

# A Cheeger Inequality for Size-Specific Conductance

Yufan Huang  
Purdue University  
huan1754@purdue.edu

David F. Gleich  
Purdue University  
dgleich@purdue.edu

March 22, 2023

## Abstract

The  $\mu$ -conductance measure proposed by Lovasz and Simonovits is a size-specific conductance score that identifies the set with smallest conductance while disregarding those sets with volume smaller than a  $\mu$  fraction of the whole graph. Using  $\mu$ -conductance enables us to study in new ways. In this manuscript we propose a modified spectral cut that is a natural relaxation of the integer program of  $\mu$ -conductance and show the optimum of this program has a two-sided Cheeger inequality with  $\mu$ -conductance.

## 1 Conductance and the Cheeger Inequality

Consider an undirected graph  $G = (V, E, w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges, the degree of a vertex  $v$  is the sum of weights of edges adjacent to it, in other words  $d(v) = \sum_{u \in V} w(v, u)$  where  $w(v, u)$  is the weight of edge  $vu$  and for a non-existing edge, we have its weight being zero.

For a set  $S \subset V$ , we let

$$\text{Vol}(S) = \sum_{v \in S} d(v)$$

denote the volume of set  $S$  which is a well-known size measure of a vertex set, and we let

$$\partial S = \{uv \in E : u \in S, v \in \bar{S}\}$$

denote the cut between  $S$  and  $\bar{S} := V \setminus S$  and

$$|\partial S| = \sum_{uv \in \partial S} w(u, v)$$

denote the size of cut  $\partial S$ . The conductance of  $S$ ,

$$\phi(S) = \frac{|\partial S|}{\min\{\text{Vol}(S), \text{Vol}(\bar{S})\}}$$

---

This is a working paper that documents the details of a simple technical result. It may be incorporated into a future paper for the purposes of publication. The work was funded in part by NSF CCF-1909528, IIS-2007481, DOE DE-SC0023162, and the IARPA Agile program. We thank C. Seshadhri for discussions on this topic.

measures how well-connected the set  $S$  is to the rest of the graph. Further, the conductance of  $G$ ,

$$\phi(G) = \min_{S \subset V} \phi(S)$$

takes the minimum over all possible  $S$  and characterizes how well-connected the whole graph is.

For a graph, its degree matrix  $\mathbf{D}$  is the diagonal matrix with  $\mathbf{D}_{vv} = d(v)$  and its graph Laplacian matrix  $\mathbf{L}$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{L} = \sum_{vu \in E} w(v, u)(\mathbf{1}_u - \mathbf{1}_v)(\mathbf{1}_u - \mathbf{1}_v)^T.$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_S$  is the indicator vector for set  $S$  and we write  $\mathbf{1}_a$  in short for  $\mathbf{1}_{\{a\}}$ .

The Cheeger inequality gives a two-sided bound to the set of best conductance in a graph via an eigenvector computation of its graph Laplacian [Che69, Chu07]. It says

$$2\phi(G) \geq \lambda_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\phi(G)^2$$

where  $\lambda_2$  is the second smallest eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian  $\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}$ . This classical result bridges the gap between spectral theory and combinatorics and enable us to study the combinatoric structures of a graph via algebraic tools.

## 2 Cheeger Inequality for $\mu$ -conductance

As a metric conductance captures only a single structure in a graph—the set with the worst bottleneck. The size-specific  $\mu$ -conductance value has the potential to be sensitive to multiple sets. We first provide one modified spectral program inspired by  $\mu$ -conductance and then theoretically show that its optimum has a two-sided Cheeger inequality with  $\mu$ -conductance.

### 2.1 The definition of $\mu$ -conductance

The idea of  $\mu$ -conductance is a parameterized variant of conductance that arises from Markov chain theory [LS90]. Basically it computes the best set with smallest conductance disregarding those sets with volume smaller than  $\mu \text{Vol}(G)$ . Formally, it is defined as <sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\mu(G) = \underset{S \subset V}{\text{minimize}} \quad & \phi(S) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \mu \text{Vol}(G) \leq \text{Vol}(S) \leq (1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In the notation of  $\mu$ -conductance, the original conductance  $\phi(G)$  can be expressed as  $\phi_0(G)$ . So by computing  $\mu$ -conductance for multiple  $\mu$ s, we may be able gain additional information about a graph. This will only be productive if  $\phi(G)$  arises *only* from a small set in the graph. If  $\phi(G)$  arises from a set of nearly  $\text{Vol}(G)/2$ , then there are no differences between conductance and  $\mu$ -conductance.

