

Infinite-dimensional representations of cubic and quintic algebras and special functions

Ian Marquette ^{*}, Junze Zhang [†] and Yao-Zhong Zhang [‡]

School of Mathematics and Physics, The University of Queensland
Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

March 1, 2023

Abstract

Finite and Infinite-dimensional representations of symmetry algebras play a significant role in determining the spectral properties of physical Hamiltonians. In this paper, we introduce and apply a practical method to construct infinite dimensional representations of certain polynomial algebras which appear in the context of quantum superintegrable systems. Explicit construction of these representations is a non-trivial task due to the non-linearity of the polynomial algebras. Our method has similarities with the induced module construction approach in the context of Lie algebras and allows the construction of states of the superintegrable systems beyond the reach of separation of variables. Our main focus is the representations of the polynomial algebras underlying superintegrable systems in 2D Darboux spaces. We are able to construct a large number of states in terms of complicated expressions of Airy, Bessel and Whittaker functions which would be difficult to obtain in other ways.

1 Introduction

Polynomial symmetry algebras generated from integrals of superintegrable systems of different orders have rich structures and have been studied in many works, see e.g. [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7] and [8]. It has been demonstrated that the finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representations of such symmetry algebra structures provide important information on the energy spectrum and multiplicities for the bound states of the underlying superintegrable models [9, 8]. The representation theory of such polynomial algebras is closely connected to special functions related to separation of variables of the system Hamiltonians [1, 10, 11, 12, 13]. By means of explicit realizations, most polynomial algebras can be transformed into the so-called deformed oscillator algebras. The realizations in terms of the deformed oscillators are useful in constructing the representations of the polynomial algebras and calculating the energy spectra of the underlying superintegrable systems [8], [10], [14] and [15].

However, there exist polynomial algebras which do not have the deformed oscillator algebra realizations [16]. Examples include the polynomial algebras generated by linear and quadratic or cubic integrals of certain superintegrable systems in 2D Darboux spaces, as demonstrated in section 3 of [17]. In such cases, representations of polynomial algebras have to be constructed via other techniques, see for instance, [18]. In [19], the Verma module construction was used to deduce the representations of the symmetry algebra of the Schrödinger equation. In [7], the authors studied the action of integrals on the states of certain superintegrable systems and constructed some infinite-dimensional representations of the symmetry algebras.

In this paper, we introduce a new method and apply it to generate infinite-dimensional representations of the polynomial algebras underlying certain superintegrable systems. The idea is quite similar

^{*}i.marquette@uq.edu.au

[†]junze.zhang@uqconnect.edu.au

[‡]yz@maths.uq.edu.au

to the induced module construction for Lie algebras. This will allow us to build larger sets of states for the Hamiltonians than those which can be obtained by directly solving the Schrodinger equation. These states are non-separable but still of interest for the superintegrable systems. We will focus on the polynomial algebras with 3 generators arising from the superintegrable systems in 2D Darboux spaces in [20], [21] and [22]. Solutions of the wave equations for these systems have been studied via different methods such as separation of variables and Stäckel transforms [21] [22]. However, the construction of infinite-dimensional representations of the underlying polynomial symmetry algebras and their applications has remained an open problem. This paper intends to fill this gap. We will establish recursive relations for vectors in the representation spaces of the polynomial symmetry algebras of the superintegrable systems in the Darboux spaces. The process involves the evaluation of commutation relations between monomials of generators which is much more complicated than what is seen in the context of Lie algebras. To our knowledge, this is first time such calculations are done for the polynomial algebras. The states created in such way are non-separable but have explicit expressions in terms of special functions such as Airy, Bessel and Whittaker functions.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we present the general method for computing the action of the symmetry algebra operators on eigenstates of the Hamiltonians. Explicit results are given in Section 3 for symmetry algebras with 3 generators $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{F} = [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2]$, where \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2 are linear and quadratic integrals, respectively. We will study the actions of these generators on states of the forms $\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi$ and $\hat{F}^m \hat{X}_2^n \Psi$. We will provide recurrence formulas for the infinite-dimensional representations of the symmetry algebras of superintegrable systems in the 2D (curved) Darboux spaces. Similar results are presented in Section 4 for the quintic algebra generated by linear and cubic integrals of the superintegrable system in [20]. In Section 5, we summarize our results.

2 The general approach

Consider a superintegrable system in a curved space with metric tensor g_{ij} . Let

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + V(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

be the Hamiltonian in the separable local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) and $S_m = \{\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_m\}$ be a set of integrals of motion of the system. Let $\mathfrak{Q}(k)$ denote the polynomial associated algebra of order k over polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\hat{\mathcal{H}}]$, generated by the integrals from S_m and defined by the following commutation relations

$$[\hat{X}_s, \hat{X}_t] = \sum_q f(a_q, \hat{\mathcal{H}}) \hat{X}_q + \sum_{p,q} f(a_{p,q}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}) \hat{X}_p \hat{X}_q + \sum_{p,q,r} f(a_{p,q,r}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}) \hat{X}_p \hat{X}_q \hat{X}_r + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where $f(a_{p,q,r}, \hat{\mathcal{H}})$ is the polynomial function of the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Suppose that the Schrödinger equation $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi = E\Psi$ has solutions of the form $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = X(x_1) \dots X_n(x_n)$. We want to determine the representations of $\mathfrak{Q}(k)$ without relying on the deformed oscillator algebra realizations. As $\mathfrak{Q}(k)$ is the symmetry algebra of the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, then the infinite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{Q}(k)$ can be obtained through the actions of its generators on eigenstates of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$.

This is seen as follows. Let $S_p = \{\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_p\}$ be an integrable subset of S_m such that the actions of every element in S_p on Ψ are simultaneously diagonalizable, that is, $\hat{X}_j \Psi = \lambda_j \Psi$, with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. Then using induction, we can define a vector

$$\prod_{p+1 \leq j \leq m} \hat{X}_j^{n_j} \Psi = \Psi_{n_{p+1}+1, \dots, n_m+1}, \quad (2)$$

in the eigenspace V_E of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, i.e.

$$\Psi_{n_{p+1}+1, \dots, n_m+1} \in V_E = \{\mathbf{v} : \hat{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{v} = E\mathbf{v}\}.$$

From [23, Theorem 1], the actions by any elements in S_p on $\Psi_{n_{p+1}+1, \dots, n_m+1}$ are still in the eigenspace space, namely,

$$\hat{X}_i \Psi_{n_{p+1}+1, \dots, n_m+1} = \sum_{i_1 \in W_1, \dots, i_m \in W_m} \Psi_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \quad (3)$$

where W_j are the sets of integer tuples. This means that the infinite dimensional representations of $\Omega(k)$ can indeed be constructed through the actions of its generators on the eigenstates (2).

In the following, we will apply this algorithm to a polynomial algebra generated from the set of integrals $S_3 = \{\hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2\}$ of a superintegrable system in a 2D Darboux space.

Let $[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{F}$ and $\Omega(3) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{F}\}$ denote the polynomial algebra with 3 generators, Let $\Psi(x, y) = X(x)Y(y)$ be the solution of the Schrödinger equation $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi = E\Psi$ in the separable coordinates (x, y) of a 2D Darboux space. Then $X(x), Y(y)$ obey the second order homogeneous equations

$$\frac{d^2 X}{dx^2} + \lambda a(x)X = 0, \quad \frac{d^2 Y(y)}{dy^2} - \lambda Y(y) = 0,$$

where λ is a separation constant. In what follows, we always assume that \hat{X}_1 is a linear integral such that $\hat{X}_1 \Psi = \sqrt{\lambda} \Psi$. Define

$$X^{(n)}Y = P_n(x)XY + Q_n(x)X^{(1)}Y,$$

where $X^{(n)} \equiv \frac{d^n X}{dx^n}$ is the n -th order derivative of X and $P_n(x), Q_n(x)$ are polynomials in x . For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, using (2), we may define new vectors as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_2^n \Psi &= \tilde{P}_{n+1}(x, y)XY + \tilde{Q}_{n+1}(x, y)X^{(1)}Y, \\ \hat{F}^m \Psi &= \hat{P}_{m+1}(x, y)XY + \hat{Q}_{m+1}(x, y)X^{(1)}Y, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_n(x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j(x, y)P_n(x), & \hat{Q}_n(x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j(x, y)Q_n(x), \\ \tilde{P}_n(x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j(x, y)P_n(x), & \tilde{Q}_n(x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j(x, y)Q_n(x) \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j$ and $\delta_j \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ for all j . Furthermore by the product rules and the induction, we can obtain

$$\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi = s_{n+1, m+1}(x, y)XY + t_{n+1, m+1}(x, y)X^{(1)}Y,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+1, m+1}(x, y) &= \left(\hat{X}_2^n \hat{P}_{n+1} + \tilde{P}_m \hat{P}_{n+1} + \hat{Q}_{m+1} \tilde{P}_{n+1} \right)(x, y), \\ t_{n+1, m+1}(x, y) &= \left(\hat{P}_{m+1} \tilde{P}_{n+1} + \hat{Q}_{m+1} \tilde{P}_n + \hat{X}_2^n \hat{P}_{n+1} \right)(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi$ are eigenstates of the Hamiltonian for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, that is,

$$\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi \in V_E = \{\mathbf{v} : \hat{\mathcal{H}}\mathbf{v} = E\mathbf{v}\}.$$

