









## Revisiting the Transit Timing and Atmosphere Characterization of the Neptune-mass Planet HAT-P-26 b

NAPAPORN A-THANO <sup>1</sup>, SUPACHAI AWIPHAN <sup>2</sup>, ING-GUEY JIANG <sup>1</sup>, EAMONN KERINS <sup>3</sup>, AKSHAY PRIYADARSHI <sup>3</sup>,  
IAIN McDONALD <sup>3,4</sup>, YOGESH C. JOSHI <sup>5</sup>, THANSUDA CHULIKORN <sup>6</sup>, JOSHUA J. C. HAYES <sup>3</sup>, STEPHEN CHARLES <sup>3</sup>,  
CHUNG-KAI HUANG <sup>7</sup>, RONNAKRIT RATTANAMALA <sup>8,9</sup>, LI-CHIN YEH <sup>10</sup> AND VIK S DHILLON <sup>11,12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics and Institute of Astronomy, National Tsing-Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan*

<sup>2</sup>*National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand, 260 Moo 4, Donkaew, Mae Rim, Chiang Mai, 50180, Thailand*

<sup>3</sup>*Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK*

<sup>5</sup>*Aryabhata Research Institute of Observational Sciences (ARIES), Manora Peak, Nainital 263001, India*

<sup>6</sup>*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, 254 Phayathai Road, Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand*

<sup>7</sup>*Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Academia Sinica, No.1, Sec. 4, Roosevelt Rd, Taipei 10617, Taiwan*

<sup>8</sup>*PhD Program in Astronomy, Department of Physics and Materials Science,  
Faculty of Science, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, 50200, Thailand*

<sup>9</sup>*Department of Physics and General Science, Faculty of Science and Technology,  
Nakhon Ratchasima Rajabhat University, Nakhon Ratchasima, 30000, Thailand*

<sup>10</sup>*Institute of Computational and Modeling Science, National Tsing-Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan*

<sup>11</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S3 7RH, UK*

<sup>12</sup>*Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

### ABSTRACT

We present the transit timing variation (TTV) and planetary atmosphere analysis of the Neptune-mass planet HAT-P-26 b. We present a new set of 13 transit light curves from optical ground-based observations and combine them with light curves from the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS), and previously published ground-based data. We refine the planetary parameters of HAT-P-26 b and undertake a TTV analysis using 33 transits obtained over seven years. The TTV analysis shows an amplitude signal of 1.28 minutes, which could result from the presence of an additional  $\sim 0.02 M_{\text{Jup}}$  planet at the 1:2 mean-motion resonance orbit. Using a combination of transit depths spanning optical to near-infrared wavelengths, we find that the atmosphere of HAT-P-26 b contains  $12_{-2}^{+2}\%$  of H<sub>2</sub>O and  $0.1_{-0.1}^{+0.1}\%$  of TiO with a derived temperature of  $590_{-30}^{+20}$  K.

*Keywords:* Exoplanet astronomy (486) — Exoplanet atmospheres (487) — Transit photometry (1709)  
— Timing variation methods (1703)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last few decades, the study of exoplanetary systems has grown rapidly, as seen from the number of discovered planets and dedicated surveys. Of the more than 5000 discovered exoplanets so far, about 3000 transiting planets have been discovered<sup>1</sup> by several different surveys, such as *Kepler* (Borucki et al. 2005), the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS, Ricker et al. 2014), The Wide-Angle Search for Planets (WASP, Pollacco et al. 2006; Smith 2014),

napaporn@gapp.nthu.edu.tw

supachai@narit.or.th

jiang@phys.nthu.edu.tw

<sup>1</sup> From NASA exoplanet archive: <https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/>.

the Hungarian-made Automated Telescope Network (HATNet, Bakos et al. 2004, 2009), the Kilodegree Extremely Little Telescope (KELT, Pepper et al. 2007) survey, and the Next Generation Transit Survey (NGTS, Wheatley et al. 2018). In addition to the discovery of thousands of exoplanets, the transit technique can also be used to search for additional planets in the system via Transit Timing Variations (TTV; Agol et al. 2005; Agol & Fabrycky 2018), and to characterize the compositions of planetary atmospheres via transmission spectroscopy (Seager & Sasselov 2000; Seager & Deming 2010).

Current and future detection and atmospheric characterisation missions, including TESS, JWST (Pontoppidan et al. 2022), the PLANetary Transits and Oscillations survey (PLATO, Rauer et al. 2014) and Atmospheric Remote-sensing Infrared Exoplanet Large-survey (ARIEL, Tinetti et al. 2018) herald a new era for exoplanetary research. TESS provides continuous, multi-epoch, high-precision light curves, which alone can be used to search for short-term ( $< 5$  years) TTVs. Since 2018, TESS has detected the TTV signals of a number of planets, including two new detections; AU Mic c (Wittrock et al. 2022) and TOI-2202 c (Trifonov et al. 2021).

For exoplanetary atmospheres, the Wide Field Camera 3 (WFC3) on the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has been used for the detailed study of a number of exoplanets ranging from hot Jupiters to Neptune-sized planets and super-Earths (Kreidberg et al. 2014; Tsiaras et al. 2016b; Burt et al. 2021; Edwards et al. 2021; Brande et al. 2022; Glidic et al. 2022). Since the commencement of science operation in mid-2022, JWST is being used to study the chemical composition of exoplanetary atmospheres in the near-infrared. From the JWST Early Release Observations (ERO) program (Pontoppidan et al. 2022), observations from several JWST instruments have revealed the atmospheric compositions of several exoplanets (e.g., WASP-39 b; Rustamkulov et al. 2023).

Whilst HST, Kepler, TESS, JWST, PLATO and ARIEL are all designed to deliver high-quality data from space of exoplanets' physical and chemical properties, ground-based observations remain critical for long-term monitoring of lightcurve behaviour. The Spectroscopy and Photometry of Exoplanet Atmospheres Research Network (SPEARNET) is a long-term statistical study of the atmospheres of hot transiting exoplanets using transmission spectroscopy. Its observations are supported by a globally distributed heterogeneous network of optical and infrared telescopes with apertures from 0.5 to 3.6 meters, which can be combined with archival data from both ground- and space-based surveys. Our new transit-fitting code, `TransitFit` (Hayes et al. 2021), is designed for use with heterogeneous, multi-wavelength, multi-epoch and multi-telescope observations of exoplanet hosts and to fit global parametric models of the entire dataset.

Since 2015, SPEARNET has monitored transits of HAT-P-26 b which is a Neptune-mass planet orbiting a host K1 dwarf HAT-P-26 ( $V = 11.74$ ) with a period of 4.234 days (Hartman et al. 2011). The stellar and planetary parameters of the HAT-P-26 system are given in Table 1. Transmission spectra of HAT-P-26 b were first studied by Stevenson et al. (2016). Using the observations from *Magellan* and *Spitzer*, they reported that HAT-P-26 b is likely to have high metallicity, with a cloud-free upper atmosphere containing water and a 10 mbar cloud deck. Wakeford et al. (2017) obtained observations from HST and Spitzer Space Telescopes, which showed a high-significance detection of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and a metallicity approximately 4.8 times solar abundance. MacDonald & Madhusudhan (2019) combined previous HST and Spitzer data for HAT-P-26 b with ground-based spectroscopic observations from the *Magellan* Low Dispersion Survey Spectrograph 3 (Stevenson et al. 2016, LDSS-3C). From the study,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was detected with an abundance of 1.5% and O/H with an abundance 18.1 times solar. They also reported evidence for metal hydrides in the spectra with  $> 4\sigma$  confidence with the potential candidates identified as TiH, CrH, or ScH. The presence of metal hydrides in the atmosphere requires extreme conditions, such as the vertical transportation of material from the deep atmosphere or solid planetesimals containing heavy elements impacting the planet and dissolving the elements into the He/ $\text{H}_2$  envelope through shocks and fireballs.

Besides the study of transmission spectroscopy, HAT-P-26 b was examined for TTVs by von Essen et al. (2019). They performed follow-up photometric observation with the 2.15 m Jorge Sahade Telescope, Argentina, as well as a 1.2 m robotic telescope (STELLA) and the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope, both located in the Canary Islands. The observed transits showed a  $\sim 270$ -epochs periodic timing variation with an amplitude of  $\sim 4$  minutes, which might be caused by the third body in the system.

In this work, we present new ground-based SPEARNET multi-band photometric follow-up observations of 13 transits of HAT-P-26 b. These data are combined with TESS, HST, and available published photometric data to constrain the planetary physical parameters, investigate the planetary TTV signal, and constrain the atmospheric model. Our observational data are presented in Section 2. The light-curve analysis is described in Section 3. A new linear ephemeris

**Table 1.** Summary of HAT-P-26 b properties from [Hartman et al. \(2011\)](#).

| Stellar Parameters   |  |
|----------------------|--|
| Parameter            | Value                                    |
| $M_*$                | $0.82 \pm 0.03 M_\odot$                  |
| $R_*$                | $0.79 \pm 0.01 R_\odot$                  |
| $T_*$                | $5079 \pm 88 \text{ K}$                  |
| $\log g_*$           | $4.56 \pm 0.06 \text{ cgs}$              |
| Metallicity $[Z_*]$  | $-0.04 \pm 0.08$                         |
| Planetary Parameters |  |
| $M_p$                | $0.059 \pm 0.007 M_{\text{Jup}}$         |
| $R_p$                | $0.565^{+0.072}_{-0.032} R_{\text{Jup}}$ |
| $T_{\text{eq}}$      | $1001^{+66}_{-37} \text{ K}$             |
| $\rho_p$             | $0.40 \pm 0.10 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$        |

**Figure 1.** The locations and sizes of the SPEARNET telescope network.

and a frequency study of TTVs is presented in Section 4. In Section 5, the atmospheric composition of HAT-P-26 b is analysed. Finally, the discussion and conclusion are in Section 6.

