to  $\text{Imp}(\mathcal{M}, \theta)$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$  then  $h_*(c) = h_T(c) = \log r_\theta$ . It is well known that  $c \mapsto h(I_c, f_c)$  is a continuous map of  $[-2, \frac{1}{4}]$  to  $[0, \log 2]$ . See for instance [11]. Every non-PCF polynomial  $f_c$  with  $c \in [-2, \frac{1}{4}] \cap \partial\mathcal{M}$  can be approximated by a sequence  $c_n \in [-2, \frac{1}{4}]$  such that 0 is purely periodic under  $f_{c_n}$ . See for instance [19, 32]. Each of those  $c_n$  is the center of a hyperbolic component  $W_n$ . Moreover, if  $c_\infty$  denotes the so called Feigenbaum parameter then  $h_*(c) = 0$  if and only if  $c \geq c_\infty$ . Therefore, every level set  $h_*^{-1}(t)$  with  $t \in [0, \log 2]$  is either a singleton or a closed interval. It is still unknown whether the interval in the latter case is always of the form  $\{c_H \perp c : -2 \leq c \leq \frac{1}{4}\}$  for some  $c_H \in [-2, \frac{1}{4}]$  that is the center of a primitive hyperbolic center.

## 8. APPENDIX

Lemma 8.1, a well known result, is used in the proof of Theorem 7.2. Here we give a simple proof.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $c_H$  be the center of a primitive hyperbolic component  $H$  of period  $p \geq 2$ . If  $f(z) = z^2 + c_H$  then there exist an arc  $\gamma$  in the Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  and two sub-arcs  $\gamma_i \subset \gamma$  with disjoint interior such that  $\gamma \subset (f^k(\gamma_1) \cap f^k(\gamma_2))$  holds for some integer  $k \leq p$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  the alpha and beta fixed points of  $f$ , where  $\beta$  is the landing point of the external ray at angle 0. There are two possible cases. First,  $-\alpha$  is contained in the Hubbard tree  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ ; second,  $-\alpha \notin \mathcal{H}(f)$ . Assume that the point inverse  $\tau^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}(\alpha))$  of  $\tau : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(f)$  contains  $q \geq 2$  points, say  $\xi_j (0 \leq j \leq q-1)$ . Since  $\sigma_2$  restricted to  $\{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{q-1}\}$  is injective, we may set  $\xi_q = \xi_0$  and rename the points  $\xi_j (1 \leq j \leq q-1)$  so that  $f(\xi_j) = \xi_{j+1}$  for  $j \leq q-1$ . In the sequel, let  $k \leq p$  be the smallest integer such that  $f^k(0)$  belongs to the regulated arc in the filled Julia set  $K$  that connects  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ . Moreover, denote by  $\zeta_j (0 \leq j \leq k)$  the sub-arc of  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  that joins  $\alpha$  to  $f^j(0)$ .

**Case (1).** When  $-\alpha \in \mathcal{H}(f)$ , we can routinely check that  $-\alpha$  separates 0 from  $f^k(0)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ . Then, set  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{H}(f)$  to be the arc from  $\alpha$  to  $-\alpha$  and  $\gamma_i$  the one from 0 to  $\pm\alpha$ . Then, each of  $f^k(\gamma_i) (i = 1, 2)$  contains  $\gamma$ . For instance, this happens when  $c_H \approx -1.7549$ . See Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. The filled Julia sets for  $f(z) = z^2 + c_H$  with  $c_H \approx -1.7549$ .

**Case (2).** When  $-\alpha \notin \mathcal{H}(f)$ , the restriction of  $f$  to each of the arcs  $\zeta_j (0 \leq j \leq k-1)$  is injective.

