

QCD NLO corrections to $t\bar{t}Z$ production at the LHC including leptonic decays

LAURA REINA¹

*Department of Physics
Florida State University, Tallahassee FL 32306-4350, USA*

The calculation of $t\bar{t}Z$ production including leptonic decays of both Z boson and top quarks via a partially or fully off-shell calculation represents a definite step forward towards a more accurate description of events whose signatures originate from $t\bar{t}Z$ production. In this talk we review two studies that represent the state of the art of theoretical modelling of $t\bar{t}Z$ production by either performing the NLO QCD calculation of the fully decayed $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ process [1] or matching the NLO QCD calculation of $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ to parton shower, including top-quark decays with approximate LO spin correlation [2].

PRESENTED AT

15th International Workshop on Top Quark Physics
Durham, UK, 4–9 September, 2022

¹Work supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-SC0010102.

1 Introduction

Top-quarks, the most massive elementary fermions predicted by the Standard Model (SM), are being copiously produced at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and an unprecedented number of top-quark events will be accumulated during the LHC lifetime including its high-luminosity upgrade (HL-LHC). Rare processes where top quarks (either single or pairs of) are produced in association with electroweak (EW) gauge bosons (W, Z, γ) and the Higgs boson (H) will be accurately measured, and will test the consistency of the top-quark EW and Yukawa couplings with SM predictions. Processes consisting of top-quarks and EW gauge bosons also constitute irreducible backgrounds to signatures of physics beyond the SM (BSM) and their modelling needs to be well understood in order to enhance the LHC discovery potential.

The reach of the HL-LHC top-quark physics program will remain the state of the art in top-quark physics for quite some time, since only e^+e^- colliders with energies above 500 GeV or very high-energy hadron colliders (70-100 TeV or higher) will be able to substantially improve on its results. It is therefore quite compelling to enable the full top-quark physics reach of the LHC and HL-LHC by providing the level of theoretical tools needed to adequately interpret existing and future measurements. This requires considering the complexity of signatures of various top-quark production processes and the effect of restricting to specific fiducial volumes (used in experimental analyses) when QCD and EW corrections are included and the complexity of hadronic events is modelled by parton-shower evolution.

Here we consider $t\bar{t}Z$ production, a portal to access anomalies in the top-quark coupling to the Z boson and one of the main backgrounds to $t\bar{t}H$ production as well as several BSM searches. Studies of LHC data have already obtained constraints on anomalous top-quark couplings to the Z boson either in terms of form factors that modify the SM vector and axial-vector $t\bar{t}Z$ couplings and add new tensor-like couplings [3] or in terms of families of effective interactions that systematically extend the SM Lagrangian and affect multiple top-quark observables [4].

On the theoretical side, predictions for on-shell $t\bar{t}Z$ production including either next-to-leading (NLO) QCD corrections [5, 6, 7] or both NLO QCD and EW corrections [8] have been available for quite some time. The NLO QCD calculation of on-shell $t\bar{t}Z$ production has also been interfaced with parton-shower in the `Powhe1` framework [9, 10] and independently made available in several public frameworks such as `MG5_aMCNLO`, `Sherpa`, and `POWHEG BOX`. Improved on-shell predictions also including resummation of large soft logarithms up to next-to-next-to-leading logarithms (NNLL) have been presented in multiple studies [11, 12, 13, 14]. Comparison of the NLO+NNLL total cross section for $t\bar{t}Z$ on-shell production [13] with the most recent ATLAS and CMS results [3, 15] shows consistency within the statistical and systematic errors which are currently at or above the 10% level.

Moving forward, a more accurate comparison of not only total but in partic-

ular differential distributions will be mandatory. Effective interactions from BSM physics will most likely change the shape of distributions and affect in particular their high-energy tails and end-point regions. Furthermore, experiments reconstruct final states made of the decay products of $t\bar{t}Z$. A closer look to the specific of LHC measurements of $t\bar{t}Z$ production shows that both ATLAS [15] and CMS [3] have used signatures containing three (3ℓ) and four leptons (4ℓ) plus jets, including up to two b jets, and missing energy, as arising from $t\bar{t}Z$ production when the Z boson decays leptonically ($Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$, $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$) and the W^\pm bosons from (anti)top-quark decay leptonically or to light quarks ($W^\pm \rightarrow \ell^\pm(\bar{\nu}), q\bar{q}'$). Differential cross sections based on the kinematic of final-state leptons are most often used to analyze data and identify the $t\bar{t}Z$ signal/background and most recently studies based on final state signatures connected to multiple production processes have been used to constrain classes of effective interactions [16, 17].

