Stacking disorder and thermal transport properties of α -RuCl₃

Heda Zhang,¹ Michael A McGuire,¹ Andrew F May,¹ Joy Chao,² Qiang Zheng,^{1,3} Miaofang Chi,²

Brian C Sales,¹ David G Mandrus,^{1, 3} Stephen E Nagler,⁴ Hu Miao,¹ Feng Ye,^{4,*} and Jiaqiang Yan^{1,[†](#page-7-1)}

¹Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

²Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

³Department of Materials Science and Engineering,

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

⁴Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

(Dated: March 8, 2023)

Van der Waals bonded layered materials are susceptible to stacking disorders, which can affect the physical properties of cleavable magnets, for example, α -RuCl₃. The recently debated thermal transport properties highlight the importance of a careful investigation of stacking issue in α -RuCl₃ and how it affects the physical properties. In this work, we performed a systematic investigation of the correlation between the layer stacking, structure transition, magnetic and thermal transport properties of different α -RuCl₃ crystals with T_N varying from 6 K to 7.6 K by measuring magnetic properties, specific heat, neutron single crystal diffraction, and thermal transport. A small population of stacking disorder suppresses T_N . α -RuCl₃ crystals with a single T_N in the range of 7.4 K-7.6 K have minimal amount of stacking disorder and show a well defined structure transition around 140 K upon cooling. For those crystals with T_N below 7 K, the structure transition occurs well below 140 K upon cooling and is incomplete, manifested by the coexistence of both high temperature and low temperature phases down to the lowest measurement temperature. Diffuse streaks were observed for these crystals but not for those with T_N above 7.4 K. For both types of crystals, oscillatory field dependent thermal conductivity and plateau-like feature in thermal Hall resistivity were observed in the field-induced disordered state that may be a quantum spin liquid. However, α -RuCl₃ crystals with with minimal amount of stacking disorder have a higher thermal conductivity that pushes the thermal Hall conductivity to be close to the half-integer quantized value. Our results demonstrate a strong correlation between the layer stacking, structure transition, magnetic and thermal transport properties, and highlight the importance of interlayer coupling in α -RuCl₃ despite the weak van der Waals bonding.

Shortly after the first experimental investigation of α -RuCl³ as the candidate material for Kitaev quantum spin liquid[\[1\]](#page-7-2), it was realized that the stacking sequence of the $RuCl₃$ honeycomb layers can affect the magnetic properties [\[2\]](#page-7-3). This is typical for van der Waals bonded cleavable magnets where nowadays the stacking degree of freedom of the two dimensional structure units has been employed to engineer magnetic ground states [\[3\]](#page-7-4). The stacking of $RuCl₃$ honeycomb layers relative to each other leads to different proposed crystal structures including the monoclinic $C2/m$, the rhombohedral $R\bar{3}$, and the trigonal $P3₁12$. At room temperature, most studies reported a $C2/m$ structure for α -RuCl₃. Upon cooling, this monoclinic structure becomes unstable and around $150 \text{ K } \alpha$ -RuCl₃ goes through a first order structure transition most likely to the rhombohedral $R\bar{3}$ structure [\[4\]](#page-7-5). In early studies of α -RuCl₃, more than one magnetic anomaly is typically observed in the temperature range 7-14 K [\[2,](#page-7-3) [5](#page-7-6)[–8\]](#page-7-7). The 14 K magnetic order was later confirmed to result from the $C2/m$ type stacking [\[2,](#page-7-3) [6,](#page-7-8) [9\]](#page-7-9). And it is believed that the mixing of different types of stacking gives rise to those magnetic anomalies in the temperature range 7 K-14 K.

With the intense materials synthesis effort, most α -RuCl³ crystals being studied nowadays have only single magnetic transition around $T_N = 7K$. This has been em-

ployed as a simple and convenient criteria for a quick check of crystal quality. However, T_N has been found to vary from $6K$ to $8K$ [\[10](#page-7-10)[–12\]](#page-7-11). The origin of this discrepancy is still unknown. Also unknown is whether and how this magnetic order is correlated with the first order structure transition at high temperature.

Recently, the intensively debated thermal transport properties of α -RuCl₃ calls for a thorough revisit to the materials issues of this fascinating compound [\[13\]](#page-7-12). The half-integer quantized thermal Hall conductance was believed to be one of the fingerprints for Majorana fermions of the fractionalized spin excitations in α -RuCl₃. However, the thermal Hall conductance in the field-induced disordered or quantum spin liquid state was found to be sample dependent and the experimental observation of half quantized value requires using α -RuCl₃ crystals with high longitudinal thermal conductivity [\[14](#page-7-13)[–20\]](#page-8-0). The other intriguing experimental observation is the oscillatory features of thermal conductivity as a function of in-plane magnetic field. These oscillatory features were reproduced by different groups but the origin is under hot debate. While some believed this is an intrinsic character of the magnetic phase of $T_N \approx 7K$ and attributed the observed oscillations to quantum oscillations of putative charge-neutral fermions [\[21,](#page-8-1) [22\]](#page-8-2), others believed that the oscillatory features have an extrinsic origin and

result from a sequence of field-induced magnetic phase transitions in crystals with stacking disorder [\[23,](#page-8-3) [24\]](#page-8-4).