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we adopt a slightly different definition from the original paper. They are similar in spirit as they both neglect sets with volume smaller than a specific volume but the original one involves a perturbed conductance.

## 2.2 A spectral program for $\mu$ -conductance

Before we introduce our modified spectral program for  $\mu$ -conductance, let us first revisit the relation between conductance and spectral cut to smooth the transition to our new program. Basically, the problem of finding the set of smallest conductance is equivalent to (up to a constant factor) the following integer program

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{S \subset V}{\text{minimize}} && \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\psi}}{\boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\psi}} \\ & \text{subject to} && \boldsymbol{\psi} = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(S)} \mathbf{1}_S - \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\bar{S})} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{S}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

So the spectral cut

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}}{\text{minimize}} && \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}} \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{d} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

is actually a relaxation of (2) by replacing  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  with vectors orthogonal to  $\mathbf{d}$ .

This inspires us to begin with considering the following integer program of  $\mu$ -conductance

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{S \subset V}{\text{minimize}} && \boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\psi} \\ & \text{subject to} && \boldsymbol{\psi} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Vol}(\bar{S})}{\text{Vol}(S)\text{Vol}(G)}} \mathbf{1}_S - \sqrt{\frac{\text{Vol}(S)}{\text{Vol}(\bar{S})\text{Vol}(G)}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{S}} \\ & && \boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\psi} = 1 \\ & && \mu \text{Vol}(G) \leq \text{Vol}(S) \leq (1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The difference between (4) and (2) is that we add one constraint on volume of  $S$  and we notice that (2) is scale-invariant with regard to  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ , therefore we re-scale  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  to make it satisfy  $\boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{\psi} = 1$ . Notice that the constraint  $\mu \text{Vol}(G) \leq \text{Vol}(S) \leq (1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G)$  implies that the entries of  $\boldsymbol{\psi}$  must be delocalized, in other words there will be no entries with very large magnitude and no entries with very small magnitude. This integer problem can be relaxed to the following spectral program

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\mu = & \underset{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}}{\text{minimize}} && \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{x} \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{d} = 0 \\ & && \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x} = 1 \\ & && \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 - \mu}{\mu \text{Vol}(G)}} \\ & && |\mathbf{x}|_i \geq \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{(1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G)}}, \quad \forall i \in V. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

## 2.3 Main Result

In this section, we demonstrate that a non-trivial relation is preserved by the relaxation from (4) to (5) and the optimum of spectral program (5) has one two-sided Cheeger inequality with  $\mu$ -conductance. Our main result is the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Given a graph  $G$  and a constant  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1/2$ , we have*

$$2\phi_\mu \geq \lambda_\mu \geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \phi_\mu \right)^2, \left( \frac{\mu^2 \phi_\mu + (1-2\mu)\phi_0}{1-\mu-\mu^2} \right)^2 \right\}, (\phi_0)^2 \right\}.$$

Here for simplicity we make the assumption that there always exists one set  $S$  with volume between  $\mu \text{Vol}(G)$  and  $(1-\mu) \text{Vol}(G)$ , otherwise discussing  $\mu$ -conductance for this specific  $\mu$  is meaningless.

Now before we start proving our main theorem, let us first introduce a few algebraic identities which will simplify our proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For non-negative  $a_i, b_i$ , we have*

$$\max_i \frac{a_i}{b_i} \geq \frac{\sum_i a_i}{\sum_i b_i} \geq \min_i \frac{a_i}{b_i}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *For a non-negative sequence  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$  with bounded elements, in other words  $a \geq x_i \geq b \geq 0, \forall i \in [k]$ , and non-negative  $A, B, \{c_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , we have*

$$\frac{A}{B + b \sum_{i=1}^k c_i} \geq \frac{A}{B + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i x_i} \geq \frac{A}{B + a \sum_{i=1}^k c_i}.$$

Further, for non-negative  $\{d_i\}_{i=1}^k$ , if  $A - a \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \geq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{A - b \sum_{i=1}^k d_i}{B + b \sum_{i=1}^k c_i} \geq \frac{A - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i x_i}{B + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i x_i} \geq \frac{A - a \sum_{i=1}^k d_i}{B + a \sum_{i=1}^k c_i}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For two non-negative variables  $X, Y$  with bounded ratio, in other words,  $b \geq \frac{X}{Y} \geq a \geq 0$ , and non-negative constants  $A, B, C, D$ , we have*

$$\frac{AX + BY}{CX + DY} \geq \min \left\{ \frac{Ab + B}{Cb + D}, \frac{Aa + B}{Ca + D} \right\}.$$

The three Lemmas above can be verified with straightforward algebra. Also, we have the following fact.

