

# LBMT team at VLSP2022-Abmusu: Hybrid method with text correlation and generative models for Vietnamese multi-document summarization

Tan-Minh Nguyen\*<sup>1</sup>, Thai-Binh Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Hoang-Trung Nguyen<sup>1</sup>, Hai-Long Nguyen<sup>1</sup>,  
Tam Doan Thanh<sup>1,2</sup>, Ha-Thanh Nguyen<sup>3</sup>, and Thi-Hai-Yen Vuong\*<sup>1</sup>

{20020081, 20020328, 20020083, long.nh, yenvth}@vnu.edu.vn  
tamdt9@viettel.com.vn, nguyenhathanh@nii.ac.jp

<sup>1</sup>VNU University of Engineering and Technology / Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>2</sup>Viettel Group / Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>3</sup>National Institute of Informatics / Tokyo, Japan

## Abstract

Multi-document summarization is challenging because the summaries should not only describe the most important information from all documents but also provide a coherent interpretation of the documents. This paper proposes a method for multi-document summarization based on cluster similarity. In the extractive method we use hybrid model based on a modified version of the PageRank algorithm and a text correlation considerations mechanism. After generating summaries by selecting the most important sentences from each cluster, we apply BARTpho and ViT5 to construct the abstractive models. Both extractive and abstractive approaches were considered in this study. The proposed method achieves competitive results in VLSP 2022 competition (Mai-Vu et al., 2022).

## 1 Introduction

Summarization is an efficient way to process information in the context of big data, especially for non-expert users who want to get a quick overview of the most crucial ideas in a document (Radev et al., 2002). This problem has been applied to many natural language processing tasks such as document retrieval, text classification, and question answering (Guo et al., 2020; Mishra et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2022; Vuong et al., 2022). With the increasing amount of online content, it is becoming increasingly difficult for users to find interesting and useful information.

Multi-document summarization is the task of generating a summary from a collection of documents. More specifically, this paper considers the task of generating summaries from a collection of documents in Vietnamese. Multi-document summarization is a challenging task because the summaries should not only describe the most important information from all documents but also provide a coherent interpretation of the documents.

In the past few years, several deep learning models have been proposed for the task of multi-document summarization. Extractive models are based on the idea of selecting sentences from the input documents and then combining the selected sentences to form the summary. Abstractive models are based on the idea of generating summary sentences from scratch. Abstractive models have shown better performance than extractive models but are more difficult to train. The reason is that the abstractive models need to understand the content of the input documents and then generate summary sentences.

Thanks to the development of pretrained language models, abstractive models have shown good performance in text summarization tasks. Pretrained language models are based on the idea of training a model on a large amount of unannotated data and then fine-tuning the model on the specific task and data set. Available pretrained language models in Vietnamese (Tran et al., 2021; Phan et al., 2022) allow us to apply these models to summarize Vietnamese text.

This paper proposes a pipeline abstractive method for multi-document summarization that includes three main phases. The first phase is single document extraction. In the second phase, we concatenate the candidate sentences from single documents. Then we perform a multi-document extraction to produce quickview summaries. In the third phase, we build multi-document abstractive models from quickview results. The proposed method achieves competitive results in the VLSP 2022 competition.

## 2 Related Work

Multi-document summarization is an arduous problem due to some reasons including high redundancy as well as contradictory information inside the doc-

uments, sophisticated relation between documents, diverse input document types, etc. For tackling this problem, the model often is separated into two phases: the extractive phase for reducing redundancies and collecting important information, abstractive phase for generating natural-language summarise. In (Yasunaga et al., 2017), the authors built a sentence-based graph where each node is a sentence and each edge is a relation between two sentences. Then they used a GRU model for extracting vector representation for each sentence and fed the graph and the representation vector to a GCN for aggregating the final representation vector. After the sentence embedding stage, a second-level GRU is employed for extracting the entire cluster’s vector representation which will be employed with a sentence-level representation vector for estimating the salience of each sentence. For paying attention to the relation between words, sentences, and documents, the work in (Wang et al., 2020) has proposed HeterDoc-SUM Graph which represents each of these elements (word, sentence, and document) by a node. The sentences node and documents node are connected by the containing words node, which means word nodes have a role as the bridge between sentence and document node and enhance the relations that exist in the data including sentence-sentence, sentence-document, and document-document relation. The built graph is fed into a graph attention network.