Similarly, we can deduce that $\hat{F}^m \hat{X}_2^n \Psi \in V_E$. Let $\mathbb{C}[\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}]$ be the polynomial ring over \mathbb{C} in two indeterminates, and let

$$V_{m, n} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \dots, \hat{X}_2^n \Psi, \hat{X}_2^{n-1} \hat{F} \Psi, \dots, \hat{X}_2 \hat{F}^{m-1} \Psi, \hat{F}^m \Psi, \dots \}. \quad (4)$$

$V_{m,n}$ is a infinite dimensional vector space of homogeneous polynomials that contains infinitely many of the monomials $\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi$. Then the infinite-dimensional representations of the polynomial algebra $\mathfrak{Q}(k)$ is given by

$$\pi : \mathfrak{Q}(k) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(V), \text{ where } V = \bigoplus_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} V_{m,n}.$$

That is, V is a $\mathfrak{Q}(k)$ -module. Due to the complexities of the commutation relations (1), analytic computation of the action $\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m$ or $\hat{F}^m \hat{X}_2^n$ on Ψ is not in general feasible. So, we will focus on the cubic $\mathfrak{Q}(3)$ and quintic $\mathfrak{Q}(5)$ algebras associated with certain superintegrable systems in the 2D Darboux spaces. We will use the functionally independent relation $f(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{F}) = 0$ to simplify the action of $\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m$ on Ψ .

We first state the following

Lemma 2.1. *For any integrals A, B and integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$[A^n, B] = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{\ell} \underbrace{A^\ell [A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n-j \text{ terms of } A} \right). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Inductively, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [A^n, B] &= \underbrace{[A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n \text{ terms of } A} + \binom{n}{1} A \underbrace{[A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n-1 \text{ terms of } A} + \binom{n}{2} A^2 \underbrace{[A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n-2 \text{ terms of } A} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} A^{n-1} [A, B] \\ &= \underbrace{[A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n \text{ terms of } A} + \binom{n}{1} \left(\underbrace{[[A, \dots, [A, B]], A]}_{n-1 \text{ terms of } A} + A \underbrace{[A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n-1 \text{ terms of } A} \right) \\ &\quad + \binom{n}{2} \left(\underbrace{[[A, \dots, [A, B]], A, A]}_{n-2 \text{ terms of } A} + \binom{2}{1} A \underbrace{[[A, \dots, [A, B]], A]}_{n-2 \text{ terms of } A} + A^2 \underbrace{[A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n-2 \text{ terms of } A} \right) \\ &\quad + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} \left(\underbrace{[[A, B], \underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{n-1 \text{ terms of } A}]}_{n-1 \text{ terms of } A} + \binom{n-1}{1} A \underbrace{[[A, B], \underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{n-2 \text{ terms of } A}]}_{n-2 \text{ terms of } A} \right) \\ &\quad + \dots + \binom{n-1}{n-2} A^{n-1} \left(\underbrace{[[A, B], A]}_{n-2 \text{ terms of } A} + A^{n-1} [A, B] \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} \binom{j}{\ell} \underbrace{A^\ell [A, \dots, [A, B]]}_{n-j \text{ terms of } A} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Remark 2.2. It was shown in [24, Lemma 2], that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $[A^n, B] = \sum_{j=1}^n A^{n-j} [A, B] A^{j-1}$. Lemma 2.1 above generalizes this result.

3 Explicit constructions of representations

In this section, we apply the method in Section 2 to construct the infinite-dimensional representations of the polynomial symmetry algebras underlying the superintegrable systems in the 2D Darboux spaces [17].

3.1 2D Darboux space D_I

Consider the superintegrable system in the Darboux-Koenigs space D_1 with the following Hamiltonian and linear and quadratic integrals [17]

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 &= \varphi_1(x)(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + c_1), \\ \hat{X}_1 &= \partial_y, \quad \hat{X}_2 = y\partial_x\partial_y - x\partial_y^2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x - \frac{1}{4}\alpha y^2\varphi_1(x)(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) - \frac{1}{4}c_1\alpha\varphi_1(x)y^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_1(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha x + \beta}$ with constant parameters $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. The integrals \hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2 satisfy the following commutation relations of the cubic algebra $\mathfrak{Q}(3) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{F}\}$,

$$[X_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{F}, \quad [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] = \frac{\alpha}{2}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1, \quad [\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}] = -2X_1^3 + \beta\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1X_1 - c_1X_1. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, they obey the functional independent relation

$$\hat{F}^2 + \hat{X}_1^4 + d\hat{X}_1^2 - \alpha\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1\hat{X}_2 = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $d = c_1 - \beta\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$. The Casimir operator of the cubic algebra is

$$K_1 = \hat{F}^2 - \alpha\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1\hat{X}_2 - d\hat{X}_1^2 - \hat{X}_1^4.$$

From [22], the Schrödinger equation in the separable coordinates (x, y) ,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha x + \beta} (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + c_1) \Psi = E\Psi,$$

has solution of the form

$$\Psi(x, y) = \left(a_1 \text{Ai}(E^{-\frac{2}{3}}z(x)) + a_2 \text{Bi}(E^{-\frac{2}{3}}z(x)) \right) (a_3 \exp(\sqrt{r}y) + a_4 \exp(-\sqrt{r}y)), \quad (8)$$

where r is the separation constant r , $z(x) = \alpha x + \beta - \frac{r^2}{E}$ and $\text{Ai}(z(x))$ and $\text{Bi}(z(x))$ are the Airy functions.

Let

$$\Psi_r = \left(a_1 \text{Ai}(E^{-\frac{2}{3}}z(x)) + a_2 \text{Bi}(E^{-\frac{2}{3}}z(x)) \right) a_3 \exp(\sqrt{r}y) = X(x)Y(y).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_1\Psi_r &= \sqrt{r}\Psi_r, \\ \hat{F}\Psi_r &= -\sqrt{r}\alpha\sqrt{E}X^{(1)}Y - \frac{\alpha Ey}{2}XY, \\ \hat{X}_2\Psi_r &= \alpha\sqrt{E}\left(\sqrt{r}y + \frac{1}{2}\right)X^{(1)}Y + \left(xr^2 - \frac{\alpha y^2 E}{2}\right)XY.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the actions of \hat{X}_2 and \hat{F} lead to non separable states. In other words, these operators are not simultaneously diagonalizable. However, these states are preserved under the action of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$. In order to deduce the representations, it is sufficient to act \hat{X}_2 and \hat{F} multi-times until we have a closed algebraic structure.

