

MODULI SPACES OF VECTOR BUNDLES ON A CURVE AND OPERS

INDRANIL BISWAS, JACQUES HURTUBISE, AND VLADIMIR ROUBTSOV

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g , with $g \geq 2$, and let ξ be a holomorphic line bundle on X with $\xi^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Fix a theta characteristic \mathbb{L} on X . Let $\mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles E on X of rank r such that $\bigwedge^r E = \xi$ and $H^0(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0$. Consider the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi)$ by the involution given by $E \mapsto E^*$. We construct an algebraic morphism from this quotient to the moduli space of $\mathrm{SL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ opers on X . Since $\dim \mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi)$ coincides with the dimension of the moduli space of $\mathrm{SL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ opers, it is natural to ask about the injectivity and surjectivity of this map.

1. INTRODUCTION

Opers were introduced by Beilinson and Drinfeld [BD1], [BD2]. Our aim here is to construct $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ opers from stable vector bundles of degree zero. While a stable vector bundle of degree zero has a unique unitary flat connection, unitary connections are never an oper.

Take a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g , with $g \geq 2$, and fix a theta characteristic \mathbb{L} on X . Let $\mathcal{M}_X(r)$ be the moduli space of stable vector bundles E of rank r and degree zero on X such that $H^0(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0$. For $i = 1, 2$, the projection $X \times X \rightarrow X$ to the i -th factor is denoted by p_i . The diagonal divisor in $X \times X$ is denoted by Δ ; it is identified with X by p_i . For any $E \in \mathcal{M}_X(r)$, there is a unique section

$$\mathcal{A}_E \in H^0(X \times X, p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta))$$

whose restriction to Δ is Id_E (using the identification of Δ with X).

Using \mathcal{A}_E , we construct an $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ oper on X for every $n \geq 2$; see Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. Related construction of opers from vector bundles were carried out in [BB].

Let $\mathrm{Op}_X(n)$ denote the moduli space of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ opers on X . The above mentioned map

$$\mathcal{M}_X(r) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Op}_X(n)$$

factors through the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_X(r)$ by the involution \mathcal{I} defined by $E \mapsto E^*$.

Fix a holomorphic line bundle ξ on X such that $\xi^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi) \subset \mathcal{M}_X(r)$$

be the subvariety defined by the locus of all E such that $\bigwedge^r E = \xi$. We have

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi) / \mathcal{I} = (r^2 - 1)(g - 1) = \dim \mathrm{Op}_X(r).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H60, 16S32, 14D21, 53D30.

Key words and phrases. Stable bundle, moduli space, connection, opers.

We end with a question (see Question (4.6)).

2. VECTOR BUNDLES WITH TRIVIAL COHOMOLOGY

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. The holomorphic cotangent bundle of X will be denoted by K_X . Fix a theta characteristic \mathbb{L} on X . So, \mathbb{L} is a holomorphic line bundle on X of degree $g - 1$, and $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{L}$ is holomorphically isomorphic to K_X .

For any $r \geq 1$, let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_X(r)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles on X of rank r and degree zero. It is an irreducible smooth complex quasiprojective variety of dimension $r^2(g - 1) + 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{M}_X(r) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_X(r) \tag{2.1}$$

be the locus of all vector bundles $E \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_X(r)$ such that $H^0(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0$. From the semicontinuity theorem, [Ha, p. 288, Theorem 12.8], we know that $\mathcal{M}_X(r)$ is a Zariski open subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_X(r)$. In fact, $\mathcal{M}_X(r)$ is known to be the complement of a theta divisor on $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_X(r)$ [La]. For any $E \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_X(r)$, the Riemann–Roch theorem says

$$\dim H^0(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) - \dim H^1(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0;$$

so $H^0(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0$ if and only if we have $H^1(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0$.

We will now recall a construction from [BH1], [BH2].

