A remark of the number of quasi-hereditary structures

Yuichiro Goto

Abstract


Dlab and Ringel showed that algebras being quasi-hereditary in all total orders for indices of primitive idempotents becomes hereditary. So, we are interested in for which orders a given quasi-hereditary algebra is again quasi-hereditary. As a matter of fact, we consider permutations of indices instead of total orders. If the standard modules defined by two permutations coincide, we say that the permutations are equivalent. Moreover if the algebra with permuted indices is quasi-hereditary, then this equivalence class of the permutation is called a quasi-hereditary structure. In this article, we give a method of counting the number of quasi-hereditary structures for certain algebras.

1 Introduction

Quasi-hereditary algebras, introduced by Cline, Parshall and Scott, generalize hereditary algebras. Moreover Dlab and Ringel showed in Theorem 1 of [1] that if an algebra is quasi-hereditary in all orders, it becomes hereditary, and vice versa. From this point of view, we study quasi-hereditary structures on a given algebra. Flores, Kimura and Rognerud gave a method of counting the number of quasi-hereditary structures on a path algebras of Dynkin types in [2]. In this paper, we will use permutations instead of total orders when considering quasi-hereditary structures.

The followings are the main results of this article.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorems 3.4 and 3.6). For some non-negative integers $s, t, u \ge 0$, let Q(s, t, u) be the quiver



If one of s, t, u is equal 1, then the numbers of quasi-hereditary structures q(s, t, u) on path algebras KQ(s, t, u) are as follows.

(1) $q(1,t,u) = (c_{t+2} - c_{t+1})(c_{u+2} - c_{u+1}) + c_{t+1}c_{u+1}.$

(2)
$$q(s,t,1) = q(s,1,t) = (t+1)c_{s+t+2} - 3tc_{s+t+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} (k+1)c_{t-k-1}c_{s+k+2}$$

Here, c_k are the Catalan numbers.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this article, let K be a field, A a finite dimensional K-algebra with pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents e_1, \ldots, e_n , and let $\Lambda = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $i \in \Lambda$, we denote $P(i) = e_i A$ the indecomposable projective module, S(i) the top of P(i). The category of finitely generated right A-modules is denoted by mod A and call its objects just A-modules. For non-negative integer $k \ge 0$, we write c_k the Catalan number i.e. $c_k = \frac{(2k)!}{(k+1)!k!}$.

In this section, we recall the definition and the known properties of quasi-hereditary structures.

Definition 2.1. Let A be an algebra as above and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

- (1) For each $i \in \Lambda$, the A-module $\Delta^{\sigma}(i)$, called the **standard module** with respect to σ , is defined by the maximal factor module of P(i) having only composition factors S(j) with $\sigma(j) \leq \sigma(i)$. Moreover we will write the set $\{\Delta^{\sigma}(1), \ldots, \Delta^{\sigma}(n)\}$ by Δ^{σ} .
- (2) We say that an A-module M has a Δ^{σ} -filtration if there is a sequence of submodules

$$M = M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \cdots \supset M_{m+1} = 0$$

such that for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $M_k/M_{k+1} \cong \Delta^{\sigma}(j)$ for some $j \in \Lambda$.

- (3) A pair (A, σ) is said to be a quasi-hereditary algebra provided that the following conditions are satisfied.
 - (a) $\operatorname{End}_A(\Delta^{\sigma}(i))$ are local algebras for all $i \in \Lambda$.
 - (b) A_A has a Δ^{σ} -filtration.
- (4) If (A, σ) and (A, τ) are quasi-hereditary with $\Delta^{\sigma} = \Delta^{\tau}$, then the permutations σ and τ are said to be **equivalent**. Moreover, we call such a equivalence class by a **quasi-hereditary structure** on A and we denote by **qh.str**(A) the set of quasi-hereditary structures.

Now we recall the results in [2].