Now from [25], we have that

$$X^{(n)}Y = P_n(z(x))XY + \alpha\sqrt{E}Q_n(z(x))X^{(1)}Y,$$

where $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$ are

$$\begin{aligned}P_n(x) &= \sum_{3m \geq n} \sum_k \left\{ \binom{3m-n}{3k-n} - \binom{3m-n}{3k-1} \right\} \binom{1}{3}_k \binom{2}{3}_{m-k} \frac{3^m x^{3m-n}}{(3m-n)!}, \\ Q_n(x) &= \sum_{3m \geq n-1} \sum_k \left\{ \binom{3m+1-n}{3k} - \binom{3m+1-n}{3k-n} \right\} \binom{1}{3}_k \binom{2}{3}_{m-k} \frac{3^m x^{3m-n}}{(3m+1-n)!}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $k_{n+1,m+1} \in V_E = \{\mathbf{v}_E : \hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 \mathbf{v}_E = E \mathbf{v}_E\}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_2 \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi_r &= \alpha \sqrt{E} k_{n+2,m+1}, \\ \hat{F} \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi_r &= \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{X}_2^{j-1} [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_2] \hat{X}_2^{n-j} \hat{F}^m \Psi_r + \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^{m+1} \Psi_r, \\ \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi_r &= \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{X}_2^{j-1} \hat{F} \hat{X}_2^{n-j} \hat{F}^m \Psi_r - \frac{m\alpha E}{2} \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^{m-1} \Psi_r + \sqrt{r} \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi_r.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{Q}(3)$ be the cubic algebra (6). Let $V_{m,n}$ be the $\mathfrak{Q}(3)$ -submodule defined in (4), and let $W = \{1, \hat{F}\Psi, \hat{F}^2\Psi, \dots, \hat{F}^j\Psi, \dots\}$ be the space cyclically generated by \hat{F} . Then $V_{m,n} \cong W$.*

Proof. By definition, it turns out that $W \subset V_{m,n}$. To show that $V_{m,n} \subset W$, it is sufficient to show that the action of $[\hat{F}^m, \hat{X}_2]$ on Ψ_r can be expressed in terms of the basis of W . From Lemma 2.1, we observe that the action of $\hat{X}^n \hat{F}^m$ on Ψ_r is closed in the algebra $\mathfrak{Q}(3)$. Furthermore,

$$[\hat{X}_1^n, \hat{F}] = \frac{n\alpha}{2} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 \hat{X}_1^{n-1}, \quad [\hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2] = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \binom{n}{n-2} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 \hat{X}_1^{n-2} + n \hat{F} \hat{X}_1^{n-1}$$

and thus

$$[\hat{X}_1^n, \hat{F}] \Psi_r = \frac{n\alpha E}{2} r^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Psi_r, \quad [\hat{X}_1^n, \hat{X}_2] \Psi_r = \frac{\alpha E}{2} \binom{n}{n-2} r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \Psi_r + nr^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \hat{F} \Psi_r.$$

Now, from the constraint (7), we deduce that

$$\hat{F}^m - \alpha \hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 \hat{X}_2 \hat{F}^{m-2} + \hat{X}_1^4 \hat{F}^{m-2} + d \hat{X}_1^2 \hat{F}^{m-2} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Let $\psi_{m+1} = \hat{F}^m \Psi_r$. Then we have $X_1 \psi_{m+1} = (m-1) \frac{\alpha E}{2} \psi_m + \sqrt{r} \psi_{m+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_1^2 \psi_{m+1} &= (m-1)(m-2) \frac{\alpha^2 E^2}{4} \psi_{m-1} + 2(m-1) \sqrt{r} \frac{\alpha E}{2} \psi_m + r \psi_{m+1}, \\ \hat{X}_1^4 \psi_{m+1} &= (m-1)(m-2)(m-3)(m-4) \left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^4 \psi_{m-3} + 4\sqrt{r}(m-1)(m-2)(m-3) \left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^3 \psi_{m-2} \\ &\quad + 6(m-1)(m-2)r \left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^2 \psi_{m-1} + 4(m-1) \frac{\alpha E}{2} r^{\frac{3}{2}} \hat{F}^{m-1} \Psi_r + r^2 \psi_{m+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now using (9), we get that for $m \geq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha E \hat{X}_2 \psi_{m+1} &= \psi_{m+3} + \prod_{k=1}^4 (m-k) \left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^4 \psi_{m-3} + 4\sqrt{r} \prod_{k=1}^3 (m-k) \left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^3 \psi_{m-2} \\ &\quad + (6r+d) \prod_{k=1}^2 (m-k) \left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^2 \psi_{m-1} + 2\alpha E(m-1)(2\sqrt{r}+d)r \hat{F}^{m-1} \Psi_r + (r^2+rd) \psi_{m+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Acting \hat{X}_2 on both sides of the above relation repeatedly for n times, we see that $\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi_r$ can be expressed in terms of $\hat{F}^j \Psi$

We now show that for any $1 \leq m \leq 3$, $\hat{X}_2 \psi_{m+1} \in W$. By means of the constrain (7), we have

$$[\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}^2] \Psi_r = [\hat{X}_1^4, \hat{X}_2] \Psi_r + d[\hat{X}_1^2, \hat{X}_2] \Psi_r.$$

Therefore

$$\hat{X}_2 \hat{F}^2 \Psi_r = \alpha E \left(\frac{d}{2} - 3r\right) \Psi_r + (4r^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2d\sqrt{r}) \hat{F} \Psi_r + \hat{F}^2((r^2 + dr) - \frac{1}{E} \hat{F}^2) \Psi_r$$

and

$$[\hat{F}^3, \hat{X}_2]\Psi_r = -2(2r^{\frac{3}{2}} + d\sqrt{r})\hat{F}^2\Psi_r + \alpha E \left(3r + \frac{d}{2}\right)\hat{F}\Psi_r - 2(\hat{X}_1^3 + d\hat{X}_1)\hat{F}^2\Psi_r + \hat{F}[\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}^2]\Psi_r,$$

where

$$\hat{X}_1^3\hat{F}^2\Psi_r = 2\left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^2\sqrt{r}\Psi_r + \frac{\alpha E}{2}r\hat{F}\Psi_r + \sqrt{r}\hat{X}_1\hat{F}^3\Psi_r$$

can be expressed in terms of $\hat{F}^j\Psi_r$. Hence for all $m \geq 0$, $V_{1,m} \subset W$. By acting \hat{X}_2 on $\hat{X}_2\psi_{m+1}$ $n-1$ times, it is clear that $k_{n+1,m+1} \in W$, and therefore $V_{n,m} \subset W$ as required. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Sub-representations of $\Omega(3)$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F}\psi_{m+1} &= E\psi_{m+2}, \\ X_1\psi_{m+1} &= (m-1)\frac{\alpha E}{2}\psi_m + \sqrt{r}\psi_{m+1}, \\ \hat{X}_2\psi_{m+1} &= \frac{1}{\alpha E}\psi_{m+3} + \prod_{k=1}^4(m-k)\left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^3\psi_{m-3} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{r}\prod_{k=1}^2(m-k)\psi_{m-2} \\ &\quad + (6r+d)\prod_{k=1}^2(m-k)\frac{\alpha E}{4}\psi_{m-1} + 2(m-1)(2\sqrt{r}+d)r\psi_m + \frac{(r^2+rd)}{\alpha E}\psi_{m+1} \\ K_1\psi_{m+1} &= -2(r^2+dr)\psi_{m+1}\end{aligned}$$

for $m > 3$.

Proof. The action of the generators $\{\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \hat{F}\}$ on ψ_{m+1} can be deduced from Proposition 3.1. The last relation is the result of $[\hat{F}^m, K_1]\Psi_r = 0$, which gives $K_1\psi_{m+1} = \hat{F}^m K_1\Psi_r = -2(r^2+dr)\psi_{m+1}$ by induction. \square

Remark 3.3. (i) The expressions found above for the representations explains why $\Omega(3)$ does not have a deformed oscillator algebra realization. From such realization to exist, the action of its generators on Ψ_r need to be tri-diagonalizable.

(ii) If we set the separation constant r to zero, then from the proof of the Corollary 3.2, we have that, for $m > 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_1\psi_{m+1} &= \frac{\alpha E(m-1)}{2}\psi_m, \\ \hat{F}\psi_{m+1} &= E\psi_{m+2}, \\ \hat{X}_2\psi_{m+1} &= \frac{1}{\alpha E}\psi_{m+3} + \prod_{k=1}^4(m-k)\left(\frac{\alpha E}{2}\right)^3\psi_{m-3} + d\prod_{k=1}^2(m-k)\frac{\alpha E}{4}\psi_{m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

3.2 2D Darboux space D_{II}

We now consider the superintegrable system in the 2D Darboux space D_{II} with the Hamiltonian and linear and quadratic integrals [17].