**Claim 1.**  $f^k(0) \notin \zeta_0$  hence  $f^k(0)$  separates 0 from  $-\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{T}(f)$ . On the one hand,  $f^k(0)$  does not lie in the interior of  $\zeta_0$ , since this would contradict the assumption that  $f^p(0) = 0$ . On the other, from the equality  $f^k(0) = 0$  we can infer that  $k = p = q$  and that all but finitely many points in the interior of  $\zeta_0$  belong to some bounded Fatou component. This contradicts the primitivity of  $H$ . See Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. Relative locations of  $f^k(0)$  and  $f^{2k}(0)$  on the sub-arc of  $\mathcal{H}(f)$  from  $\alpha$  to  $-\alpha$ .



**Claim 2.**  $f^{2k}(0)$  lies in the interior  $\text{Int}(\zeta_0)$  of  $\zeta_0$  hence separates  $\alpha$  from 0 in  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ . This shall complete our proof, since we can infer that  $f^{jk+2k}(0) = 0$  for some  $j \geq 1$ . Then, by choosing  $\gamma \subset \mathcal{H}(f)$  to be the arc from  $f^{2k}(0)$  to  $f^k(0)$  and  $\gamma_i (i = 1, 2)$  the sub-arcs of  $\gamma$  that connect 0 to  $f^k(0)$  and  $f^{2k}(0)$  respectively, we can further infer that  $\gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2 = \{0\}$  and  $f^{2k}$  sends each of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  onto  $\gamma$ . For instance, this happens for  $c \approx -0.105639602140081 + 0.923798010031129i$  with  $j = 1$ ,  $k = 3$  and  $p = 9$ . See Figure 5.




FIGURE 5. The filled Julia sets for  $f(z) = z^2 + c_H$  with  $c_H \approx -0.10564 + 0.92381i$ .

Note that  $f^{jk}(0) \in \zeta_k$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . In order to obtain **Claim 2**, it suffices to show that  $f^{2k}(0) \notin \gamma_1$ , which is just the closure of  $\zeta_k \setminus \zeta_0$ . Indeed, if on the contrary  $f^{2k}(0) \in \gamma_1$  then  $f^{2k}$  restricted to  $\gamma_1$  would be an injective self-map of  $\gamma_1$ . If  $f^{2k}(0) = 0$  then  $f^k$  sends  $\gamma_1$  homeomorphically onto itself and all but finitely many points of  $\gamma_1$  belong to some bounded Fatou component. This is absurd, since  $c_H$  is the center of a primitive hyperbolic component  $H$ . If  $f^{2k}(0) \neq 0$  then  $f^k(\gamma_1) \subsetneq (\gamma_1 \setminus \{0\})$  and there exists  $z_0 \in \text{Int}(\Gamma)$  with  $f^k(z_0) = z_0$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f^{jk}(0) = z_0$ . This is also absurd, since  $f^p(0) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 8.2.** Let  $c_H$  be given as in Lemma 8.1. Then  $h_*(c_H) \geq \frac{1}{p} \log 2$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The current project is supported by (1) Chinese NSF projects 11871483, 12171172 and 11901011; (2) Science and Technology Projects of Guangzhou 202102020480; (3) Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation 2021A1515010242; (4) Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation No. KLH1411082. The authors are grateful to many colleagues for very helpful discussions and suggestions, especially Yan Gao, Wen Huang, Wolf Jung, Weiyuan Qiu, Giulio Tiozzo, Yimin Wang, and Jinsong Zeng. In particular, before this project was started, three of the authors joined a mini-course on the core entropy of polynomials at Peking University by G. Tiozzo in December of 2019. And the two pictures that appear as Figures 3 and 5 (respectively on pages 32 and 33) are produced by Mandel dynamics, a program developed by Wolf Jung.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Christoph Bandt and Karsten Keller. Symbolic dynamics for angle-doubling on the circle. I. The topology of locally connected Julia sets. In *Ergodic theory and related topics, III (Güstrow, 1990)*, volume 1514 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–23. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] Sourav Bhattacharya, Alexander Blokh, and Dierk Schleicher. Unicritical laminations. *Fund. Math.*, 258(1):25–63, 2022.
- [3] Ben Bielefeld, Yuval Fisher, and John Hubbard. The classification of critically preperiodic polynomials as dynamical systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(4):721–762, 1992.
- [4] Alexander M. Blokh, Clinton P. Curry, and Lex G. Oversteegen. Locally connected models for Julia sets. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1621–1661, 2011.
- [5] Alexander M. Blokh, Clinton P. Curry, and Lex G. Oversteegen. Finitely Suslinian models for planar compacta with applications to Julia sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141(4):1437–1449, 2013.
- [6] Alexander M. Blokh, Lex G. Oversteegen, and Vladlen Timorin. Non-degenerate locally connected models for plane continua and Julia sets. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 37(11):5781–5795, 2017.
- [7] Rufus Bowen. Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 153:401–414, 1971.
- [8] Henk Bruin, Alexandra Kaffl, and Dierk Schleicher. Existence of quadratic Hubbard trees. *Fund. Math.*, 202(3):251–279, 2009.
- [9] E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater. *The theory of cluster sets*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 56. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.
- [10] Adrien Douady. Descriptions of compact sets in  $\mathbf{C}$ . In *Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991)*, pages 429–465. Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
- [11] Adrien Douady. Topological entropy of unimodal maps: monotonicity for quadratic polynomials. In *Real and complex dynamical systems (Hillerød, 1993)*, volume 464 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 65–87. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [12] Adrien Douady and John H. Hubbard. *Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes*. Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay, vol. 84-2. Université de Paris-Sud, Département de Mathématiques, Orsay, 1984, available in English as *Exploring the Mandelbrot set*. The Orsay notes from <http://math.cornell.edu/~hubbard/OrsayEnglish.pdf>.