## 2. OBSERVATIONAL DATA

Since the discovery of HAT-P-26 b in 2011, the planetary system has been monitored by a number of campaigns, as discussed in Section 1. In this work, we present the data from our observations (13 transit light curves) and previously published data (69 transit light curves). The details of each observational data set are described below.

### 2.1. SPEARNET Observations and Data Reduction

Between March 2015 and May 2022, photometric follow-up observations of HAT-P-26 b were obtained using the SPEARNET telescopes network (Figure 1). Time-series photometry of thirteen transits, including eight full and five partial transits, were obtained. The observation log is given in Table 2. The facilities used to obtain our data were as follows:

1. *2.4-m Thai National Telescope (TNT)* located at the Thai National Observatory (TNO), Thailand. During 2015-2019, five full transits and two partial transits of HAT-P-26 b were obtained by the TNT. The observations were conducted using ULTRASPEC ([Dhillon et al. 2014](#)), a high-speed frame-transfer EMCCD  $1024 \times 1024$  pixels camera, with a field-of-view of  $7.68 \times 7.68 \text{ arcmin}^2$ .

**Table 2.** Observation details of HAT-P-26 b’s transits within using the telescopes within the SPEARNET. Epoch=0 is the transit on 2010 April 18.

| Observation Date | Epoch | Telescope     | Filter | Exposure time (s) | Number of Images | Total Duration of Observation (hr) | PNR (%) | Transit coverage |
|------------------|-------|---------------|--------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|------------------|
| 2015 March 05    | 421   | 2.4-m TNT     | $i'$   | 1.90              | 3892             | 2.49                               | 0.09    | Egress only      |
| 2015 March 22    | 425   | 2.4-m TNT     | $i'$   | 2.47              | 6683             | 4.92                               | 0.12    | Full             |
| 2016 February 11 | 502   | 2.4-m TNT     | $g'$   | 9.23              | 1574             | 4.19                               | 0.07    | Full             |
| 2017 March 15    | 596   | 0.7-m TRT-GAO | $R$    | 40                | 235              | 3.65                               | 0.19    | Ingress only     |
| 2017 March 15    | 596   | 0.5-m TRT-TNO | $I$    | 30                | 270              | 3.13                               | 0.17    | Ingress only     |
| 2018 March 27    | 685   | 2.4-m TNT     | $g'$   | 4.53              | 4465             | 5.77                               | 0.35    | Full             |
| 2018 March 27    | 685   | 0.5-m TRT-TNO | $R$    | 40                | 216              | 2.93                               | 0.21    | Full             |
| 2018 April 13    | 689   | 2.4-m TNT     | $z'$   | 2.68              | 4590             | 4.51                               | 0.17    | Full             |
| 2019 March 05    | 766   | 2.4-m TNT     | $r'$   | 2.98              | 5493             | 4.71                               | 0.10    | Full             |
| 2019 April 25    | 778   | 2.4-m TNT     | $z'$   | 4.86              | 2481             | 4.12                               | 0.14    | Egress only      |
| 2022 March 23    | 1029  | 0.7-m TRT-SRO | $R$    | 30                | 353              | 4.01                               | 0.24    | Full             |
| 2022 May 13      | 1041  | 0.7-m TRT-SRO | $I$    | 30                | 273              | 3.32                               | 0.40    | Full             |
| 2022 May 30      | 1045  | 0.7-m TRT-SRO | $R$    | 30                | 226              | 2.10                               | 0.25    | Egress only      |

**Note:** PNR is the photometric noise rate (Fulton et al. 2011).

2. *0.5-m Thai Robotic Telescope located at TNO (TRT-TNO), Thailand.* We observed one full transit and one partial transit of HAT-P-26 b between 2017 and 2018 with the Schmidt-Cassegrain TRT-TNO. (currently, the facility is upgraded to a 1-m telescope). The observations were performed using an Apogee Altra U9000 3056 × 3056 pixels CCD camera. The field of view is about  $58 \times 58$  arcmin<sup>2</sup>.
3. *0.7-m Thai Robotic Telescope at the Gao Mei Gu Observatory (TRT-GAO), China.* One partial transit of HAT-P-26 b was obtained by the TRT-GAO in 2017. TRT-GAO is equipped with an Andor iLon-L 936, with a 2048 × 2048 pixels CCD camera. The field of view is  $20.9 \times 20.9$  arcmin<sup>2</sup>.
4. *0.7-m Thai Robotic Telescope at the Sierra Remote Observatories (TRT-SRO), USA.* In 2022, the TRT-SRO obtained two full and one partial transit. We observed HAT-P-26 b with the Andor iKon-M 934 1024 × 1024 pixels CCD camera. The field of view is  $10 \times 10$  arcmin<sup>2</sup>.

All the science images of HAT-P-26 b were pre-processed using standard tasks from IRAF<sup>2</sup> (Tody 1986, 1993). Astrometric calibrations were performed using `Astrometry.net` (Lang et al. 2010), and aperture photometry was performed by `source extractor` (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). We use `mag_auto`, which is Kron-like automated scaled aperture magnitude, with a Kron factor of 2.5 and a minimum radius of 3.5. Reference stars were selected from nearby stars that were within  $\pm 3$  magnitudes of HAT-P-26 and that did not exhibit strong brightness variation. Image time stamps were converted to Barycentric Julian Date in Barycentric Dynamical Time (BJD<sub>TDB</sub>) using `barycorrpy` (Kanodia & Wright 2018). The normalized light curves are available in a machine-readable form in Table 4.

## 2.2. Existing Ground-based Data

We used 16 additional light curves from two previous ground-based studies. Firstly, five  $i'$ -band transits of HAT-P-26 b were obtained using the KeplerCam on the FLWO 1.2 m telescope obtained by Hartman et al. (2011). Secondly, we used 11 Cousins- $R$  transits obtained by von Essen et al. (2019) using the 2.15 m Jorge Sahade Telescope at the Complejo Astronómico El Leoncito (CASLEO), the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) at La Palma, Spain, and the 1.2 m STELLA at Tenerife, Spain. These are summarized in Table 3. Combining these data with our observations of 13 transits, we use ground-based photometry from 29 transits obtained over a span of 20 years within six broad photometric bands.

<sup>2</sup> IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. For more details, <http://iraf.noao.edu/>

**Table 3.** Summary of HAT-P-26 b’s transits light curves taken from Ground-based Achieved Data.

| <i>Hartman et al. (2011)</i>   |                          |           |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Observation Date               | Telescope                | Filter    |
| 2010 January 05*               | KeplerCam/the FLWO 1.2-m | <i>i'</i> |
| 2010 March 31*                 | KeplerCam/the FLWO 1.2-m | <i>i'</i> |
| 2010 April 04                  | KeplerCam/the FLWO 1.2-m | <i>i'</i> |
| 2010 May 08                    | KeplerCam/the FLWO 1.2-m | <i>i'</i> |
| 2010 May 25                    | KeplerCam/the FLWO 1.2-m | <i>i'</i> |
| <i>von Essen et al. (2019)</i> |                          |           |
| 2015 March 30                  | the 2.15-m CASLEO        | <i>R</i>  |
| 2015 April 12                  | the 2.5-m NOT            | <i>R</i>  |
| 2015 April 16                  | the 2.15-m CASLEO        | <i>R</i>  |
| 2015 May 20*                   | the 2.5-m NOT            | <i>R</i>  |
| 2015 June 06                   | the 2.5-m NOT            | <i>R</i>  |
| 2015 June 23                   | the 2.5-m NOT            | <i>R</i>  |
| 2016 May 14*                   | the 2.15-m CASLEO        | <i>R</i>  |
| 2017 May 13*                   | the 2.15-m CASLEO        | <i>R</i>  |
| 2017 May 30                    | the 2.15-m CASLEO        | <i>R</i>  |
| 2017 June 16*                  | the 2.15-m CASLEO        | <i>R</i>  |
| 2018 July 01*                  | the 1.2-m STELLA         | <i>R</i>  |

**Note:** \* Only part of the transit was observed.

### 2.3. HST WFC3 Grism Data

In addition to ground-based observations, HST observed three transits of HAT-P-26 b using WFC3 (Wakeford et al. 2017). Two transits were observed using the G141 grism (1.1 to 1.7  $\mu\text{m}$ ) on 2016 March 12 and 2016 May 02. Another transit was observed using the G102 grism (0.8 to 1.1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) on 2016 October 16.

The raw spectra<sup>3</sup> were reduced using the *Iraclis* package, a Python package for the WFC3 spectroscopic reduction pipeline (Tsiaras et al. 2016a,b). The HST data from the G141 grism spectra were binned into 18 wavelength bins, while the G104 grism spectra were binned into 14 wavelength bins. In total, 50 light curves were obtained from HST/WFC3. We discarded the data from the first orbit of each visit and the first exposure of each orbit as the data exhibit a stronger wavelength-dependent ramp during these epochs.

### 2.4. TESS Data

TESS observed three transit light curves of HAT-P-26 b in Sector 50 (2022 March-April). We used the calibrated light curves from the Science Processing Operation Center (SPOC) pipeline (Jenkins et al. 2016)<sup>4</sup>. The transit depths from the TESS data are considerably shallower than the ground-based transits, likely because of the strong blending of other stars within the SPOC pipeline photometric pixels. Therefore, we do not use the TESS light curve to fit the planet’s physical parameters.

## 3. LIGHT-CURVE MODELING

HAT-P-26 b has been observed by several observing campaigns, which report subtly different planetary physical parameters. The differences can arise from different modeling assumptions, such as the treatment of limb darkening. In this present study, the physical parameters of HAT-P-26 b are reanalyzed using the *TransitFit*, a Python package that can simultaneously fit multi-filter, multi-epoch exoplanet transit observations (Hayes et al. 2021). *TransitFit* models transits using *batman* (Kreidberg 2015) and performs fitting using the dynamic nested-sampling routine from *dynesty* (Speagle 2020).