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 &= \varphi_2(x)(p_x^2 + p_y^2) + c_2\varphi_2(x), \\ \hat{X}_1 &= \partial_x, \quad \hat{X}_2 = X_2 + \frac{a_2c_2y^2}{a_2 - a_1x^2},\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_2(x) = \frac{x^2}{a_2 - a_1x^2}$, a_1, a_2, c_2 are real constants and

$$X_2 = 2xy\partial_x\partial_y + (y^2 - x^2)\partial_y^2 + x\partial_x + y\partial_y + \frac{a_1x^2y^2}{a_2 - a_1x^2}(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2).$$

The two integrals generate the symmetry algebra with following commutation relations,

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] &= \hat{F}, & [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] &= 2a_1\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + 2\hat{X}_1^2 + 2c_2, \\ [\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}] &= -2\{\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2\} + (1 - 4a_2\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2)\hat{X}_1 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with the Casimir operator

$$K_2 = \hat{F}^2 - 2\{\hat{X}_1^2, \hat{X}_2\} + (5 - 4a_2\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2)\hat{X}_1^2 - 4(a_1\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2)\hat{X}_2.$$

Moreover we have

$$\hat{F}^2 - \frac{4}{3}\{\hat{X}_1^2, \hat{X}_2\} - 4(a_1\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2)\hat{X}_2 + \left(\frac{11}{3} - 4a_2\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2\right)\hat{X}_1^2 - \frac{4}{3}\hat{X}_1\hat{X}_2\hat{X}_1 + \frac{2a_1}{3}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + \frac{2c_2}{3} = 0 \quad (11)$$

Suppose that the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{x^2}{a_2 - a_1x^2} \left(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2 + c_2 \right) \Psi = E\Psi,$$

in the Darboux space D_{II} has the the form $\Psi(x, y) = X(x)Y(y)$ in the separable coordinates (x, y) . Then X, Y satisfy the following ODEs

$$X^{(2)} - \left(\frac{E}{x^2} - (t + a_1E - c_2) \right) X = 0, \quad Y^{(2)} - tY = 0,$$

where t is the separation constant. Thus $\Psi(x, y)$ is given by

$$\Psi = \left(b_1\sqrt{x}C_\mu(\sqrt{tx}) + b_2\sqrt{x}Y_\mu(\sqrt{tx}) \right) \left(b_3 \exp(\sqrt{ty}) + b_4 \exp(-\sqrt{ty}) \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\mu = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4c_2 + 4Ea_2}$ is a constant, $C_\mu(\sqrt{tx})$ is the Bessel function of first kind and $Y_\mu(\sqrt{tx})$ is the Bessel function of second kind. In particular, we take

$$\Psi_\mu = (b_1\sqrt{x}C_\mu(\sqrt{tx}) + b_2\sqrt{x}Y_\mu(\sqrt{tx})) b_3 \exp(\sqrt{ty})$$

such that $\hat{X}_1\Psi_\mu = \sqrt{t}\Psi_\mu$. The action of generators on the states $\hat{X}_2^n\hat{F}^m\Psi_\mu$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_2\hat{X}_2^n\hat{F}^m\Psi_\mu &= Ek_{n+2, m+1}, \\ \hat{F}\hat{X}_2^n\hat{F}^m\Psi_\mu &= \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{X}_2^{j-1}[\hat{F}, \hat{X}_2]\hat{X}_2^{n-j}\hat{F}^m\Psi_\mu + \hat{X}_2^n\hat{F}^{m+1}\Psi_\mu, \\ \hat{X}_1\hat{X}_2^n\hat{F}^m\Psi_\mu &= \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{X}_2^{j-1}\hat{F}\hat{X}_2^{n-j}\hat{F}^m\Psi_\mu + \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{X}_2^n\hat{F}^{j-1}[\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}]\hat{F}^{m-j}\Psi_\mu \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\psi_{m+1} = \hat{F}^m\Psi_\mu$. Then we have

Proposition 3.4. *The actions on ψ_{m+1} by the generators \hat{F} and \hat{X}_1 of the the symmetry algebra (10) are respectively given by $\hat{F}\psi_{m+1} = \psi_{m+2}$ and*

$$\hat{X}_1\psi_{m+1} = t\psi_{m+1} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \Xi_\ell\psi_{\ell+1}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\ell &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^j \binom{m-1}{j} \binom{j}{\ell} \left(2^{2(m-j)} a^{m-j+1} b^{m-j} + \dots + b^{(k)} (m-j) a^{m-j-k} b^{m-j+k} t^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a^{(k)} (m-j) a^{m-j-k} b^{m-j+k} t^{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \dots + (2(m-j))! b^{2(m-j)+1} t^{(m-j)+1} \right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m-j, \end{aligned}$$

$$a = -2 \left(a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2 \right), \quad b = -2,$$

and $a^{(k)}(m-j)$ and $b^{(k)}(m-j)$ are number sequences which satisfy the following recurrences relations

$$\begin{aligned} a^{(k)}(m-j+1) &= 2k(2k-1)a^{(k-1)}(m-j) + 2(2k+1)^2 a^{(k)}(m-j) + (2k+3)a^{(k+1)}(m-j), \\ b^{(k)}(m-j) &= a^{(k-1)}(m-j+1), \quad a^{(0)}(m-j+1) = 2^{2(m-j)+1}, \quad a^{(1)}(m-j) = \frac{1}{3} 2^{2(m-j)}. \end{aligned}$$

The action \hat{X}_2 on ψ_{m+1} satisfies the following recurrence relation

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^m (\Xi_{\ell-1} - \Xi_{\ell}) \hat{X}_2 \psi_{\ell+1} = 2\psi_{m+3} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m+1} \delta_{\ell}(a_1, a_2, c_2, E, \Xi_{\ell}) \psi_{\ell+1} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \Xi_0 (\psi_3 - \theta \psi_2 - 2\delta \psi_1), \quad (14)$$

where δ_{ℓ} are real coefficients depending on a_1, a_2, c_2, E and Ξ_{ℓ} , and

$$\theta = 4\sqrt{t}, \quad \gamma = 4(a_1 E + c_2 + t), \quad \delta = t \left(\frac{5}{2} - 2a_2 E + \frac{\gamma}{4} \right) - \frac{2a_2 E}{3}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$[\hat{X}_1^n, \hat{F}] = n \hat{X}_1^{n-1} [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] = n [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] \hat{X}_1^{n-1} = 2n \left(a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2 \right) \hat{X}_1^{n-1} + 2n \hat{X}_1^{n+1}, \quad (15)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$. In terms of $b = -2$ and $a = -2 \left(a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2 \right)$, (15) becomes for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,

$$[\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1^n] = n(a \hat{X}_1^{n-1} + b \hat{X}_1^{n+1}).$$

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, using the commutation relations (15), we can construct the following brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1]]}_{2p \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} &= 2^{2p} a^p b^p \hat{X}_1 + a^{(1)}(p) a^{p-1} b^{p+1} \hat{X}_1^3 + \dots + a^{(k)}(p) a^{p-k} b^{p+k} \hat{X}_1^{2k+1} + \dots + 2p! b^{2p} \hat{X}_1^{2p}, \\ \underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1]]}_{2p+2 \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} &= 2^{2p+2} a^{p+1} b^{p+1} \hat{X}_1 + a^{(1)}(p+1) a^p b^{p+2} \hat{X}_1^3 + \dots + a^{(k)}(p+1) a^{p+1-k} b^{p+1+k} \hat{X}_1^{2k+1} \\ &\quad + \dots + 2(p+1)! b^{2p+2} \hat{X}_1^{2p+2}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $a^{(k)}(p)$ is a number sequence in terms of p for each k . Notice that the equation (16) can be calculated in the following way.

By a direct calculation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1]]}_{2p+1 \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} &= 2^{2p} a^{p+1} b^p + \left(2^{2p} + 3a^{(1)}(p) \right) a^p b^{p+1} \hat{X}_1^2 + \left(3a^{(1)}(p) + 5a^{(2)}(p) \right) a^{p-1} b^{p+2} \hat{X}_1^4 \\ &\quad + \dots + \left((2k+1)a^{(k)}(p) + (2k+3)a^{(k+1)}(p) \right) a^{p-k} b^{p-k+1} \hat{X}_1^{2k+2} \\ &\quad + \dots + (2p+1)! b^{2p+1} \hat{X}_1^{2p+2}, \\ \underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1]]}_{2p+2 \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} &= 2 \left(2^{2p} + 3a^{(1)}(p) \right) a^{p+1} b^{p+1} \hat{X}_1 + \left(2^{2m+1} + 18a^{(1)}(p) + 20a^{(2)}(p) \right) a^p b^{p+2} \hat{X}_1^3 \\ &\quad + \dots + \left(2k(2k-1)a^{(k-1)}(p) + 2(2k+1)^2 a^{(k)}(p) + (2k+3)a^{(k+1)}(p) \right) \hat{X}_1^{2k+1} \\ &\quad + \dots + (2p+2)! b^{2p+2} \hat{X}_1^{2p+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients with (16), we find the following relations

$$2^{2p+2} = 2 \left(2^{2p} + 3a^{(1)}(p) \right), \quad (17)$$

$$a^{(1)}(p+1) = 2^{2p+1} + 18a^{(1)}(p) + 20a^{(2)}(p), \quad (18)$$

\vdots

$$a^{(k)}(p+1) = 2k(2k-1)a^{(k-1)}(p) + 2(2k+1)^2 a^{(k)}(p) + (2k+3)a^{(k+1)}(p) \quad (19)$$