All these interesting but debated results and interpretations highlight the importance of understanding the materials issues in α -RuCl₃. In this work, we performed a careful investigation of the correlation between the layer stacking, structure transition, magnetic and thermal transport properties of different α -RuCl₃ crystals with T_N varying from 6 K to 7.6 K. The amount of stacking disorder discussed in this work is far less than that in previous studies [\[2,](#page-7-3) [5–](#page-7-6)[8\]](#page-7-7) and may not induce significant magnetic anomalies at 10-14 K in magnetic and specific heat measurements. Based on the characterizations, we categorize our α -RuCl₃ crystals into two types. Type-I α -RuCl₃ single crystals with T_N above 7.2 K have minimal amount of stacking disorder and show a well defined structure transition around 140 K upon cooling. For type-II crystals with more stacking disorder and a lower T_N , the structure transition occurs below 140 K upon cooling and is incomplete, manifested by the coexistence of both high temperature and low temperature phases and the observation of diffuse streaks by neutron scattering below the structure transition. A small amount of stacking disorder suppresses T_N . For both types of crystals, oscillatory field dependent thermal conductivity and plateau like feature in thermal hall resistivity were observed in the widely believed field-induced quantum spin liquid state. However, α -RuCl₃ single crystals with T_N above 7.4 K have a higher thermal conductivity, which pushes the thermal Hall conductivity to be close to the half integer quantized value. Our results demonstrate a strong correlation between the layer stacking, structure transition, magnetic and thermal transport properties and highlight the importance of interlayer coupling in α -RuCl₃.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

 α -RuCl₃ used in this study were grown using two vapor transport techniques: self-selecting vapor growth with a small temperature gradient near the powder, and the conventional vapor transport technique with a large temperature gradient of 250◦C. The former is employed to grow thicker crystals for neutron single crystal diffraction measurements and the growth details can be found elsewhere [\[25\]](#page-8-5). The latter yields millimeter sized single crystals ideal for measurements of magnetic, thermodynamic, and thermal transport properties and the growth details are reported previously [\[21\]](#page-8-1). High pure $RuCl₃$ powder synthesized by reacting $RuO₂$ powder with $Al₂O₃$ -KCl salt[\[26\]](#page-8-6) or purchased from Furuya Metals (Japan) was used in most growths and they are found to be comparable with respect to disorder control. For the growths with a lower magnetic ordering temperature, a small temperature gradient along the growth ampoule was used

and those two temperatures were set up as 1075◦C and 1025◦C. We noticed that crystal quality and properties are sensitive to the total vapor pressure inside of the growth ampoule. This seems to be one of the critical factors for a reproducible growth of α -RuCl₃ with controlled degree of stacking disorder.

Elemental analysis on cleaved surfaces was performed using the wavelength dispersive (WDS) spectroscopy techniques. WDS measurement was performed using a JEOL JXA-8200X electron microprobe analyzer instrument equipped with five crystal-focusing spectrometers for wavelength dispersive x-ray spectroscopy. Magnetic properties were measured with a Quantum Design (QD) Magnetic Property Measurement System in the temperature range $2.0 \text{ K } \leq T \leq 300 \text{ K}$. Specific heat data below 30 K were collected using a QD Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS). The temperature dependence of 0 0 l reflections was monitored on a flat surface by a PANalytical X'Pert Pro MPD powder x-ray diffractometer using Cu $K_{\alpha 1}$ radiation. An Oxford PheniX closed cycle cryostat was used to measure from 300 K to 20 K.

Neutron diffraction experiments were carried out using the single crystal diffractometer CORELLI [\[27\]](#page-8-7) at SNS to study the crystal structure and the structural phase transition and to search for potential diffuse scattering arising from possible stacking disorder in crystals grown under different conditions. Single crystals around 60-200 miligrams are mounted inside a closed-cycle refrigerator with a base temperature of 6 K and the Mantidworkbench package was used for data reduction and analysis. We define the momentum transfer Q in $3D$ reciprocal space in \AA^{-1} as $Q = Ha^* + Kb^* + Lc^*$, in which H, K and L are Miller indices, and a^* , b^* , c^* are the lattice vectors in reciprocal space.

RESULTS

Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the temperature dependence of magnetization, specific heat, and 005 reflection illustrating the magnetic ordering and structure transition temperatures for two typical types of single crystals. We define the magnetic ordering temperature as the temperature where Cp(T) peaks. Type-I crystals have $T_N > 7.2 \text{ K}$ and show sharp anomalies at T_N in both temperature dependence of magnetization and specific heat. Results of one crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K (see Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)) are presented in this work as a representative of type-I crystals. For this crystal, the temperature dependence of high temperature magnetization (Fig. [1d](#page-2-0)) and 005 reflection suggest the structure transition occurs at about 140 K upon cooling (Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)) and about 170 K upon warming(Fig. [1e](#page-2-0)). Upon cooling below 140 K, the intensity of the peak sitting at $2\theta = 85.15$ degree decreases quickly upon further cooling and disappears around 130 K. Meanwhile, a peak sitting at $2\theta = 85.62$ degree appears and its intensity in-