In the attempt to reduce the theoretical systematic from modelling of $t\bar{t}Z$ events, recent theoretical studies have focused on signatures from fully or partially decayed $t\bar{t}Z$ production when NLO QCD corrections are taken into account and the final state is interfaced with a parton-shower event generator. In particular, Ref. [1] has presented the fully off-shell production of $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ including fixed-order NLO QCD corrections, while Ref. [2] has studied $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ production accounting for top-decays with approximate LO spin correlation and interfacing the fixed-order NLO QCD calculation with parton shower using the POWHEG method implemented in the publicly available POWHEG BOX-V2 framework. Highlights from both studies are presented in Sections 2 and 3 respectively.

2 NLO QCD $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$




Figure 1: Examples of double-resonant, single-resonant, and non-resonant parton-level processes contributing to $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$.

The fully off-shell production of $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ consists of double resonant, single resonant, and non-resonant contributions as illustrated in Fig. 1, where the top quark, photon, W^\pm , and Z gauge bosons are off-shell. Ref. [1] has studied the impact of all off-shell effects in a fully NLO QCD calculation of the above

process obtained within the HELAC-NLO[18] framework. This automatically includes non factorizable QCD corrections and QCD corrections to top-quark decay which are normally omitted in the NLO QCD calculation of the corresponding signature from on-shell $t\bar{t}Z$ production. Results have been presented for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and using a fiducial volume defined by the following conditions on the transverse momentum, rapidity, and rapidity-azimuthal-plane distance among final state particles: $p_T^\ell > 20$ GeV, $|y^\ell| < 2.5$, and $\Delta R_{\ell\ell} > 0.4$ for leptons; $p_T^b > 25$ GeV, $|y^b| < 2.5$, and $\Delta R_{bb} > 0.4$ for b jets; and missing transverse momentum $p_T^{\text{miss}} > 40$ GeV.

At NLO QCD the residual systematic uncertainty due to the choice of renormalization and factorization scales has been estimated by using both a fixed ($\mu = m_t + m_Z/2$) and a dynamical scale ($\mu = H_T/3$ where $H_T = \sum_i p_{T,i}$ summed over the final-state particles and missing momentum) with a traditional 7-point variation, and found to be as low as 6% for the total cross section and within 10% for most distributions. While both scale choices produce compatible results at the level of the total cross section, the dynamical scale proves to be a better choice at the level of distributions. As part of the residual theoretical uncertainty, the dependence on the choice of parton distribution functions (PDF) obtained by comparing results that use different PDF sets has been studied and determined to be within 1-3%.




Figure 2: Differential cross section as a function of $p_{T,\tau^+\tau^-}$ and $\Delta\phi_{\tau^+\tau^-}$ calculated in the full off-shell or NWA approximation, with LO or NLO top-quark decays. From Ref. [1].

NLO QCD corrections affect different regions of kinematic distributions differently and cannot be mimicked by an overall rescaling factor. Furthermore, NLO QCD corrections to top-quark decay are relevant and represent almost 9% of the full corrections. A comparison with results obtained in the Narrow Width Approximation (NWA) shows how off-shell effects are most prominent in tails of distributions and in the vicinity of kinematic end points where a NWA, with NLO QCD description of top-quark decays, ceases to be a valid approximation of the full off-shell calculation. Prototype examples to illustrate these results are the transverse momentum (p_T) and

azimuthal separation ($\Delta\phi$) distributions of the $\tau^+\tau^-$ system shown in Fig. 2. A complete set of results can be found in Ref. [1].

3 NLO QCD $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ matched to parton shower




Figure 3: Parton-level channels for $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$.

