

PULLBACK AND DIRECT IMAGE OF PARABOLIC CONNECTIONS AND PARABOLIC HIGGS BUNDLES

DAVID ALFAYA AND INDRANIL BISWAS

ABSTRACT. We provide an explicit algebraic construction for the pullback and direct image of parabolic bundles, parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections through maps between Riemann surfaces. We show that these constructions preserve semistability and polystability, and we prove that they are compatible with the nonabelian Hodge correspondence.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections	4
2.1. Parabolic Higgs bundles	4
2.2. Parabolic connections	6
3. Pullback of parabolic bundles	7
4. Direct image of parabolic bundles	8
5. Pullback of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections	11
5.1. Pullback of parabolic Higgs bundles	11
5.2. Pullback of parabolic connections	14
6. Direct image of parabolic Higgs bundles and connections	17
6.1. Direct image of parabolic Higgs bundles	17
6.2. Direct image of parabolic connections	19
7. Compatibility with nonabelian Hodge theory	19
Acknowledgements	27
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface, and let $D = \{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ be a finite subset of X . A parabolic bundle on (X, D) is a vector bundle E on X together with

a weighted flag at the fiber E_{x_i} for each $i = 1, \dots, l$, i.e., a decreasing filtration by subspaces of E_{x_i}

$$E_{x_i} = E_i^1 \supseteq E_i^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_i^{n_i} \supseteq 0$$

together with an associated set of parabolic weights

$$0 \leq \alpha_i^1 < \alpha_i^2 < \dots < \alpha_i^{n_i}.$$

Parabolic bundles were introduced by Mehta and Seshadri [MS] for curves and a generalization for higher dimensional varieties was later provided by Maruyama and Yokogawa [MY].

Let K_X denote the canonical bundle of X . Given a parabolic vector bundle E_* on (X, D) , a parabolic Higgs field on E_* is an \mathcal{O}_X -linear map

$$\theta : E \longrightarrow E \otimes K_X(D)$$

that preserves the parabolic filtration at the parabolic points. Such a pair (E_*, θ) is called a parabolic Higgs bundle on (X, D) . Analogously, a parabolic connection on E_* is a logarithmic connection on E with poles over D which preserves the filtration, i.e., it is a \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\nabla : E \longrightarrow E \otimes K_X(D)$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule, which says that

$$\nabla(fs) = f\nabla(s) + s \otimes \partial f$$

for all local holomorphic functions f and all local holomorphic sections s of E , such that the residue at each parabolic point

$$\text{Res}(\nabla, x_i) : E_{x_i} \longrightarrow E_{x_i}$$

preserves the parabolic filtration (more details are in Section 2). These filtered logarithmic analogues of Higgs bundles and connections were introduced by Simpson [Si] as the natural objects which arise in the process of extending the classical nonabelian Hodge correspondence between Higgs bundles, connections and $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ of a Riemann surface X to the noncompact case. In particular, strongly parabolic Higgs bundles, which are parabolic bundles with nilpotent residue at the parabolic points, are the natural objects which correspond to representations of $\pi_1(X \setminus D)$ in $\text{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$.

Let us suppose that we have a marked smooth projective curve (X, D) as before, together with either a parabolic bundle E_* , or a parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) or a parabolic connection (E_*, ∇) on (X, D) . Let $f : Y \longrightarrow X$ and $\phi : X \longrightarrow Z$ be nonconstant holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces. In this work we study the pullbacks to Y and direct images to Z of these types of parabolic objects on (X, D) through these maps.

Pullbacks and direct images of parabolic objects have been explored in the literature before in different contexts. Using the equivalence between parabolic bundles on a curve and orbifold bundles on an associated root stack [Bi2], Dhillon and Joyner [DJ] have explored pullbacks of parabolic bundles between curves and studied in depth the pullbacks to covers of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Kumar and Majumder [KM] defined pullbacks and direct images

in positive characteristic based on the same correspondence. In [BM], explicit descriptions of the direct images of a parabolic bundle or a parabolic connection for a map between curves were provided and in [FL] the pullback of parabolic Higgs bundles through degree two maps between curves was studied.

In this paper we provide explicit algebraic descriptions for the pullbacks and direct images of parabolic vector bundles, parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections through any nonconstant (possibly ramified) map of smooth projective curves and we study their stability. The main results of this work can then be summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (Lemma 3.1, Proposition 4.3, Lemma 5.4, Theorem 5.6, Proposition 5.7, Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.4). *Let E_* be a parabolic bundle on (X, D) , and let (E_*, θ) be a parabolic Higgs bundle. Let (E_*, ∇) be a parabolic connection on (X, D) . Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ be two nonconstant maps between connected smooth complex projective curves. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) f^*E_* , $f^*(E_*, \theta)$ and $f^*(E_*, \nabla)$ are semistable if and only if E_* , (E_*, θ) and (E_*, ∇) are semistable respectively.
- (2) ϕ_*E_* , $\phi_*(E_*, \theta)$ and $\phi_*(E_*, \nabla)$ are semistable if and only if E_* , (E_*, θ) and (E_*, ∇) are semistable respectively.
- (3) f^*E_* is polystable if and only if E_* is polystable.
- (4) If E_* is polystable then ϕ_*E_* is polystable.

Regarding the compatibility between the pullback and direct image and the nonabelian Hodge correspondence, Donagi, Pantev and Simpson [DPS] have provided descriptions of higher direct images and proven their compatibility with nonabelian Hodge correspondence when a map from a surface to a curve is considered. The techniques in [DPS] can also be extended to a broader framework, and it is also mentioned in the paper that, in general, the pullback and pushforward operations are well-defined for harmonic bundles and local systems and that they commute with nonabelian Hodge correspondence. Nevertheless, the case of a ramified map between algebraic curves has not been treated explicitly in the literature. We show explicitly that the algebraic constructions for the pullback and direct image provided in this work agree with the ones arising metrically from the acceptable metrics which are part of the tame harmonic bundles involved in the nonabelian Hodge theory for noncompact curves [Si]. We prove the following result showing that taking the pullback or direct image of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections commute with the nonabelian Hodge correspondence.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.3). *Let (E_*, θ) be a parabolic Higgs bundle, and let (E_*, ∇) be a parabolic connection on (X, D) which are related by the nonabelian Hodge correspondence. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ be two nonconstant map between connected smooth complex projective curves. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $f^*(E_*, \theta)$ is a parabolic Higgs bundle, and $f^*(E_*, \nabla)$ is a parabolic connection, on $(Y, f^{-1}(D)_{red})$ which are related through nonabelian Hodge correspondence.

- (2) $\phi_*(E_*, \theta)$ is a parabolic Higgs bundle, and $\phi_*(E_*, \nabla)$ is a parabolic connection on (Z, Δ) , which are related through nonabelian Hodge correspondence, where Δ is the image of the union of D and the ramification locus of ϕ .

Tables of the expected parabolic weights and eigenvalues of the resulting parabolic objects have also been computed (see Table 1 and Table 2).

The paper is organized as follows. The main definitions and notations about parabolic bundles, parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections are introduced in Section 2. The pullback and direct image of parabolic bundles and some of their main properties are described through Sections 3 and 4. Section 5 is devoted to the construction of the pullback of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections and the study of its main properties, including the main polystability preservation results of this work (Theorem 5.6 and Proposition 5.7). Analogously, Section 6 is devoted to the direct image of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic connections. Finally, the compatibility between the previous constructions and nonabelian Hodge Theory is addressed in Section 7.

2. PARABOLIC HIGGS BUNDLES AND PARABOLIC CONNECTIONS

2.1. Parabolic Higgs bundles. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface. The holomorphic cotangent bundle of X will be denoted by K_X . Let

$$D := \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\} \subset X$$

be a finite subset. The divisor $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i$ will also be denoted by D . For a holomorphic vector bundle V on X , the vector bundle $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ will be denoted by $V(D)$.

Take a holomorphic vector bundle E on X . A quasiparabolic structure on E is a strictly decreasing filtration of subspaces

$$E_{x_i} = E_i^1 \supsetneq E_i^2 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq E_i^{n_i} \supsetneq E_i^{n_i+1} = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq \ell$; here E_{x_i} denotes the fiber of E over the point $x_i \in D$. A *parabolic structure* on E is a quasiparabolic structure as above together with ℓ increasing sequences of real numbers

$$0 \leq \alpha_i^1 < \alpha_i^2 < \dots < \alpha_i^{n_i} < 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell; \quad (2.2)$$

the real number α_i^j is called the parabolic weight of the subspace E_i^j in the quasiparabolic filtration in (2.1). To clarify, j in α_i^j is an index and not an exponent. The multiplicity of a parabolic weight α_i^j at x_i is defined to be the dimension of the complex vector space E_i^j/E_i^{j+1} . A parabolic vector bundle is a holomorphic vector bundle with a parabolic structure.

The *parabolic degree* of a parabolic vector bundle $E_* := (E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$ is defined to be

$$\text{par-deg}(E_*) = \text{degree}(E) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \alpha_i^j \cdot \dim(E_i^j/E_i^{j+1})$$

[MS, p. 214, Definition 1.11], [MY, p. 78]. The real number

$$\mu(E_*) := \frac{\text{par-deg}(E_*)}{\text{rank}(E_*)}$$

is called the slope of E_* .

Take any holomorphic subbundle $F \subset E$. For each $x_i \in D$, the fiber F_{x_i} has a filtration of subspaces obtained by intersecting the quasiparabolic filtration of E_{x_i} with the subspace $F_{x_i} \subset E_{x_i}$. The parabolic weight of a subspace $B \subset F_{x_i}$ occurring in this filtration of subspaces of E_{x_i} is the maximum of the numbers

$$\{\alpha_i^j \mid B \subset E_i^j \cap F_{x_i}\}.$$

This way, the parabolic structure on E produces a parabolic structure on the subbundle F . The resulting parabolic bundle will be denoted by F_* .

A parabolic vector bundle

$$E_* = (E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$$

is called *stable* (respectively, *semistable*) if for all holomorphic subbundles $F \subsetneq E$ of positive rank the following inequality holds:

$$\mu(F_*) < \mu(E_*) \quad (\text{respectively, } \mu(F_*) \leq \mu(E_*)).$$

A parabolic vector bundle is called *polystable* if it is a direct sum of stable parabolic vector bundles of same parabolic slope.