**Fact 1.**  $\lambda_\mu \geq \phi_0^2/2$ .

This is due to the observation that when  $\mu = 0$ , (5) degenerates to (3) and  $\lambda_\mu$  is non-decreasing with regard to  $\mu$ .

We split the proof of Theorem 2.1 into two parts, lower bound of  $\lambda_\mu$  and upper bound of  $\lambda_\mu$ .

## 2.4 Proof for upper bound of $\lambda_\mu$

We prove the following Lemma, see also [HSG23].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Given a graph  $G$  and a constant  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1/2$ , we have*

$$2\phi_\mu \geq \lambda_\mu.$$

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the vertex set which achieves the optimal  $\mu$ -conductance. By our relaxation, we know

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Vol}(\bar{S})}{\text{Vol}(S)\text{Vol}(G)}} \mathbf{1}_S - \sqrt{\frac{\text{Vol}(S)}{\text{Vol}(\bar{S})\text{Vol}(G)}} \mathbf{1}_{\bar{S}}$$

is naturally in the feasible region of (5). Then, we have the corresponding objective value is

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\psi}^T \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\psi} &= \frac{|\partial S| \text{Vol}(G)}{\text{Vol}(S)\text{Vol}(\bar{S})} \\ &\leq \frac{2|\partial S|}{\min\{\text{Vol}(S), \text{Vol}(\bar{S})\}} \\ &= 2\phi_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\lambda_\mu \leq 2\phi_\mu$ . □

We can see the proof above is a direct result of the relaxation.

## 2.5 Proof for lower bound of $\lambda_\mu$

Now we arrive at the challenging part of the proof, lowering bounding  $\lambda_\mu$  via  $\phi_\mu$ . Our proof is mainly inspired by the proof in [Chu07]. The first part of our proof is exactly same, we restate it here for completeness.

Let  $\mathbf{g}$  denote the vector that achieves the optimum of (5). We order all vertices such that  $\mathbf{g}(v_1) \geq \mathbf{g}(v_2) \geq \dots \geq \mathbf{g}(v_n)$  and denote  $S_j = \{v_i \mid i \in [j]\}$  for  $\forall j \in [n]$ , in other words  $S_j$  is the set of first  $j$  vertices.

Then, we decompose  $\mathbf{g}$  into its positive components and negative components, denoted by  $\mathbf{g}_+$  and  $\mathbf{g}_-$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_+(v_i) &= \max(\mathbf{g}(v_i), 0), \\ \mathbf{g}_-(v_i) &= \max(-\mathbf{g}(v_i), 0). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} &= \mathbf{g}_+ - \mathbf{g}_-, \\ \mathbf{g}(v_i)^2 &= \mathbf{g}_+(v_i)^2 + \mathbf{g}_-(v_i)^2, \forall i \in [n]. \end{aligned}$$

This is slightly different from the decomposition of  $\mathbf{g}$  in [Chu07].

Define

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{x}}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^V.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbf{g}) &= \frac{\sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}(u) - \mathbf{g}(v))^2}{\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \mathbf{g}(v)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_+(u) - \mathbf{g}_+(v))^2 + \sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_-(u) - \mathbf{g}_-(v))^2}{\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \mathbf{g}(v)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_+(u) - \mathbf{g}_+(v))^2 + \sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_-(u) - \mathbf{g}_-(v))^2}{\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \mathbf{g}_+(v)^2 + \sum_{v \in V} d(v) \mathbf{g}_-(v)^2} \\ &\geq \min\{R(\mathbf{g}_+), R(\mathbf{g}_-)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Table 1: Three key indices in our proof.