FIG. 1. (color online) Magnetic order and structure transition in two typical types of α -RuCl₃ single crystals. As described in the text, type-I crystals have magnetic ordering temperatures above 7.2 K, while type-II crystals normally order magnetically below 7 K. (a,b) Temperature dependence of magnetization and specific heat (Cp) below 20 K. The magnetization was measured in a magnetic field of 1 kOe applied along the zig-zag direction (perpendicular to the Ru-Ru bond). The vertical dashed lines highlight the Neel temperature, T_N , defined as the temperature where Cp peaks. $T_N = 7.6$ K in (a) is 1.1K higher than that in (b). (c-e) Temperature dependence of magnetization and 005 reflection at 2θ near 84.5 degree in the temperature range 20 K-200 K for the crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K, a representative for type-I crystals. The temperature dependence of magnetization in (d) was measured in a magnetic field of 10 kOe applied perpendicular to the honeycomb plane. (f-h) Temperature dependence of magnetization and 005 reflection at 2 θ near 84.5 degree in the temperature range 20 K-200 K for the crystal with $T_N = 6.5$ K, an example for type-II crystals.

creases quickly upon cooling and saturates below about 120 K. Step-like features associated with the structure transition are observed in the high temperature magnetization at 140 K and 170 K upon cooling and warming, respectively. Similar features are observed for all α -RuCl₃ crystals with T_N above 7.2 K.

Type-II crystals (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)) normally have a T_N below 7 K and show broader transitions and weaker anomalies near T_N in magnetization and specific heat. Physical properties of one crystal with $T_N = 6.5$ K were presented in this work as an example of type-II crystals. Above T_N , some weak features were observed in both magnetization and specific heat in (b), in sharp contrast to (a). The structure transition also shows anomalous features distinct from those for type-I crystals. Upon cooling, the structure transition of the crystal with $T_N = 6.5 \text{ K}$ (see Fig. [1f](#page-2-0)) occurs around 60 K and the transition is not complete which is well illustrated by the coexistence of reflections from both high temperature and low temperature phases to the lowest temperature 20 K of our xray diffraction measurement. Upon warming from 20 K, the phase coexistence persists until about 170 K above which only the high temperature monoclinic phase remains(Fig. [1h](#page-2-0)). Accordingly, a wide loop was observed in the temperature dependence of magnetization (Fig. [1g](#page-2-0)). It is interesting to note that for both α -RuCl₃ crystals the structure transition occurs around 170 K upon warming. When screening crystals, we noticed that the structure transition can occur in a wide temperature range 50 K-140 K when measuring upon cooling. For type-II crystals, the phase coexistence is always observed for crystals with T_N below 7 K. Fig.S1 of Supporting Materials shows the x-ray diffraction results of two different crystals. Both crystals have $T_N = 7.1 \text{ K (from specific heat)}$ and the structure transition at 120 K upon cooling. However, one crystal shows a complete structure transition while the other one shows phase coexistence below 120 K. 120 K seems to be the lowest structure transition temperature that one α -RuCl₃ crystal can have before showing a sluggish first order structure transition and phase coexistence at low temperatures.

Considering the limited penetration depth of x-ray diffraction, we studied the structure of different pieces of pristine α -RuCl₃ crystals using single crystal neutron diffraction. All crystals studied with neutron diffraction were well characterized by measuring magnetic properties and/or specific heat before exposing to neutron beam. Multiple pieces with mass ranging from 6 mg to 200 mg and T_N ranging from 6 K to 7.6 K were checked. All crystals crystallize in $C2/m$ at room temperature but have

FIG. 2. (color online) Neutron single crystal diffraction found a sharp structure transition for the crystal with $T_N=7.6$ K but a sluggish structure transition and diffuse streaks for the crystal with $T_N=6.5$ K. (a) The thermal evolution of the $(1,1,6)$ reflection measured in cooling and warming confirms the sharp structural transition in the crystal with $T_N=7.6$ K. (b, c) The neutron diffraction patterns at 200 K (in the $C/2m$ phase) and 100 K (in the R³ phase) for the crystal with $T_N=7.6$ K. Extra reflections in (c) confirm the symmetry change at low temperatures. (d) The temperature evolution of $(1,1,3)$ reflection measured in cooling and warming of the crystal with $T_N = 6.5 \text{ K}$. (e, f) The neutron diffraction patterns at 200 K (above the structure transition) and 10 K (below the structure transition) for the crystal with $T_N=6.5$ K. The mosaic in (e) and the diffuse streaks in (f) are highlighted by the line cut shown in the right half of each panel (b,c,e,f) . The transformation matrix between the high-T $C2/m$ and low-T $R\bar{3}$ unit cell are: $\mathbf{a}_r = \mathbf{a}_m$, $\mathbf{b}_r = 1/2(-\mathbf{a}_m + \mathbf{b}_m)$, $\mathbf{c}_r = \mathbf{a}_m + 3\mathbf{c}_m$, where the subscript "r" and "m" denote the rhombhedral and monoclinic structures.

