# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Six Newly Discovered Eclipsing Binary Systems in the TESS field

Burak Ulaş<sup>∗</sup> and Vildan Ayan

Department of Space Sciences and Technologies, Faculty of Sciences, Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Terzioğlu Campus, TR 17100, Çanakkale, Turkey; *burak.ulas@comu.edu.tr* 

Received 20XX Month Day; accepted 20XX Month Day

Abstract We present the first detailed investigation of six eclipsing binary systems in the TESS field. The TESS light curves of the targets are analysed by determining the initial effective temperatures via SED fits. The absolute parameters are derived and the systems are compared to well-known binaries of the same type. Results show that CD-58 791, CD-62 1257 and TYC 9356-355-1 are detached binary systems. CD-54 942 and UCAC4 136- 007295 are contact binaries while TYC 8508-1413-1 is a semidetached system.

Key words: binaries: eclipsing — stars: fundamental parameters — stars: individual: CD-54 942, CD-58 791, CD-62 1257, TYC 8508-1413-1, TYC 9356-355-1 and UCAC4 136- 007295

# 1 INTRODUCTION

Studies on eclipsing binary systems improved our understanding of the structure and evolution of stars in various circumstances. Since the observation of Mizar, more than four hundred years of effort given by researchers to observe, discover, classify and reveal the characteristics of binary systems resulted in an ability to determine the light and absolute parameters, thus, making incisive estimations on their structural properties and evolutional paths. Being powerful tools for deriving the crucial properties of the stars, binary star systems still hold their solid ground as one of the leading research topics in stellar astrophysics.

Space observations are a milestone in finding a chance to look at the binary stars in a different way. They allow us to determine the variations with small amplitudes and discover very faint binaries that can be improbable to detect with numerous ground-based instruments. The discoveries made by using the data from *Kepler* [\(Borucki et al.](#page-14-0) [2010\)](#page-14-0) and Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS, [Ricker et al.](#page-15-0) [2015\)](#page-15-0) missions played an important role in improving our knowledge of various types of stars, as well as binary systems. They also provided a dramatic increment in the number of known systems. As of the moment, Kepler Eclipsing Binary Catalog of [Kirk et al.](#page-15-1) [\(2016\)](#page-15-1) contains 2920 systems while Prša et al. [\(2022\)](#page-15-2) cataloged 4584 binaries in their TESS Eclipsing Binary catalog.

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>The systems investigated in this paper were first validated as eclipsing binaries in the eclipsing binary catalog of Prša et al. [\(2022\)](#page-15-2) where authors classified the targets based on their TESS light curves. The targets were also cataloged by the Gaia data releases [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [2016,](#page-14-1) [2018,](#page-14-2) [2022\)](#page-14-3). CD-54 942, CD-58 791 and TYC 9356-355-1 also appeared in the releases of the RAVE [\(Kordopatis et al.](#page-15-3) [2013;](#page-15-3) [Kunder](#page-15-4) [et al.](#page-15-4) [2017;](#page-15-4) [Steinmetz et al.](#page-15-5) [2020\)](#page-15-5). [Sharma et al.](#page-15-6) [\(2018\)](#page-15-6) listed UCAC4 136-007295 in their High Efficiency and Resolution Multi-Element Spectrograph (HERMES) catalog.

The lack of detailed information on the systems in the literature motivated us to study them in detail. Our study is the first one that focuses on the analyses of the light curves and the determination of binary parameters. The next section gives the details of the data used in the study while Sec. [3](#page-1-0) deals with the derivation of the initial effective temperatures, calculation of the orbital periods and the analyses of the light curves. In the last section, we conclude the results and compare the systems with the well-known binaries with the same morphologies.

# 2 LIGHT CURVES

We collected the TESS light curve data of the systems via Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) portal<sup>[1](#page-1-1)</sup>. The magnitude values were derived following the TESS magnitudes [\(Stassun et al.](#page-15-7) [2019\)](#page-15-7) and using the formula  $-2.5 \log F$  where F is the Pre-search Data Conditioning Simple Aperture Photometry (PDC SAP) flux values stored in the data files. The data are from sectors 3, 4, 5, and 6 for CD-54 942, sectors 2,3 and 4 for CD-58 791, sector 13 for CD-62 1257, sectors 3 and 4 for TYC 8508-1413-1, sectors 1 and 13 for TYC 9356-355-1, sectors 9, 10 and 11 for UCAC4 136-007295. The TESS magnitudes are  $10.785<sup>m</sup>$ , 9.718 $^m$ , 9.891 $^m$ , 10.787 $^m$ , 10.238 $^m$ , 12.406 $^m$  for CD-54 942, CD-58 791, CD-62 1257, TYC 8508-1413-1, TYC 9356-355-1 and UCAC4 136-007295, respectively.