Index	Definition	Interpretation
$h$	largest integer such that $\text{Vol}(S_h) \leq \text{Vol}(G)/2$	<i>half</i> fraction of volume
$u$	largest integer such that $\text{Vol}(S_u) < \mu \text{Vol}(G)$	$\mu$ fraction of volume
$z$	largest integer such that $\mathbf{g}(z) > 0$	$z + 1$ is <i>zero point</i> of $\mathbf{g}_+$

where in the first inequality we use the fact that  $(\mathbf{g}(u) - \mathbf{g}(v))^2 \geq (\mathbf{g}_+(u) - \mathbf{g}_+(v))^2 + (\mathbf{g}_-(u) - \mathbf{g}_-(v))^2$  and the in the second inequality we use Lemma 2.2.

Without loss of generality, we assume  $R(\mathbf{g}_+)$  is smaller. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(\mathbf{g}_+) &= \frac{\sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_+(u) - \mathbf{g}_+(v))^2 \sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_+(u) + \mathbf{g}_+(v))^2}{\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \mathbf{g}_+(v)^2 \sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_+(u) + \mathbf{g}_+(v))^2} \\
 &\geq \frac{(\sum_{uv \in E} (\mathbf{g}_+(u)^2 - \mathbf{g}_+(v)^2))^2}{2 (\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \mathbf{g}_+(v)^2)^2} \\
 &= \frac{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\mathbf{g}_+(v_i)^2 - \mathbf{g}_+(v_{i+1})^2) |\partial S_i| \right)^2}{2 (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_+(v_i)^2 d(v_i))^2}. \tag{a}
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and AM-GM inequality, the second equality is by re-arranging summations.

To this point, our proof is exactly the same with [Chu07] except how we decompose  $\mathbf{g}$ . But here we notice that we can not simply lower bound  $|\partial S_i|$  via  $\phi_0 \cdot \min\{\text{Vol}(S_i), \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)\}$  because then the lower bound is not related to  $\phi_\mu$ . Instead, we need to lower bound  $|\partial S_i|$  of those  $S_i$ s with  $\min\{\text{Vol}(S_i), \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)\} \geq \mu \text{Vol}(G)$  by  $\phi_\mu \cdot \min\{\text{Vol}(S_i), \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)\}$ , and  $|\partial S_i|$  of other  $S_i$ s by  $\phi_0 \cdot \min\{\text{Vol}(S_i), \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)\}$ . This involves some case-by-case analysis.

Let us first introduce three important indices that appear repeatedly in our following proof. They are summarized in Table 1. We begin with one Lemma revealing the relation between these three indices.

**Lemma 2.6.** *By definition of  $h, u, z$ , we have*

1.  $u \leq h$ ,
2.  $\text{Vol}(S_z) \in [\mu \text{Vol}(G), (1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G)]$ ,
3.  $u < z$ .

*Proof.* 1. Since  $\mu \leq 1/2$ , we have  $u \leq h$  by definition.

2. We prove  $\text{Vol}(S_z) \geq \mu \text{Vol}(G)$  by contradiction. Assume  $\text{Vol}(S_z) < \mu \text{Vol}(G)$ . Notice

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}(v_i) d(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{g}(v_i) d(v_i) + \sum_{i=z+1}^n \mathbf{g}(v_i) d(v_i)$$




Figure 1: Relative positioning of indices  $u, h, z$  and the volumes of  $S_u, S_h$ . There are two possible positions for  $z$ . Here  $z_1$  corresponds to the case  $z < h$  discussed in Lemma 2.7 and  $z_2$  corresponds to the case  $h \leq z$  discussed in Lemma 2.8.

where  $\mathbf{g}(v_i) > 0$  for  $\forall i \in [z]$  and  $\mathbf{g}(v_i) \leq 0$  for other  $i$ s. Therefore because of the last two constraints of (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}(v_i) d(v_i) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^z \sqrt{\frac{1-\mu}{\mu \text{Vol}(G)}} d(v_i) - \sum_{i=z+1}^n \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{(1-\mu) \text{Vol}(G)}} d(v_i) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{1-\mu}{\mu \text{Vol}(G)}} \text{Vol}(S_z) - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{(1-\mu) \text{Vol}(G)}} \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z) \\
&< \sqrt{\frac{1-\mu}{\mu \text{Vol}(G)}} \mu \text{Vol}(G) - \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{(1-\mu) \text{Vol}(G)}} (1-\mu) \text{Vol}(G) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts  $\mathbf{g}$  is a *valid* solution of (5).