Take a parabolic bundle $E_* := (E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$. Let

$$\text{End}_P(E_*) \subset \text{End}(E) = E \otimes E^* \tag{2.3}$$

be the coherent analytic subsheaf defined by the following condition: A holomorphic section $s \in H^0(U, \text{End}(E)|_U)$, where $U \subset X$ is any open subset, is a section of $\text{End}_P(E_*)|_U$ if $s(E_i^j) \subset E_i^j$ for all $x_i \in U$ and all $1 \leq j \leq n_i$. Let

$$\text{End}_n(E_*) \subset \text{End}_P(E_*) \tag{2.4}$$

be the coherent analytic subsheaf defined by the following condition: A holomorphic section $s \in H^0(U, \text{End}_P(E_*)|_U)$, where $U \subset X$ is any open subset, is a section of $\text{End}_n(E_*)|_U$ if $s(E_i^j) \subset E_i^{j+1}$ for all $x_i \in U$ and all $1 \leq j \leq n_i$.

A Higgs field on E_* is a holomorphic section

$$\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E_*) \otimes K_X(D))$$

(see (2.3)). If a Higgs field θ is section of $\text{End}_n(E_*) \otimes K_X(D)$ (see (2.4)), then it is called a strongly parabolic Higgs field. A *parabolic Higgs bundle* is a parabolic bundle equipped with a Higgs field. A *strongly parabolic Higgs bundle* is a parabolic Higgs bundle such that the Higgs field is strongly parabolic.

A parabolic Higgs bundle $(E_*, \theta) = ((E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\}), \theta)$ is called *stable* (respectively, *semistable*) if for all holomorphic subbundles $F \subsetneq E$ of positive rank satisfying the condition that $\theta(F) \subset F \otimes K_X(D)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\mu(F_*) < \mu(E_*) \quad (\text{respectively, } \mu(F_*) \leq \mu(E_*)).$$

A parabolic Higgs bundle is called *polystable* if it is a direct sum of stable parabolic Higgs bundles of same parabolic slope.

A strongly parabolic Higgs bundle is semistable, stable or polystable if it is semistable, stable or polystable as a parabolic Higgs bundle.

2.2. Parabolic connections. Take X and D as in Section 2.1. Let V be a holomorphic vector bundle on X . A *logarithmic connection* on V singular over D is a holomorphic differential operator

$$\nabla : V \longrightarrow V \otimes K_X(D)$$

satisfying the Leibniz identity which states that

$$\nabla(fs) = f\nabla(s) + s \otimes df \tag{2.5}$$

for any locally defined holomorphic function f on X and any locally defined holomorphic section s of V (see [De], [At]).

The fiber of $K_X(D)$ over any $y \in D$ is identified with \mathbb{C} by the Poincaré adjunction formula [GH, p. 146]. To explain this isomorphism

$$K_X(D)_y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}, \tag{2.6}$$

let z be a holomorphic coordinate function on X defined on an analytic open neighborhood of y such that $z(y) = 0$. Then we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow K_X(D)_y$ that sends any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ to $c \cdot \frac{dz}{z}(y) \in K_X(D)_y$. It is straightforward to check that this map $\mathbb{C} \longrightarrow K_X(D)_y$ is actually independent of the choice of the holomorphic coordinate function z .

Let $\nabla_V : V \longrightarrow V \otimes K_X(D)$ be a logarithmic connection on V . From (2.5) it follows that the composition of homomorphisms

$$V \xrightarrow{\nabla_V} V \otimes K_X(D) \longrightarrow (V \otimes K_X(D))_y \xrightarrow{\sim} V_y$$

is \mathcal{O}_X -linear; the above isomorphism $(V \otimes K_X(D))_y \xrightarrow{\sim} V_y$ is given by the isomorphism in (2.6). Therefore, this composition of homomorphisms produces a \mathbb{C} -linear homomorphism

$$\text{Res}(\nabla_V, y) : V_y \longrightarrow V_y,$$

which is called the *residue* of ∇_V at y ; see [De].

Take a parabolic vector bundle $E_* = (E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$.

Definition 2.1. A *connection* on E_* is a logarithmic connection ∇ on E , singular over D , such that $\text{Res}(D, x_i)(E_i^j) \subset E_i^j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ (see (2.1)).

In the literature, it is sometimes also required that the endomorphism of E_i^j/E_i^{j+1} induced by $\text{Res}(D, x_i)$ coincides with multiplication by the parabolic weight α_i^j for all $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ (see [BL, Section 2.2]). Parabolic connections satisfying this additional restriction correspond through the nonabelian Hodge correspondence to strongly parabolic Higgs bundles. In this work, we will not assume that this condition is satisfied, but we will later prove that pullbacks and direct images of connections satisfying this additional “residual” condition also satisfy a corresponding “residual” condition. The details are in Remark 7.2 and Remark 7.4.

3. PULLBACK OF PARABOLIC BUNDLES

Take (X, D) as before. Let

$$f : Y \longrightarrow X \quad (3.1)$$

be a nonconstant holomorphic map from a compact connected Riemann surface. For each $x_i \in D$, let

$$f^{-1}(x_i)_{\text{red}} = \{y_{i,1}, \dots, y_{i,b_i}\} \subset Y \quad (3.2)$$

be the set-theoretic inverse image. The divisor $\sum_{j=1}^{b_i} y_{i,j}$ on Y will also be denoted by $f^{-1}(x_i)_{\text{red}}$. Define the subset

$$B := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} f^{-1}(x_i)_{\text{red}} = f^{-1}(D)_{\text{red}} \subset Y. \quad (3.3)$$

The divisor $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} f^{-1}(x_i)_{\text{red}}$ will also be denoted by B .

Take a parabolic vector bundle $E_* := (E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$ on X with parabolic structure over D . We will construct a pulled back parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* on Y with parabolic structure over the divisor B in (3.3).

We first consider the special case where $\text{rank}(E) = 1$. So for each $x_i \in D$ the parabolic weight of E_* is $\alpha_{i,1} =: \alpha_i \geq 0$. For any $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, and $1 \leq j \leq b_i$, let $m_{i,j} \geq 1$ be the multiplicity of f at the point $y_{i,j}$ (see (3.2)). For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $[\lambda]$ be the integral part of λ , so we have $0 \leq \lambda - [\lambda] < 1$.

The holomorphic line bundle on Y underlying the parabolic line bundle f^*E_* is

$$F := (f^*E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=1}^{b_i} [m_{i,j}\alpha_i] \cdot y_{i,j} \right), \quad (3.4)$$

and the parabolic weight of $F_{y_{i,j}}$ is $m_{i,j}\alpha_i - [m_{i,j}\alpha_i]$. From this definition it follows immediately that

$$\text{par-deg}(f^*E_*) = \text{degree}(f) \cdot \text{par-deg}(E_*). \quad (3.5)$$

Note that in the above construction of f^*E_* we did not use that X is compact. More precisely, the above construction remains valid if $X = \overline{X} \setminus S$, where \overline{X} is a compact connected Riemann surface and $S \subset \overline{X}$ is a finite subset.

Now let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X of rank $r \geq 2$. Any parabolic vector bundle E_* can locally be expressed as a direct sum of parabolic line bundles. In other words, X can be covered by Zariski open subsets U_1, \dots, U_m such that $E_*|_{U_j}$ is a direct sum of parabolic line bundles on U_j for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Let

$$E_*|_{U_j} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r L(j, k)_* \quad (3.6)$$

be the decomposition of $E_*|_{U_j}$ into a direct sum of parabolic line bundles. Let

$$f_j := f|_{f^{-1}(U_j)} : f^{-1}(U_j) \longrightarrow U_j$$

be the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(U_j)$. Now define

$$f_j^*(E_*|_{U_j}) = f_j^* \left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^r L(j, k)_* \right) := \bigoplus_{k=1}^r f_j^* L(j, k)_* \quad (3.7)$$

to be the direct sum of pull backs of parabolic line bundles (see (3.6)). Consequently, we get a parabolic vector bundle $f_j^*(E_*|_{U_j})$ over $f^{-1}(U_j)$ with parabolic structure over $B \cap f^{-1}(U_j)$ (see (3.3)).

$1 \leq j, j' \leq m$, the two parabolic vector bundles $f_j^*(E_*|_{U_j})$ and $f_{j'}^*(E_*|_{U_{j'}})$ are canonically identified over $f^{-1}(U_j \cap U_{j'})$. This identification is obtained from the identity map of $(f^*E)|_{U_j \cap U_{j'}}$. Therefore, these parabolic vector bundles $f_j^*(E_*|_{U_j})$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, patch together compatibly to define a parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* on Y with parabolic structure over B .

Lemma 3.1. *Let Z be a compact connected Riemann surface and $\psi : Z \rightarrow Y$ a surjective holomorphic map. Then for any parabolic vector bundle E_* on X ,*

$$(f \circ \psi)^* E_* = \psi^*(f^* E_*)_*.$$

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of the construction of the pullback of a parabolic bundle. \square

Lemma 3.2.

- (1) *The equality $\text{par-deg}(f^*E_*) = \text{degree}(f) \cdot \text{par-deg}(E_*)$ holds.*
- (2) *The parabolic bundle E_* is parabolic semistable if and only if f^*E_* is parabolic semistable.*

Proof. Statement (1) follows immediately from the construction of f^*E_* and (3.5).

To prove (2), first note that if E_* is not parabolic semistable, then f^*E_* is not parabolic semistable. Indeed, if $F_* \subset E_*$ contradicts the semistability condition for E_* , then using Statement (1) it follows that f^*F_* contradicts the semistability condition for f^*E_* .