<sup>3</sup> Downloaded from Exo.MAST: <https://exo.mast.stsci.edu/>

<sup>4</sup> Downloaded from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes: <https://archive.stsci.edu/>

**Table 4.** A sample of the detrended and normalised photometry for HAT-P-26 b using the telescopes within SPEARNET. The transits were all detrended with a second-order polynomial function in `TransitFit`. Epoch = 0 is the transit on 2010 April 18.

| Epoch | BJD           | Normalized Flux | Normalized flux uncertainty |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 421   | 2457087.35923 | 0.994           | 0.004                       |
|       | 2457087.35925 | 0.995           | 0.005                       |
|       | 2457087.35927 | 0.998           | 0.005                       |
|       | 2457087.35934 | 0.999           | 0.004                       |
|       | 2457087.35936 | 0.995           | 0.004                       |
| ...   | ...           | ...             | ...                         |
| 425   | 2457104.23686 | 0.989           | 0.004                       |
|       | 2457104.23689 | 1.002           | 0.004                       |
|       | 2457104.23694 | 0.989           | 0.004                       |
|       | 2457104.23700 | 1.011           | 0.004                       |
|       | 2457104.23703 | 1.002           | 0.004                       |
| ...   | ...           | ...             | ...                         |
| 502   | 2457430.29378 | 1.002           | 0.002                       |
|       | 2457430.29388 | 1.002           | 0.002                       |
|       | 2457430.29420 | 0.998           | 0.002                       |
|       | 2457430.29431 | 1.002           | 0.002                       |
|       | 2457430.29452 | 0.999           | 0.002                       |
| ...   | ...           | ...             | ...                         |
| ...   | ...           | ...             | ...                         |

**Note:** The full table is available in machine-readable form.

### 3.1. *TransitFit* modeling of HST and ground-based data

The combined ground and space datasets, excluding TESS, comprise 82 separate light curves spanning a range of epochs and wavelengths. We fit and detrend all of them simultaneously using `TransitFit`. `TransitFit` performed nested-sampling retrieval with 1000 live points and a slice sampling of 10. During the retrieval, each transit light curve was individually detrended using different detrending functions: for each ground-based observation, we used individual second-order polynomial detrending functions. For the HST/WFC3 data sets, the data were detrended using a model similar to (Kreidberg et al. 2018), specifically

$$F_{sys} = (S + v_1 t_{visit} + v_2 t_{visit}^2)(1 - e^{-at_{orb}-b}), \quad (1)$$

where  $F_{sys}$  is the signal from the systematics, and  $S = 1$  and  $s$  for forward and reverse scans, respectively. The parameters  $s$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$  are all detrending coefficients, where  $s$ ,  $a$ , and  $b$  account for the ramp-up systematic across all the light curves, whilst  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are the second-order polynomial detrending functions used to model the visit-long trends. The astrophysical signal ( $F_{sig}$ ) can be obtained by the division of the observed flux ( $F_{obs}$ ) and the systematic signal ( $F_{sys}$ ). The HST detrending function was defined as a custom detrending function in `TransitFit`. The normalized light curves with their observational uncertainties are available in a machine-readable form in Table 4.

HAT-P-26 b is assumed to be in a circular orbit. We find a stellar effective temperature for HAT-P-26 of  $T_* = 4700 \pm 100$ , determined from the Python Stellar Spectral Energy Distribution package<sup>5</sup>, a toolset designed to allow the user to create, manipulate and fit the spectral energy distributions of stars based on publicly available data (McDonald et al. 2009, 2012, 2017). The host metallicity,  $Z_* = -0.06 \pm 0.10$ , and surface gravity,  $\log(g_*) = 4.5 \pm 0.1$ , are obtained from the Gaia EDR3 catalogue<sup>6</sup>. To fit ground-based and HST light curves, we fixed the orbital period ( $P$ ) of 4.234516 days, which was adopted from Hartman et al. (2011), and used the ability of `TransitFit` to account for TTVs by using the `allow_TTV` function, in order to find the mid-transit time,  $T_m$ , for each epoch. The parameters of inclination,

<sup>5</sup> <https://explore-platform.eu/>

<sup>6</sup> Gaia archive: <https://archives.esac.esa.int/gaia>

$i$ , semi-major axis  $a$ , and planet-to-host radius ratio,  $R_p/R_*$  were allowed to vary freely. The priors of each fitting parameter: the epoch of mid-transit,  $T_0$ , together with  $i$ ,  $a$  and  $R_p$  for each waveband, are given in Table 5.

The light curves of HAT-P-26 b were phase-folded to center  $T_0$  at a phase of 0.5 with their best-fit models, and residuals are shown in Figure 2. The derived planetary parameters for HAT-P-26 b from `TransitFit` are compared with the results from previous studies in Table 6. HAT-P-26 b has  $i = 87.95 \pm 0.06$  deg with a host separation of  $12.42 \pm 0.07 R_*$ . These values are compatible to within  $2\sigma$  of previous measurements. Mid-transit times ( $T_m$ ) for each transit and corresponding epoch number,  $E$ , are given in Table 7 and discussed in Section 4. The values of  $R_p/R_*$  are shown in Table 8 and discussed in Section 5.

We can now compare the  $R_p/R_*$  values obtained from `TransitFit` with those from previous studies. In the  $i'$  filter, we find  $R_p/R_* = 0.0736 \pm 0.0005$ , which is compatible with that given by Hartman et al. (2011) ( $0.0737 \pm 0.0012$ ). In the  $R$  filter,  $R_p/R_* = 0.0697 \pm 0.0006$  is also compatible with the value of von Essen et al. (2019) ( $0.07010 \pm 0.00016$ ). For the HST filters, the  $R_p/R_*$  values from `TransitFit` are consistent with the values provided by Wakeford et al. (2017). These transit depths are used for the atmospheric modelling in Section 5.

The quadratic limb-darkening coefficients from Hartman et al. (2011) were  $u_0 = 0.386$  and  $u_1 = 0.258$ , adopted from the tabulations by Claret (2004) for the  $i'$ -filter, based on a stellar temperature of  $T_* = 5079 \pm 88$  K and metallicity of  $Z_* = -0.04 \pm 0.08$ . Similar quadratic limb-darkening coefficients in von Essen et al. (2019) were taken from the  $R$ -filter tabulated values of Claret (2000) as  $u_0 = 0.514$  and  $u_1 = 0.218$ , based on  $T_* = 5000$  K and  $Z_* = 0$ .

Due to the broad range of wavebands, the coupled fitting mode in `TransitFit` was used to determine the limb-darkening coefficients (LDCs) for each filter. The LDC fitting is conditioned using priors generated by the Limb Darkening Toolkit (LDTk, Parviainen & Aigrain 2015) for each filter response, based on the PHOENIX<sup>7</sup> stellar atmosphere models (Husser et al. 2013). Our previously determined host star parameters, including the  $T_*$ ,  $\log(g)$  and  $Z_*$ , are adopted for the LDC calculations. The LDCs for different filters from the coupled fitting mode are given in Table 8.

In order to check our fitted LDC values, we compare them to those obtained with the Exoplanet Characterization ToolKit (ExoCTK,<sup>8</sup> Bourque et al. 2021). The `TransitFit` LDCs for the HST/WFC3 G102 and G104 grism observations are compatible with those of ExoCTK. In contrast, the LDCs in the optical bands show a discrepancy between the ExoCTK calculated values and the `TransitFit` fitted values. The LDCs from the ExoCTK in optical wavebands are between 0.5 and 0.8 for  $u_1$ , and between 0.0 and 0.1 for  $u_2$ . Whilst, we obtained  $u_0$  between 0.7 and 0.9, and  $u_1$  between 0.0 and -0.1.

### 3.2. TESS Light-Curve Modeling

Given the large TESS pixel size, we can expect flux from background sources to be blended in the pixels used to extract the photometry of HAT-P-26, affecting the obtained transit depth. Consequently, the TESS photometry was modeled separately from the ground-based and HST photometry. To fit the TESS photometry, the orbital period, inclination, and semi-major axis were fixed by adopting the best values obtained from Section 3.1. Only the mid-transit time for each epoch and planet-to-star radius ratio were allowed to vary. The same number of live points and slice sampling through the nested-sampling routine were used, along with the second-order polynomial detrending function. The priors for the fitting are given in Table 9.

The best-fit models and residuals are shown in Figure 4.  $R_p/R_*$  is calculated to be  $0.0455 \pm 0.0004$ , much smaller than the value found at other wavelengths. This implies that around 60% of the light in the photometric aperture of HAT-P-26 comes from blended sources. As a result, the TESS transit depth is not used to analyze the planetary atmospheric compositions in Section 5, only the mid-transit times are used in the TTV analyses in Section 4 (Table 7).