For $i = 1, 2$, let $p_i : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection to the i -th factor. Let

$$\Delta := \{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X\} \subset X \times X$$

be the reduced diagonal divisor. We will identify Δ with X using the map $x \mapsto (x, x)$. Using this identification, the restriction of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)$ to $\Delta \subset X \times X$ gets identified with the holomorphic tangent bundle TX by the Poincaré adjunction formula [GH, p. 146].

Take any $E \in \mathcal{M}_X(r)$. The restriction of the vector bundle

$$p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)$$

to Δ is identified with the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ on X . Indeed, this follows immediately from the following facts:

- the restriction of $(p_1^*E) \otimes (p_2^*E^*)$ to Δ is identified with the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ on X , and
- the above identification of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)|_{\Delta}$ with TX produces an identification of $(p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)|_{\Delta}$ with $K_X \otimes TX = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Consequently, we have the following short exact sequence of sheaves on $X \times X$:

$$0 \rightarrow p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta) \rightarrow \text{End}(E) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\text{End}(E)$ is supported on Δ , using the identification of Δ with X . For $k = 0, 1$, since $H^k(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0$, the Serre duality implies that $H^{1-k}(X, E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) = 0$. Using Künneth formula,

$$H^j(X \times X, p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L})) = 0$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2$. Therefore, the long exact sequence of cohomologies for the short exact sequence of sheaves in (2.2) gives

$$0 = H^0(X \times X, p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L})) \longrightarrow H^0(X \times X, p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)) \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^0(X, \text{End}(E)) \longrightarrow H^1(X \times X, p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L})) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

So the homomorphism γ in (2.3) is actually an isomorphism. For this isomorphism γ , let

$$\mathcal{A}_E := \gamma^{-1}(\text{Id}_E) \in H^0(X \times X, p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)) \quad (2.4)$$

be the section corresponding to the identity automorphism of E .

3. A SECTION AROUND THE DIAGONAL

Using the section \mathcal{A}_E in (2.4) we will construct a section of $(p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)$ on an analytic neighborhood of the diagonal Δ . For that, we first recall a description of the holomorphic differential operators on X .

3.1. Differential operators. Fix holomorphic vector bundles V, W on X , and also fix an integer $d \geq 1$. The ranks of V and W are denoted by r and r' respectively. Let $\text{Diff}_X^d(V, W)$ denote the holomorphic vector bundle on X of rank $rr'(d+1)$ corresponding to the sheaf of differential operators of degree d from V to W . We recall that $\text{Diff}_X^d(V, W) = W \otimes J^d(V)^*$, where

$$J^d(V) := p_{1*}((p_2^*V)/((p_2^*V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(-(d+1)\Delta))) \longrightarrow X$$

is the d -th order jet bundle for V .

We have a short exact sequence of coherent analytic sheaves on $X \times X$

$$0 \longrightarrow (p_1^*W) \otimes p_2^*(V^* \otimes K_X) \longrightarrow (p_1^*W) \otimes p_2^*(V^* \otimes K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}((d+1)\Delta) \\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_d(V, W) := \frac{(p_1^*W) \otimes p_2^*(V^* \otimes K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}((d+1)\Delta)}{(p_1^*W) \otimes p_2^*(V^* \otimes K_X)} \longrightarrow 0; \quad (3.1)$$

the support of the quotient sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_d(V, W)$ in (3.1) is $(d+1)\Delta$. The direct image

$$\mathcal{K}_d(V, W) := p_{1*}\mathcal{Q}_d(V, W) \longrightarrow X \quad (3.2)$$

is a holomorphic vector bundle on X of rank $rr'(d+1)$. It is known that

$$\mathcal{K}_d(V, W) = \text{Hom}(J^d(V), W) = \text{Diff}_X^d(V, W), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_d(V, W)$ is the vector bundle constructed in (3.2) (see [BS, Section 2.1]).

