

*Yang-Mills Effective Lagrangian**Contribution of Leutwyler Zero Mode Chromons*George Savvidy ¹*Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, NCSR Demokritos, GR-15310 Athens, Greece***Abstract**

Recently we calculated the contribution of Leutwyler zero mode chromons to the Yang-Mills effective Lagrangian by integrating over collective coordinates of the nonlinearly interacting zero modes. Here we analyse the behaviour of the partition function prefactor and the integration measure Jacobian of the zero modes and show that these factors do not contribute to the effective Lagrangian.

¹savvidy(AT)inp.demokritos.gr

1 Introduction. The (anti)self-dual covariantly-constant vacuum fields² have positive/stable and infinitely many zero modes, so called Leutwyler zero mode chromons [1, 2, 3]. Earlier we integrated over the collective coordinates of the nonlinearly interacting zero modes and obtained their contribution to the effective Lagrangian [4]. Here we will analyse the behaviour of the corresponding partition function prefactor and the collective coordinate integration measure Jacobian of the zero mode chromons and will show that these factors do not contribute to the effective Lagrangian and do not alter the earlier results [5, 6, 8, 9, 10]. Our method of the integration over zero mode coordinates is a generalisation of the t'Hooft integration over the instanton zero mode collective coordinates [11] and is reminiscent to the integration technique developed by Feynman [12] and Fujikawa [13].

2 Euclidean Path Integral. The effective Lagrangian [14, 15, 16] in the Yang-Mills theory [5, 6, 8, 9, 10] can be analysed in the Euclidean space [11, 17] and has the following form [1, 2, 10, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]:

$$\int_{\vec{A}}^{\vec{A}'} \mathcal{D}\vec{A}(\tau) e^{-S_E[A(\tau)]} \equiv N_f e^{-\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{eff}(\vec{A}', \vec{A})}, \quad (1)$$

where the path integral involves the integration over the Euclidean field configurations $A_i^a(\vec{x}, \tau)$ that have the proper boundary values $A_i^a(\vec{x}, 0) = A_i^a(\vec{x})$, $A_i^a(\vec{x}, T) = A_i'^a(\vec{x})$. The Euclidean action is:

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a, \quad (2)$$

where $x_0 \rightarrow -ix_0 = -i\tau$, $A_0 \rightarrow iA_0$ and $G_{\mu\nu}^a(A) = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + gf^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$. The real electric field corresponds to an imaginary electric field in Euclidean space $\vec{E} \rightarrow i\vec{E}$. The behaviour of vacuum fields in the vicinity of a given interpolating-vacuum field $B_\mu^a(x)$ that starts at $A_i^a(\vec{x})$ and ends at $A_i'^a(\vec{x})$ is represent in the following form: $A_\mu^a(x) = B_\mu^a(x) + a_\mu^a(x)$, where quantum field $a_\mu^a(x)$ has the zero boundary values. The field strength $G_{\mu\nu}^a(A)$ can be expanded in $a_\mu^a(x)$ as $G_{\mu\nu}^a(A) = G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) + \nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b - \nabla_\nu^{ab} a_\mu^b + gf^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c$, where $\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b = \partial_\mu a_\nu^b + gf^{abc} B_\mu^c a_\nu^b$ is a covariant derivative with respect to the vacuum field $B_\mu^a(x)$ and $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu]^{ab} = gf^{acb} G_{\mu\nu}^c(B)$. For the Euclidean action (2) we will have:

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) + \int d^4x G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) \nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^a + \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \left((\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b - \nabla_\nu^{ab} a_\mu^b + gf^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2 + 2g G_{\mu\nu}^a(B) f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c \right). \quad (3)$$

The vacuum field B_μ^a is supposed to satisfy the Euclidean Yang-Mills equation:

$$\nabla_\mu G_{\mu\nu}(B) = 0, \quad (4)$$

²Here, and afterwards, the phrase "vacuum fields" refers to the gauge fields that are the solutions of the sourceless Yang-Mills equation.

so that the second term in (3) that is linear in $a_\mu^a(x)$ vanishes and the effective Lagrangian is a gauge invariant functional [8]. The quadratic part of the action has the following form:

$$K_E = \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \left[(\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\nu^b - \nabla_\nu^{ab} a_\mu^b)^2 + 2gG_{\mu\nu}^a(B) f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c \right]. \quad (5)$$

The nonlinear terms in the Euclidean Lagrangian (3) are cubic and quartic in $a_\mu^a(x)$:

$$V_E = \int d^4x \left(-g f^{abc} a_\nu^b a_\mu^c \nabla_\mu^{ad} a_\nu^d + \frac{g^2}{4} (f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2 \right). \quad (6)$$

