

**THE COMPACT-OPEN TOPOLOGY ON THE
DIFFEOMORPHISM OR HOMEOMORPHISM GROUP OF A
SMOOTH MANIFOLD WITHOUT BOUNDARY IS MINIMAL IN
ALMOST ALL DIMENSIONS**

J. DE LA NUEZ GONZÁLEZ

ABSTRACT. We show that for any connected smooth manifold M of dimension different from 3 the restriction of the compact-open topology to the diffeomorphism group of M is minimal, i.e., the group does not admit a strictly coarser Hausdorff group topology. This implies the minimality of the compact-open topology on the homeomorphism group of M in all dimensions different from 3 and 4. In those cases for which in addition to all of this automatic continuity is known to hold, such as when M is closed, one can conclude that the compact-open topology is the only separable Hausdorff group topology on the homeomorphism group.

0. INTRODUCTION

We begin by recalling the following definition:

Definition 0.1. *Let G be a group and \mathfrak{t} a Hausdorff group topology on G . We say that \mathfrak{t} (resp. the topological group (G, \mathfrak{t})) is minimal if G admits no Hausdorff group topology strictly coarser than \mathfrak{t} .*

This definition abstracts a key feature of compact Hausdorff topological groups and has received substantial attention in the literature. Minimal topological groups are a rich subject with some surprising connections with other fields, such as logic [4] or number theory [27]. We refer the reader to the comprehensive survey [8] for the broader context and additional questions.

Given a topological space X , the compact-open topology on the homeomorphism group of $\mathcal{H}(X)$ of X is the topology generated by all subsets of the form $[K, U] = \{f \in G \mid f(K) \subseteq U\}$, for $K \subseteq X$ compact and $U \subseteq X$ open in X . The following classical result is due to Arens [2] in the non-compact case.

Fact 0.2. *Let X be a Hausdorff, locally compact, and locally connected topological space. Then the compact-open topology is a group topology on $\mathcal{H}(X)$.*

It is a natural problem whether the compact-open topology on the homeomorphism groups of familiar spaces under the assumptions of 0.2 is minimal. The following is formulated Question 4.28 in [8] in the compact case (minimality of the homeomorphism group is referred to there as M -compactness).

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY (KIAS)

E-mail address: jnuezgonzalez@gmail.com.

Date: April 17, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S05, 22A05.

Work supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1301-51 and by KIAS individual grant MG084001.

Question 1. *For which manifolds M is the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ minimal?*

It is a result of Gartside and Glynn [11] that the compact-open topology is minimal in the case of 1-manifolds (in fact the minimum Hausdorff group topology on the group). If $\dim(M) > 1$ and $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, then minimality does not hold for the compact-open topology. A witness of this fact is given in [7] as a particular example of a more general phenomenon, although in this very specific context the behavior can be traced back to [10]. For completeness, we indicate the proof. Consider the restriction homomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{H}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\text{int}(M))$, where $\text{int}(M) = M \setminus \partial M$, and let $\mathfrak{t}_{co}^\partial$ denote the preimage by ρ of the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{H}(\text{int}(M))$. Then $\mathfrak{t}_{co}^\partial$ is a Hausdorff group topology strictly coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} . For a smooth manifold M it is easy to see that the inclusion remains proper if we restrict to the group of diffeomorphisms.

In this work we settle Question 1 for a large family of manifolds without boundary. In fact, we show something somewhat more general. Given a manifold M we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{co}(M)$ is the subgroup of all elements of the homeomorphism group $\mathcal{H}(M)$ that are isotopic to the identity through a compactly supported topological ambient isotopy (see Section 1).

Theorem A. *Assume we are given a connected manifold M and $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{H}(M)$ as follows:*

- M admits a smooth structure,
- $\partial M = \emptyset$,
- $\dim(M) \notin \{3, 4\}$,
- $\mathcal{H}_{co}(M) \leq \mathfrak{G}$.

Then the restriction of the compact-open topology to \mathfrak{G} is minimal.

We deduce Theorem A from a related result for diffeomorphism groups, Theorem C below, valid also in dimension 4 and whose proof contains the vast majority of the mathematical content of this article. Before stating it, let us indicate how Theorem A complements other results in the literature.

It was shown in [16], building upon the dimension 1 and 2 cases in [26] and [25] respectively, that for any compact manifold M the group $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$, equipped with the compact-open topology, satisfies the automatic continuity property, i.e., any algebraic homomorphism from said group to a separable topological group is continuous (the property then passes to any supergroup of $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$ inside $\mathcal{H}(M)$). This was later generalized by the same author in [17] to certain groups of homeomorphisms of non-compact manifolds. By combining these results with Theorem C, we obtain the following:

Corollary B. *Let M' be the interior of a smooth connected compact manifold of dimension 2 or at least 5 and let M satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- if $\dim(M') \geq 5$, then $M := M'$,
- if $\dim(M') = 2$, then $M := M' \setminus F$, where $F \subset M$ is a finite set or the union of a finite set and a Cantor set.

Then the compact-open topology is the only separable Hausdorff group topology on the group $\mathcal{H}(M)$.

If M is closed, then the restriction of the compact-open topology is the only separable Hausdorff group topology on any group \mathfrak{G} with $\mathcal{H}_0(M) \leq \mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{H}(M)$.

In particular, any algebraic homomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$ to a separable Hausdorff topological group has either a trivial image or is a homeomorphic embedding.

The last clause relies on the simplicity of $\mathcal{H}_0(M)$ for closed M , which follows, for instance, from Corollary 1 and Lemma 4 in [32] and Corollary 1.3 in [9].

Note that the compact-open topology was already known to be the unique complete separable group topology on the homeomorphism group of a compact manifold [14], a fact that can also be recovered as a corollary of automatic continuity [16]. The result in [17] is stated in terms of the group of homeomorphisms of a manifold M preserving a set F as in the statement. However, as discussed there, in dimension 2 the restriction map is an isomorphism of topological groups between the former and $\mathcal{H}(M \setminus F)$.

Let us conclude by stating our main technical result, whose proof will occupy most of the paper. Given a smooth manifold M , we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ the subgroup of its diffeomorphism group $\mathcal{D}(M)$ consisting of all those $h \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ diffeotopic to the identity through a compactly supported diffeotopy (see Section 1 for detailed definitions).

Theorem C. *Assume we are given smooth connected manifold M and $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{D}(M)$ satisfying:*

- (a) $\partial M = \emptyset$,
- (b) $\dim(M) = 2$ or $\dim(M) \geq 4$,
- (c) $\mathcal{D}_{c0}(M) \leq \mathfrak{G}$.

Then the restriction of the compact-open topology to \mathfrak{G} is minimal.

Outline of the paper. Section 1 establishes some notation and recalls some basic facts, with which many readers will be familiar. Section 2 takes place in a general setting in which we are given an isotopy $H : N \times I \rightarrow M$ of an $(m-1)$ -dimensional manifold N into an m -dimensional manifold M and some fixed embedded $(m-1)$ -dimensional sub-manifold $L \subseteq M$. We show that under some conditions on H up an arbitrarily small perturbation one can always assume that outside a discrete set of points $\mathcal{P} \subseteq M \times I$ the embedding $H(-, t) : N \rightarrow M$ is transverse to L , whereas at each point in \mathcal{P} some Morse-like transition admitting a simple description occurs (Lemma 2.7). If $H = (G_t \circ \iota)_{t \in I}$ for some fixed embedding of N in M and some compactly supported diffeotopy G of Id_M , then this can be done by perturbing G .

We develop this further on this in Section 3. In particular, Corollary 3.10, establishes a connection between Section 2 and the problem of decomposing elements of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ as products of elements with certain properties. We also show in Lemma 3.12 that certain condition on the sequence of transitions of the isotopy H in question can always be assumed to hold. It will be again crucial to show this can be done by modifying the diffeotopy G .

Sections 4 to 6 are devoted to the proof of Theorem C, which is roughly structured as follows. We fix M of dimension $m = 2$ or $m \geq 4$ and $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{D}(M)$ as in the statement of the theorem, as well as some Hausdorff group topology \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{G} strictly coarser than the restriction of the compact-open topology. We will write $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ for the collection of neighbourhoods of the identity in \mathfrak{t} . The main result of Section 4 is Corollary 4.13, which states that for any neighbourhood $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ there is some sufficiently rich family of embedded simplicial complexes Δ of codimension 1 such that for each of those Δ the set \mathcal{V} contains some suitably defined subgroup $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$ of the pointwise stabilizer of Δ in M (Definition 1.13). In Section 5 we use

this to conclude that given any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ and any embedded arc α in M there is some neighbourhood U of α in M such that any element diffeotopic to the identity through a diffeotopy supported in U is in \mathcal{V} (Corollary 5.7). It is here that the assumption $m \neq 3$ becomes relevant.

In Section 6 we prove Theorem C by showing that \mathfrak{t} , which was initially assumed above to be Hausdorff, in fact cannot be. More precisely, in Proposition 6.4 we show that any element $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ which is diffeotopic to the identity in some embedded ball D must belong to the intersection of all $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$. It suffices to show the existence of some universal constant $N > 0$ such that for any symmetric $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ we always have $g \in \mathcal{U}^N$. We know by then any such \mathcal{U} contains $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$ for some embedded simplicial complex $\Delta \subseteq M$. We start by showing one can always assume $\Delta^{(m-2)} \cap D = \emptyset$ (Lemma 6.1), and that it is in fact possible to assume \mathcal{U} contains a larger “flexible” stabilizer \mathfrak{H}_Δ (Lemma 6.3). This will allow us to apply the results of Section 2 and 3.

An inductive argument that uses Corollary 5.7 for its base case allows us to assume that for any embedded ball $F \subseteq M$ of proper codimension there is some neighbourhood V of F such that any element diffeotopic to the identity by a diffeotopy supported in V is in \mathcal{U} . The tools from Sections 2 and 3, then allow us to generate g as a suitable product of elements in \mathfrak{H}_Δ and elements of the form above. The inductive hypotheses on the topology \mathfrak{t} are somewhat delicate here and force us to actually prove the results of Sections 4 under weaker assumptions than described in the previous paragraph.

In Section 7 we deduce Theorem A from Theorem C. This involves a brief callback to Section 4 and simple applications of results available in the literature. We close with some comments and remarks in Section 8.

We bring to the reader’s attention that the proofs in the text sometimes contain auxiliary sublemmas with their proofs. We use the shading of the closing square to indicate the degree of nesting of a given proof.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Generalities. Let M be a connected topological manifold. If we fix a metric d on M compatible with its topology, then a base of neighbourhoods of the identity for the compact-open topology τ_{co} on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ is given by the collection of sets

$$\mathcal{V}_{K,\epsilon} := \{g \in G \mid \forall p \in K \ d(p, g \cdot p), d(p, g^{-1} \cdot p) < \epsilon\}$$

where K ranges over all compact subsets of M and ϵ over all positive reals.

Sometimes we might only be interested in the set $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon := \mathcal{V}_{M,\epsilon}$. For any subset $A \subseteq M$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we let $\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(A) := \{p \in M \mid d(p, A) < \epsilon\}$. When working with $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{H}(M)$ we will use the same notation to denote the restriction of the topology τ_{co} and the neighbourhoods above to \mathfrak{G} .

From now on, and unless we explicitly use the term “topological manifold”, all the manifolds considered will be assumed to be smooth and equipped with a distance function d induced by some complete Riemannian metric on the manifold.

Given $g \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ we write $\text{supp}(g) := \{x \in M \mid g(x) \neq x\}$. We will often use the fact that any smooth manifold M is the union of an ascending chain of compact submanifolds $M_0 \subseteq \overset{\circ}{M}_1 \subseteq M_1 \dots$ (see, for instance, the remark after 4.3.2 in [29]). We will use the symbol \circ to denote composition between heterogeneous smooth maps, omitting it when composition takes place between elements living in the

same diffeomorphism (or homeomorphism) group. Working in a group we use the notation $g^h := h^{-1}gh$ wherever it is practical to do so.

Transversality. Given a smooth map $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$ and a submanifold $L \subseteq N$, we say that f is transverse to L if and only if we have $\text{im}(Df|_p) + T_p L = T_p M$ for any point $p \in M$ such that $q := f(p) \in L$, written as $f \bar{\cap} L$. Recall that $f \bar{\cap} L$ implies that $f^{-1}(L)$ is a submanifold of N with the same codimension as that of L in N . If $N_1, N_2 \subseteq M$ are submanifolds, we say that they are in general position if $\iota \bar{\cap} N_2$ where ι is the inclusion of N_1 in M .¹

The Whitney topology and the \mathcal{D} -topology. Given smooth manifolds N and M , we denote the strong Whitney topology (or simply the Whitney topology) on $C^\infty(N, M)$ by $\mathbb{W}(N, M)$. Recall that this is the union, for $r \geq 0$ of the restrictions to $C^\infty(N, M)$ of the topologies $\mathbb{W}^r(N, M)$ on $C^r(N, N)$ of locally uniform convergence in all derivatives of order at most r . A useful refinement of the Whitney topology on $C^\infty(N, M)$ is the so-called \mathcal{D} -topology, or $\mathcal{D}(N, M)$ (see [18] p.37).

Definition 1.1. Assume $\partial N = \emptyset$ and fix any sequence $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compact submanifolds of N with $K_0 = \emptyset$, $K_n \subseteq \overset{\circ}{K}_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} K_n = N$. For any $n \geq 0$ let $\rho_n : C^\infty(N, M) \rightarrow C^\infty(N \setminus \overset{\circ}{K}_n, M)$ be the obvious restriction map

Then a basis of neighbourhoods for $\mathcal{D}(N, M)$ is given by all the intersections of the form $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \rho_n^{-1}(U_n)$, where $U_n \in \mathbb{W}(N \setminus \overset{\circ}{K}_n, M)$.

We collect some basic facts below, where (3) follows easily from the definition and (4) from (3). We refer the reader to Chapter 2 in [13], and Chapter 4 in [18] for more details.

Fact 1.2. The following holds:

- (1) $C^\infty(N, M)$ endowed with either $\mathbb{W}(N, M)$ or $\mathcal{D}(N, N)$ is a Baire space ([18], pp. 34, 38).
- (2) The following collections of maps are open in $\mathbb{W}(N, M)$:
 - the collection of maps whose differential has rank $\geq k$,
 - the collection of embeddings of N in M ([13], Ch.2, Thm. 1.4),
 - the collection of proper maps from N to M ([13], Ch.2, Thm. 1.5).
- (3) Let $L \subseteq \overset{\circ}{N}$ be a codimension 0 submanifold of N , $f \in C^r(N, M)$ and \mathcal{W} some neighbourhood of f in $\mathbb{W}^r(N, M)$, $r \geq 0$. Then there exists some neighbourhood \mathcal{V} of $f|_{\overset{\circ}{L}}$ in $\mathbb{W}(\overset{\circ}{L}, N)$ such that for any $h \in \mathcal{V}$ we have $f|_{\overset{\circ}{N} \setminus \overset{\circ}{L}} \cup h \in \mathcal{V} \subseteq C^r(N, M)$.
- (4) Let $L \subseteq N \setminus \partial N$ be a codimension 0 submanifold of N , $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$ and \mathcal{W} some neighbourhood of f in $\mathbb{W}(N, M)$. Then there exists some neighbourhood \mathcal{V} of $f|_{\overset{\circ}{L}}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\overset{\circ}{L}, N)$ such that for any $h \in \mathcal{V}$ we have

$$f|_{\overset{\circ}{N} \setminus \overset{\circ}{L}} \cup h \in \mathcal{W} \subseteq C^\infty(N, M).$$

Isotopies. We denote by I the interval $I = [-1, 1]$. Given manifolds N, M a homotopy of N in M is a continuous map $H : N \times I \rightarrow M$. If M and N are smooth manifolds, an isotopy of M in N is a smooth homotopy $H : N \times I \rightarrow M$ such that for any $t \in I$ the map $H_t := H(-, t) : N \rightarrow M$ is an embedding. We will refer to I as the time component of $N \times I$ and denote the associated direction in the tangent space by ∂_t .

¹Note that this relation is actually symmetric.

Given elements g, g' of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ ($\mathcal{H}(M)$), a diffeotopy (topological ambient isotopy) from g to g' is an isotopy (resp. homotopy) of M in itself such that $G_t \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ (resp. $G_t \in \mathcal{H}(M)$) for all $t \in I$. We will also say that G is a diffeotopy (topological ambient isotopy) of g . If instead of I we have any other interval, we speak of a generalized isotopy.

For convenience, we will sometimes use the notation $(H_t)_{t \in I}$ to present an isotopy. Given an embedding $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ and a diffeotopy G of M , we also write $\iota^*(G)$ for the isotopy of N in M given by $(G_t \circ \iota)_{t \in I}$.

By the support of a homotopy H of N in M we mean the set

$$\text{supp}(H) := \{p \in N \mid \exists t \in I \ H_t(p) \neq H_{-1}(p)\}.$$

One can check that $\text{supp}(H)$ is an open set and that if H is a diffeotopy of Id_M , then $H(\text{supp}(H) \times I) = \text{supp}(H)$. We say that H is compactly supported if there is some compact set $K \subseteq M$ such that $\text{supp}(H) \subseteq K$. We will use the term proper to refer to isotopies that are proper as continuous maps, i.e., which are such that the preimage of any compact set is compact, rather any other technical meaning.

Given a diffeotopy $G : M \times I \rightarrow M$ we write

$$\|G\|_\infty := \sup\{d(G(p, t), p) \mid p \in M, t \in I\}.$$

We let $\mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ the collection of elements of $\mathcal{D}(M)$ which are connected to Id_M by a compactly supported diffeotopy and $\mathcal{H}_{c0}(M)$ the collection of elements of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ which are connected to Id_M by a compactly supported topological ambient isotopy. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}_{c0}(M) \leq \mathcal{H}(M)$, and also that $\mathcal{D}_{c0}(M) \leq \mathcal{D}(M)$, by Facts 1.5 and 1.6 below.

Definition 1.3. We write \mathcal{W}_ϵ for the collection of elements of the form G_1 , where $G : M \times I \rightarrow M$ is a diffeotopy of Id_M with compact support such that $\|G\|_\infty < \epsilon$. Given a group topology \mathfrak{t} on $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{D}(M)$ we say that small deformations are close to the identity in \mathfrak{t} if for any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_\mathfrak{t}(1)$ there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

Remark 1.4. Clearly, $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon \subseteq \mathcal{V}_\epsilon$, so that if $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{co}$, then small deformations are close to the identity in \mathfrak{t} . It follows from 1.5 below that $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon = \mathcal{W}_\epsilon^{-1}$.

Fact 1.5. If G is a compactly supported diffeotopy, so is $(G_t^{-1})_{t \in I}$. If G^1 and G^2 are compactly supported isotopies of M_1 in M_2 and of M_2 in M_3 respectively, then so is $(G_t^2 \circ G_t^1)_{t \in I}$.

Given a generalized isotopy $H : N \times J \rightarrow M$, by a time reparametrization of H , we mean an isotopy of the form $H \circ (Id \times \lambda)$, where $\lambda : J \rightarrow I$ is an order-preserving smooth bijection. We will say that a property holds for H up to time reparametrization if it holds for some time reparametrization of H . If λ is a diffeomorphism on the interior of J , then we will say that the reparametrization is strict.

Fact 1.6 (see 2.4 in [29]). Given isotopies H^1 and H^2 of N in M with $H_1^1 = H_1^2$, it is possible to replace H^i by a strict time reparametrization, so that as a result the map $H : N \times I \rightarrow M$ given by

$$H(p, t) := \begin{cases} H^1(p, 2t + 1) & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ H^1(p, 2t - 1) & \text{if } t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

is an isotopy.

We will refer to H as a concatenation of H^1 and H^2 , and indicate it by $H^1 * H^2$ (ignoring non-uniqueness).

We say that an isotopy F of N in M is covered by a diffeotopy G of Id_M if $F_t = G_t \circ F_{-1}$ for all $t \in I$. The following refinement of Thm. 2.4.2 in [29] is probably well-known, but we indicate a proof of the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1.7. *Let N, M be manifolds of dimensions m and n , respectively, and with $\partial M = \emptyset$, and let H be a compactly supported isotopy of N in M . Then H is covered by some compactly supported diffeotopy G of Id_M . Moreover, if*

$$\epsilon = \sup\{\|DH|_{(p,t)}(\partial_t)\|_2 \mid (p,t) \in N \times I\},$$

then for any $\delta > 0$ we can assume:

- (1) $\text{supp}(G) \subseteq N_\delta(H(\text{supp}(H) \times I))$,
- (2) $G_t \in \mathcal{V}_{(1+t)(\epsilon+\delta)}$ for all $t \in I$,
- (3) if $\partial N = \emptyset$ and $N = N_1 \cap N_2 = \bigcap_{i=1}^2 (N_i \setminus \partial N_i)$ for two sub-manifolds $N_1, N_2 \subseteq M$ in general position, and $H_t(N) = N$ for all $t \in I$, then we can assume that $G_t(N_i) = N_i$ for all $t \in I$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. For item (1) it suffices to restrict the ambient manifold. Using Whitney's extension theorem [31] we might assume that H is defined on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, as in the proof of 2.4.2 in [29]. We consider the map:

$$\Theta : N \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M, \quad \Theta(p, t) := (H(p, t), t)$$

and then define χ on the submanifold $N^* := \Theta(N \times \mathbb{R}) \subseteq M \times \mathbb{R}$ by the formula:

$$\chi(\Theta(p, t)) = D\Theta|_{(p,t)}(\partial_t).$$

Given an extension ξ of χ to $M \times \mathbb{R}$ which has constant time component and agrees with ∂_t outside $K \times \mathbb{R}$ for some compact set K , the diffeotopy G is obtained by integrating χ and then taking the M -component of the result.

For (2) it suffices to show that we can take the norm of the TM -component ξ^M of ξ to be uniformly bounded by $(\epsilon + \delta)$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. This can be achieved by multiplying ξ^M by a suitable smooth scalar function $\rho : M \times I \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with support contained in a suitable neighbourhood of N^* .