From the (17), we find that $a^{(1)}(p) = \frac{2^{2p}}{3}$. Substituting this value to (18), we are able to find the value of $a^{(2)}(p)$. Hence, the values in the recurrence relation (19) can be evaluated. Similarly, using the commutation relation (15), we can construct that

$$\underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1]]}_{2p+1 \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} = 2^{2p} a^{p+1} b^p + b^{(1)}(p) a^p b^{p+1} \hat{X}_1^2 + \dots + b^{(k)}(p) a^{p-k} b^{p+k} \hat{X}_1^{2k} + \dots + (2p+1)! b^{2p+1} \hat{X}_1^{2p+2}. \quad (20)$$

To calculate the sequence $b^{(k)}(p)$, consider the following terms

$$\underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1]]}_{2p+1 \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} = b^{(1)}(p) a^p b^{p+1} (2a\hat{X}_1 + 2b\hat{X}_1^3) + \dots + b^{(k)}(p) a^{p-k} b^{p+k} ((2k)a\hat{X}_1^{2k-1} + (2k)b\hat{X}_1^{2k+1}) + \dots + (2p+1)! b^{2p+1} \hat{X}_1^{2p+2}.$$

This implies that $b^{(k)}(p) = a^{(k-1)}(p+1)$ for all $k \geq 1$. In particular, $a^{(0)}(p+1) = 2^{2p+1}$.

By using Lemma 2.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{F}^m, \hat{X}_1] \Psi_\mu &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} (-1)^j \binom{m-1}{j} \binom{j}{\ell} \left(2^{2(m-j)} a^{m-j+1} b^{m-j} + 2^{2(m-j)} a^{m-j} b^{m-j} \sqrt{t} \right. \\ &\quad + b^{(1)}(m-j) a^{m-j} b^{m-j+1} t + a^{(1)}(m-j) a^{m-j-1} b^{m-j+1} t^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots \\ &\quad + b^{(k)}(m-j) a^{m-j-k} b^{m-j+k} t^k + a^{(k)}(m-j) a^{m-j-k} b^{m-j+k} t^{k+\frac{1}{2}} + \dots \\ &\quad \left. + (2(m-j)-1)! b^{2(m-j)+1} t^{\frac{1}{2}(m-j)} + (2(m-j))! b^{2(m-j)+1} t^{(m-j)+1} \right) \psi_{\ell+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-j\}$. In terms of Ξ_ℓ given in the proposition, we obtain (13). Acting \hat{X}_1 to (13) again, we deduce that

$$\hat{X}_1^2 \psi_{m+1} = t \psi_{m+1} - \sqrt{t} \sum_{\ell=0}^m \Xi_\ell \psi_{\ell+1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \left(\sqrt{t} \psi_{\ell+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \Xi_i \psi_{i+1} \right). \quad (21)$$

Moreover, from the constrain (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}^m - \frac{4}{3} [\hat{X}_1^2, \hat{X}_2] \hat{F}^{m-2} + \left(\frac{19}{3} - 4a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 \right) \hat{X}_1^2 \hat{F}^{m-2} - \frac{8}{3} (a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2) \hat{F}^{m-2} \\ - \frac{4}{3} \hat{X}_1 \hat{F}^{m-1} + \frac{2}{3} (a_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2) \hat{F}^{m-2} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{X}_2 [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] \hat{F}^{m-2} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Acting (22) to Ψ_μ , and substituting the values in (13) and (21), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_2 [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] \psi_{m-1} &= 2\psi_{m+1} + \left(\frac{8}{3} \Xi_{m-2} - 8\sqrt{t} \right) \psi_m + \frac{16}{3} \Xi_0 \psi_1 + \frac{8}{3} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-3} (2\Xi_{\ell+1} + \Xi_\ell) \psi_\ell \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{19}{3} - 4a_2 E \right) \left(\sqrt{t} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-2} \Xi_\ell \psi_{\ell+1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \left(\sqrt{t} \psi_{\ell+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \Xi_i \psi_{i+1} \right) \right) \\ &\quad - 4 \left[(a_2 E + c_2) + \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{19}{3} - 4a_2 E \right) t \right] \psi_{m-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Using the Casimir operator K_2 , we can deduce the relation between \hat{F} and \hat{X}_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned} (K_2 - \frac{4a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2}{3}) \Psi_\mu &= \left(\hat{F}^2 - 2\{\hat{X}_1^2, \hat{X}_2\} + (5 - 4a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2) \hat{X}_1^2 - 4(a_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2 + c_2) \hat{X}_2 - \frac{4a_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_2}{3} \right) \Psi_\mu = 0 \\ \implies \hat{F}^2 \Psi_\mu - \theta \hat{F} \Psi_\mu - \gamma \hat{X}_2 \Psi_\mu - 2\delta \Psi_\mu &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Substituting (24) to the left hand side of the equation (23), we get the following recurrence relation

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^m (\Xi_{\ell-1} - \Xi_{\ell}) \hat{X}_2 \psi_{\ell+1} = 2\psi_{m+3} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m+1} \delta_{\ell}(a_1, a_2, c_2, E, \Xi_{\ell}) \psi_{\ell+1} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \Xi_0 (\psi_3 - \theta \psi_2 - 2\delta \psi_1),$$

as required. \square

Remark 3.5. From the recurrence relation (14), we can show that the action of \hat{X}_2 on ψ_{m+1} can be written as

$$\hat{X}_2 \psi_{m+1} = 2\psi_{m+3} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m+1} \omega_{\ell}(a_1, a_2, c_2, E, \Xi_{\ell}) \psi_{\ell+1}, \quad (25)$$

where ω_{ℓ} is real coefficients depending on a_1, a_2, c_2, E and Ξ_{ℓ} . Then acting \hat{X}_2 on (25) repeatedly for n times, we see that $\hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi_{\mu}$ can be expressed in terms of ψ_m .

3.3 2D Darboux space D_{III}

In the separable local coordinates (u, v) , the Hamiltonian of the superintegrable system in the 2D Darboux space D_{III} with linear and quadratic constants of motion is given by [17].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_3 &= \varphi_3(v)(\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2) + c_3 \cos(u) \varphi_3(u), \\ \hat{X}_1 &= \partial_u, \quad \hat{X}_2 = X_2 + \frac{\beta c_3 \exp(v) \cos(u)}{2\beta \exp(v) - 4\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_3(v) = \frac{\exp(-v)}{\beta \exp(v) - 2\alpha}$, c_3, α, β are real constants, and

$$X_2 = \frac{\exp(-v)}{2} \left(\cos u (2\partial_u^2 + \partial_v) + \sin u (2\partial_u \partial_v - \partial_u) + \frac{2\alpha \cos u}{\beta \exp(v) - 2\alpha} (\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2) \right).$$

These integrals generate symmetry algebra with the commutation relations

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{F}, \quad [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] = -\hat{X}_2, \quad [\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}] = -\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3 \hat{X}_1. \quad (26)$$

The Casimir operator is given by $K_3 = \hat{F}^2 - \beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3 X_1^2 + \hat{X}_2^2$ and the functional relation between the integrals is

$$K_3 - \alpha^2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3^2 + \left(\frac{\beta}{4} - c_3 \alpha \right) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3 - \frac{c_3^2}{16} = 0. \quad (27)$$

In the coordinates (u, v) , the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\exp(-v)}{\beta \exp(-v) - 2\alpha} (\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2 + c_3) \Psi = E \Psi$$

is separable. Writing $\Psi(u, v) = U(u)V(v)$. we obtain

$$U^{(2)} - (\kappa^2 + c_3)U = 0 \quad V^{(2)} + [\kappa^2 - (\beta \exp(2v) - 2\alpha \exp(v))E]V = 0,$$

where κ is a separation constant. Thus solutions to the Schrödinger equation can be written as

$$\Psi = \left(b_1 \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2}\right) W_{-\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{-\beta E}}, \pm h}(z(v)) + b_2 \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2}\right) W_{\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{\beta E}}, \pm h}(z(v)) \right) (b_3 \exp(\sqrt{\kappa}u) + b_4 \exp(-\sqrt{\kappa}u)),$$

where $W_{\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{-\beta E}}, \pm h}(z(v))$ is the Whittaker function and $z(u) = 4\sqrt{-\beta E} \exp(v)$. In particular, set

$$\Psi_{\kappa} = \left(b_1 \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2}\right) W_{-\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{-\beta E}}, \pm h}(z(v)) + b_2 \exp\left(-\frac{v}{2}\right) W_{\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{\beta E}}, \pm h}(z(v)) \right) b_3 \exp(\sqrt{\kappa}u).$$

Then we have $\hat{X}_1 \Psi_{\kappa} = \sqrt{\kappa} \Psi_{\kappa}$.