Lemma 2.2 ([2] Lemma 2.22). For the opposite algebra A^{op} of A, we have

$$|\operatorname{qh.str}(A)| = |\operatorname{qh.str}(A^{\operatorname{op}})|.$$

Theorem 2.3 ([2] Corollary 4.8). For a quiver $Q_n : 1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow n$ with $n \ge 1$, we have

$$|\operatorname{qh.str}(KQ_n)| = c_n.$$

Definition 2.4. Let Q be a finite quiver whose underlying graph is a tree. A **deconcatenation** of Q at a sink or a source v is a disjoint union $Q^1 \sqcup Q^2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Q^l$ of proper full subquivers Q^i of Q satisfying the following properties.

1. Each Q^i is a connected full subquiver of Q having a vertex v.


2. On vertices, put $\overline{Q_0^i} = Q_0^i \setminus \{v\}$. Then $Q_0 = \overline{Q_0^1} \sqcup \overline{Q_0^2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \overline{Q_0^l} \sqcup \{v\}$.

Theorem 2.5 ([2] Theorem 3.7). Let Q be a finite quiver whose underlying graph is a tree and $Q^1 \sqcup Q^2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Q^l$ a deconcatenation of Q. Then we have

$$|\operatorname{qh.str}(KQ)| = \prod_{i=1}^{l} |\operatorname{qh.str}(KQ^{i})|.$$

3 Main results

By the results in the previous section, we next want to calculate the number of quasi-hereditary structures on the path algebra of a quiver



for some integers $s, t, u \ge 0$. As a remark, there is an isomorphism $KQ(s, t, u) \cong KQ(s, u, t)$, in particular, qh.str(KQ(s, t, u)) = qh.str(KQ(s, u, t)). So we may assume that $t \ge u$ without loss of generality. Then Flores, Kimura and Rognerud showed the following formula.

Proposition 3.1 ([2] Proposition 5.6).

$$\begin{split} |\mathbf{q}\mathbf{h}.\mathrm{str}(KQ(s,t,u))| &= \sum_{i=0}^{s} \left| \mathbf{q}\mathbf{h}.\mathrm{str}\left(\frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle a_{i}\rangle}\right) \right| + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \left| \mathbf{q}\mathbf{h}.\mathrm{str}\left(\frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle b_{j}\rangle}\right) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{u} \left| \mathbf{q}\mathbf{h}.\mathrm{str}\left(\frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle b_{k}'\rangle}\right) \right| - \sum_{k=1}^{u} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \left| \mathbf{q}\mathbf{h}.\mathrm{str}\left(\frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle b_{i},b_{k}'\rangle}\right) \right|, \end{split}$$

where $\langle e \rangle$ are two sided ideals eKQ(s,t,u)e for any idempotents $e = a_i, b_j, b'_k$.

Now we realize that there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{split} \frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle a_i \rangle} &\cong KQ(s-i,0,0) \times KQ(i-1,t,u) \\ \frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle b_j \rangle} &\cong KQ(0,t-j,0) \times KQ(s,j-1,u) \\ \frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle b'_k \rangle} &\cong KQ(0,0,u-k) \times KQ(s,t,k-1) \\ \frac{KQ(s,t,u)}{\langle b_j,b'_k \rangle} &\cong KQ(0,t-j,0) \times KQ(0,0,u-k) \times KQ(s,j-1,k-1). \end{split}$$

We write q(s, t, u) to denote |qh.str(KQ(s, t, u))|. Then we get the following equality form Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2.

$$q(s,t,u) = c_s c_t c_u + \sum_{i=1}^s c_{s-i} q(i-1,t,u) + \sum_{j=1}^t c_{t-j} q(s,j-1,u) + \sum_{k=1}^u c_{u-k} q(s,t,k-1) - \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^u c_{t-j} c_{u-k} q(s,j-1,k-1).$$

By using this equality, in the case where the underlying graph of a quiver Q is Dynkin type \mathbb{A} , \mathbb{D} or \mathbb{E} , we can obtain the number |qh.str(KQ)| as follows.