- [13] Adrien Douady and John Hamal Hubbard. On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 18(2):287–343, 1985.
- [14] Dzmitry Dudko and Dierk Schleicher. Core entropy of quadratic polynomials. *Arnold Math. J.*, 6(3-4):333–385, 2020. With an appendix by Wolf Jung.
- [15] Li Feng, Jun Luo, and Xiao-Ting Yao. On lambda function and a quantification of torhorst theorem. 320:108245, 2022.
- [16] R. W. FitzGerald and P. M. Swingle. Core decomposition of continua. *Fund. Math.*, 61:33–50, 1967.
- [17] Yan Gao. On Thurston’s core entropy algorithm. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(2):747–776, 2020.
- [18] Yan Gao and Giulio Tiozzo. The core entropy for polynomials of higher degree. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 24(7):2555–2603, 2022.
- [19] Jacek Graczyk and Grzegorz Świątek. Generic hyperbolicity in the logistic family. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 146(1):1–52, 1997.
- [20] Joachim Grispolakis, John C. Mayer, and Lex G. Oversteegen. Building blocks for quadratic Julia sets. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(3):1171–1201, 1999.
- [21] Hiroyuki Inou and Jan Kiwi. Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps, I: Compactness and bijectivity. *Adv. Math.*, 231(5):2666–2733, 2012.
- [22] Timo Jolivet, Benoît Loridant, and Jun Luo. A numerical scale for non-locally connected planar continua. *Topology Appl.*, 202:21–39, 2016.
- [23] Wolf Jung. Core entropy and biaccessibility of quadratic polynomials. *Preprint, arxiv:1401.4792*, 2014.
- [24] Jeremy Kahn and Mikhail Lyubich. A priori bounds for some infinitely renormalizable quadratics. III. Molecules. In *Complex dynamics*, pages 229–254. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2009.
- [25] Jan Kiwi. Real laminations and the topological dynamics of complex polynomials. *Adv. Math.*, 184(2):207–267, 2004.
- [26] Jan Kiwi. Combinatorial continuity in complex polynomial dynamics. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 91(1):215–248, 2005.
- [27] François Ledrappier and Peter Walters. A relativised variational principle for continuous transformations. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 16(3):568–576, 1977.
- [28] Benoit Loridant and Jun Luo. Modified fibers and local connectedness of planar continua. *submitted*, 2018.
- [29] Benoît Loridant, Jun Luo, and Yi Yang. A core decomposition of compact sets in the plane. *Adv. Math.*, 343:219–244, 2019.
- [30] Jun Luo and Yi Yang. Dynamics of relations associated with two core decompositions. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 104:307–313, 2017.
- [31] Jun Luo, Yi Yang, and Xiao-Ting Yao. A model for planar compacta and rational Julia sets. *submitted*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.03773>, 2022.
- [32] Mikhail Lyubich. Dynamics of quadratic polynomials. I, II. *Acta Math.*, 178(2):185–247, 247–297, 1997.
- [33] R. Mañé, P. Sad, and D. Sullivan. On the dynamics of rational maps. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 16(2):193–217, 1983.
- [34] John Milnor. *Dynamics in one complex variable*, volume 160 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, third edition, 2006.
- [35] R. L. Moore. Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(4):416–428, 1925.
- [36] Sam B. Nadler, Jr. *Continuum Theory*, volume 158 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992. An Introduction.
- [37] Alfredo Poirier. Hubbard trees. *Fund. Math.*, 208(3):193–248, 2010.