Note that $R^1p_{1*}\mathcal{Q}_d(V, W) = 0$, because $\mathcal{Q}_d(V, W)$ is supported on $(d+1)\Delta$. We have $H^0(X, p_{1*}\mathcal{Q}_d(V, W)) = H^0(X \times X, \mathcal{Q}_d(V, W))$. So from (3.2) and (3.3) it follows that

$$H^0(X, \text{Diff}_X^d(V, W)) = H^0(X \times X, \mathcal{Q}_d(V, W)). \quad (3.4)$$

The restriction of the vector bundle $(p_1^*W) \otimes p_2^*(V^* \otimes K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}((d+1)\Delta)$ to $\Delta \subset X \times X$ is $\text{Hom}(V, W) \otimes (TX)^{\otimes d}$, because the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)$ to Δ is TX . Therefore, we get a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}_d(V, W) \longrightarrow p_{1*} \left(\frac{(p_1^*W) \otimes p_2^*(V^* \otimes K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}((d+1)\Delta)}{(p_1^*W) \otimes p_2^*(V^* \otimes K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(d\Delta)} \right) \\ = \text{Hom}(V, W) \otimes (TX)^{\otimes d},$$

where $\mathcal{K}_d(V, W)$ is constructed in (3.2). Using (3.3), this gives a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Diff}_X^d(V, W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(V, W) \otimes (TX)^{\otimes d}. \quad (3.5)$$

The homomorphism in (3.5) is known as the *symbol map*.

3.2. Construction of a connection. Consider the de Rham differential operator $d : \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow K_X$. Using the isomorphism in (3.4) this d produces a section

$$d_1 \in H^0(X \times X, \mathcal{Q}_1(\mathcal{O}_X, K_X)).$$

From (3.1) we conclude that d_1 is a section of $(p_1^*K_X) \otimes (p_2^*K_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(2\Delta)$ over 2Δ . The restriction of d_1 to $\Delta \subset 2\Delta$ is the section of \mathcal{O}_X given by the constant function 1 (see (3.5)); note that the symbol of the differential operator d is the constant function 1.

As before, \mathbb{L} is a theta characteristic on X . There is a unique section

$$\delta \in H^0(2\Delta, (p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)) \quad (3.6)$$

such that

- (1) $\delta \otimes \delta = d_1$, and
- (2) the restriction of δ to $\Delta \subset 2\Delta$ is the constant function 1 (note that since the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)$ to Δ is TX , the restriction of $(p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)$ to Δ is $K_X \otimes TX = \mathcal{O}_X$).

See [BR, p. 754, Theorem 2.1(b)] for an alternative construction of δ .

There is a unique section

$$\Phi_E \in H^0(2\Delta, (p_1^*E) \otimes (p_2^*E^*)) \quad (3.7)$$

such that $(\mathcal{A}_E)|_{2\Delta} = \Phi_E \otimes \delta$, where \mathcal{A}_E and δ are the sections in (2.4) and (3.6) respectively. Indeed, this follows immediately from the fact that the section δ is nowhere zero, so δ^{-1} is a holomorphic section of $((p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta))^*|_{2\Delta}$. Now set

$$\Phi_E = ((\mathcal{A}_E)|_{2\Delta}) \otimes \delta^{-1}$$

and consider it as a section of $((p_1^*E) \otimes (p_2^*E^*))|_{2\Delta}$ using the natural duality pairing

$$((p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta))|_{2\Delta} \otimes ((p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta))^*|_{2\Delta} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{2\Delta}.$$

Since the restriction of \mathcal{A}_E to Δ is Id_E (see (2.4)), and the restriction of δ to Δ is the constant function 1, it follows that the restriction of the section Φ_E in (3.7) to Δ is Id_E . Therefore, Φ_E defines a holomorphic connection on U , which will be denoted by D^E . To describe the connection D^E explicitly, take an open subset $U \subset X$ and a holomorphic section $s \in H^0(U, E|_U)$. Consider the section $\Phi_E \otimes p_2^*s$ over $\mathcal{U} := (2\Delta) \cap (U \times U)$. Using the natural pairing $E^* \otimes E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, it produces a section of $((p_1^*E) \otimes (p_2^*\mathcal{O}_X))|_{\mathcal{U}} = (p_1^*E)|_{\mathcal{U}}$; denote this section of $(p_1^*E)|_{\mathcal{U}}$ by \tilde{s} . Since $\Phi_E|_{\Delta} = \mathrm{Id}_E$, we know that \tilde{s} and p_1^*s coincide on $\Delta \cap (U \times U)$. So