The boundary fields are taken to be equal $A_i^a(\vec{x}) = A_i^{\prime a}(\vec{x})$, to be the *covariantly-constant* fields [7, 8, 9] and obey the equation (4). Then the vacuum field $B_\mu^a(x)$ will have the following form:

$$B_\mu^a(x) = -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} x_\nu \delta_3^a, \quad (7)$$

where the vectors E_i and H_i can be aligned with the z-axis by two independent rotations [1]:

$$F_{12} = H, \quad F_{30} = E. \quad (8)$$

The Euclidean gauge invariants are: $\mathcal{F}_E = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{H^2 + E^2}{2}$ and the $\mathcal{G}_E = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a = HE$. In the quadratic approximation (5) the stability of vacuum fields reduces to the following eigenvalue problem [1, 2, 4]:

$$-\nabla_\mu^{ab} (\nabla_\mu^{bc} a_\nu^c - \nabla_\nu^{bc} a_\mu^c) + g f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^b a_\mu^c = \lambda a_\nu^a. \quad (9)$$

It is convenient to decompose the field a_μ into the neutral and charged components³:

$$a_\mu^a = (a_\mu^3, a_\mu, a_\mu^-), \quad a_\mu = a_\mu^1 + i a_\mu^2, \quad a_\mu^- = a_\mu^1 - i a_\mu^2. \quad (10)$$

For the neutral and charged components we will get:

$$-\partial_\mu (\partial_\mu a_\nu^3 - \partial_\nu a_\mu^3) = \lambda a_\nu^3, \quad -\nabla_\mu (\nabla_\mu a_\nu - \nabla_\nu a_\mu) + ig F_{\mu\nu} a_\mu = \lambda a_\nu, \quad (11)$$

where $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{1}{2} ig F_{\mu\nu} x_\nu$. Taking into account that $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] = ig F_{\mu\nu}$ and imposing the background gauge fixing condition on the quantum field $\nabla_\mu^{ab} a_\mu^b = 0$ [8] for the charged components we will have:

$$-\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu a_\nu + 2ig F_{\mu\nu} a_\mu = \lambda a_\nu. \quad (12)$$

For the vacuum field (7), (8) we will get [1, 2, 4]

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 = -\nabla_\mu \nabla_\mu &= -\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 + igH(x_2\partial_1 - x_1\partial_2) + \frac{g^2}{4} H^2(x_2^2 + x_1^2) \\ &\quad -\partial_3^2 - \partial_0^2 + igE(x_0\partial_3 - x_3\partial_0) + \frac{g^2}{4} E^2(x_3^2 + x_0^2) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

³We will consider the $SU(2)$ gauge group in the remaining part of the article.

and H_0 is a sum of isomorphic oscillators in the (1, 2) and (3, 0) planes. The operators [1, 2]

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= \partial_i + \frac{g}{2} H x_i, & c_i^+ &= -\partial_i + \frac{g}{2} H x_i, & i &= 1, 2 \\ d_j &= \partial_j + \frac{g}{2} E x_j, & d_j^+ &= -\partial_j + \frac{g}{2} E x_j, & j &= 3, 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

allow to represent H_0 in the form $H_0 = (c_1^+ + i c_2^+)(c_1 - i c_2) + (d_3^+ + i d_0^+)(d_3 - i d_0) + gH + gE$. The eigenstates of the operator H_0 therefore are:

$$\psi_{nm} = (c_1^+ + i c_2^+)^n (d_3^+ + i d_0^+)^m \psi_{00} = (gH)^n (x_1 + i x_2)^n (gE)^m (x_3 + i x_0)^m \psi_{00}, \quad (15)$$

where $\psi_{00}(x) = e^{-\frac{gH}{4}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} e^{-\frac{gE}{4}(x_3^2 + x_0^2)}$ and the corresponding eigenvalues $H_0 \psi_{nm} = \lambda_0 \psi_{nm}$ are:

$$\lambda_0 = (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE. \quad (16)$$

All eigenstates have infinite degeneracy because the states

$$\psi_{nm}(n_0, m_0) = (c_1^+ - i c_2^+)^{n_0} (d_3^+ - i d_0^+)^{m_0} \psi_{nm} \quad (17)$$

have identical eigenvalues (16) and are indexed by two integers $n_0, m_0 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The eigenstates of the charged field (10) are defined by the operator

$$H_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\lambda - 2igF_{\mu\nu} \quad (18)$$

and have the following form: $b = a_1 + ia_2$, $b^- = a_1 - ia_2$, $h = a_3 + ia_0$, $h^- = a_3 - ia_0$. Thus the eigenvalues of the operator $H_{\mu\nu}$ will take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} b^- : & \quad \lambda_1 = (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE + 2gH \\ b : & \quad \lambda_2 = (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE - 2gH \\ h^- : & \quad \lambda_3 = (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE + 2gE \\ h : & \quad \lambda_4 = (2n + 1)gH + (2m + 1)gE - 2gE, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The conjugate field a_μ^- has the identical eigenvalues λ_i , $i = 5, \dots, 8$. The negative eigenvalues appear in λ_2, λ_4 when $E \neq H$ [22, 23, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] and only in the case of (anti)self-dual vacuum field [1, 2]

$$E = H \quad (20)$$

there are no negative/unstable eigenvalues in the spectrum (19).

