X-ray nondetection of PSR J0250+**5854**

C. M. Tan,^{1,2★} M. Rigoselli,³ P. Esposito,^{4,3} B. W. Stappers⁵

¹*Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 rue University, Montréal, QC H3A 2T8, Canada*

²*Trottier Space Institute at McGill, McGill University, 3550 rue University, Montréal, QC H3A 2A7, Canada*

3 *INAF, Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica Milano, via A. Corti 12, I-20133 Milano, Italy*

⁴ *Scuola Universitaria Superiore IUSS Pavia, Palazzo del Broletto, piazza della Vittoria 15, 27100 Pavia, Italy*

5 *Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK*

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We conducted a deep *XMM–Newton* observing campaign on the 23.5-s radio pulsar PSR J0250+5854 in order to better understand the connection between long-period, radio-emitting neutron stars and their high-energy-emitting counterparts. No X-ray emission was detected resulting in an upper limit in the bolometric luminosity of PSR J0250+5854 of <10³¹ erg s⁻¹ for an assumed blackbody with a temperature of 85 eV, typical of an X-ray Dim Isolated Neutron Star (XDINS). We compared the upper limit in the bolometric luminosity of PSR J0250+5854 with the known population of XDINSs and found that the upper limit is lower than the bolometric luminosity of all but one XDINS. We also compared PSR J0250+5854 with SGR 0418+5729, the magnetar with low dipole magnetic field strength, where the upper limit suggests that if PSR J0250+5854 has a thermal hot spot like SGR 0418+5729, it would have a blackbody temperature of <200 eV, compared to 320 eV of the magnetar.

Key words: pulsars: general – pulsars: individual (PSR J0250+5854) – stars: neutron

1 INTRODUCTION

PSR J0250+5854 is a radio pulsar discovered by the LOFAR Tied-Array All-Sky survey [\(Tan et al.](#page-3-0) [2018\)](#page-3-0). It is one of the slowestspinning radio pulsars known to date, with a rotational period of 23.5 s. Very few other radio pulsars are known to have periods of more than 10 s, such as PSR J2251–3711 ($P = 12.1$ s; [Morello et al.](#page-3-1) [2020\)](#page-3-1), PSR J0901-4046 ($P = 76$ s; [Caleb et al.](#page-3-2) [2022\)](#page-3-2) and GLEAM-X J162759.5−523504.3 [\(Hurley-Walker et al.](#page-3-3) [2022\)](#page-3-3), whose nature is currently debated but was purported to have a spin period of 18 minutes, but without a spin period derivative measurement.

PSR J0250+5854 has a measured spin derivative of 2.7×10^{-14} s s^{-1} . Assuming the spin-down of the pulsar is purely due to magnetic dipole braking, this suggests a characteristic age of 1.3×10^7 years and a high inferred surface dipole magnetic field of 2.6×10^{13} G, compared to that of the typical radio pulsars of ~10¹² G. PSR J0250+5854 also has one of the lowest rotational energy loss rates at 8×10^{28} erg s^{-1} . Using the YMW16 model, the dispersion measure of the pulsar places it at a distance of 1.6 kpc [\(Yao et al.](#page-3-4) [2017\)](#page-3-4).

