

Repeating Outbursts from the Young Stellar Object Gaia23bab (= SPICY 97589)

Michael A. Kuhn,¹ Robert A. Benjamin,² Emille E. O. Ishida,³ Rafael S. de Souza,¹ Julien Peloton,⁴ and Michele Delli Veneri⁵

¹*Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK*

²*Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, Whitewater, WI, 53190, USA*

³*Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France*

⁴*IJCLab, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, France*

⁵*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Section of Naples, Via Vicinale Cupa Cintia, 26, 80126 Naples, Italy*

ABSTRACT

The light curve of Gaia23bab (= SPICY 97589) shows two significant ($\Delta G > 2$ mag) brightening events, one in 2017 and an ongoing event starting in 2022. The source’s quiescent spectral energy distribution indicates an embedded ($A_V > 5$ mag) pre-main-sequence star, with optical accretion emission and mid-infrared disk emission. This characterization is supported by the source’s membership in an embedded cluster in the star-forming cloud DOBASHI 1604 at a distance of 900 ± 45 pc. Thus, the brightening events are probable accretion outbursts, likely of EX Lup-type.

Keywords: Gaia — Optical bursts — Young stellar objects

INTRODUCTION

Accretion bursts and outbursts from young stellar objects (YSOs) vary in their amplitudes, durations, and spectral properties (e.g., Herbig 1989; Connelley & Reipurth 2018; Guo et al. 2021; Giannini et al. 2022; Fischer et al. 2022). Historically, the scarcity of confirmed events provides challenges for categorization. Nevertheless, bursts and outbursts detected by recent time-domain surveys are beginning to fill out the burst parameter space, and catalogs of YSOs can assist with the quick identification of interesting sources.

Here we discuss a Gaia alert (Hodgkin et al. 2021) for an ongoing outburst from a star in the Spitzer/IRAC Candidate YSO (SPICY) catalog (Kuhn et al. 2021). SPICY was created using data from Spitzer’s Galactic midplane surveys, including GLIMPSE (Benjamin et al. 2003; Churchwell et al. 2009) and related programs, and used a combination of spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting and statistical learning to identify sources with mid-infrared excess indicative of circumstellar disks or envelopes.

THE OUTBURST

The Gaia alert, Gaia23bab¹ (Hodgkin et al. 2023), was issued on 6 March 2023 and noted brightening of SPICY 97589 ($19^h 04^m 26.^s69 +04^\circ 23' 57.''3$). Notably, the Gaia G -band light curve exhibits two bursts (Figure 1a). The first peaked in mid-2017 with brightening of $\Delta G \approx 2.2$ mag and a 1.1-year duration. During the second, ongoing outburst, the star has brightened from its quiescent magnitude of $G \approx 18.7$ mag to 16.3 mag, with most of the brightening happening since April 2022. The ZTF survey (Bellm et al. 2019; Graham et al. 2019) has detected this brightening in the r band from 19.5 mag to 16.7 mag between July 2022 and Mar 2023 (Figure 1b), and a similar pattern is seen in the ZTF g band. The associated ZTF alert is ZTF22abejrab (Möller et al. 2021). The source is extremely red (Figure 1c); however, the epoch Gaia BP/RP spectra show the source becoming bluer during both outbursts. The amplitude of the r -band rise ($\Delta r \approx 2.8$ mag) meets the 2.5 mag threshold for an outburst (Fischer et al. 2022).

Corresponding author: Michael A. Kuhn
m.kuhn@herts.ac.uk

¹ <http://gsaweb.ast.cam.ac.uk/alerts/alert/Gaia23bab/>




Figure 1. a) Gaia G -band light curve for Gaia23bab (= SPICY 97589). b) ZTF g and r -band light curves. c) Photometric measurements of the source during quiescence (circles). Filled circles were used for SED fitting, while open circles exhibit excess emission and were excluded from fitting. The orange curve is a representative reddened stellar photosphere model. d) Spitzer/IRAC 3.6 (blue), 4.5 (green), and 8.0 (μm) image of the cloud DOBASHI 1604 and cluster G38.3-0.9. YSOs from SPICY are circled in green. e-f) Parallaxes and proper motions of YSOs in G38.3-0.9 (black circles), with SPICY 97589 indicated by the magenta star. The orange bars indicate the cluster's estimated parallax and mean proper motions. In all panels (a-f), error bars are excluded if they are smaller than the point sizes.

THE STAR

The properties of the YSO during quiescence may be inferred from optical and infrared photometric survey data (Figure 1c), including Pan-STARRS (Chambers et al. 2016; Flewelling et al. 2020), IPHAS (Drew et al. 2005), 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006), GLIMPSE, and MIPS GAL (Carey et al. 2009; Gutermuth & Heyer 2015). To model the star’s SED, we used the BOSZ-Kurucz stellar atmosphere model (Bohlin et al. 2017) with the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law for the optical/near-infrared and Wang et al. (2015) for the mid-infrared. The SED was fit using maximum-likelihood estimation, with an error parameter accounting for stellar variability (Kuhn et al. 2023). Degeneracy between temperature and extinction means that the SED does not strongly constrain the star’s effective temperature. Nevertheless, it places a lower limit for the extinction of $A_V > 5$ mag. The star’s $J - H = 1.2$ mag and distance-corrected $M_J = 4.3$ mag are consistent with the pre-main-sequence.

For all model fits, excesses are observed in the g -band (likely accretion excess) and the mid-infrared bands (likely disk emission). The spectral index of SPICY 97589’s mid-infrared SED between the 4.5 and 24 μm bands is $\alpha = -0.62$, suggesting that the source is a Class II YSO.