3 Leutwyler zero mode chromons. It follows that when $n = m = 0$, there is an infinite number of zero

eigenvalues $\lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = 0$ that appear due to the high symmetry of the self-dual field $E = H$ and the degeneracy (17). These are *Leutwyler zero mode chromons* and they are linear combinations of (17) ($b^- = a_1 - ia_2 = 0$, $h^- = a_3 - ia_0 = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(x) = ia_2(x) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} \xi_{n_0 m_0} (c_1^+ - ic_2^+)^{n_0} (d_3^+ - id_0^+)^{m_0} \psi_{00}(x) \\ a_3(x) = ia_0(x) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} \eta_{n_0 m_0} (c_1^+ - ic_2^+)^{n_0} (d_3^+ - id_0^+)^{m_0} \psi_{00}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where we introduced the zero-mode complex amplitudes (collective coordinates) $\xi_{n_0 m_0}$ and $\eta_{n_0 m_0}$.

On the zero mode eigenfunctions the quadratic form (5) vanishes, $K_E = 0$, and the amplitudes can grow unbounded because the zero-mode amplitudes are not suppressed by the exponent e^{-K_E} . It merely means that the integration in these directions is not Gaussian [11] and the zero modes contribution to the effective Lagrangian should be calculated by integration over collective coordinates (21) of nonlinearly interacting zero modes:

$$\prod_{a, \mu}^{zero \ modes} \mathcal{D}a_\mu^a = J \prod_{n_0, m_0=0}^{Deg} d\xi'_{n_0 m_0} \wedge d\xi''_{n_0 m_0} \wedge d\eta'_{n_0 m_0} \wedge d\eta''_{n_0 m_0}, \quad (22)$$

where $\xi_{n_0 m_0} = \xi'_{n_0 m_0} + i\xi''_{n_0 m_0}$, $\eta_{n_0 m_0} = \eta'_{n_0 m_0} + i\eta''_{n_0 m_0}$ and J is the Jacobian factor[11, 13]. The cubic self-interaction term (6) vanishes in the zero mode directions (21):

$$V_E^{(3)} = -g \int d^4x \epsilon^{abc} a_\nu^b a_\mu^c \nabla_\mu^{ad} a_\nu^d = 0. \quad (23)$$

The quartic interaction term is not vanishing and the path integral has the following form:

$$Z^{zero \ modes} = N \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{4} \int d^4x (\epsilon^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2 \right] \prod_{a, \mu}^{zero \ modes} \mathcal{D}a_\mu^a, \quad (24)$$

where the integration is over all interacting zero modes. The zero mode partition function (24), if expanded in the coupling constant g^2 , will generate an infinite number of multi-loop diagrams, and it seems impossible to calculate them. Nevertheless the exact calculation of the zero mode partition function is possible because the field strength dependence within the path integral (24) can be factorised.

Let us first consider the lowest state (19) when $n = m = n_0 = m_0 = 0$ in (15) and (17). The solution (21) corresponding to the lowest state with $n_0 = m_0 = 0$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^1 &= \xi' \psi_{00}, & a_1^2 &= \xi'' \psi_{00}, & a_1^3 &= 0 \\ a_2^1 &= \xi'' \psi_{00}, & a_2^2 &= -\xi' \psi_{00}, & a_2^3 &= 0 \\ a_3^1 &= \eta' \psi_{00}, & a_3^2 &= \eta'' \psi_{00}, & a_3^3 &= 0 \\ a_0^1 &= \eta'' \psi_{00}, & a_0^2 &= -\eta' \psi_{00}, & a_0^3 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\xi_{00} = \xi' + i\xi''$ and $\eta_{00} = \eta' + i\eta''$. On the zero mode chromon (25) the quartic term will take the following form:

$$V_E^{(4)} = \frac{g^2}{4} \int d^4x (\epsilon^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c)^2 = \frac{g^2}{2} (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 \int d^4x |\psi_{00}(x)|^4 \quad (26)$$

and after integration over the space coordinates in (26) the partition function will take the following form:

$$Z_{00}^{zero\ mode} = \mu^4 \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{2} \left(\frac{gH}{4\pi} \right)^2 (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 \right] d\xi' d\xi'' d\eta' d\eta'' \quad (27)$$