- [38] James T. Rogers, Jr. Singularities in the boundaries of local Siegel disks. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 12(4):803–821, 1992.
- [39] Dierk Schleicher. On fibers and local connectivity of compact sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ . 1999. Preprint, [arXiv:math/9902154v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9902154v1) [math.DS].
- [40] Dierk Schleicher. On fibers and local connectivity of Mandelbrot and Multibrot sets. In *Fractal geometry and applications: a jubilee of Benoît Mandelbrot. Part 1*, volume 72 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 477–517. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [41] Lei Tan. Local properties of the Mandelbrot set at parabolic points. In *The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations*, volume 274 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 133–160. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [42] William P. Thurston. On the geometry and dynamics of iterated rational maps. In *Complex dynamics*, pages 3–137. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2009. Edited by Dierk Schleicher and Nikita Selinger and with an appendix by Schleicher.
- [43] William P. Thurston, Hyungryul Baik, Gao Yan, John H. Hubbard, Kathryn A. Lindsey, Lei Tan, and Dylan P. Thurston. Degree- $d$ -invariant laminations. In *What's next?—the mathematical legacy of William P. Thurston*, volume 205 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 259–325. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.
- [44] Giulio Tiozzo. Topological entropy of quadratic polynomials and dimension of sections of the Mandelbrot set. *Adv. Math.*, 273:651–715, 2015.
- [45] Giulio Tiozzo. Continuity of core entropy of quadratic polynomials. *Invent. Math.*, 203(3):891–921, 2016.
- [46] H. D. Ursell and L. C. Young. Remarks on the theory of prime ends. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1951(3):29, 1951.
- [47] Peter Walters. *An introduction to ergodic theory*, volume 79 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [48] Gordon Whyburn and Edwin Duda. *Dynamic topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, With a foreword by John L. Kelley.
- [49] Gordon Thomas Whyburn. *Analytic Topology*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, v. 28. American Mathematical Society, New York, 1942.
- [50] Saeed Zakeri. On biaccessible points of the Mandelbrot set. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 134(8):2239–2250, 2006.
- [51] Zuo Ling Zhou. Weakly almost periodic point and measure centre. *Sci. China Ser. A*, 36(2):142–153, 1993.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510275, CHINA

*E-mail address:* [luojun3@mail.sysu.edu.cn](mailto:luojun3@mail.sysu.edu.cn)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAZHONG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, WUHAN 430074, CHINA

*E-mail address:* [tanbo@hust.edu.cn](mailto:tanbo@hust.edu.cn)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS(ZHUHAI), SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, ZHUHAI, 519082 CHINA

*E-mail address:* [yangy699@mail.sysu.edu.cn](mailto:yangy699@mail.sysu.edu.cn) (corresponding author)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, GUANGDONG UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY GUANGZHOU510520

*E-mail address:* [yaoxiaoting55@gdut.edu.cn](mailto:yaoxiaoting55@gdut.edu.cn)