different degree of mosaic. We are aware of previous reports of successful growth of α -RuCl₃ crystals with the $P3₁12$ structure [\[23\]](#page-8-3). Our neutron results do not rule out the possibility that some small crystals suitable for x-ray single crystal diffraction can have $P3₁12$ type structure. Figures $2(a,b)$ show the temperature dependence of (116) or (113) reflection to determine the structure transition in both crystals. For the crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K, the structure transition occurs around 140 K upon cooling and 170 K upon warming, consistent with those determined from magnetic and x-ray diffraction measurements shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) For the crystal with $T_N = 6.5 \text{ K}$, the structure transition occurs below 80 K upon cooling and 170 K upon warming. The latter temperature agrees well with those determined from magnetic and X-ray diffraction measurements shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) The slightly different transition temperature when measuring cooling is in line with the fact that this transition temperature can vary in a wide temperature range 50 K-140 K depending on the concentration and detailed distribution of stacking imperfection.

Detailed reciprocal space maps provide better understanding of the nature of average and local structure for both α -RuCl₃ single crystals. Figures [2b](#page-3-0) and c show the neutron diffraction patterns collected at 200 K (above

the structure transition) and 100 K (below the structure transition) for the crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K. As reported previously, the high temperature monoclinic $C2/m$ structure becomes unstable upon cooling and transforms into a rhombohedral $R\bar{3}$ structure [\[4\]](#page-7-5). This can be appreciated by the appearance of additional Bragg peaks along the L direction (see Fig. [2c](#page-3-0)). The right half of each panel shows the line cut along $[0, 2, L]$ highlighting that (1) this crystal is of high quality with negligible mosaic and (2) the absence of any diffuse feature in the temperature range investigated. The correlation length ξ derived from the full width half maximum (FWHM) of the $(0, 2, 0)$ reflection at 200 K using Lorentzian line-shape is \sim 450 ± 10 Å, which is at the resolution limit of the neutron measurement. The peak broadens slightly at 100 K and suggests a reduction of the correlation length to about 350 ± 10 Å. Figures $2(e)$ [-2\(](#page-3-0)f) show the neutron diffraction patterns at 200 K (above the structure transition) and 10 K (below the structure transition) for the crystal with $T_N = 6.5 \text{ K}$. The crystal clearly has a much larger mosaic and more interestingly, diffuse streaks is evident below the structural transition [Fig. [2\(](#page-3-0)f)]. The correlation length is about 78 \pm 5 Å at 200 K and reduces to 32 ± 3 Å at 10 K. Both values are much smaller comparing to those for the crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K. De-

FIG. 3. (color online) Thermal transport measurement results of α -RuCl₃. (a) Thermal conductivity of α -RuCl₃ crystal. Heat current is applied along the zig-zag direction (perpendicular to the Ru-Ru bond). (b) Oscillatory features of thermal conductivity. Data collected with both heat current and magnetic field along the zig-zag direction. (c, d) Thermal Hall resistivity (c) and conductivity (d) at 5 K. Both heat current and magnetic field are applied along the zig-zag direction. The horizontal dashed lines in (d) highlight the thermal Hall conductivity relative to the fraction of quantized thermal Hall κ_{QH}/n , where $n = 2, 4,$ and 8 are shown.

spite the apparent difference in the correlation lengths, the local environment surrounding the Ru ions for both single crystals are very similar in the high temperature $C2/m$ phase. As detailed in Table I in Supporting Materials, those two crystals have the same lattice parameters, Cl-Ru-Cl bond angles, Ru-Cl bond lengths at 200 K. Given the surprisingly similar crystal structure and lack of unidentified phase, the observed diffuse streaks in the crystal with $T_N = 6.5 \text{ K}$ are attributed to the stacking faults that are prevalent in van der Waals bonded layered materials. As discussed later, the stacking disorder can act as the pinning center preventing a uniform structure phase transition. Thus the structure transition occurs at a lower temperature. The inhomogeneous distribution of stacking disorder might be responsible for the sluggish transition occurring in a wider temperature range.

After warming above the structure transition, both crystals seem to get back to the original pristine state. In Supporting Materials, we show the neutron diffraction patterns of both crystals after warming up to 200 K from below the structure transition. Interestingly, the diffrac-

tion pattern and the correlation length are comparable before and after the thermal cycling for both crystals. From other previous neutron experiments, we learned that the mosaic becomes larger after some thermal cyclings. We also monitored possible effects of thermal cycling on the structure transition and magnetic order by measuring the temperature dependence of magnetization and specific heat. As shown in the Supporting Materials, the thermal cycling does not seem to affect the magnetic order after up to 10 cycles.

Figure [3\(](#page-4-0)a) shows the typical temperature dependence of thermal conductivity $(\kappa_a,$ thermal current along aaxis) of both single crystals below 20 K. The small difference in T_N can be well resolved. Both samples show a recovery of heat transport upon cooling through T_N and a peak around 5 K in the temperature dependence of thermal conductivity. This is consistent with previous reports[\[17,](#page-7-14) [20,](#page-8-0) [28](#page-8-8)[–30\]](#page-8-9). The crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K has a higher thermal conductivity below T_N . This magnitude of thermal conductivity is higher than the threshold value for the observation of half-integer quantized thermal Hall effect [\[20\]](#page-8-0).