$$\tilde{s} - (p_1^*s)|_{2\Delta} \in H^0(U, E \otimes K_X);$$

the Poincaré adjunction formula identifies K_X with the restriction of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(-\Delta)$ to Δ . Then we have

$$D^E(s) = \tilde{s} - (p_1^*s)|_{2\Delta} \in H^0(U, E \otimes K_X). \quad (3.8)$$

It is straightforward to check that D^E satisfies the Leibniz identity thus making it a holomorphic connection on E .

4. OPERS FROM VECTOR BUNDLES

We will construct an $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -oper on X , for every $n \geq 2$, from the section \mathcal{A}_E in (2.4).

We will use that any holomorphic connection on a holomorphic bundle over X is integrable (same as flat) because $\Omega_X^2 = 0$.

As before, take any $E \in \mathcal{M}_X(r)$. Consider the holomorphic connection D^E on E in (3.8). Let $U \subset X$ be a simply connected open subset, and let $x_0 \in U$ be a point. Since the connection D^E is integrable, using parallel translations, for D^E , along paths emanating from x_0 we get a holomorphic isomorphism of $E|_U$ with the trivial vector bundle $U \times E_{x_0} \rightarrow U$. This isomorphism takes the connection $D^E|_U$ on $E|_U$ to the trivial connection on the trivial bundle. Let

$$\Delta \subset \mathcal{U} \subset X \times X$$

be an open neighborhood of Δ that admits a deformation retraction to Δ . For $i = 1, 2$, the restriction of the projection $p_i : X \times X \rightarrow X$ to the open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset X \times X$ will be denoted by q_i . There is a unique holomorphic isomorphism over \mathcal{U}

$$f : q_1^*E \rightarrow q_2^*E \tag{4.1}$$

that satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) the restriction of f to Δ is the identity map of E , and
- (2) f takes the connection $q_1^*D^E$ on q_1^*E to the connection $q_2^*D^E$ on q_2^*E .

Since the inclusion map $\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is a homotopy equivalence, the flat vector bundle (E, D^E) on $X = \Delta$ has a unique extension to a flat vector bundle on \mathcal{U} . On the other hand, both $(q_1^*E, q_1^*D^E)$ and $(q_2^*E, q_2^*D^E)$ are extensions of (E, D^E) . Therefore, there is a unique holomorphic isomorphism f as in (4.1) satisfying the above two conditions.

Using the isomorphism f in (4.1), the section \mathcal{A}_E in (2.4) produces a holomorphic section

$$\mathcal{A}'_E \in H^0(\mathcal{U}, p_1^*(E \otimes E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)). \tag{4.2}$$

Consider the trace pairing

$$tr : E \otimes E^* = \mathrm{End}(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X, \quad B \mapsto \frac{1}{r} \mathrm{trace}(B);$$

recall that $r = \mathrm{rank}(E)$. Note that $r \cdot tr$ is the natural pairing $E \otimes E^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Using tr , the section \mathcal{A}'_E in (4.2) produces a section

$$\widehat{\beta}_E \in H^0(\mathcal{U}, (p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)). \tag{4.3}$$

The following lemma is straightforward to prove.

Lemma 4.1. *The restriction of the section $\widehat{\beta}_E$ (in (4.3)) to $2\Delta \subset \mathcal{U}$ coincides with the section δ in (3.6).*

Proof. This follows from the constructions of Φ_E (in (3.7)) and $\widehat{\beta}_E$. □

For any integer $k \geq 1$, the holomorphic line bundles $\mathbb{L}^{\otimes k}$ and $(\mathbb{L}^{\otimes k})^*$ will be denoted by \mathbb{L}^k and \mathbb{L}^{-k} respectively.