We now construct the representations of the algebra.

Let $h_{n+1, m+1} = \hat{F}^m \hat{X}_2^n \Psi_{\kappa}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *Representations of the symmetry algebra (26) are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{F}h_{n+1,m+1} &= \kappa E h_{n+1,m+2}; \\
 \hat{X}_1 h_{n+1,m+1} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j-1} \binom{2j-1}{\ell} (\beta E)^{j-1} h_{n+2,\ell+1} \\
 &\quad - \sqrt{h} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} (\beta E)^j h_{\ell+1,m+1} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\ell,k=1}^{m-1,n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} \binom{n}{2k-1} (\beta E)^{k+j} h_{\ell+2,k+1} \\
 &\quad - \sqrt{h} \sum_{f=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j,k=0}^{m-\ell,n-\ell} \binom{m}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} \binom{n}{2k} \binom{2k}{f} (\beta E)^{j+k} h_{f+1,\ell+1} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{2j-1} (\beta E)^{j-1} h_{j+1,m+2} + \sqrt{h} h_{n+1,m+1} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} (\beta E)^j \hat{F}^\ell h_{n+1,\ell+1}, \\
 \hat{X}_2 h_{n+1,m+1} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j-1} \binom{2j-1}{\ell} \binom{n}{2k-1} (\beta E)^{j+k} h_{k+1,\ell+2} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} (\beta E)^j h_{n+2,\ell+2} \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{h} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{f=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j-1} \binom{2j-1}{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{n-f} \binom{n}{2k} \binom{2k}{f} (\beta E)^{k+j} h_{\ell+1,f+1} \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{h} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j-1} \binom{2j-1}{\ell} (\beta E)^j h_{n+1,\ell+1} + h_{n+2,m+1}, \\
 K_3 h_{n+1,m+1} &= \left[\frac{c_3^2}{16} - \left(c_3 \alpha - \frac{\beta}{4} \right) \right] h_{n+1,m+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The action of \hat{F} on $\hat{F}^m \hat{X}_2^n \Psi_\kappa$ is straightforward. We now consider the actions of \hat{X}_1 and \hat{X}_2 . By a direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{X}_1 h_{n+1,m+1} &= [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}^m] \hat{X}_2^n \Psi_\kappa + \hat{F}^m [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2^n] \Psi_\kappa + \hat{F}^m \hat{X}_2^n \hat{X}_1 \Psi_\kappa, \\
 \hat{X}_2 h_{n+1,m+1} &= [\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}^m] \hat{X}_2^n \Psi_\kappa + \hat{F}^m \hat{X}_2^{n+1} \Psi_\kappa.
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By a straightforward calculation, using the commutation relations in (26), we find that

$$\underbrace{[\hat{X}_2, \dots, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_1]]}_{j+1 \text{ terms of } \hat{X}_2} = \begin{cases} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^p \hat{F} & \text{if } j = 2p + 1 \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \\ -(\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^p \hat{X}_1 & \text{if } j = 2p \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

$$\underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1]]}_{j+1 \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} = \begin{cases} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^p \hat{X}_2 & \text{if } j = 2p + 1 \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \\ (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^p \hat{X}_1 & \text{if } j = 2p \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

$$\underbrace{[\hat{F}, \dots, [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_2]]}_{j+1 \text{ terms of } \hat{F}} = \begin{cases} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^p \hat{F} & \text{if } j = 2p + 1 \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \\ (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^p \hat{X}_1 & \text{if } j = 2p \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (29), we write

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{X}_2^n, \hat{X}_1] &= - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{2j-1} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^{j-1} \hat{F} \hat{X}_2^j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{2j} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^j \hat{X}_1 \hat{X}_2^j \\ &= - \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{2j-1} \binom{2j-1}{\ell} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^{j-1} \hat{X}_2^\ell \hat{F} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-\ell} \binom{n}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^j \hat{X}_2^\ell \hat{X}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, with (30) and (31), the rest of the commutators are

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{F}^m, \hat{X}_1] &= - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j-1} \binom{2j-1}{\ell} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^{j-1} \hat{F}^\ell \hat{X}_2 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^j \hat{F}^\ell \hat{X}_1, \\ [\hat{F}^m, \hat{X}_2] &= - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j-1} \binom{2j-1}{\ell} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^j \hat{F}^\ell \hat{X}_1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{2j} \binom{2j}{\ell} (\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_3)^j \hat{F}^{\ell+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting theses into (28), we obtain the required result. Finally, since K_3 commutes every element in the algebra, we have

$$K_3 h_{n+1, m+1} = \left[\frac{c_3^2}{16} - \left(c_3 \alpha - \frac{\beta}{4} \right) \right] h_{n+1, m+1},$$

thus completing our proof. \square

3.4 2D Darboux space D_{IV}

The Hamiltonian of the superintegrable system in the 2D Darboux space with linear and quadratic constants of motion is given by [17].

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_4 &= \varphi_4(u) (\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2) + \frac{c_4}{\beta - 2\alpha \cos u}, \\ \hat{X}_1 &= \partial_v, \quad \hat{X}_2 = X_2 + \frac{4c_4 \exp(-v)}{\beta - 2\alpha \cos u}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_4(u) = \frac{\sin^2 u}{\beta - 2\alpha \cos u}$, c_4, α, β are real constants and

$$X_2 = \frac{\exp(-v)}{2} \left(\cos u (2\partial_v^2 - \partial_v) - \sin u (2\partial_u \partial_v - \partial_u) - \frac{2\alpha \sin^2 u}{\beta - 2\alpha \cos u} (\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2) \right).$$

The integrals form a cubic algebra with the commutation relations

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{F}, \quad [\hat{X}_1, \hat{F}] = -\hat{X}_2, \quad [\hat{X}_2, \hat{F}] = 4\hat{X}_1^3 - 2\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_4 \hat{X}_1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha c_4 \right) \hat{X}_1 \quad (32)$$

Moreover, they obey the operator identity

$$-\frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{X}_2, \hat{F} \} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_4^2 + \beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_4 \hat{X}_1^2 + \hat{X}_1^4 + \beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_4 + (5 + 4c_4) \hat{X}_1^2 + 4c_4 = 0.$$

The Casimir operator of the algebra is

$$K_4 = -\frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{X}_2, \hat{F} \} + \beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_4 \hat{X}_1^2 + \hat{X}_1^4 + (5 + 4c_4) \hat{X}_1^2.$$

In the separable coordinates (u, v) , the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\sin^2 u}{\beta - 2\alpha \cos u} (\partial_u^2 + \partial_v^2) \Psi + \frac{c_4 \Psi(u, v)}{\beta - 2\alpha \cos u} = E \Psi(u, v) \quad (33)$$

is separable. Writing $\Psi(u, v) = U(u)V(v)$, then we have

$$\sin^2 u U^{(2)} - [(E(\beta - 2\alpha) \cos u - c_4) - s \sin^2 u] U = 0, \quad V^{(2)} - sV = 0.$$

So solutions of (33) involve hypergeometric functions. We consider solutions Ψ_s such that $\hat{X}_1 \Psi_s = \sqrt{s} \Psi_s$. Again we write $k_{n+1, m+1} = \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^m \Psi_s$. Then we have

Proposition 3.7. *The infinite dimensional representations of the cubic algebra (44) are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{X}_2 k_{n+1,m+1} &= k_{n+2,m+1}, \\ \hat{X}_1 k_{n+1,m+1} &= (\sqrt{s} - (n+m)) k_{n+1,m+1}, \\ \hat{F} k_{n+1,m+1} &= k_{n+1,m+2} \\ &+ \left\{ (2E - \frac{1}{2} + 2\alpha c_4) \left(\sqrt{s} - \sum_{j=1}^n (n-j+m) \right) - 4 \sum_{j=1}^n ((n-j+m) - \sqrt{s})^3 \right\} k_{n,m+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the commutation relations (44), by a direct calculation, we have

$$\hat{X}_1 k_{n+1,m+1} = - \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{X}_2^{j-1} [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_1] \hat{X}_2^{n-j} \hat{F}^m \Psi_s + \sum_{k=1}^m \hat{X}_2^n \hat{F}^{k-1} [\hat{F}, \hat{X}_1] \hat{F}^{m-k} \Psi + \sqrt{s} k_{n+1,m+1}, \quad (34)$$

$$\hat{F} k_{n+1,m+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{X}_2^{j-1} \left(\left(-2\beta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_4 + \frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha c_4 \right) \hat{X}_1 + 4\hat{X}_1^3 \right) \hat{X}_2^{n-j} \hat{F}^m \Psi_s. \quad (35)$$