Introducing the dimensionless collective coordinates $(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow (\xi, \eta)/(gH)^{1/2}$ one can factorise the field strength dependence in the path integral and we will get

$$Z_{00}^{zero\ mode} = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2 \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{32} (\xi'^2 + \xi''^2 + \eta'^2 + \eta''^2)^2 \right] d\xi' d\xi'' d\eta' d\eta'' = N_{00} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2 \quad (28)$$

For the higher zero mode chromons $\psi_{00}(n_0, m_0)$ (17) we will have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{n_0 m_0}^{zero\ mode} &= \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2 \int \exp \left[-\frac{g^2}{32} \frac{\Gamma(n_0 + 1/2)\Gamma(m_0 + 1/2)}{\pi\Gamma(n_0 + 1)\Gamma(m_0 + 1)} (|\xi_{n_0 m_0}|^2 + |\eta_{n_0 m_0}|^2)^2 \right] d^2\xi_{n_0 m_0} d^2\eta_{n_0 m_0} \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu^2}{gH} \right)^2 \times N_{n_0 m_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In order to calculate the contributions of self-interacting zero-modes one should evaluate the product $J \prod_{n_0=0, m_0=0}^{Deg} Z_{n_0 m_0}^{zero\ mode}$ by taking into account the degeneracy (38) of the zero modes (17):

$$Z_{zero\ modes} = J \prod_{n_0=0, m_0=0}^{Deg} N_{n_0 m_0} \left(\frac{\mu^4}{g^2 H^2} \right) = JN \left(\frac{\mu^4}{g^2 H^2} \right)^{Deg} = JN e^{-\frac{g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \ln \frac{g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} V_4}, \quad (30)$$

where $N = \prod_{n_0=0, m_0=0}^{Deg} N_{n_0 m_0}$. In the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ we have $Z_{zero\ modes} \rightarrow e^{-\mathcal{L}_{eff} V_4}$ and for the zero mode contribution (30) to the effective Lagrangian we will get

$$\mathcal{L}_{zero\ modes} = \frac{g^2 H^2}{4\pi^2} \ln \frac{g^2 H^2}{\mu^4}. \quad (31)$$

The contribution of the positive/stable modes has the following form [4]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{positive\ modes}^{(1)} = -\frac{g^2 H^2}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 1 \right]. \quad (32)$$

The effective Lagrangian is a sum of positive/stable modes (32) and zero modes chromons (31):

$$\mathcal{L}_E^{eff} = H^2 + \mathcal{L}_{positive\ modes}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}_{zero\ modes} = H^2 + \frac{11g^2 H^2}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 1 \right]. \quad (33)$$

There is a perfect consistency between this result and the one obtained in a physical space-time [5, 10]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{YM} = -\mathcal{F} - \frac{11g^2 \mathcal{F}}{48\pi^2} \left[\ln \frac{2g^2 \mathcal{F}}{\mu^4} - 1 \right], \quad \mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a > 0. \quad (34)$$

As it was already mentioned, the electric field in a physical space-time \vec{E} corresponds to an imaginary electric field in Euclidian space $\vec{E} \rightarrow i\vec{E}$, therefore one can map the gauge invariant operators as

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{H}^2 - \vec{E}^2) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\vec{H}^2 + \vec{E}^2)_E = \mathcal{F}_E \quad (35)$$

and the effective Lagrangian (34) transforms into the Euclidean effective Lagrangian (33).

4 Prefactor N . Our main concern now is the investigation of a possible field strength dependence of the prefactor N and the Jacobian factor J in the formula (30) that can alter the effective Lagrangian (33). The integration over the zero mode collective coordinates is reminiscent to the integration technique developed by Feynman [12], t'Hooft [11] and Fujikawa [13] and will be used to investigate the field strength dependence of the prefactor N and Jacobian factor J . The prefactor N is defined as in (30)

$$N = \prod_{n_0=0, m_0=0}^{\mathcal{D}eg} N_{n_0 m_0}, \quad (36)$$

where from (29) we have

$$N_{n_0 m_0} = \int \exp \left\{ -\frac{g^2}{32} \frac{\Gamma(n_0 + 1/2)\Gamma(m_0 + 1/2)}{\pi\Gamma(n_0 + 1)\Gamma(m_0 + 1)} (|\xi_{n_0 m_0}|^2 + |\eta_{n_0 m_0}|^2)^2 \right\} d^2 \xi_{n_0 m_0} d^2 \eta_{n_0 m_0}. \quad (37)$$

The prefactor N can have a field strength dependence because the degeneracy $\mathcal{D}eg$ (38) of the eigenstates (17) had a field strength dependent and is of the following form [4]:

$$\mathcal{D}eg = N_0 M_0 = \frac{gH}{2\pi} A_{12} \frac{gE}{2\pi} A_{03} = \frac{g^2 EH}{4\pi^2} V_4, \quad (38)$$

where

$$N_0 = \frac{gH}{2\pi} A_{12}, \quad M_0 = \frac{gE}{2\pi} A_{30}. \quad (39)$$

The A_{12} is two-dimensional area on the plane (x_1, x_2) , while the A_{30} is two-dimensional area on the plane (x_3, x_0) and the 4-volume is $V_4 = A_{12} A_{30}$. Rescaling the collective coordinates (ξ, η) in the $N_{n_0 m_0}$ one can get alternative expression for $N_{n_0 m_0}$ with a factorised field strength dependence