Theorem 4.2. *Take any integer $n \geq 2$. The section $\widehat{\beta}_E$ in (4.3) produces a holomorphic connection $\mathcal{D}(E)$ on the holomorphic vector bundle $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$.*

Proof. Consider the $(n+1)$ -th tensor power of $\widehat{\beta}_E$

$$(\widehat{\beta}_E)^{\otimes(n+1)} \in H^0(\mathcal{U}, (p_1^*\mathbb{L}^{(n+1)}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}^{(n+1)}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}((n+1)\Delta)),$$

and restrict it to $(n+1)\Delta \subset \mathcal{U}$. From (3.4) we have

$$\beta_E^n := (\widehat{\beta}_E)^{\otimes(n+1)}|_{(n+1)\Delta} \in H^0(X, \text{Diff}_X^n(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}, \mathbb{L}^{(n+1)})). \quad (4.4)$$

The symbol of the differential operator β_E^n in (4.4) is the section of \mathcal{O}_X given by the constant function 1. Indeed, this follows immediately from the fact that the restriction of $\widehat{\beta}_E$ to Δ is the constant function 1 (see Lemma 4.1).

We recall that there is a natural injective homomorphism $J^{m+n}(V) \rightarrow J^m(J^n(V))$ for all $m, n \geq 0$ and every holomorphic vector bundle V . We have following commutative diagram of vector bundles on X

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{L}^{(1-n)} \otimes K_X^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{L}^{(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & J^n(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{h} & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \otimes K_X & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & J^1(J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

where the rows are exact. From (3.3) we know that the differential operator β_E^n in (4.4) produces a homomorphism

$$\rho : J^n(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{(n+1)}.$$

Since the symbol of β_E^n is the constant function 1, we have

$$\rho \circ \iota_1 = \text{Id}_{\mathbb{L}^{(n+1)}}, \quad (4.6)$$

where ι_1 is the homomorphism in (4.5). From (4.6) it follows immediately that ρ gives a holomorphic splitting of the top exact sequence in (4.5). Let

$$\mathcal{D}_1 : J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \longrightarrow J^n(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$$

be the unique holomorphic homomorphism such that

- $\rho \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = 0$, and
- $\psi_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Id}_{J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})}$, where ψ_1 is the projection in (4.5).

Now consider the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}_2 := \mathbf{h} \circ \mathcal{D}_1 : J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \longrightarrow J^1(J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})), \quad (4.7)$$

where \mathbf{h} is the homomorphism in (4.5). Since $\psi_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Id}_{J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})}$, from the commutativity of (4.5) it follows that

$$\psi_2 \circ \mathcal{D}_2 = \psi_2 \circ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Id}_{J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})} \circ \psi_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Id}_{J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})},$$

where ψ_2 is the projection in (4.5). This implies that \mathcal{D}_2 in (4.7) gives a holomorphic splitting of the bottom exact sequence in (4.5).

Let

$$\mathcal{D}(E) : J^1(J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})) \longrightarrow J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \otimes K_X$$

be the unique holomorphic homomorphism such that

- $\mathcal{D}(E) \circ \mathcal{D}_2 = 0$, and
- $\mathcal{D}(E) \circ \iota_2 = \text{Id}_{J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \otimes K_X}$, where ι_2 is the homomorphism in (4.5).