For (3) notice that in this case $N^* = N \times \mathbb{R}$ and it suffices to ensure $\xi^M(p, t) \in T_p N_i \subseteq T_{(p,t)} M \times I$ for all $(p, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}$. Since N_1 and N_2 are in general position, we know that there are sets $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$, some locally finite covering $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of M and charts $\phi_\lambda : U_\lambda \cong (-1, 1)^m$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that either $U_\lambda \cap N = \emptyset$ or $\phi_\lambda(N_l \cap U_\lambda) = (-1, 1) \cap \{x_i = 0 \mid i \in \mathcal{F}_l\}$ for $l = 1, 2$ (Lem. III, 3.1 in [12]). For every λ for which the latter holds a local extension ξ_λ^M of ξ^M on U_λ with the desired properties can be obtained by taking the pull-back in $(-1, 1)$ with respect to the linear projection onto $\phi_\lambda(N \cap U_\lambda)$ given by the standard base. The global field ξ^M can then be recovered by combining the local extensions using a partition of unity in a standard way. \square

Lemma 1.8. *Let $N \subseteq M$ be a submanifold without boundary and suppose that we are given a compactly supported diffeotopy G of Id_M such that $(G_t)|_{N \cup U} = Id_{N \cup U}$ for all $t \in I$, where $U \subseteq M$ is an open set such that $\overline{N} \setminus U$ is compact and contained in N . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some neighbourhood V of \overline{N} in M and some compactly supported diffeotopy \tilde{G} of Id_M such that:*

- $\tilde{G}|_{V \times I} = G|_{V \times I}$,

- $\|\tilde{G}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$.

Therefore, if we write $g := G_1$ and $h := \tilde{G}_1^{-1}$, then $h \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$ and hg is isotopic to the identity through a diffeotopy $\hat{G} := (\tilde{G}_t^{-1}G_t)_{t \in I}$ satisfying $\text{supp}(\hat{G}) \cap V = \emptyset$.

Proof. As in the proof of 1.7, we can extend G to $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and consider the vector field χ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\chi(\Theta(p, t)) = D\Theta|_{(p, t)}(\partial_t), \quad \Theta := (G, \pi_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

Note that we may assume $\chi = \partial_t$ outside some compact set. We have

$$(h) \quad \Theta|_{N \times \mathbb{R}} = Id_{N \times \mathbb{R}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \chi|_{(N \cup U) \times \mathbb{R}} = (\partial_t)|_{(N \cup U) \times \mathbb{R}}.$$

If we let χ^M be the TM -component of χ , then (h) implies the existence of some neighbourhood V' of N such that the norm of χ^M (with respect to the Riemannian metric on M) is bounded by $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ on V' . Choose some smooth $\rho : M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the property that $\rho \circ \Theta|_{V'' \times \mathbb{R}} = 1$ on some neighbourhood V'' of N and $\rho \circ \Theta|_{(M \setminus V') \times \mathbb{R}} = 0$ and consider the vector field $\xi := \rho\chi + (1 - \rho)\partial_t$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, $D\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(\xi) = \partial_t$, and from (h) we can deduce

$$(hh) \quad \xi|_{\Theta((V'' \cup U) \times \mathbb{R})} = \chi|_{\Theta((V'' \cup U) \times \mathbb{R})}, \quad \xi|_{U \times \mathbb{R}} = (\partial_t)|_{U \times \mathbb{R}}.$$

Since the norm of $\rho\chi^M$ is uniformly bounded by $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$, the diffeotopy \tilde{G} of Id_M obtained from integrating ξ and restricting to $M \times I$ satisfies $\|\tilde{G}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$. It follows from (hh) that $\tilde{G}|_{V \times I} = G|_{V \times I}$ for some neighbourhood V of \bar{N} . \square

The following result can be extracted from the proof of Prop. 4.4.4 in [29], where a non-parametric version is formulated under the assumption that N is compact.

Fact 1.9. *Let N, M be manifolds with $\partial M = \emptyset$. Assume that $H : N \times I^k \rightarrow M$, $k \geq 0$, is a smooth map with the following properties:*

- the map $H_{\underline{s}} : N \rightarrow M$ sending p to $H(p, \underline{s})$ is an embedding for all $\underline{s} \in I^k$,
- there is a compact set $K \subseteq N$ such that $H(p, \underline{s})$ is independent of $\underline{s} \in I^k$ for all $p \in K$.

Then there is some neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of H in $\mathcal{W}(N \times I^k, M)$ such that for all $H' \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $H'(p, \underline{s}) = H(p, \underline{s})$ for all $p \in N \setminus K$ and $\underline{s} \in I^k$ there exists some $\tilde{H} : N \times I^{k+1} \rightarrow M$ with the property that for all $(p, \underline{s}) \in N \times I^k$ we have

- $\tilde{H}(p, \underline{s}, -1) = H(p, \underline{s})$, $\tilde{H}(p, \underline{s}, 1) = H'(p, \underline{s})$,
- the curve $t \mapsto \tilde{H}(p, \underline{s}, t)$ is a minimizing geodesic segment parametrized with constant speed.

Corollary 1.10. *Let $N, M, H : N \times I^k \rightarrow M$ and $K \subseteq N$ be as in Fact 1.9. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is some neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of H in $\mathcal{W}(N \times I^k, M)$ such that for any $H' \in \mathcal{W}$ with $H'|_{(N \setminus K) \times I^k} = H|_{(N \setminus K) \times I^k}$ there is $G : M \times I^{k+1} \rightarrow M$ such that for all $\underline{s} \in I^k$ the map $G[\underline{s}] : M \times I \rightarrow M$ given by $G[\underline{s}](p, t) := G(p, \underline{s}, t)$ is a diffeotopy of Id_M such that*

- $G[\underline{s}]$ is supported on $N_\epsilon(K)$,
- $G[\underline{s}]_1(H(p, \underline{s})) = H'(p, \underline{s})$,
- $\|G[\underline{s}]\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$.

Proof. For \mathcal{W} small enough we can use 1.9 to find $\hat{H} : (N \times I^k) \times I \rightarrow M$ such that $\hat{H}_{-1} = H$, $\hat{H}_1 = H'$ and

$$\|D\hat{H}|_{(p,\underline{s},t)}(\partial_t)\|_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(H(p,\underline{s}), H'(p,\underline{s})) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for all $(p, \underline{s}, t) \in N \times I^{k+1}$. After suitably extending \hat{H} to $N \times \mathbb{R}^k \times I$, we may apply Lemma 1.7 to the map $(\hat{H}, \pi_{\mathbb{R}^k}) : N \times \mathbb{R}^k \times I \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}^k$ to obtain the desired conclusion. \square

Embedded balls. For $R > 0$ and some integer $k > 0$ we write:

$$B^k(R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \|x\|_2 < R\}, \quad \overline{B}^k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \|x\|_2 \leq R\}.$$

We abbreviate $B^k(1)$ by B^k and similarly for \overline{B}^k . By an embedded k -ball (or, simply, a k -ball) D in a manifold without boundary M we intend the image of a smooth embedding of \overline{B}^k in M . By the interior $\overset{\circ}{D}$ of D we mean, by an abuse of notation, the image of B^k by such an embedding, and by ∂D the image of the standard sphere S^{m-1} .

By applying [29], Thm. 2.5.6 iteratively we get the following.

Fact 1.11. *Suppose we are given two families of disjoint k -balls $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^k, \{D'_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in some connected smooth manifold M and a collection of diffeomorphisms $h_i : D_i \cong D'_i$, which we assume to be orientation-preserving if M is orientable. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ extending all h_i simultaneously.*

An analogous statement holds for the homeomorphism group of a topological manifold as a result of the annulus theorem [19],[15],[24]. We say that a topological embedding of the standard closed m -ball $\overline{B}^m(1)$ in an m -dimensional topological manifold is collared if it extends to an embedding of $\overline{B}^m(2)$. We will refer to the image of such an embedding as a collared ball.

Fact 1.12. *Suppose we are given two families of disjoint collared balls $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^k, \{D'_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in some connected topological manifold M , as well as a collection of homeomorphisms $h_i : D_i \cong D'_i$, which we assume to be orientation-preserving in case M is orientable. Then there exists $h \in \mathcal{H}_{c0}(M)$ extending all h_i simultaneously.*

Embedded simplicial complexes. By an embedded simplicial complex Δ in M we mean an embedding of the geometric realization of a simplicial complex into M which is smooth on every simplex. For the most part, we will think of this merely as a family $\{\Delta^k\}_{k \geq 0}$ of subsets of M , where Δ^k stands for the collection of all k -simplices of Δ . We also write $\Delta^{(k)}$, or the k -skeleton of Δ , for the union $\bigcup_{0 \leq l \leq k} \Delta^l$, and $|\Delta|$ for the collection of points in the image of Δ . By Whitney's extension theorem [31] and the inverse function theorem, each simplex $\sigma \in \Delta^k$ is the image by some smooth embedding $\phi : \overline{B}^k \rightarrow M$ of some affine simplex $\tau \subseteq B^k$.

Given a submanifold $N \supseteq M$ and an embedded simplicial complex Δ in M , we write $N \overline{\cap} \Delta$ if each of the simplices of Δ can be extended to an embedded manifold of the same dimension in general position with respect to N .

Definition 1.13. *If Δ is a $(m-1)$ -dimensional embedded finite simplicial complex in an m -dimensional manifold M we denote by $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$ the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ consisting of all the elements g of the form $g = G_1$ for some compactly supported*

diffeotopy G of Id_M for which there is some neighbourhood V of $|\Delta^{(m-2)}|$ such that for all $t \in I$ we have $(G_t)|_{V \cup |\Delta|} = Id|_{V \cup |\Delta|}$.

We also denote by \mathfrak{H}_Δ the subgroup consisting of all elements of the form G_1 for some compactly supported diffeotopy $G : M \times I \rightarrow M$ of Id_M such that for some neighbourhood V of $|\Delta^{(m-2)}|$ and every $t \in I$:

- G_t preserves each simplex of Δ setwise,
- $(G_t)|_V = Id_V$.

Triangulations and spines. We will refer to an embedded simplicial complex as a triangulation of M when the embedding is a homeomorphism. An account of the fundamentals of the theory of triangulations of smooth manifolds can be found in [23] (see also [6] for a short proof of existence).

Fact 1.14. *Every smooth manifold admits a triangulation, which can be taken to be finite in case the manifold is compact. Moreover, for any given $\epsilon > 0$ its simplices can be assumed to have diameter uniformly bounded by ϵ .*

Given a triangulation Υ of an m -dimensional manifold with boundary, we let $\mathcal{G}(\Upsilon)$ be the graph which has Υ^m as a set of vertices and an edge between σ and σ' whenever σ and σ' share an $(m-1)$ -dimensional face.

Definition 1.15. *By a retracting forest for Υ we mean a finite collection $\mathcal{F} = \{(\Gamma_i, \tau_i)\}_{i=1}^r$, where $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is a collection of disjoint subtrees of $\mathcal{G}(\Upsilon)$ and τ_i some $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplex which lies on ∂M and is a face of one of the simplices in $V(\Gamma_i)$. We recycle notation by letting*

$$|\Gamma_i| := \bigcup_{\sigma \in V(\Gamma_i)} \sigma, \quad |\mathcal{F}| := \bigcup_{i=1}^r |\Gamma_i|.$$

By the \mathcal{F} -spine of \mathcal{F} or $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F})$ we mean the $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplicial subcomplex of Υ consisting the $(m-2)$ -skeleton of $|\mathcal{F}|$, together with all those $(m-1)$ -simplices that are

- contained in some simplex in \mathcal{F} ,
- not among $\{\tau_i\}_{i=1}^r$ nor dual to an edge in one of the Γ_i .

We define the thick spine of \mathcal{F} or $\text{Tsp}(\mathcal{F})$ as the union of $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F})$ and the subcomplex spanned by all the m -dimensional simplices of Υ not in \mathcal{F} .

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [30] one can extract the following.

Fact 1.16. *Assume N is a manifold without boundary, Υ some triangulation of N , $\mathcal{F} = \{(\Gamma_i, \tau_i)\}_{i=1}^r$ a retracting forest for Υ and W a neighbourhood of $|\text{Tsp}(\mathcal{F})|$. Then there exists some open set V , $|\text{Tsp}(\mathcal{F})| \subseteq V \subseteq W$ and some isotopy H of N in itself supported on $N \setminus V$ such that $H_1(N) \subseteq W$.*

Tubular neighbourhoods. By an (open) tubular neighbourhood of a submanifold $N \subseteq M$, $\dim(M) = m$, $\dim(N) = n$ we mean a bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow N$ with fiber \overline{B}^{n-m} (B^{n-m}), together with an embedding $\xi : E \rightarrow M$ whose restriction to the zero section E_0 is a diffeomorphism with N . We will denote this simply by (E, ξ) . We will write $E_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$ for the fiber over p and refer to $\xi(E_p)$ as a fiber image. We say that it is trivial if (up to diffeomorphism) $E = N \times B^d$, for some $d > 0$ and π equals the projection on the first factor.




FIGURE 1. On the left a retracting forest $\mathcal{F} = \{(\Gamma_1, \tau_1), (\Gamma_2, \tau_2)\}$ for a triangulation of Υ of a 2-dimensional disk. On the right the 2-dimensional complex $\text{Tsp}(\mathcal{F})$ which is the image of the retraction, with the 1-dimensional subcomplex $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{F})$ highlighted using a thicker trace.

Fact 1.17. *Any submanifold $N \subseteq M$ admits a tubular neighbourhood, which is trivial in the connected components of N are simply connected.*

The following refinement of a particular case of Lem. 2.5.2 and Cor. 2.5.3 in [29] is probably well known, but we sketch the proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1.18. *Let $N \subseteq M$ be codimension 1 manifold without boundary and $(E, \xi), (E, \xi')$ two open tubular neighbourhoods of N in M such that ξ and ξ' agree on $E \setminus \pi^{-1}(K)$ for some compact $K \subseteq N$. Then there exists some isotopy between ξ and ξ' constant on $\pi^{-1}(N \setminus K) \cup E_0$.*

Proof. A standard partition of unity argument yields a fiber-preserving map $\lambda : E \rightarrow E$ which satisfies $\xi' \circ \lambda \subseteq \text{im}(\xi)$, restricts to multiplication by a scalar on each fiber and is the identity $\pi^{-1}(N \setminus K)$. The map $\iota := \xi^{-1} \circ \xi' \circ \lambda$ is also the identity on $\pi^{-1}(N \setminus K)$. As in the proof of 2.5.2 in [29], if we let $H_t(p) = \frac{2}{t+1} \cdot \iota(\frac{t+1}{2} \cdot p)$ for $p \in E$ and $t \in I$, then this extends to an isotopy $(H_t)_{t \in I}$ of E in itself so that $H_{-1} : E \rightarrow E$ is multiplication by some positive scalar. There are obvious fiber-preserving isotopies H' from Id_E to H_0 and H'' from λ to Id_e constant on $\pi^{-1}(N \setminus K)$, and the isotopy $(\xi \circ (H' * H)) * (\xi' \circ H'')$ satisfies the required conditions. \square

The following Lemma allows us to obtain covering diffeotopies with no control on the derivatives of the given isotopy, provided some other conditions are satisfied.

Observation 1.19. *Let $N \subseteq M$ a closed submanifold of codimension 1 which admits a trivial tubular neighbourhood $\xi : N \times I \rightarrow M$. Then there exists some function $\omega : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ which satisfies $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega(\epsilon) = 0$ and has the following property. Given a diffeotopy $F : N \times I \rightarrow N$ of Id_N such that $\|F\|_\infty < \epsilon$, there exists some diffeotopy G of Id_M such that $G|_{N \times I} = F$ and $\|G\|_\infty < \omega(\epsilon)$.*

Proof. Take any smooth function $\rho : I \rightarrow I$ such that

- ρ equals 0 in some neighbourhood of $\partial I = \{-1, 1\}$,
- $\rho(0) = 1$,

and define G as follows:

$$G(q, t) := \begin{cases} \xi(F(p, (t+1)\rho(s) - 1), s) & \text{if } q = \xi(p, s) \text{ for some } (p, s) \in N \times I \\ q & \text{if } q \notin \text{im}(\xi) \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that this is a well-defined compactly-supported diffeotopy of Id_M extending F . The existence of ω as in the statement follows easily by continuity. \square

Jet spaces and Thom's transversality. We establish some notation and recall some basic facts. More details can be found in Chapter 4 of [29]. Given manifolds N and M of dimension n and m , respectively, the space of 1-jets from N to M , or $J^1(N, M)$, is the set of triples (p, q, λ) , where $p \in N$, $q \in M$ and λ belongs to the set of linear maps $\mathcal{L}(T_p N, T_q M)$. We denote by $\pi_{J^1} : J^1(N, M) \rightarrow N \times M$ the map sending (p, q, λ) to (p, q) .

Fact 1.20. *One can endow $J^1(N, M)$ with the structure of a smooth manifold and in fact a vector bundle over $N \times M$ (with the obvious projection). One does this by constructing for each pair of local charts $\phi = \mathbf{x}$ on $U \subseteq N$ and $\psi = \mathbf{y}$ on $V \subseteq M$ a chart $\Psi(\phi, \psi)$ on $\pi_{J^1}^{-1}(U \times V)$ with coordinates:*

$$\{x_i, y_j, \zeta_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\},$$

where $\zeta_{i,j}(p, q, \lambda)$ is the ∂_{y_j} -component of the vector $\lambda(\partial_{x_i})$ with respect to the base $\{\partial_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^m$.

Any $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$ induces a map

$$j^1 f : N \rightarrow J^1(N, M), \quad p \mapsto j^1 f(p) := (p, f(p), Df|_p).$$

Fact 1.21. *The map $j^1 f$ is smooth. Given local coordinates $\phi = \mathbf{x}$ around $p \in N$ and $\psi = \mathbf{y}$ around $q = f(p) \in M$, with respect to ϕ and $\Psi(\phi, \psi)$ we have the local expression:*

$$Dj^1 f|_{\underline{x}}(\partial_{x_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(\underline{x}) \partial_{y_j} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f_j}{\partial x_i \partial x_l}(\underline{x}) \partial_{\zeta_{l,j}},$$

where $f_j := y_j \circ f \circ \phi^{-1}$ is the j -th component of the expression of f with respect to ϕ and ψ .

We will use the following refinement of the first order approximation to Thom's transversality theorem (see Thm. 4.5.6 in [29] or Ch.3, Thm. 2.5 in [13]).

Fact 1.22 ([18], Thm. 6.8, p.55). *Let $W \subseteq J^1(N, M)$ be a submanifold. Then the set of $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$ such that $j^1 f \bar{\cap} W$ is comeager and therefore dense in the \mathcal{D} -topology. In particular, the set of $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$ such that $f \bar{\cap} W$ for a submanifold $W \subseteq N$ is comeager.*

From this and items (1) and (4) in Fact 1.2 one easily obtains the following:

Corollary 1.23. *Let N, M be manifolds, $N' \subseteq N$ a codimension 0 submanifold containing ∂N and $W_i \subseteq J^1(N, M)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ a submanifold. Let also $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$ be such that $(j^1 f)(N) \cap W_i = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then for any neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of f in $\mathcal{W}(N, M)$ there exists $f' \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $j^1 f' \bar{\cap} W_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $f'|_{N'} = f$.*

We record the following useful corollary of 1.10 and 1.23.

Lemma 1.24. *Let M be a manifold without boundary and $N, N_1, \dots, N_r \subseteq M$ closed submanifolds. Assume that we are given $\epsilon > 0$ such that $K := N \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^r N_i$ is compact and $N_\epsilon(K) \cap \partial N = \emptyset$. Then there is $g \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ such that*

- $g = G_1$ for a diffeotopy G of Id_M supported on $N_\epsilon(K)$ and with $\|G\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$,
- $N_i \bar{\cap} g \cdot N$ (equivalently, $g^{-1} \cdot N_i \bar{\cap} N$) for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof. Choose a codimension 0 submanifold $N' \subseteq N$ such that

$$K \subseteq N \setminus N' \subset \overline{N \setminus N'} \subseteq N_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(K)$$

and let $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion. By 1.23, given any neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of ι in $C^\infty(N, M)$ there is $f \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $f|_{M \setminus N'} = \iota|_{M \setminus N'}$ and $f \bar{\cap} N_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. The conclusion follows from Corollary 1.10 by taking \mathcal{W} small enough. \square

Mather's stability. A basic account of the different notions of stability for smooth manifolds and the equivalences between them can be found in Chapter V of [12]. In particular, we recall the concept of stability under deformations, discussed in V, 2.1 of said reference using somewhat different language.

Definition 1.25. *Let $F \in C^\infty(N \times J, M)$, where $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval. We say that F is locally trivial around $s \in J$ if there are $\epsilon > 0$ and generalized diffeotopies $G^N : N \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow N$, $G_0^N = Id_N$ and $G^M : M \times [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \rightarrow M$, $G_0^M = Id_M$ such that $F_{s+t} = G_t^M \circ F_s \circ G_t^N$ for all $t \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$. We say that $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$ is stable under deformations if every $F \in C^\infty(N \times J, M)$ as above with $f = F_s := F(-, s)$ is locally trivial around s .*

Remark 1.26. *Note that in the definition of local triviality one can write $F_t = G_{t-s}^M (G_{-s}^M)^{-1} \circ F_{s-\epsilon} \circ (G_{-s}^N)^{-1} \circ G_{t-s}^N$ for $t \in [s - \epsilon, s + \epsilon]$.*

Lemma 1.27. *Let H be a compactly supported smooth homotopy of N in M such that H_t is stable under deformations for all $t \in I$. Then, up to time reparametrization of H , we can assume there exist compactly supported diffeotopies G^M of Id_M and G^N of Id_N such that $H_t = G_t^M \circ H_{-1} \circ G_t^N$ for all $t \in I$.*

Proof. By inspecting the proof of Prop. V, 4.3 in [12] one can see that it also applies when M is non-compact case provided H is proper and compactly supported and that in that case the diffeotopies in Definition 1.25 can be assumed to be compactly supported.² After reparametrizing, we might assume that H is defined on (extends to) $N \times J$ for some open interval J containing I . A compactness argument and Remark 1.26 yield $-1 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$ and compactly supported generalized diffeotopies

- $(G^{M,i})_{t \in [0, t_{i+1} - t_i]}$ with $G_0^{M,i} = Id_M$ and $g_i := G_1^{M,i} \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$,
- and $(G^{N,i})_{t \in [0, t_{i+1} - t_i]}$ with $G_0^{N,i} = Id_N$ and $h_i := G_1^{N,i} \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(N)$,

for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, with the property that $H_{t_i+s} = G_{s-t_i}^{M,i} \circ H_{t_i} \circ G_{s-t_i}^{N,i}$ for $s \in [0, t_{i+1} - t_i]$. For $s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ consider the diffeomorphisms

$$G_s^M := G_{s-t_i}^{M,i} g_{i-1} \cdots g_0, \quad G_s^N := h_0 \cdots h_{i-1} G_{s-t_i}^{N,i}.$$

It follows from Fact 1.6 that the parametrized families $(G_s^M)_{s \in I}$ and $(G_s^N)_{s \in I}$ become diffeotopies after a suitable simultaneous time reparametrization. \square

²The vector field which solves the local problem can be taken to be zero on charts on which the datum is also zero.