Figure [3\(](#page-4-0)b) shows the oscillatory features in the field dependence of longitudinal thermal conductivity (κ_a) at 2K, shown as the scaled derivative $(\kappa^{-1} d\kappa/dB T^{-1})$ of thermal conductivity with respect to applied magnetic field. This scaling procedure brings the oscillatory features of various samples with different thermal conductivities to the same scale and emphasizes the critical fields instead of absolute oscillatory amplitudes. We observed that the oscillatory features, especially those after the system has entered the field-induced disordered or quantum spin liquid phase (7.5 T), are nearly identical for both samples. This indicates that the oscillatory features in the field induced disordered or quantum spin liquid region are dominated by in-plane physics. We also studied the thermal Hall effect of both crystals. Figures. [3c](#page-4-0) and d show a plateau region in both thermal resistivity and (scaled) conductivity measured at 5 K. The plateau spans the field region in which the field-induced disordered or quantum spin liquid phase dominates $(7 T - 9 T)$. The profile of the thermal Hall signal is better shown by resistivity. The scaled thermal Hall conductivity is highly sample dependent. We noticed in our sample screening that the plateau value could vary by a factor of 5 for different samples with different thermal conductivities. The crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K has less stacking disorder and hence a higher thermal conductivity. This leads to a near half quantized κ_{xy}/T . In contrast, κ_{xy}/T for the crystal with $T_N = 6.5 \text{ K}$ is further away from the half quantized value as illustrated by the data shown in Fig. [3\(](#page-4-0)d). A more detailed study of the anisotropic thermal transport properties and the origin of the oscillatory features will be reported separately.

DISCUSSIONS

The detailed physical properties of two α -RuCl₃ crystals were reported in this work as the representatives of two types of α -RuCl₃ crystals. Type I crystals normally have T_N above 7.2 K. Type II crystals normally have T_N below 7 K. For those crystals with T_N near 7.1 K, as discussed later, the properties depend on the concentration and detailed distribution of stacking disorder. Specific heat results shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) and Supporting Materials show that T_N of our α -RuCl₃ crystals can vary in the range 6 K-7.6 K. Our WDS measurements confirmed that nonstoichiometry should not be responsible for the variation of T_N of different α -RuCl₃ crystals. Three crystals with $T_N = 6.0 \text{ K}$, 6.8 K, and 7.6 K were studied with WDS to explore possible nonstoichiometry. All three crystals have the same atomic ratio. Then the difference in properties between type-I and type-II crystals may provide some valid insight into the origin of the variation of T_N in different α -RuCl₃ crystals.

A careful comparison between type-I and II crystals

suggests that stacking disorder leads to a sluggish structure transition and phase coexistence at low temperatures. Type II crystals distinguish themselves from type-I crystals by showing a sluggish structure transition most likely originating from the stacking disorder. The larger mosaic (see Fig. [2e](#page-3-0)) suggests the presence of stacking disorder in type-II crystals. From magnetic measurements, x-ray and neutron diffraction measurements of different crystals, the structure transition when being measured upon cooling occurs at a lower temperature with increasing mosaic and two-phase coexistence is always observed when the structure transition occurs below 120 K. The phase coexistence was observed at 20 K, the lowest temperature of our X-ray diffraction measurements. It will not be a surprise if the phase coexistence persists at even lower temperatures, for example, below T_N . Our x-ray and neutron diffraction studies provide solid evidence for the phase coexistence but cannot tell the detailed concentration and distribution of those two phases or the stacking disorder. However, one would expect the stacking disorder can act as the pinning center for the sliding of RuCl³ honeycomb layers during the structure phase transition and leads to more complex stacking disorder upon cooling. This expectation is also consist with the observation of diffuse streaks for type-II crystals shown in Fig. [2\(](#page-3-0)f). Such diffuse streaks were observed in previous studies and attributed to stacking disorder between RuCl³ honeycomb layers [\[6,](#page-7-8) [10\]](#page-7-10). The concentration and detailed distribution of stacking disorder in starting crystals determine the mosaic, structure transition temperature, the concentration and distribution of both high temperature and low temperature phases at low temperatures.

Neutron single crystal diffraction confirms that type-I crystals are of high quality manifested by a large correlation length beyond the resolution limit of our neutron measurement. However, this doesn't mean that these crystals are free of stacking disorder. The reduced correlation length observed below the structure transition can arise from the stacking disorder in the as-grown crystals. Previously, a scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) study observed $P3₁12$ type stacking in α -RuCl₃ flakes from one crystal with $C2/m$ structure determined by neutron single crystal diffraction [\[31\]](#page-8-10). This result was reproduced in our recent STEM studies. STEM probes a small region of a thin flake, while neutron diffraction studies the whole crystal in neutron beam averaging over many similar and different regions. The discrepancy between STEM and neutron diffraction indicates the presence of stacking disorder in type-I crystals and the disorder can even exist in local regions in the form of other types of layer stacking with comparable energy[\[9\]](#page-7-9). However, the long coherence length suggests the population of stacking disorder in high quality crystals is low.