The rotational properties of PSR J0250+5854 are found to be similar to the group of nearby, isolated neutron star that are detected in the soft X-ray band with no corresponding radio emission. Collectively known as the X-ray Dim Isolated Neutron Stars (XDINSs, [Kaplan](#page-3-5) [2008;](#page-3-5) [Turolla](#page-3-6) [2009\)](#page-3-6), they are characterised by a soft, blackbodylike continuum X-ray emission, with temperatures ranging from 50– 110 eV, with no hard, non-thermal X-ray emission. However, recent analyses of the 0.2–10 keV spectrum of the XDINSs has shown that, at least two of them, have a non-thermal contribution (see [De Grandis](#page-3-7) [et al.](#page-3-7) [2022,](#page-3-7) and references therein). The rotation periods of XDINS lie between 3–17 s and they have period derivatives of the order of 10−¹⁴ s s−¹ . This corresponds to rotational energy loss rates far lower than their X-ray luminosities, which are in the range of 10^{31-32} erg s^{-1} . The pulsed X-ray emission of XDINSs, with pulsed fractions between 1.5 and 18 percent, are likely to originate from a non-uniform temperature distribution on the stellar surface (see e.g. [Rigoselli et al.](#page-3-8) [2022\)](#page-3-8). More recently, a radio pulsar PSR J0726−2612 was found to show X-ray emission similar to those of the XDINSs [\(Rigoselli et al.](#page-3-9) [2019\)](#page-3-9), suggesting that the lack of radio emission from the other XDINSs could be due to unfavourable viewing geometry.

Another group of slowly-rotating neutron stars that often show thermal components in their spectra are the magnetars [\(Mereghetti](#page-3-10) [et al.](#page-3-10) [2015;](#page-3-10) [Turolla et al.](#page-3-11) [2015;](#page-3-11) [Kaspi & Beloborodov](#page-3-12) [2017;](#page-3-12) [Esposito](#page-3-13) [et al.](#page-3-13) [2021\)](#page-3-13). They have periods between 1 and 12 s, and high quiescent X-ray luminosities $(10^{33-35}$ erg s⁻¹), generally larger than their loss rate of rotational energy. It is believed that they are powered by the decay of their ultra-high magnetic field [\(Thompson & Dun](#page-3-14)[can](#page-3-14) [1995,](#page-3-14) [1996\)](#page-3-15). Usually, magnetars are rather young objects (ages \sim 10³–10⁴ years), as inferred from their timing parameters and/or from supernova remnant associations, but a few of them have period derivatives smaller than 10^{-13} s s⁻¹ and thus characteristic ages larger than 10^6 years and magnetic fields of the order of 10^{13} G. They are SGR 0418+5729 [\(Rea et al.](#page-3-16) [2010,](#page-3-16) [2013\)](#page-3-17), Swift J1822.3−1606 [\(Scholz et al.](#page-3-18) [2012,](#page-3-18) [2014\)](#page-3-19), and 3XMM J1852+0033 [\(Rea et al.](#page-3-20) [2014\)](#page-3-20). The small number of detected high-energy bursts from these objects and their low quiescent X-ray luminosity $(10^{30} - 10^{31}$ erg s⁻¹) have led to the hypothesis that these might be worn-out magnetars, ap-

[★] E-mail: chia.tan@mcgill.ca

proaching the end of their active life [\(Turolla et al.](#page-3-21) [2011;](#page-3-21) [Viganò](#page-3-22) [et al.](#page-3-22) [2013\)](#page-3-22).

PSR J0250+5854 was previously observed with the *Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory's* X-ray Telescope (*Swift*/XRT, [Burrows et al.](#page-3-23) [2005\)](#page-3-23) for two epochs in 2018 March, for a total exposure time of 10 ks. There was no X-ray emission detected from the pulsar, and only a weak constraint in the bolometric luminosity of the pulsar at $\langle 2 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ (Tan et al. 2018) could be placed. The constraint is}$ $\langle 2 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ (Tan et al. 2018) could be placed. The constraint is}$ $\langle 2 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ (Tan et al. 2018) could be placed. The constraint is}$ $\langle 2 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ (Tan et al. 2018) could be placed. The constraint is}$ $\langle 2 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ (Tan et al. 2018) could be placed. The constraint is}$ an order of magnitude higher than the bolometric luminosity of the brightest XDINS, RX J0720.4−3125.

In order to understand better the link between PSR J0250+5854 and the high-energy-emitting neutron stars, we requested a deep *XMM–Newton* campaign to observe the pulsar. Section [2](#page-1-0) describes the observations conducted by *XMM–Newton* and the data reduction process. Section [3](#page-1-1) describes the analysis of the observations and contains the discussion from the obtained results. Section [4](#page-2-0) provides a summary of the results regarding the link between PSR J0250+5854 and the high-energy-emitting neutron stars.