The one orbital period long light curves of the systems are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) As seen from the figure, the shapes of the curves resemble the typical variation of eclipsing binary systems in several morphologies. The depths of primary and secondary minimums are  $0.31<sup>m</sup>$  and  $0.26<sup>m</sup>$  for CD-54 942,  $0.283<sup>m</sup>$  and  $0.282<sup>m</sup>$  for CD-58 791, 0.24 $^m$  and 0.20 $^m$  for CD-62 1257, 0.42 $^m$  and 0.16 $^m$  for TYC 8508-1413-1, 0.40 $^m$  and 0.14 $^m$ for TYC 9356-355-1,  $0.22^m$  and  $0.21^m$  for UCAC4 136-007295. The durations are 3.8<sup>h</sup> and 3.4<sup>h</sup>, 5.5<sup>h</sup> and 5.4<sup>h</sup>, 5.6<sup>h</sup> and 5.3<sup>h</sup>, 2.9<sup>h</sup> and 2.8<sup>h</sup>, 3.5<sup>h</sup> and 3.8<sup>h</sup>, 6.1<sup>h</sup> and 5.9<sup>h</sup> for the primary and secondary minimum of CD-54 942, CD-58 791, CD-62 1257, TYC 8508-1413-1, TYC 9356-355-1 and UCAC4 136-007295, respectively. 967 times of minimum light for the targets were also calculated from the TESS light curves using the method of [Kwee & van Woerden](#page-15-8) [\(1956\)](#page-15-8) and listed in Table [1](#page-3-0)

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>3 ANALYSES OF THE LIGHT CURVES

The systems were recently classified as eclipsing binary candidates by Prša et al. [\(2022\)](#page-15-2), however, their binary parameters were not determined in the literature. The lack of detailed information about the systems necessitates the derivation of some crucial parameters prior to the light curve analyses. We first determined the mass ratio for the systems via q-search procedure by using the 2015 version of Wilson-Devinney code [\(Wilson & Devinney](#page-15-9) [1971;](#page-15-9) [Wilson et al.](#page-15-10) [2020\)](#page-15-10) on 1000 normalized data points of the light curves. A

<span id="page-1-1"></span><sup>1</sup> mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/Portal.html

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1: TESS light curve in the time interval equals to one orbital period for CD-54 942 (a), CD-58 791 (b), CD-62 1257 (c), TYC 8508-1413-1 (d), TYC 9356-355-1 (e) and UCAC4 136-007295 (f).

lack of morphological classes of the systems directed us to apply q-search in two different morphological assumptions for each system. Contact and semidetached approach used for CD-54 942 and UCAC4 136- 007295. The q-searches with the contact approach were applied in the range of  $q=0.0-2.0$  to avoid missing the more accurate value larger than 1.0. The process output unphysical results for the q values larger than 0.5

<span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1: Calculated times of minimum light for the systems. TYC 8508, TYC 9356 and UCAC4 136 refer to TYC 8508-1413-1, TYC 9356-355- 1 and UCAC4 136-007295, respectively. This table is for guidance, its full version is available in electronic form.

System BJD-2457000 Error Min. type	
CD-54 942 1386.126517 0.000001	I
CD-54 942 1385.971296 0.000001	- 11
CD-54 942 1386.436137 0.000001	I
CD-54 942 1386.281039 0.000001	П
CD-54 942 1386.745805 0.000001	I
CD-54 942 1386.590640 0.000001	П

for CD-54 942. The q-search for CD-58 791, CD-62 1257, TYC 8508-1413-1 and TYC 9356-355-1, on the other hand, was made in detached and semidetached mode. The results of the q-search are shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0) which indicates that CD-54 942 and UCAC4 136-007295 are probably contact binary systems whereas detached morphology is more accurate for CD-58 791, CD-62 1257 and TYC 9356-355-1. TYC 8508- 1413-1 are found to be semidetached binary based on our q-search results. However, one must bear in mind that the light curve is not sensitive to mass ratio for detached binaries and semidetached systems that show partial eclipses [\(Terrell & Wilson](#page-15-11) [2005\)](#page-15-11), therefore, some further properties must be taken into account to decide the morphology as we remark in corresponding subsections.