To prove the converse, in view of Lemma 3.1 and Statement (1) it suffices to consider the case where f is a (ramified) Galois covering. In that case, if f^*E_* is not semistable, then the first nonzero term of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of f^*E_* (also called that maximal semistable subbundle of f^*E_*) is preserved under the action, on f^*E_* , of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(f)$ for f , and hence it is of the form f^*F_* , where F_* is a subbundle $F \subset E$ equipped with the parabolic structure induced by E_* . Now from Statement (1) it follows that F_* contradicts the semistability condition for E_* . \square

4. DIRECT IMAGE OF PARABOLIC BUNDLES

Let Z be a compact connected Riemann surface and

$$\phi : X \rightarrow Z \quad (4.1)$$

a nonconstant holomorphic map. Let

$$R \subset X \quad (4.2)$$

be the ramification locus of ϕ . To clarify, we do not assume that R and D are disjoint. For any point $x \in X$, let $m_x \geq 1$ be the multiplicity of ϕ at x , so $m_x \geq 2$ if and only if $x \in R$. Define the finite subset

$$\Delta = \phi(R \cup D) \subset Z. \quad (4.3)$$

Take a parabolic vector bundle $E_* := (E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$ on X with parabolic structure on D . We will construct a parabolic structure on the direct image ϕ_*E whose parabolic divisor is Δ in (4.3).

As in Section 3, we first assume that $\text{rank}(E) = 1$. For each $x_i \in D$ the parabolic weight of E_* is $\alpha_{i,1} =: \alpha_{x_i}$. We will extend the parabolic points of E_* in such that it does not change E_* . For any $x \in R \setminus (R \cap D)$, we equip E_x with the trivial parabolic structure, meaning E_x is given the parabolic weight $\alpha_x = 0$. This does not alter the parabolic structure of E_* , but it helps in describing the parabolic structure of ϕ_*E .

We now recall a general property of a direct image. Take a holomorphic vector bundle F on X , and consider the direct image ϕ_*F . For any point $y \in Z$, the fiber $(\phi_*F)_y$ of ϕ_*F over y has a canonical decomposition

$$(\phi_*F)_y = \bigoplus_{x \in \phi^{-1}(y)} V_x. \quad (4.4)$$

We will describe the subspaces V_x of $(\phi_*F)_y$. Take an analytic simply connected open neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x such that

- $U \cap \phi^{-1}(y) = \{x\}$,
- $U \cap R \subset \{x\}$, and
- $\#\phi^{-1}(y') \cap U = m_x$ (recall that $m_x \geq 1$ is the multiplicity of ϕ at x) for all $y' \in \phi(U) \setminus \{y\}$.

Let

$$\psi := \phi|_U : U \longrightarrow \phi(U) \quad (4.5)$$

be the restriction of ϕ to U . The fiber $(\psi_*(F|_U))_y$ is evidently a subspace of $(\phi_*F)_y$. This subspace will be denoted by V_x . Now we have the decomposition in (4.4).

Consider the decomposition

$$(\phi_*E)_y = \bigoplus_{x \in \phi^{-1}(y)} V_x \quad (4.6)$$

in (4.4) for the vector bundle E . The parabolic structure on $(\phi_*E)_y$ will be described by giving a parabolic structure on each V_x and then taking their direct sum.

To give a parabolic structure on V_x , first note that for any $j \geq 0$, there is a natural injective homomorphism of coherent analytic sheaves

$$\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-jx)) \hookrightarrow \psi_*E,$$

where ψ is the map in (4.5). The image of $(\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-jx)))_y$ in $(\phi_*E)_y$ by this homomorphism will be denoted by $(\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-jx)))'_y$. We have a filtration of subspaces of V_x :

$$V_x := (\psi_*E)_y \supset (\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-x)))'_y \supset (\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-2x)))'_y \quad (4.7)$$

$$\supset \cdots \supset (\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-(m_x - 1)x)))'_y \supset (\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-m_x x)))'_y = 0,$$

where $m_x \geq 1$ is the multiplicity of ϕ at x ; note that $\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-m_x x)) = (\psi_* E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\psi(U)}(-y)$ (projection formula), and hence $(\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-m_x x)))'_y = 0$. The parabolic weight of the above subspace

$$(\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-kx)))'_y \subset V_x$$

in (4.7) is $\frac{k+\alpha_x}{m_x}$.

Therefore, we have a parabolic structure on the vector space V_x . Now taking the direct sum of these parabolic structures we get a parabolic structure on E_y using (4.6). Note that the parabolic weight of $(\psi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(-kx)))'_y$ is nonzero even if $\alpha_x = 0$.

Next let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X of rank $r \geq 2$. As in Section 3 we can reduce this to the case of line bundles by decomposing E_* into a direct sum of parabolic line bundles over suitable open subsets of X .

Choose connected open subsets $\mathbb{U}_j \subset X$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, such that

- (1) $\bigcup_{j=1}^m \mathbb{U}_j = X$,
- (2) $\phi^{-1}(\phi(\mathbb{U}_j)) = \mathbb{U}_j$ for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, and
- (3) we are given a decomposition of $E_*|_{\mathbb{U}_j}$ into a direct sum of parabolic line bundles

$$E_*|_{\mathbb{U}_j} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r L(j, k)_*. \quad (4.8)$$

The holomorphic line bundle on \mathbb{U}_j underlying $L(j, k)_*$ will be denoted $L(j, k)$.

Let

$$\phi^j := \phi|_{\mathbb{U}_j} : \mathbb{U}_j \longrightarrow \phi(\mathbb{U}_j)$$

be the restriction of ϕ to \mathbb{U}_j . Using (4.8) we have

$$(\phi_* E)|_{\phi(\mathbb{U}_j)} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^r \phi_*^j L(j, k) \quad (4.9)$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq m$.

We already constructed a parabolic structure on $\phi_*^j L(j, k)$ using the parabolic structure of $L(j, k)_*$. Therefore, using (4.9) we get a parabolic structure on $(\phi_* E)|_{\phi(\mathbb{U}_j)}$. For every $1 \leq j, j' \leq m$, the parabolic structures on $(\phi_* E)|_{\phi(\mathbb{U}_j)}$ and $(\phi_* E)|_{\phi(\mathbb{U}_{j'})}$ coincide over $\phi(\mathbb{U}_j \cap \mathbb{U}_{j'})$. Therefore, we obtain a parabolic structure on $\phi_* E$.

Lemma 4.1. *The parabolic degree of $\phi_* E$ equipped with the above parabolic structure coincides with the parabolic degree of E_* .*

Proof. When E_* is of rank one, this follows directly from the construction of the parabolic structure on $\phi_* E$.

The general case of higher ranks will be reduced to the case of rank one. For a parabolic vector bundle V_* of rank r , let $\det V_* = \bigwedge^r V_*$ be the parabolic wedge product; see [Yo], [Bi1] for parabolic tensor product. We have $\text{par-deg}(E_*) = \text{par-deg}(\det E_*)$. So

we have $\text{par-deg}(E_*) = \text{par-deg}(\phi_* \det E_*)$. On the other hand, $\text{par-deg}(\phi_* \det E_*) = \text{par-deg}(\det \phi_* E_*)$. \square

Proposition 4.2.

- (1) For any compact Riemann surface M and any nonconstant holomorphic map $\varphi : Z \rightarrow M$,

$$(\varphi \circ \phi)_* E_* = \varphi_*(\phi_* E_*)_*.$$

- (2) If ϕ is a (ramified) Galois morphism, then the parabolic vector bundle $\phi^*(\phi_* E_*)_*$ is isomorphic to the direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\phi)} \gamma^* E_*$$

of parabolic bundles.

Proof. Both the statements are straightforward to prove. It suffices to prove the second statement only for parabolic line bundles. \square

Proposition 4.3. A parabolic vector bundle E_* on X is semistable if and only if the parabolic vector bundle $\phi_* E_*$ on Z is semistable.

Proof. Take a compact connected Riemann surface M and a nonconstant holomorphic map $\rho : M \rightarrow X$ such that the composition $\phi \circ \rho$ is (ramified) Galois.

First assume that E_* is semistable. So from Lemma 3.2(2) we know that $\rho^* E_*$ is semistable. From Proposition 4.2(2) we have

$$(\phi \circ \rho)^*(\phi \circ \rho)_* \rho^* E_* = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\phi \circ \rho)} \rho^* E_*.$$

Therefore, the semistability of $\rho^* E_*$ implies that $(\phi \circ \rho)^*(\phi \circ \rho)_* \rho^* E_*$ is semistable.

Note that $(\phi \circ \rho)^* \phi_* E_*$ is a parabolic subbundle of $(\phi \circ \rho)^*(\phi \circ \rho)_* \rho^* E_*$. Also, they have the same parabolic slope; this follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.2(1). Since $(\phi \circ \rho)^*(\phi \circ \rho)_* \rho^* E_*$ is semistable, this implies that $(\phi \circ \rho)^* \phi_* E_*$ is semistable. Now from Lemma 3.2(2) it follows that $\phi_* E_*$ is semistable.

To prove the converse, assume that the parabolic bundle $\phi_* E_*$ is semistable. If E_* is not semistable, let $F_* \subset E_*$ be the maximal semistable subbundle of E_* (the first nonzero term of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of E_*). Since $\mu(F_*) > \mu(E_*)$, it follows using Lemma 4.1 that $\mu(\phi_* F_*) > \mu(\phi_* E_*)$, and hence $\phi_* E_*$ is not semistable. In view of this contradiction we conclude that E_* is semistable. \square

5. PULLBACK OF PARABOLIC HIGGS BUNDLES AND PARABOLIC CONNECTIONS

5.1. Pullback of parabolic Higgs bundles. As in (3.1), let Y be a compact connected Riemann surface and

$$f : Y \rightarrow X$$

a nonconstant holomorphic map. As in (3.3), set $B = f^{-1}(D)_{\text{red}}$. Take a parabolic vector bundle $E_* := (E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$ on (X, D) . Choose a Higgs field

$$\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E_*) \otimes K_X(D))$$

on it. So $(f^*\theta)|_{Y \setminus B}$ is a Higgs field on the holomorphic vector bundle $(f^*E)|_{Y \setminus B}$.