Lemma 3.3 ([2] Lemmas 5.8, 5.9, Example 5.10). The followings hold.

$$A_n: q(n-1,0,0) = c_n \text{ for } n \ge 1 \text{ (Theorem 2.3)}.$$

$$D_n: q(n-3,1,1) = 2c_n - 3c_{n-1}, q(1,n-3,1) = 3c_{n-1} - c_{n-2} \text{ for } n \ge 3.$$

$$E_6: q(1,2,2) = 106, q(2,2,1) = 130.$$

$$E_7: q(1,3,2) = 322, q(2,3,1) = 416, q(3,2,1) = 453.$$

 \mathbb{E}_8 : q(1,4,2) = 1020, q(2,4,1) = 1368, q(4,2,1) = 1584.

As generalizations of the above, we will describe q(1, t, u) and q(s, t, 1) by using Catalan numbers.

Theorem 3.4. We have the equality

$$q(1,t,u) = (c_{t+2} - c_{t+1})(c_{u+2} - c_{u+1}) + c_{t+1}c_{u+1}.$$

Proof. We show that the equality holds by induction on t + u. For t + u = 0, the equalities hold as $q(1,0,0) = c_2$. Assume that $t + u \ge 1$ and that $q(1,j,k) = (c_{j+2} - c_{j+1})(c_{k+2} - c_{k+1}) + c_{j+1}c_{k+1}$ for j + k < t + u. Then we have the following equalities.

$$\begin{split} q(1,t,u) =& c_1 c_t c_u + c_1 q(0,t,u) + \sum_{j=1}^t c_{t-j} q(1,j-1,u) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^u c_{u-k} q(1,t,k-1) - \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^u c_{t-j} c_{u-k} q(1,j-1,k-1) \\ =& c_t c_u + c_{t+1} c_{u+1} + \sum_{j=1}^t c_{t-j} ((c_{j+1}-c_j)(c_{u+2}-c_{u+1}) + c_j c_{u+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^u c_{u-k} ((c_{t+2}-c_{t+1})(c_{k+1}-c_k) + c_{t+1} c_k) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^t \sum_{k=1}^u c_{t-j} c_{u-k} ((c_{j+1}-c_j)(c_{k+1}-c_k) + c_j c_k) \\ =& c_t c_u + c_{t+1} c_{u+1} + (c_{t+2}-2c_{t+1})(c_{u+2}-c_{u+1}) + (c_{t+1}-c_t) c_{u+1} \\ &+ (c_{t+2}-c_{t+1})(c_{u+2}-2c_{u+1}) + c_{t+1} (c_{u+1}-c_u) \\ &- (c_{t+2}-2c_{t+1})(c_{u+2}-2c_{u+1}) + (c_{t+1}-c_t)(c_{u+1}-c_u) \\ =& c_{t+2} c_{u+2} - c_{t+2} c_{u+1} - c_{t+1} c_{u+2} + 2c_{t+1} c_{u+1} \\ =& (c_{t+2}-c_{t+1})(c_{u+2}-c_{u+1}) + c_{t+1} c_{u+1}. \end{split}$$

In order to calculate q(s, t, 1), we use the following formulas. Since (3) of the following probably does not appear in literatures, we give its proof here.

Lemma 3.5. We have the following equalities.

- (1) $\frac{t+2}{2}c_{t+1} = (2t+1)c_t.$
- (2) $\sum_{k=0}^{t} c_k c_{t-k} = c_{t+1}.$
- (3) $\sum_{k=0}^{t} kc_k c_{t-k} = \frac{t}{2}c_{t+1}.$

Proof. (1), (2) The equalities are well known. (3) There is the equality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{t} kc_k c_{t-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{t} (t-k)c_{t-k}c_k.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{t} kc_k c_{t-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{t} (t-k)c_{t-k} c_k = \sum_{k=0}^{t} tc_k c_{t-k} = tc_{t+1}$$

Hence we conclude that $\sum_{k=0}^{t} kc_k c_{t-k} = \frac{t}{2}c_{t+1}$.