2 OBSERVATION AND DATA REDUCTION

PSR J0250+5854 was observed by *XMM–Newton* on 11 different epochs, with 10 epochs between 2019 July 28 and 2019 September 17, and the latest on 2020 August 28, yielding a total exposure time of 108 ks. The observations were conducted using the three European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) instruments on board the spacecraft, which are sensitive in the 0.15–15 keV energy band. The instruments were operated in full frame mode. Due to the presence of several bright optical sources nearby, the medium optical-blocking filters were used for the two EPIC-MOS detectors and the thin filter was used for the EPIC-pn detector.

The data were processed using the *XMM–Newton* Science Analysis Software tools (SAS). The EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS data were processed with the epproc and emproc, respectively. In order to maximise the sensitivity at low energies $(<0.25 \text{ keV})$, the algorithm EPREJECT was used to reduce the background component, mainly due to detector noise, on processing the EPIC-pn observations. We filtered out time intervals with high background and applied the standard pattern selection criteria (patterns 0–4 for EPIC-pn and 0–12 for EPIC-MOS). This resulted in a combined net exposure time of 50.3 ks for the EPIC-pn observation, as well as 94.5 ks and 83.5 ks for the two EPIC-MOS camera, respectively.

The filtered event lists generated from individual observations of each detector were then merged using the SAS tool merge to form combined event lists. The combined event lists for each instrument were then searched for X-ray emission from PSR J0250+5854. No X-ray sources are detected within 25" of the expected position of the pulsar in any of the three instruments, taking into account the systemic uncertainty of the EPIC-MOS (15") and EPIC-pn (4") cameras, respectively. Figure [1](#page-1-2) shows the combined image from the EPIC-pn camera, centred around the best known position of the pulsar.

The SAS tool eupper was then used to estimate the 3σ upper limit on the X-ray count rate from PSR J0250+5854, using a source extraction region of 25" around the radio position of the pulsar and a nearby background region of size 60", as indicated in Figure [1.](#page-1-2) An upper limit is derived from the EPIC-pn data, while a single upper limit is derived from the two EPIC-MOS cameras. The 3σ upper limit in count rate of PSR J0250+5854 in the energy band of 0.3–2 keV is shown in Table [1.](#page-1-3)

Figure 1. A 20 $' \times 15'$ EPIC-pn image at energies between 0.3–2 keV around the location of PSR J0250+5854, formed by combining all the data from individual observations. The solid circle indicates a 25" radius around the radio timing position of the pulsar. The dashed circle indicates a nearby background region of 60" radius.

Table 1. The net exposure time and 3σ upper limit on the count rate from the nondetection of PSR J0250+5854 in the energy band of 0.3−2 keV, for both the EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS (combined) cameras.

Instrument keV	Net exposure time ks	Upper limit count/s
pn	50.3	9.0×10^{-4}
MOS1	94.5	8.2×10^{-4}
MOS ₂	83.5	

3 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The upper limit in count rates from the X-ray nondetection of PSR J0250+5854 is used to compute the upper limit in bolometric luminosity for an XDINS-like object. Two spectra are extracted from the EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS observations, which are used by the XSPEC software to convert the upper limits in count rates to upper limits in the unabsorbed X-ray fluxes and subsequently bolometric luminosity. We computed the upper limits for blackbody temperatures of 50, 85 and 115 eV, which are within the range of temperatures of the known XDINSs [\(Viganò et al.](#page-3-22) [2013\)](#page-3-22). An estimate of the neutral hydrogen column density in the direction of PSR J0250+5854 is made using the dispersion measure of the pulsar and the usual as-sumption of 10 percent ionized interstellar medium [\(He et al.](#page-3-24) [2013\)](#page-3-24), which gives a value of $N_H = 1.36 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻². The upper limit on the bolometric luminosity is computed assuming the distance to the pulsar of 1.6 kpc, based on the dispersion measure-distance model of [Yao et al.](#page-3-4) [\(2017\)](#page-3-4). The computed upper limits are shown in Table [2.](#page-2-1)