The effective temperature also significantly impacts deriving physically meaningful results by light curve analysis. The systems were not studied in detail previously, thus, we faced the lack of reliable effective temperature values for the components in the literature as expected. We constructed spectral energy distributions (SEDs) through Virtual Observatory SED Analyzer (VOSA, [Bayo et al.](#page-14-4) [2008\)](#page-14-4) using the photometric data given by the VizieR database [\(Ochsenbein et al.](#page-15-12) [2000\)](#page-15-12) to derive the temperatures for the components of the systems. During the SED analysis, the data were fitted using chi-squared fit or binary fit (two components approach) with a parameter-grid search to obtain the optimized Kurucz atmosphere model [\(Kurucz](#page-15-13) [1979\)](#page-15-13). The search domain for the temperatures was set between 3500 and 10000 K while the interval for log g values, which has a minor effect on SED, was between 2.5-5.0. A good fit corresponds to the Vg $f_b$  value, a value for estimating the goodness of fit, that is smaller than 10-15 [\(Bayo et al.](#page-14-4) [2008\)](#page-14-4). The error in the temperature and the gravity values calculated by the SED analyses are  $\Delta T$ =125 K and  $\Delta$ log  $q=0.25$ . The results of the SED analyses are given in corresponding subsections while the fits are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-6-0)

Orbital periods of the systems were determined by calculating averages of the differences between two consecutive minima times of the same type. Frequency analyses using Period04 software [\(Lenz & Breger](#page-15-14) [2005\)](#page-15-14) were also applied to the light curves to confirm the calculated orbital period values. The calculated times of minima (Table [1\)](#page-3-0) were also used for a period analysis with the linear variation assumption and variation in orbital period  $\Delta P$  and time of minimum light,  $\Delta T_0$  were derived utilizing the equation  $O-C =$  $\Delta T_0 + \Delta P \cdot E$ , with the cycle number E. The standard errors (SE) for the orbital periods were derived

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 2: Results of the q-search for CD-54 942 (a), CD-58 791 (b), CD-62 1257 (c), TYC 8508-1413-1 (d), TYC 9356-355-1 (e) and UCAC4 136-007295 (f). The sub-indices C, D and SD indicate contact, detached and semidetached morphologies, respectively. Note that the procedure output unphysical results for CD-62 1257 in semidetached assumption other than the initial value.

by using the equation containing standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) and the number of derived period values (N),  $SE = \sigma/N$ . The calculated values are given in the following subsections as well as Table [2.](#page-8-0)

The analyses were applied by using PHOEBE (Prša & Zwitter  $2005$ ) which analyse the input light curve by using Wilson-Devinney method [\(Wilson & Devinney](#page-15-9) [1971\)](#page-15-9). The inclination i, the temperature of the secondary component  $T_2$ , mass ratio q, the surface potential values of the primary component  $\Omega_1$  and the luminosity of the primary component  $L_1$  were the adjustable parameters of the solutions.  $\Omega_2$  was also set as adjustable in the analyses with detached approximation. The albedos  $(A_1, A_2)$  were adopted from Ruciński [\(1969\)](#page-15-16) and the gravity darkening coefficients ( $g_1, g_2$ ) for the systems were taken from [von Zeipel](#page-15-17) [\(1924\)](#page-15-17) and [Lucy](#page-15-18) [\(1967\)](#page-15-18) by taking account of the fact that the granulation boundary at about F0 spectral type [\(Gray & Nagel](#page-15-19) [1989\)](#page-15-19). Logarithmic limb darkening coefficients ( $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ) were derived from [Claret](#page-14-5) [\(2017\)](#page-14-5) based on the initial temperatures of the components. The light curve data covering more than 50000 data points were reduced to 50000, the input limit of the software, during the solution.

#### <span id="page-5-1"></span>6 B. Ulas and V. Ayan

# 3.1 CD-54 942

The analysis was applied to 50000 data points which are binned from originally 59124. The orbital period was set to 0.309691 days, the value derived by using the previously discussed method. Binary fit in the SED analysis resulted in the effective temperatures of the two components as 7250 and 5000 K. However, the first one is quite large compared to the values 5502 K [\(Steinmetz et al.](#page-15-5) [2020\)](#page-15-5), 5244 K [\(Gaia Collaboration](#page-14-1) [et al.](#page-14-1) [2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3) and 5241 [\(Stassun et al.](#page-15-7) [2019\)](#page-15-7) given in the literature. Therefore, we applied a chi-square fit to determine a more realistic temperature and derived the value of 5250 K. The log  $q$  was also found to be 4.5. The distance is 157.4(3) based on the Gaia DR3 parallax [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3) and the extinction is  $0<sup>m</sup>0342$ , derived by using the Galactic Dust Reddening and Extinction interface of NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive<sup>[2](#page-5-0)</sup>. The components are assumed to be in solar abundance. The V<sub>gfb</sub> of the resulting fit is 0.704, which is agree with the criterion for the good fit. The result is shown in Fig[.3.](#page-6-0)

The effective temperature of the hotter component is adjusted to 5250 K during the light curve solution following the SED analysis. The light curve solution was conducted in contact and semidetached approximations with the initial value of the mass ratios of 0.25 and 0.2 considering the q-search. A significantly better fit with smaller squared residuals was achieved with the contact approach. Additionally, a spotted area on the cooler components was hypothesized to fit the difference between the maxima of the light curve. The parameters of the spot are found to be  $\beta$ =45°,  $\lambda$ =90°,  $r$ =25° and  $t$ =0.9, where  $\beta$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $r$  and  $t$  are co-latitude, longitude, fractional radius and temperature factor, respectively. The results of the analysis are listed in Table [2](#page-8-0) while the agreement of the final fit to the observations is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) We conclude that the target can be considered as a contact binary system.