The stacking disorder can be responsible for the slightly suppressed T_N . The phase coexistence or stacking disorder may affect both the interlayer and intralayer magnetic interactions. The inhomogenous layer spacing and exchange paths disturb the interlayer magnetic interactions. The intralayer exchange interactions are found to be sensitive to the stacking structure in a theoretical study [\[32\]](#page-8-11). At 200 K, neutron single crystal diffraction found the same local structure in both types of α -RuCl₃ crystals. However, whether the local structure similarity persists at low temperatures is unknown. Despite tremendous efforts, the low temperature structure is not yet determined due to the appearance of diffuse scattering below the structure transition[\[6,](#page-7-8) [9\]](#page-7-9). Solving the low temperature structure is beyond the scope of current effort. However, we would point out that this is essential to resolve possible local distortion of $RuCl_6$ octahedra that determines the relative magnitude of those terms in magnetic Hamiltonian and also critical for a quantitative understanding of the suppression of T_N . In addition, when solving the low temperature structure, the phase coexistence scenario should be considered depending on the quality of crystals employed.

The above discussion of stacking disorder suppressed T_N is not in contradiction to the previous proposal that mechanical deformation induced magnetic anomalies in the temperature range 10-14 K[\[9,](#page-7-9) [21\]](#page-8-1). Mechanical deformation was previously employed to introduce a large concentration of stacking disorder and this can eventually lead to crystals with one single magnetic order at 14 K. The concentration of stacking disorder in both types of crystals studied in this work is much lower and this is especially true to type I crystals. On the other hand, the suppression of T_N from 7K and the appearance of weak magnetic anomalies in the temperature range 10- 14 K seem to be strongly correlated and may have the same origin. Specific heat data for more samples are presented in the Supporting Materials and the features below and above 8 K are highlighted in separate panels. For those crystals with suppressed T_N (for example lower than 7 K) or with more than one lambda type anomaly, some weak anomalies can be observed above 8 K. All the observations suggest that a small amount of stacking disorder suppresses the long range magnetic order with T_N around 7 K and magnetic phases with T_N above 10 K become observable with increasing population of stacking faults.

The stacking disorder is also responsible for the sample dependent thermal transport properties. Upon cooling through T_N , type-I crystals show a stronger recovery of thermal conductivity (see Fig. [3a](#page-4-0)). The high thermal conductivity and T_N of type-I crystals indicate the importance of interlayer coupling and interactions. As reported previously [\[16,](#page-7-15) [20\]](#page-8-0), a large thermal conductivity is necessary for the observation of half-integer quantized thermal Hall effect. In Fig. [3\(](#page-4-0)d), the crystal with $T_N = 7.6$ K shows a high thermal Hall conductivity κ_{xy}/T , closer to the half integer quantized value. It is interesting to note that

half-integer quantized thermal Hall effect was recently

7

observed for a deformed α -RuCl₃ crystal with $T_N = 14$ K which exhibits a high thermal Hall resistivity despite a low thermal conductivity[\[21\]](#page-8-1). These two studies suggest two different ways to observe thermal Hall conductivity at half-integer quantized value: using high quality samples with minimal amount of stacking disorder and high thermal conductivity, or using samples with a high thermal Hall resistivity. Obviously, thermal Hall conductivity can be rather sample dependent and the stacking disorder can have a dramatic effect on it. Despite the sample dependent thermal Hall conductivity, both types of crystals have the similar critical fields for oscillatory features in magnetothermal conductivity and also the same field range in which thermal Hall effect and oscillatory features in thermal conductivity are observed.

SUMMARY

In summary, we study the effects of small amount of stacking disorder on the structure, magnetic and thermal transport properties in α -RuCl₃ single crystals with T_N varying from 6.0 K to 7.6 K. The amount of stacking disorder may not be enough to induce magnetic anomalies in the temperature range 10-14 K, but can still have a dramatic effect on the physical properties. The stacking disorder in as-grown crystals can suppress the structure transition temperature (upon cooling), the Neel temperature, and lattice thermal conductivity. The similar oscillatory field dependent thermal conductivity and plateau like feature in thermal Hall resistivity were observed in all α -RuCl₃ single crystals studied in this work despite the variation in T_N . However, α -RuCl₃ single crystals with minimal amount of stacking disorder have a higher thermal conductivity, which pushes the thermal Hall conductivity to be close to the half quantized value. Our results demonstrate a strong correlation between the layer stacking, structure transition, magnetic and thermal transport properties and highlight the importance of interlayer coupling in α -RuCl₃ despite the weak van der Waals bonding. α -RuCl₃ is one member of a large family of transition metal halides that could host a large variety of physics including topological magnons and chiral phonons. Due to the weak interlayer van der Waals bonding, the presence of stacking disorder may be universal in all these transition metal halides and maybe other layered cleavable magnets. The understanding of this work can be applied to other compounds and help resolve and understand the intrinsic properties of these interesting compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