Accounting for QCD effects in parton evolution is also crucial to reach higher accuracy in modelling hadron-collider events. As a first step towards the interface of the NLO QCD calculation of fully off-shell signatures of $t\bar{t}Z$ production with parton shower, Ref. [2] has considered the $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ process and interfaced it with parton-shower by matching with PYTHIA using the POWHEG method [19, 20] within the POWHEG BOX-V2 framework [21]. The one-loop matrix elements have been obtained via NLOX [22, 23], while decays of the top quarks have been modelled by keeping LO spin correlation [24] without accounting for QCD corrections. As illustrated by the tree-level processes depicted in Fig. 3, the $t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ signature can be produced both via Z or photon (γ) exchange. As noticed also in Ref. [1], the photon’s contribution (both direct and through the interference with the Z ’s one) is a substantial part of the off-shell effects and can be reduced by restricting the invariant mass of the $\ell^+\ell^-$ pair to lie within a narrow window centered around m_Z , i.e. imposing a window cut of the form $m_Z - \Delta < m_{\ell^+\ell^-} < m_Z + \Delta$. The results of Ref. [2] uses $\Delta = 10$ GeV to mimic choices adopted in recent experimental analyses [3, 15]. Results are presented for $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV and imposing the following additional cuts on the final-state leptons: $p_T^\ell > 10 > \text{GeV}$ and $|y^\ell| < 2.5$.

Ref. [2] estimates the residual theoretical systematic uncertainty from renormalization and factorization scale dependence by comparing both a fixed ($\mu = m_t + m_Z/2$) and a dynamical ($(M_T(t) + M_T(\bar{t}) + M_T(\ell^+\ell^-))/3$, with $M_T(i) = \sqrt{m_i^2 + p_{T,i}^2}$) scale varied by a factor of two according to a 7-point variation, and find it to be around 10% for both total and differential $t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ cross sections independently of the kind of scale used. As for the fully off-shell case discussed in Sec. 2, NLO QCD corrections modifies the shape of distributions and are a mandatory component of modelling these signatures, even more so when matching to parton shower.

The l.h.s. of Fig. 4 illustrates the comparison of on-shell and off-shell effects considering $t\bar{t}e^+e^-$ production as calculated via the $t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ process or via $t\bar{t}Z$ on-shell production with the Z decaying into e^+e^- via the parton shower. For this comparison the top quarks are not decayed. Effects of 10-20% from off-shell Z and spin correlation of the decay products are clearly visible in the tail of the $p_T(e^-)$ distribution even for relatively moderate p_T . These effects are visible on top of the current theoretical systematics from scale uncertainty and even after interfacing with parton-shower. Switching on the decay of the top quarks (here taken to be $t \rightarrow b\mu^+\nu_\mu$ and $\bar{t} \rightarrow \bar{b}\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$) allows to investigate the effect of including spin correlations, even if only with LO matrix elements and in a NWA. This is illustrated in the r.h.s. plot of Fig. 4 which shows the p_T distribution of the $\mu^+\mu^-$ pair. Spin correlation effects are clearly visible on top of the theoretical systematic uncertainty. Similar effects are present in adimensional distributions such as rapidity and relative azimuthal-angle distribution. A complete set of results can be found in Ref. [2].




Figure 4: Differential cross section as a function of $p_T(e^-)$ (l.h.s.) and $p_T(\mu^+\mu^-)$ (r.h.s) at NLO QCD interfaced with parton shower. On the l.h.s. the top-quarks are not decayed, and the comparison with on-shell $t\bar{t}Z$ production is shown. The r.h.s. shows the effect of decaying the top quarks without accounting for spin correlation or accounting for it through LO matrix elements. From Ref. [2].

4 Conclusions

Enabling the top-quark precision program of the (HL-)LHC is a priority given the relevance this can have in starting to answer fundamental open questions such as the origin of the EW scale and the hierarchy of fermion masses. In this context, processes

where top quarks are produced with $X = W^\pm, Z, \gamma$, and H play a crucial role in constraining BSM physics that can affect multiple top-quark couplings. Deviations are expected to be more visible in kinematical regions, such as tails and end points of distributions, that can be limited by the reach of the machine and require a focused effort on both the experimental and theoretical side. Theoretical accuracy and flexibility in modelling the complexity of LHC events will be crucial. Important steps in this direction have been accomplished by presenting a fully off-shell calculation of $pp \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b \bar{b} \tau^+ \tau^-$ at NLO QCD in Ref. [1] and by interfacing the NLO QCD calculation of $t\bar{t}\ell^+\ell^-$ with a parton-shower in Ref. [2]. Further developments should include the interface of the fully off-shell calculation with parton shower and possibly accounting for higher order QCD corrections when results for fixed-order NNLO QCD effects in $t\bar{t}Z$ production become available.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of L.R. is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-SC0010102. She would also like to thank the organizers of TOP 2022 and the Florida State University College of Arts and Sciences for supporting her travel expenses, as well as M. Ghezzi, B. Jäger, S. Lopez Portillo Chavez, and D. Wackerroth for a pleasant and fruitful collaboration.