Using (3.3) we know that

$$\mathcal{D}(E) \in H^0(X, \text{Diff}_X^1(J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}), J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \otimes K_X)); \quad (4.8)$$

from the homomorphism in (3.5) and the above equality $\mathcal{D}(E) \circ \iota_2 = \text{Id}_{J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \otimes K_X}$ it follows that the symbol of the differential operator $\mathcal{D}(E)$ in (4.8) is $\text{Id}_{J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})}$. This implies that $\mathcal{D}(E)$ satisfies the Leibniz rule. Consequently, $\mathcal{D}(E)$ is a holomorphic connection on the holomorphic vector bundle $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$. \square

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}^{(1-n)} \otimes K_X^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow J^i(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \longrightarrow J^{i-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using these together with the fact that $\mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{L} = K_X$ it is deduced that

$$\det J^i(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) := \bigwedge^{i+1} J^i(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) = \mathbb{L}^{(i+1)(i+1-n)}.$$

In particular, we have

$$\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) := \bigwedge^n J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) = \mathcal{O}_X.$$

So $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$ has a unique holomorphic connection whose monodromy is trivial; it will be called the trivial connection on $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$.

Proposition 4.3. *The holomorphic connection on $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$ induced by the connection $\mathcal{D}(E)$ on $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$ (see Theorem 4.2) is the trivial connection.*

Proof. Any holomorphic connection D on $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) = \mathcal{O}_X$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$D = D_0 + \omega,$$

where D_0 is the trivial connection on $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$ and $\omega \in H^0(X, K_X)$. Let D^1 be the holomorphic connection on $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$ induced by the connection $\mathcal{D}(E)$ on $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$. Decompose it as

$$D^1 = D_0 + \omega^1,$$

where $\omega^1 \in H^0(X, K_X)$. Then

$$\omega^1 = (n-1) \cdot \left((\widehat{\beta}_E)|_{2\Delta} - \delta \right),$$

where $\widehat{\beta}_E$ and δ are the sections in (4.3) and (3.6) respectively. Note that two sections of $((p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta))|_{2\Delta}$ that coincide on $\Delta \subset 2\Delta$ differ by an element of $H^0(\Delta, ((p_1^*\mathbb{L}) \otimes (p_2^*\mathbb{L}))|_{\Delta}) = H^0(X, K_X)$. Now from Lemma 4.1 it follows that $\omega^1 = 0$. \square

Let $\text{Op}_X(n)$ denote the moduli space of $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ opers on X [BD2]. It is a complex affine space of dimension $(n^2 - 1)(g - 1)$. We recall a description of $\text{Op}_X(n)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_n(X)$ denote the space of all holomorphic connections D' on $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$ such that the holomorphic connection on $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$ induced by D' is the trivial connection on $\det J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}) = \mathcal{O}_X$. Then

$$\text{Op}_X(n) = \mathcal{C}_n(X) / \text{Aut}(J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})),$$

where $\text{Aut}(J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}))$ denotes the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of the vector bundle $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)})$; note that $\text{Aut}(J^{n-1}(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}))$ has a natural action on $\mathcal{C}_n(X)$.

The moduli space $\text{Op}_X(n)$ also coincides with the space of all holomorphic differential operators

$$\mathcal{B} \in H^0(X, \text{Diff}_X^n(\mathbb{L}^{(1-n)}, \mathbb{L}^{(n+1)}))$$

such that

- (1) the symbol of \mathcal{B} is the section of \mathcal{O}_X given by the constant function 1, and
- (2) the sub-leading term of \mathcal{B} vanishes.

From Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 we get an algebraic morphism

$$\tilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{M}_X(r) \longrightarrow \text{Op}_X(n) \tag{4.9}$$

that sends any $E \in \mathcal{M}_X(r)$ to the image, in $\text{Op}_X(n)$, of the holomorphic connection $\mathcal{D}(E)$ (see Theorem 4.2).

Since $H^i(X, E \otimes \mathbb{L}) = H^{1-i}(X, E^* \otimes \mathbb{L})$ (Serre duality), we have an involution

$$\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{M}_X(r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(r), \quad F \longmapsto F^*. \tag{4.10}$$

Let

$$\tau : X \times X \longrightarrow X \times X, \quad (x_1, x_2) \longmapsto (x_2, x_1) \tag{4.11}$$

be the involution.