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n_0 m_0} &= \frac{32\pi}{g^2} \frac{\Gamma(n_0 + 1)\Gamma(m_0 + 1)}{\Gamma(n_0 + 1/2)\Gamma(m_0 + 1/2)} \times \int e^{-(|\xi_{n_0 m_0}|^2 + |\eta_{n_0 m_0}|^2)^2} d^2 \xi_{n_0 m_0} d^2 \eta_{n_0 m_0} \\ &= \frac{32\pi}{g^2} \frac{\Gamma(n_0 + 1)\Gamma(m_0 + 1)}{\Gamma(n_0 + 1/2)\Gamma(m_0 + 1/2)} \times I, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where

$$I = \int \exp \left\{ -(|\xi_{n_0 m_0}|^2 + |\eta_{n_0 m_0}|^2)^2 \right\} d^2 \xi_{n_0 m_0} d^2 \eta_{n_0 m_0}.$$

Evaluating the product in (36) for the prefactor N we will get:

$$\begin{aligned}
N &= \prod_{n_0, m_0}^{\text{Deg}} N_{n_0 m_0} = \prod_{n_0, m_0}^{\text{Deg}} \frac{32\pi I}{g^2} \frac{\Gamma(n_0 + 1)\Gamma(m_0 + 1)}{\Gamma(n_0 + 1/2)\Gamma(m_0 + 1/2)} = \\
&= \left(\frac{2e^3\pi^6}{A^{36}}\right)^{\frac{N_0+M_0}{24}} \left(\frac{32\pi I}{g^2}\right)^{N_0 M_0} \left[\frac{G(N_0 + 2)}{G(N_0 + 3/2)}\right]^{M_0} \left[\frac{G(M_0 + 2)}{G(M_0 + 3/2)}\right]^{N_0}
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

where A is the Glaisher constant and $G(n)$ is the Barnes function. Because the contribution to the effective Lagrangian should scale with 4-volume $N_0 M_0 \rightarrow V_4$ we have to extract from the asymptotic behaviour of the prefactor N only the terms that are proportional to the product $N_0 M_0$ (38). Only the last two terms scale with the 4-volume and can contribute to the effective Lagrangian. Using asymptotic behaviour of the Barnes function at $N_0 \rightarrow \infty$, for the given ratio one can get:

$$\frac{G(N_0 + 2)}{G(N_0 + 3/2)} \rightarrow (2\pi)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{N_0}{2} + (\frac{3}{8} + \frac{N_0}{2}) \log N_0} \tag{42}$$

and for the product in (41)

$$\left[\frac{G(N_0 + 2)}{G(N_0 + 3/2)}\right]^{M_0} \left[\frac{G(M_0 + 2)}{G(M_0 + 3/2)}\right]^{N_0} \rightarrow (2\pi)^{\frac{N_0+M_0}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{8}(M_0 \log N_0 + N_0 \log M_0)} e^{-N_0 M_0 + \frac{N_0 M_0}{2} \log(N_0 M_0)}. \tag{43}$$

The last exponent has the terms that scales with a 4-volume, thus

$$N \propto e^{-N_0 M_0 + \frac{N_0 M_0}{2} \log N_0 M_0} = e^{+\frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} - 2\right) V_4} \tag{44}$$

and the contributions of the prefactor N to the effective Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{\text{prefactor}} = -\frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4}. \tag{45}$$

We turn to the investigation of the integration measure and of the corresponding Jacobian factor.

5 Jacobian J . The Leutwyler zero mode chromons (21) can be represented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1(x) = ia_2(x) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} \xi_{n_0 m_0} (x_1 - ix_2)^{n_0} (x_3 - ix_0)^{m_0} \psi_{00}(x) \\
a_3(x) = ia_0(x) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} \eta_{n_0 m_0} (x_1 - ix_2)^{n_0} (x_3 - ix_0)^{m_0} \psi_{00}(x),
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where for the self-dual field (20)

$$\psi_{00}(x) = e^{-\frac{gH}{4}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_0^2)} \equiv e^{-\frac{gH}{4}x^2}.$$