The most basic consequence of Lemma 1.27 is Lemma 1.29 below.

Definition 1.28. *Given a manifold M and a closed set $F \subseteq M$, we write $\mathcal{D}_{c0}^F(M)$ for the subgroup of all those diffeomorphisms of M diffeotopic to the identity by a compactly-supported isotopy fixing F at any point in time and we write $\mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{F\}}(M)$ for the collection of all $g \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ that are diffeotopic to the identity through a compactly supported diffeotopy that preserves F setwise at every point in time. We extend this notation further, writing*

$$\mathcal{D}_{c0}^{F, \{L_1, L_2\}}(M) := \mathcal{D}_{c0}^F(M) \cap \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L_1\}}(M) \cap \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L_2\}}(M)$$

and so on.

Lemma 1.29. *Let M an m -manifold without boundary, L a closed codimension 1 submanifold of M . Let also N be an $(m - 1)$ -dimensional manifold, ι a proper embedding of N in M and G a compactly supported diffeotopy of Id_M such that $H_t := G_t \circ \iota \bar{\cap} L$ for all $t \in I$. Then, up to time reparametrization of G , there are compactly supported diffeotopies G^L, G^N of Id_M such that*

- $G_t^L(L) = L, G_t^N(\iota(N)) = \iota(N)$ for all $t \in I$,
- $G_t = G_t^L G_t^N$ for all $t \in I$.

In particular, $G_1 \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L\}}(M) \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{\iota(N)\}}(M)$.

Proof. Let κ denote the inclusion of L in M . Consider the manifold $\hat{N} := N \coprod L$ and the smooth homotopy $\hat{H} : \hat{N} \times I \rightarrow M$ given by $(\hat{H}_t)_{\uparrow L} = \kappa$ and $(\hat{H}_t)_{\uparrow N} = H_t$. It follows from III, Thm. 3.11 and V, Thm. 4.3 in [12] that proper immersions with normal crossings are stable under deformations. This applies to \hat{H}_t for all $t \in I$ (compare Def. III, 3.1 in [12] with Lem. 4.6.5 in [29]).

By Lemma 1.27, we may thus assume the existence of a compactly supported diffeotopy G^L of Id_M and a compactly supported diffeotopy $G^{\hat{N}}$ of $Id_{\hat{N}}$ such that $\hat{H}_t = G_t^L \circ \hat{H}_{-1} \circ G_t^{\hat{N}}$ for all $t \in I$. This last equality restricts to $\kappa = G_t^L \circ (\kappa \circ G_t^{\hat{N}})_{\uparrow L}$, which implies that G_t^L preserves $L = im(\kappa)$ setwise. It also restricts to $G_t \circ \iota = G_t^L \circ \iota \circ (G_t^{\hat{N}})_{\uparrow N}$, which implies that the diffeotopy $G^N := ((G_t^L)^{-1} G_t)_{t \in I}$ preserves N setwise at every point in time. Then $G_1 = G_1^L G_1^N \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L\}}(M) \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{\iota(N)\}}(M)$. \square

The Thom-Boardman stratification. We will recall the bare minimum of the theory needed here. A short introduction can be found in chapter VI of [12] and a more detailed one in [3]. Let N, M be manifolds without boundary and $f \in C^\infty(N, M)$. Let $k = \min(dim(M), dim(N))$. For any integer $r \geq 0$ one can consider the collection

$$S_r(f) = \{p \in M \mid rk(Df|_p) = k - r\} \subseteq M.$$

If $S_r(f)$ is a submanifold of N , one may consider for any $s \geq 0$ the collection $S_{r,s}(f) = S_s(f|_{S_r(f)})$ and, if $S_{r,s}(f)$ happens to be a submanifold of $S_r(f)$, continue the process further. A result of Boardman [5] states that generically this process can be continued until the dimension of the ambient manifold is exhausted and the submanifold in question is forced to be empty.

Fact 1.30. *Let $S_\emptyset(f) = N$ and \mathcal{I} the collection of all sequences of positive integers including the empty sequence \emptyset . There exists some comeager (and hence dense) set $\mathcal{B} \subseteq C^\infty(N, M)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{B}$, any $\underline{i} \in \mathcal{I}$ and any $j > 0$ the set $S_{\underline{i},j}(f)$ is a proper submanifold of $S_{\underline{i}}(f)$, so that $S_{\underline{i},0}(f) = S_{\underline{i}}(f) \setminus \bigcup_{j>0} S_{\underline{i},j}(f)$ is a non-empty open subset of $S_{\underline{i}}(f)$.*

Remark 1.31. Although for $f \in \mathcal{B}$ the set $S_{\underline{i}}(f)$ is not necessarily closed, lower semicontinuity of the rank of the differential ensures that $\bigcup_{l \geq k} S_{\underline{i}, l}(f)$ is always closed in $S_{\underline{i}}(f)$.

As a matter of fact, we are only interested in a very specific case.

Corollary 1.32. Let f be an embedding of a compact manifold L' into a manifold of the form $M \times (0,1)$, let $\pi_M, \pi_{(0,1)}$ be the factor projections and let $L := L' \setminus \partial L$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is some $g \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \cap \mathcal{D}_{co}(M \times (0,1))$ such that if we let $h = \pi_1 \circ g \circ f$, then there exists some sequence $L = L_0 \supsetneq L_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq L_n \supsetneq L_{n+1} = \emptyset$ where L_{i+1} is a closed sub-manifold of L_i of positive codimension and $h|_{L_i \setminus L_{i+1}}$ an immersion.

Proof. By Fact 1.2 there is a neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of $\pi \circ f$ in $W^\infty(L, M)$ such that for all $h \in \mathcal{W}$ the differential of h has rank at least $\dim(L) - 1$ at all points and the map $(h, \pi_2 \circ f) : L \rightarrow M$ is an embedding. By Corollary 1.10 we may assume that for any $h \in \mathcal{W}$ the map $(h, \pi_2 \circ f)$ is of the form $g \circ f$ for some $g \in \mathcal{D}_{co}(M)$. Moreover, given any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find g in \mathcal{W}_ϵ , provided that \mathcal{W} is small enough. If \mathcal{B} is as in 1.30, then for $h \in \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{B}$ we have $S_{\underline{i}}(h) \neq \emptyset$ only if no entry of \underline{i} is greater than one. If we let $L_k := S_{\underline{1}_k}$, where $\underline{1}_k$ stands for the sequence consisting of k ones, then this implies that the restriction of h to $L_k \setminus L_{k+1}$ is an immersion. Finally, L_{k+1} is closed in L_k by Remark 1.31. \square

2. A MORSE-LIKE LEMMA FOR ISOTOPIES AND EMBEDDED HYPERSURFACES

The following two sections present general results in which \mathfrak{t} and \mathfrak{G} play no role.

Definition 2.1. By a nice m -triple (N, M, L) we mean a triple of smooth manifolds of dimensions $m-1$, m and $m-1$ respectively, where L is a closed submanifold of M .

Definition 2.2. Let (N, M, L) be a nice m -triple and $H : N \times I \rightarrow M$ a (generalized) isotopy of N in M . We say that H is L -compatible if H is proper, it has compact support there, is a (possibly empty sequence) $-1 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_r < 1$ of times, as well as points $p_1, \dots, p_r \in N$ (the latter not necessarily distinct) such that:

- (a) for $(p, t) \notin \{(p_i, t_i)\}_{i=1}^r$ we have $H(p, t) \notin L$ or $DH_t(T_p N) \neq T_{H(p, t)} L$,
- (b) for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ there is some $\delta_i > 0$ and a system of local coordinates $\phi_i = \mathbf{x} : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ around p_i and $\psi_i := \mathbf{y} : V_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ around $H(p_i, t_i) \in M$ with respect to which H can be written as:

$$(\underline{x}, t) \mapsto (\underline{x}, t - t_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_j x_j^2)$$

for $t \in (t - \delta_i, t + \delta_i)$ and some constants $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$. Notice that, in particular, $T_{H(p_i, t_i)} L = \text{im}(DH|_{(p_i, t_i)})$.

In the situation above we refer to each t_i as a tangency time and to (p_i, t_i) as a tangency point of H (the connection with L will be always clear from the context). If H is L -compatible and the set of tangency points is empty, we say that H is L -clean. We also let the dimension of (p_i, t_i) be the number d_i of values of i such that $\epsilon_i = 1$. It is easy to see that this does not depend on the chart. By the type of H we mean the sequence of dimensions (d_1, \dots, d_r) . If all the conditions above are

satisfied, but we allow the possibility that $t_i = t_j$ for distinct i, j , then we say that H is weakly L -compatible.

Observation 2.3. *By using the fact that each H_t is a proper embedding for all t , a standard argument involving the extraction of convergent subsequences also shows one can assume $H^{-1}(V_i) = U_i \times (t_i - \delta_i, t_i + \delta_i)$ in the definition above.*

Observation 2.4. *The property of being L -compatible, as well as the type, are preserved by strict time reparametrizations.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let (N, M, L) be a nice m -triple and H a proper compactly supported isotopy of N in L . Assume that there is a finite family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq N \times (-1, 1)$ of points with different time coordinates such that $DH_t(T_p N) \subseteq T_{H(p,t)}L$ if and only if $(p, t) \in \mathcal{F}$. Assume, moreover, that for all $(p_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{F}$ there are charts $\phi = \mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ around p_0 and $\psi = \mathbf{y} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ around $q_0 := H(p_0, t_0)$ such that*

- $\psi(L \cap V) = \psi(V) \cap \{y_m = 0\}$,
- $\phi(p_0) = \underline{0}$, $\psi(q_0) := \underline{0}$,

and the local expression $F := \psi \circ H \circ (\phi^{-1}, Id_I)$ for H satisfies the following conditions, where we use F^m to denote the m -th component of F :

- (1) $\frac{\partial F^m}{\partial t} \Big|_{(\underline{0}, t_0)} \neq 0$,
- (2) the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 F^m}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \Big|_{(\underline{0}, t_0)} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m-1}}$ is non-singular.

Then H is weakly L -compatible (see end of Definition 2.2) and \mathcal{F} is the collection of its tangency points. The dimension of (p_0, t_0) above coincides with the dimension d of the maximal subspace on which the bilinear form determined by the matrix in (2) is positive definite.

Proof. Denote by π, π_m the projection of \mathbb{R}^m onto the first $m-1$ and last coordinate, respectively. Notice that our assumptions on F and ψ also imply

- (3) $DF_{t_0}^m \Big|_{\underline{0}} = \underline{0}$, and thus $D(\pi \circ F_{t_0}) \Big|_{\underline{0}}$ is non-singular, where $F_s := F(-, s)$.

Lemma 2.6. *There is some local diffeomorphism Ω of \mathbb{R}^m around $\underline{0}$ fixing the level set $\{y_m = 0\}$ in a neighbourhood of $\underline{0}$ and such that $\tilde{F} := \Omega \circ F$ is of the form $\tilde{F}(\underline{x}, t) = \tilde{F}(\underline{x}, t_0) + (\underline{0}, t - t_0)$ around (p, t_0) .*

Proof. Conditions (1) and (3) above imply that for some open interval J containing t_0 the map F restricts to a diffeomorphism between a neighbourhood of $(\underline{0}, t_0)$ in $U \times J$ and a neighbourhood of $\underline{0}$ in V . This allows one to define a vector field ξ in some neighbourhood of $(\underline{0}, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ by the formula $\xi(F(\underline{x}, t)) := DF \Big|_{(\underline{x}, t)}(\partial_t)$. Integrating ξ results in a smooth flow $\Theta : V' \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, with $\Theta(\underline{y}, 0) = \underline{y}$ for all $\underline{y} \in V'$. Where V' is some neighbourhood of $\underline{0}$ in \mathbb{R}^m and $\epsilon > 0$ satisfies $(t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon) \subseteq J$. Let $V'_0 = \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \mid (\underline{x}, 0) \in V'\}$.

Consider the map $\Omega^* : V'_0 \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ given by:

$$\Omega^*(y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, t) := \Theta(y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, 0, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Notice that $D\Omega^* \Big|_{\underline{0}}$ is non-singular, since

$$\begin{aligned} D\Omega^* \Big|_{\underline{0}}(\partial_{y_i}) &= \partial_{y_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ D(\pi_m \circ \Omega^*) \Big|_{\underline{0}}(\partial_t) &= d\pi_m(\xi(\underline{0})) = d\pi_m\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\underline{0}, t_0)\right) = \frac{\partial F^m}{\partial t}(\underline{0}, t_0) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For (\underline{x}, t) sufficiently close to $(\underline{0}, t_0)$ there is (\underline{x}', t') with $\Omega^*(\underline{x}', t') = F(\underline{x}, t)$ and the following equality holds:

$$D\Omega^*|_{(\underline{x}', t')}(\partial_t) = D\Theta|_{(\underline{x}', 0, t')}(\partial_t) = \xi(\Theta(\underline{x}', 0, t')) = \xi(\Omega^*(\underline{x}', t')) = DF|_{(\underline{x}, t)}(\partial_t).$$

If we let Ω be a local inverse for Ω^* in some neighbourhood of $\underline{0}$, then the equality above implies that $D(\Omega \circ F)(\partial_t) = \partial_t$ holds locally around $(\underline{0}, t_0)$, so that $\Omega \circ F$ has the desired form in some neighbourhood of $(\underline{0}, t_0)$. Notice also that Ω fixes the level set $\{y_m = 0\}$, since Ω^* does (by definition). ■

The fact that Ω fixes $\{y_m = 0\}$ locally around $\underline{0}$ implies, by an easy calculation, that replacing F by \tilde{F} does not affect the validity of conditions (1) to (3), nor changes the signature of the bilinear form associated to the matrix in (2).

Clearly, the property established the sublemma above does not depend on the choice of ϕ . We can, therefore, assume F is of the form $\underline{x} \mapsto (\underline{x}, f(\underline{x}))$. By Morse's Lemma ([29], Proposition 4.8.2) we may assume, furthermore, that the map f is of the form $f(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 - \sum_{i=d+1}^{m-1} x_i^2$ in some neighbourhood of $\underline{0}$, as needed. □

We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

Lemma 2.7. *Let (N, M, L) be a nice m -triple and $H : N \times I \rightarrow M$ a smooth proper isotopy with compact support of N in M such that for some compact set $C \subseteq N$ we have $H((N \setminus C) \times I) \cap L = \emptyset$ for any $(p, t) \in (N \setminus C) \times I$. Then for any neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of H in $\mathcal{W}(N \times I, M)$ and any neighbourhood W of C in N there exists some L -compatible isotopy $H' \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $H'|_{(N \setminus W) \times I} = H'|_{(N \setminus W) \times I}$.*

Proof. By Whitney's extension theorem, we may assume H is defined on $N \times J$, where J is an open interval containing I . It is possible that the extension \bar{H} given by the theorem does not satisfy $H^{-1}(L) \subseteq (N \setminus C) \times J$ for some compact set on the nose, but using the fact that $\bar{H}^{-1}(L)$ is closed one can always find a neighbourhood U of $N \times I$ and a diffeomorphism $\theta : U \rightarrow N \times J'$, $\theta_{N \times I} = Id_{N \times I}$ such that the property holds for $\bar{H} \circ \theta$. Consider the subset of $J^1(N \times J, M)$ given by

$$P_L := \{((p, t), q, \lambda) \mid q \in L, \lambda(T_{(p, t)}(N \times \{t\})) \subseteq T_q L\}.$$

Observation 2.8. *Let $((p_0, t_0), q_0) \in (N \times J) \times M$, $\phi = \mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ be a chart around p_0 and $\psi = \mathbf{y} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a chart around q_0 such that*

$$\psi(V \cap L) = \psi(V) \cap \{y_m = 0\}.$$

We write $\Psi(\phi \times Id, \psi)$ for the chart on $\pi_1^{-1}(U \times J \times V)$ with coordinates:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, t, y_1, \dots, y_m, \zeta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m},$$

as described in 1.20, where t plays the role of x_m in the definition of $\zeta_{m,j}$.

Then with respect to this chart the set $P_L \cap \pi_1^{-1}(U \times J \times V)$ is described by the linear equations

$$y_m = 0, \quad \zeta_{i,m} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m-1.$$

In particular, P_L is a submanifold of $J^1(N \times J, M)$ of codimension m .

By Corollary 1.23 and the exhaustion of smooth manifolds by codimension 0 submanifolds, for any neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of H in the Whitney topology there exists some $H' \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $j^1 H' \bar{\cap} P_L$ and H and H' agree outside of $W \times J$. The set $\mathcal{F} := (j^1 H')^{-1}(P_L)$ is a codimension m submanifold of $N \times J$, i.e., a discrete set of points in $N \times J$.

Let us now examine the meaning of the transversality condition at a point $(p_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{F}$. Write $q_0 := H'(p_0, t_0)$ and $r_0 := j^1 H'(p_0, t_0)$. Let $\phi = \mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ and $\psi = \mathbf{y} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be as in Observation 2.8, and assume that $\psi(q_0) = \underline{0}$, $\phi(p_0) = \underline{0}$.³ Let J_0 be some interval around t_0 such that $H'(U \times J_0) \subseteq V$ and write

$$F := \psi \circ H' \circ (\phi^{-1} \times Id_{J_0}) : \phi(U) \times J_0 \rightarrow \psi(V).$$

By Observation 2.8 and the expression for the differential of $h := j^1 H'$ provided in Fact 1.21, the condition $h \bar{\pi}_r P_L$ at (p_0, t_0) translates into the following two conditions on the m -th component F^m of F at $(\underline{0}, t_0)$:

- (1) $\frac{\partial F^m}{\partial t} \Big|_{(\underline{0}, t_0)} \neq 0$,
- (2) the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 F^m}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \Big|_{(\underline{0}, t_0)} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m-1}}$ is non-singular, by (1) and the fact that $\frac{\partial F^m}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{(\underline{0}, t_0)} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$.

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that H' (or rather, its restriction to $N \times I$) is weakly L -compatible. The next Lemma takes care of the remaining details.

Lemma 2.9. *Up to replacing H' by some $H'' \in \mathcal{W}$ with which it agrees outside of some compact set contained in $W \times J$, we may assume that $\mathcal{F} \cap N \times \{-1, 1\} = \emptyset$ and $\#(N \times \{t\} \cap \mathcal{F}) \leq 1$ for any $t \in (-1, 1)$.*

Proof. Given $(p, t) \in \mathcal{F}$, choose m -balls D, D' in $M \times J$ with $(p, t) \in D \subseteq \overset{\circ}{D}'$ and $\{(p, t)\} = \mathcal{F} \cap D'$. By integrating a suitable vector field supported on D' we can find a diffeotopy G of $Id_{N \times J}$ supported on D' with the property that G_s acts as a translation $(p, t) \mapsto (p, t + s)$ on D . Since G_s converges to the identity in the Whitney topology, as s converges to -1 , it follows that $(j^1(H' \circ (G_s, Id_J)))^{-1}(P_L) \cap (D' \setminus \overset{\circ}{D}) = \emptyset$ if $s + 1$ is small enough. Replacing H' with $H'' := H' \circ (G_s, Id_J)$ preserves the properties already established for H , while modifying the time component of one point of \mathcal{F} by a real value that can be chosen arbitrarily in some small interval around 0. This can be also done in such a way that H'' belongs to any open neighbourhood \mathcal{W} of H in the Whitney topology. ■

This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.7. □

We can combine Lemma 2.7 above and Corollary 1.10 to obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.10. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.7, suppose that H is of the form $\iota^*(G)$, where $\iota = H_{-1}$ is some proper embedding of N in M such that $\iota(N) \cap L = \emptyset$ and G is a compactly supported diffeotopy of Id_M . Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $h \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$ and some compactly supported diffeotopy G' from Id_M to hg such that $\iota^*(G')$ is L -compatible.*

3. MORE ON COMPATIBLE ISOTOPIES

Definition 3.1. *Given an isotopy H of N in M , we write $H^{op} := (H_{-t})_{t \in I}$. Given a diffeotopy G of Id_M , we also write $G^{inv} := (G_{-t}^{-1} G_1)_{t \in I}$.*

Observation 3.2. *If H is an isotopy of N in M of the form $(G_t \circ H_{-1})_{t \in I}$, then H^{op} is an isotopy of the form $(G_t^{inv} \circ H_1)_{t \in I}$. If (N, M, L) is a nice m -triple and H an L -compatible isotopy of N in M , then the isotopy H^{op} , is itself L -compatible,*

³Notice that the meaning of $\underline{0}$ depends on the ambient space.




FIGURE 2. A 1-bridge C , with the axis represented by a dashed line. In this case the equator consists only of two points p, q . The label $Hem(C, Z)$ indicates the Z -hemisphere of C .

and every tangency point of dimension d of H corresponds to a tangency point of dimension $m - d - 1$ of H^{op} .

Definition 3.3. Let (N, M, L) be a nice m -triple and H an L -compatible isotopy of N in M . We say that H is non-destructive if all the tangency points of M have dimension at most $m - 2$. We say that H is purely destructive if all the tangency points of M have dimension $m - 1$.

Definition 3.4. Let $L, N \subseteq M$ be codimension 1 submanifolds in general position. By a d -bridge C between L and N we mean a set of the form

$$\iota(\{(x, y) \in B^d \times (-1, 1) \mid \|x\|_2 - \frac{1}{2} \leq y \leq 0\}),$$

where $\iota : \overline{B}^d \times (-1, 1) \cong C \subseteq M$ is a smooth embedding transverse to N and L such that $\iota^{-1}(L) = \overline{B}^d \times \{0\}$ and $\iota^{-1}(N)$ is the graph of the function $x \mapsto \|x\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}$.

In the situation above $C \cap N$ and $C \cap L$ are embedded closed d -balls in N and L respectively which we will refer to as the N -hemisphere and the L -hemisphere of C . We refer to $\mathring{C} := C \setminus (L \cup N)$ as the interior of C and to $C \cap \iota(\mathbb{0} \times (-1, 1))$ as the axis of C . The intersection of the two hemispheres is a $(d - 1)$ -embedded sphere in $N \cap L$, which we call the equator of C . The situation is depicted in Figure 2.

Remark 3.5. Note that a d -bridge has dimension $d + 1$. A 0-bridge is just a smooth arc intersecting each of L and N at a different endpoint.