Proposition 5.1. *The Higgs field $(f^*\theta)|_{Y \setminus B}$ on $(f^*E)|_{Y \setminus B}$ extends to a Higgs field on the parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* constructed in Section 3.*

Proof. First consider the special case where $\text{rank}(E) = 1$. In this case $\text{End}_P(E_*) = \mathcal{O}_X$, and hence

$$\theta \in H^0(X, K_X(D)).$$

So

$$f^*\theta \in H^0(Y, K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(B)),$$

because $f^*K_X(D) = K_Y(B)$. Therefore, $f^*\theta$ is a Higgs field on the parabolic line bundle f^*E_* .

Next consider the case where E_* is a direct sum of two parabolic line bundles. In other words,

$$E_* = L_* \oplus M_*,$$

where L_* and M_* are parabolic line bundles with parabolic structure over D . The holomorphic line bundle underlying L_* (respectively, M_*) will be denoted by L (respectively, M). Take a parabolic point $x \in D$. Let α (respectively, β) be the parabolic weight of L_* (respectively, M_*) at x . Take a point $y \in f^{-1}(x)$, and let $m \geq 1$ be the multiplicity of f at y .

If $\beta = \alpha$, then it is easy to see that $f^*\theta$ extends, across the point y , to a Higgs field on the parabolic bundle f^*E_* around y .

Assume that

$$\beta > \alpha. \tag{5.1}$$

First consider the case where $[m\alpha] = [m\beta]$. Then from (5.1) we have

$$m\beta - [m\beta] > m\alpha - [m\alpha]. \tag{5.2}$$

Take a Higgs field

$$\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E_*) \otimes K_X(D))$$

on $E_* = L_* \oplus M_*$. Consider the homomorphism

$$\theta(x) : L_x \oplus M_x \longrightarrow (L_x \oplus M_x) \otimes K_X(D)_x = L_x \oplus M_x;$$

recall from (2.6) that $K_X(D)_x = \mathbb{C}$. From (5.1) it follows that the composition of homomorphisms

$$M_x \hookrightarrow L_x \oplus M_x \xrightarrow{\theta(x)} L_x \oplus M_x \longrightarrow L_x \tag{5.3}$$

is the zero homomorphism (see (2.3)).

Let \tilde{E} denote the holomorphic vector bundle underlying the parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* . From the construction of f^*E_* we have

$$\tilde{E}_y = (L_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y([m\alpha]y)_y) \oplus (M_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y([m\beta]y)_y) = (L_x \oplus M_x) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y([m\alpha]y)_y$$

(recall that $\lfloor m\alpha \rfloor = \lfloor m\beta \rfloor$). Since the composition of homomorphisms in (5.3) vanishes, it now follows that $f^*\theta$ extends, across the point y , to a Higgs field on the parabolic bundle f^*E_* around y .

Next consider the case where

$$\lfloor m\alpha \rfloor < \lfloor m\beta \rfloor.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_y &= (L_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m\alpha \rfloor y)_y) \oplus (M_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m\beta \rfloor y)_y) \\ &= (L_x \oplus (M_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y((\lfloor m\beta \rfloor - \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor)y)_y)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\lfloor m\alpha \rfloor y)_y. \end{aligned}$$

If $m\beta - \lfloor m\beta \rfloor > m\alpha - \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor$, then the argument for the previous case works. On the other hand, if

$$m\beta - \lfloor m\beta \rfloor \leq m\alpha - \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor,$$

then note that the natural homomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y((\lfloor m\beta \rfloor - \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor)y)$$

has the property that the homomorphism between the fibers over y

$$\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{O}_Y)_y \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Y((\lfloor m\beta \rfloor - \lfloor m\alpha \rfloor)y))_y$$

vanishes. From this it follows that $f^*\theta$ extends, across the point y , to a Higgs field on the parabolic bundle f^*E_* around y .

Therefore, for any Higgs field $\theta \in H^0(X, \text{End}_P(E_*) \otimes K_X(D))$ on E_* , the pullback $f^*\theta$ produces Higgs field on f^*E_* .

For the case of higher rank parabolic bundles, the above argument generalizes in a straightforward way. \square

Proposition 5.1 has the following straightforward consequence:

Corollary 5.2. *If (E_*, θ) is a strongly parabolic Higgs bundle, then $(f^*E_*, f^*\theta)$ is also a strongly parabolic Higgs bundle.*

Remark 5.3. The extension described in Proposition 5.1 is evidently the unique extension of $f^*\theta$ to a parabolic Higgs bundle on f^*E_* over (Y, B) .

Lemma 5.4. *A parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) on X is semistable if and only if the parabolic Higgs bundle $(f^*E_*, f^*\theta)$ is semistable.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3.2(2) goes through once the obvious modifications to it are made. \square

Take any parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) of rank r on X . The Hitchin map \mathcal{H} sends it to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{trace}(\theta^i) \in H^0(X, K_X(D)^{\otimes i})$ (see [Hi]). We have the natural pullback map

$$f_i^* : H^0(X, K_X(D)^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y, K_Y(B)^{\otimes i}).$$

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 5.5. *For any parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) of rank r on X ,*

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r f_i^* \right) \circ \mathcal{H}(E_*, \theta) = \mathcal{H}(f^* E_*, f^* \theta),$$

where \mathcal{H} denotes the Hitchin map.

5.2. Pullback of parabolic connections. Take a holomorphic vector bundle V on X , and let

$$\nabla : V \longrightarrow V \otimes K_X(D)$$

be a logarithmic connection on V singular over D . Then $f^* \nabla$ is a logarithmic connection $f^* V$ singular over $B = f^{-1}(D)_{\text{red}}$, where f is a holomorphic map as in (3.1). For $x_i \in D$, if

$$\text{Res}(\nabla, x_i) \in \text{End}(V_{x_i})$$

is the residue of ∇ at x_i , then the residue of $f^* \nabla$ at any $y_{i,j} \in f^{-1}(x_i)$ is

$$m_{i,j} \cdot \text{Res}(\nabla, x_i) \in \text{End}((f^* V)_{y_{i,j}}) = \text{End}(V_{x_i}),$$

where $m_{i,j}$, as before, denotes the multiplicity of f at the point $y_{i,j}$.

We will now describe another property of the residue that will be useful here.

Take a connected Riemann surface M together with a point $x \in M$. Let V be a holomorphic vector bundle on M and

$$\nabla : V \longrightarrow V \otimes K_M(x) = V \otimes K_M \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(x)$$

a logarithmic connection on V singular over x . Take a subspace

$$H \subset V_x$$

such that $\text{Res}(\nabla, x)(H) \subset H$. Let W be the holomorphic vector bundle on M defined by the following short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on M :

$$0 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V_x/H \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\delta : W_x \longrightarrow V_x$ be the corresponding homomorphism of fibers over x . The composition of homomorphisms

$$W \longrightarrow W_x \xrightarrow{\delta} V_x$$

will be denoted by $\tilde{\delta}$. We also have the short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on M

$$0 \longrightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-x) \longrightarrow W \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} \tilde{\delta}(W) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\eta : (V \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-x))_x \longrightarrow W_x$ be the corresponding homomorphism of fibers over x . So we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \otimes (\mathcal{O}_M(-x))_x \longrightarrow (V \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-x))_x \xrightarrow{\eta} W_x \xrightarrow{\delta} V_x \longrightarrow V_x/H \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5.4)$$

The logarithmic connection ∇ on V produces a logarithmic connection on $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-x)$; this logarithmic connection on $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-x)$ will be denoted by ∇' . We have

$$\text{Res}(\nabla', x) = \text{Res}(\nabla, x) + \text{Id}_{V_x};$$

note that $\text{End}((V \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-x))_x) = \text{End}(V_x)$. So the subspace

$$H \otimes (\mathcal{O}_M(-x))_x \hookrightarrow (V \otimes \mathcal{O}_M(-x))_x$$

in (5.4) is preserved by $\text{Res}(\nabla', x)$; recall that $\text{Res}(\nabla, x)(H) \subset H$. The logarithmic connection ∇ on V induces a logarithmic connection on W ; this induced logarithmic connection on W will be denoted by ∇^W . The residue $\text{Res}(\nabla^W, x)$ preserves the image of η in (5.4), and the restriction of $\text{Res}(\nabla^W, x)$ to the image of η coincides with the endomorphism induced by $\text{Res}(\nabla', x)$ (as noted above, $H \otimes (\mathcal{O}_M(-x))_x$ is preserved by $\text{Res}(\nabla', x)$), and hence $\text{Res}(\nabla', x)$ produces an endomorphism of the image of η . Also, the action of $\text{Res}(\nabla^W, x)$ on the image of δ in (5.4) coincides with the action of $\text{Res}(\nabla, x)$ on S .

Let ∇ be a parabolic connection on a parabolic vector bundle E_* on X . Consider the holomorphic connection on $(f^*E)|_{Y \setminus B}$ obtained by pulling back the connection ∇ using the map f in (3.1), where B is defined in (3.3). This connection actually extends to a connection on the parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* . Indeed, this is straightforward to check using the construction of the parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* and the above mentioned properties of residue of a logarithmic connection. Moreover, this extension is unique (as in Remark 5.3).

We can now prove the following:

Theorem 5.6. *Take any $f : Y \rightarrow X$ as in (3.1). A parabolic vector bundle E_* on X is polystable if and only if the parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* on Y is polystable.*

Proof. The parabolic endomorphism bundle $\text{End}_P(E_*)$ in (2.3) has a parabolic structure induced by the parabolic structure of E_* . In fact, this parabolic bundle $\text{End}_P(E_*)_*$ is the parabolic tensor product $E_* \otimes E_*^*$, where E_*^* is the parabolic dual of E_* .

It can be shown that the parabolic vector bundle E_* is polystable if and only if $\text{End}_P(E_*)$ is polystable. To prove this first assume that E_* is polystable. Then E_* has a unique unitary projectively flat complex connection [Biq] (see also [MS]). The connection on $E_* \otimes E_*^*$ induced by this connection on E_* is unitary flat. Hence $E_* \otimes E_*^* = \text{End}_P(E_*)_*$ is polystable.