Introducing dimensionless coordinates $y_\mu = x_\mu / (gH)^{1/2}$ one can represent the gauge field in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1(y) = ia_2(y) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} (gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}} \xi_{n_0 m_0} (y_1 - iy_2)^{n_0} (y_3 - iy_0)^{m_0} \psi_{00}(y) \\
a_3(y) = ia_0(y) &= \sum_{n_0, m_0} (gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}} \eta_{n_0 m_0} (y_1 - iy_2)^{n_0} (y_3 - iy_0)^{m_0} \psi_{00}(y).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Let us divide the large volume V_4 in the Euclidean space into small 4-cubes v_i ($i=1,\dots,N_0M_0$) and approximate the gauge fields inside a small volume v_i to be a constant, then the gauge fields in V_4 will have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(i) &= ia_2(i) = \sum_{n_0, m_0} (gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}} K_{n_0 m_0}(i) \xi_{n_0 m_0} \\ a_3(i) &= ia_0(i) = \sum_{n_0, m_0} (gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}} K_{n_0 m_0}(i) \eta_{n_0 m_0}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N_0 M_0, \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where the kernel is

$$K_{n_0 m_0}(i) = (y_1(i) - iy_2(i))^{n_0} (y_3(i) - iy_0(i))^{m_0} \psi_{00}(y_i). \quad (49)$$

The part of the integral measure that include the field $a_1(i)$ will have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i=1}^{N_0 M_0} da_1(i) \wedge da_1^*(i) = \\ &\sum_{n_0, m_0} (gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}} K_{n_0 m_0}(1) d\xi_{n_0 m_0} \wedge d\xi_{n_0 m_0}^* \wedge \dots \wedge \sum_{n_0, m_0} (gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}} K_{n_0 m_0}(N_0 M_0) d\xi_{n_0 m_0} \wedge d\xi_{n_0 m_0}^*. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Opening the wedge product we will get the determinant of a large matrix Δ of the size $N_0 M_0 \times N_0 M_0$. On each rows of the Δ matrix there are identical field dependent factors $(gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}}$ and the matrix can be written in the following "symbolic" form:

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} (gH)^{-\frac{0+0}{2}} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & (gH)^{-\frac{1+0}{2}} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & (gH)^{-\frac{0+1}{2}} & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & (gH)^{-\frac{1+1}{2}} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & (gH)^{-\frac{N_0+M_0}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (51)$$

The field strength dependence can be extracted from each row and the Jacobian factor become proportional to the following product

$$J_1 = \text{Det } \Delta = \Lambda_1 \prod_{n_0, m_0}^{N_0, M_0} (gH)^{-\frac{n_0+m_0}{2}} = \Lambda_1 (gH)^{-\frac{1}{4} N_0 M_0 (N_0 + M_0 + 2)}, \quad (52)$$

where Λ_1 is the determinant of the residual matrix that is now without any field strength dependent factors. The full zero mode integration measure now will take the following form:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N_0 M_0} da_1(i) \wedge da_1^*(i) \wedge da_3(i) \wedge da_3^*(i) = J \prod_{n_0, m_0}^{N_0, M_0} d\xi_{n_0 m_0} \wedge d\xi_{n_0 m_0}^* \wedge \dots \wedge d\eta_{n_0 m_0} \wedge d\eta_{n_0 m_0}^*, \quad (53)$$

where

$$J = J_1 J_3 = \Lambda_1 \Lambda_3 (gH)^{-\frac{1}{2}N_0 M_0 (N_0 + M_0 + 2)} \quad (54)$$

Only the $N_0 M_0$ term scales as 4-volume V_4 , thus the part of Jacobian J that contribute to the effective Lagrangian is proportional to the following expression

$$J \propto (gH)^{-N_0 M_0} = e^{-\frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4} V_4} \quad (55)$$

and the corresponding part of the effective Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{Jacobian} = +\frac{g^2 H^2}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{2g^2 H^2}{\mu^4}. \quad (56)$$

Summing the contributions to the effective Lagrangian that appear from the partition function prefactor N (45) and Jacobian factor J (56) one can see that these contributions cancel each other

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{prefactor} + \mathcal{L}_{eff}^{Jacobian} = 0$$

and therefore do not alter the expression for the (anti)self-dual effective Lagrangian (33).

6 Degeneracy of the Yang-Mills vacuum state. In a more general case of covariantly-constant chromomagnetic vacuum fields [7, 8, 9], instead of the zero mode chromons appear a plethora of negative modes displaying an apparent instability [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. Generalisation of the calculations that were advocated earlier by Ambjorn, Nielsen, Olesen [24, 25, 26, 27], Flory [18] and other authors [1, 2, 3, 10, 19, 20, 21, 28, 29, 30, 31] allows to obtain the effective Lagrangian that includes contributions of positive/stable and negative/unstable modes and to demonstrate that the effective Lagrangian is without imaginary terms [4]. All these vacuum fields are stable and indicate that the Yang-Mills vacuum is a highly degenerate quantum state.