#### 3.2 CD-58 791

The SED analysis for the system resulted in two temperature values, 7750 and 6500 K, using the binary fit approach. However, the former temperature are contrary to the values given by [Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [\(2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3), [Stassun et al.](#page-15-7) [\(2019\)](#page-15-7) and [Kordopatis et al.](#page-15-3) [\(2013\)](#page-15-3) which are between 6000 and 6600 K. Therefore, we decided to analyse the SED by using the chi-square fit option. The result indicated that log g=4.0 with the temperature value of 6500 K which we set as the temperature of the primary component in our light curve analysis. The extinction was set to  $0$ *m*. 0736 and the distance was taken as 416(2) pc following [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3). The  $V_{gfb}$  of the solution, 0.81, corresponds to a good fit. The SED fit is plotted in Fig. [3.](#page-6-0) The orbital period of the system, on the other hand, was calculated as 1.82630 by following the method described earlier.

The light curve analyses were conducted on 44540 data points in two morphologies, detached and semidetached, individually. Although the semidetached configuration shows relatively small squared residuals in the min of q-search curve, the detached configuration fits the observations better in the light curve analysis. The reason may rise from the fact that the mass ratio is not sensitive to the light curve in detached configuration and weakly depend on it in the semidetached binaries showing partial eclipses [\(Terrell](#page-15-11) [& Wilson](#page-15-11) [2005\)](#page-15-11). The results are shown in Table [2](#page-8-0) as well as in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) Considering the above-mentioned arguments, we accomplish that the system is a detached binary.

<span id="page-5-0"></span><sup>2</sup> <https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/>

<span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 3: SED fits applied to the available photometric data for CD-54 942 (a), CD-58 791 (b), CD-62 1257 (c), TYC 8508-1413-1 (d), TYC 9356-355-1 (e) and UCAC4 136-007295 (f). The red lines refer to the model fits. The dashed and dotted lines in d, e and f represent the best model fits for the hotter and the cooler components, respectively.

# 3.3 CD-62 1257

The temperature of the primary components was derived in the same method that was described in previous subsections. The chi-square fit resulted in log  $g=4.5$  and T=6500 K which is agree with the values given by [Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [\(2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3) and [Stassun et al.](#page-15-7) [\(2019\)](#page-15-7). The distance of the system was set to <span id="page-7-1"></span>8 B. Ulaş and V. Ayan

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 4: Calculated light curve (red lines) is plotted with the observations and the agreement at the minimum phases are represented for CD-54 942 (a, c) and CD-58 791 (b, d).

455(7) pc based on the parallax b[yGaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [\(2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3), thus, the extinction is  $0<sup>m</sup>1629$ . The  $V_{gfb}$  was derived as 0.577, which quite agrees with the acceptable interval. Furthermore, the orbital period of the system was calculated to be 2.71459 days using the method discussed above.

The light curve of the binary was analysed by assuming that the system is a detached binary considering not only the q-search but also the period value and the shape of the light curve. The number of data in the solution was 19581. The calculated light curve is shown with the observations in Fig. [5](#page-9-0) while the results are listed in Table [2.](#page-8-0)

### 3.4 TYC 8508-1413-1

SED analysis applied to available photometric data by using binary fit which resulted in  $T_1=6500$  K,  $T_2$ =5750 K and log  $g_1$ =log  $g_2$ =4.5, the values assumed as the initial parameters for the components during the light curve analysis. The  $V_{gfb}$  of the fit was calculated as 4.81, smaller than 10-15. The distance of the system was adopted as 374(2) pc [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3) that corresponds to the extinction value of  $A_V = 0^m 04$ .

The analysis was applied to 12399 data points with detached and conventional semidetached approaches individually. Although the residuals of the light curve solution were close in two morphologies, the fillout factor for the secondary component was calculated to be 99%, using the equation,  $1 - [(\Omega_2 - \Omega_{cr})/\Omega_{cr}]$ in detached approximation, where  $\Omega_{cr}$  denotes the critical potential value for the corresponding mass ratio.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 2: Results of the light curve analyses. TYC 8508, TYC 9356 and UCAC4 136 refer to TYC 8508-1413-1, TYC 9356-355-1 and UCAC4 136-007295, respectively. The standard deviations,  $3\sigma$  for the last digits of light parameters are given in parentheses. The errors in the effective temperature,  $T_1$ , are from the corresponding SED analyses. See text for the error determination for orbital period values, P.