Notice that (35) can be evaluated using (34). We then obtain the result, as required. \square

4 A quintic algebra and representations

In this section, we consider the superintegrable system in [20, Section 5] with the Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 = \frac{c_0^2 (c_2 + c_1 x)^2}{c_1^2} (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2).$$

This system has the following linear and cubic integrals of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}_1 &= \partial_y, \\ \hat{Y}_2 &= \frac{c_0}{2} \{ \hat{Y}_1, y \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \} + \frac{c_1}{2} \{ L_4, \hat{Y}_1^2 \} + c_2 L_1 \hat{Y}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \{ b(x) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5, L_1 \}, \\ \hat{K} &= \frac{c_0}{4} \{ \hat{Y}_1, y \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \} + \frac{c_1}{4} \{ L_6, \hat{Y}_1^2 \} + \frac{c_2}{2} \{ L_3, \hat{Y}_1^2 \} + \frac{1}{2} \{ b(x) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5, L_3 \} + b_1(x) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}b(x) &= \frac{c_0}{c_1} (c_2 + c_1 x), \quad b_1(x) = \frac{c_0 x^2}{2}, \\ L_1 &= \partial_x, \quad L_3 = y \partial_x - x \partial_y, \quad L_4 = x \partial_x + y \partial_y, \quad L_6 = 2xy \partial_x + (y^2 - x^2) \partial_y,\end{aligned}$$

These integrals form the quintic algebra with the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{Y}_1, \hat{Y}_2] &= \hat{K}, \\ [\hat{Y}_1, \hat{K}] &= c_1 \hat{Y}_1^3 + c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1, \\ [\hat{Y}_2, \hat{K}] &= -d_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1^5 - c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_2 - \frac{3}{2} c_1 \{ \hat{Y}_1^2, \hat{Y}_2 \} - d_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1 + \frac{3}{2} c_0 c_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1 + \frac{7}{2} c_1^2 \hat{Y}_1^3.\end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

The Casimir operator of the algebra is

$$\begin{aligned}C_{(5)} &= \hat{K}^2 - c_1 \{ \hat{Y}_1^3, \hat{Y}_2 \} - c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \{ \hat{Y}_1, \hat{Y}_2 \} + c_2^2 \hat{Y}_1^6 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{7}{2} c_1^2 - d_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 + 3c_1 c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \right) \hat{Y}_1^4 + \left(3c_0 c_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 - d_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5^2 \right) \hat{Y}_1^2.\end{aligned}$$

In the coordinates (x, y) , the Schrödinger equation

$$\left(\frac{c_2}{c_0} \right)^2 (c_2 + c_1 x)^2 (\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2) \Psi = E \Psi$$

is separable with solutions of the form

$$\Psi = \left(b_1 W_{0, \frac{\sqrt{4+c_0^2}}{2c_0}}(z(x)) + b_2 W_{0, -\frac{\sqrt{4+c_0^2}}{2c_0}}(z(x)) \right) \left(b_3 \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} y) + b_4 \exp(-\sqrt{\lambda} y) \right), \quad (37)$$

where λ is the separation constant and $z(x) = \frac{4a_2 E \sqrt{\lambda}}{c_1} + 2x\sqrt{\lambda}$. In particular, we set

$$\Psi_\lambda = \left(b_1 W_{0, \frac{\sqrt{4+c_0^2}}{2c_0}}(z(x)) + b_2 W_{0, -\frac{\sqrt{4+c_0^2}}{2c_0}}(z(x)) \right) b_3 \exp(\sqrt{\lambda} y)$$

such that $\hat{Y}_1 \Psi_\lambda = \sqrt{\lambda} \Psi_\lambda$.

Let $\psi_{m+1} = \hat{K}^m \Psi_\lambda \in W$. Recall that W is a cyclic space defined in Proposition 3.1 We then have

Proposition 4.1. *The actions of \hat{K} and \hat{Y}_1 on ψ_{m+1} are given by*

$$\hat{K} \psi_{m+1} = \psi_{m+2}, \quad \hat{Y}_1 \psi_{m+1} = \sqrt{\lambda} \psi_{m+1} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \Upsilon_\ell \psi_{\ell+1}$$

and the action of \hat{Y}_2 on ψ_{m+1} satisfies the following recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (\Upsilon_{\ell-1} - \Upsilon_\ell) \hat{Y}_2 \psi_{\ell+1} &= -\psi_{m+3} + c_0 E \psi_{m+2} + E \psi_{m+1} \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=0}^m c_\ell (\alpha_\ell^{(1)}, \alpha_\ell^{(2)}, \alpha_\ell^{(4)}, \alpha_\ell^{(6)}, c_0, c_1, c_2, E) \psi_{\ell+1} - \frac{\Upsilon_0}{\rho_2} (\psi_3 - \rho_1 \psi_2 - \rho_3 \psi_1), \end{aligned}$$

where c_ℓ are real coefficients depending on constants $\alpha_\ell^{(1)}, \alpha_\ell^{(2)}, \alpha_\ell^{(4)}, \alpha_\ell^{(6)}$ as well as c_0, c_1, c_2, E and

$$\Upsilon_\ell = (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{j} \binom{j}{\ell} \left((c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5)^{m-\ell} \sqrt{\lambda} + (c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5)^{m-\ell-1} c_1 \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots + c_1^{m-\ell} \lambda^{\frac{2(m-\ell)+1}{2}} \right), \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= c_0 E + 3c_1 \lambda, & \rho_2 &= 2c_0 E \sqrt{\lambda}, \\ \rho_3 &= E - c_2^2 \lambda^3 + \left(\frac{1}{2} d_2 E - 4c_1^2 + 3c_1^2 \right) \lambda^2 + \left(d_1 E^2 + 3c_0 c_1 E - 3c_0 c_1 \right) \lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Proof. The functional dependent relation for the constants \hat{Y}_1, \hat{Y}_2 and \hat{K} is [20, (21)],

$$\hat{K}^2 - c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \{ \hat{Y}_1, \hat{Y}_2 \} - c_1 \{ \hat{Y}_1^3, \hat{Y}_2 \} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 - c_2^2 \hat{Y}_1^6 + d_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5^2 \hat{Y}_1^2 + \frac{d_2}{2} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1^4 - 3c_0 c_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1^2 - 4c_1^2 \hat{Y}_1^4. \quad (40)$$

Then acting (40) to the solution Ψ_λ in (37), we have

$$\hat{K}^2 \Psi_\lambda - \rho_1 \hat{K} \Psi_\lambda - \rho_2 \hat{Y}_2 \Psi_\lambda = \rho_3 \Psi_\lambda,$$

where the coefficients ρ_j are in (39). Furthermore, from the commutation relations (36), we find that

$$[\hat{Y}_1^n, \hat{K}] \Psi_\lambda = n \left(c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1^n + c_1 \hat{Y}_1^{n+2} \right) \Psi_\lambda = n \left(c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \lambda^n + c_1 \lambda^{n+2} \right), \quad (41)$$

Using (41), for some $p \in \mathbb{N}^+$, it follows from induction that

$$\underbrace{[\hat{K}, \dots, [\hat{K}, \hat{Y}_1]]}_{p \text{ terms of } \hat{K}} = (-1)^p \left((c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5)^p \hat{Y}_1 + (c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5)^{p-1} c_1 \hat{Y}_1^3 + \dots + c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 c_1^{p-1} \hat{Y}_1^{2p-1} + c_1^p \hat{Y}_1^{2p+1} \right). \quad (42)$$

Substituting the value of (42) into Lemma 2.1, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{K}^m, \hat{Y}_1]\Psi_\lambda &= (-1)^m \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-\ell} \binom{m}{j} \binom{j}{\ell} \left((c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5)^{m-\ell} \sqrt{\lambda} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5)^{m-\ell-1} c_1 \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} + \dots + c_1^{m-\ell} \lambda^{\frac{2(m-\ell)+1}{2}} \right) \hat{K}^\ell \Psi_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \Upsilon_\ell \hat{K}^\ell \Psi_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where Υ_ℓ is given by (38). Thus

$$\hat{Y}_1 \psi_{m+1} = \sqrt{\lambda} \psi_{m+1} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \Upsilon_\ell \psi_{\ell+1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \alpha_\ell^{(1)} \psi_{\ell+1},$$

where $\alpha_\ell^{(1)}$ are real coefficients. In particular, we can write

$$\hat{Y}_1^n \psi_{m+1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \alpha_\ell^{(n)} \psi_{\ell+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 4, 6. \quad (43)$$