Next assume that $\text{End}_P(E_*)_*$ is polystable. We will first show that E_* is semistable. If E_* is not semistable, let $F_* \subset E_*$ be the first nonzero term of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E_* (so F_* is the maximal semistable subsheaf of E_*). Now the parabolic subbundle

$$\text{Hom}(E_*, F_*)_* = F_* \otimes E_*^* \subset E_* \otimes E_*^* = \text{End}(E_*)_*$$

contradicts the semistability condition for $\text{End}_P(E_*)_*$. Hence E_* is semistable. Let $V_* \subset E_*$ be the unique maximal parabolic polystable subbundle, of same parabolic slope, of the parabolic semistable bundle E_* [HL, p. 24, Lemma 1.5.5], so V_* is the socle of E_* . Assume that $V_* \neq E_*$. Since $\text{End}_P(E_*)_*$ is polystable, its subbundle

$$\text{Hom}(E_*, V_*)_* = V_* \otimes E_*^* \subset E_* \otimes E_*^* = \text{End}(E_*)_*$$

is also polystable. Fix a subbundle $W_* \subset \text{End}(E_*)_*$ such that $\text{End}(E_*)_* = \text{Hom}(E_*, V_*)_* \oplus W_*$. Now $W_*(V_*)$ is a polystable subbundle of E_* and it contradicts the maximality of the socle V_* . Therefore, we conclude that E_* is polystable.

To prove the theorem first assume that E_* is polystable. As shown above, this implies that $\text{End}_P(E_*)_*$ has a unitary flat holomorphic connection. On the other hand, we have

$$f^* \text{End}_P(E_*)_* = \text{End}_P(f^* E_*)_*,$$

because the pullback operation is compatible with tensor product, direct sum and dualization operations on parabolic bundles. So the unitary flat holomorphic connection on $\text{End}_P(E_*)_*$ pulls back to a unitary flat holomorphic connection on $\text{End}_P(f^* E_*)_*$. This implies that $\text{End}_P(f^* E_*)_*$ is polystable. Hence $f^* E_*$ is polystable.

To prove the converse, assume that $f^* E_*$ is polystable. If f is not (ramified) Galois, choose another nonconstant holomorphic surjective map $g : M \rightarrow Y$ such that $f \circ g$ is (ramified) Galois. Since $f^* E_*$ is polystable, we know that $g^* f^* E_* = (f \circ g)^* E_*$ is polystable. Let ∇ denote the unique unitary projectively flat complex connection on $(f \circ g)^* E_*$. We note that ∇ is preserved by the natural action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(f \circ g)$ on $(f \circ g)^* E_*$.

Since $(f \circ g)^* E_*$ is semistable, from Lemma 3.2(2) we know that E_* is semistable. Let

$$F_* \subset E_*$$

be the socle, meaning the unique maximal polystable subbundle of same parabolic slope; see [HL, p. 23, Lemma 1.5.5]. Consider the parabolic subbundle

$$(f \circ g)^* F_* \subset (f \circ g)^* E_*;$$

it is polystable because F_* is so. Let $G_* \subset (f \circ g)^* E_*$ be the orthogonal complement of $(f \circ g)^* F_*$ for the Hermitian structure on $(f \circ g)^* E_*$ (to which the unique unitary projectively flat complex connection is associated).

Since both $(f \circ g)^* F_*$ and ∇ are preserved by the natural action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(f \circ g)$ on $(f \circ g)^* E_*$, we conclude that $G_* \subset (f \circ g)^* E_*$ is also preserved by the natural action of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(f \circ g)$ on $(f \circ g)^* E_*$. Therefore, there is a parabolic subbundle $G'_* \subset E_*$ such that the two parabolic subbundles G_* and $(f \circ g)^* G'_*$ of $(f \circ g)^* E_*$ coincide. We now have

$$E_* = F_* \oplus G'_* \tag{5.5}$$

because $(f \circ g)^* E_* = (f \circ g)^* F_* \oplus G_*$. But (5.5) contradicts the fact that F_* is the unique maximal polystable subbundle of E_* of same parabolic slope. This is because the direct sum of F_* with the socle of G'_* (if G'_* is nonzero) is also polystable. Therefore, we conclude that E_* is polystable. \square

The following proposition is proved using Theorem 5.6.

Proposition 5.7. *Take ϕ as in (4.1). For any polystable parabolic bundle E_* on X the parabolic bundle $\phi_* E_*$ on Z is polystable.*

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof in Proposition 4.3 with semistability replaced by polystability. \square

6. DIRECT IMAGE OF PARABOLIC HIGGS BUNDLES AND CONNECTIONS

6.1. Direct image of parabolic Higgs bundles. Take a nonconstant holomorphic map $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ between compact connected Riemann surfaces (as in (4.1)). As in (4.2), $R \subset X$ is the ramification locus of ϕ . Let

$$\widehat{R} = \phi(R)$$

be its image in Z . Let $\phi^{-1}(\widehat{R})_{\text{red}}$ be the reduced inverse image of R , so we have $R \subset \phi^{-1}(\widehat{R})_{\text{red}}$.

It can be shown that there is a natural injective homomorphism of coherent analytic sheaves

$$\Phi : \phi_* K_X \rightarrow K_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(\widehat{R}) \otimes \phi_* \mathcal{O}_X \quad (6.1)$$

which is an isomorphism over the complement $Z \setminus \widehat{R}$. To see this first note that

$$\phi^*(K_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(\widehat{R})) = K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\phi^{-1}(\widehat{R})_{\text{red}}).$$

Therefore, the projection formula (see [Ha, p. 124, Ex. 5.1(d)]) gives that

$$\phi_*(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\phi^{-1}(\widehat{R})_{\text{red}})) = K_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(\widehat{R}) \otimes \phi_* \mathcal{O}_X. \quad (6.2)$$

But $\phi_* K_X \subset \phi_*(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\phi^{-1}(\widehat{R})_{\text{red}}))$ as $K_X \subset K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\phi^{-1}(\widehat{R})_{\text{red}})$, and hence from (6.2) we get a homomorphism Φ as in (6.1). Since

$$(\phi_* K_X)|_{Z \setminus \widehat{R}} = (\phi_*(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\phi^{-1}(\widehat{R})_{\text{red}})))|_{Z \setminus \widehat{R}},$$

the homomorphism Φ is an isomorphism over $Z \setminus \widehat{R}$.

Let E_* be a parabolic vector bundle on X with parabolic structure over D . Take a parabolic Higgs field

$$\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes K_X(D)$$

on E_* . The direct image of it is a holomorphic homomorphism

$$\phi_* \theta : \phi_* E \rightarrow \phi_*(E \otimes K_X(D)). \quad (6.3)$$

Evidently, we have $D \subset \phi^{-1}(\Delta)_{\text{red}}$, where $\Delta \subset Z$ is the divisor in (4.3). So $K_X(D) \subset K_X(\phi^{-1}(\Delta)_{\text{red}})$, and hence it follows that

$$E \otimes K_X(D) \subset E \otimes K_X(\phi^{-1}(\Delta)_{\text{red}}) = E \otimes \phi^* K_Z(\Delta). \quad (6.4)$$

Consequently, from the projection formula we have

$$\phi_*(E \otimes K_X(D)) \subset (\phi_* E) \otimes K_Z(\Delta). \quad (6.5)$$

Therefore, $\phi_* \theta$ in (6.3) gives a holomorphic homomorphism

$$\phi_* \theta : \phi_* E \rightarrow (\phi_* E) \otimes K_Z(\Delta). \quad (6.6)$$

It is straightforward to check that $\phi_* \theta$ in (6.6) is a parabolic Higgs field on the parabolic vector bundle $\phi_* E_*$.

Lemma 6.1. *If ϕ is a (ramified) Galois morphism, then for any parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) on X , the pulled back strongly parabolic Higgs bundle $(\phi^*(\phi_*E_*)_*, \phi^*\phi_*\theta)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum*

$$\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\phi)} (\gamma^*E_*, \gamma^*\theta)$$

of parabolic Higgs bundles.

Proof. From Proposition 4.2(2) we know that

$$\phi^*(\phi_*E_*)_* = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\phi)} \gamma^*E_*.$$

On $X \setminus \phi^{-1}(\Delta)_{\text{red}}$ (see (4.3)) we have

$$(\phi^*\phi_*\theta)|_{X \setminus \phi^{-1}(\Delta)_{\text{red}}} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\phi)} (\gamma^*\theta)|_{X \setminus \phi^{-1}(\Delta)_{\text{red}}}.$$

Therefore, $(\phi^*(\phi_*E_*)_*, \phi^*\phi_*\theta)$ is isomorphism to $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\phi)} (\gamma^*E_*, \gamma^*\theta)$. \square

Proposition 6.2. *A parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) on X is semistable if and only if $(\phi_*E_*, \phi_*\theta)$ is semistable.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 6.1, the proof of Proposition 4.3 gives a proof after the obvious modifications are made. \square

There is a natural homomorphism

$$P : \phi_*\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$$

that simply sends any holomorphic function β on $\phi^{-1}(U)$, where $U \subset Z$ is an open subset, to the function on U whose value at any $u \in U$ is $\sum_{x \in \phi^{-1}(u)} \beta(x) \in \mathbb{C}$; here $\phi^{-1}(u)$ denotes the inverse image with multiplicities. Therefore, for any holomorphic vector bundle V on Z , we have a natural homomorphism

$$\phi_*\phi^*V = V \otimes \phi_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{P} V \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z = V. \quad (6.7)$$

Consider Δ in (4.3). Recall that

$$K_X(D) \subset \phi^*K_Z(\Delta)$$

(see (6.4)). Hence $K_X(D)^{\otimes i} \subset \phi^*K_Z(\Delta)^{\otimes i}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Therefore, from (6.7) we have a homomorphism

$$\phi_*(K_X(D)^{\otimes i}) \subset \phi_*(\phi^*K_Z(\Delta)^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow K_Z(\Delta)^{\otimes i}.$$

Let

$$\Psi_i : \phi_*(K_X(D)^{\otimes i}) \longrightarrow K_Z(\Delta)^{\otimes i}$$

be this homomorphism.

Lemma 6.3. *For any parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, θ) of rank r on X ,*

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Psi_i \right) \circ \mathcal{H}(E_*, \theta) = \mathcal{H}(f_*E_*, f_*\theta),$$

where \mathcal{H} is the Hitchin map as in Lemma 5.5.