Theorem 3.6. We have the equality

$$q(s,t,1) = (t+1)c_{s+t+2} - 3tc_{s+t+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} (k+1)c_{t-k-1}c_{s+k+2}.$$

Proof. We show the statement by induction on s + t. For s + t = 0, we have $q(0, 0, 1) = c_2$. Next, we assume that $s + t \ge 1$. For integers j, k with $0 \le k \le j$, we define

$$\alpha_k^j = \begin{cases} j+1 & (k=j) \\ -3j & (k=j-1) \\ -(k+1)c_{j-k-1} & (0 \le k \le j-2). \end{cases}$$

Then we can write $q(i, j, 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \alpha_k^j c_{i+k+2}$ for i + j < s + t, by induction hypothesis. Hence there are the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned} q(s,t,1) \\ = &c_s c_t c_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{s-i} q(i-1,t,1) + \sum_{j=1}^{t} c_{t-j} q(s,j-1,1) + c_0 q(s,t,0) - \sum_{j=1}^{t} c_{t-j} c_0 q(s,j-1,0) \\ = &c_s c_t + \sum_{k=0}^{t} \alpha_k^t \sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{s-i} c_{i+k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \alpha_k^{j-1} c_{t-j} c_{s+k+2} + c_{s+t+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} c_{t-j} c_{s+j} \\ = &c_t c_s + \sum_{k=0}^{t} \alpha_k^t (c_{s+k+2} - \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} c_{s+i} c_{-i+k+1}) + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (\sum_{j=1}^{t} \alpha_k^{j-1} c_{t-j}) c_{s+k+2} + c_{s+t+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} c_{t-j} c_{s+j} \\ = &c_t c_s + \sum_{k=0}^{t} \alpha_k^t c_{s+k+2} - \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (\sum_{k=0}^{t} \alpha_k^t c_{-i+k+1}) c_{s+i} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (\sum_{j=1}^{t} \alpha_k^{j-1} c_{t-j}) c_{s+k+2} + c_{s+t+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} c_{t-j} c_{s+j}. \end{aligned}$$

We focus on the coefficients of c_{s+l} in the last expression. Obviously for l < 0 or l > t + 2, the coefficients of c_{s+l} are zero. For $0 \le l \le t + 2$, the coefficients of c_{s+l} can be obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} c_{s+t+2} &: \alpha_t^t = t+1. \\ c_{s+t+1} &: \alpha_{t-1}^t - \alpha_t^t c_0 + \alpha_{t-1}^{t-1} c_0 + 1 = -3t. \\ c_{s+t} &: \alpha_{t-2}^t - \alpha_{t-1}^t c_0 - \alpha_t^t c_1 + \alpha_{t-2}^{t-1} c_0 + \alpha_{t-2}^{t-2} c_1 - c_0 = -t+1. \\ c_{s+l} &: \alpha_{l-2}^t - \sum_{k=l-1}^t \alpha_k^t c_{-l+k+1} + \sum_{j=l-1}^t \alpha_{l-2}^{j-1} c_{t-j} - c_{t-l}, \text{ for } 2 \le l < t. \text{ In order to look at this, we note the following.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{k=l}^{t} \alpha_k^t c_{-l+k+1} = \sum_{j=l-1}^{t-1} \alpha_{l-2}^{j-1} c_{t-j}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=l}^{t} \alpha_{k}^{t} c_{-l+k+1} - \sum_{j=l-1}^{t-1} \alpha_{l-2}^{j-1} c_{t-j} \\ = &(t+1)c_{t-l+1} - 3tc_{t-l} - \sum_{k=l}^{t-2} (k+1)c_{t-k-1}c_{k-l+1} \\ &- (l-1)c_{t-l+1} + 3(l-1)c_{t-l} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{t-1} (l-1)c_{j-l}c_{t-j} \\ = &(t-l+2)c_{t-l+1} - 3(t-l+1)c_{t-l} - \sum_{k=1}^{t-l-1} (k+l)c_{t-k-l}c_k + \sum_{j=1}^{t-l-1} (l-1)c_jc_{t-j-l} \\ = &(t-l+2)c_{t-l+1} - 3(t-l+1)c_{t-l} - \sum_{k=1}^{t-l-1} (k+1)c_{t-k-l}c_k \\ = &(t-l+2)c_{t-l+1} - 3(t-l+1)c_{t-l} - \left(\frac{t-l+2}{2}c_{t-l+1} - (t-l+2)c_{t-l}\right) \\ = &\frac{t-l+2}{2}c_{t-l+1} - (2t-2l+1)c_{t-l} \\ = &(2(t-l)+1)c_{t-l} - (2t-2l+1)c_{t-l} \\ = &0. \end{split}$$