## 4. TRANSIT-TIMING ANALYSIS

### 4.1. A Refined Ephemeris

The mid-transit times of 33 epochs obtained from `TransitFit`, and listed in Table 7, are considered for our timing analysis. The mid-transit times were fitted by a linear ephemeris model, using a constant-period as:

$$T_m^c(E) = T_{0,l} + P_l \times E, \quad (2)$$

<sup>7</sup> PHOENIX: <http://phoenix.astro.physik.uni-goettingen.de/>

<sup>8</sup> ExoCTK limb darkening calculator: [https://exoctk.stsci.edu/limb\\_darkening](https://exoctk.stsci.edu/limb_darkening)

**Table 5.** The initial parameters and priors used to model the planetary parameters for SPEARNET, HST/WFC3, and ground-based light curves modeling with `TransitFit`.

| Parameter   | Priors                   | Prior distribution |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| $P$ (days)  | 4.234516                 | Fixed              |
| $T_0$ (BJD) | $2455304.65122 \pm 0.01$ | Gaussian           |
| $i$ (deg)   | $88.0 \pm 0.5$           | Gaussian           |
| $a/R_*$     | $13 \pm 1$               | Gaussian           |
| $R_p/R_*$   | (0.06, 0.08)             | Uniform            |
| $e$         | 0                        | Fixed              |
| $T_*$ (K)   | $4700 \pm 100$           | Fixed              |
| $Z_*$       | $-0.06 \pm 0.10$         | Fixed              |
| $\log(g_*)$ | $4.5 \pm 0.1$            | Fixed              |

**Note.** The priors of  $P$ ,  $T_0$ ,  $i$  and  $a/R_*$  are set to the values in Hartman et al. (2011).

**Table 6.** The physical parameters of HAT-P-26 b from the `TransitFit` package and values from the literature.

| Parameter              | Hartman et al. (2011)           | Stevenson et al. (2016)          | von Essen et al. (2019)           | This work                          |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| $P$ (days)             | $4.234516 \pm 2 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.2345023 \pm 7 \times 10^{-7}$ | $4.23450236 \pm 3 \times 10^{-8}$ | -*                                 |
| $T_0$ (BJD $\dagger$ ) | $5304.65122 \pm 0.00035$        | $5304.65218 \pm 0.00025$         | -                                 | $5304.65201^{+0.00032}_{-0.00032}$ |
| $i$ (deg)              | $88.6^{+0.5}_{-0.9}$            | $87.3 \pm 0.4$                   | $87.31 \pm 0.09$                  | $87.95 \pm 0.06$                   |
| $a/R_*$                | $13.06 \pm 0.83$                | $11.8 \pm 0.6$                   | $12.05 \pm 0.13$                  | $12.42 \pm 0.07$                   |

**Notes.** \*Value used is adopted from Hartman et al. (2011).  $\dagger = \text{BJD}_{\text{TDB}} - 2450000$ .

where  $T_{0,l}$  and  $P_l$  are the reference time and the orbital period of the linear ephemeris model, respectively,  $E$  is the epoch number and  $E = 0$  represents the transit on 2010 April 18.  $T_m^c(E)$  is the calculated mid-transit time at a given epoch  $E$ .

To find the best-fit parameters from the model, used `emcee` (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) to perform a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) fit with 50 chains and  $10^5$  MCMC steps. The new linear ephemeris was defined as:

$$T_m^c(E) = 2455304.65201^{+0.00032}_{-0.00032} + 4.234502^{+0.000001}_{-0.000001} E. \quad (3)$$

The reduced chi-square of the linear fit is  $\chi_{\text{red}} = 4.87$  with 31 degrees of freedom. The Bayesian Information Criterion,  $BIC = \chi^2 + k \ln n = 158$ , where  $k$  is the number of free parameters, and  $n$  is the number of data points. A corner plot indicating the MCMC posterior probability distribution of the parameters is shown in Figure B.1. The obtained period from the  $O - C$  is consistent with the period provided by Stevenson et al. (2016); von Essen et al. (2019). However, the value differs from our prior period in the `TransitFit`, which adopt from Hartman et al. (2011), by  $\sim 1$  s. The difference does not affect our fitted timing as we used the `allow.TTV` function in the `TransitFit`. For the fitted physical parameters, the effects of the different periods are small and negligible. Using the new ephemeris, we constructed an  $O - C$  diagram (Figure 5b), which shows the residual difference between the timing data and Equation (3).

In addition to the mid-transit times obtained from `TransitFit`, there are six transits whose light curves are not publicly available for refitting, so only their published transit times can be used (as listed in Table 7). When added to the 33 transit times fitted with `TransitFit`, the combined 39 mid-transit times were linearly fitted using the same MCMC procedure, resulting in the following revised linear ephemeris

$$T_m^c(E) = 2455304.65204^{+0.00028}_{-0.00028} + 4.234502^{+0.000001}_{-0.000001} E. \quad (4)$$

The MCMC posterior probability distribution for these 39 epochs is shown in Figure B.2. The best-fitting model shows  $\chi_{\text{red}}^2 = 7.00$  with 37 degrees of freedom and  $BIC = 266$ . Using the ephemeris from this linear fitting, another  $O - C$  diagram was constructed, shown in Figure 6.



**Table 7.** HAT-P-26 b’s mid-transit times ( $T_m$ ) and timing residuals ( $O - C$ ). ( $O - C$ )<sub>33</sub> are calculated from Equation (3), which considers 33 mid-transit times modeled with the **TransitFit**. ( $O - C$ )<sub>39</sub> are calculated from Equation (4), which include six mid-transit times from the literature, which have not published their raw light curves. Epoch = 0 is the transit on 2010 April 18.

| Epoch | $T_m + 2450000$<br>(BJD <sub>TDB</sub> ) | ( $O - C$ ) <sub>33</sub><br>(days) | ( $O - C$ ) <sub>39</sub><br>(days) | Ref |
|-------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| -105  | 4860.02786 ± 0.00147*                    | -                                   | -0.00143                            | (a) |
| -24   | 5203.02477 ± 0.00031                     | 0.00103                             | 0.00100                             | (a) |
| -4    | 5287.71417 ± 0.00129                     | 0.00093                             | 0.00090                             | (a) |
| -3    | 5291.94780 ± 0.00014                     | 2×10 <sup>-6</sup>                  | -0.00003                            | (a) |
| 0     | 5304.65218 ± 0.00003*                    | -                                   | 0.00014                             | (b) |
| 5     | 5325.82462 ± 0.00019                     | -0.00010                            | -0.00013                            | (a) |
| 9     | 5342.76176 ± 0.00017                     | -0.00042                            | -0.00044                            | (a) |
| 260   | 6405.62370 ± 0.00090*                    | -                                   | 0.00104                             | (b) |
| 293   | 6545.36220 ± 0.00030*                    | -                                   | 0.00096                             | (b) |
| 421   | 7087.37859 ± 0.00027                     | 0.00092                             | 0.00093                             | (f) |
| 425   | 7104.31615 ± 0.00022                     | 0.00018                             | 0.00019                             | (f) |
| 427   | 7112.78497 ± 0.00059                     | 0.00061                             | 0.00061                             | (d) |
| 430   | 7125.48846 ± 0.00066                     | 0.00076                             | 0.00077                             | (d) |
| 431   | 7129.72222 ± 0.00059                     | -0.00077                            | -0.00076                            | (d) |
| 431   | 7129.72248 ± 0.00017*                    | -                                   | -0.00009                            | (b) |
| 439   | 7163.59786 ± 0.00054                     | -0.00025                            | -0.00024                            | (d) |
| 443   | 7180.53648 ± 0.00029                     | -0.00008                            | -0.00008                            | (d) |
| 447   | 7197.47407 ± 0.00036                     | -0.00061                            | -0.00061                            | (d) |
| 498   | 7413.43284 ± 0.00017*                    | -                                   | -0.00139                            | (c) |
| 502   | 7430.37176 ± 0.00024                     | -0.00050                            | -0.00049                            | (f) |
| 509   | 7460.01250 ± 0.00027                     | -0.00101                            | -0.00100                            | (c) |
| 521   | 7510.82650 ± 0.00028                     | -0.00121                            | -0.00120                            | (c) |
| 524   | 7523.53005 ± 0.00084                     | -0.00101                            | -0.00099                            | (d) |
| 546   | 7616.68881 ± 0.00018                     | -0.00107                            | -0.00106                            | (c) |
| 596   | 7828.41831 ± 0.00175                     | 0.00317                             | 0.00319                             | (f) |
| 610   | 7887.70283 ± 0.00380                     | 0.00431                             | 0.00433                             | (d) |
| 614   | 7904.63913 ± 0.00068                     | 0.00298                             | 0.00300                             | (d) |
| 618   | 7921.57728 ± 0.00119                     | 0.00323                             | 0.00325                             | (d) |
| 685   | 8205.28530 ± 0.00068                     | -0.00051                            | -0.00048                            | (f) |
| 689   | 8222.22388 ± 0.00023                     | 0.00003                             | 0.00006                             | (f) |
| 690   | 8226.45934 ± 0.00094                     | 0.00063                             | 0.00066                             | (d) |
| 766   | 8548.28091 ± 0.00015                     | -0.00039                            | -0.00036                            | (f) |
| 778   | 8599.09385 ± 0.00047                     | -0.00091                            | -0.00088                            | (f) |
| 1029  | 9661.95581 ± 0.00083                     | 0.00064                             | 0.00069                             | (f) |
| 1031  | 9670.42420 ± 0.00085                     | 0.00021                             | 0.00027                             | (e) |
| 1032  | 9674.65908 ± 0.00080                     | 0.00043                             | 0.00048                             | (e) |
| 1035  | 9687.36250 ± 0.00065                     | 0.00042                             | 0.00047                             | (e) |
| 1041  | 9712.77120 ± 0.00379                     | 0.00116                             | 0.00121                             | (f) |
| 1045  | 9729.70682 ± 0.00096                     | -0.00052                            | -0.00047                            | (f) |

**Notes.** Data Source: (a) Hartman et al. (2011) (b) Stevenson et al. (2016) (c) Wakeford et al. (2017), (d) von Essen et al. (2019), (e) TESS and (f) this study.

\* :  $T_m$  adopted from the literature.