Lemma 3.6. Let (L, M, N) be a nice m -triple, $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ an embedding and G a compactly supported diffeotopy such that $\iota^*(G)$ is L -compatible, with a single tangency point (p_0, t_0) of dimension d . Then for any $-1 \leq t^* < t_0$ sufficiently close to t_0 there is a bridge $D \subseteq M$ between $N_\star := H_{t^*}(N)$ and L such that for any $\eta > 0$ we have the following.

- (i) A (trivial) tubular neighbourhood (E, ξ^η) of \mathring{D}_η with $im(\xi^\eta) \subseteq N_\eta(D)$.
- (ii) A diffeotopy χ^η of Id_M supported on
 - $N_\eta(D)$ in the general case,
 - $im(\xi^\eta) \cup N_\eta(D \cap (N_\star \cup L)) \subseteq N_\eta(D)$ if $d = 0$,

such that ξ^η and the isotopy $\hat{H} := (\chi_t^\eta \circ H_{t^*})_{t \in I}$ are compatible, in the following sense:

- (a) $H_t(\text{supp}(H)) \subseteq \text{im}(\xi^\eta) \cup \mathbf{N}_\eta(D \cap L)$ for all $t \in (-1, 1)$,
- (b) \hat{H} is L -compatible, with a single tangency point of dimension d , and the same is true if instead of L we take any of the ξ^η -fiber images through a point in \hat{D} ,
- (c) for any $t \in I$ and $p \in N$ the differential $D\hat{H}_t|_p$ is tangent to the fiber image through $\hat{H}_t(p)$ only if $\hat{H}_t(p)$ is in the axis of D .

(iii) Some compactly supported diffeotopy \tilde{G}^η from $\chi_1^\eta G_{t^*}$ to G_1 such that $\iota^*(\tilde{G}^\eta)$ is L -clean.

Proof. Write H for $\iota^*(G)$ and (p_0, t_0) for the unique tangency point of H . Up to time reparametrization of G , we can find $\delta = \delta_0 > 0$ and charts $\phi : U \rightarrow B^{m-1}$ and $\psi : V \rightarrow B^{m-1} \times (-1 - \delta, 1 + \delta)$ so that

$$N \times (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta) \cap H^{-1}(H(U \times (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta))) = U \times (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$$

and the local expression for H_t on $U \times (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ with respect to ϕ and ψ is of the form

$$(b) \quad x \mapsto (\underline{x}, f_t(\underline{x})) := (\underline{x}, t - t_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2 - \sum_{i=d+1}^{m-1} x_i^2).$$

Given $\underline{x} \in B^{m-1}$, write $x^+ := (x_i)_{i=1}^d$, $x^- := (x_i)_{i=d+1}^{m-1}$.

Observation 3.7. *By taking U , V and δ to be sufficiently small, we may further assume the existence of an open set V' with $V \subseteq V' \subseteq M$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1-t_0-\delta}{2})$ such that for all $t', t'' \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$ we have:*

- (1) $G_{t'} G_{t''}^{-1}(V) \subseteq V'$,
- (2) $G_{t'+2\epsilon} G_{t''}^{-1}(\bar{V}') \cap L = \emptyset$.

Fix any $\delta' \in (0, \min\{\delta, \frac{1}{4}\})$ and let $t^* := t_0 - \delta'$, $\epsilon := \sqrt{\delta'}$. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that:

- ρ is symmetric,
- ρ is supported on I ,
- $\rho'(0) < 0$ on $(0, 1)$,
- and for some $C < 0$ the function ρ is of the form $g(s) = 1 + Cs^2$ in some neighbourhood of 0.

For $0 < \mu \leq 1$ write $\rho_\mu(s) := \rho(\frac{2}{\mu}s)$. Choose also some smooth function $\sigma_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ supported on $[0, \epsilon + \frac{\alpha}{2}]$ such that the function $\tau_\alpha : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\tau_\alpha(s) := s^2 - \delta' + \sigma(s^2)$ satisfies the following:

- $\tau_\alpha''(s) > 0$ for all $s \in (-1, 1)$,
- $\tau_\alpha(0) = \frac{\epsilon\alpha}{2}$.

This can be done, for instance, by postcomposing the function $s \mapsto g(s) := s^2 - \delta'$ with a suitable reparametrization of its codomain, taking the difference with g , and finally using that any symmetric smooth real function can be written as $h(s^2)$ for some smooth function h (see [12], p.108).

Given $0 < \alpha < 1$, we define $\theta_\alpha : B^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\theta_\alpha(\underline{x}) := \|\underline{x}^+\|_2^2 - \|\underline{x}^-\|_2^2 + \rho_\alpha(\|\underline{x}^-\|_2) \sigma_\alpha(\|\underline{x}^+\|_2^2) - \delta'.$$

Clearly θ_α and $x \mapsto \|\underline{x}^+\|_2 - \|\underline{x}^-\|_2 - \delta'$ agree on $B^{m-1} \setminus B^d(\epsilon + \frac{\alpha}{2}) \times B^{m-d-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$. The observation below follows from the properties of ρ_α and σ_α by a simple calculation.

Observation 3.8. *The derivative $\frac{\partial \theta_\alpha}{\partial x_i}(\underline{x})$ equals 0 if and only if $x_i = 0$ and has sign $\epsilon_i \frac{x_i}{|x_i|}$ otherwise, where $\epsilon_i = 1$ if $i \leq d$ and -1 if $i > d$. We also have*

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta_\alpha}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\underline{0}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{for } i = j \leq d \\ -1 & \text{for } i = j > d \end{cases}$$

Consider the sets

$$D := \psi^{-1}(\{(\underline{x}, y_m) \in B^{m-1} \times (1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \mid \|\underline{x}\|_2 - \delta' \leq y_m \leq 0, \underline{x}^- = \underline{0}\}),$$

$$E^\alpha := \psi^{-1}(\{(\underline{x}, y_m) \in B^{m-1} \times (1 - \delta, 1 + \delta) \mid \|\underline{x}\|_2 - \delta' \leq y_m \leq 0, \|\underline{x}^-\|_2 \leq \alpha\}).$$

Clearly, D is a d -bridge between N_\star and L . Let

$$\tilde{\xi}^\alpha : D \times B^{m-d-1} \rightarrow E^\alpha, \quad \tilde{\xi}^\alpha(p, v) := \psi^{-1}(\psi(p)^+, \alpha v),$$

$$U_\alpha := \{(\underline{x}, y_m) \in B^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \|\underline{x}\|_2 - \delta' - \alpha \leq y_m \leq \alpha, \|\underline{x}\|_2^+ \leq \epsilon + \alpha, \|\underline{x}^-\|_2 \leq \alpha\},$$

so that $E^\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$.

Consider also the isotopies $\tilde{\chi}^\alpha, \hat{\Theta}^\alpha$ of B^{m-1} in $B^{m-1} \times (-1 - \delta, 1 + \delta)$ given by

$$\tilde{\chi}^\alpha(\underline{x}, t) := (\underline{x}, \frac{1+t}{2}\theta_\alpha(\underline{x}) + \frac{1-t}{2}f_{t^\star}(\underline{x})),$$

$$\hat{\Theta}^\alpha(\underline{x}, t) := (\underline{x}, \frac{1+t}{2}f_{t_0+\delta'} + \frac{1-t}{2}\theta_\alpha(\underline{x})).$$

Let also L_λ be the submanifold of $B^{m-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ given by the equation $y_m = \lambda$. We note the following properties.

Lemma 3.9. *The following conditions hold:*

(I) *For all $\underline{x} \in B^{m-1}$*

$$\hat{\chi}_1^\alpha(\underline{x}, f_{t^\star}(\underline{x})) = (\underline{x}, \theta_\alpha(\underline{x})) = \hat{\Theta}_{-1}^\alpha(\underline{x}).$$

(II) *For $\underline{x} \in B^{m-1} \setminus B^d(\epsilon + \alpha) \times B^{m-d-1}(\alpha)$ one has*

$$\hat{\Theta}^\alpha(\underline{x}, t) := (\underline{x}, f_{t^\star+(t+1)\delta'}(\underline{x}))$$

(III) *The isotopy $\tilde{\chi}_t^\alpha$ is constant outside of $B^d(\epsilon + \frac{\alpha}{2}) \times B^{m-d-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$.*

(IV) *For $\lambda \in (-\delta', \frac{\alpha}{2})$ the isotopy $\tilde{\chi}^\alpha$ is L_λ -compatible, with a unique tangency point of dimension d of the form $(\underline{0}, t)$.*

(V) *The isotopy $\hat{\Theta}^\alpha$ is L_0 -clean.*

(VI) *There is a diffeotopy $\hat{\chi}^\alpha$ of $Id_{B^{m-1} \times (-1-\delta, 1+\delta)}$ covering $\tilde{\chi}^\alpha$ and supported on U_α .*

Proof. Items (I)-(III) are clear from the definitions of $\hat{\Theta}^\alpha, \tilde{\chi}^\alpha$ and the properties of θ_α . By Observation 3.8, for any $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and $t \in (t-\delta, t+\delta)$ the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial x_i}$ and $\frac{\partial \theta_\alpha}{\partial x_i}$ have the same set of zeroes and the same sign outside of it. Similarly, for $1 \leq i, j \leq d-1$ we have that $\frac{\partial^2 f_t}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\underline{0})$ is zero, positive or negative precisely when $\frac{\partial^2 \theta_\alpha}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\underline{0})$ is. Clearly, the same applies to any two convex combinations of f_t and θ_α . In particular, $\underline{0}$ is the only critical point of any such combination. It follows that $\tilde{\chi}^\alpha$ is L_λ -compatible, with a unique tangency point of dimension d for every $\lambda \in (-\delta', \frac{\alpha}{2})$, by Lemma 2.5. That $\hat{\Theta}^\alpha$ is L_0 -clean follows

from the same argument as above (in this case the critical value remains positive at all points in time). This settles (IV) and (V). Lemma 1.7, together with (III) implies that $\tilde{\chi}^\alpha$ is covered a diffeotopy $\hat{\chi}^\alpha$ of $Id_{B^{m-1} \times (-1-\delta, 1+\delta)}$ supported in U_α , so (VI) holds as well. \blacksquare

Clearly, for α small enough we have $U_\alpha \subseteq N_\eta(D)$ and

$$\psi^{-1}(B^d(\epsilon + \frac{\alpha}{2}) \times B^{m-d-1}(\alpha) \times [0, \alpha]) \subseteq N_\eta(D \cap L).$$

If we then let $\xi^\eta := \tilde{\xi}^\alpha$ and take χ^η to be the extension by the identity of the pull-back of $\hat{\chi}^\alpha$ by ψ , then it follows from the lemma above that ξ^η and χ^η satisfy properties (i) and (ii) of the statement of 3.6.

The existence of \tilde{G}^η satisfying (iii) is somewhat more delicate. Write χ for χ^η and $\hat{\Theta}$ for $\hat{\Theta}^\alpha$. If we define $\Theta : N \times I \rightarrow M$ by

$$\Theta(p, s) := \begin{cases} \psi^{-1}(\hat{\Theta}(\phi(p)), s) & \text{for } p \in U, s \in I \\ H(p, t^* + (s+1)\delta') & \text{for } p \notin U, s \in I, \end{cases}$$

then it follows from (b) and from (II) in Lemma 3.9 that Γ is a compactly supported isotopy of N in M , which is L -clean, by (V).

Consider the isotopy Ξ of N in M given by

$$(bb) \quad \Xi_s := G_{t^*} G_{t^* + (1+s)\delta'}^{-1} \circ \Theta_s.$$

For all $s \in I$ and $p \in N \setminus U$ the definition of Θ implies:

$$\Xi(p, s) := G_{t^*} G_{t^* + (s+1)\delta'}^{-1} G_{t^* + (s+1)\delta'} \circ \iota(p) = G_{t^*} \circ \iota(p).$$

Since $\Theta_s(U) \subseteq V$ for all $s \in I$, Observation 3.7 implies that $\Xi_s(U) \subseteq V'$. By Lemma 1.7 there is a diffeotopy Ω of Id_M supported on V' with the property that $\Xi_s = \Omega_s \circ \Xi_{-1}$ for all $s \in I$. Combined with (bb) and the equality

$$\Xi_{-1} = G_{t^*} G_{t^*}^{-1} \circ \Theta_{-1} = \Theta_{-1} = \chi_1 G_{t^*} \circ \iota,$$

this implies that $\Theta = \iota^*(\Upsilon^1)$, where

$$\Upsilon^1 := (G_{t^* + (s+1)\delta'} G_{t^*}^{-1} \Omega_s \chi_1 G_{t^*})_{s \in I}.$$

Consider, furthermore, the following diffeotopies:

$$\Upsilon^2 := (G_{t^* + 2\delta' + \epsilon(s+1)} G_{t^*}^{-1} \Omega_1 \chi_1 G_{t^*})_{s \in I},$$

$$\Upsilon^3 := (G_{t^* + 2(\delta' + \epsilon)} G_{t^*}^{-1} \Omega_{-s} \chi_{-s} G_{t^*})_{s \in I}.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{-1}^1 &= \chi_1 G_{t^*}, & \Upsilon_1^1 &= G_{t^* + 2\delta'} G_{t^*}^{-1} \Omega_1 \chi_1 G_{t^*} = \Upsilon_{-1}^2, \\ \Upsilon_1^2 &= G_{t^* + 2(\delta' + \epsilon)} G_{t^*}^{-1} \Omega_1 \chi_1 G_{t^*} = \Upsilon_{-1}^3, & \Upsilon_1^3 &:= G_{t^* + 2(\delta' + \epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\tilde{G}^\eta := \Upsilon^1 * \Upsilon^2 * \Upsilon^3 * G_{\uparrow M \times (t^* + 2(\delta' + \epsilon), 1]}$$

is a diffeotopy from $\chi_1 G_{t^*}$ to G_1 .

Recall that $\iota^*(\Upsilon^1) = \Theta$ is L -clean, by construction. Likewise, the isotopy

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^*(\Upsilon^2) &= (G_{t^* + 2\delta' + \epsilon(s+1)} G_{t^* + 2\delta'}^{-1} \Theta_1)_{s \in I} = \\ &= (G_{t^* + 2\delta' + \epsilon(s+1)} G_{t^* + 2\delta'}^{-1} H_{t^* + 2\delta'})_{s \in I} = (H_{t^* + 2\delta' + \epsilon(s+1)})_{s \in I}, \end{aligned}$$

is L -clean. Finally, for all $s \in I$ the diffeomorphism $\Omega_s \chi_s$ is supported on V' , from which it follows that Υ^3 is constant on some neighbourhood of $(\Upsilon_{-1}^3)^{-1}(L)$. Since $\chi_1 G_{t^*} \circ \iota \bar{\cap} L$, it follows from Observation 2.4 that the isotopy $\iota^*(\Upsilon^3)$ is also L -clean. So $\iota^*(\tilde{G}^\eta)$ is also L -clean, as needed. \square

We record the following simple algebraic consequence of Lemma 3.6 for later use.

Corollary 3.10. *Let (N, M, L) be a nice m -triple, G a compactly supported diffeotopy from Id_M to some element $g \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$, and $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ a proper embedding such that $\iota^*(G)$ is an L -compatible non-destructive isotopy of N in M with r tangency points. Let also $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ be a set such that for every embedded k ball D in M , $k \leq m - 1$ we have $\mathcal{C}_\eta(D) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ for some $\eta > 0$. Then there are $h_0, \dots, h_{r-1} \in \mathcal{X}$ as well as elements $g_L \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L\}}(M)$, $g_N \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{\iota(N)\}}(M)$ such that $g = g_L h_{r-1} \cdots h_0 g_N$.*

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on r , where $r = 0$ is just Lemma 1.29. Let t_0, \dots, t_{r-1} be the tangency times of $\iota^*(G)$. For any $u \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ it is clear that the set $\mathcal{X}^{u^{-1}}$ also satisfies the property of the statement. As a result, by applying Lemma 3.6 to the restriction of G to a suitable final subinterval of I , we obtain $t^* \in (t_{r-2}, t_{r-1}]$ such that for any given $u \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ we can modify G on $[t^*, 1]$ so that for some $t' \in (t^*, 1)$ we have:

- $G_1 = \tilde{g} h G_{t^*}$, where $h \in \mathcal{X}^{u^{-1}}$ (χ_1 in Lemma 3.6),
- \tilde{g} is of the form Θ_1 , for some compactly supported diffeotopy of Id_M such that $\Theta_s h G_{t^*} \circ \iota \bar{\cap} L$ for every $s \in I$.

It follows from the second condition above that one can write $\tilde{g} = g'_L g'_N$, where, letting $N' := h G_{t^*} \circ \iota(N)$, we have $g'_L \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L\}}(M)$ and $g'_N \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{N'\}}(M)$.

The induction hypothesis allows us to write $G_{t^*} = g''_L h_{r-2} \cdots h_0 g''_N$, where

$$g''_L \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L\}}(M), \quad g''_N \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{\iota(N)\}}(M), \quad h_i \in \mathcal{X}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq r-2.$$

We choose a decomposition $g = \tilde{g} h G_{t^*} = g'_L g'_N h G_{t^*}$, as described in the first paragraph for the choice $u := g''_L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g'_L h G_{t^*} (g''_N)^{h G_{t^*}} = g'_L h g''_L h_{r-2} \cdots h_0 g''_N (g'_N)^{h G_{t^*}} = \\ &= g'_L g''_L h^{g''_L} h_{r-2} \cdots h_0 g''_N (g'_N)^{h G_{t^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_{r-1} := h^{g''_L} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $(g'_N)^{h G_{t^*}} \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{\iota(N)\}}(M)$, the result follows. \square

The following is a crucial observation.

Observation 3.11. *Let (N, M, L) be a nice m -triple and $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ a proper embedding. Suppose that we are given a compactly supported diffeotopy G of Id_M such that the isotopy $\iota^*(H)$ of N in M is L -compatible and has type σ . Let also G' be a compactly supported diffeotopy Id_M preserving L and $\iota(N)$ setwise at all times, and write $g := G'_1$. Then the isotopy $H' := (g G_t g^{-1} \circ \iota)_{t \in I}$ of N in M is also L -compatible and has the same type as H . Moreover, the diffeotopy $G'' := (G'_{-t} G_1 (G'_{-t})^{-1})_{t \in I}$ from $g G_t g^{-1}$ to G_1 is such that $\iota^*(G'')$ is L -clean.*

Proof. Notice that $g^{-1} \circ \iota = \iota \circ \hat{g}^{-1}$ for some $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(N)$. If $(p, t) \in N \times I$, clearly $g G_t g^{-1} \circ \iota(p) = g G_t \circ \iota \circ \hat{g}^{-1}(p)$ is in L if and only if $G_t \circ \iota(p) \in L = g^{-1}(L)$. For any $(p, t) \in N \times (-1, 1)$ for which the latter holds we also have $im(D(G_t \circ \iota)|_p) = T_{H_t(p)} L$

if and only if $\text{im}(DH_t|_{\hat{g}^{-1}(p)}) = T_{H_t(\hat{g}^{-1}(p))}L$ and precomposing the charts ϕ and ψ in Definition 2.2 with \hat{g} and g^{-1} respectively yields the required local expression. The final assertion regarding $\iota(G'')$ follows from a similar argument. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let (N, M, L) be a nice m -triple, ι a proper embedding of N in M and G a compactly supported diffeotopy of Id_M such that $H := \iota^*(G)$ is L -compatible with type σ . Assume that σ contains a subword σ_0 of one of the following two forms:*

- (a) (d, d') where $d \geq d' + 2$,
- (b) $(m - 1, \dots, m - 1, m - 2)$.

Then there is a compactly supported diffeotopy G' of Id_M such that

- (i) $G_1 = G'_1$,
- (ii) and the type of $\iota^*(G)$ is the result of replacing in σ the subword σ_0 by:
 - (d', d) in case (a),
 - some word of the form $(d'_1, \dots, d'_q, m - 2, m - 1, \dots, m - 1)$, where $d'_l \in \{0, m - 2\}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq q$ in case (b) (see Figure 3 below).

Proof. By using Fact 1.6 and Observation 2.4, one may assume $\sigma = \sigma_0$. Write $\sigma := (d_{-r}, \dots, d_0, d_1)$ and denote by $(t_{-r}, \dots, t_0, t_1)$ the corresponding increasing sequence of tangency times. Lemma 3.6 implies the existence of some $t_1^* \in (t_0, t_1)$ and some d_1 -bridge D_1 between $H_{t_1^*}$ and L such that for all $\eta > 0$ we may modify the restriction of G to $N \times (t_1^*, 1)$, without changing the type of H , in such a way that, keeping the notation t_1 for the new tangency time, for some $s_1 > t_1 > t_1^*$, the restriction of H to $G \times [t_1^*, s_1]$ is of the form $(\chi_t^1 \circ G_{t_1^*})_{t \in I}$ for some diffeotopy $(\chi_t^1)_{t \in I}$ of Id_M .

Observation 3.2 allows us to apply Lemma 3.6 to H^{op} and G^{inv} , which yields $t_0^* \in (t_0, t_1^*)$ and some $(m - d_0 - 1)$ -bridge D_0 in M between $H_{t_0^*}(N)$ and L , so that for all $\eta > 0$ one can modify the restriction of G to $N \times (-1, t_0^*)$, without altering the type of $\iota^*(G_{M \times [-1, t_0^*]})$, so that, as a result, for some $s_0 < t_0$ the restriction of G to $N \times [s_0, t_0^*]$ is a time reparametrization of $(\chi_{-t}^0 \circ G_{t_0^*})_{t \in I}$ for some diffeotopy $(\chi_t^0)_{t \in I}$ of Id_M supported on $N_\eta(D_0)$.

By Lemma 1.29, the restriction of G to $N \times [t_0^*, t_1^*]$ can be assumed to be of the form $(G_{t-t_0^*-1}^L \circ G_{t_0^*}^N \circ G_{t-t_0^*-1}^N)_{t \in I}$ for a compactly supported diffeotopy G^L of Id_M preserving L and a compactly supported diffeotopy G^N of Id_M preserving $\iota(N)$.⁴ Let $g^L := G_{t_1^*-t_0^*-1}^L$ and $g^N := G_{t_1^*-t_0^*-1}^N$. We can replace the restriction of G to $N \times [t_0^*, s_1]$ with the concatenation of the following diffeotopies:

- $((g^L)^{-1} \chi_t^1 \circ G_{t_1^*})_{t \in I} = ((g^L)^{-1} \chi_t^1 \circ g^L \circ G_{t_0^*}^N \circ g^N)_{t \in I}$, which, by the same argument as in the proof of Observation 3.11, pulls back by ι to an isotopy of N in M which is L -compatible, and has the same type as $(\chi_t^1 \circ H_{t_1^*})_{t \in I}$,
- $((G_{-t}^L)^{-1} \chi_1^1 \circ G_{t_1^*})_{t \in I}$, which clearly pulls back by ι to an L -clean isotopy of N in M .