As the EPIC-pn data provided a lower upper limit in bolometric luminosity for all the trialled temperatures, we only used this data set for further interpretation of the X-ray nondetection of PSR J0250+5854. We compute the upper limits in the bolometric luminosity of PSR J0250+5854 at various blackbody temperatures between 30–200 eV, using the same assumption on the neutral hydrogen column density and distance to the pulsar. The upper limits are plotted as a black solid line in Figure [2.](#page-2-2) We estimated an uncertainty on the distance to the pulsar of 45 per cent, considering the

Table 2. The upper limits on the unabsorbed flux and bolometric luminosity of PSR J0250+5854 for an energy range of 0.05−10 keV, derived from the EPIC-pn and 0.01−10 keV from the EPIC-MOS cameras. The bolometric luminosity was computed assuming $d = 1.6$ kpc.

Instrument	Temperature	Upper limits	Bolometric luminosity
	(eV)	flux $(\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})$	$(\text{erg } s^{-1})$
pn	115	7.0×10^{-15}	2.0×10^{30}
	85	1.6×10^{-14}	4.5×10^{30}
	50	1.7×10^{-13}	5.0×10^{31}
MOS	115	2.2×10^{-14}	6.5×10^{30}
	85	4.5×10^{-14}	1.3×10^{31}
	50	3.9×10^{-13}	1.1×10^{32}

Figure 2. The upper limit in the bolometric luminosity of PSR J0250+5854 from the EPIC-pn data, for various values of blackbody temperature between 30–200 eV. The shaded region is the uncertainty in the computed upper limit, considering $d = 1.6 \pm 0.7$ kpc as explained in the text. The dashed lines are the expected bolometric luminosity of a blackbody of size 12, 5, 1, and 0.1 km, respectively. The black dots refer to the 7 known XDINSs, with data taken from [Viganò et al.](#page-3-22) [\(2013\)](#page-3-22).

assertion from [Yao et al.](#page-3-4) [\(2017\)](#page-3-4), that 95 per cent of the distance estimates have a relative error of less than a factor of 0.9. This resulted in an uncertainty in the computed upper limit, which is plotted as a grey shaded region in Figure [2.](#page-2-2)

We then compared these upper limits for the luminosity with values computed from different manifestations of thermal-emitting cooling neutron stars. The thermal emission can arise from the whole surface of the star (typical radius of 12 km), or from a some fraction of the surface. We computed the bolometric luminosity for temperatures between 30–200 eV and radii of 12 km (dashed blue line in Figure [2\)](#page-2-2), 5 km (dashed orange line) and 1 km (dashed green line). Using the most conservative estimate on the distance to the pulsar, we found that the upper limits on PSR J0250+5854 emission are consistent with temperatures lower than 50, 60 and 90 eV, respectively, and bolometric luminosities lower than 10, 3.5 and 0.9 $\times 10^{31}$ erg s⁻¹. As a comparison, we added the bolometric luminosities of the seven XDINSs with black dots [\(Viganò et al.](#page-3-22) [2013\)](#page-3-22). They are compatible with neutron stars with a blackbody emitting radius between 1 and 5 km and, with the exception of RX J0420.0−5022, they all exceed the upper limit we infer for PSR J0250+5854. We caution that these limits are derived with the assumption of purely blackbody emission with a single temperature, while it is well known that XDINSs spectra are modelled by two distinct blackbodies with different temperature and size, and often containing one or more Gaussian absorption features [\(Yoneyama et al.](#page-3-25) [2019\)](#page-3-25).