In addition, the K spectral type of the secondary is typical for the secondaries of the Algol-type binaries. Therefore, the semidetached configuration was supposed to be a more reliable morphology of the system. The initial mass ratio was set to 0.35 and the orbital period was taken as 0.64191 days during the solution. The albedo of the secondary component was set as a free parameter for the first couple of runs in order to achieve a better fit around the secondary minimum. A hot spotted area on the primary component, which may have been the result of the mass transfer from the secondary, was also included in the solution to fit the difference between levels at maximum phases. The spot parameters are  $\beta = 90^\circ$ ,  $\lambda = 240^\circ$ ,  $r = 15^\circ$  and  $t = 1.05$ . The resulting parameters are listed in Table [2.](#page-8-0) The calculated and observational light curves are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-9-0)

<span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 5: Same as [4,](#page-7-0) but for CD-62 1257 (a, c) and TYC 8508-1413-1 (b, d).

# 3.5 TYC 9356-355-1

A binary fit with  $V_{gfb}$ =0.35 in the SED analysis corresponded to that the temperature of the primary and secondary components are  $T_1$ =7000 K,  $T_2$ =4750 K whilst the log g values were found to be 4.5 for both of the components. The extinction was adopted to  $0^m$  2026 and the distance of the system is 320(4) pc [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3). The orbital period was calculated as 1.31699 by using the method mentioned earlier in the text.

The orbital period value, the result of the q-search and the shape of the light curve led us to analyse the light curve, which covers 37859 data points, in detached mode and, therefore, the initial value for the mass ratio was set to 0.5. The calculated light curve was plotted with observations in Fig. [6](#page-10-0) and the light parameters found by the solution are given in Table [2.](#page-8-0)

#### 3.6 UCAC4 136-007295

The temperatures and the gravities of the components were calculated as  $T_1$ =7000 K,  $T_2$ =4250 K and log  $g_1$ =log  $g_2$ =4.0 during the SED analysis, the former is relatively close to the value given by [Sharma et al.](#page-15-6) [\(2018\)](#page-15-6), 6529 K, in their catalog. The distance of the system is 1295(68) pc [\(Gaia Collaboration et al.](#page-14-1) [2016,](#page-14-1) [2022\)](#page-14-3) and the extinction through the direction is  $A_V = 0^{m}1195$  that yielded using the same method given above. The goodness of fit for the solution,  $V_{gfb}$ =0.218, corresponds to a good fit.

We analysed the light curve in two morphological assumptions; semidetached (with a secondary filling its Roche lobe) and contact. The semidetached analysis resulted in a warning that the primary component

<span id="page-10-1"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>

Fig. 6: Same as [4,](#page-7-0) but for TYC 9356-355-1 (a, c) and UCAC4 136-007295 (b, d).

exceeds its corresponding Roche lobe. Thereby, the solution was conducted using 41110 light curve data with an approach that the binary is a contact system. The calculated light curve is plotted with the observations in Fig. [6](#page-10-0) and the results are listed in Table [2.](#page-8-0)

# 4 CONCLUSION

We presented a comprehensive study on the binary properties of six eclipsing binary systems. The absolute parameters (Table [3\)](#page-11-0) are derived based on the light parameters by using the AbsParEB program [\(Liakos](#page-15-20) [& Niarchos](#page-15-20) [2015\)](#page-15-20). It is worth emphasizing that the errors in Table [2](#page-8-0) and [3](#page-11-0) are unphysical since the uncertainties in the Wilson-Devinney method are underestimated. Furthermore, the relatively poor fit caused deviations from the observations around the minimum phases of some systems. The maximum value of the amplitude of deviation was calculated as  $0^{m}02$  for CD-58 791 and TYC 8508-1413-1, while it was  $0^{m}03$ for CD-62 1257 and TYC 9356-355-1. The masses of the primary components of contact and semidetached systems (CD-54 942, TYC 8508-1413-1 and UCAC4 136-007295) were estimated among 204930 binary star models created by using the Binary Star Evolution code by [Hurley et al.](#page-15-21) [\(2002,](#page-15-21) [2013\)](#page-15-22) by setting the initial parameters of the orbital period between 0.5 d and 7 d, the eccentricity between 0 and 0.5, the mass of the primary component,  $M_1$ , between 0.5  $M_{\odot}$  and 5.0  $M_{\odot}$ , the mass of the secondary component between 0.1  $M_{\odot}$  and  $M_1$ . The masses for the primaries of the detached systems (CD-58 791, CD-62 1257 and TYC 9356-355-1), were calculated from the stellar tracks of [Bertelli et al.](#page-14-6) [\(2009\)](#page-14-6) according to their log  $g$ and effective temperature values. The stars are assumed to be in solar abundance during calculations.