Recall that here we are using Fact 1.6 and Observation 2.4 and we will continue to do so tacitly. Since we can choose χ^1 so that $((g^L)^{-1} \chi_t^1 \circ g^L)_{t \in I}$ is supported on any given neighbourhood of the bridge $(g^L)^{-1}(D_1)$, we might as well assume $t_0^* = t_1^* =: t^*$. Write $g_\star := G_{t^*}$, $f_\star := H_{t^*}$, $N_\star := f_{t^*}$.

⁴Here a direct application of 1.29 really shows that for $t \in [t_0^*, t_1^*]$ we have $G_t G_{t_0^*}^{-1} = G_{t-t_0^*}^L \circ K_{t-t_0^*}^N$ where the diffeotopy K^L preserves L and the diffeotopy K^N preserves $G_{t_0^*}(\iota(N))$.

Lemma 3.13. *Under the general hypotheses of 3.12, we may assume that for $Z, W \in \{L, N_\star\}$ the Z -hemisphere of D_0 and the W -hemisphere of D_1 are disjoint.*

Proof. Observation 3.11 allows us to replace D_0 (or, equivalently, D_1) with its image by any $h \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}^{\{L, N_\star\}}(M)$ (see 1.28), something we will use repeatedly below. Let C_i be the equator of D_i (recall Definition 3.4). Notice that C_0, C_1 are embedded spheres of dimension $m - d_0 - 2$ and $d_1 - 1$, respectively, in the $(m - 2)$ -dimensional manifold $P := L \cap N_\star$. Since $m - d_0 + d_1 - 3 < m - 3$, it follows from Lemma 1.24 that there exists $h \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}(P)$ such that $h(C_0) \cap C_1 = \emptyset$. By the last assertion of Lemma 1.7, the map h extends to an element of $\mathcal{D}_{c_0}^{\{N_\star, L\}}(M)$. This allows us to assume $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$.

For $Z \in \{L, N_\star\}$ let now E_i^Z be the Z -hemisphere of E_i . The sum of the dimensions of E_0^Z and E_1^Z is $(m - d_0 - 1) + d_1 < m - 1$. Since the boundaries of C_0 and C_1 are disjoint, by using the same argument as before, we can find $h_Z \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}^P(Z)$ such that $h_Z(E_0^Z) \cap E_1^Z = \emptyset$ for $Z \in \{L, N_\star\}$, which then extends to some element $g_Z \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}^{\{Z, Z'\}}(M)$, where $\{Z, Z'\} = \{N_\star, L\}$. By replacing D_0 with $g_L g_{N_\star}(D_0)$, we can assume that $E_0^Z \cap E_1^Z = \emptyset$ for $Z \in \{L, N_\star\}$, concluding the proof of 3.13. ■

Case (a). We work under the conclusions of Lemma 3.13. In this case the sum of the dimensions of D_0 and D_1 is less than m . Therefore, by Lemma 1.24, it is possible to find $h \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}^{L \cup N_\star}(M)$ such that $h(D_1) \cap D_0 = \emptyset$. By using Observation 3.11, we may thus assume $D_0 \cap D_1 = \emptyset$. Since we can take χ^l to be supported in an arbitrary neighbourhood of D_l for $l = 0, 1$ (the choice of χ^0 and χ^1 are entirely independent), we can assume $\text{supp}(\chi^1) \cap \text{supp}(\chi^0) = \emptyset$. It now suffices to take as G' the concatenation of

$$G_{\uparrow M \times [-1, s_0]}, \quad (\chi_t^1 \chi_t^0 G_{t^\star})_{t \in I} = (\chi_t^0 \chi_t^1 G_{t^\star})_{t \in I}, \quad (\chi_{-t}^0 \chi_t^1 G_{t^\star})_{t \in I}, \quad G_{\uparrow M \times [s_1, 1]}.$$

Case (b). Here the sum of the dimensions of D_0 and D_1 is exactly m , so after applying Lemma 1.24 and Observation 3.11, we may only assume that D_0 and D_1 intersect transversely in finitely many points in $\mathring{D}_0 \cap \mathring{D}_1$. This turns out to be good enough, since we are able to move D_0 out of the way first, by applying a sequence of moves of dimension 0 and $m - 2$ only, then perform the deformation along D_1 and finally apply a twisted copy of the deformation along the image of D_0 , which still results in a sequence of moves of dimension $m - 1$ (see Figure 3). As a matter of fact, we need to take care of all the L -tangencies of dimension $(m - 1)$ in σ , with their associated arcs (0-bridges) simultaneously. This requires some care. We spell out the details below.

Fix some chart

$$\phi = \mathbf{y} : U \cong B^{m-2}(3) \times (-3, 3)^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$$

such that

- (I) $\phi(U \cap N_\star) = \phi(U) \cap \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid x_{m-1} = \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{m-2}^2}{2} - 2\}$,
- (II) $\phi(L \cap U) = \phi(U) \cap \{y_{m-1} = 0\}$,
- (III) $\phi(D_1) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mid \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_{m-2}^2}{2} - 2 \leq x_{m-1} \leq 0, x_m = 0\}$.

We think of the m -th factor as the ‘‘thickness’’ of the box, the direction along which some tubular sections of the image of N will cross it, and of its $(m - 1)$ -th factor as its ‘‘height’’, the direction along which these tubular sections will be

deformed later on. Consider also the sets

$$\begin{aligned} T' &:= B^{m-2}(1) \times (-1, 1) \times [-2, 2], & T &:= \phi^{-1}(T'), \\ Q' &:= B^{m-2}(1) \times (-1, 0) \times [-2, 2], & Q &:= \phi^{-1}(Q'). \end{aligned}$$

Choose some curve

$$\gamma : (-3, 3) \rightarrow Q', \quad \gamma(s) := (\underline{0}, \theta(s)),$$

where $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-2}$ in the expression above and $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) : [-3, 3] \rightarrow (-1, 0) \times [-2, 2]$ is a regular curve satisfying the following conditions:

- $\theta(-s) = (\theta_1(s), -\theta_2(s))$ for all s ,
- θ_2 is non-decreasing,
- $\theta_2(3\nu) = 2\nu$ for $\nu \in \{-1, 1\}$,
- θ_2 is constant (i.e., θ is vertical) on $[1, 2]$ (hence, also on $[-2, -1]$),
- θ_1 is constant (i.e., θ is horizontal) in some neighbourhood of $\{-3, 3\}$,
- $(\theta_1)'(s) \leq 0$ for $s \in [0, 3]$,
- $(\theta_1)''(s) < 0$ for $s \in (-1, 1)$,
- $\theta_1(2) \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Write $\alpha := \theta_1(1)$ and $\beta := \theta_1(2)$, so that $\beta < \alpha$.

Given isometries μ_s between \mathbb{R}^{m-1} and the space of vectors orthogonal to $\gamma'(s)$ in \mathbb{R}^m for $s \in [-3, 3]$, we have that for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough the map

$$\xi : V := [-3, 3] \times \overline{B}^{m-1} \rightarrow Q', \quad \xi(s, v) := \gamma(s) + \epsilon \mu_s(v),$$

is a smooth embedding. If we denote by π_l the projection on the l -th coordinate, we may also assume that and $v \in \overline{B}^{m-1}$ we have:

- $\pi_{m-1}(\xi(\{s\} \times \overline{B}^{m-1})) \subseteq [\alpha, 0]$ for $s \in [-1, 1]$,
- $\pi_{m-1}(\xi(\{s\} \times \overline{B}^{m-1})) \subseteq (-1, \beta)$ for $s \notin (-2, 2)$.

Given a closed interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we say that an embedding $\kappa : J \times \overline{B}^{m-1} \rightarrow M$ is ξ -restricted if $im(\kappa) \subseteq im(\phi^{-1} \circ \xi)$ and there is some diffeomorphism $\rho : J \cong [-3, 3]$, $\nu \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in B^{m-1}$ such that $\phi(\kappa((s, v))) = \xi(\rho(s), \nu v + u)$ for all $s \in J$ and $v \in \overline{B}^{m-1}$. We say that κ is adapted to ξ if there are finitely many disjoint closed intervals $\{J_l\}_{l=1}^n$ such that $\kappa^{-1}(Q) = \bigcup_{l=1}^n J_l \times \overline{B}^{m-1}$ and $\kappa|_{J_l \times \overline{B}^{m-1}}$ is ξ -restricted for all $1 \leq l \leq n$. By a ξ -spherical shell we mean a set of the form $\phi(\kappa(J \times S^{m-1})) \subseteq Q'$, where κ is ξ -restricted.

The following claim is left to the reader. The last assertion can be proven by exhibiting subspaces of the right dimension on which the Hessian is positive and negative definite, respectively.

Claim 3.14. *For $\epsilon > 0$ small enough the map ξ above is such that for any ξ -spherical shell Σ in Q' there are exactly two values $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1$ in $(\beta, 0)$ for which the plane $L_\lambda := \{y_m = \lambda\}$ is tangent to Σ , and L_{λ_i} is tangent to Σ at a single point for $i = 0, 1$. Locally around p_i the surface Σ is the graph of a function f with a unique critical point, corresponding to p_i , and a non-singular Hessian such that the number positive entries in its diagonal form is 0 for $i = 0$ and $m - 2$ for $i = 1$.*

Fix ξ as given by the claim.

Lemma 3.15. *We may replace the restriction of G to $M \times [-1, t^*]$ by some concatenation $\tilde{G} * G^{-r} \cdots * G^0$ which has the same end-points and is such that $t^*(\tilde{G})$*




FIGURE 3. Case (b) of Lemma 3.12. The submanifold L is drawn as a horizontal plane (yellow) and the intersection of the image of N (blue) with L is indicated by a thick dashed line (the thinner dotted lines serve only to highlight the position of N). We are given an isotopy of N with type $(2, 1)$, which traverses stages (a),(b),(e) and Lemma 3.12 provides an alternative isotopy with type $(0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)$ from (a) to (e) that passes through intermediate configurations (c) and (d). In (a) the image of N can be described as consisting of the boundary of an arch whose two pillars cross the plane and a disk in the shape of a prong that passes under the arch and then descends to intersect the plane L in a circle. So far these moves are inessential, but the presence of an additional component, as in (f), suffices to render them essential. Notice that the vertical direction is here reversed with relative to the text for the sake of visual clarity.

is L -clean and for $-r \leq i \leq 0$ the isotopy $\iota^*(G^i)$ has a single tangency point of dimension $m - 1$.⁵ Moreover, if for $-r \leq i \leq 0$ we let

$$\tilde{g} := \tilde{G}_1, \quad \tilde{f} := \tilde{g} \circ \iota, \quad \tilde{N} := \tilde{f}(N), \quad g_i := G_1^i, \quad f_i := g_i \circ \iota, \quad N_i := f_i(N),$$

then we have

- a 0-cylinder (i.e., an arc) D_i in M bridging N_i and L ,
- a tubular neighbourhood $\xi_i : (-1, 1) \times B^{m-1}$ of \mathring{D}_i ,
- a diffeotopy χ^i of Id_M such that

$$\text{supp}(\chi_i) \cap U \subseteq \text{im}(\xi_i),$$

and the following holds for $-r \leq i \leq 0$:

- (i) $G_t^i = \chi_{-t}^i g_i$ for all $t \in I$,
- (ii) ξ_i and $(\chi_t^i \circ f_i)_{t \in I}$ are compatible in the sense of Lemma 3.6,
- (iii) ξ_i is adapted to ξ ,
- (iv) the intersection $\mathcal{A} := N_i \cap \bar{T}$ (respectively, $\tilde{N} \cap \bar{T}$) is mapped by ϕ to a finite union of ξ -spherical shells,
- (v) no set of the form $\pi_{m-1}^{-1}(\nu) \cap Q'$ for $\nu \in (\beta, 0)$ is tangent to $\phi(\mathcal{A})$ at two different points.

Proof. We inductively construct G^0, G^{-1}, \dots , modifying $G_{\uparrow M \times [-1, t^*]}$ as we go along. Suppose we are given $G' * G^{-k+1} * G^{-k+2} \dots G^0$, where G^{-j} , $j \leq k$ satisfy the assumptions of the conclusion and $H' := \iota^*(G')$ is L -compatible, with a type consisting $r - k + 1$ many entries equal to $m - 1$.

Since we have the freedom to choose χ^{-k} in an arbitrary neighbourhood of D^{-k} , the same arguments as in the beginning of the proof of Lemma 3.12 provides some 0-bridge (arc) D_{-k} between N_{-k} and L , some tubular neighbourhood $\xi_{-k} : I \times B^{m-1}$ of D_{-k} and a diffeotopy χ^{-k} compatible with ξ_{-k} such that $(\chi_t^{-k} \circ f_{-k})_{t \in I}$ has a single tangency point of dimension 0 and there is some compactly supported diffeotopy G'' of Id_M such that $G_1'' = \chi_1^{-k} g_{-k}$ and $\iota^*(G'')$ is L -compatible, with $r - k - 1$ tangency points of dimension $m - 1$.

By part (iv) of our inductive assumption, the intersection of N_{-k} with \bar{T} is the union of some finite collection of ξ -spherical shells. This, together with Corollary 1.23 and Observation 3.11, allows us to add the following assumptions one by one.

- The two points in $\partial D_{-k} = N_{-k} \cap D_{-k}$ lie outside of T . Notice that this might involve sliding ∂D_k along some of the finitely many ξ -spherical shells in $N_{-k} \cap T$.
- The intersection $D_{-k} \cap T$ is contained in Q .
- The arc D_{-k} intersects D_1 transversely.
- We have $D_{-k} \cap D_1 \subseteq D_1 \cap \text{im}(\xi)$.
- There is $\nu > 0$ such that for each $p \in D_{-k} \cap D_1$ some neighbourhood of p in D_1 is of the form $\xi((-\nu, \nu) \times \{v\})$. This can be achieved, for instance, using the inverse function theorem and Lemmas 1.7 and 1.18.

Lemma 3.16. *We may assume $D_{-k} \cap T = D_{-k} \cap Q$ is the intersection of finitely many arcs of the form $\xi([-3, 3] \times \{v\})$.*

Proof. Using (I)-(III) one can easily find an open set $U' \subseteq \bar{U}' \subseteq B^{m-1}(2)$ and some embedding $\zeta : [-4, 4] \times \bar{B}^{m-1}(2) \rightarrow U \setminus L$ such that:

⁵Equivalently, $(\iota^*(G^{-i}))^{op}$ has a single tangency of dimension 0.

- $\zeta_{\uparrow[-3,3] \times \overline{B}^{m-1}} = \xi_{\uparrow[-3,3] \times \overline{B}^{m-1}}$
- $D_{-k} \cap T \subseteq \zeta([-3, 3] \times U')$,
- $\zeta([-4, 4] \times U') \cap N_{-k} = \emptyset$.

It is then easy to find an element $h \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{N_{-k} \cup L}(M)$ of Id_M such that there is some orientation preserving diffeomorphism Ψ of $[-4, 4]$ mapping $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ onto $[-3, 3]$ for which $\zeta(h(\zeta^{-1}(s, v))) = (\Psi(s), v)$ for any $(s, v) \in [-\nu, \nu] \times U'$. We obtain the desired result by replacing D_{-k} by its image by h (using Observation 3.11). ■

For any $h \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{N_{-k} \cup L}(M)$ we may also replace ξ_{-k} with $h \circ \xi_{-k}$ and χ_t^{-k} with $h\chi_1^{-k}h^{-1}$. By combining this with the equivalence of tubular neighbourhoods (Lemma 1.18) and Lemma 1.7, as well as Observation 3.11, one may assume ξ_{-k} is adapted to ξ (iii). We can also require that $\phi(N_{-k-1} \cap T) = \phi(\chi_1^{-k}(N_{-k}) \cap T)$ is a finite union of preimages of ξ -spherical shells (iv). We leave to the reader the observation that the induction above can be carried on in such a way as to ensure property (v) is also satisfied. ■

We keep the notation of 3.15. It is easy to find some diffeotopy Ω^\uparrow of Id_M supported on T such that $\hat{\Omega}_t^\uparrow := \phi \circ G_t \circ \phi^{-1}$ satisfies:

- $\hat{\Omega}_t^\uparrow(\{y_m \leq \beta\}) \subseteq \{y_m \leq \beta\}$ for all $t \in I$,
- there is a diffeomorphism λ_t of $(-1, 1)$, restricting on $(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}, 0)$ to the translation by $\frac{(\alpha+\beta)(t+1)}{4}$, such that

$$\hat{\Omega}_t^\uparrow(\underline{y}) = (y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}, \lambda_t(y_m))$$

for any $t \in I$ and $\underline{y} \in (-1, 1)^{m-2} \times (0, \beta) \times (-2, 2)$.

For simplicity, write $g_\uparrow := \Omega_1^\uparrow$. Notice that $supp(\Omega^\uparrow) \cap N_\star \subseteq T \cap N_\star = \emptyset$, so that

$$(\dagger) \quad \Omega_t^\uparrow \circ f_\star = f_\star \quad \text{for all } t \in I \text{ and, in particular, } g_\uparrow \circ f_\star = f_\star.$$

The set $g_\uparrow(im(\xi))$ is disjoint from D_1 , so we may also assume

$$(\ddagger) \quad supp(g_\uparrow \chi_t^i g_\uparrow^{-1}) \cap supp(\chi^1) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } -r \leq i \leq 0 \text{ and } t \in I.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5, Claim 3.14 and item (iv) in Lemma 3.15 that the isotopy $\tilde{f}^*(\Omega^\uparrow)$ is L -compatible and its type contains only entries of type 0 and $m-2$.⁶ Consider next the diffeotopy

$$\Upsilon^+ := (\chi_t^1 g_\uparrow \tilde{g})_{t \in I}.$$

Consider also the following diffeotopy, where the concatenation starts with $i = -r$ and ends with $i = 0$:

$$\Xi := \ast_{i=-r}^0 (\chi_{-t}^i g_i g_\star^{-1})_{t \in I}.$$

Recall that in the notation of Lemma 3.15 we have $g_\star = G_1^0 = g_0$, so that

$$\Xi_{-1} = \tilde{g} g_\star^{-1}, \quad \Xi_1 = Id_M, \quad supp(\Xi) \subset \bigcup_{t=0}^r supp(\chi^{-t}).$$

Next, consider the compactly supported diffeotopy

$$\Upsilon^- := (g_\uparrow \Xi_t g_\uparrow^{-1} \chi_1^1 g_\uparrow g_\star)_{t \in I}.$$

⁶It is hard to assert more about this sequence other than the first entry is a 0 and the final one $m-2$.

Property (\ddagger) implies that $g_{\uparrow}\chi_t^i g_{\uparrow}^{-1}$ commutes with χ_1^i for all $-r \leq i \leq 0$ and $t \in I$. Therefore, so does the element Ξ_{-1} and we have

$$\Upsilon_1^+ = \chi_1^1 g_{\uparrow} \tilde{g} = \chi_1^1 g_{\uparrow} \Xi_{-1} g_{\uparrow}^{-1} g_{\uparrow} g_{\star} = g_{\uparrow} \Xi_{-1} g_{\uparrow}^{-1} \chi_1^1 g_{\uparrow} g_{\star} = \Upsilon_{-1}^-.$$

By using $\Xi_{-1} \circ f_{\star} = \tilde{f}$ in the first equality below, (\dagger) in the second and (\ddagger) in the third, we also get

$$\begin{aligned} (g_{\uparrow} \circ \tilde{f})_{\uparrow f_{\star}^{-1}(\text{supp}(\chi^1))} &= (g_{\uparrow} \Xi_{-1} g_{\uparrow}^{-1} g_{\uparrow} \circ f_{\star})_{\uparrow f_{\star}^{-1}(\text{supp}(\chi^1))} = \\ &= (g_{\uparrow} \Xi_{-1} g_{\uparrow}^{-1} \circ f_{\star})_{\uparrow f_{\star}^{-1}(\text{supp}(\chi^1))} = (f_{\star})_{\uparrow f_{\star}^{-1}(\text{supp}(\chi^1))}, \end{aligned}$$

and since $f_{\star}^*(\chi^1)$ is L -compatible with type $(m-2)$, so must be

$$\iota^*(\Upsilon^+) = (\chi_t^1 g_{\uparrow} \circ \tilde{f})_{t \in I} = (g_{\uparrow} \circ \tilde{f})^*(\chi^1).$$

For $-r \leq j \leq 0$ the properties of ξ_j , the description of Ω^{\uparrow} and item (iii) of Lemma 3.15 imply that for any point $q \in g_{\uparrow}(D_j) \cap L$ the fiber image through q of the tubular neighbourhood $g_{\uparrow} \circ \xi_j$ is contained in L . Property (\dagger) implies

$$\iota^*(\Upsilon^-) = (g_{\uparrow} \Xi_t g_{\uparrow}^{-1} \chi_1^1 \circ f_{\star})_{t \in I},$$

and (\ddagger) implies:

$$(f_{\star})_{\uparrow f_{\star}^{-1}(g_{\uparrow} \text{supp}(\Xi))} = (\chi_1^1 \circ f_{\star})_{\uparrow f_{\star}^{-1}(g_{\uparrow} \text{supp}(\Xi))}.$$

The above remarks, Lemma 2.5 and properties (iib) and (iic) in Lemma 3.6, imply that $\iota^*(\Upsilon^-)$ is L -compatible and purely destructive. For each $-r \leq i \leq 0$ the type contains one $(m-1)$ -entry corresponding to the original tangency of $\iota^*(G^i)$ and two more for each of the points in the intersection $D_i \cap D_1$.