**Table 8.** The planet-to-star radius ratio ( $R_p/R_*$ ), transit depth, and quadratic LDCs of HAT-P-26 b in different filters, as obtained by `TransitFit` using coupled fitting of LDCs.

| Filter        | Mid-wavelength<br>( $\mu\text{m}$ ) | Bandwidth<br>( $\mu\text{m}$ ) | $R_p/R_*$           | Transit Depth         | $u_0$             | $u_1$             |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $g'$ -band    | 0.475                               | 0.139                          | $0.0755 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.00570 \pm 0.0001$  | $0.942 \pm 0.012$ | $-0.12 \pm 0.01$  |
| $r'$ -band    | 0.620                               | 0.124                          | $0.0707 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.00500 \pm 0.00008$ | $0.945 \pm 0.013$ | $-0.12 \pm 0.01$  |
| $i'$ -band    | 0.770                               | 0.130                          | $0.0736 \pm 0.0005$ | $0.00541 \pm 0.00008$ | $0.906 \pm 0.013$ | $-0.09 \pm 0.01$  |
| $z'$ -band    | 0.967                               | 0.256                          | $0.0728 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.00530 \pm 0.00009$ | $0.929 \pm 0.012$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.01$  |
| $R$ -band     | 0.635                               | 0.107                          | $0.0697 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.00486 \pm 0.00008$ | $0.927 \pm 0.012$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.01$  |
| $I$ -band     | 0.880                               | 0.289                          | $0.0752 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.00566 \pm 0.00006$ | $0.720 \pm 0.008$ | $0.008 \pm 0.007$ |
| TESS*         | 0.787                               | 0.400                          | $0.0455 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.00207 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.39 \pm 0.20$   | $0.58 \pm 0.22$   |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.813                               | 0.025                          | $0.0711 \pm 0.0005$ | $0.00506 \pm 0.00007$ | $0.719 \pm 0.008$ | $0.009 \pm 0.007$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.838                               | 0.025                          | $0.0755 \pm 0.0006$ | $0.00570 \pm 0.00008$ | $0.504 \pm 0.009$ | $0.108 \pm 0.009$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.863                               | 0.025                          | $0.0738 \pm 0.0005$ | $0.00544 \pm 0.00008$ | $0.495 \pm 0.009$ | $0.116 \pm 0.009$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.888                               | 0.025                          | $0.0725 \pm 0.0005$ | $0.00526 \pm 0.00007$ | $0.495 \pm 0.009$ | $0.116 \pm 0.009$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.913                               | 0.025                          | $0.0709 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00503 \pm 0.00005$ | $0.467 \pm 0.006$ | $0.116 \pm 0.006$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.938                               | 0.025                          | $0.0715 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00511 \pm 0.00005$ | $0.464 \pm 0.006$ | $0.120 \pm 0.006$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.963                               | 0.025                          | $0.0729 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.00531 \pm 0.00006$ | $0.425 \pm 0.008$ | $0.127 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 0.988                               | 0.025                          | $0.0724 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.00525 \pm 0.00006$ | $0.428 \pm 0.008$ | $0.116 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 1.013                               | 0.025                          | $0.0718 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.00516 \pm 0.00006$ | $0.428 \pm 0.008$ | $0.121 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 1.038                               | 0.025                          | $0.0705 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00498 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.408 \pm 0.005$ | $0.127 \pm 0.006$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 1.063                               | 0.025                          | $0.0699 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00489 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.409 \pm 0.005$ | $0.127 \pm 0.006$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 1.088                               | 0.025                          | $0.0703 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00494 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.390 \pm 0.007$ | $0.129 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 1.113                               | 0.025                          | $0.0706 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.00499 \pm 0.00006$ | $0.391 \pm 0.007$ | $0.131 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G102 | 1.138                               | 0.025                          | $0.0715 \pm 0.0004$ | $0.00511 \pm 0.00006$ | $0.385 \pm 0.007$ | $0.137 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.126                               | 0.031                          | $0.0724 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00524 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.381 \pm 0.005$ | $0.129 \pm 0.005$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.156                               | 0.029                          | $0.0710 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00504 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.378 \pm 0.005$ | $0.132 \pm 0.005$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.185                               | 0.028                          | $0.0692 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00478 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.358 \pm 0.006$ | $0.144 \pm 0.007$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.212                               | 0.027                          | $0.0703 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00494 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.366 \pm 0.006$ | $0.129 \pm 0.007$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.239                               | 0.027                          | $0.0724 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00525 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.365 \pm 0.006$ | $0.134 \pm 0.007$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.266                               | 0.027                          | $0.0716 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00512 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.348 \pm 0.005$ | $0.150 \pm 0.005$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.292                               | 0.027                          | $0.0699 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00488 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.346 \pm 0.005$ | $0.154 \pm 0.005$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.319                               | 0.026                          | $0.0705 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00497 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.328 \pm 0.007$ | $0.175 \pm 0.009$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.345                               | 0.027                          | $0.0714 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00510 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.334 \pm 0.007$ | $0.160 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.372                               | 0.027                          | $0.0715 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00512 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.329 \pm 0.007$ | $0.171 \pm 0.009$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.400                               | 0.028                          | $0.0735 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00540 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.309 \pm 0.005$ | $0.193 \pm 0.007$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.428                               | 0.029                          | $0.0739 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00546 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.308 \pm 0.005$ | $0.192 \pm 0.007$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.457                               | 0.029                          | $0.0719 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00517 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.285 \pm 0.008$ | $0.22 \pm 0.01$   |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.487                               | 0.031                          | $0.0716 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00513 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.287 \pm 0.007$ | $0.21 \pm 0.01$   |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.519                               | 0.032                          | $0.0694 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00482 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.290 \pm 0.008$ | $0.21 \pm 0.01$   |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.551                               | 0.034                          | $0.0688 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00473 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.257 \pm 0.005$ | $0.228 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.586                               | 0.036                          | $0.0701 \pm 0.0002$ | $0.00491 \pm 0.00003$ | $0.250 \pm 0.005$ | $0.238 \pm 0.008$ |
| HST/WFC3 G141 | 1.624                               | 0.039                          | $0.0689 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.00474 \pm 0.00004$ | $0.212 \pm 0.006$ | $0.26 \pm 0.01$   |

**Note:** TESS transits are fitted separately as there is substantial blended light in TESS's recovery of the photometry of HAT-P-26.

**Table 9.** The initial values and priors used to model the planetary parameters for HAT-P-26 b from TESS data.

| Parameter        | Priors                   | Prior distribution    |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| $P$ (days)       | 4.234516                 | Fixed                 |
| $T_0$ (BJD)      | $2455304.65122 \pm 0.01$ | Gaussian distribution |
| $i$ (deg)        | 87.95                    | Fixed                 |
| $a/R_*$          | 12.42                    | Fixed                 |
| $R_p/R_*$ (TESS) | (0.03, 0.05)             | Uniform distribution  |
| $e$              | 0                        | Fixed                 |

#### 4.2. The Frequency Analysis of TTVs

The previous TTV analysis of HAT-P-26 b by von Essen et al. (2019) found cyclic variation with a period of  $\sim 270$  epochs. In this work, we re-investigate the TTVs using the timing from our refitting result in Table 7. The Generalized Lomb-Scargle periodogram (GLS, Zechmeister & Kürster 2009) from the PyAstronomy<sup>9</sup> routines (Czesla et al. 2019) was used to search for periodicity in the timing-residual data.

Firstly, we performed a GLS analysis on our 33 refitted timing residuals based on Equation (3). The result is shown as a periodogram in Figure 5a. In this periodogram, the highest-power peak has a strength of 0.568 at a frequency of  $0.0044 \pm 0.0001$  cycles/period ( $\simeq 227$  epochs) with a false alarm probability (FAP) of 0.005%.

The frequency of the highest-power peak is assumed to be the frequency of the cyclic TTV of the system. In order to find the amplitude of the cyclic variation, the same procedure as described in von Essen et al. (2019) is used. The timing residuals were fitted through a fitting function:

$$TTV(E) = A_{TTV} \sin(2\pi fE - \phi), \quad (5)$$

where  $A_{TTV}$  is the amplitude (in minutes) of the timing perturbation,  $f$  is the frequency on the highest peak of the power periodogram, and  $\phi$  is the orbital phase at  $E = 0$ . From the fitting, an amplitude of  $A_{TTV} = 1.28 \pm 0.14$  minutes and an initial orbital phase of  $\phi = 0.08 \pm 0.08$  is obtained. The best-fitting model provides  $\chi_{\text{red}}^2 = 1.98$  and  $\text{BIC} = 68.33$ . The timing residuals with the best fit of sinusoidal variability are plotted in Figure 5b. This period is much shorter than the period obtained by von Essen et al. (2019).

The difference in the TTV period might be caused by differences in our datasets. There are six transit times in von Essen et al. (2019)'s analysis (one transit time from Hartman et al. (2011), four transit times from Stevenson et al. (2016) and one transit time from Wakeford et al. (2017)) which have not been used in this work, as their raw light curves have yet to be published. In order to answer whether these six transit times affect the TTV periodicity, we also perform the GLS analysis on the combined set of 39 epochs, using the ephemeris of Equation (4).


The GLS analysis for these 39 epochs detects three periodicity peaks with  $\text{FAP} < 0.005\%$ , shown in Figure 6a. The three corresponding best-fit sinusoidal functions are shown in Table 10. The timing residuals with the best-fit sinusoidal variability for each power peak detection are plotted in Figure 6b. From these three power peaks, there is a peak with a frequency of  $0.0045 \pm 0.0001$  cycle/period, which has a frequency similar to the frequency of the power peak of the 33 `TransitFit` refitted timing. While the other two peak frequencies,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , could be harmonics of this frequency ( $f_3$ ). Therefore, HAT-P-26 b timing is consistent with a sinusoidal variation with a frequency of  $0.0044 \pm 0.0001$  cycle/period.

There are many possible causes of the TTV signal. For example, stellar activity Rabus et al. (2009); Barros et al. (2013) or gravitational interaction from an additional planet in the system. The variations due to the stellar activity are likely to be ruled out as von Essen et al. (2019) show that there is no spot modulation within the precision limit of the data within three years. We therefore instead consider the possibility of the presence of an additional planet.


Given the frequency of  $0.0044 \pm 0.0001$  cycle/period, and the assumption of a co-planar orbit, we model an additional exoplanet with an orbital period near the first-order resonance of HAT-P-26 b. In case of a first-order mean-motion resonance,  $j:j-1$ , Lithwick et al. (2012) allows us to calculate the additional planet mass as:

$$V = P \frac{\mu'}{\pi j^{2/3} (j-1)^{1/3} \Delta} \left( -f - \frac{3 Z_{free}^*}{2 \Delta} \right), \quad (6)$$


<sup>9</sup> PyAstronomy: <https://github.com/sczesla/PyAstronomy>



**Figure 2.** *Left panels:* Normalized, phased-folded HAT-P-26 b transit light curves observed using the SPEARNET telescope network (gray dots) with the best-fitting model from `TransitFit` (solid lines). The red dots show the light curves binned into 5 minute intervals. *Right panels:* The corresponding residual lightcurves after models are subtracted. Both the light curves and the residuals have arbitrary vertical offsets for clarity.