<span id="page-11-1"></span><span id="page-11-0"></span>Table 3: Absolute parameters of the systems. TYC 8508, TYC 9356 and UCAC4 136 refer to TYC 8508-1413-1, TYC 9356-355-1 and UCAC4 136-007295, respectively. The standard errors are given in parentheses for the last digits

Parameter	CD-54 942	CD-58 791	CD-62 1257	
$M_1(M_{\odot})$	0.8	1.5	2.1	
$M_2(M_{\odot})$	0.190(1)	1.183(2)	1.277(2)	
$R_1(R_{\odot})$	1.015(2)	2.13(1)	2.09(9)	
$R_2(R_{\odot})$	0.53(1)	1.87(2)	1.1(3)	
$L_1(L_{\odot})$	0.701(2)	7.2(1)	6.9(6)	
$L_2(L_{\odot})$	0.154(7)	5.4(1)	1.8(9)	
$a(R_{\odot})$	1.965(2)	8.943(4)	12.57(1)	
	TYC 8508	TYC 9356	<b>UCAC4 136</b>	
$M_1(M_{\odot})$	1.3	1.5	1.6	
$M_2(M_{\odot})$	0.754(1)	0.776(2)	0.163(2)	
$R_1(R_{\odot})$	1.27(2)	1.44(2)	1.972(4)	
$R_2(R_{\odot})$	1.35(1)	1.22(4)	0.71(5)	
$L_1(L_{\odot})$	2.57(9)	4.4(1)	8.36(3)	
$L_2(L_{\odot})$	1.07(1)	1.26(9)	0.9(1)	
$a(R_{\odot})$	4.074(2)	6.807(4)	3.382(3)	

The fill-out factor, which indicates the degree of contact between components, for the contact binaries CD-54 942 and UCAC4 136-007295 were found 0.19 and 0.42 by using the equation  $f = (\Omega_i - \Omega) / (\Omega_i - \Omega_o)$  [\(Kallrath & Milone](#page-15-23) [2009\)](#page-15-23), where  $\Omega_i$  and  $\Omega_o$  are the inner and outer Lagrangian potentials. The filling factor ( $f = r/r_L$ ) for the primary component of the semidetached system TYC 8508-1413-1, on the other hand, was calculated by using the relation  $r_L = 0.49q^{\frac{2}{3}} / [0.6q^{\frac{2}{3}} + \ln(1+q^{\frac{1}{3}})]$  given by [Eggleton](#page-14-7) [\(1983\)](#page-14-7), where  $r<sub>L</sub>$  is the Roche lobe radius. The f was found to be 0.93 which indicates that the component is close to its Roche lobe and the binary can be considered as a V1010 Oph type near-contact binary group based on the classification of [Shaw](#page-15-24) [\(1994\)](#page-15-24). The difference between the maximums of the light curve also supports this classification.

The systems were compared to the known binaries with the same morphological type in Fig. [7.](#page-12-0) The plots confirm that the components of the systems are in good agreement with  $100$  contact (Yildiz & Doğan [2013\)](#page-15-25), 162 detached [\(Southworth](#page-15-26) [2015\)](#page-15-26) and 119 semidetached [\(Malkov](#page-15-27) [2020\)](#page-15-27) binary systems. We also compare TYC 8508-1413-1 to 26 known near-contact systems given by [Yakut & Eggleton](#page-15-28) [\(2005\)](#page-15-28) in Fig. [8](#page-13-0) in order to check its possible near-contact candidacy remarked in the previous paragraph. The components of the target are in accordance with the other near-contact binaries. A further statistical comparison was made through the known systems' mass, radius and temperature distributions similar to those done by Ulas  $\&$  Ulusoy [\(2023\)](#page-15-29). Fig. [9](#page-13-1) illustrates the distributions and the box plots calculated by using the data of corresponding stellar parameters. The masses, radii and temperatures of our target systems are well inside the minimum and maximum values although the secondary component of CD-54 942 seems to be cooler than most of its cousins. The parameters of the box plots are given in Table [4.](#page-14-8)

<span id="page-12-1"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>

Fig. 7: The upper panel shows the Hertzsprung-Russell diagrams while the lower panel indicates the massradius planes for contact (a,d), semidetached (b,e) and detached (c,f) binary systems. Plus and cross signs denote the primaries and secondaries of well-known systems with the corresponding morphology. Full and open signs refer to primary and secondary components. Squares, circles, triangles, diamonds, pentagons and upside-down triangles denote CD-54 942, CD-58 791, CD-62 1257, TYC 8508-1413-1, TYC 9356-355-1 and UCAC4 136-007295, respectively. Note that the location of the primary components of CD-58 791 and CD-62 1257 overlap on the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram (c). The data for ZAMS (thick solid line) and TAMS (dashed line) are taken from [Bressan et al.](#page-14-9) [\(2012\)](#page-14-9) with  $Y = 0.267$  and  $Z = 0.01$  abundance.