Finally, consider the diffeotopy

$$\Upsilon^{\downarrow} := (\chi_1^1 \Omega_{-t}^{\uparrow} g_{\star})_{t \in I}.$$

Notice that $\Upsilon_1^- = \chi_1^1 g_{\uparrow} g_{\star} = \Upsilon_{-1}^{\downarrow}$. It follows from (\dagger) that $\iota^*(\Upsilon^{\downarrow})_t$ is constant and thus L -clean. This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.12 in case (b), since as G' we may now take the concatenation of the diffeotopies:

$$\tilde{G}, \quad \tilde{g}^*(\Omega^{\uparrow}), \quad \Upsilon^+, \quad \Upsilon^-, \quad \Upsilon^{\downarrow}, \quad G_{\uparrow M \times [s_1, 1]}.$$

□

Corollary 3.17. *Suppose we are given a nice m -triple (N, M, L) , a compactly supported diffeotopy G of Id_M and $\iota : N \rightarrow M$ such that $\iota^*(G)$ is L -compatible. Then there are compactly supported diffeotopies G', G'' such that:*

- $G'_{-1} = \text{Id}_M$, $G'_1 = G''_{-1}$, $G''_1 = G_1$
- $\iota^*(G')$ is L -compatible and purely constructive,
- $\iota^*(G'')$ is L -compatible and purely destructive.

Proof. We may assume the type of H is of the form

$$\sigma \frown (m-1, m-1, \dots, m-1, d) \frown \tau,$$

where σ contains no occurrences of $m-1$ and $d \leq m-2$. The proof is an easy induction on the length of τ using Lemma 3.12. □

4. NEIGHBOURHOODS OF THE IDENTITY CONTAIN FIX-POINT STABILIZERS OF
FINITE EMBEDDED SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

Notation 4.1. *Let M be a smooth m -dimensional manifold. We consider \mathfrak{H} , \mathfrak{G} as in one of the following two situations:*

- (Df) $\mathfrak{H} := \mathcal{D}_{co}(M) \leq \mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{D}(M)$,
(Tp) $\mathfrak{H} := \mathcal{H}_{co}(M) \leq \mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{H}(M)$.

We will also denote by \mathfrak{t}_{co} the restriction of the compact-open topology to \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{t} a group topology on \mathfrak{G} coarser than the compact-open topology.

In the setting (Df) by an embedded ball in M we will mean a smoothly embedded ball. In case (Tp) by such term we will mean a collared ball in M .

All the results of this section will take place by default in either of the two settings of 4.1.

Observation 4.2. *If \mathfrak{t} is strictly coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} , then there do not exist m -balls D, D' in M with $D \subseteq D'$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ such that $g \cdot D \subseteq D'$ for all $g \in \mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. Assume for the sake of contradiction such D, D' and \mathcal{V} exist. By two applications of either Fact 1.11 in case (Df) or Fact 1.12 in case (Tp) one can easily see that, given D, D' , any $p \in M$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ small enough there is $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $p \in h \cdot \mathring{D} \subseteq h \cdot D' \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\epsilon}(p)$. Then $g \cdot D_0 \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\epsilon}(p)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{V}^{h^{-1}}$, where $D_0 = h \cdot D$. It follows easily that the conjugates of \mathcal{V} by the action of \mathfrak{H} generate a system of neighbourhoods of \mathfrak{t}_{co} at the identity (here one uses that basic neighbourhoods of the identity are of the form $\mathcal{V}_{K,\epsilon}$ for compact K). \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let D, E_1, \dots, E_k be m -balls in M with $E_j \not\subseteq D$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let also $K \subseteq M$ be a compact subset and ϵ a positive real. Then there exists $h_1, \dots, h_d \in \mathfrak{H}$, which fix D and such that for any $1 \leq j_0, j_1, \dots, j_d \leq k$ and any connected component C of the complement of $\bigcup_{i=1}^d h_i \cdot E_{j_i}$ either:*

- $K \cap C = \emptyset$,
- $\text{diam}(C) < \epsilon$,
- or $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\epsilon}(D)$.

Proof. Consider first case (Df) of 4.1. We may assume that the E_j are mutually disjoint and disjoint from D . There exists some m -ball D' in M with $D \subseteq \mathring{D}' \subseteq D' \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{\epsilon}(D)$, as well as some compact codimension 0 submanifold $N \subseteq M$ such that $D' \subseteq K \subseteq N$. Let $N' = N \setminus \mathring{D}'$.

Let $\delta = \min\{\epsilon, d(D, M \setminus \mathring{D}')\}$. By compactness, there exist finite collections of m -balls $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^r, \{C'_i\}_{i=1}^r$ in M such that:

- $C_i \subseteq \mathring{C}'_i \subseteq M \setminus \mathring{D}'$,
- $N' \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$,
- and $\text{diam}(C'_i) < \delta$.

For $1 \leq i \leq r$ choose two families of disjoint m -balls $\{E_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}, \{E'_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ in $U_i := \mathring{C}'_i \setminus C_i$ such that for any $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq k$ the set $E_{i,j_1} \cup E'_{i,j_2}$ separates C_i from $M \setminus \mathring{C}'_i$. This can be done, for instance, by taking a diffeomorphism $\phi : \overline{U}_i \rightarrow S^{m-1} \times I$, choosing some vector $v_0 \in S^1$, some small $\delta > 0$, considering the disks

$$K_j^{\nu} := \{(v, f_{\nu,j}(v)) \mid \|v + \nu v_0\|_2 > \delta\}, \quad f_{\nu,j}(v) = -\frac{\nu \delta j}{3k} + \frac{\|v + \nu v_0\|_2}{2}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $\nu \in \{1, -1\}$, and then letting $E_{i,j}$ and $E'_{i,j}$ be given by suitable thickenings of K_j^1 and K_j^{-1} .

By Fact 1.11, there are $h_i, h'_i \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $h_i \cdot E_j = E_{i,j}$, $h'_i \cdot E_j = E'_{i,j}$. We claim that the set $\{h_i, h'_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ satisfies the desired properties ($d = 2r$). Indeed, fix some arbitrary choice of $j_i, j'_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ and let $\{\mathcal{C}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be the collection of connected components of $X := M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r (E_{i,j_i} \cup E'_{i,j'_i})$. If $p \in X \cap N'$, then $p \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda(p)}$ for some $\lambda(p) \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C}_{\lambda(p)}) < \delta$. If we write $Y := \bigcup_{p \in N'} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda(p)}$, then any connected component of $X \setminus Y$ is contained in some connected component of the superset $Z := \overset{\circ}{D} \cup (M \setminus N)$ of $X \setminus Y$. Since one of the latter is contained in D' and the other one is disjoint from K , the desired conclusion follows.

In case (Tp) of 4.1 we can use an almost identical proof, with the only difference that the existence of N follows from triangulability, ϕ is taken to be a homeomorphism and h_i, h'_i can be found using Fact 1.12. \square

Remark 4.4. *We believe one may take $d = m$ in the lemma to be a function of $m = \dim(M)$ only.*

Lemma 4.5. *Assume that \mathfrak{t} is strictly coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} . Let D, E_1, \dots, E_k be m -balls in M and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$. Then for any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $g \cdot D \cap E_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.*

Proof. Up to making D smaller, we may assume that $E_i \not\subseteq D$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Suppose that some $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ fails to satisfy the property, so that for any $g \in \mathcal{V}$ there is some $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $g \cdot D \cap E_i = \emptyset$.

Pick any arbitrary $g^* \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \{1\}$ with $\text{supp}(g^*) \subseteq D$ and let $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ be such that $g^* \notin \mathcal{V}_0^3$. Choose some compact set $K \subset M$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{K,\epsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$ and $N_\epsilon(D)$ is contained in a ball D' .

Let h_1, \dots, h_d be the elements resulting from applying Lemma 4.3 to the compact K , the real ϵ and the balls D, E_1, \dots, E_k and let $\mathcal{V}_1 := \bigcap_{i=1}^d \mathcal{V}^{h_i^{-1}}$. Consider the intersection $\mathcal{V}_2 := \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \mathcal{V}_1$. Our assumption on \mathcal{V} and the conclusion of Lemma 4.3 implies that for any given $g \in \mathcal{V}_1$ at least one of the following possibilities holds:

- $g \cdot D \subseteq D'$,
- $\text{diam}(g \cdot D) < \epsilon$,
- or $g \cdot D \cap K = \emptyset$.

If $g \in \mathcal{V}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{V}_1$ satisfies the second or third possibility above, then $(g^*)^{g^{-1}} \in \mathcal{V}_{K,\epsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$ and thus $g^* \in \mathcal{V}_0^3$, contradicting the choice of \mathcal{V}_0 . Hence, the first alternative must always hold, which, by Observation 4.2, is contrary to the assumption that $\mathfrak{t} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{co}$. \square

Definition 4.6. *We will refer to any group topology \mathfrak{t} that is coarser than the compact-open topology and satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 as intrusive.*

Lemma 4.7. *In the setting of (Tp) of 4.1 assume that the group topology \mathfrak{t} is strictly coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} on \mathfrak{G} . Then for any $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(M)$ and compact $K \subseteq M$ with $\overset{\circ}{K} \neq \emptyset$ there is no $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{co}(M) \cap \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{K,\epsilon}$.*

Proof. Fix K, ϵ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ as in the statement. Choose $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ with $\mathcal{V}_0^3 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, then $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{V}_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and finally a closed ball D in M which has diameter less than δ and is contained in K . Since $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \text{diam}(M)$, there is a pair of collared balls $E_1, E_2 \subseteq M$ satisfying the following two properties:

- $d(E_1, E_2) > \epsilon$, where d stands here for the infimum distance,
- $E_1 \subseteq K$.

Lemma 4.5 applied to \mathcal{V}_0 , D and E_1, E_2 implies the existence of $g \in \mathcal{V}_0$ such that $g \cdot D \cap E_i \neq \emptyset$ for $1 = 1, 2$. This condition, together with the two properties listed above, imply the existence of some element $h \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ supported on $g \cdot D$ such that $h \notin \mathcal{V}_{K, \epsilon}$ (for instance, by Fact 1.12). We have $h \in \mathcal{H}_{co}(M)$, by Alexander's trick [1]. Finally, notice that h^g is supported on D . Since $\text{diam}(D) < \delta$, it follows that

$$h = (h^g)^{g^{-1}} \in \mathcal{V}_\delta^{g^{-1}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0^3 \subseteq \mathcal{V}.$$

□

The proof of the following lemma involves a series of nested sublemmas and observations.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H}$, be as in (Df) of 4.1 and assume furthermore the group topology \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{G} satisfies the following:*

- (i) \mathfrak{t} is intrusive (Definition 4.6),
- (ii) one of the following two conditions holds:
 - M is compact and small deformations are close to the identity in \mathfrak{t} (see Definition 1.3 and Remark 1.4),
 - \mathfrak{t} is coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} .

Then for any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_\mathfrak{t}(1)$ and any compact set $L \subseteq M$ there exists some finite embedded $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex Δ such that $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and $L \cup |\Delta|$ is contained in the closure of one of the connected components U_0 of $M \setminus |\Delta|$.

Proof. Take $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_\mathfrak{t}(1)$ with $\mathcal{V}_0^9 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. We proceed according to the case in the statement.

- In case (i) we let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{W}_{7\epsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$ and set $M' := M$.
- In case (ii) we pick some compact set $K \subseteq M$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{V}_{K, 7\epsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$ and we let $M' \subseteq M$ be some codimension 0 compact submanifold of M containing $K \cup L$.

In both cases we let Υ be a triangulation of M' in which each simplex has diameter less than ϵ .

Fix also some embedded ball D with $\text{diam}(D) < \epsilon$. The assumption that \mathfrak{t} is intrusive implies that there is $g \in \mathcal{V}_0$ such that $g \cdot D \cap \dot{\sigma} \neq \emptyset$ for each $\sigma \in \Upsilon^m$. We may also assume $g \cdot \partial D \bar{\cap} \tau$ for any $\tau \in \Upsilon$, by Lemma 1.24. Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r$ be an enumeration of the connected components of all sets in the collection

$$\{\sigma \setminus g \cdot \dot{D} \mid \sigma \in \Upsilon^m\}.$$

Notice that it is possible that $\sigma \subseteq g \cdot D$ for some $\sigma \in \Upsilon^m$. Our transversality assumption implies that for $\sigma \in \Upsilon^m$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \sigma$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}_i \cap \dot{\sigma} \neq \emptyset$. For $1 \leq i \leq r$ choose $p_i \in (g \cdot \partial D) \cap \mathcal{A}_i$ and let σ_i be a simplex of Υ^m containing p_i . Our transversality assumption implies

- $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_i$ is connected,
- σ_i is uniquely determined by i .⁷

Let $N \subseteq M$ be a compact submanifold such that $M' \cup g \cdot D \subseteq \text{int}(N)$ (so that $N = M$ in case (i)). Pick $\delta > 0$ smaller than each of the following three values:

⁷However, we could have $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$ for different $1 \leq i < j \leq r$.




FIGURE 4. Left: the triangulation Υ of the manifold M' (roughly oval shaped) chosen to contain the set $K \cup L$ (blue area delimited by a dashed line), as well as the ball $g \cdot D$ (yellow). Right: the triangulation Ω of the complement N' of $g \cdot \mathring{D}$ in the submanifold $N \subseteq M$.

- (a) ϵ ,
- (b) $\frac{1}{2} \{d(\sigma, \sigma') \mid \sigma, \sigma' \in \Upsilon^m, \sigma \cap \sigma' = \emptyset\}$,
- (c) and $\{d(p_i, \partial\sigma_i)\}_{i=1}^r$.

Let also Ω be a triangulation of $N' := N \setminus g \cdot \mathring{D}$ in which every simplex has diameter less than δ . The general setting is illustrated in Figure 4 above.

By perturbing Ω slightly, we may also assume the following property holds:

- (\diamond) For any σ in Ω^m and $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have $\sigma \cap \mathcal{A}_i \neq \emptyset \leftrightarrow \hat{\sigma} \cap \mathring{\mathcal{A}}_i \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.9. *There is some retracting forest $\mathcal{F} = \{(\Gamma_i, \tau_i)\}_{i=1}^r$ for Ω (see Definition 1.15) with the following properties:*

- $\text{diam}(|\Gamma_i|) < 7\epsilon$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$,
- and $N \subseteq g \cdot D \cup |\mathcal{F}|$.

Proof. To begin with, for $1 \leq i \leq r$ we choose some $\tau_i \in \Omega^{m-1}$ such that $\tau_i \subseteq g \cdot \partial D \subseteq \partial N'$ and $p_i \in \tau_i$, and we denote by $\hat{\tau}_i$ the unique top-dimensional simplex of Ω containing τ_i . We also write $\mathcal{V}_i := \{\sigma \in \Omega^m \mid \sigma \cap \mathcal{A}_i \neq \emptyset\}$. Clearly, $\hat{\tau}_i \in \mathcal{V}_i$.

Observation 4.10. *The graph spanned by \mathcal{V}_i in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ is connected.*

Proof. Pick some arbitrary $\sigma \in \mathcal{V}_i$. By assumption, $M' \subseteq N$ and therefore $\sigma_i \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}_i$. By (\diamond) there is a smooth arc α inside $\mathring{\mathcal{A}}_i$ which connects σ with a point in the interior of $\hat{\tau}_i$, while avoiding $\Omega^{(m-2)}$ (take α to be in general position with respect to the simplices of $\Omega^{(m-2)}$). The arc α determines a combinatorial path in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ between σ and $\hat{\tau}_i$ entirely contained in \mathcal{V}_i . \blacksquare

We construct sets $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ as follows. Assuming $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{i-1}$ are already given, we take \mathcal{D}_i to be the set of vertices in the connected component of $\mathcal{V}_i \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{D}_l$ that contains $\hat{\tau}_i$ (we are referring to the graph induced on \mathcal{V}_i on $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$). Notice this set is non-empty by bound (c) on δ .

Observation 4.11. *For $1 \leq i \leq r$ let \mathfrak{X}_i be the collection consisting of every $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ which is the set of vertices in one of the connected components of $\mathcal{V}_i \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{D}_l$ not contained in \mathcal{D}_i . Then for any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$ there is an edge in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ between some $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ and some $\tau' \in \mathcal{D}_j$ such that $j < i$ and $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset$ (in particular, $\mathfrak{X}_1 = \emptyset$).*




FIGURE 5. The sets \mathcal{D}_1 (yellow) and \mathcal{D}_2 (blue), with the two simplices $\hat{\tau}_1, \hat{\tau}_2 \in \Omega^m$ distinguished by their darker color. The simplex $\tau \in \Omega^m$ is contained in $\sigma_2 \in \Upsilon^m$, however it belongs to \mathcal{E}_1 rather than \mathcal{E}_2 at the end of the construction. We have also drawn the simplices $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Upsilon^m$ as two large triangles with curved edges (dark red). Notice that here $\sigma_1 = \sigma_3$ but $\sigma_2 = \sigma_i$ only if $i = 2$.

Proof. By Observation 4.10, there is a path in the graph spanned by \mathcal{V}_i in $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$ connecting \mathcal{C} to $\hat{\tau}_i$. By construction, for some $1 \leq j < i$ such path must intersect the set $\mathcal{D}_j \cap \mathcal{V}_i$, since otherwise σ would have been included in \mathcal{D}_i to begin with. Finally, bound (b) for δ ensures that $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset$. ■

For any $2 \leq i \leq r$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$ we choose some $1 \leq j < i$ satisfying the conclusions of Observation 4.11 and denote it by $\lambda(\mathcal{C})$. The set $\mathcal{E}_j := \mathcal{D}_j \cup \bigcup_{\lambda(\mathcal{C})=j} \mathcal{C}$ spans a connected subgraph of $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$. As Γ_j we take any maximal subtree of said subgraph. Clearly in case (ii) of the statement of the lemma we have

$$L \cup K \subseteq |\Upsilon| \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^r \mathcal{E}_j \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^r |\Gamma_j| = |\mathcal{F}|,$$

and, similarly, $M = |\mathcal{F}|$ in case (i).

For any $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{X}_i$ with $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = j$ we have $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i \subseteq \mathbf{N}_\delta(\sigma_i)$ and $\text{diam}(\sigma_i) < \epsilon$, from which it follows that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{C} \cup \sigma_i) < \epsilon + 2\delta$. We also know that $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j \neq \emptyset$, by Observation 4.11. Since \mathcal{E} is the union of a set contained in \mathcal{V}_j and sets of the form \mathcal{C} with $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = j$, and since $\delta < \epsilon$ we conclude:

$$\text{diam}(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_j} \sigma) < \text{diam}(\sigma_j) + 2 \max\{\delta, \epsilon + 2\delta\} < 7\epsilon. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $\Delta = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{F})$, where \mathcal{F} is given by Lemma 4.9. Denote by U_0 the connected component of $M \setminus |\Delta|$ containing $g \cdot D$.

Lemma 4.12. *For any neighbourhood W of $|\Delta|$ there exists some $h \in \mathcal{W}_{7\epsilon}$ such that $h \cdot (U_0 \setminus W) \subseteq g \cdot D$.*

Proof. From Fact 1.16 we get some isotopy G of N' in itself with $G_{-1} = Id_{N'}$ and supported on the complement some neighbourhood V of $|\mathrm{Top}(\mathcal{F})|$ with $V \subseteq W$ and such that $G_1(|\mathcal{F}|) \subseteq W$. Since $\mathrm{diam}(|\Gamma_i|) < 7\epsilon$ for all i , the covering diffeotopy G provided by Lemma 1.7 can also be taken to be supported on a disconnected union of open sets of diameter less than 7ϵ , from which it follows that $h := G_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{W}_{7\epsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$. We now have $h \cdot (N' \setminus g \cdot D) = h \cdot |\mathcal{F}| \subseteq W$, and so we are done. \blacksquare

In order to verify $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, pick some arbitrary $h \in \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$. Lemma 1.8 provides some $g_0 \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$ with the property that $g_0 h$ equals \tilde{G}_1 for some compactly supported diffeotopy \tilde{G} of Id_M such that $\mathrm{supp}(\tilde{G}) \cap W = \emptyset$ for some neighbourhood W of Δ , while Lemma 4.12 yields $g_1 \in \mathcal{V}_0$ such that $g_1 \cdot (U_0 \setminus W) \subseteq g \cdot D$. We can now write $(g_0 h)^{g_1^{-1}} = h_0 h_1$, where $h_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ is diffeotopic to the identity through a diffeotopy supported on $g \cdot D$ and

- in scenario (i) $h_1 = Id$ (since here $M = |\mathcal{F}|$),
- in scenario (ii) $h_1 \in \mathfrak{H}$ is supported outside of K .⁸

This also implies that h_0^g is diffeotopic to the identity through a diffeotopy supported on D . Since $\mathrm{diam}(D) < \epsilon$, we get $h_0^g \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$, and thus $h_0 \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon^{g^{-1}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0^3$. On the other hand, $h_1 \in \mathcal{V}_0$ since it is either trivial or satisfies $\mathrm{supp}(h_1) \cap K = \emptyset$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.8, since we can write

$$h = g_0^{-1} h_0^{g_1} h_1^{g_1} \in \mathcal{V}_0^9 \subseteq \mathcal{V}.$$

□

Corollary 4.13. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.8, for any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$, any open $U \subseteq M$ and any compact submanifold $L \subseteq M$, there exists some embedded simplicial complex Δ such that:*

- $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$,
- $\Delta \overline{\cap} L$,
- and $\Delta^{(0)} \subseteq U$.

Proof. On the one hand, for any finite set \mathcal{F} of points, any m -ball D in M and any $\mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ there is, by Lemma 4.8, some finite embedded simplicial complex Δ such that $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{F} \cup B \subseteq \overline{U}_0$ for some connected component U_0 of $M \setminus |\Delta|$. For $\epsilon > 0$ small enough $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and there exists some $g_0 \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$ such that $g_0 \cdot \mathcal{F} \subseteq U_0$. One can also find $g_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ such that $g_1 \cdot (g_0 \cdot \mathcal{F}) \subseteq B$, by Fact 1.11.

On the other hand, for $L \subseteq M$ as above, any finite embedded simplicial complex Δ and any finite collection of points \mathcal{F} contained in some open set U there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $g \cdot \mathcal{F} \subseteq U$ for any $g \in \mathcal{V}_\delta$. By Lemma 1.24 for any $\delta > 0$ there is $g \in \mathcal{W}_\delta$ such that $L \overline{\cap} g \cdot \Delta$. The result easily follows from all of the above and Lemma 4.8 using the continuity of multiplication in \mathfrak{t} .

□

5. ROTATING CUBES AND THE DENSITY OF ARC COMPRESSIONS

In this section we will work in the setting (Df) of 4.1, and we will furthermore assume that the group topology \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{G} is strictly coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} , so that Corollary 4.13 applies.

⁸In fact, also diffeotopic to the identity through a diffeotopy compactly supported on $M \setminus \mathring{U}_0$.