By symmetry, we can prove that  $\text{Vol}(S_z) \leq (1-\mu) \text{Vol}(G)$  similarly.

3. This follows directly from the fact that  $\text{Vol}(S_u) < \mu \text{Vol}(G) \leq \text{Vol}(S_z)$ . □

Figure 1 summarizes what we show in Lemma 2.6. Now we divide the discussion into two cases based on the magnitude of  $h$  and  $z$ . The difference is that if  $h \geq z$ , then for any  $i$  such that  $\mathbf{g}_+(v_i) > 0$ , we have  $\text{Vol}(S_i) \leq \text{Vol}(G)/2$ , which implies  $\min\{\text{Vol}(S_i), \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)\} = \text{Vol}(S_i)$  always holds. But if  $h < z$ , then for those  $i \in (h, z]$ , we have  $\mathbf{g}_+(v_i) > 0$  and  $\min\{\text{Vol}(S_i), \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)\} = \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i) = \text{Vol}(G) - \text{Vol}(S_i)$ , which makes the analysis more complex.

For convenience, we let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{p}_i &= \mathbf{g}_+(v_i)^2, \forall i \in [n] \\
\delta_i &= \mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{i+1}, \forall i \in [n-1].
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, both  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\delta$  are non-negative and elements of  $\mathbf{p}$  are non-increasing.

For the case  $h \geq z$ , we have the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** *If  $h \geq z$ , then we have*

$$R(\mathbf{g}_+) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mu^2}{(1-\mu)^2} \phi_\mu + \frac{1-2\mu}{(1-\mu)^2} \phi_0 \right)^2.$$

*Proof.* In this case for any  $i \leq z$ ,  $\text{Vol}(S_i) \leq \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)$ . Using the relationship among  $h, u, z$ , which is  $u < z \leq h$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\mathbf{g}_+) &\geq \text{(a)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^z \delta_i |\partial S_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^u \delta_i |\partial S_i| + \sum_{i=u+1}^z \delta_i |\partial S_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\phi_0 \sum_{i=1}^u \delta_i \text{Vol}(S_i) + \phi_\mu \sum_{i=u+1}^z \delta_i \text{Vol}(S_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \tag{b}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we use the definitions of index  $u$  and conductance. By writing out the summation and offsetting by one, we notice

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^u \delta_i \text{Vol}(S_i) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^u \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \right) - \mathbf{p}_{u+1} \text{Vol}(S_u) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=u+1}^z \delta_i \text{Vol}(S_i) &= \mathbf{p}_{u+1} \text{Vol}(S_{u+1}) + \sum_{i=u+2}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \\
&= \mathbf{p}_{u+1} \text{Vol}(S_u) + \sum_{i=u+1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) - \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we use the fact  $\mathbf{g}_+(v_{z+1}) = 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\mathbf{g}_+) &\geq \text{(b)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\phi_\mu \sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) - (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_\mu - \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_\mu - \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{u+1} \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \tag{c}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we basically truncate the summation in the denominator from 1 to  $z$  to from 1 to  $u+1$ . This is due to the fact that  $\phi_\mu \geq \phi_0$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) \geq 0$ ,  $u < z$  and the whole term inside square remains positive after truncation.



Then by some algebraic manipulation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\mathbf{g}_+) &\geq \text{(c)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_\mu - \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^{u+1} (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{u+1} \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_0 + (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \frac{\mathbf{p}_{u+1} \text{Vol}(S_{u+1})}{\sum_{i=1}^{u+1} \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_0 + (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \frac{\text{Vol}(S_{u+1})}{\sum_{i=1}^{u+1} (\mathbf{p}_i / \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_0 + (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \frac{\text{Vol}(S_{u+1})}{\sum_{i=1}^{u+1} ((1-\mu)/\mu)^2 d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\mu^2}{(1-\mu)^2} \phi_\mu + \frac{1-2\mu}{(1-\mu)^2} \phi_0 \right)^2
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. Here in the first inequality we first use the fact that for  $\forall i \in [z]$ ,

$$\frac{\mu}{(1-\mu)\text{Vol}(G)} \leq \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{g}(v_i)^2 \leq \frac{1-\mu}{\mu\text{Vol}(G)}$$

and then we apply Lemma 2.3 by taking  $x_i = \mathbf{p}_i / \mathbf{p}_{u+1}$ .