References

- [1] G. Bevilacqua, H. B. Hartanto, M. Kraus, J. Nasufi and M. Worek, JHEP **08**, 060 (2022) [arXiv:2203.15688 [hep-ph]].
- [2] M. Ghezzi, B. Jäger, S. L. P. Chavez, L. Reina and D. Wackerroth, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no.1, 014001 (2022) [arXiv:2112.08892 [hep-ph]].
- [3] The CMS Collaboration, JHEP **03**, 056 (2020) [arXiv:1907.11270 [hep-ex]].
- [4] V. Miralles, M. M. López, M. M. Llácer, A. Peñuelas, M. Perelló and M. Vos, JHEP **02**, 032 (2022) [arXiv:2107.13917 [hep-ph]].
- [5] A. Lazopoulos, T. McElmurry, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello, Phys. Lett. B **666**, 62-65 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2220 [hep-ph]].
- [6] A. Kardos, Z. Trocsanyi and C. Papadopoulos, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 054015 (2012) [arXiv:1111.0610 [hep-ph]].
- [7] F. Maltoni, D. Pagani and I. Tsirikos, JHEP **02**, 113 (2016) [arXiv:1507.05640 [hep-ph]].

- [8] S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, D. Pagani, H. S. Shao and M. Zaro, *JHEP* **06**, 184 (2015) [arXiv:1504.03446 [hep-ph]].
- [9] M. V. Garzelli, A. Kardos, C. G. Papadopoulos and Z. Trocsanyi, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 074022 (2012) [arXiv:1111.1444 [hep-ph]].
- [10] M. V. Garzelli, A. Kardos, C. G. Papadopoulos and Z. Trocsanyi, *JHEP* **11**, 056 (2012) [arXiv:1208.2665 [hep-ph]].
- [11] A. Broggio, A. Ferroglia, G. Ossola, B. D. Pecjak and R. D. Sameshima, *JHEP* **04**, 105 (2017) [arXiv:1702.00800 [hep-ph]].
- [12] A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, D. Schwartländer, T. Stebel and V. Theeuwes, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, no.3, 249 (2019) [arXiv:1812.08622 [hep-ph]].
- [13] A. Broggio, A. Ferroglia, R. Frederix, D. Pagani, B. D. Pecjak and I. Tsirikos, *JHEP* **08**, 039 (2019) [arXiv:1907.04343 [hep-ph]].
- [14] A. Kulesza, L. Motyka, D. Schwartländer, T. Stebel and V. Theeuwes, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, no.5, 428 (2020) [arXiv:2001.03031 [hep-ph]].
- [15] The ATLAS Collaboration, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.8, 737 (2021) [arXiv:2103.12603 [hep-ex]].
- [16] The CMS Collaboration, *JHEP* **03**, 095 (2021) [arXiv:2012.04120 [hep-ex]].
- [17] The CMS Collaboration, [arXiv:2208.12837 [hep-ex]].
- [18] G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, M. V. Garzelli, A. van Hameren, A. Kardos, C. G. Papadopoulos, R. Pittau and M. Worek, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 986-997 (2013) [arXiv:1110.1499 [hep-ph]].
- [19] P. Nason, *JHEP* **11**, 040 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0409146 [hep-ph]].
- [20] S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, *JHEP* **11**, 070 (2007) [arXiv:0709.2092 [hep-ph]].
- [21] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, *JHEP* **06**, 043 (2010) [arXiv:1002.2581 [hep-ph]].
- [22] S. Honeywell, S. Quackenbush, L. Reina and C. Reuschle, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **257**, 107284 (2020) [arXiv:1812.11925 [hep-ph]].
- [23] D. Figuera, S. Quackenbush, L. Reina and C. Reuschle, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **270**, 108150 (2022) [arXiv:2101.01305 [hep-ph]].
- [24] S. Frixione, E. Laenen, P. Motylinski and B. R. Webber, *JHEP* **04**, 081 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0702198 [hep-ph]].