We concluded that TYC 8508-1413-1 is a semidetached system with the probability of being a V1010 Oph type near-contact system. CD-54 942 and UCAC4 136-007295 are contact binaries and CD-58 791, CD-62 1257 and TYC 9356-355-1 are detached systems. Spectroscopic studies in combination with our results may lead to determining the characteristics of the systems more precisely.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, The Scientific Research Coordination Unit, Project number: 2022-FBA-3851. The numerical calculations reported in this paper were partially performed at TUBITAK ULAKBIM, High Performance and Grid Computing Center (TRUBA resources). This paper includes data collected by the TESS mission. Funding for the TESS mission is provided by the NASA's Science Mission Directorate. Some/all of the data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support for MAST for non-HST data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NNX13AC07G and by other grants and contracts. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract 14 **B.** Ulas and V. Ayan

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

Fig. 8: same as Fig. [7,](#page-12-0) but for TYC 8508-1413-1 and the known near-contact binaries.

<span id="page-13-1"></span>

Fig. 9: Mass, radius and temperature distributions (left panes) and corresponding box plots (right panes) for primary (blue) and secondary (red) components of known contact (a, b, c), detached (d, e, f) and semidetached (g, h, i) binary systems. The other symbols are the same as those displayed in Fig. [7.](#page-12-0) Some extreme values are excluded in plots, however, boxes are calculated using all available data.

with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This publication makes use of VOSA, developed under the Spanish Virtual Observatory project supported by the Spanish MINECO through grant AyA2017-84089. VOSA has been partially updated by using funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme, under Grant Agreement nº 776403 (EXOPLANETS-A). This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System. This research has made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France.This work has made use of data from the European Space

<span id="page-14-10"></span><span id="page-14-8"></span>Table 4: Parameters of box plot calculated by using data from 100 con-tact (Yıldız & Doğan [2013\)](#page-15-25), 162 detached [\(Southworth](#page-15-26) [2015\)](#page-15-26) and 119 semidetached [\(Malkov](#page-15-27) [2020\)](#page-15-27) binaries. Q1, Q2, Q3 and IQR refer to lower quartile, median, upper quartile and interquartile range values.

	Q1	Q2	Q3	min.	max.	IQR	
Contact							
$M_1$ ( $M_{\odot}$ )	1.09	1.36	1.61	0.56	2.09	0.52	
$M_2(M_{\odot})$	0.27	0.40	0.55	0.10	0.88	0.28	
$R_1(R_{\odot})$	1.16	1.40	1.58	0.71	2.20	0.43	
$R_2(R_{\odot})$	0.69	0.78	0.89	0.40	1.19	0.21	
$T_1$ (K)	5860	6100	6500	5012	7300	640	
$T_2$ (K)		5982 6180	6453	5380	7102	472	
Detached							
$M_1$ ( $M_{\odot}$ )	1.13	1.56	2.33	0.12	4.12	1.19	
$M_2(M_{\odot})$	0.97	1.41	2.10	0.11	3.60	1.13	
$R_1(R_{\odot})$	1.31	1.92	2.98	0.25	5.41	1.67	
$R_2(R_{\odot})$	1.04	1.59	2.43	0.24	4.29	1.38	
$T_1$ (K)	5798	6471	9094	2892	12303	3296	
$T_2$ (K)	5191	6375	8133	2851	11912	2942	
Semidetached							
$M_1$ ( $M_{\odot}$ )	2.01	2.71	5.69	0.97	10.70	3.68	
$M_2(M_{\odot})$	0.48	0.88	2.03	0.18	4.10	1.55	
$R_1(R_{\odot})$	2.00	2.74	4.50	1.20	8.00	2.50	
$R_2(R_{\odot})$	2.41	4.01	6.12	0.96	11.02	3.70	
$T_1$ (K)	8283	9660	15498	4570	25200	7215	
$T_2$ (K)	4465	4803	7615	3650	10900	3150	

Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (<https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia>), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, [https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/](https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium)  $\frac{1}{\text{constant}}$ ). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the *Gaia* Multilateral Agreement.

# References

<span id="page-14-6"></span><span id="page-14-4"></span>Bayo, A., Rodrigo, C., Barrado Y Navascués, D., et al. 2008, A&A, [4](#page-3-1)92, 277 <sup>4</sup>

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Bertelli, G., Nasi, E., Girardi, L., & Marigo, P. 2009, A&A, 508, 355 [11](#page-10-1)

<span id="page-14-9"></span>Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Basri, G., et al. 2010, Science, 327, 977 [1](#page-0-0)

<span id="page-14-5"></span>Bressan, A., Marigo, P., Girardi, L., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 127 [13](#page-12-1)

<span id="page-14-7"></span>Claret, A. 2017, A&A, 600, A30 [5](#page-4-1)