The derived upper limits suggest that, if PSR J0250+5854 is indeed an XDINS-like object, the pulsar is cooler than most known XDINSs. This would not be a surprise, considering the characteristic age of PSR J0250+5854 of $\sim 10^7$ years is higher than those of XDINSs $(10^5 - 10^6$ years). Based on the neutron star cooling model of [Viganò et al.](#page-3-22) [\(2013\)](#page-3-22), the upper limit in the bolometric luminosity of PSR J0250+5854 would yield a lower limit on cooling age of the neutron star of 2×10^6 years, lower than its characteristic age.

If PSR J0250+5854 is instead a low- B old magnetar, according to the evolutionary tracks in the $P-\dot{P}$ diagram of [Viganò et al.](#page-3-22) [\(2013\)](#page-3-22), it should be a descendant of magnetars with extremely high magnetic fields (\sim 10¹⁵ G). It should have a real age of a few 10⁵ years and a bolometric luminosity of ~10³³ erg s⁻¹, that is ruled out by our measurement for any $kT \ge 35$ eV. However, the low-B magnetar SGR 0418+5729 has a similar true age and X-ray luminosity $L_{\rm X} \approx 10^{31}$ erg s⁻¹, and the bulk of its thermal luminosity comes from a small hot spot of 320 eV [\(Rea et al.](#page-3-17) [2013\)](#page-3-17), which is not related to long-term cooling but rather is maintained by the bombardment of energetic particles carried by magnetospheric currents [\(Guillot et al.](#page-3-26) [2015\)](#page-3-26). To test such a scenario, we computed the bolometric luminosity for temperatures between 30–200 eV and a radius of 0.1 km (dashed red line in Figure [2\)](#page-2-2). The upper limit to the blackbody temperature and bolometric luminosity, using the conservative distance estimate, are 200 eV and 2.0×10^{30} erg s⁻¹, respectively.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The upper limits on the bolometric luminosity of PSR J0250+5854 derived from the *XMM–Newton* observations suggest that the pulsar is unlikely to be an XDINS, unless it has an effective blackbody temperature of <50 eV, placing it at the very low end of the known distribution of XDINSs. Our conclusion holds regardless of the specific value of the distance and its uncertainty; indeed, the upper limit of PSR J0250+5854 bolometric luminosity would match the XDINS ones if increased by a factor ∼50, that would imply a distance of about 10 kpc. This value seems rather unlikely, given that our pulsar has a dispersion measure DM = 45.28 pc⁻³, while the max DM along the line-of-sight is 295.5 pc cm⁻³ [\(Kaplan](#page-3-27) [2022\)](#page-3-27).

PSR J0250+5854 could also be a low- B old magnetar with a heated hot spot, similar to SGR 0418+5729. However this would only be the case if the temperature of the hot spot is at least 1.6 times smaller, at <200 eV. The results indicate that if PSR J0250+5854 is related to the high-energy-emitting neutron stars, the object would have been much cooler than most of the known XDINSs and magnetars.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Based on observations obtained with *XMM–Newton*, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and NASA. MR acknowledge financial support from the Italian Ministry for Education, University and Research through grant 2017LJ39LM 'UnIAM' and the INAF 'Main-streams' funding

4 *C. M. Tan et al.*

grant (DP n.43/18). We thank Jason Hessels for his contribution to the discussion of the work.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The X-ray data are available through the *XMM–Newton*Science Archive at https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/xsa. Obs.IDs: 0844000201, 0844000301, 0844000401, 0844000501, 0844000601, 0844000701, 0844000801, 0844000901, 08440001001, 0844001101, and 0844001201.