Definition 5.1. Given embedded closed subset D of M and $\eta > 0$ we write $\mathcal{C}_D(\eta)$ for the group of elements in \mathfrak{S} diffeotopic to the identity through a diffeotopy compactly supported on $N_\eta(D)$. Let \mathfrak{t} be a group topology on \mathfrak{G} . We say that \mathfrak{t} is compressive if for any embedded k -disk C in M , $k \leq m-1$, and any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ there is some $\eta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{C}_\eta(C) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. If the former is true for $k=0$ we say that arc compressions are dense around the identity in \mathfrak{t} .

The following easily follows from the continuity of multiplication.

Observation 5.2. If arc compressions are dense around the identity in \mathfrak{t} (with the usual assumptions), then \mathfrak{t} is intrusive.

Let $Q := I^m$. We consider the CW-structure on Q (which can be refined to a simplicial structure) in which the cells are the sets of the form $\{\underline{x} \mid x_{i_1} = \epsilon_1, x_{i_2} = \epsilon_2, \dots, x_{i_r} = \epsilon_r\}$, where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq m$ and $\epsilon_l \in \{\pm 1\}$. We suspect that the arguments below are well-known, but we have been unable to find a reference.

Lemma 5.3. Let $f_i : Q \rightarrow I$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ be functions such that

$$f_i(I^{i-1} \times \{\epsilon\} \times I^{m-i}) = \{\epsilon\}$$

for $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then there is $\underline{x} \in Q$ such that $f_i(\underline{x}) = 0$ for all i .

Proof. Assume the conclusion fails for some tuple $(f_i)_{i=0}^m$ as in the hypotheses. Consider the function $f : Q \rightarrow Q$ given by $f(q) := (f_i(q))_{i=1}^m$ and let E be a small open ball around $\underline{0}$ such that $\text{im}(f) \cap E = \emptyset$. By assumption, f is the identity on $Q^{(0)}$ and preserves each of the faces of Q . We construct by induction on $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ some homotopy $F^k : Q^{(k)} \times I \rightarrow Q^{(k)}$ such that:

- $F_{-1}^k = f|_{Q^{(k)}}$,
- $F_1^k = \text{Id}_{Q^{(k)}}$,
- F^k preserves each of the faces of $Q^{(k)}$ setwise at every point in time.

As F^0 we can simply take $\text{Id}_{Q^{(0)}} \times \text{Id}_I$. Assume now F^k is given for some $0 \leq k \leq m-2$. By the homotopy extension property applied to $(E, \partial E)$ for each of the $(k+1)$ dimensional faces E of Q , there is some homotopy $\tilde{F}^k : Q^{(k+1)} \times I \rightarrow Q^{(k+1)}$ such that:

- $\tilde{F}_{-1}^k = f|_{Q^{(k+1)}}$,
- $\tilde{F}_{\uparrow Q^{(k)}}^k \times I = F^k$,
- and \tilde{F}^k preserves each of the $(k+1)$ -dimensional faces of Q setwise at every point in time.

A homotopy $G^{k+1} : Q^{(k+1)} \times I \rightarrow Q^{(k+1)}$ from \tilde{F}_1^k to $\text{Id}_{Q^{(k+1)}}$ is easily seen to exist (for instance, using convex combinations) and we can take as F^{k+1} the concatenation of \tilde{F}^k and G^{k+1} .

Let E be a small collared ball around $\underline{0}$ such that $f(Q) \cap E = \emptyset$. A final application to the homotopy extension property to the pair $(Q \setminus E, \partial Q)$ the starting map f and the homotopy F^{m-1} , yields $f' : Q \rightarrow Q \setminus E$ such that $f'|_{Q^{(m-1)}} = \text{Id}_{Q^{(m-1)}}$. This is impossible, since for any retraction $r : Q \setminus E \rightarrow \partial Q = Q^{(m-1)}$ the map $r \circ f'$ would then witness of the triviality of the $(m-1)$ -th homology group of S^{m-1} . A contradiction. \square

One can endow Q with a smooth structure that makes it diffeomorphic to \overline{B}^m , in such a way that any homeomorphism of Q given by coordinate permutation is

also a diffeomorphism. By a smoothed cube we mean an embedding $\phi : Q \rightarrow M$ which is a diffeomorphism with respect to this structure. We will refer to the images of the faces of Q as the faces of ϕ or $\phi(D)$. In particular, we will write $\mathcal{F}_i^{\pm 1}(\phi) := \phi(I^{i-1} \times \{\pm 1\} \times I^{m-i})$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\phi : Q \cong D \subseteq M$ be a smoothed m -cube in M . Suppose that Δ is a finite embedded $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex in general position with respect to ∂D . Then at least one of the following holds:*

- (a) $D \cap \Delta^{(0)} \neq \emptyset$,
- (b) there exists some $1 \leq i \leq m$ and some component W of $D \setminus |\Delta|$ with

$$\mathcal{F}_i^1(\phi) \cap \overline{W} \neq \emptyset, \quad \mathcal{F}_i^{-1}(\phi) \cap \overline{W} \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Write $\mathcal{F}_i^\nu := \mathcal{F}_i^\nu(\phi)$. Assume for the sake of contradiction neither (a) nor (b) holds. Let U_i be the interior in D of the union of \overline{W} of all the connected components W of $D \setminus |\Delta|$ such that $\mathcal{F}_i^{-1} \cap \overline{W} \neq \emptyset$. On the one hand, $\mathcal{F}_i^{-1} \subseteq U_i$, since $D \setminus |\Delta|$ can have only finitely many connected components (this is true locally at every point in D , where for the points in ∂D we use the transversality assumption). On the other hand, the negation of (b) implies that $\overline{U}_i \cap \mathcal{F}_i^1 = \emptyset$ for all i . Clearly, the frontier B_i of U_i in D is contained in $|\Delta|$.

By applying the strong version of Urysohn's lemma ([21], Ex 33.5) to \overline{U}_i and $\overline{D \setminus U_i}$, one obtains continuous functions $f_i : D \rightarrow I$ such that $f_i(\mathcal{F}_i^\lambda) = \{\lambda\}$ for $\lambda \in \{1, -1\}$, and

$$(\spadesuit) \quad B_i = f_i^{-1}(0) = \overline{f_i^{-1}([-1, 0])} \cap \overline{f_i^{-1}((0, 1])}.$$

Lemma 5.3 applied to the map $(f_i \circ \phi)_{i=1}^m : Q \rightarrow Q$ implies $\bigcap_{i=1}^m B_i \neq \emptyset$. We also have the following.

Observation 5.5. *For every simplex $\sigma \in \Delta$ and every connected component \mathcal{C} of $\sigma \cap \overset{\circ}{D}$ either $\mathcal{C} \cap B_i = \emptyset$ or $\mathcal{C} \subseteq B_i$, and thus $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq B_i$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_i^{-1} := \mathcal{C} \cap \overline{f_i^{-1}([-1, 0])}$ and $\mathcal{C}_i^1 := \mathcal{C} \cap \overline{f_i^{-1}((0, 1])}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{C}_i^{\pm 1}$ is closed in \mathcal{C} . We also claim that it is open. Indeed, since B_i is contained in a codimension 1 embedded simplicial complex, any point $p \in \overset{\circ}{D} \cap \mathcal{C}$ admits a neighbourhood V in $\overset{\circ}{D}$ such that $V \setminus B_i$ consists of finitely many components $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_k$ with the property that $\mathcal{C} \cap V \subseteq \mathcal{E}_l$ for any $1 \leq l \leq k$. By construction, the sign of f_i is constant on each \mathcal{E}_l . If $p \in \mathcal{C}_i^\epsilon$, then this sign must be ϵ for at least one value of l , from which it follows that $\mathcal{C} \cap V \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i^\epsilon$. We conclude that for $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ either $\mathcal{C}_i^\epsilon = \mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{C}_i^\epsilon = \emptyset$. If we assume $\mathcal{C} \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$, then by (\spadesuit) we have $\mathcal{C}_i^1, \mathcal{C}_i^{-1} \neq \emptyset$, from which it follows that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \overline{f_i^{-1}([-1, 0])} \cap \overline{f_i^{-1}((0, 1])} = B_i$, as needed. \blacksquare

It follows from the negation of (a), the fact that $\bigcap_{i=1}^m B_i \neq \emptyset$ and the observation above that there must be some positive-dimensional simplex σ of Δ and some connected component \mathcal{C} of $\overset{\circ}{D} \cap \sigma$ such that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^m B_i$. Then necessarily $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ (a path in σ from \mathcal{C} to a vertex of σ eventually hits ∂D). Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \cap (\mathcal{F}_i^1 \cup \mathcal{F}_i^{-1}) \neq \emptyset$ for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, which is impossible, since $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq B_i \subseteq f_i^{-1}(0)$. \square

Given a smooth arc α and an open set U containing α , let $\mathcal{A}_U(\alpha)$ be the collection of arcs β in U such that $\alpha(s) = \beta(s)$ for $s \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Lemma 5.6. *Let α be a smooth arc and U an open set containing α . Then for any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ there exists some smooth arc $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_U(\alpha)$ and some neighbourhood V of β such that $\mathfrak{H}[V] \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. We first claim that for every smoothed m -cube $\phi : Q \rightarrow D$ and every $\mathcal{V}_0 \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ there exists some finite embedded simplicial complex $\Delta \subseteq M$ such that:

- $\partial D \bar{\cap} \Delta$,
- $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$,
- and the closure of some connected component of $D \setminus |\Delta|$ intersects both $\mathcal{F}_1^1(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{F}_1^{-1}(\phi)$.

Write $\mathcal{F}_i^\nu := \mathcal{F}_i^\nu(\phi)$. If the conclusion does not hold, then there exists some $\mathcal{V}_0 \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ with the property that every finite embedded simplicial complex Δ satisfying the first two conditions with respect to \mathcal{V}_0 must fail to satisfy the third.

By Fact 1.11, for $i \geq 2$ there exists $g_i \in \mathcal{G}$ which preserves D setwise and maps \mathcal{F}_i^ν onto \mathcal{F}_1^ν for $\nu \in \{-1, 1\}$. If we let $\mathcal{V}^* := \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \bigcap_{i=2}^m \mathcal{V}_0^{g_i}$, then for every finite embedded simplicial complex Δ satisfying the first two conditions there cannot be any $1 \leq i \leq m$ for which \mathcal{F}_i^1 and \mathcal{F}_i^{-1} both intersect the closure of the same component of $D \setminus |\Delta|$. By Lemma 5.4, we must have $\Delta^0 \cap D \neq \emptyset$, contradicting Corollary 4.13.

To conclude, let α, U and \mathcal{V} be as in the hypotheses of the lemma. Pick $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ with $\mathcal{V}_0^3 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$. One can find some smoothed m -cube with image $D \subseteq U$ and embedded balls C_{-1}, C_1 of diameter less than ϵ such that:

- $\alpha \subseteq D \subseteq U$,
- $\alpha(\nu) \in \mathcal{F}_1^\nu$ for $\nu \in \{-1, 1\}$,
- $\mathcal{F}_1^\nu \subseteq C_\nu \subseteq U$ for $\nu \in \{-1, 1\}$,

The discussion at the beginning of the proof implies the existence of an arc $\beta : I \rightarrow D$ with $\beta(\epsilon) \in C_\epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and some neighbourhood V of β such that $\mathfrak{H}[V] \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$. Conjugating $\mathfrak{H}[V]$ by h^{-1} for a suitable element $h \in \mathcal{V}_0$ supported on U and satisfying $h\beta(\epsilon) = \alpha(\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ yields the desired result. \square

Corollary 5.7. *If $m \neq 3$, then arc compressions are dense in any Hausdorff group topology \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{G} with $\mathfrak{t} \subsetneq \mathfrak{t}_{co}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ and choose $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_0^7 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Let α be an arc in M . Lemma 4.13 implies that there exists some finite embedded $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex Δ with $\alpha \bar{\cap} \Delta$, does not contain its endpoints and satisfies $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$. The intersection of α with Δ consists of finitely many points p_1, \dots, p_r , traversed in that order by α , where $p(t_i) = p_i$. Extend this notation by letting $t_0 = -1$ and $t_{r+1} = 1$. Condition $\alpha \bar{\cap} \Delta$ and the inverse function theorem implies the existence of a neighbourhood U of α and a diffeomorphism $\phi : U \cong (0, 1) \times B^{m-1}$ exists mapping α onto the set $(0, 1) \times \{\underline{0}\}$ and $U \cap |\Delta^{(m-1)}| = \emptyset$ to the union of the sets $\{t_i\} \times B^{m-1}$.

We claim that there is an arc $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_U(\alpha)$ intersecting Δ only at the points p_1, \dots, p_r , in that order, and some element $h \in \mathcal{V}_0$ mapping an initial subarc of β in $U \setminus |\Delta|$ onto β . Take $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_1^{2r} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$ and let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{V}_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{V}_1$ and $2\delta < \min_{0 \leq i \leq r-1} d(p_i, p_{i+1})$. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$ let $q_i = \alpha(s_i), q'_i = \alpha(s'_i)$, where $s_i \in (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ and $s'_i \in (t_i, t_{i+1})$ and q_i, p_i, q'_i are in

the interior of an m -ball of diameter less than δ . By Lemma 5.6 there are arcs γ_i , $0 \leq i \leq r$ in $U \setminus |\Delta|$, where

- γ_0 joins p_0 to q_1 ,
- γ_i joins q'_i to q_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq r$,
- and γ_r joins q'_r to p_{r+1} ,

as well as neighbourhoods W_i of γ_i such that $\mathfrak{H}[W_i] \subseteq \mathcal{V}_1$. Using Fact 1.11 one easily finds $h_0 \in \mathfrak{H}[W_0]$ mapping an initial subarc of γ_0 onto γ_0 , then $h'_0 \in \mathcal{V}_\delta$ mapping γ_0 onto some arc from p_0 to q_1 of the form $\gamma_0 * \delta_0$, where δ_0 is a sub-arc of α with p_0 in its interior. Similarly, we can find $h_1 \in \mathfrak{H}[W_1]$ such that the image of $h'_0 h_0 \cdot \gamma_0$ is of the form $\gamma_0 * \delta_0 * \gamma_1$ and so forth. If we let

$$\beta := \gamma_0 * \delta_0 * \gamma_1 * \delta_1 \cdots \gamma_{r+1}, \quad h := h_{r+1} h'_r h_r \cdots h'_0 h_0 \in \mathcal{V}_1^{2r} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0,$$

then h will map an initial subsegment of β onto β .

To conclude, we use the assumption $\dim(M) \neq 3$ to find some $g \in \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$ fixing the endpoints of α such that $\beta = g \cdot \alpha$. Then the element h^g maps some initial subarc of α disjoint from Δ onto α . We have

$$(h^g \cdot \Delta) \cap \alpha = (g^{-1} h \cdot \Delta) \cap \alpha = g^{-1} h \cdot (\Delta \cap (g \cdot \alpha)) = g^{-1} h \cdot (\Delta \cap h^{-1} \cdot \beta) = \emptyset.$$

And we are done, since for some neighbourhood W of α we have

$$\mathfrak{H}[W] \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}^{(h^g)^{-1}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0^7 \subseteq \mathcal{V}.$$

□

6. COMPLETING THE PROOF OF THEOREM C

In this section we keep working with $M, \mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{t}$ as in case (Df) of Notation 4.1. We start the section with a lemma that allows us to ignore the lower-dimensional skeleton of embedded simplicial complexes.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $C \subseteq M$ be an m -ball and $\Delta \subseteq M$ a finite embedded simplicial complex of dimension $(m-1)$. If \mathfrak{t} is compressive and small deformations are close to the identity in \mathfrak{t} , then for any $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ there exists some $g \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $g \cdot |\Delta^{(m-2)}| \cap C = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Pick some m -ball $D \subseteq \mathring{C}$ such that $D \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ and some m -ball C' such that $D \subseteq C \subseteq U$, where $U := \mathring{C}'$. Then there exists

$$\phi : U \setminus D \cong S^{m-1} \times (0, \infty)$$

mapping $C \setminus D$ onto $S^{m-1} \times (0, 1]$. We may assume every simplex in $\Delta^{(m-2)}$ is the face of some $(m-2)$ -simplex and, after subdividing Δ , also that any simplex in Δ^{m-2} is either contained in U or in $M \setminus C$. Write

$$\Theta := \{ \sigma \in \Delta^{m-2} \mid \sigma \subseteq U \}$$

We will use the term U -lift to refer to the extension by the identity on $D \cup (M \setminus U)$ of some element $h \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(U \setminus D)$ with the property that for all $p \in S^{m-1} \times (0, \infty)$ the push-forward \hat{h} of h by ϕ to $S^{m-1} \times (0, \infty)$ satisfies

$$\pi_{(0, \infty)}(\hat{h}(p)) \geq \pi_{(0, \infty)}(p), \quad \pi_{S^{m-1}}(\hat{h}(p)) = \pi_{S^{m-1}}(p)$$

for all $p \in S^{m-1} \times (0, \infty)$. Notice that any U -lift h satisfies $C \cap h(M \setminus C) = \emptyset$ and $D \cap h(M \setminus D) = \emptyset$.

Let $\{\sigma_k\}_{k=1}^r$ be an enumeration of Θ and pick $\mathcal{V}_0 \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_0^{2r} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. For every $1 \leq k \leq r$ let $\iota_k : B^{m-2} \rightarrow U$ be some smooth embedding such that $\sigma_k = \iota_k(\tau_k)$ for some affine simplex $\tau_k \subseteq B^{m-2}$.

For $1 \leq k \leq r$ we inductively choose some $g'_k \in \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \mathcal{V}_0$ supported on $U \setminus D$ and then a U -lift $g_k \in \mathcal{V}_0$ in such a way that if we write

$$\bar{g}_0 := 1, \quad \bar{g}_k := g_k g'_k \cdots g_1 g'_1, \quad \iota_{k,l} := g'_k \bar{g}_{k-1} \circ \iota_l : B^{m-2} \rightarrow M,$$

then $\overline{\text{im}(g_k \circ \iota_l)} \cap C = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq l \leq k \leq m$. Clearly, the element $g := \bar{g}_r \in \mathcal{V}_0^{2r} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ will satisfy $C \cap g \cdot |\Delta^{(m-2)}| = \emptyset$. Suppose $g'_1, g_1 \dots g'_{k-1}, g_{k-1}$ have already been chosen.

Assume that g'_l, g_l have already been constructed for $1 \leq l \leq k-1$. Let ϵ be such that $\mathcal{W}_\epsilon \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$. Corollary 1.32 allows us to find for any $\eta \in (0, \epsilon)$ some $g'_k \in \mathcal{W}_\eta$ supported on $U \setminus D$ such that there is a filtration

$$L_0 = B^{m-2} \supseteq L_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq L_n \supseteq L_{n+1} = \emptyset,$$

where L_{l+1} is a closed submanifold of L_l and $\pi_{S^{m-2}} \circ \phi \circ \iota_{k,k}$ restricts to an immersion on $L_l \setminus L_{l+1}$. Clearly, by choosing η small enough we might also assume that $\overline{\text{im}(\iota_{k,l})} \cap C = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq l < k$. It remains to find a U -lift g_k such that $\overline{\text{im}(g_k \circ \iota_{k,k})} \cap C = \emptyset$.

We start by noting the following easy consequence of the compressiveness of t .

Observation 6.2. *Assume that $F \subseteq U \setminus D$ is a compact set for which*

$$(\pi_{S^{m-1}} \circ \phi)(F) \subseteq S^{m-1}$$

is contained in an embedded d -disk in S^{m-1} , $d < m-1$. Then for every $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ there exists some U -lift g such that $g \cdot F \cap C = \emptyset$.

In order to construct g_k , choose in advance some sequence $(\mathcal{V}_l)_{l \geq 1}$, $\mathcal{V}_l = \mathcal{V}_l^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$, with the property that $\mathcal{V}_l^2 \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{l-1}$ for all $l \geq 1$. Additionally, for $l \geq 1$ and $j \geq 2$ choose $\mathcal{V}_{l,j} = \mathcal{V}_{l,j}^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ such that $(\mathcal{V}_{l,j})^j \subseteq \mathcal{V}_l$.

We will construct by induction on l a sequence of U -lifts $h_l \in \mathcal{V}_{n-l+1}$ supported on $U \setminus D$ with the property that if we let $\tilde{h}_l := h_l \cdots h_0$, then $\overline{(\tilde{h}_l \circ \iota'_k)(V_l)} \cap C = \emptyset$ for some neighbourhood V_l of $\tau_k \cap L_{n-l}$. At the end we may set $g_k := h_n \cdots h_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$ (obviously, a composition of U -lifts is again a lift). Write $V_{-1} = \emptyset$ and assume that we have already constructed $h_{l'}$ for $0 \leq l' < l$. Since $(L_{n-l} \cap \tau_k) \setminus V_{l-1}$ is compact, it can be covered by a collection $\{D_{l,s}\}_{1 \leq s \leq r_l}$ of finitely many closed $\dim(L_{n-l})$ -balls in L_{n-l} .⁹ We choose lifts $h_{l,s}$ successively for $1 \leq s \leq r_l$. Given s , the map

$$\pi_{S^{m-1}} \circ \phi \circ h_{l,s-1} \cdots h_{l,0} \tilde{h}_{l-1} \circ \iota_{\uparrow D_{l,s}} = \pi_{S^{m-1}} \circ \phi \circ \iota_{\uparrow D_{l,s}} : D_{l,s} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$$

is a smooth embedding, where the equality holds because all the $h_{l,t}$ in the expression are U -lifts. Observation 6.2 provides some U -lift $h_{l,s} \in \mathcal{V}_{m-l+1, r_l}$ supported on U^* such that

$$(h_{l,s} \cdots h_{l,0} \tilde{h}_{l-1} \circ \iota_k)(D_{l,s}) \cap C = \emptyset.$$

If we write $h_l := h_{l, r_l} \cdots h_{l,0}$, then we have $\tilde{h}_l \cdot (L_{n-l} \cap \tau_k) \cap C$ and the existence of V_l follows by continuity. □

⁹As a matter of fact $\dim(L_l) = m - 2(l + 1)$, see [3].

Lemma 6.3. *For any finite $(m-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex Δ in M and any $\epsilon > 0$ we have that $\mathfrak{H}_\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$ can be replaced by \mathfrak{H}_Δ in the conclusion of in Corollary 4.13 (by the continuity of multiplication).*

Proof. Let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be an enumeration of Δ^{m-1} . For $1 \leq l \leq 2$ choose collections of disjoint embedded $(m-1)$ -balls $\{D_i^l\}_{i=1}^r$, so that if we write $N_l := \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathring{D}_i^l$, then

- $D_i^1 \subseteq \mathring{D}_i^2 \subseteq D_i^2 \subseteq \sigma_i \setminus \partial\sigma_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$,
- $|\Delta| \subseteq N_1 \cup U$ (and thus $N_2 \setminus N_1 \subseteq U$).