□

Another case is  $z > h$ , and we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $z > h$ , we have*

$$R(\mathbf{g}_+) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \phi_\mu \right)^2, \left( \frac{\mu^2 \phi_\mu + (1-2\mu)\phi_0}{1-\mu-\mu^2} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* This case is more complicated because for  $i \leq h$ ,  $\text{Vol}(S_i) \leq \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)$ , but for  $i \in (h, z]$ ,  $\text{Vol}(S_i) \geq \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)$ . So we need to be more careful when doing the analysis.

First, similar to previous case, we decompose the summation, and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\mathbf{g}_+) &\geq \text{(a)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^z \delta_i |\partial S_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^h \delta_i |\partial S_i| + \sum_{i=h+1}^z \delta_i |\partial S_i|}{\sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2. \tag{d}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to proof of Lemma 2.7, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^h \delta_i |\partial S_i| &= \sum_{i=1}^u \delta_i |\partial S_i| + \sum_{i=u+1}^h \delta_i |\partial S_i| \\
&\geq \phi_0 \sum_{i=1}^u \delta_i \text{Vol}(S_i) + \phi_\mu \sum_{i=u+1}^h \delta_i \text{Vol}(S_i) \tag{7} \\
&= \phi_\mu \sum_{i=1}^h (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) - (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is similar to proof of Lemma 2.7 except that  $\mathbf{p}_{h+1}$  is not zero here.

Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, we know for  $\forall i \in (h, z]$ , we have  $\text{Vol}(S_i) \in (1/2\text{Vol}(G), (1 - \mu)\text{Vol}(G))$ , thus  $\text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i) \geq \mu\text{Vol}(G)$ , which implies  $|\partial S_i| \geq \phi_\mu \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i)$ . Therefore we get

$$\sum_{i=h+1}^z \delta_i |\partial S_i| \geq \phi_\mu \sum_{i=h+1}^z \delta_i \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_i) = \phi_\mu \left( \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_{h+1}) - \sum_{i=h+2}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \right), \quad (8)$$

where in the equality we rearrange the summations and apply the fact that  $\mathbf{p}_{z+1} = 0$ .

If we plug in (7) and (8) into (d), we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(d)} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \phi_\mu \sum_{i=1}^h (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) - (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_{h+1}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \phi_\mu \sum_{i=h+2}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \right) / \left( \sum_{i=1}^z \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \right) \right]^2, \end{aligned}$$

and then we apply Lemma 2.3 by considering  $\mathbf{p}_{h+2}, \mathbf{p}_{h+3}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_z$  as  $x_i$ s and viewing other variables as constants. (In the corner case that  $h+1 = z$ , then we can ignore this step.)

Via the fact  $\mathbf{p}_{h+2}, \mathbf{p}_{h+3}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_z$  are upper bounded by  $\mathbf{p}_{h+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(d)} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \phi_\mu \sum_{i=1}^h (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) - (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \phi_\mu \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_{h+1}) - \phi_\mu \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \sum_{i=h+2}^z d(v_i) \right) / \left( \mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_h)) + \sum_{i=1}^h \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\phi_\mu \sum_{i=1}^h (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) - (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_h)) + \sum_{i=1}^h \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \phi_\mu \mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_h)) + \phi_\mu \sum_{i=1}^h \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) - (\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \phi_\mu \mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \right) / \left( \mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_h)) + \sum_{i=1}^h \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_\mu - \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_h)) + \sum_{i=1}^h \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \quad \text{(e)} \end{aligned}$$

We can apply Lemma 2.3 to (e) by considering  $\mathbf{p}_{u+2}, \mathbf{p}_{u+3}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_h$  as  $x_i$ s and viewing other variables as constants. (In the corner case that  $u+1 \geq h$ , then we can ignore this step).