Proposition 4.4. *For any $E \in \mathcal{M}_X(r)$, the sections*

$$\mathcal{A}_E \in H^0(X \times X, p_1^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta))$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}(E)} \in H^0(X \times X, p_1^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta))$$

(see (2.4) for \mathcal{A}_E and (4.10) for \mathcal{I}) satisfy the equation

$$\tau^* \mathcal{A}_E = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}(E)},$$

where τ is the involution in (4.11).

Proof. We recall that \mathcal{A}_E is the unique section of $p_1^*(E^* \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes p_2^*(E \otimes \mathbb{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times X}(\Delta)$ over $X \times X$ whose restriction to Δ coincides with Id_E using the identification of Δ with X . Now the restriction of $\tau^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}(E)}$ to Δ is also Id_E . So, $\tau^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}(E)} = \mathcal{A}_E$, which implies that $\tau^* \mathcal{A}_E = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}(E)}$. \square

Corollary 4.5. *The map $\tilde{\Psi}$ in (4.9) descends to a map*

$$\tilde{\Psi}^0 : \mathcal{M}_X(r) / \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \text{Op}_X(n),$$

where \mathcal{I} is the involution in (4.10).

Let ξ be a holomorphic line bundle on X such that $\xi \otimes \xi = \mathcal{O}_X$; for example, ξ can be \mathcal{O}_X . Let

$$\mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi) \subset \mathcal{M}_X(r)$$

be the sub-variety consisting of all $E \in \mathcal{M}_X(r)$ such that $\bigwedge^r E = \xi$. Since $\xi^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_X$ it follows that

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi)) = \mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi),$$

where \mathcal{I} is defined in (4.10). So restricting the map $\tilde{\Psi}^0$ in Corollary 4.5 to $\mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi)/\mathcal{I}$ we get morphism

$$\Psi : \mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi)/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \text{Op}_X(n). \quad (4.12)$$

We note that when $n = r$,

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_X(r, \xi)/\mathcal{I} = (r^2 - 1)(g - 1) = \dim \text{Op}_X(r).$$

So it is natural to ask the following:

Question 4.6. *When $n = r$, how close is the map Ψ (constructed in (4.12)) to being injective or surjective?*

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing not applicable – no new data generated.

REFERENCES

- [At] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [BD1] A. Beilinson and V. G. Drinfeld, Quantization of Hitchin’s integrable system and Hecke eigen-sheaves, (1991).
- [BD2] A. Beilinson and V. G. Drinfeld, Opers, *arXiv math/0501398* (1993).
- [BS] A. A. Beilinson and V. V. Schechtman, Determinant bundles and Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988), 651–701.
- [BB] D. Ben-Zvi and I. Biswas, Theta functions and Szegő kernels, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2003), no. 24, 1305–1340.
- [BH1] I. Biswas and J. Hurtubise, Meromorphic connections, determinant line bundles and the Tyurin parametrization, arXiv:1907.00133, *Asian Jour. Math.* (to appear).
- [BH2] I. Biswas and J. Hurtubise, A canonical connection on bundles on Riemann surfaces and Quillen connection on the theta bundle, *Adv. Math.* **389** (2021), Paper No. 107918.
- [BR] I. Biswas and A. K. Raina, Projective structures on a Riemann surface, *Inter. Math. Res. Not.* (1996), No. 15, 753–768.
- [GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1978.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [La] Y. Laszlo, Un théorème de Riemann pour les diviseurs thêta sur les espaces de modules de fibrés stables sur une courbe, *Duke Math. Jour.* **64** (1991), 333–347.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD,
MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, BURNSIDE HALL, 805 SHERBROOKE ST.
W., MONTREAL, QUE. H3A 2K6, CANADA

Email address: `jacques.hurtubise@mcgill.ca`

UNAM, LAREMA UMR 6093 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ D'ANGERS, 49045 CEDEX 01, ANGERS,
FRANCE

Email address: `vladimir.roubtsov@univ-angers.fr`