**Figure 3.** Normalized, phased-folded HAT-P-26 b transit light curves from HST/WFC3, reduced using the *Iraclis* package (dots). Three sets of observations are shown, with the light curves and *TransitFit* models in the left-hand panels, and the corresponding residual differences in the right-hand panels. The top-left, top-right and bottom pairs of panels respectively show the G141 grism observations from 2016 March 12, the G141 grism observations from 2016 May 02, and the G102 prism observations from 2016 October 16 (bottom). The light curves and the residuals have arbitrary offsets for clarity.



**Figure 4.** Normalized, phased-folded HAT-P-26 b transit light curves from the TESS (gray dots, left panels) with the best-fitting model from `TransitFit` (solid lines). Their corresponding residuals are shown in the right panels. The light curves and the residuals have arbitrary offsets for clarity.

**Table 10.** The detected frequencies with the best-fit parameters of the sinusoidal functions, considering all 39 mid-transit times.

| Frequencies<br>(cycle/period) | Power | FAP     | $A_{TTV}$<br>(minutes) | $\phi$           | $\chi^2_{red}$ | BIC    |
|-------------------------------|-------|---------|------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|
| $f_1 = 0.0033 \pm 0.0002$     | 0.558 | 0.0008% | $1.17 \pm 0.12$        | $-0.20 \pm 0.03$ | 3.36           | 131.52 |
| $f_2 = 0.0067 \pm 0.0001$     | 0.545 | 0.001%  | $0.62 \pm 0.10$        | $-0.37 \pm 0.08$ | 4.92           | 189.28 |
| $f_3 = 0.0045 \pm 0.0001$     | 0.510 | 0.005%  | $1.18 \pm 0.13$        | $-0.16 \pm 0.03$ | 3.53           | 137.76 |

where  $V$  is the amplitude of TTV (from our analysis,  $V = 1.28$  minutes),  $P$  is the orbital period of HAT-P-26 b,  $\mu'$  is the outer-planet mass,  $\Delta$  is the normalized distance to resonance,  $f$  is the sum of the Laplace coefficients with order-unity values, and  $Z_{free}^*$  is the dynamical quantity that controls the TTV signal. From the analysis, if an additional planet has 2:1 orbital resonance with HAT-P-26 b (i.e.  $P \sim 8.47$  days), we find that the mass of the additional planet could be around  $0.02M_{Jup}$  ( $6.36M_{\oplus}$ ).


## 5. ATMOSPHERIC MODELING

Previous studies of HAT-P-26 b via near-infrared transmission spectroscopy found a significant detection of  $H_2O$  in the atmosphere (Stevenson et al. 2016; Wakeford et al. 2017; MacDonald & Madhusudhan 2019). Optical analysis by MacDonald & Madhusudhan (2019) found evidence of metal hydrides, with three potential candidates identified as TiH, CrH, and ScH. The derived temperature from their study was  $563_{-55}^{+59}$  K, with a temperature gradient of  $\sim 80$  K. To confirm the presence of metal hydrides in the optical and the  $H_2O$  at near-infrared wavelengths, we re-investigated the chemical composition of the HAT-P-26 b's atmosphere using the combined spectrophotometry from optical ground-based observations and the optical/near-infrared observations by HST. Our fitted  $R_p/R_*$  values using `TransitFit` are consistent with the values provided by Wakeford et al. (2017) in both optical and near-infrared wavebands as shown in Figure 8.

Retrieval of the transmission spectrum was performed using the open-source atmospheric retrieval framework (TauREx 3,<sup>10</sup> Al-Refaie et al. 2021) using the nested sampling routines from `MultiNest` (Feroz et al. 2009) with 1000 live points. The 38 transit depths from Table 8 are used to retrieve planetary atmospheric compositions. The stellar parameters and the planet mass were adopted from Hartman et al. (2011). The stellar emission spectrum was simulated from a PHOENIX model (Husser et al. 2013) for a star of  $T_* = 4700$  K. We adopted an isothermal temperature profile and a parallel plane atmosphere of 100 layers, with a pressure ranging from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^6$  Pa with logarithmic spacing.

In keeping with MacDonald & Madhusudhan (2019), we modelled the molecular opacities of metal hydrides, including TiH (Burrows et al. 2005), CrH (Burrows et al. 2002) and ScH (Lodi et al. 2015). We also added the presence of the

<sup>10</sup> TauREx 3: [https://github.com/ucl-exoplanets/TauREx3\\_public/](https://github.com/ucl-exoplanets/TauREx3_public/)




**Figure 5.** (a) GLS periodogram for timing residuals of 33 mid-transit times obtained from the `TransitFit`. The dashed lines indicate the FAP levels. (b)  $O - C$  diagram and the best fit of sinusoidal variability from the frequency of the highest power peak, FAP = 0.005% (purple dashed line).

following active trace gases: TiO (McKemmish et al. 2019), VO (McKemmish et al. 2016), K and Na (Allard et al. 2019), MgH (Owens et al. 2022), SiH (Yurchenko et al. 2018),  $N_2$  (Western et al. 2018),  $O_2$  (Somogyi et al. 2021) and  $H_2O$  (Polyansky et al. 2018), and the inactive gases of He/ $H_2$  (Abel et al. 2012). The molecular line lists are taken from the ExoMol (Tennyson et al. 2016), HITRAN (Gordon et al. 2016), and HITEMP (Rothman & Gordon 2014) databases. We also include collision-induced absorption between  $H_2$  molecules (Abel et al. 2011; Fletcher et al. 2018) and between  $H_2$  and He (Abel et al. 2012) in the transmission spectrum model. A list of the parameters used in the `TauREx 3` retrieval is shown in Table 11.

The modelling results are shown in Table 11, and Figures 7 and 8. HAT-P-26 b’s atmosphere is modelled to have a 1 mbar temperature of  $590^{+20}_{-30}$  K, which is cooler than the estimated equilibrium temperature ( $\approx 1000$  K) (Hartman et al. 2011). This temperature is compatible with the calculated 1 mbar temperature of MacDonald & Madhusudhan (2019) ( $563^{+59}_{-55}$  K). Combining the result with our cloud top pressure at  $P_c > 10^4$  Pa, HAT-P-26 b can be assumed to have a cloud- and haze-free atmosphere. The He/ $H_2$  ratio of 0.01 indicates that  $H_2$  dominates the atmosphere. The helium-poor atmosphere in the K-type star is puzzling as it cannot be explained by the lack of coronal iron emission from the host star to excite the helium in the atmosphere into the observable metastable state Poppenhaeger (2022). However, due to a large uncertainty on the He/ $H_2$  ratio, the ratio can go up to 0.10, which is around half of the solar He/ $H_2$  ratio of 0.17. We also obtain an abundance of  $12^{+2}_{-2}\%$   $H_2O$ . The transmission analysis of the optical data suggests high TiO ( $0.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}\%$  TiO). The other modelled chemical compositions should represent less than 0.01% of the atmosphere.

In order to compare the result to MacDonald & Madhusudhan (2019), which uses the same HST/WFC3 data, we used `TauREx 3` to model the transmission spectra obtained from only the HST/WFC3 data. Figures 9 and 10, show that this model retrieves an  $H_2O$  abundance of  $0.06^{+0.03}_{-0.03}\%$   $H_2O$ , which is  $\sim 25$  times lower than the abundance obtained



**Figure 6.** (a) GLS periodogram of timing residuals of all 39 mid-transit times, showing three peaks with FAP < 0.005%. The dashed lines indicate the FAP levels. (b)  $O - C$  diagram and the best-fit sinusoid for the detected frequencies  $f_1$  (top),  $f_2$  (middle), and  $f_3$  (bottom; purple dashed line).

**Notes:** <sup>a</sup> : Mid-transit times ( $T_m$ ) are adopted from the transit light curve observed by HATNet field 376 (Hartman et al. 2011),

<sup>b</sup> :  $T_m$  adopted from Stevenson et al. (2016),

<sup>c</sup> :  $T_m$  adopted from the transit light curves observed by HST/STIS (Wakeford et al. 2017).



**Table 11.** The parameters and priors used for **TauREx 3** retrieval, and the best-fit retrieved parameters, based on fitting only the HST/WFC3 data, and based on fitting all available datasets.

| Parameter                  | Priors      | Scale  | Retrieved Value        |                         |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                            |             |        | HST/WFC3               | All                     |
| $R_p$ ( $R_{\text{Jup}}$ ) | (0.5, 0.6)  | linear | $0.57^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$ | $0.57^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$  |
| $T$ (K)                    | (400, 1200) | linear | $560^{+30}_{-40}$      | $590^{+20}_{-30}$       |
| H <sub>2</sub> O           | (-4, -0.2)  | log    | $-3.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$   | $-0.91^{+0.04}_{-0.05}$ |
| TiO                        | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-6.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$   | $-3.0^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$    |
| VO                         | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-11^{+1}_{-1}$        | $-10^{+1}_{-1}$         |
| Na                         | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| K                          | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-8^{+3}_{-3}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| ScH                        | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| TiH                        | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-8^{+3}_{-3}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| CrH                        | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-8^{+3}_{-3}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| MgH                        | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-9^{+2}_{-2}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| SiH                        | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| N <sub>2</sub>             | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| O <sub>2</sub>             | (-12, -1)   | log    | $-6^{+3}_{-4}$         | $-7^{+3}_{-3}$          |
| He/H <sub>2</sub>          | (-3, -0.04) | log    | $-2.3^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$   | $-1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$    |
| $P_{\text{clouds}}$ (Pa)   | (1, 5)      | log    | $4.8^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$    | $4.6^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$     |

by [MacDonald & Madhusudhan \(2019\)](#) ( $1.5^{+2.1}_{-0.9}$  % H<sub>2</sub>O). The model also provides a cooler atmospheric temperature at 1 mbar (560 K). The differences in abundance are not caused by the discrepancy in the input transit depth, as our obtained HST/WFC3 transit depths from **TransitFit** are compatible with the depths obtained by [MacDonald & Madhusudhan \(2019\)](#).