JY would thank discussions with Tom Berlijn, Huibo Cao, Hwan Do and Alan Tennant. The authors thank Michael Lance for WDS measurements. HZ, SN, MM, and JY were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, National Quantum Information Science Research Centers, Quantum Science Center. QZ, DM, AM, HM, and BS were supported by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division. JC and MC were supported by an Early Career project supported by DOE Office of Science FWP ERKCZ55. A portion of this research used resources at the Spallation Neutron Source, a DOE Office of Science User Facility operated by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under Contract No. DE-AC0500OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for the United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan [\(http://energy.gov/](http://energy.gov/) downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

REFERENCES

- ∗ yef1@ornl.gov
- † yanj@ornl.gov
- [1] KW Plumb, JP Clancy, LJ Sandilands, V Vijay Shankar, YF Hu, KS Burch, Hae-Young Kee, and Young-June Kim, " α - rucl 3: A spin-orbit assisted mott insulator on a honeycomb lattice," Phys. Rev. B 90, 041112 (2014).
- [2] A Banerjee, CA Bridges, J-Q Yan, AA Aczel, L Li, MB Stone, GE Granroth, MD Lumsden, Y Yiu, Johannes Knolle, et al., "Proximate kitaev quantum spin liquid behaviour in a honeycomb magnet," Nat. Mater. 15, 733– 740 (2016).
- [3] Bevin Huang, Genevieve Clark, Efrén Navarro-Moratalla, Dahlia R Klein, Ran Cheng, Kyle L Seyler, Ding Zhong, Emma Schmidgall, Michael A McGuire, David H Cobden, et al., "Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der waals crystal down to the monolayer limit," Nature 546, 270–273 (2017).
- [4] Sai Mu, Kiranmayi D Dixit, Xiaoping Wang, Douglas L Abernathy, Huibo Cao, Stephen E Nagler, Jiaqiang Yan, Paula Lampen-Kelley, David Mandrus, Carlos A Polanco, et al., "Role of the third dimension in searching for majorana fermions in α - rucl 3 via phonons," Physical Review Research 4, 013067 (2022).
- [5] Yumi Kubota, Hidekazu Tanaka, Toshio Ono, Yasuo Narumi, and Koichi Kindo, "Successive magnetic phase

transitions in α - rucl 3: Xy-like frustrated magnet on the honeycomb lattice," Phys. Rev. B 91, 094422 (2015).