THE CLUSTER

SPICY 97589 is a member of a small cluster of ~ 30 candidate YSOs (Figure 1d) designated G38.3-0.9 (Kuhn et al. 2021). This group appears partially embedded in a small infrared-dark cloud DOBASHI 1604 (Dobashi 2011), with a mass of $\sim 1800 M_\odot$ and $v_{\text{lsr}} = 16.9 \pm 1.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ obtained from CO observations (Roman-Duval et al. 2010). The cluster also includes IRAS 19022+0418, which has been classified as an H II region by Urquhart et al. (2011), who also find $V_{\text{lsr}} = 16.9 \pm 1.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from NH_3 emission lines.

Six of the stars in G38.3-0.9, including SPICY 97589, have Gaia DR3 astrometry of sufficient quality to assess membership (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2021), i.e., renormalized unit weight errors $RUWE < 1.4$ and parallax uncertainties $< 0.4 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$. We apply the parallax zero-point corrections from Lindegren et al. (2021). The six G38.3-0.9 members are significantly more tightly clustered in parallax and proper-motion space than expected for randomly selected stars (Figure 1e,f), indicating they are physically associated. Using the methods from Kuhn et al. (2021), we calculate a cluster parallax of $\varpi = 1.114 \pm 0.056 \text{ mas}$ ($d = 900 \pm 45 \text{ pc}$) and mean proper motions $\mu_{\ell^*} = -3.08 \pm 0.07 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$ and $\mu_b = -1.20 \pm 0.07 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$, for Galactic longitude and latitude respectively.

In a Galactic context, the distance of G38.3-0.9 places it within the “Split,” a multi-kpc long molecular filament containing several star-forming complexes, including the Sco-Cen association, the Aquila Rift, and the Serpens Molecular Clouds (Lallement et al. 2019). We calculate Galactocentric velocities of $v_\phi = 237 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (azimuthal), $v_r = -0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (radial), and $v_z = 2.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (vertical). These imply a nearly circular Galactic orbit, with the Reid et al. (2019) rotation curve yielding an identical azimuthal velocity at the $R = 7.47 \text{ kpc}$ Galactocentric radius of this cloud.

CONCLUSION

This analysis provides strong evidence for the youth of SPICY 97589, including excess emission in the blue and mid-infrared, resembling an accreting YSO, and astrometry indicating membership in an embedded star-forming region. The year-long duration of the 2017 event and the repeated bursting behavior is typical of EX Lup-type objects (EXors). However, a more complete characterization of these outbursts will require spectroscopic follow-up.

This work is based on data from ESA’s Gaia mission, processed by DPAC, funded by national institutions, particularly those participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement.

REFERENCES

- | | |
|--|---|
| Bellm, E. C., Kulkarni, S. R., Graham, M. J., et al. 2019, <i>PASP</i> , 131, 018002 | Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, <i>ApJ</i> , 345, 245 |
| Benjamin, R. A., Churchwell, E., Babler, B. L., et al. 2003, <i>PASP</i> , 115, 953 | Carey, S. J., Noriega-Crespo, A., Mizuno, D. R., et al. 2009, <i>PASP</i> , 121, 76 |
| Bohlin, R. C., Mészáros, S., Fleming, S. W., et al. 2017, <i>AJ</i> , 153, 234 | Chambers, K. C., Magnier, E. A., Metcalfe, N., et al. 2016, arXiv:1612.05560 |

- Churchwell, E., Babler, B. L., Meade, M. R., et al. 2009, *PASP*, 121, 213
- Connelley, M. S. & Reipurth, B. 2018, *ApJ*, 861, 145
- Dobashi, K. 2011, *PASJ*, 63, S1. doi:10.1093/pasj/63.sp1.S1
- Drew, J. E., Greimel, R., Irwin, M. J., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 362, 753
- Fischer, W. J., Hillenbrand, L. A., Herczeg, G. J., et al. 2022, arXiv:2203.11257
- Flewelling, H. A., Magnier, E. A., Chambers, K. C., et al. 2020, *ApJS*, 251, 7
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2016, *A&A*, 595, A2
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 649, A1
- Giannini, T., Giunta, A., Gangi, M., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 929, 129
- Graham, M. J., Kulkarni, S. R., Bellm, E. C., et al. 2019, *PASP*, 131, 078001
- Guo, Z., Lucas, P. W., Contreras Peña, C., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 504, 830
- Gutermuth, R. A. & Heyer, M. 2015, *AJ*, 149, 64
- Herbig, G. H. 1989, *ESO Conference and Workshop Proceedings*, 33, 233
- Hodgkin, S. T., Harrison, D. L., Breedt, E., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 652, A76
- Hodgkin, S. T., Breedt, E., Delgado, A., et al. 2023, *TNS Discovery Report*, 2023-493
- Kuhn, M. A., de Souza, R. S., Krone-Martins, A., et al. 2021, *ApJS*, 254, 33
- Kuhn, M. A., Benjamin, R. A., Zucker, C., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 651, L10
- Kuhn, M. A., Saber, R., Povich, M. S., et al. 2023, *AJ*, 165, 3
- Lindegren, L., Bastian, U., Biermann, M., et al. 2021, *A&A*, 649, A4
- Lallement, R., Babusiaux, C., Vergely, J. L., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 625, A135
- Möller, A., Peloton, J., Ishida, E. E. O., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 501, 3272
- Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Brunthaler, A., et al. 2019, *ApJ*, 885, 131
- Roman-Duval, J., Jackson, J. M., Heyer, M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 723, 492
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163
- Urquhart, J. S., Morgan, L. K., Figura, C. C., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, 1689
- Wang, S., Li, A., & Jiang, B. W. 2015, *ApJ*, 811, 38