Comparing our **TauREx 3** atmospheric retrieval using only HST/WFC3 datasets to that of [MacDonald & Madhusudhan \(2019\)](#), both models provide similar transit depths in the HST/WFC3 spectral range (0.8-1.7  $\mu\text{m}$ ). The difference in depth can be seen in the optical: when including our optical ground-based observations, a shallower transit depth is recovered than for the HST/WFC3 model alone. These results show that the HST/WFC3 spectra alone do not adequately constrain the H<sub>2</sub>O abundance.


This also explains the difference in the H<sub>2</sub>O abundance between our models. In [MacDonald & Madhusudhan \(2019\)](#), the HST/WFC3 transit depths are combined with those from HST/STIS and from Spitzer at 3.6 and 4.5  $\mu\text{m}$ . In our work, the HST/WFC3 depths are combined with the ground-based observations. We do not include the HST/STIS transits and Spitzer transits here as we were unable to obtain the raw light curves. Simply adding the published HST/STIS and Spitzer transit depths to our atmospheric analysis would not be a suitable solution, since those depths result from different physical parameters (orbital period, semi-major axis and inclination).

To constrain the abundances of H<sub>2</sub>O and metal hydrides in the future, broadband transmission spectra covering both optical and near-infrared wavebands are needed. We anticipate this will be fulfilled through planned JWST observations.

## 6. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This work performs multi-band photometric follow-up observations of the Neptune-mass planet HAT-P-26 b, using a range of space and ground-based data, including new data gathered from the SPEARNET telescopes network. A total of 13 new transit light curves were combined with published light curves from HST, TESS, and ground-based telescopes, to model the physical parameters of HAT-P-26 b using the **TransitFit** light-curve analysis package.


By fitting these observations, we derived the following parameters of HAT-P-26 b: an inclination of  $i = 87.95 \pm 0.06$  deg, a star-planet separation of  $12.42 \pm 0.07 R_*$ , plus the mid-transit times for each transit event and the planet-to-star radius ratio ( $R_p/R_*$ ) for each filter. The obtained parameters are consistent with previous works within  $2\sigma$ . Limb-darkening parameters for the HST/WFC3 G102 and G104 grism data are compatible with the computed values



**Figure 7.** Posterior probability distribution for our HAT-P-26 b atmospheric model, using nested sampling and the TauREx 3 package.

from the ExoCTK. However, the fitted optical limb-darkening from `TransitFit` shows inconsistency with the ExoCTK calculated values. TESS data was modeled separately due to blending from background sources.

Based on the mid-transit times from 33 epochs obtained from `TransitFit`, we refined the linear ephemeris, finding  $T_m^c(E) = 2455304.65201_{-0.00032}^{+0.00032} + E 4.234502_{-0.000001}^{+0.000001}$ . We performed a periodogram analysis to search for TTV signals that might be caused by an additional planet in the HAT-P-26 system. A TTV amplitude of  $1.28 \pm 0.14$  minutes was detected with a frequency of  $0.0044 \pm 0.0001$  cycle/period, equivalent to a sinusoidal period of  $\simeq 227$  epoch. This is shorter than the period presented by [von Essen et al. \(2019\)](#) ( $\simeq 270$  epoch). If the TTV amplitude is



**Figure 8.** Top panel: The best-fit transmission spectrum of HAT-P-26 b, with synthetic models generated by `TauREx 3` (blue solid line), with their  $1\sigma$  error (blue bands). The red squares are the binned best-fit transmission spectra. The gray stars are the transmission spectra obtained by [Wakeford et al. \(2017\)](#). The observed data are binned using the bandpass in the bottom panel.

due to the presence of a third-body orbit that is near the first-order resonance of HAT-P-26 b ( $\sim 8.47$  days), its mass could be around  $0.02M_{\text{Jup}}$  ( $6.36M_{\oplus}$ ).


Transit depths obtained from the `TransitFit` package are used to model the atmospheric composition of HAT-P-26 b using `TauREx 3`. At 1 mbar, HAT-P-26 b has an atmospheric temperature of  $590^{+20}_{-30}$  K, with a cloud-top pressure of  $P_c > 10^4$  Pa. HAT-P-26 b’s atmosphere has an abundance of  $12^{+2}_{-2}\%$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , which is eight times higher than the abundance obtained by [MacDonald & Madhusudhan \(2019\)](#). Another main atmospheric component is TiO with a  $0.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}\%$  abundance. The other modelled chemical components should represent less than 0.01% of the atmosphere.

It is important to note that the atmospheric composition varies significantly based on the precise reduction of the input transmission spectra. Without ground-based optical data, the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  abundance can be modelled as being as low as  $0.06^{+0.03}_{-0.03}\%$ , while [MacDonald & Madhusudhan \(2019\)](#), combining HST/WFC3 with Spitzer 3.6 and  $4.5\mu\text{m}$  data, obtained an  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  abundance of  $1.5^{+2.1}_{-0.9}\%$ . Therefore, in order to obtain accurate abundances, transits covering a broad wavelength range are needed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is based on the observations made with ULTRASPEC at the Thai National Observatory and the Thai Robotic Telescopes operated by the National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand (Public Organization). The data used in this work also included the available data based on observations with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI) operated by AURA, Inc. The publicly available HST observations presented here were taken as part of proposal 14260, led by PI: Drake Deming and proposal 14110, led by David Sing. These were obtained from the Hubble Archive, which is part of the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). Additionally, this work included the data collected by the TESS mission, which the funding provided by the NASA Explorer Program. TESS data is also publicly available via MAST. This research made use of the open source Python package `exoctk`, the Exoplanet Characterization Toolkit ([Bourque et al. 2021](#)).


This work presents results from the European Space Agency (ESA) space mission *Gaia*. *Gaia* data are being processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC). Funding for the DPAC is provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the *Gaia* MultiLateral Agree-



**Figure 9.** Posterior probability distribution for our HAT-P-26 b atmospheric model, calculated using only the HST/WFC3 data, via nested sampling with TauREx 3.

ment (MLA). The *Gaia* mission website is <https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia>. The *Gaia* archive website is <https://archives.esac.esa.int/gaia>. We thank Angelos Tsiaras and Quentin Changeat for the suggestion on Iraclis and the instruction of TauREx.

This work is supported by the grant from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan. The grant numbers are MOST 109-2112-M-007-007, MOST 110-2112-M-007-035, and MOST 111-2112-M-007-035. This work is also partially supported by National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand (Public Organization) research grant.



**Figure 10.** Top panel: The best-fit transmission spectrum of HAT-P-26 b, based on fitting only the HST/WFC3 data with synthetic models generated by **TauREx 3** (blue solid line), with their  $1\sigma$  error (blue bands). The red squares are the binned best-fit transmission spectra. The data are binned using the bandpass in the bottom panel.

*Facilities:* HST/WFC3 (G141 and G102), TESS, 2.4-m (TNT), 0.5-m (TRT-TNO), 0.7-m (TRT-GAO) and 0.7-m (TRT-SRO)

*Software:* **sextractor** (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), **Astrometry.net** (Lang et al. 2010), **TransitFit** (Hayes et al. 2021), **Iraclis** (Tsiaras et al. 2016b) and **TauREx** (Al-Refaie et al. 2021).


## APPENDIX

### A. INDIVIDUAL SPEARNET TRANSIT LIGHT CURVES.


### B. POSTERIOR PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION FOR THE LINEAR EPHEMERIS MODEL MCMC FITTING PARAMETERS.

## REFERENCES

- Abel, M., Frommhold, L., Li, X., & Hunt, K. L. C. 2011, *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 115, 6805, doi: [10.1021/jp109441f](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp109441f)
- . 2012, *JChPh*, 136, 044319, doi: [10.1063/1.3676405](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3676405)
- Agol, E., & Fabrycky, D. C. 2018, in *Handbook of Exoplanets*, ed. H. J. Deeg & J. A. Belmonte, 7, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-55333-7\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55333-7_7)
- Agol, E., Steffen, J., Sari, R., & Clarkson, W. 2005, *MNRAS*, 359, 567, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08922.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08922.x)
- Al-Refaie, A. F., Changeat, Q., Waldmann, I. P., & Tinetti, G. 2021, *ApJ*, 917, 37, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac0252](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac0252)
- Allard, N. F., Spiegelman, F., Leininger, T., & Molliere, P. 2019, *A&A*, 628, A120, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935593](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935593)
- Bakos, G., Noyes, R. W., Kovács, G., et al. 2004, *PASP*, 116, 266, doi: [10.1086/382735](https://doi.org/10.1086/382735)
- Bakos, G., Afonso, C., Henning, T., et al. 2009, in *IAU Symposium*, Vol. 253, *IAU Symposium*, 354–357, doi: [10.1017/S174392130802663X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S174392130802663X)



**Figure A.1.** Individual SPEARNET transit light curves of HAT-P-26 b from the observations in 2015-2018. The light curves are normalized (Gray dot) and modeled by `TransitFit` (Solid line). The light curves are observed in  $g'$  (Blue),  $r'$  (Yellow),  $R$  (Orange),  $i'$  (Red),  $I$  (Dark-red), and  $z'$  (Brown) filters. The 5-min binned light curves are shown in the red dot.