Proof. This follows immediately from the above construction of Ψ_i and the definition of the Hitchin map. \square

6.2. Direct image of parabolic connections. Let

$$\nabla : E \longrightarrow E \otimes K_X(D)$$

be a connection on E_* . The direct image of ∇ for the map ϕ produces a holomorphic differential operator

$$\phi_*\nabla : \phi_*E \longrightarrow \phi_*(E \otimes K_X(D)). \quad (6.8)$$

Now using (6.5), the differential operator in (6.8) gives a holomorphic differential operator

$$\phi_*\nabla : \phi_*E \longrightarrow (\phi_*E) \otimes K_Z(\Delta). \quad (6.9)$$

It is straightforward to check that the differential operator $\phi_*\nabla$ in (6.9) defines a connection on the parabolic vector bundle ϕ_*E constructed in Section 4.

Proposition 6.4. *A parabolic connection (E_*, ∇) on X is semistable if and only if $(\phi_*E_*, \phi_*\nabla)$ is semistable.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 6.2. \square

7. COMPATIBILITY WITH NONABELIAN HODGE THEORY

Let us start by recalling the left-continuous filtration formalism for parabolic bundles used by Simpson for the noncompact nonabelian Hodge correspondence [Si]. Given a parabolic bundle $(E, \{E_i^j\}, \{\alpha_i^j\})$ we can define a collection of vector bundles E_i^α for each i and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

- If $\alpha = \alpha_i^j$, then $E_i^{\alpha_i^j}$ is the subsheaf of E that fits in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_i^{\alpha_i^j} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_x/E_i^j \longrightarrow 0.$$
- If $\alpha_i^j \leq \alpha < \alpha_i^{j+1}$ or $\alpha_i^n \leq \alpha < \alpha_i^1 + 1$. then $E_i^\alpha = E_i^{\alpha_i^j}$.
- $E_i^{\alpha+1} = E_i^\alpha(-x_i)$.

The last equation can be also used to extend the definition of E_i^α for $\alpha < 0$. If

$$j_i : U_i = X \setminus \{x_i\} \hookrightarrow X$$

is the inclusion of complement of the parabolic point in X into X , then clearly the vector bundles E_i^α provide a left continuous decreasing filtration of the quasi-projective sheaf

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} E_i^\alpha = (j_i)_*E|_{U_i} =: E(\infty \cdot x_i).$$

Under this formalism, a parabolic Higgs bundle can be described as an \mathcal{O}_X -linear map

$$\theta : E \longrightarrow E \otimes K_X(D)$$

such that

$$\theta(E_i^\alpha) \subseteq E_i^\alpha \otimes K_X(D) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

and a parabolic connection can be described as a map

$$\nabla : E \longrightarrow E \otimes K_X(D)$$

satisfying the Leibniz rule (2.5) together with the condition

$$\nabla(E_i^\alpha) \subseteq E_i^\alpha \otimes K_X(D) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

On the other hand, given a holomorphic vector bundle E on X and a parabolic point $x_i \in D$, suppose that $E|_{X \setminus D}$ is given an acceptable metric K [Si], in the sense that the curvature R_K of the metric connection of K satisfies the following bound around x_i :

$$|R_K| \leq f + \frac{C}{r^2(\log r)^2},$$

where r is the radial distance function from x_i and f is some L^p function. Then, by [Si, Proposition 3.1], the metric K induces a filtration of subsheaves of $E(\infty \cdot x_i)$ as follows: E_i^α is the subsheaf which coincides with E over $U_i = X \setminus \{x_i\}$, but whose stalk at x_i is formed by sections e of E over a punctured disk around x_i which satisfy the growth condition

$$|e|_K \leq Cr^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

When the metric K is the metric of a tame harmonic bundle, these sheaves E_i^α coincide with the parabolic filtrations of the corresponding parabolic Higgs bundle or parabolic connection. Following [Si], recall that a harmonic bundle is quadruple $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla, K)$ consisting of

- a \mathcal{C}^∞ -vector bundle \mathcal{E} on $U = X \setminus D$,
- differential operators $\mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^{1,1}$, and
- a hermitian metric K on \mathcal{E}

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) \mathcal{D}_θ splits into (0, 1) and (1, 0) parts as $\mathcal{D}_\theta = \bar{\partial}_\theta + \theta$, where $\bar{\partial}_\theta$ is a holomorphic structure on \mathcal{E} and θ is a holomorphic Higgs field on $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$.
- (2) \mathcal{D}_∇ splits into (0, 1) and (1, 0) parts as $\mathcal{D}_\nabla = \bar{\partial}_\nabla + \nabla$, where $\bar{\partial}_\nabla$ is a holomorphic structure on \mathcal{E} and ∇ is a holomorphic connection on $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\nabla)$.
- (3) Moreover, $\bar{\partial}_\nabla = \bar{\partial}_\theta + \bar{\theta}$ and $\nabla = \partial_\theta + \bar{\theta}$, where

$$(\partial_\theta u, v)_K + (u, \bar{\partial}_\theta v)_K = \partial(u, v)_K$$

$$(u, \theta v) = (\bar{\theta} u, v)$$

for each pair of local sections u and v of \mathcal{E} .

- (4) The curvature and pseudo-curvature of the metric are zero: $\mathcal{D}_\theta^2 = \mathcal{D}_\nabla^2 = 0$.

Equivalently, [Si, § 1], the data of a harmonic bundle is equivalent to providing a representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ together with an equivariant harmonic map $\tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})/U(r)$, where \tilde{U} is the universal cover of $U = X \setminus D$ and r is the rank of the bundle.

A harmonic bundle is tame if the singularities of the Higgs field (or equivalently, of the connection) are at most logarithmic. In that case, the metric K will be acceptable [Si, Theorem 4].

Given a tame harmonic bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla, K)$, let $E_{\theta,i}^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be the set of sheaves indexed by \mathbb{R} obtained by applying the previous construction to the holomorphic bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$ and the metric K around the point x_i . Analogously, let $E_{\nabla,i}^\alpha$ be the sheaves obtained applying the previous construction to the holomorphic bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\nabla)$ and the metric K around x_i . Then define E_θ and E_∇ as the holomorphic vector bundles obtained gluing together the bundles $E_{\theta,i}^0$ and $E_{\nabla,i}^0$ for all parabolic points respectively.

By Simpson's correspondence [Si, p. 755, Main Theorem], there is an equivalence between the categories of tame harmonic bundles, direct sums of stable parabolic Higgs bundles and direct sums of stable parabolic connections. In particular, for each algebraic stable parabolic Higgs bundle (E, E_*, θ) with $E = (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$ there exists a harmonic metric K and a stable parabolic connection (E', E'_*, ∇) on $E' = (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\nabla)$ such that $(\mathcal{E}|_U, \bar{\partial}_\theta + \theta, \bar{\partial}_\nabla + \nabla, K)$ is a tame harmonic bundle. Analogously, any parabolic connection extends to a compatible tame harmonic bundle.

Let us prove that these constructions are compatible with the pullbacks and direct images described earlier.

Theorem 7.1. *Let (X, D) be a marked curve. Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a nonconstant holomorphic map of Riemann surfaces, and let $B = f^{-1}(D)_{\mathrm{red}} \subset Y$. Let (E, E_*, θ) be a parabolic Higgs bundle, and let (E', E'_*, ∇) be a parabolic connection on (X, D) induced by the same tame harmonic bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla, K)$. Then the pullback $(f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\mathcal{D}_\theta, f^*\mathcal{D}_\nabla, f^*K)$ is a tame harmonic bundle on (Y, B) giving a correspondence between the pullbacks $f^*(E, E_*, \theta)$ and $f^*(E', E'_*, \nabla)$ defined in Section 5.*

Proof. Denote $U = X \setminus D$ and $V = Y \setminus B$. Let

$$\rho : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{K} : \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})/U(r)$$

respectively be the representation of the fundamental group and the harmonic map to the symmetric space associated to $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla, K)$. The map f induces a homomorphism $\pi_1(f) : \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \pi_1(U)$ and a holomorphic map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$. Then the composition $\rho \circ \pi_1(f) : \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ is a representation of $\pi_1(V)$ and, as the composition of a harmonic map with a holomorphic map is harmonic, the map $\tilde{K} \circ \tilde{f} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})/U(r)$ is harmonic. It is then clear by construction that the pair $(\rho \circ \pi_1(f), \tilde{K} \circ \tilde{f})$ correspond to the pullback $(f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\mathcal{D}_\theta, f^*\mathcal{D}_\nabla, f^*K)$, so it is a harmonic bundle.

If $\mathcal{D}_\theta = \bar{\partial}_\theta + \theta$ and $\mathcal{D}_\nabla = \bar{\partial}_\nabla + \nabla$ are the splittings in $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ parts of the operators of the original harmonic bundle, it is clear that

$$f^*\mathcal{D}_\theta = f^*\bar{\partial}_\theta + f^*\theta, \quad f^*\mathcal{D}_\nabla = f^*\bar{\partial}_\nabla + f^*\nabla,$$

so

$$(f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\bar{\partial}_\theta) = f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta), \quad (f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\bar{\partial}_\nabla) = f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\nabla).$$

Moreover, by [Si, Theorem 2], the metric f^*K is acceptable for $\bar{\partial}_\theta$, so we can analyze the filtration induced by the metric K on the vector bundle $f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$ (it is also acceptable for $\bar{\partial}_\nabla$ and the computation for the connection will be analogous) at a point $y_{i,j} \in f^{-1}(x_i) \subset B$ of multiplicity $m_{i,j}$. Let x be a local coordinate around x_i , and y be a local coordinate around $y_{i,j}$, so that the map f is locally described as $x = y^{m_{i,j}}$ around $y_{i,j}$. Any local section e' of $f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$ in a punctured disc around $y_{i,j}$ can then be described as

$$e' = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} y^k \cdot f^*e_k,$$

where e_k is a local section of $(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$ defined on a punctured neighborhood of x_i . Let r_Y denote the radius around $y_{i,j}$ and r_X denote the radius around x_i . Then

$$|e'|_{f^*K} \leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r_Y^k |e_k|_K.$$