<span id="page-14-1"></span>Eggleton, P. P. 1983, ApJ, 268, 368 [12](#page-11-1)

<span id="page-14-2"></span>Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1 [2,](#page-1-2) [6,](#page-5-1) [7,](#page-6-1) [8,](#page-7-1) [10](#page-9-1)

<span id="page-14-3"></span>Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A1 [2](#page-1-2)

Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al. 202[2,](#page-1-2) arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2208.00211 2, [6,](#page-5-1) [7,](#page-6-1) [8,](#page-7-1)

- <span id="page-15-21"></span><span id="page-15-19"></span>Gray, D. F., & Nagel, T. 1989, ApJ, 341, 421 [5](#page-4-1)
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Hurley, J. R., Tout, C. A., & Pols, O. R. 2002, MNRAS, 329, 897 [11](#page-10-1)
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>Hurley, J. R., Tout, C. A., & Pols, O. R. 2013, BSE: Binary Star Evolution [11](#page-10-1)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Kallrath, J., & Milone, E. F. 2009, Eclipsing Binary Stars: Modeling and Analysis (Springer) [12](#page-11-1)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Kirk, B., Conroy, K., Prša, A., et al. 20[1](#page-0-0)6, AJ, 151, 68 1
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Kordopatis, G., Gilmore, G., Steinmetz, M., et al. 2013, AJ, 146, 134 [2,](#page-1-2) [6](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Kunder, A., Kordopatis, G., Steinmetz, M., et al. 2017, AJ, 153, 75 [2](#page-1-2)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Kurucz, R. L. 1979, ApJS, 40, 1 [4](#page-3-1)
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Kwee, K. K., & van Woerden, H. 1956, Bull. Astron. Inst. Netherlands, 12, 327 [2](#page-1-2)
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Lenz, P., & Breger, M. 2005, Communications in Asteroseismology, 146, 53 [4](#page-3-1)
- Liakos, A., & Niarchos, P. 2015, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 496, Living
	- Together: Planets, Host Stars and Binaries, ed. S. M. Rucinski, G. Torres, & M. Zejda, 195 [11](#page-10-1)
- <span id="page-15-27"></span><span id="page-15-18"></span>Lucy, L. B. 1967, ZAp, 65, 89 [5](#page-4-1)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Malkov, O. Y. 2020, MNRAS, 491, 5489 [12,](#page-11-1) [15](#page-14-10)
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Ochsenbein, F., Bauer, P., & Marcout, J. 2000, A&AS, 143, 23 [4](#page-3-1)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Prša, A., & Zwitter, T. 200[5](#page-4-1), ApJ, 628, 426 5
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Prša, A., Kochoska, A., Conroy, K. E., et al. 2022, ApJS, 258, 16 [1,](#page-0-0) [2](#page-1-2)
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 1, 014003 [1](#page-0-0)
- <span id="page-15-16"></span><span id="page-15-6"></span>Ruciński, S. M. 1969, Acta Astronomica, 19, 24[5](#page-4-1) 5
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>Sharma, S., Stello, D., Buder, S., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 473, 2004 [2,](#page-1-2) [10](#page-9-1)
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>Shaw, J. S. 1994, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 65, 95 [12](#page-11-1)
- Southworth, J. 2015, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 496, Living Together:
- Planets, Host Stars and Binaries, ed. S. M. Rucinski, G. Torres, & M. Zejda, 164 [12,](#page-11-1) [15](#page-14-10)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span><span id="page-15-5"></span>Stassun, K. G., Oelkers, R. J., Paegert, M., et al. 2019, AJ, 158, 138 [2,](#page-1-2) [6,](#page-5-1) [7](#page-6-1)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Steinmetz, M., Guiglion, G., McMillan, P. J., et al. 2020, AJ, 160, 83 [2,](#page-1-2) [6](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-15-29"></span>Terrell, D., & Wilson, R. E. 2005, Ap&SS, 296, 221 [4,](#page-3-1) [6](#page-5-1)
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>Ulas¸, B., & Ulusoy, C. 2023, MNRAS, 518, 4180 [12](#page-11-1)
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>von Zeipel, H. 1924, MNRAS, 84, 665 [5](#page-4-1)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Wilson, R. E., & Devinney, E. J. 1971, ApJ, 166, 605 [2,](#page-1-2) [5](#page-4-1)
- <span id="page-15-28"></span>Wilson, R. E., Devinney, E. J., & Van Hamme, W. 2020, WD: Wilson-Devinney binary star modeling [2](#page-1-2)
- <span id="page-15-25"></span>Yakut, K., & Eggleton, P. P. 2005, ApJ, 629, 1055 [12](#page-11-1)
- Yıldız, M., & Doğan, T. 2013, MNRAS, 430, 2029 [12,](#page-11-1) [15](#page-14-10)