REFERENCES

- Burrows D. N., et al., 2005, [Space Sci. Rev.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11214-005-5097-2) [120, 165](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005SSRv..120..165B)
- Caleb M., et al., 2022, [Nature Astronomy,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41550-022-01688-x) [6, 828](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022NatAs...6..828C)
- De Grandis D., et al., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac2587) [516, 4932](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.516.4932D)
- Esposito P., Rea N., Israel G. L., 2021, in Belloni T. M., Méndez M., Zhang C., eds, Astrophysics and Space Science Library Vol. 461, Astrophysics and Space Science Library. pp 97–142, [doi:10.1007/978-3-662-62110-3_3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-62110-3_3)
- Guillot S., Perna R., Rea N., Viganò D., Pons J. A., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1535) [452, 3357](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015MNRAS.452.3357G)
- He C., Ng C.-Y., Kaspi V. M., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/768/1/64) [768, 64](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...768...64H)
- Hurley-Walker N., et al., 2022, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04272-x) [601, 526](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022Natur.601..526H)
- Kaplan D. L., 2008, in Bassa C., Wang Z., Cumming A., Kaspi V. M., eds, American Institute of Physics Conference Series Vol. 983, 40 Years of Pulsars: Millisecond Pulsars, Magnetars and More. pp 331–339, [doi:10.1063/1.2900177](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2900177)
- Kaplan D., 2022, Pulsar Survey Scraper, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.6390905,](http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6390905) <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6390905>
- Kaspi V. M., Beloborodov A. M., 2017, [ARA&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023329) [55, 261](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2017ARA%26A..55..261K)
- Mereghetti S., Pons J. A., Melatos A., 2015, [Space Sci. Rev.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11214-015-0146-y) [191, 315](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015SSRv..191..315M)
- Morello V., et al., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa321) [493, 1165](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2020MNRAS.493.1165M)
- Rea N., et al., 2010, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1196088) [330, 944](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010Sci...330..944R)
- Rea N., et al., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/770/1/65) [770, 65](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013ApJ...770...65R)
- Rea N., Viganò D., Israel G. L., Pons J. A., Torres D. F., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/781/1/L17) [781, L17](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...781L..17R)
- Rigoselli M., Mereghetti S., Suleimanov V., Potekhin A. Y., Turolla R., Taverna R., Pintore F., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935485) [627, A69](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019A&A...627A..69R)
- Rigoselli M., Mereghetti S., Anzuinelli S., Keith M., Taverna R., Turolla R., Zane S., 2022, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac1130) [513, 3113](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2022MNRAS.513.3113R)
- Scholz P., Ng C. Y., Livingstone M. A., Kaspi V. M., Cumming A., Archibald R. F., 2012, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/761/1/66) [761, 66](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...761...66S)
- Scholz P., Kaspi V. M., Cumming A., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/62) [786, 62](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...786...62S)
- Tan C. M., et al., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aade88) [866, 54](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018ApJ...866...54T)
- Thompson C., Duncan R. C., 1995, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/275.2.255) [275, 255](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995MNRAS.275..255T)
- Thompson C., Duncan R. C., 1996, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/178147) [473, 322](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996ApJ...473..322T)
- Turolla R., 2009, Isolated Neutron Stars: The Challenge of Simplicity. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 141–163, [doi:10.1007/978-3-540-76965-1_7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-76965-1_7)
- Turolla R., Zane S., Pons J. A., Esposito P., Rea N., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/740/2/105) [740, 105](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...740..105T)
- Turolla R., Zane S., Watts A. L., 2015, [Rep. on Prog. in Phys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/78/11/116901) [78, 116901](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015RPPh...78k6901T)
- Viganò D., Rea N., Pons J. A., Perna R., Aguilera D. N., Miralles J. A., 2013, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1008) [434, 123](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013MNRAS.434..123V)
- Yao J. M., Manchester R. N., Wang N., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/835/1/29) [835, 29](http://cdsads.u-strasbg.fr/abs/2017ApJ...835...29Y)
- Yoneyama T., Hayashida K., Nakajima H., Matsumoto H., 2019, [PASJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pasj/psy135) [71,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019PASJ...71...17Y) [17](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019PASJ...71...17Y)

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.