In particular, the sections e' with

$$|e'|_{f^*K} \leq Cr_Y^{\alpha-\varepsilon} = Cr_X^{\frac{\alpha-\varepsilon}{m_{i,j}}} = Cr_X^{\frac{\alpha}{m_{i,j}}-\varepsilon'}$$

correspond to the sections of the form $e' = \sum_k y^k \cdot f^*e_k$ such that $r_Y^k |e_k|_K \leq Cr_X^{\frac{\alpha}{m_{i,j}}-\varepsilon'}$ for each k . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ r_Y^k |e_k|_K \leq Cr_X^{\frac{\alpha}{m_{i,j}}-\varepsilon'} \quad \forall \varepsilon' > 0 \right\} &\Leftrightarrow \left\{ |e_k|_K \leq Cr_X^{\frac{\alpha-k}{m_{i,j}}-\varepsilon'} \quad \forall \varepsilon' > 0 \right\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left\{ e_k \in (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_i^{\frac{\alpha-k}{m_{i,j}}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$(f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta))_{y_{i,j}}^\alpha = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_Y(-ky_{i,j}) \otimes f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_i^{\frac{\alpha-k}{m_{i,j}}}.$$

In particular, the jumps of the filtration occur precisely at points α where

$$\frac{\alpha-k}{m_{i,j}} - \alpha_i^t \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Simplifying, these are the points α of the form

$$\alpha = m_{i,j}\alpha_i^t + k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, the set of jumps of the filtration between 0 and 1 is

$$\{m_{i,j}\alpha_i^t - \lfloor m_{i,j}\alpha_i^t \rfloor\}$$

and the extension of the vector bundle $f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$ to a local neighborhood of $y_{i,j}$ induced by K is then isomorphic to

$$(f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta))_{y_{i,j}}^0 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_Y(-ky_{i,j}) \otimes f^*\left((\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{-\frac{k}{m_{i,j}}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{n_i} \mathcal{O}_Y([m_{i,j}\alpha_i^t]y_{i,j}) \otimes f^* \left((\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{\alpha_i^t} \right),$$

due to the following identities which are consequences of the 1-periodicity and the left continuous nature of the filtration:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_Y(-(k+m_{i,j})y_{i,j}) \otimes f^* \left((\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{-\frac{k+m_{i,j}}{m_{i,j}}} \right) &= \mathcal{O}_Y(-(k+m_{i,j})y_{i,j}) \otimes f^* \left((\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{-\frac{k}{m_{i,j}}-1} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_Y(-(k+m_{i,j})y_{i,j}) \otimes f^* \left((\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{-\frac{k}{m_{i,j}}}(x_i) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_Y(-(k+m_{i,j})y_{i,j}) \otimes f^* \left((\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{-\frac{k}{m_{i,j}}} \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(m_{i,j}y_{i,j}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_Y(-ky_{i,j}) \otimes f^* \left((\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{-\frac{k}{m_{i,j}}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and, if $\frac{k}{m_{i,j}} \leq \alpha_i^a < \dots < \alpha_i^b < \frac{k+1}{m_{i,j}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_Y(-ky_{i,j}) \otimes (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{\frac{k}{m_{i,j}}} &= \mathcal{O}_Y(-ky_{i,j}) \otimes (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{\alpha_i^a} \\ &= \mathcal{O}_Y(-ky_{i,j}) \otimes \sum_{t=a}^b (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{\alpha_i^t} = \sum_{t=a}^b \mathcal{O}_Y(-ky_{i,j}) \otimes (\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{x_i}^{\alpha_i^t}. \end{aligned}$$

Splitting the filtered bundle as a sum of parabolic line bundles it is then straightforward to check that this bundle coincides with the pulled back parabolic bundle $f^*(E, E_*)$ (defined in (3.4) and (3.7)) on a neighborhood of $y_{i,j}$. Combining all these we obtain the following: The parabolic bundle on (Y, B) induced by K , as an extension of $f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)$, is exactly the pulled back parabolic bundle f^*E_* described in Section 3. The result for the holomorphic bundle associated to the connection is analogous: We obtain that the extension of $f^*(\mathcal{E}, \bar{\partial}_\nabla)$ to a parabolic bundle on (Y, K) induced by K is exactly $f^*(E', E'_*)$.

By Proposition 5.1, the the holomorphic Higgs bundle $(f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\bar{\partial}_\theta, f^*\theta)$ extends to a Higgs field on the parabolic vector bundle f^*E_* on Y . Since such an extension is unique by Remark 5.3, the filtered Higgs bundle induced by $f^*(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla, K)$ must be the pullback $f^*(E, E_*, \theta)$ described in Section 5. Moreover, as $f^*\theta$ has regular singularities, the harmonic bundle $(f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\bar{\partial}_\theta, f^*\theta)$ is tame.

Analogously, the parabolic connection $f^*\nabla$ described in Section 5.2 is the unique extension to f^*E_* of the holomorphic connection $(f^*\mathcal{E}, f^*\bar{\partial}_\nabla, f^*\nabla)$. \square

We can verify that the residue diagram prescribed by the construction in Section 5.2 corresponds through [Si, Theorem 7] to the one which is associated to $f^*(E, E_*, \theta)$.

Let $y_{i,j} \in f^{-1}(x_i)$ be a parabolic point in Y of multiplicity $m_{i,j}$. Fix a local holomorphic coordinate y of Y around $y_{i,j}$ and also a local holomorphic coordinate function x of X around x_i , so that $x = y^{m_{i,j}}$. Suppose that, locally around x , the Higgs field θ is written as $\theta = \frac{A}{x}dx$, for some matrix A , and ∇ is written as $\nabla = \frac{A'}{x}dx + d$ for some matrix A' . Computing the residue map of θ or ∇ is then the same as computing the action of $x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, which clearly yields $\text{Res}(\theta, x_i) = A$ and $\text{Res}(\nabla, x_i) = A'$.

Observe that for each local section of the form $y^k(f^*e)(y) = y^k e(y^{m_{i,j}})$, we have

$$y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f^*e = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} e(y^{m_{i,j}}) = m_{i,j} y^{m_{i,j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} e(x) = m_{i,j} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} e(x). \quad (7.1)$$

Computing the residues of $f^*\theta$ and $f^*\nabla$ then reduces to computing the action of $y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ on local sections of the form $y^{-k}(f^*e_k)(y) = y^{-k} e_k(y^{m_{i,j}})$. For the \mathcal{O}_Y -linear operator θ , this is then equivalent to computing the action of $mx \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ on the corresponding local sections e_k on X . Thus,

$$\text{Res}(f^*\theta, y_{i,j}) = m_{i,j} \text{Res}(\theta, x_i) = m_{i,j} A.$$

In the case of the parabolic connection $f^*\nabla$ we have

$$\nabla_{y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}}(y^{-k} f^*e_k) = y^{-k} \nabla_{y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}}(f^*e_k) - ky^k f^*e_k.$$

Therefore, for each $e \in E_i^{\alpha'_i}$ we have

$$\text{Res}(f^*\nabla, y_{i,j})(y^{-\lfloor m_{i,j} \alpha'_i \rfloor} f^*e|_{y_{i,j}}) = (m_{i,j} A' - \lfloor m_{i,j} \alpha'_i \rfloor I) (y^{-\lfloor m_{i,j} \alpha'_i \rfloor} f^*e|_{y_{i,j}}).$$

As we know the way the eigenvalues of A and A' are related through nonabelian Hodge correspondence [Si], we can then compute Table 1 summarizing how the jumps and eigenvalues change for the parabolic Higgs bundle and the parabolic connection when the pullback is taken.

	(E, E_*, θ)	(E', E'_*, ∇)	$f^*(E, E_*, \theta)$	$f^*(E', E'_*, \nabla)$
Jumps	α	$\alpha - 2b$	$m_{i,j} \alpha$	$m_{i,j} (\alpha - 2b)$
Eigenvalues	$b + ci$	$\alpha + 2ci$	$m_{i,j} b + m_{i,j} ci$	$m_{i,j} \alpha + 2m_{i,j} ci$

TABLE 1. Relations between the jumps and eigenvalues of corresponding parabolic Higgs bundles and connections through nonabelian Hodge theory and pullbacks.

Coherently with the 1-periodicity conditions on parabolic Higgs bundles and connections, the table is given considering the weights $\alpha \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. If we replace α by $\alpha + k$ at the jump of a connection, then we replace the corresponding eigenvalue from $b' + c'i$ by $b' + k + c'i$.

Remark 7.2. Observe that, from this table, it is clear that the pullback sends strongly parabolic Higgs bundles ($b = c = 0$) to strongly parabolic Higgs bundles. On the connection side, this corresponds to the pullback taking connections whose residue has the same eigenvalues as the weights to connections with the same property. As this correspondence also preserves the nilpotent part of the action of the residue on the graded vector space with respect to the filtration, this means that the pullback preserves the “residual” condition described following Definition 2.1.

Theorem 7.3. *Let (X, D) be a marked curve. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow Z$ be a nonconstant holomorphic map of compact Riemann surfaces, and $\Delta \subset Z$ denotes the image of the union of the ramification points and the parabolic points (see (4.3)). Take a parabolic Higgs bundle (E, E_*, θ) and a parabolic connection (E', E'_*, ∇) on (X, D) induced by a single tame harmonic bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla, K)$. Then the restriction of the direct image*

$(\phi_*\mathcal{E}|_{Z\setminus\Delta}, \phi_*\mathcal{D}_\theta|_{Z\setminus\Delta}, \phi_*\mathcal{D}_\nabla|_{Z\setminus\Delta}, \phi_*K|_{Z\setminus\Delta})$ is a tame harmonic bundle on (Z, Δ) giving a correspondence between the direct images $\phi_*(E, E_*, \theta)$ and $\phi_*(E', E'_*, \nabla)$ defined in Section 6.

Proof. Fix a decomposition $\phi^{-1}(Z\setminus\Delta) = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_d$ into a disjoint union of open subsets of X . In order to simplify notation, denote $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{E}|_{\phi^{-1}(Z\setminus\Delta)}$. Locally over $Z\setminus\Delta$, the direct image $(\phi_*\mathcal{F}, \phi_*\mathcal{D}_\theta, \phi_*\mathcal{D}_\nabla, \phi_*K)$ is just a direct sum of the restrictions of the harmonic bundle $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{D}_\theta, \mathcal{D}_\nabla, K)$ to each U_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$. As the conditions (1)–(4) in the definition of a harmonic bundle are local, the restriction of the direct image to $Z\setminus\Delta$ must be a harmonic bundle and the metric $\phi_*K|_{Z\setminus\Delta}$ is acceptable [Si, Theorem 2].