Thus we conclude that, for $2 \leq l < t$, the coefficient of c_{s+l} equals to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{l-2}^{t} &- \sum_{k=l-1}^{t} \alpha_{k}^{t} c_{-l+k+1} + \sum_{j=l-1}^{t} \alpha_{l-2}^{j-1} c_{t-j} - c_{t-l} \\ &= \alpha_{l-2}^{t} - (\alpha_{l-1}^{t} + \sum_{k=l}^{t} \alpha_{k}^{t} c_{-l+k+1}) + (\alpha_{l-2}^{t-1} + \sum_{j=l-1}^{t-1} \alpha_{l-2}^{j-1} c_{t-j}) - c_{t-l} \\ &= \alpha_{l-2}^{t} - \alpha_{l-1}^{t} + \alpha_{l-2}^{t-1} - c_{t-l} \\ &= - (l-1)c_{t-l+1}. \end{aligned}$$

 $c_{s+1}: -\sum_{k=0}^{t} \alpha_k^t - c_{t-1}$. This equals to

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{t} \alpha_{k}^{t} - c_{t-1} = -(t+1)c_{t} + 3tc_{t-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} (k+1)c_{t-k-1}c_{k} - c_{t-1}$$
$$= -(t+1)c_{t} + 3tc_{t-1} + \frac{t+1}{2}c_{t} - tc_{t-1} - c_{t-1}$$
$$= -\frac{t+1}{2}c_{t} + 2tc_{t-1} - c_{t-1}$$
$$= -(2t-1)c_{t-1} + (2t-1)c_{t-1}$$
$$= 0.$$

 $c_s: c_t - \sum_{k=0}^t \alpha_{k+1}^t$. This equals to

$$c_t - \sum_{k=0}^t \alpha_{k+1}^t = c_t - (t+1)c_{t+1} + 3tc_t + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} (k+1)c_{t-k-1}c_{k+1}$$
$$= c_t - (t+1)c_{t+1} + 3tc_t + \frac{t}{2}c_{t+1} - tc_t$$
$$= -\frac{t+2}{2}c_{t+1} + (2t+1)c_t$$
$$= -(2t+1)c_t + (2t+1)c_t$$
$$= 0.$$

Summing up the above equalities, we obtain

$$q(s,t,1) = (t+1)c_{s+t+2} - 3tc_{s+t+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} (k+1)c_{t-k-1}c_{s+k+2},$$

as desired.

Remark 3.7. Consider a quiver Q whose underlying graph \overline{Q} is the same as that of Q(1,t,u) and Q(s,t,1), *i.e.*



Then from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 and our results, we can describe |qh.str(KQ)| by using Catalan numbers.

References

- V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel. Quasi-hereditary algebras. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 33(2):280–291, 1989.
- [2] M. Flores, Y. Kimura, and B. Rognerud. Combinatorics of quasi-hereditary structures. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 187:105559, 2022.