From the functional relation (40), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}^{m+2} - c_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{K}^{m+1} - \hat{Y}_2 [\hat{Y}_1, \hat{K}] \hat{K}^m - c_1 (3Y_1 [\hat{Y}_1, \hat{K}] + 3\hat{K} \hat{Y}_1) \hat{K}^m \\ = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{K}^m - c_2^2 \hat{Y}_1^6 \hat{K}^m + d_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5^2 \hat{Y}_1^2 \hat{K}^m + \frac{d_2}{2} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1^4 \hat{K}^m - 3c_0 c_1 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_5 \hat{Y}_1^2 \hat{K}^m - 4c_1^2 \hat{Y}_1^4 \hat{K}^m. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Using (43) and substituting it into (44), the recurrence relation of $\hat{Y}_2 \psi_{\ell+1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (\Upsilon_{\ell-1} - \Upsilon_\ell) \hat{Y}_2 \psi_{\ell+1} &= -c_2^2 \sum_{\ell=0}^m \alpha_\ell^{(6)} \psi_{\ell+1} + \left(\frac{d_2}{2} E - 4c_1^2 + 3c_1^2 \right) \sum_{\ell=0}^m \alpha_\ell^{(4)} \psi_{\ell+1} + d_1 E^2 \sum_{\ell=0}^m \alpha_\ell^{(2)} \psi_{\ell+1} \\ &\quad + 3c_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^m \alpha_\ell^{(1)} \psi_{\ell+2} - \psi_{m+3} + c_0 E \psi_{m+2} + E \psi_{m+1} - \frac{\Upsilon_{0,j}}{\rho_2} (\psi_3 - \rho_1 \psi_2 - \rho_3 \psi_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^m (\Upsilon_{\ell-1} - \Upsilon_\ell) \hat{Y}_2 \psi_{\ell+1} &= -\psi_{m+3} + c_0 E \psi_{m+2} + E \psi_{m+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^m c_\ell (\alpha_\ell^{(1)}, \alpha_\ell^{(2)}, \alpha_\ell^{(4)}, \alpha_\ell^{(6)}, c_0, c_1, c_2, E) \psi_{\ell+1} - \frac{\Upsilon_{0,j}}{\rho_2} (\psi_3 - \rho_1 \psi_2 - \rho_3 \psi_1), \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

A direct consequence of the Proposition 4.1 is

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{Q}(5)$ be the quintic algebra (36). Let $V_{m,n}$ be the $\mathfrak{Q}(5)$ -submodule defined in (4), and let $W = \{1, \hat{K}\Psi, \hat{K}^2\Psi, \dots, \hat{K}^j\Psi, \dots\}$ be the space cyclically generated by \hat{K} . Then $V_{m,n} \cong W$.*

5 Conclusion

We have addressed the problem of constructing certain infinite-dimensional representations of polynomial symmetry algebras. The approach we have used is similar to the induced module construction in the context of Lie algebras, especially non-semisimple ones such as Schrodinger and conformal algebras with central extensions. Such kind of induced representations have not been obtained previously for polynomial algebraic structures. The procedure allows us to generate wider ranges of states for super-integrable systems which are not necessarily separable but nevertheless have interesting applications.

We have focused on the representations of the symmetry algebras generated by linear and quadratic or linear and cubic integrals of the superintegrable systems in the 2D Darboux spaces. We have obtained non-separable states that are not directly obtainable via solving the wave equation by means of separation of variables. These states have complicated expressions in terms of special functions such as Airy, Bessel and Whittaker functions.

6 Acknowledgement

IM was supported by the Australian Research Council Future Fellowship FT180100099. YZZ was supported by the Australian Research Council Discovery Project DP190101529.

References

- [1] C. Daskaloyannis and Y. Tanoudis. Quantum superintegrable systems with quadratic integrals on a two dimensional manifold. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(7):072108, 22, 2007.
- [2] M. A. Escobar-Ruiz, E. G. Kalnins, and W. Miller Jr. Separation equations for 2D superintegrable systems on constant curvature spaces. *J. Phys. A*, 50(38):385202, 25, 2017.
- [3] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ, A. S. Zhedanov, and I. M. Lutzenko. Quadratic algebra as a “hidden” symmetry of the Hartmann potential. *J. Phys. A*, 24(16):3887–3894, 1991.
- [4] S. Gravel and P. Winternitz. Superintegrability with third-order integrals in quantum and classical mechanics. *J. Math. Phys.*, 43(12):5902–5912, 2002.
- [5] P. L etourneau and L. Vinet. Superintegrable systems: polynomial algebras and quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians. *Ann. Physics*, 243(1):144–168, 1995.
- [6] W. Miller Jr, S. Post, and P. Winternitz. Classical and quantum superintegrability with applications. *J. Phys. A*, 46(42):423001, 97, 2013.
- [7] S. Post. Models of quadratic algebras generated by superintegrable systems in 2D. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 7:Paper 036, 20, 2011.
- [8] Y. Tanoudis and C. Daskaloyannis. Algebraic calculation of the energy eigenvalues for the non-degenerate three-dimensional Kepler-Coulomb potential. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 7:Paper 054, 11, 2011.
- [9] M. F. Hoque, I. Marquette, and Y.-Z. Zhang. Quadratic algebra for superintegrable monopole system in a Taub-NUT space. *J. Math. Phys.*, 57(9):092104, 10, 2016.
- [10] C. Daskaloyannis. Quadratic Poisson algebras of two-dimensional classical superintegrable systems and quadratic associative algebras of quantum superintegrable systems. *J. Math. Phys.*, 42(3):1100–1119, 2001.
- [11] I. Marquette and P. Winternitz. Polynomial Poisson algebras for classical superintegrable systems with a third order integral of motion. *J. Math. Phys.*, 49(1):019901, 1, 2008.
- [12] I. Marquette. Superintegrability with third order integrals of motion, cubic algebras, and supersymmetric quantum mechanics. I. Rational function potentials. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50(1):012101, 23, 2009.
- [13] C. Quesne. Generalized deformed parafermions, nonlinear deformations of $so(3)$ and exactly solvable potentials. *Phys. Lett. A*, 193(3):245–250, 1994.
- [14] D. Bonatsos, C. Daskaloyannis, and K. Kokkotas. Deformed oscillator algebras for two-dimensional quantum superintegrable systems. *Phys. Rev. A (3)*, 50(5):3700–3709, 1994.

- [15] C. Daskaloyannis and K. Ypsilantis. Unified treatment and classification of superintegrable systems with integrals quadratic in momenta on a two-dimensional manifold. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47(4):042904, 38, 2006.
- [16] I. Marquette, L. Yates, and P. Jarvis. Generalized quadratic commutator algebras of pbw-type. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.05801*, 2022.
- [17] Ian Marquette, Junze Zhang, and Yao-Zhong Zhang. Algebraic approach and exact solutions of superintegrable systems in 2d darboux spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.03810*, 2023.
- [18] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller Jr. A recurrence relation approach to higher order quantum superintegrability. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 7:Paper 031, 24, 2011.
- [19] V. K. Dobrev, H.-D. Doebner, and Ch. Mrugalla. Lowest weight representations of the Schrödinger algebra and generalized heat Schrödinger equations. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 39(2):201–218, 1997.
- [20] A. P. Fordy. First integrals from conformal symmetries: Darboux-Koenigs metrics and beyond. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 145:103475, 13, 2019.
- [21] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, W. Miller Jr, and P. Winternitz. Superintegrable systems in Darboux spaces. *J. Math. Phys.*, 44(12):5811–5848, 2003.
- [22] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and P. Winternitz. Superintegrability in a two-dimensional space of nonconstant curvature. *J. Math. Phys.*, 43(2):970–983, 2002.
- [23] W. Miller Jr. *Symmetry and separation of variables*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 4. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1977. With a foreword by Richard Askey.
- [24] P. S. Isaac and I. Marquette. On realizations of polynomial algebras with three generators via deformed oscillator algebras. *J. Phys. A*, 47(20):205203, 26, 2014.
- [25] Eugeny G. Abramochkin and Evgeniya V. Razueva. Higher derivatives of Airy functions and of their products. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 14:Paper No. 042, 26, 2018.