A larger class of alternative vacuum fields have been considered in the literature as well [32, 33, 34, 28, 29, 30], and some of these vacuum fields expose a natural chaotic behaviour [35]. In this respect one should ask whether there exist physical systems that have high degeneracy of the vacuum state. Turning to the statistical spin systems, one can observe that the classical 3D Ising system has a double degeneracy of the vacuum state and of all its excited states. It is this symmetry that allows to construct a dual gauge invariant representation of the 3D Ising model [36]. The extensions of the 3D Ising model that have a direct ferromagnetic and one quarter of the next to nearest neighbour antiferromagnetic interaction constructed in [37], as well as a model with a zero intersection coupling constant ($k = 0$) [38, 39, 41, 42], have *exponential degeneracy of the vacuum state*. In recent publications this symmetry was referred as the *subsystem symmetry* [45]. This higher

symmetry allows to construct the dual representations of the same systems and in various dimensions [38, 39, 40]. As a consequence of the high degeneracy of the vacuum state, these systems have rich physical properties, including a glass behaviour [43, 44], exotic fracton excitations [45], and it was suggested that they can be used as high density memory devices [46].

References

- [1] H. Leutwyler, *Vacuum Fluctuations Surrounding Soft Gluon Fields*, Phys. Lett. **96B** (1980) 154. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90234-8
- [2] H. Leutwyler, *Constant Gauge Fields and their Quantum Fluctuations*, Nucl. Phys. B **179** (1981) 129. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90252-2
- [3] P. Minkowski, *On the Ground State Expectation Value of the Field Strength Bilinear in Gauge Theories and Constant Classical Fields*, Nucl. Phys. B **177** (1981), 203-217 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(81)90388-6
- [4] G. Savvidy, *Stability of Yang Mills Vacuum State*, [arXiv:2203.14656 [hep-th]].
- [5] G. K. Savvidy, *Infrared Instability of the Vacuum State of Gauge Theories and Asymptotic Freedom*, Phys. Lett. **71B** (1977) 133. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(77)90759-6
- [6] S. G. Matinyan and G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarization Induced by the Intense Gauge Field*, Nucl. Phys. B **134** (1978) 539. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(78)90463-7
- [7] M. J. Duff and M. Ramon-Medrano, *On the Effective Lagrangian for the Yang-Mills Field*, Phys. Rev. D **12** (1975) 3357. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.3357>
- [8] I. A. Batalin, S. G. Matinyan and G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarization by a Source-Free Gauge Field*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **26** (1977) 214 [Yad. Fiz. **26** (1977) 407].
- [9] G. K. Savvidy, *Vacuum Polarisation by Intensive Gauge Fields*, PhD 1977, <http://www.inp.demokritos.gr/~savvidy/phd.pdf>
- [10] G. Savvidy, *From Heisenberg–Euler Lagrangian to the discovery of Chromomagnetic Gluon Condensation*, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.2, 165 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7711-6 [arXiv:1910.00654 [hep-th]].

- [11] G. 't Hooft, *Computation of the Quantum Effects Due to a Four-Dimensional Pseudoparticle*, Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976), 3432-3450 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.14.3432>
- [12] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, McGraw-Hill, New York 1965.
- [13] K. Fujikawa, *Path Integral Measure for Gauge Invariant Fermion Theories*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42** (1979), 1195-1198 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.42.1195
- [14] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, *Consequences of Dirac's theory of positrons*, Z. Phys. **98** (1936) 714.
- [15] J. S. Schwinger, *On gauge invariance and vacuum polarization*, Phys. Rev. **82** (1951) 664. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.82.664
- [16] S. R. Coleman and E. J. Weinberg, *Radiative Corrections as the Origin of Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking*, Phys. Rev. D **7** (1973) 1888. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.1888>
- [17] S. Coleman, *The uses of Instantons*, Erice Lectures, 1977
- [18] C. A. Flory, *Covariant Constant Chromomagnetic Fields And Elimination Of The One Loop Instabilities*, Preprint, SLAC-PUB-3244, <http://www-public.slac.stanford.edu/sciDoc/docMeta.aspx?slacPubNumber=SLAC-PUB-3244>; <https://lib-extopc.kek.jp/preprints/PDF/1983/8312/8312331.pdf>
- [19] D. Kay, R. Parthasarathy and K. S. Viswanathan *Constant self-dual Abelian gauge fields and fermions in $SU(2)$ gauge theory*, Phys. Phys. D **28** (1983) 3116-3120.
- [20] D. Kay. *Unstable modes, zero modes, and phase transitions in QCD*, Ph.D Thesis, Simon Fraser University, August 1985.
- [21] D. Kay, A. Kumar and R. Parthasarathy *Savvidy Vacuum in $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills Theory*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20** (2005) 1655-1662.
- [22] N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *An Unstable Yang-Mills Field Mode*, Nucl. Phys. B **144** (1978) 376. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(78)90377-2
- [23] V. V. Skalozub, *On Restoration of Spontaneously Broken Symmetry in Magnetic Field*, Yad. Fiz. **28** (1978) 228.