- [6] Roger D Johnson, SC Williams, AA Haghighirad, J Singleton, V Zapf, P Manuel, II Mazin, Y Li, Harald Olaf Jeschke, R Valentí, et al., "Monoclinic crystal structure of α - rucl 3 and the zigzag antiferromagnetic ground state," Physical Review B 92, 235119 (2015).
- [7] Jennifer A Sears, M Songvilay, KW Plumb, JP Clancy, Yiming Qiu, Yang Zhao, D Parshall, and Young-June Kim, "Magnetic order in α - rucl 3: A honeycomb-lattice quantum magnet with strong spin-orbit coupling," Physical Review B 91, 144420 (2015).
- [8] M Majumder, M Schmidt, Helge Rosner, AA Tsirlin, H Yasuoka, and M Baenitz, "Anisotropic ru 3+ 4 d 5 magnetism in the α - rucl 3 honeycomb system: Susceptibility, specific heat, and zero-field nmr," Phys. Rev. B 91, 180401 (2015).
- [9] Huibo B Cao, A Banerjee, J-Q Yan, CA Bridges, MD Lumsden, DG Mandrus, DA Tennant, BC Chakoumakos, and SE Nagler, "Low-temperature crystal and magnetic structure of α - rucl 3," Phys. Rev. B **93**, 134423 (2016).
- [10] Subin Kim, Bo Yuan, and Young-June Kim, " α -rucl3 and other kitaev materials," APL Mater. 10, 080903 (2022).
- [11] Jennifer A Sears, Yang Zhao, Zhijun Xu, Jeffrey W Lynn, and Young-June Kim, "Phase diagram of α - rucl 3 in an in-plane magnetic field," Physical Review B 95, 180411 (2017).
- [12] Seung-Hwan Do, Sang-Youn Park, Junki Yoshitake, Joji Nasu, Yukitoshi Motome, Yong Seung Kwon, DT Adroja, DJ Voneshen, Kyoo Kim, T-H Jang, et al., "Majorana fermions in the kitaev quantum spin system α -rucl3," Nat. Phys. 13, 1079–1084 (2017).
- [13] Patrick Lee, "Quantized (or not quantized) thermal hall effect and oscillations in the thermal conductivity in the kitaev spin liquid candidate rucl3," Journal Club for Condensed Matter Physics , DOI: 10.36471/JCCM– November–2021–02 (2021).
- [14] T Yokoi, S Ma, Y Kasahara, S Kasahara, T Shibauchi, N Kurita, H Tanaka, J Nasu, Y Motome, C Hickey, et al., "Half-integer quantized anomalous thermal hall effect in the kitaev material candidate α -rucl3," Science 373, 568– 572 (2021).
- [15] Y Kasahara, T Ohnishi, Y Mizukami, O Tanaka, Sixiao Ma, K Sugii, N Kurita, H Tanaka, J Nasu, Y Motome, et al., "Majorana quantization and half-integer thermal quantum hall effect in a kitaev spin liquid," Nature 559, 227–231 (2018).
- [16] M Yamashita, J Gouchi, Y Uwatoko, N Kurita, and H Tanaka, "Sample dependence of half-integer quantized thermal hall effect in the kitaev spin-liquid candidate α rucl 3," Physical Review B 102, 220404 (2020).
- $[17]$ E Lefrançois, G Grissonnanche, J Baglo, P Lampen-Kelley, J-Q Yan, C Balz, D Mandrus, SE Nagler, S Kim, Young-June Kim, et al., "Evidence of a phonon hall effect in the kitaev spin liquid candidate α - rucl 3," Physical Review X 12, 021025 (2022).
- [18] Peter Czajka, Tong Gao, Max Hirschberger, Paula Lampen-Kelley, Arnab Banerjee, Nicholas Quirk, David G Mandrus, Stephen E Nagler, and N Phuan Ong, "Planar thermal hall effect of topological bosons in the kitaev magnet α -rucl3," Nature Materials , 1–6 (2022).
- [19] JAN Bruin, RR Claus, Y Matsumoto, N Kurita, H Tanaka, and H Takagi, "Robustness of the thermal hall effect close to half-quantization in α -rucl3," Nature Physics 18, 401–405 (2022).
- [20] Y Kasahara, S Suetsugu, T Asaba, S Kasahara, T Shibauchi, N Kurita, H Tanaka, and Y Matsuda, "Quantized and unquantized thermal hall conductance of the kitaev spin liquid candidate α - rucl 3," Physical Review B 106, L060410 (2022).
- [21] Heda Zhang, Andrew May, Hu Miao, Brian Sales, David Mandrus, Stephen Nagler, Michael McGuire, and Jiaqiang Yan, "The sample-dependent and sampleindependent thermal transport properties of α - rucl 3," arXiv e-prints , arXiv–2303.02098 (2023).
- [22] Peter Czajka, Tong Gao, Max Hirschberger, Paula Lampen-Kelley, Arnab Banerjee, Jiaqiang Yan, David G Mandrus, Stephen E Nagler, and NP Ong, "Oscillations of the thermal conductivity in the spin-liquid state of α-rucl3," Nature Physics 17, 915–919 (2021).
- [23] JAN Bruin, RR Claus, Y Matsumoto, J Nuss, S Laha, BV Lotsch, N Kurita, H Tanaka, and H Takagi, "Origin of oscillatory structures in the magnetothermal conductivity of the putative kitaev magnet α -rucl3," APL Materials 10, 090703 (2022).
- $[24]$ Etienne Lefrançois, Jordan Baglo, Q Barthélemy, S Kim, Young-June Kim, and Louis Taillefer, "Oscillations in the magnetothermal conductivity of α - rucl 3: Evidence of transition anomalies," Physical Review B 107, 064408 (2023).
- [25] J-Q Yan and MA McGuire, "Self-selecting vapor growth of transition metal halide single crystals," arXiv e-prints , arXiv–2211 (2022).
- [26] J-Q Yan, Brian C Sales, Michael A Susner, and Michael A Mcguire, "Flux growth in a horizontal configuration: an analog to vapor transport growth," Physical Review Materials 1, 023402 (2017).
- [27] F. Ye, Y. Liu, R. Whitfield, R. Osborn, and S. Rosenkranz, "Implementation of cross correlation for energy discrimination on the time-of-flight spectrometer CORELLI," [J. Appl. Crystallogr.](http://dx.doi.org/10/gdmwps) 51, 315 (2018).
- [28] Richard Hentrich, Anja UB Wolter, Xenophon Zotos, Wolfram Brenig, Domenic Nowak, Anna Isaeva, Thomas Doert, Arnab Banerjee, Paula Lampen-Kelley, David G Mandrus, et al., "Unusual phonon heat transport in α rucl 3: strong spin-phonon scattering and field-induced spin gap," Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 117204 (2018).
- [29] Daichi Hirobe, Masahiro Sato, Yuki Shiomi, Hidekazu Tanaka, and Eiji Saitoh, "Magnetic thermal conductivity far above the néel temperature in the kitaev-magnet candidate α - rucl 3," Physical Review B 95, 241112 (2017).
- [30] Ian A Leahy, Christopher A Pocs, Peter E Siegfried, David Graf, S-H Do, Kwang-Yong Choi, B Normand, and Minhyea Lee, "Anomalous thermal conductivity and magnetic torque response in the honeycomb magnet α rucl 3," Physical review letters 118, 187203 (2017).
- [31] M Ziatdinov, Arnab Banerjee, A Maksov, Tom Berlijn, Wu Zhou, HB Cao, J-Q Yan, Craig A Bridges, DG Mandrus, Stephen E Nagler, et al., "Atomic-scale observation of structural and electronic orders in the layered compound α -rucl3," Nature communications 7, 13774 (2016).
- [32] Heung-Sik Kim and Hae-Young Kee, "Crystal structure and magnetism in α - rucl 3: An ab initio study," Physical Review B 93, 155143 (2016).