Due to the success of transformer-based models in recent years, this class of model that utilizes the attention-based mechanism has been employed for getting more abstract information about the context. The authors of (Jin et al., 2020) proposed a multi-granularity interaction network MGSUM based on the Transformer model for capturing the semantic relationships. Three levels of granularity include Word, Sentence, and Document. The sentence level is used for extractive summarization and the word level is utilized for abstractive summarising tasks. Additionally, the model performs the semantic information integrating and updating by a fusion-gate, concentrating on the important knowledge by sparse-attention mechanism. The Transformer models pre-trained on a large dataset also perform impressively on summarization tasks. The knowledge learned from large corpora can fill the gap of lacking training data for multi-document summarization. PEGASUS model introduced in (Zhang et al., 2020) utilized Transformer-based encoder-

decoder architecture. The gap sentence generation mechanism has been used and shows that masking the sentence based on its importance can enhance the summarization ability of the model. The work in (Tu et al., 2022) improved the extractive phase by utilizing the pre-trained phase’s knowledge of the language model to calculate the word and sentence perplexity. This unsupervised method can be used for assessing the salience of the document, which can increase the effectiveness of the abstractive phase.

### 3 Method

Given the set of topic-related documents  $D = \{d_i | i \in [1, N]\}$  where  $N$  is the number of documents. Each document  $d_i$  has  $M_i$  sentences  $\{s_{j,i} | j \in [1, M_i]\}$ , where  $s_{j,i}$  refers to the  $j^{th}$  sentence in the  $i^{th}$  document. The Absumu task aims to generate a concise and abstractive summary for the cluster of documents  $D$ . We tackle the problem following two approaches: extractive and abstractive summarization. The extractive summarization goal is to choose important sentences and phases from the original documents and combine them into a shorter form. The abstractive summarization aims to rewrite summaries based on the original documents. The generated summaries may contain new sentences and phases.

#### 3.1 Extractive approach

We use Sentence BERT (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) with a pre-trained model PhoBERT (Nguyen and Nguyen, 2020) following source code<sup>1</sup> to present semantic vector of a sentence. Then we perform two methods to extract summary: similarity and TextRank.

**Text correlation** A document includes a title, anchor text, and news content. The authors write anchor text to summarize the content of a document. Thus, the correlation between anchor text and body text contributes to the summary. Our approach is to measure the Cosine similarity between every sentence in a document with its anchor text. Then we combine anchor text with top  $M$  candidate sentences based on the Cosine similarity score to generate the summary of a document. Doing the same with other documents, we receive an extractive summary of a cluster.

**TextRank** is a graph-based ranking model for text processing introduced in (Mihalcea and Tarau,

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/DatCanCode/sentence-transformers>

2004). In this competition, we employ TextRank for sentence extraction. Particularly, for each cluster, we build a graph with a vertex as a sentence and an edge weight is the Cosine similarity between two vertexes it connects. Then, we apply an algorithm derived from PageRank (Brin and Page, 1998) to calculate the score of a vertex. Sentences are sorted in reversed order of their scores, and top  $N$  candidate sentences are selected.

### 3.2 Abstractive approach

Since both BARTpho (Tran et al., 2021) and ViT5 (Phan et al., 2022) input limitation is 1024 tokens, these models can not handle the raw organizer’s dataset. Thus, we first perform extractive summarization following the above methods to extract summaries. Then we create a new dataset consisting of (extractive summary, gold label) pairs to fine-tune BARTpho and ViT5 models.

**BARTpho** is the first large-scale monolingual sequence-to-sequence models pre-trained for Vietnamese. BARTpho has two versions: BARTpho-syllable and BARTpho-word. We use the BARTpho-word-base model with 150M params and word-level input text. The model is trained with (extractive summary, gold label) pairs dataset. Before inputting data into the model, we employ RDR-Segmenter from the VnCoreNLP library (Vu et al., 2018) to perform word segmentation.

**ViT5** is a pre-trained Transformer-based encoder-decoder model for the Vietnamese language. ViT5 has two versions: ViT5-large and ViT5-base. The model achieves state-of-the-art results on summarization in both Wikilingual and Vietnews corpus. We train the ViT5-base model with 225M params with (extractive summary, gold label) pairs dataset.