Take some (trivial) relatively compact tubular neighbourhood

$$\xi : E := N_2 \times (-1, 4) \rightarrow M,$$

where $\pi : E \rightarrow N_2$ is the obvious projection, such that

- (1) $\xi(\pi^{-1}(N_2)) \cap |\Delta| = \xi(N_2 \times \{0\})$,
- (2) for any $p \in N_2$ we have $\text{diam}(\xi(\{p\} \times (-1, 4))) < \epsilon$.

Let $f : N_2 \rightarrow [0, 2]$ some smooth map such that $f|_{N_1} = 2$, $f|_V = 0$ in the complement of some compact subset of N_2 . It follows from (2) that one can find h_1 diffeotopic to the identity by a fiber preserving diffeotopy compactly supported on $\text{im}(\xi)$ and such that $\phi \circ h_1 \circ \phi_{\uparrow N_2 \times \{0\}}^{-1}$ maps $(\underline{x}, 0)$ to $(\underline{x}, f(\underline{x}))$. Note that, in particular, $h_1 \in \mathcal{W}_\epsilon$.

Fix also some smooth function $\rho : (-1, 4) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\rho(2) = 1$ and $\rho(s) = 0$ outside of $(1, 3)$ and consider the map $\hat{H} : E \times I \rightarrow I$ defined by

$$\hat{H}((p, s), t) := (G(p, (t+1)\rho(s) - 1), s).$$

One can check that \hat{H} is a compactly supported diffeotopy of Id_E . We denote by H the compactly supported diffeotopy of Id_M obtained by extending $(\xi \circ \hat{H}_t \circ \xi^{-1})_{t \in I}$ by the identity. For any $p \in N_2$ and $t \in I$ we have

$$(i) \quad H_t(p) = \xi(\hat{H}_t(p, 0)) = \xi(G(p, -(t+1)0 - 1), 0) = \xi(G(p, -1), 0) = \xi(p, 0) = p.$$

For $p \in N_1$ and $t \in I$ we also have:

$$(ii) \quad \begin{aligned} h_1^{-1} H_t h_1(p) &= h_1^{-1} \circ \xi(\hat{H}_t(p, 2)) = h_1^{-1} \circ \xi(G(p, (t+1)\rho(2) - 1), 2) = \\ &= h_1^{-1} \circ \xi(G_t(p), 2) = \xi(G_t(p), 0) = G_t(p) \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, since $\text{supp}(G) \subseteq N_1$ and h_1 preserve fibers, we conclude that

$$(iii) \quad \text{supp}(H), \text{supp}(h_1^{-1} H_t h_1)_{t \in I} \subseteq \xi(\pi^{-1}(N_1)),$$

One deduces from (1), (i) and (iii) that H is supported in the complement of the union of $|\Delta|$ and some neighbourhood of $|\Delta^{(m-2)}|$. It follows that $h_2 := H_1$ belongs to $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$. Consider now the map $G^* := M \times I \rightarrow M$ given by $G_t^* := G_t^{-1} h_1^{-1} H_t h_1$. This is a compactly supported diffeotopy from Id_M to $g^{-1} h_1^{-1} h_2 h_1$. We also have:

- $\text{supp}(G^*)$ is disjoint from $V = U \cap |\Delta|$,
- and from (ii) we deduce that $(G_t)_{\uparrow N_1} = Id_{N_1}$ for all $t \in I$, so that it is the identity on $|\Delta|$, by the previous bullet point.

Therefore, G^* witnesses $g^{-1} h_2^{h_1} \in \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|}$, which implies that

$$g \in h_2^{h_1} \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq (\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|})^{h_1} \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon \mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|},$$

settling the first assertion of the lemma. The second one follows by the continuity of multiplication. \square

Proposition 6.4. *Assume that the group topology \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{G} satisfies:*

- (1) *arc compressions are dense around the identity in \mathfrak{t} (Definition 5.1),*
- (2) *one of the following holds:*
 - $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{t}_{c_0}$,
 - *or M is compact and small deformations are close to the identity in \mathfrak{t} (Definition 1.3).*

Then for any m -ball D in M and any $g \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}(\mathring{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{c_0}(M)$ (where the identification is by extension by the identity outside of D) we have $g \in \mathcal{V}$ for all $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$.

Proof. Note that Corollary 4.13 applies (by Observation 5.2). We will use its conclusion several times. We prove the result by induction on m , starting from the base case $m = 1$, for which the desired conclusion is just a reformulation of the assumption that arc compressions are dense. Suppose now the result is true for any $1 \leq k < m$. We start with the following sublemma.

Lemma 6.5. *Under the assumptions of 6.4 compressions are dense around the identity in \mathfrak{t} .*

Proof. Choose any embedded $(m - 1)$ -ball D in M and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ and let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ satisfy $\mathcal{W}^3 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Using Whitney's extension theorem and the existence of tubular neighbourhoods one can easily construct an embedding $\phi : S^{m-1} \rightarrow M$ extending D . By the existence of tubular neighbourhoods and the simple-connectedness of S^{m-1} , there is a smooth embedding $\xi : S^{m-1} \times I \rightarrow M$ such that $\xi|_{S^{m-1} \times \{0\}} = (\phi \circ \pi_{S^{m-1}})|_{S^{m-1} \times \{0\}}$. Consider the collection $\mathcal{N}^* := \{\mathcal{V}^*\}_{\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)}$ of subsets of $\mathcal{D}_{c_0}(S^{m-1})$, where for $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ we define

$$\mathcal{V}^* := \{g \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}(S^{m-1}) \mid \exists \hat{g} \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{D}_{c_0}^{\{\phi(S^{m-1})\}}(M) \quad \phi^{-1} \circ \hat{g} \circ \phi = g\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{t}^* be the unique topology on $\mathcal{D}_{c_0}(S^{m-1})$ in which a system of neighbourhoods of h is given by the translate $h\mathcal{N}^*$.

Lemma 6.6. *\mathfrak{t}^* is a group topology in which small deformations are close to the identity and in which arc compressions are dense around the identity.*

Proof. For all $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ we have $(\mathcal{V}_0 \cap \mathcal{V}_1)^* \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0^* \cap \mathcal{V}_1^*$, which implies that \mathcal{N}^* generates a filter. Similarly, $(\mathcal{V}^{-1})^* = (\mathcal{V}^*)^{-1}$ for all \mathcal{V} , so continuity of the inverse map at the identity in \mathfrak{t}^* follows from the same property for \mathfrak{t} . It is also clear that $\mathcal{V}_0^* \mathcal{V}_1^* \subseteq (\mathcal{V}_0 \mathcal{V}_1)^*$, so that, likewise, continuity of multiplication at the identity follows from the same property for \mathfrak{t} . Finally, notice that \mathcal{N}^* is invariant under conjugation by elements from $\mathcal{D}_{c_0}(S^{m-1})$. Indeed, any element $g \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}(S^{m-1})$ extends via ϕ to an element $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{D}_{c_0}(M)$ (Lemma 1.7), and given $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$, it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{V}^*)^g = (\mathcal{V}^{\tilde{g}})^* \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$, from which invariance of \mathcal{N}^* under conjugation follows.

The above discussion shows that \mathfrak{t}^* is a group topology. That small deformations are close to the identity in \mathfrak{t}^* follows from the assumptions \mathfrak{t} satisfies this property, together with Observation 1.19, the density of arc compressions around the identity in \mathfrak{t}^* follows from the fact that \mathfrak{t} satisfies the property, together with Lemma 1.7. \blacksquare

Given $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ with $\mathcal{W}^3 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, Corollary 4.13 implies that we can find some finite embedded simplicial complex Δ in M such that $\mathfrak{H}_{|\Delta|} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ and $\phi(S^{m-1}) \bar{\cap} \Delta$. The last condition implies $|\Delta| \cap S^{m-1}$ avoids a small $(m - 1)$ -ball E in S^{m-1} , so for any embedded $(m - 1)$ -ball E' in S^{m-1} with $\phi^{-1}(E) \subseteq \mathring{E}'$ there

is $\hat{h} \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(E') \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{c0}(S^{m-1})$, such that $\hat{h} \cdot \phi^{-1}(\Delta) \cap \phi^{-1}(E) = \emptyset$. The inductive assumption that Proposition 6.4 holds in all dimensions less than m , together with Lemma 6.6, implies that $\hat{h} \in \mathcal{W}^*$, which yields an extension $h \in \mathcal{W}$ for which $h \cdot |\Delta| \cap E = \emptyset$. This then implies the existence of $\eta > 0$ such that:

$$\mathcal{C}_\eta(E) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{h \cdot \Delta} = \mathfrak{H}_\Delta^{h^{-1}} \subseteq \mathcal{W}^3 \subseteq \mathcal{V}.$$

■

We will derive the conclusion of the proposition from Lemma 6.6. Fix m -balls D, D' in M , $D \subseteq \mathring{D}'$ in M and $g \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(\mathring{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ (extending by the identity outside of D).

Let $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ and choose $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ with $\mathcal{V}_0^{15} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. Let also $\delta > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{W}_\delta \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$. Let Δ_0 be a finite embedded simplicial complex with $\mathfrak{H}_{\Delta_0} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$, as given by Lemmas 4.8 and 6.3. By Lemma 6.1 there is $h_0 \in \mathcal{V}_0$ such that $h_0 \cdot |\Delta_0^{(m-2)}| \cap D' = \emptyset$. Lemma 1.24 implies that for any $\delta > 0$ there is $h_1 \in \mathcal{W}_\delta$ such that $\Delta := h_1 h_0 \cdot \Delta_0$ satisfies the previous property and, additionally, $\partial D' \bar{\cap} \Delta$. By using Lemma 1.24 and the existence of tubular neighbourhoods, as in the proof of Lemma 6.3, for any $\delta > 0$ one finds $h_2 \in \mathcal{W}_\delta$ such that $\Theta := h_2 \cdot \Delta$ is still transverse to $\partial D'$ and also satisfies $|\Theta| \cap |\Delta| \cap D = \emptyset$. We have

$$\mathfrak{H}_\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0^5, \quad \mathfrak{H}_\Theta \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0^7.$$

If we let $U := \mathring{D}$, then $L := |\Delta| \cap U$ and $N := |\Theta| \cap U$ are disjoint closed submanifolds of U . By assumption, $g|_U \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}(U)$. Therefore, by corollaries 2.10 and 3.17 there is $g_0 \in \mathcal{W}_\delta$ supported on some compact subset of U and a compactly supported diffeotopy G from Id_M to $g_0 g$ of the form $G = G^c * G^d$, where

- $G^d|_{N \times I}$ is L -compatible and non-destructive, with r_c -many tangency points,
- and $H := G^c|_{N \times I}$ is L -compatible and purely destructive, with r_d -many tangency points.

Pick $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}_t(1)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_1^{\max\{r', r''\}} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0$. Let $g_c := G^c$ and consider the compactly supported diffeotopy $\tilde{G} := (G^d g^{-1} g_0^{-1})_t$ from Id_M to $g_c g^{-1} g_0^{-1}$. Notice that $\tilde{G}|_{g_0 g(N)} = H^{op}$, which is non-destructive. The conclusion of Lemma 6.5 allows us to apply Corollary 3.17 with

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}^{-1} := \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_{c0}(U)$$

(with $\mathcal{D}_{c0}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{c0}(M)$ as above) to both G^c and \tilde{G} . We obtain decompositions:

$$g_c = g_L h_{r_c} h_{r_c-1} \cdots h_1 g_N, \quad g_c g^{-1} g_0^{-1} = \tilde{g}_L \tilde{h}_{r_d} \cdots \tilde{h}_1 \tilde{g}_N,$$

where $g_L, \tilde{g}_L \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L\}}(U)$, $g_N \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{N\}}(U)$, $\tilde{g}_N \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{g_0 g(N)\}}(U)$ and $h_i, \tilde{h}_j \in \mathcal{X}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_c$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_d$. Writing $\hat{g}_N := \tilde{g}_N^{g_0 g}$, we then have $\hat{g}_N \in \mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{N\}}(M)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 g &= \tilde{g}_N g_0 g \hat{g}_N^{-1} = \tilde{g}_N (g_c g^{-1} g_0^{-1})^{-1} g_c \hat{g}_N = \\ &= \tilde{h}_1^{-1} \cdots \tilde{h}_{r_d}^{-1} \tilde{g}_L^{-1} g_L h_{r_c} \cdots h_1 g_N \hat{g}_N \end{aligned}$$

Our usual identification yields inclusions $\mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{L\}}(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_\Delta$ and $\mathcal{D}_{c0}^{\{N\}}(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{|\Theta|}$. We thus have

$$g \in g_0^{-1} \mathcal{V}_1^{r_d} \mathfrak{H}_\Delta \mathcal{V}_1^{r_c} \mathfrak{H}_{|\Theta|} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_0^{15} \subseteq \mathcal{V},$$

as needed. □

Theorem C. *Assume we are given smooth connected manifold M and $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{D}(M)$ satisfying:*

- (a) $\partial M = \emptyset$,
- (b) $\dim(M) = 2$ or $\dim(M) \geq 4$,
- (c) $\mathcal{D}_{c0}(M) \leq \mathfrak{G}$.

Then the restriction of the compact-open topology to \mathfrak{G} is minimal.

Proof. Assume that we are given some Hausdorff group topology \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{G} strictly coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} (the restriction of the compact-open topology). Corollary 5.7 implies that arc compressions are dense around the identity in \mathfrak{t} (Definition 5.1). We can then apply Proposition 6.4, whose conclusion implies that \mathfrak{t} is not Hausdorff: a contradiction. \square

Remark 6.7. *Note that Corollary 5.7 was the key step requiring the assumption that $m \neq 3$, while the use of the assumption $\partial M = \emptyset$ plays a role already in Observation 4.2.*

7. PROOF OF THEOREM A

We will now deduce our main theorem A in the introduction from the more technical Theorem C we just proved. We begin with a general property of minimal groups, Theorem 3.1 of [28].

Fact 7.1. *Let (G, \mathfrak{t}) be a topological group and H a dense subgroup of G . Then the restriction of \mathfrak{t} to H is a minimal group topology on H if and only if \mathfrak{t} is a minimal group topology on G and H intersects all non-trivial closed normal subgroups of G non-trivially.*

All manifolds in dimension ≤ 3 admit a smooth structure and any $g \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ can be approximated by diffeomorphisms, while in dimension at least 5 the property depends only on the isotopy class of g (see [20]). In particular, we have the following.

Fact 7.2 ([22] and [20], Thm. 4). *Let M be a smooth connected manifold of dimension $m \neq 4$ without boundary, (possibly non-compact). Then for any $g \in \mathcal{H}_c(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_0(M)$ there is some compact set $K \subseteq M$ and some sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(M)$ of elements supported on K converging to g in the compact-open topology (hence, uniformly).*

We are now ready to prove the main Theorem in the introduction.

Theorem A. *Assume we are given a connected manifold M and $\mathfrak{G} \leq \mathcal{H}(M)$ as follows:*

- M admits a smooth structure,
- $\partial M = \emptyset$,
- $\dim(M) \notin \{3, 4\}$,
- $\mathcal{H}_{c0}(M) \leq \mathfrak{G}$.

Then the restriction of the compact-open topology to \mathfrak{G} is minimal.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{G}' be the closure of $\mathfrak{H}' := \mathcal{D}(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_{c0}(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$ in \mathfrak{G} with respect to the compact-open topology. We claim that $\mathcal{H}_{c0}(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}'$. Choose any $g \in \mathcal{H}_{c0}(M)$ and let $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_c(M)$ be the approximating sequence provided by Fact 7.2. It follows by the main theorem of [9] that for n large enough g_n is isotopic to g

through a compactly supported topological ambient isotopy, so that $g_n \in \mathfrak{H}'$ for all n and, therefore, $g \in \mathfrak{G}'$.

The group \mathfrak{H}' clearly satisfies the assumptions of Theorem C and therefore the restriction of the compact-open topology to \mathfrak{H}' is minimal. By Fact 7.1 applied to $(\mathfrak{H}', \mathfrak{G}')$, we conclude that any Hausdorff group topology \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{G} coarser than \mathfrak{t}_{co} must agree with \mathfrak{t}_{co} on \mathfrak{G}' . In particular, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and compact $K \subseteq M$ with non-empty interior there must be some $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{t}}(1)$ such that $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{H}_{co}(M) \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap \mathfrak{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{K,\epsilon}$, in direct contradiction with Observation 4.7. \square

8. QUESTIONS AND REMARKS

Dimension 2. Lemma 3.12 is actually not needed in the proof of the dimension 2 case of Theorem C on account of the fact that given two systems of curves (arcs) in general position one of which can be isotoped to be disjoint from the other we can always assume the isotopy to be non-destructive (the reverse of a sequence of bigon removals).

Manifolds with boundary. Let M be a smooth manifold with $\dim(M) \notin \{1, 3, 4\}$, and assume $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. Although we know that the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ is not minimal, the image of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ in $\mathcal{H}(\text{int}(M))$ by the restriction map does satisfy the assumptions of Theorem A.

Corollary 8.1. *In the situation above the topology τ_{co}^∂ which is the pull-back by ρ of the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{H}(\text{int}(M))$ is minimal.*

More in general, given a subset \mathcal{X} of the set of connected components of ∂M , we can define a topology $\mathfrak{t}_{co}^{\mathcal{X}}$ as the pull-back of the compact-open topology by the restriction map corresponding to the inclusion $M \setminus \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{X}} C \subset M$. We suspect that the proof of Theorem C can be generalized to show that this is all there is:

Conjecture 1. *Let M be a connected smooth manifold with boundary of dimension $m \neq \{3, 4\}$. Then any group topology on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ coarser than the compact-open topology is of the form $\mathfrak{t}_{co}^{\mathcal{X}}$ for some $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \pi_0(\partial M)$.*

Further questions. The results here leave some major gaps open. To begin with, there is the issue of the dimension 3 case, which we hope might just be a matter of ingenuity rather than heavy machinery (the reader might remember the rather naive way in which the assumption $m \neq 3$ was used).

Question 2. *Suppose that M is a 3-manifold without boundary. Is the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ minimal?*

A positive answer to the following question seems very plausible.

Question 3. *Can the arguments in this work be generalized from smooth to PL-manifolds?*

Lastly, we have the most general version of the problem, which more powerful technical tools or an entirely new strategy.

Question 4. *Is the compact-open topology on $\mathcal{H}(M)$ minimal for any connected topological manifold M without boundary?*

REFERENCES

- [1] James W Alexander. On the deformation of an n cell. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 9(12):406–407, 1923.
- [2] Richard Arens. Topologies for homeomorphism groups. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 68(4):593–610, 1946.
- [3] Vladimir I Arnold. *Singularities of smooth mappings*. Springer, 2014.
- [4] Itai Ben Yaacov and Todor Tsankov. Weakly almost periodic functions, model-theoretic stability, and minimality of topological groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 368(11):8267–8294, 2016.
- [5] John Boardman et al. Singularities of differentiable maps. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 33:21–57, 1967.
- [6] Stewart S Cairns. A simple triangulation method for smooth manifolds. 1961.
- [7] Xiao Chang and Paul Gartside. Minimum topological group topologies. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 221(8):2010–2024, 2017.
- [8] Dikran Dikranjan and Michael Megrelishvili. Minimality conditions in topological groups. In *Recent progress in general topology III*, pages 229–327. Springer, 2014.
- [9] Robert D Edwards and Robion C Kirby. Deformations of spaces of imbeddings. *Annals of Mathematics*, 93(1):63–88, 1971.
- [10] D Gamarnik. Minimality of the group $\text{aut}(c)$. *Serdika*, 17(4):197–201, 1991.
- [11] Paul Gartside and Aneirin Glyn. Autohomeomorphism groups. *Topology and its Applications*, 129(2):103–110, 2003.
- [12] Martin Golubitsky and Victor Guillemin. *Stable mappings and their singularities*, volume 14. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [13] Morris W Hirsch. *Differential topology*, volume 33. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [14] Robert R Kallman. Uniqueness results for homeomorphism groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 295(1):389–396, 1986.
- [15] Robion C. Kirby. Stable homeomorphisms and the annulus conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 89:575–582, 1969.
- [16] Kathryn Mann. Automatic continuity for homeomorphism groups and applications. *Geometry & Topology*, 20(5):3033–3056, 2016.
- [17] Kathryn Mann. Automatic continuity for homeomorphism groups of noncompact manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.01173*, 2020.
- [18] Peter Michor. Manifolds of differentiable maps. https://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~michor/manifolds_of_differentiable_mappings.pdf, 1980.
- [19] Edwin E Moise. *Geometric topology in dimensions 2 and 3*, volume 47. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [20] Stefan Müller. Uniform approximation of homeomorphisms by diffeomorphisms. *Topology and its Applications*, 178:315–319, 2014.
- [21] James Munkres. *Topology* james munkres second edition.
- [22] James Munkres. Obstructions to the smoothing of piecewise-differentiable homeomorphisms. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 521–554, 1960.
- [23] James R Munkres. *Elementary Differential Topology.(AM-54), Volume 54*, volume 54. Princeton University Press, 2016.
- [24] Frank Quinn. Ends of maps. III. Dimensions 4 and 5. *J. Differential Geometry*, 17(3):503–521, 1982.
- [25] Christian Rosendal. Automatic continuity in homeomorphism groups of compact 2-manifolds. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 166(1):349–367, 2008.
- [26] Christian Rosendal and Slawomir Solecki. Automatic continuity of homomorphisms and fixed points on metric compacta. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 162:349–371, 2007.
- [27] Menachem Shlossberg. Minimality conditions equivalent to the finitude of fermat and mersenne primes. *Axioms*, 12(6):540, 2023.
- [28] RM Stephenson. Minimal topological groups. *Mathematische Annalen*, 192:193–195, 1971.
- [29] Charles Terence Clegg Wall. *Differential topology*, volume 156. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [30] John HC Whitehead. The immersion of an open 3-manifold in euclidean 3-space. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(1):81–90, 1961.

- [31] Hassler Whitney. Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets. *Hassler Whitney Collected Papers*, pages 228–254, 1992.
- [32] James V Whittaker. On isomorphic groups and homeomorphic spaces. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 74–91, 1963.