We decompose

$$\phi_*\mathcal{D}_\theta = \phi_*\bar{\partial}_\theta + \phi_*\theta \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_*\mathcal{D}_\nabla = \phi_*\bar{\partial}_\nabla + \phi_*\nabla.$$

We will analyze the filtration of $(\phi_*\mathcal{F}, \phi_*\bar{\partial}_\theta)$ induced by $\phi_*K|_{Z\setminus\Delta}$ around a point $z \in \Delta$. Let V be a small disc around x such that $\phi^{-1}(V) = V'_1 \cup \dots \cup V'_{b_z}$ is a disjoint union of simply connected open subsets with $\phi^{-1}(x) \cap V_i = \{y_i\}$ for some point $y_i \in X$ and $\#\phi^{-1}(x') \cap V_i = m_i$ for any other $x' \neq x$. Each local holomorphic section of the direct image on a punctured disc around x is of the form (e_1, \dots, e_{b_z}) , where e_i is a local section in a punctured neighborhood of y_i in V_i . If r_Z is the radial distance function from x and r_{V_i} is the radial distance function from each y_i , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(e_1, \dots, e_{b_z})|_{\phi_*K} \leq Cr_Z^{\alpha-\varepsilon} \ \forall \varepsilon > 0\} \Leftrightarrow \\ & \left\{ |e_i|_K \leq Cr_Z^{\alpha-\varepsilon} = Cr_{V_i}^{m_i(\alpha-\varepsilon)} = Cr_{V_i}^{m_i\alpha-\varepsilon'} \ \forall \varepsilon' > 0 \ \forall i \right\} \Leftrightarrow \{e_i \in (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i\alpha} \ \forall i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(\phi_*\mathcal{F}, \phi_*\bar{\partial}_\theta)_x^\alpha = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_z} (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i\alpha}.$$

The filtration of each component $(\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i\alpha}$ jumps precisely when

$$m_i\alpha - \alpha_i^j = k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

so it jumps precisely at the points of the form

$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha_i^j + k}{m_i}$$

for some $i = 1, \dots, b_z$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As $0 \leq \alpha_i^j < 1$, the set of these jumps between 0 and 1 corresponds to taking $k = 0, \dots, m_i - 1$ for each i . Moreover, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i(\alpha + \frac{1}{m_i})} &= (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i\alpha}(-x) \supset \dots \supset (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i(\alpha + \frac{k}{m_i})} = (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i\alpha}(-kx) \\ &\supset \dots \supset (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i(\alpha+1)} = (\mathcal{F}, \bar{\partial}_\theta)_{y_i}^{m_i\alpha}(-m_ix) \end{aligned}$$

for each α and y_i . This is precisely the structure of the direct image $\phi_*(E, E_*)$ constructed in (4.7), so we conclude that the parabolic bundle on (Z, Δ) induced by $(\phi_*\mathcal{F}, \phi_*\bar{\partial}_\theta, \phi_*K)$ is precisely $\phi_*(E, E_*)$. Analogously, the parabolic bundle induced by $(\phi_*\mathcal{F}, \phi_*\bar{\partial}_\nabla, \phi_*K)$ is $\phi_*(E', E'_*)$.

We can now proceed analogously to Theorem 7.1. There is at most one possible extension of $\phi_*\theta$ from $E|_{Z \setminus \Delta}$ to a parabolic Higgs bundle on $\phi_*(E, E_*)$ on Z . As the direct image construction $\phi_*(E, E_*, \theta)$ described in Section 6.1 provides such extension, we conclude that $(\phi_*\mathcal{F}, \phi_*\mathcal{D}_\theta, \phi_*\mathcal{D}_\nabla, \phi_*K)$ must be tame and the induced filtered Higgs bundle on Y by the tame harmonic bundle is $\phi_*(E, E_*, \theta)$. Analogously, there is at most one parabolic connection extending $(\phi_*\mathcal{F}, \phi_*\overline{\mathcal{D}}_\nabla, \phi_*\nabla)$ to $\phi_*(E', E'_*)$. As the direct image $\phi_*(E', E'_*, \nabla)$ constructed in Section 6.2 provides such extension, it must be the one induced by the harmonic bundle. \square

Finally, we can compute the residues to find an analogous table to Table 1. Take $y \in \Delta$. Decompose

$$(\phi_*E)_y = \bigoplus_{x_i \in \phi^{-1}(y)} V_{x_i} \quad (7.2)$$

as in (4.4). The residue of both θ and ∇ will clearly be diagonal with respect to this decomposition, so it suffices to compute the residues of θ and ∇ on V_{x_i} for each $x_i \in \phi^{-1}(y)$. Take a local holomorphic coordinate function x around x_i , and let $m = m_{x_i}$ be the multiplicity of ϕ at x_i . Write locally θ and ∇ up to elements of order x^m :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \left(\frac{A_{-1}}{x} + A_0 + A_1x + \dots + A_{m-1}x^{m-1} \right) \otimes dx \\ \nabla &= \left(\frac{A'_{-1}}{x} + A'_0 + A'_1x + \dots + A'_{m-1}x^{m-1} \right) \otimes dx + d. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v = v_0 + xv_1 + \dots + x^{m-1}v_{m-1}$ be a local section of E up to order m . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}(v) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^k A_{j-1} v_{k-j} x^k + O(x^m) \\ \nabla_{x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}(v) &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^k A'_{j-1} v_{k-j} x^k + O(x^m). \end{aligned}$$

Separating locally the bases in the $1, x, \dots, x_{m-1}$ blocks and taking into account (7.1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}(\phi_*\theta, y)|_{V_{x_i}} &= \frac{1}{m} \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A_{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline A_0 & A_{-1} & \ddots & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline A_{m-2} & A_{m-2} & \cdots & A_{-1} \end{array} \right), \\ \text{Res}(\phi_*\theta, y)|_{V_{x_i}} &= \frac{1}{m} \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A'_{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline A'_0 & A'_{-1} + I & \ddots & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline A'_{m-2} & A'_{m-2} & \cdots & A'_{-1} + (m-1)I \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this computation and the previous discussion we can then derive Table 2 summarizing the relations between the jumps and eigenvalues of the previous objects and their direct images.

	(E, E_*, θ)	(E', E'_*, ∇)	$\phi_*(E, E_*, \theta)$	$\phi_*(E', E'_*, \nabla)$
Jumps	α	$\alpha - 2b$	$\left\{ \frac{\alpha_j}{m_j} + \frac{k}{m_j} \right\}$	$\left\{ \frac{\alpha_j - 2b_j}{m_j} + \frac{k}{m_j} \right\}$
Eigenvalues	$b + ci$	$\alpha + 2ci$	$\left\{ \frac{b_j}{m_j} + \frac{c_j}{m_j} i \right\}$	$\left\{ \frac{\alpha_j}{m_j} + \frac{k}{m_j} + \frac{c_j}{m_j} i \right\}$

TABLE 2. Relations between the jumps and eigenvalues of corresponding parabolic Higgs bundles and connections through nonabelian Hodge theory and direct images.

As in Table 1, the weights are considered $(\text{mod } \mathbb{Z})$ and replacing α by $\alpha + k$ at the jump of a connection results in replacing by $b' + k + c'i$ all the corresponding eigenvalues $b' + c'i$.

Remark 7.4. Analogously to Remark 7.2, from the table, we conclude that the direct image sends strongly parabolic Higgs bundles to strongly parabolic Higgs bundles and “residual” connections to “residual” connections, as described by the comment after Definition 2.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

David Alfaya would like to thank Carlos Simpson for helpful discussions about the non-abelian Hodge correspondence. He is supported by MICINN grant PID2019-108936GB-C21 and the second-named author is partially supported by a J. C. Bose Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [At] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [Biq] O. Biquard, Fibrés paraboliques stables et connexions singulières plates, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **119** (1991), 231–257.
- [Bi1] I. Biswas, Parabolic ample bundles, *Math. Ann.* **307** (1997), 511–529.
- [Bi2] I. Biswas, Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles, *Duke Math. J.* **88** (1997), 305–325.
- [BL] I. Biswas and M. Logares, Connection on parabolic vector bundles over curves, *Inter. Jour. Math.* **22** (2011), 593–602.
- [BM] I. Biswas and F.-X. Machu, On the direct image of parabolic vector bundles and parabolic connections, *J. Geom. Phys.* **135** (2019), 219–234.
- [De] P. Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [DJ] A. Dhillon and S. Joyner, Pullback of Parabolic Bundles and Covers of $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, *Michigan Math. J.* **61** (2012), 199–224.
- [DPS] R. Donagi, T. Pantev and C. Simpson, Direct images in Non Abelian Hodge Theory, [arXiv:1612.06388](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.06388) (2016).
- [FL] T. Fassarella and F. Loray, Hitchin fibration under Ramified Covering, [arXiv:2208.10130](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.10130) (2022).
- [GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [Hi] N. J. Hitchin, Stable bundles and integrable systems, *Duke Math. Jour.* **54** (1987), 91–114.
- [HL] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

- [KM] M. Kumar and S. Majumder, Parabolic bundles in positive characteristic, *J. Ramanujan. Math.* **33** (2018), 1–36.
- [MY] M. Maruyama and K. Yokogawa, Moduli of parabolic stable sheaves, *Math. Ann.* **293** (1992) 77–99.
- [MS] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [Si] C. T. Simpson, Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **3** (1990), 713–770.
- [Yo] K. Yokogawa, Infinitesimal Deformation of Parabolic Higgs sheaves, *Inter. Jour. Math.* **6** (1995), 125–148.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN TECHNOLOGY, ICAI
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, COMILLAS PONTIFICAL UNIVERSITY, C/ALBERTO AGUILERA 25, 28015
MADRID, SPAIN

Email address: `dalfaya@comillas.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD,
MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`