**Figure A.2.** Individual SPEARNET transit light curves of HAT-P-26 b from the observations in 2015-2018. The light curves are normalized (Gray dot) and modeled by `TransitFit` (Solid line). The light curves are observed in  $g'$  (Blue),  $r'$  (Yellow),  $R$  (Orange),  $i'$  (Red),  $I$  (Dark-red), and  $z'$  (Brown) filters. The 5-min binned light curves are shown in the red dot. (Continue)

Barros, S. C. C., Boué, G., Gibson, N. P., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 430, 3032, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt111](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt111)

Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 393, doi: [10.1051/aas:1996164](https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:1996164)

Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Basri, G., et al. 2005, in *A Decade of Extrasolar Planets around Normal Stars*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 36

Bourque, M., Espinoza, N., Filippazzo, J., et al. 2021, *The Exoplanet Characterization Toolkit (ExoCTK)*, 1.0.0, Zenodo, doi: [10.5281/zenodo.4556063](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4556063)

Brande, J., Crossfield, I. J. M., Kreidberg, L., et al. 2022, *AJ*, 164, 197, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ac8b7e](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac8b7e)

Burrows, A., Dulick, M., Bauschlicher, C. W., J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 624, 988, doi: [10.1086/429366](https://doi.org/10.1086/429366)

Burrows, A., Ram, R. S., Bernath, P., Sharp, C. M., & Milsom, J. A. 2002, *ApJ*, 577, 986, doi: [10.1086/342242](https://doi.org/10.1086/342242)

Burt, J. A., Dragomir, D., Mollière, P., et al. 2021, *AJ*, 162, 87, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ac0432](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac0432)


Claret, A. 2000, *A&A*, 363, 1081

—. 2004, *A&A*, 428, 1001, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20041673](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20041673)

Czesla, S., Schröter, S., Schneider, C. P., et al. 2019, *PyA: Python astronomy-related packages*.

<http://ascl.net/1906.010>


Dhillon, V. S., Marsh, T. R., Atkinson, D. C., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 444, 4009, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1660](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1660)



**Figure B.1.** Posterior probability distribution of the linear ephemeris MCMC fitting parameters for the 33 mid-transit times obtained from the `TransitFit`.

- Edwards, B., Changeat, Q., Mori, M., et al. 2021, *AJ*, 161, 44, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/abc6a5](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/abc6a5)
- Feroz, F., Hobson, M. P., & Bridges, M. 2009, *MNRAS*, 398, 1601, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14548.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14548.x)
- Fletcher, L. N., Gustafsson, M., & Orton, G. S. 2018, *ApJS*, 235, 24, doi: [10.3847/1538-4365/aaa07a](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/aaa07a)
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, *PASP*, 125, 306, doi: [10.1086/670067](https://doi.org/10.1086/670067)
- Fulton, B. J., Shporer, A., Winn, J. N., et al. 2011, *The Astronomical Journal*, 142, 84, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/142/3/84](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/142/3/84)
- Glidic, K., Schlawin, E., Wiser, L., et al. 2022, *AJ*, 164, 19, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ac6cdb](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac6cdb)
- Gordon, I., Rothman, L. S., Wilzewski, J. S., et al. 2016, in *AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts*, Vol. 48, AAS/Division for Planetary Sciences Meeting Abstracts #48, 421.13
- Hartman, J. D., Bakos, G. Á., Kipping, D. M., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 138, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/138](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/728/2/138)
- Hayes, J. J. C., Kerins, E., Morgan, J. S., et al. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2103.12139. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.12139>
- Husser, T. O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 553, A6, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201219058](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219058)
- Jenkins, J. M., Twicken, J. D., McCauliff, S., et al. 2016, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 9913, *Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy IV*, ed. G. Chiozzi & J. C. Guzman, 99133E, doi: [10.1117/12.2233418](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2233418)
- Kanodia, S., & Wright, J. 2018, *Research Notes of the AAS*, 2, 4, doi: [10.3847/2515-5172/aaa4b7](https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/aaa4b7)
- Kreidberg, L. 2015, *PASP*, 127, 1161, doi: [10.1086/683602](https://doi.org/10.1086/683602)
- Kreidberg, L., Line, M. R., Thorngren, D., Morley, C. V., & Stevenson, K. B. 2018, *ApJL*, 858, L6, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aabfbc](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aabfbc)
- Kreidberg, L., Bean, J. L., Désert, J.-M., et al. 2014, *ApJL*, 793, L27, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/793/2/L27](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/793/2/L27)
- Lang, D., Hogg, D. W., Mierle, K., Blanton, M., & Roweis, S. 2010, *AJ*, 139, 1782, doi: [10.1088/0004-6256/139/5/1782](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/139/5/1782)
- Lithwick, Y., Xie, J., & Wu, Y. 2012, *ApJ*, 761, 122, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/761/2/122](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/761/2/122)
- Lodi, L., Yurchenko, S. N., & Tennyson, J. 2015, *Molecular Physics*, 113, 1998, doi: [10.1080/00268976.2015.1029996](https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2015.1029996)
- MacDonald, R. J., & Madhusudhan, N. 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 1292, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz789](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz789)
- McDonald, I., van Loon, J. T., Decin, L., et al. 2009, *MNRAS*, 394, 831, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.14370.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2008.14370.x)
- McDonald, I., Zijlstra, A. A., & Boyer, M. L. 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 343, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21873.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21873.x)





**Figure B.2.** Posterior probability distribution of the linear ephemeris MCMC fitting parameters for considering all 39 mid-transit times.

- McDonald, I., Zijlstra, A. A., & Watson, R. A. 2017, MNRAS, 471, 770, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1433](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1433)
- McKemmish, L. K., Masseron, T., Hoeijmakers, H. J., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 488, 2836, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1818](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1818)
- McKemmish, L. K., Yurchenko, S. N., & Tennyson, J. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 771, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw1969](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1969)
- Owens, A., Dooley, S., McLaughlin, L., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 511, 5448, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac371](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac371)
- Parviainen, H., & Aigrain, S. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 3821, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stv1857](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1857)
- Pepper, J., Pogge, R. W., DePoy, D. L., et al. 2007, PASP, 119, 923, doi: [10.1086/521836](https://doi.org/10.1086/521836)
- Pollacco, D. L., Skillen, I., Collier Cameron, A., et al. 2006, PASP, 118, 1407, doi: [10.1086/508556](https://doi.org/10.1086/508556)
- Polyansky, O. L., Kyuberis, A. A., Zobov, N. F., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 480, 2597, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1877](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1877)
- Pontoppidan, K. M., Barrientes, J., Blome, C., et al. 2022, ApJL, 936, L14, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ac8a4e](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac8a4e)
- Poppenhaeger, K. 2022, MNRAS, 512, 1751, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac507](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac507)
- Rabus, M., Alonso, R., Belmonte, J. A., et al. 2009, A&A, 494, 391, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200811110](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200811110)
- Rauer, H., Catala, C., Aerts, C., et al. 2014, Experimental Astronomy, 38, 249, doi: [10.1007/s10686-014-9383-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-014-9383-4)
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2014, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9143, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave, ed. J. Oschmann, Jacobus M., M. Clampin, G. G. Fazio, & H. A. MacEwen, 914320, doi: [10.1117/12.2063489](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2063489)
- Rothman, L. S., & Gordon, I. E. 2014, in 13th International HITRAN Conference, 49, doi: [10.5281/zenodo.11207](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11207)
- Rustamkulov, Z., Sing, D. K., Mukherjee, S., et al. 2023, Nature, doi: [10.1038/s41586-022-05677-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05677-y)
- Seager, S., & Deming, D. 2010, ARA&A, 48, 631, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081309-130837](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081309-130837)
- Seager, S., & Sasselov, D. D. 2000, ApJ, 537, 916, doi: [10.1086/309088](https://doi.org/10.1086/309088)
- Smith, A. M. S. e. a. 2014, Contributions of the Astronomical Observatory Skalnaté Pleso, 43, 500
- Somogyi, W., Yurchenko, S. N., & Yachmenev, A. 2021, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 155, 214303, doi: [10.1063/5.0063256](https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0063256)
- Speagle, J. S. 2020, MNRAS, 493, 3132, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa278](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa278)
- Stevenson, K. B., Bean, J. L., Seifahrt, A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 817, 141, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/141](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/141)
- Tennyson, J., Yurchenko, S. N., Al-Refaie, A. F., et al. 2016, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 327, 73, doi: [10.1016/j.jms.2016.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2016.05.002)

- Tinetti, G., Drossart, P., Eccleston, P., et al. 2018, *Experimental Astronomy*, 46, 135, doi: [10.1007/s10686-018-9598-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-018-9598-x)
- Tody, D. 1986, in *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, Vol. 627, *Instrumentation in astronomy VI*, ed. D. L. Crawford, 733, doi: [10.1117/12.968154](https://doi.org/10.1117/12.968154)
- Tody, D. 1993, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 52, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems II*, ed. R. J. Hanisch, R. J. V. Brissenden, & J. Barnes, 173
- Trifonov, T., Brahm, R., Espinoza, N., et al. 2021, *AJ*, 162, 283, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ac1bbe](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac1bbe)
- Tsiaras, A., Waldmann, I. P., Rocchetto, M., et al. 2016a, *ApJ*, 832, 202, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/832/2/202](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/832/2/202)
- Tsiaras, A., Rocchetto, M., Waldmann, I. P., et al. 2016b, *ApJ*, 820, 99, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/820/2/99](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/820/2/99)
- von Essen, C., Wedemeyer, S., Sosa, M. S., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 628, A116, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731966](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731966)
- Wakeford, H. R., Sing, D. K., Kataria, T., et al. 2017, *Science*, 356, 628, doi: [10.1126/science.aah4668](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah4668)
- Western, C. M., Carter-Blatchford, L., Crozet, P., et al. 2018, *JQSRT*, 219, 127, doi: [10.1016/j.jqsrt.2018.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2018.07.017)
- Wheatley, P. J., West, R. G., Goad, M. R., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 4476, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx2836](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2836)
- Wittrock, J. M., Dreizler, S., Reefe, M. A., et al. 2022, *AJ*, 164, 27, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/ac68e5](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ac68e5)
- Yurchenko, S. N., Szabó, I., Pyatenko, E., & Tennyson, J. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 3397, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2050](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2050)
- Zechmeister, M., & Kürster, M. 2009, *A&A*, 496, 577, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:200811296](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200811296)