## 4 Experiments

### 4.1 Metrics

The official measures of the competition are ROUGE-2 scores and ROUGE-2 F1. The ROUGE-2 F1 score is calculated with formulas:

$$ROUGE - 2 P = \frac{|Matched N-grams|}{|Predict summary N-grams|} \quad (1)$$

$$ROUGE - 2 R = \frac{|Matched N-grams|}{|Reference summary N-grams|} \quad (2)$$

$$ROUGE - 2 F1 = \frac{2 \times ROUGE - 2 P \times ROUGE - 2 R}{ROUGE - 2 P + ROUGE - 2 R} \quad (3)$$

## 4.2 Data analysis

According to our statistics with the given training dataset, the average number of documents in a cluster is 3.105. The average and the maximum number of words in a document respectively are 715.23 and 3474. More particularly, Figure 1 below illustrates the distribution of the document’s length in the training datasets.




Figure 1: Document’s length distribution

Although the document’s length varies from 150 to nearly 3500 words, the distribution mainly focuses on 250 to 750 words.

We plot Figures 2 and 3 to estimate the summary’s length based on cluster properties. The cluster average length is computed by the sum of all document’s lengths divided by the number of documents. Based on Figure 2, we argue that the cluster and summary’s length have a linear relation. In Figure 3, the ratio between a cluster and its summary’s length is mainly 2.0 to 4.0.




Figure 2: Cluster and summary lengths relation




Figure 3: Ratio between cluster and summary lengths

### 4.3 Hyper-parameters setup

All the experiments are performed on 16GB RAM and the support of GPU from Google Colab<sup>2</sup>.

**Text correlation** After measuring the Cosine similarity between every sentence and its anchor text in a document, we receive the candidate sentence list. For each document in a cluster, we take the top  $N$  sentences and combine them with their anchor text to generate a document’s summary. Then we concatenate all the document summaries to form a cluster extractive summary. After multiple experiments, the top 3 sentence summary achieve the best ROUGE-2 F1 score.

**Multi extraction** We employ TextRank to build multi-document extractor. After multiple experiments with different settings, top  $N$  candidate sentences with  $N = 5$  achieves the best ROUGE-2 F1 score.

**BARTpho** We fine-tune the BARTpho model with (extractive summary, gold label) pairs dataset in 30 epochs. We set the minimum and maximum output lengths respectively are 0.7 and 1 of their inputs. Since BARTpho is a generative model, it takes 1-2 minutes to generate a summary. We spent 6-7 hours producing 300 summaries of the testing dataset.

**ViT5** Because of the GPU limitation, we can only fine-tune the ViT5 model with (extractive summary, gold label) pairs dataset in 5 epochs. The minimum and maximum target lengths are respectively 0.7 and 1 of their input length. It takes 2-3 minutes for an iteration and approximately 2 hours to generate 100 abstractive summaries of the validation dataset.

### 4.4 Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows our results on the validation dataset. We can see that the similarity method achieves the highest ROUGE-2 score. The ViT5 model’s result

is not good, so we only use the BARTpho model for the public and private testing datasets.

Table 1: Evaluation scores on validation dataset

	R2-F1	R2-P	R2-R
Multi extraction	<b>0.4386</b>	<b>0.3498</b>	<b>0.6560</b>
Text correlation	0.4249	0.4118	0.4640
BARTpho-word-base	0.4186	0.4452	0.4148
ViT5-base	0.2734	0.3342	0.2308

Table 2: Evaluation scores on public test dataset

	R2-F1	R2-P	R2-R
Multi extraction	<b>0.3149</b>	<b>0.2566</b>	<b>0.4577</b>
BARTpho-word-base	0.2607	0.2612	0.2911
Text correlation	0.2536	0.2094	0.3465

Our team achieves second place in the public test leaderboard, less than the top 1 team 0.0001 score. Table 3 shows the detailed results of the top 5 teams in the competition.

Table 3: Public test leaderboard

User	R2-F1	R2-P	R2-R
thecoach_team	0.3150	0.2492	0.4652
<b>minhnt2709</b>	<b>0.3149</b>	<b>0.2566</b>	<b>0.4577</b>
TheFinalYear	0.2931	0.2424	0.4137
TungHT	0.2875	0.2978	0.2989
ngtiendong	0.2841	0.2908	0.2949

We also have some discussion about our proposed method. The first limitation is that the proposed method cannot handle large document collections. The reason is that the TextRank algorithm is very slow, and it is impossible to use it for extensive document collections. The second limitation is that the proposed method does not consider the relationship between sentences because our goal in the competition is to achieve the highest ROUGE-2 score. However, it is possible to consider the relationship between sentences and the relationship between documents by changing the way the summary is generated. It would be an interesting topic for further research.