- [24] J. Ambjorn, N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *A Hidden Higgs Lagrangian in QCD*, Nucl. Phys. B **152** (1979) 75. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(79)90080-4
- [25] H. B. Nielsen, *Approximate QCD Lower Bound for the Bag Constant B*, Phys. Lett. **80B** (1978) 133. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(78)90326-X
- [26] N. K. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Electric Vortex Lines From the Yang-Mills Theory*, Phys. Lett. B **79** (1978), 304 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(78)90249-6
- [27] J. Ambjorn and P. Olesen, *A Color Magnetic Vortex Condensate in QCD*, Nucl. Phys. B **170** (1980) 265. doi:10.1016/0550-3213(80)90150-9
- [28] Y. M. Cho, *A Restricted Gauge Theory*, Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980), 1080 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.21.1080236-3
- [29] D. G. Pak, B. H. Lee, Y. Kim, T. Tsukioka and P. M. Zhang, *On microscopic structure of the QCD vacuum*, Phys. Lett. B **780** (2018), 479-484 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.03.040 [arXiv:1703.09635 [hep-th]].
- [30] K. I. Kondo, *Stability of magnetic condensation and mass generation for confinement in SU(2) Yang-Mills theory*, PoS **QCD-TNT-III** (2013), 020 doi:10.22323/1.193.0020 [arXiv:1312.0053 [hep-th]].
- [31] G. Savvidy, *Gauge field theory vacuum and cosmological inflation without scalar field*, Annals Phys. **436** (2022), 168681 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2021.168681> [arXiv:2109.02162 [hep-th]].
- [32] G. Baseyan, S. Matinyan and G. Savvidy, *Nonlinear plane waves in the massless Yang-Mills theory*, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **29** (1979) 641-644
- [33] S. Matinyan, G. Savvidy and N. Ter-Arutyunyan-Savvidi, *Classical Yang-Mills mechanics. Nonlinear colour oscillations*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **80** (1980) 830-838
- [34] G. Savvidy, *Classical and Quantum mechanics of non-Abelian gauge fields*, Nucl. Phys. **246** (1984) 302-334
- [35] G. Savvidy, *Maximally chaotic dynamical systems*, Annals Phys. **421** (2020), 168274 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2020.168274>

- [36] F. J. Wegner, *Duality in Generalized Ising Models and Phase Transitions Without Local Order Parameters*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), 2259-2272 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665530>
- [37] G. K. Savvidy and F. J. Wegner, *Geometrical string and spin systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **413** (1994), 605-613 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(94\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(94)90003-5) [arXiv:hep-th/9308094 [hep-th]].
- [38] G. K. Savvidy and K. G. Savvidy, *Selfavoiding gonihedric string and spin systems*, Phys. Lett. B **324** (1994), 72-77 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(94\)00114-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)00114-6) [arXiv:hep-lat/9311026 [hep-lat]].
- [39] G. K. Savvidy and K. G. Savvidy, *Interaction hierarchy*, Phys. Lett. B **337** (1994), 333-339 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(94\)90984-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(94)90984-9) [arXiv:hep-th/9409030 [hep-th]].
- [40] G. K. Savvidy, K. G. Savvidy and F. J. Wegner, *Geometrical string and dual spin systems*, Nucl. Phys. B **443** (1995), 565-580 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(95\)00151-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(95)00151-H) [arXiv:hep-th/9503213 [hep-th]].
- [41] R. Pietig and F. J. Wegner, *Phase transition in lattice surface systems with gonihedric action*, Nucl. Phys. B **466** (1996), 513-526 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(96\)00072-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(96)00072-7) [arXiv:hep-lat/9604013 [hep-lat]].
- [42] R. Pietig and F. J. Wegner, *Low temperature expansion of the gonihedric Ising model*, Nucl. Phys. B **525** (1998), 549-570 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213\(98\)00342-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00342-3) [arXiv:hep-lat/9712002 [hep-lat]].
- [43] A. Lipowski, D. Johnston, and D. Espriu, *Slow dynamics of Ising models with energy barriers*, Phys. Rev. E **62** (2000) 3404. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.62.3404>
- [44] C. Castellano, C. Chamon, and D. Sherrington, *Quantum mechanical and information theoretic view on classical glass transitions*, Phys. Rev. B **81** (2010) 184303 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.184303>
- [45] S. Vijay, J. Haah and L. Fu, *Fracton Topological Order, Generalized Lattice Gauge Theory and Duality*, Phys. Rev. B **94** (2016) no.23, 235157 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.235157> [arXiv:1603.04442 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [46] G. K. Savvidy, *The System with exponentially degenerate vacuum state*, [arXiv:cond-mat/0003220 [cond-mat]].