Via the fact that  $\mathbf{p}_{u+2}, \mathbf{p}_{u+3}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_h$  are lower bounded by  $\mathbf{p}_{h+1}$  and upper bounded by  $\mathbf{p}_{u+1}$ ,

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_h)) + \sum_{i=1}^h \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \\
& \leq \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_h)) + \sum_{i=1}^{u+1} \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i) + \mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_h) - \text{Vol}(S_{u+1}))} \\
& = \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_{u+1})) + \sum_{i=1}^{u+1} \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we know that

$$\text{(e)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_\mu - \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_{u+1})) + \sum_{i=1}^{u+1} \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2. \quad \text{(f)}$$

If we take a close look at the fraction

$$\frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{u+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_{u+1})) + \sum_{i=1}^{u+1} \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)},$$

again we apply Lemma 2.3 by considering  $\mathbf{p}_{u+1}$  as  $x_i$ s and viewing other variables as constants. (Again, in the corner case that  $u = h$ , then we can ignore this step).

Via the fact that  $\mathbf{p}_{u+1}$  is lower bounded by  $\mathbf{p}_{h+1}$ , we see the fraction above is maximized when  $\mathbf{p}_{u+1}$  is minimized, in other words when

$$\mathbf{p}_{u+1} = \mathbf{p}_{h+1}.$$

So we get a lower bound of (d) by sequentially relaxing it over a disjoint set of variables.

In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\mathbf{g}_+) & \geq \text{(f)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_\mu - \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1} (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(S_{u+1})) + \mathbf{p}_{h+1} d(v_{u+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^u \mathbf{p}_i d(v_i)} \right)^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi_\mu - \frac{(\phi_\mu - \phi_0) \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(S_z) - \text{Vol}(\bar{S}_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) + \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \text{Vol}(S_z)} \right)^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\phi_0 \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu (\text{Vol}(G) - \text{Vol}(S_z)) \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) + \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \text{Vol}(S_z)} \right)^2 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\phi_0 \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) + \phi_\mu \mathbf{p}_{h+1} \mu \text{Vol}(G)}{\sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i) + \mathbf{p}_{h+1} (1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G)} \right)^2 \quad \text{(g)}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality we plug in  $\mathbf{p}_{u+1} = \mathbf{p}_{h+1}$  and in the second inequality we apply Lemma 2.3 via considering  $\text{Vol}(S_z)$  as  $x_i$ s and use the fact  $\text{Vol}(S_z) \leq (1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G)$  shown in Lemma 2.6.

Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^u (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}) d(v_i)$  and  $Y = \mathbf{p}_{h+1} (1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G)$ , notice that

$$0 \leq \frac{X}{Y} \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(S_u)}{(1 - \mu) \text{Vol}(G)} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_{h+1}}{\mathbf{p}_{h+1}} \leq \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \left( \left( \frac{1 - \mu}{\mu} \right)^2 - 1 \right) = \frac{1 - 2\mu}{\mu(1 - \mu)},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbf{g}_+) \geq (\mathbf{g}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\phi_0 X + \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \phi_\mu Y}{X + Y} \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \phi_\mu \right)^2, \left( \frac{\mu^2 \phi_\mu + (1-2\mu)\phi_0}{1-\mu-\mu^2} \right)^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* When  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1/2$ , we have  $1-\mu-\mu^2 \geq 1-2\mu+\mu^2$ , therefore combining Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.8 and Fact 1, we get

$$\lambda_\mu \geq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \left( \frac{\mu}{1-\mu} \phi_\mu \right)^2, \left( \frac{\mu^2 \phi_\mu + (1-2\mu)\phi_0}{1-\mu-\mu^2} \right)^2 \right\}, (\phi_0)^2 \right\}$$

Together with Lemma 2.5, we provide a two-sided Cheeger inequality.  $\square$

### 3 Computational considerations

We are unaware of any technique for directly solving (5). In another manuscript [HSG23], we relax this to a semi-definite program and then further to a low-rank semi-definite program to enable scalable computations.

## References

- [Che69] Jeff Cheeger. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the laplacian. In *Proceedings of the Princeton conference in honor of Professor S. Bochner*, pages 195–199, 1969.
- [Chu07] F. Chung. Four proofs of the cheeger inequality and graph partition algorithms. In *Proceedings of the ICCM*, pages 751–772, 2007.
- [HSG23] Yufan Huang, C. Seshadhri, and David F. Gleich. Theoretical bounds on the network community profile from low-rank semi-definite programming, 2023. In submission.
- [LS90] L. Lovasz and M. Simonovits. The mixing rate of markov chains, an isoperimetric inequality, and computing the volume. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, SFCS '90*, pages 346–354 vol. 1, Washington, DC, USA, 1990. IEEE Computer Society.