<sup>2</sup><https://colab.research.google.com>



## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a pipeline abstractive method for multi-document summarization that includes three main phases. The method uses text correlation, a modified version of the PageRank algorithm, and a generative model. Both extractive and abstractive approaches were considered in this study. The proposed method achieves competitive results in the VLSP 2022 competition. In future work, we will consider the relationship between sentences and the relationship between documents to improve the quality of summaries.

## References

- Sergey Brin and Lawrence Page. 1998. The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual web search engine. *Computer networks and ISDN systems*, 30(1-7):107–117.
- Jiafeng Guo, Yixing Fan, Liang Pang, Liu Yang, Qingyao Ai, Hamed Zamani, Chen Wu, W Bruce Croft, and Xueqi Cheng. 2020. A deep look into neural ranking models for information retrieval. *Information Processing & Management*, 57(6):102067.
- Hanqi Jin, Tianming Wang, and Xiaojun Wan. 2020. Multi-granularity interaction network for extractive and abstractive multi-document summarization. In *Proceedings of the 58th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics*, pages 6244–6254.
- Tran Mai-Vu, Le Hoang-Quynh, Can Duy-Cat, and Nguyen Quoc-An. 2022. Vls2022 – abmusu challenge: Vietnamese abstractive multi-document summarization. *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Vietnamese Language and Speech Processing (VLSP 2022)*.
- Rada Mihalcea and Paul Tarau. 2004. TextRank: Bringing order into text. In *Proceedings of the 2004 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, pages 404–411.
- Anshuman Mishra, Dhruv Patel, Aparna Vijayakumar, Xiang Lorraine Li, Pavan Kapanipathi, and Kartik Talamadupula. 2021. Looking beyond sentence-level natural language inference for question answering and text summarization. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 1322–1336.
- Dat Quoc Nguyen and Anh Tuan Nguyen. 2020. Phobert: Pre-trained language models for vietnamese. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.00744*.
- Ha-Thanh Nguyen, Minh-Phuong Nguyen, Thi-Hai-Yen Vuong, Minh-Quan Bui, Minh-Chau Nguyen, Tran-Binh Dang, Vu Tran, Le-Minh Nguyen, and Ken Satoh. 2022. Transformer-based approaches for legal text processing. *The Review of Socionetwork Strategies*, 16(1):135–155.
- Long Phan, Hieu Tran, Hieu Nguyen, and Trieu H Trinh. 2022. Vit5: Pretrained text-to-text transformer for vietnamese language generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06457*.
- Dragomir Radev, Eduard Hovy, and Kathleen McKeown. 2002. Introduction to the special issue on summarization. *Computational linguistics*, 28(4):399–408.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentencebert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084*.
- Nguyen Luong Tran, Duong Minh Le, and Dat Quoc Nguyen. 2021. Bartpho: Pre-trained sequence-to-sequence models for vietnamese. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.09701*.
- Shangqing Tu, Jifan Yu, Fangwei Zhu, Juanzi Li, Lei Hou, and Jian-Yun Nie. 2022. UPER: Boosting multi-document summarization with an unsupervised prompt-based extractor. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 6315–6326, Gyeongju, Republic of Korea. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Thanh Vu, Dat Quoc Nguyen, Dai Quoc Nguyen, Mark Dras, and Mark Johnson. 2018. Vncorenlp: A vietnamese natural language processing toolkit. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01331*.
- Yen Thi-Hai Vuong, Quan Minh Bui, Ha-Thanh Nguyen, Thi-Thu-Trang Nguyen, Vu Tran, Xuan-Hieu Phan, Ken Satoh, and Le-Minh Nguyen. 2022. Sm-bert-cr: a deep learning approach for case law retrieval with supporting model. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, pages 1–28.
- Danqing Wang, Pengfei Liu, Yining Zheng, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuan-Jing Huang. 2020. Heterogeneous graph neural networks for extractive document summarization. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6209–6219.
- Michihiro Yasunaga, Rui Zhang, Kshitij Meelu, Ayush Pareek, Krishnan Srinivasan, and Dragomir Radev. 2017. Graph-based neural multi-document summarization. In *Proceedings of the 21st Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL 2017)*, pages 452–462.
- Jingqing Zhang, Yao Zhao, Mohammad Saleh, and Peter Liu. 2020. Pegasus: Pre-training with extracted gap-sentences for abstractive summarization. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 11328–11339. PMLR.